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## Nanoparticles

## 1-100 nm (at least into two dimensions)



Remember, the thickness (diameter) of a human hair is $50,000-100,000 \mathrm{~nm}$ !

Ratio of Surface Atoms to Total Atoms in a Single Particle (Ichinose et al., 1992)


## The Melting Point Decreases with Decreasing Nanoparticle Size



Buffat and Borel, Phys. Rev. A 13, 2287 (1976)

## Applications of Nanoparticles

- Large area per gram (adsorbents, membranes)
- Stepped surface at the atomic level (catalysts)
- Easily mix in gases and liquids (reinforcers)
- Superfine particle chains (recording media)
- Easily carried in an organism (new medicine)
- Superplasticity
- Cosmetics that last way into the night ...


## Some people believe that nanoparticles are <br> a new state of matter!

## Comparison of wet- \& dry-technology

Dry-technology (aerosol): Wet-technology:

- Mix precursor
-Dry flame conversion
- Filtration
- Milling
- Dissolve
- Add precipitation agent
- Temperature/Pressure treatment
-Filtration
-Washing
- Drying
-Calcination
- Milling

Short process chains, very short process time:
Reduced costs, green processes


## AEROSOL MANUFACTURING OF NANOPARTICLES

Wegner, Pratsinis Chem. Eng. Sci. 58, 4581-9 (2003).

| Product <br> Particles | Volume t/y | Value \$/y | Process $\quad$ C | Coagulation <br> Coalescence | Surface Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon black | 8 M | 8 B | Flame, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | X | X |
| Titania | 2 M | 4 B | Flame, $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | X | ? |
| Fumed Silica | 0.2 M | 2 B | Flame, $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}$ | X | - |
| Zinc Oxide | 0.6 M | 0.7B | Hot-Wall, Zn | X | X |
| Filamentary $\mathbf{N i}$ | 0.04M | $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~B}$ | Hot-Wall, $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ | $)_{4} \mathrm{X}$ | X |
| $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4} / \mathbf{M r}$ | $\mathrm{n} \sim 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ | $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{~B}$ | Hot-Wall, Spray... | . $\mathbf{X}$ | X |

## A rough analogy to flame aerosol reactors


... just well attached to the ground!


Lampblack first was produced in quantity by the ancient Chinese


Attic red-figure hydria, 430-420 BC, Abdera Archeological Museum, Greece

Attic black-figure amphora, 540-530 BC, Museum of Cycladic Art, Athens, Greece

## Channel Plant, Texas Panhandle, 1940's




Furnace Process for Carbon Black Production

## Carbon Black Agglomerate


(A)
(B)

Medalia \& Heckman, 1967

## Simulated Agglomerate Structures

Medalia \& Heckman (1967)


Mandelbrot (1977)

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{p} & =\left(\frac{r_{c}}{r_{p}}\right)^{D_{f}} \\
\alpha & \sim \frac{D_{f}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Prof. Gael W. Ulrich Dept. of Chemical Engineering University of New Hampshire

## Prof. Ulrich's insightful proposals

1. New particle formation (nucleation) cannot be distinguished from chemical reaction.
2. No surface growth.
3. Turbulence does not affect particle growth.
4. Aggregates or agglomerates form when coagulation is faster than coalescence.
5. The particle size distribution is self-preserving


$$
d N_{i} / d t=c\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(1 / 2) L_{j, i-j}+(1 / 2) L_{i i}-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{i j}\right)
$$



## Applications



Niche Fields: Catalysts, Sensors, Photocatalysts,

Cosmetics etc.
$\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ (titania)
Plastics coatings


Paper coatings


$\eta$
George, Murley \& Place, 1973

## The $\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathrm{p}}$ increased 10 times

## $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$



Fine particles ( $\mathrm{dp}<63 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) exhibit properties of the liquid state several hundred degrees below their bulk melting point (Fuchs \& Sutugin, 1970)

## Aerosol Manufacture of Ni



## Microstructure of Carbonyl Iron Powder




Japka $(1988,1991)$

## Limitations of science in the '70s

Understanding of particle formation has little impact on industrial aerosol reactor design.

Providing a plausible particle synthesis scenario alone was not enough:

1. Probably industrial reactor data could not be duplicated in the laboratory reactors
2. Traditional aerosol instruments were too slow
3. No scale-up relationships
4. Too complex fluid mechanics (reactive systems).

Industrial reactors were still treated as "black boxes" Design and operation were dominated by empiricism.

## Optical Fiber Production



MODIFIED CHEMICAL VAPOR DEPOSITION (McChesney et al., 1977)


## Optical Fibers by MCVD



## Metal Nanoparticles by Inert Gas Condensation (Siegel, 1989)



Thermophoretic Sampling (Dobbins and Megaridis, 1987))


## Sintering rate of particle area a

$$
\frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

Koch, Friedlander, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 140, 419 (1990)

| Chemical | Primary particle growth by | Formation of irregular aggregates by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reaction | collision and full coalescence | coagulation and partial sintering during <br> e.g. |
| gas cooling and primary particle growth |  |  |

$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{SiH}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \longrightarrow}$
$\xrightarrow[\text { spherical particles }]{\longrightarrow} \longrightarrow$
Number Concentration
$\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta \mathrm{~N}^{2}$

## Agglomerate area

$$
\frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{a}-\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

Collision diameter

$$
d_{c}=d_{p}\left(v / v_{p}\right)^{1 / D_{f}}
$$

Kruis, Kusters, Pratsinis, Scarlett, Aerosol Sci. Technol. 19, 514 (1993)


## Design of Equipment

Predictions within 3\% of product SSA (Gutsch, 1997)
H. Mühlenweg, A. Gutsch, A. Schild, C. Becker "Simulation for process and product optimization", Silica 2001, $2^{\text {nd }}$ International Conference on Silica, Mulhouse, France (2001) and G. Vargas Commercializing Chemical Technology: Realization of Complete Solutions using Chemical Nanotechnology, Lecture at Nanofair, St. Gallen, Switzerland, Sept. 11, 2003.


Niedermeier, Messer, Fröhlich (TR814.1E) degusSa.

## TODAY

Aerosol Scientists and Engineers lead R \& D for aerosol manufacture at Degussa, DuPont, Millennium, Cabot etc.

Basic and exploratory research is needed for:
On-line control of existing reactors for flexible manufacture of various particles
High value functional nanoparticles with sophisticated composition and structure. Manufacture these nanoparticles without going through the Edisonian cycle of the past. Health effects of nanoparticles.

## Novel Processes and Uses of Flame-made Nanoparticles
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## Flame-made particles

## Pros

High purity
Easy collection
No liquid waste
Proven scale-up
No moving parts

Challenges
Agglomerates
Size control
Multicomponent
ceramic/ceramic metal/ceramic

## Experimental set-up for $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ production



Pratsinis, Zhu, Vemury, Powder Technol. 86, 87-93 (1996)

Mixing of reactant gases ==> product size-shape
Pratsinis, Zhu, Vemury, Powder Technol. 86, 87-93 (1996); Johannessen, Pratsinis, Livberg, ibid., 118, 242-250 (2001).

S. Vemury, S.E. Pratsinis, L. Kibbey, J. Mater. Res. 12, 1031-1042 (1997).

Electrically Assisted Synthesis
 of Nanoparticles

U.S. Patent 5,861,132 (1999)

## Precision




Electric Field Intensity across the Flame, kV/cm

## Size Control by Charging

Kammler, PhD thesis, ETH \#14622 (2002)


EH
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich


Kammler, Pratsinis, Morrison, Jr., Hemmerling, Combust. Flame 124, 369 (2002)

## Dental n-Composites: flame-made silicas in a dimethylacrylate matrix (50:50)


with ETH non-aggl. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{SSA}=35 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}
$$


with OX50 (Degussa) SSA $=50 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$

Müller, Vital, Kammler, Pratsinis, Beaucage, Burtscher, Powder Technol. 140, 40-48 (2004).

## Precision Synthesis by Nozzle Quenching



Wegner, Stark, Pratsinis, Mater. Lett. 55, 318 (2002)

## Reduction of Agglomeration $6 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ flow rate



TS in front of nozzle (BND = 1.5 cm )


No nozzle
Product powder


Nozzle
BND $=1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Control of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ size, color \& crystallinity

## $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ : Catalytic Removal of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ In Exhaust Gases by SCR with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$



Stark, Wegner, Pratsinis, Baiker,
J. Catal. 197, 182 (2001)

## EHH



## Pilot unit for flame

## synthesis of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$,

 and now catalysts:
## $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$

Kammler, Mueller, Senn, Pratsinis, AIChE J. 47, 1533 (2001)

## EH

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich

## Comparison to conventional DeNOx catalyst @ U. Essen (Prof. Cramer)



Fixed bed pilot-scale test reactor, $2.4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$

Gas composition:
$-400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{NO}$ $-400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{NH} 3$
$-10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ oxygen in nitrogen
Reference:
-impregnated Degussa P 25
-same V content in both catalysts -specific surface area: $50-55 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$

## Epoxidation Catalysts: $\mathrm{TiO}_{2} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$



Hydrogen/air flame, burner diameter $19 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.73 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{h} ; 5.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ air/h

## $\mathrm{TiO}_{2} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ epoxidation catalysts

 Industrially (Shell, Enichem, Arco), several Mt/y :$C_{3} \Rightarrow$ propene $\Rightarrow$ propene oxide $\Rightarrow$ polymers, surfactants


## X-ray Absorption Near Edge Spectroscopy

In-situ XANES:
-Geometry of the active site -Water content
-Degree of hydration








-J. D. Grunwaldt, C. Beck, W. J. Stark,
A. Hagen, A. Baiker, Phys. Chem.

Chem. Phys., 4, 3514 (2002).

## Selectivity



Even 40 ppm of transition metal strongly reduce selectivity
Good selectivity requires very pure catalysts.

## Flame Spray Pyrolysis

 $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{ZnO}, \mathrm{CeO}_{2}, \mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ $\mathrm{ZnO} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Pt}$ on $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

Mädler, Pratsinis, J.Am.Ceram.Soc. 85, 1713 (2002)
Varistors Sensors
Catalysts


## Flame spray pyrolysis

Strobel, Stark, Mädler, Pratsinis, Baiker, J. Catal. 213, 296-304 (2003)


## Enantioselective hydrogenation of ethyl pyruvate by FSP-made $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$



Synthesis of chiral pharmaceuticals.



Strobel, Stark, Mädler, Pratsinis, Baiker, J. Catal. 213, 296-304 (2003)

## Open structure enhances activity

Nonporous, dense particles
$\rightarrow$ Better accessibility
$\rightarrow$ High surface without trapped Pt in micropores.
$\rightarrow$ Maximum use of expensive platinum reduces costs


## Rapid Synthesis of Stable ZnO Quantum Dots



## Angular, rough, edgy-like n-CeO 2




## Hollow particles by emulsion-fed FSP

Tani, Watanabe, Takatori and Pratsinis, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 86, 898 (2003).



## Conclusions

- $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ : SCR of NOx with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$
- Purity improves conversion over wet-made ones
- $\mathrm{TiO}_{2} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ : Olefin epoxidation:
- improved selectivity
- role of transition metal dopants
- structure of the active site
- pilot-scale production ( $500 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ )
- $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : enantioselective hydrogenation
- Open structure improves efficiency


## Conclusions

- Nanoparticle Technology is a frontier for scientific advances and even, for business opportunities (millionaires are made today!).
- Flame Processing is advantageous for particle manufacture: Unique Structure, Crystallinity and Purity Close control of Particle Size and Morphology
- Functional nanoparticles with tailor-made characteristics are made for catalyst, dental, battery and other materials.

ETHZ, Particle Technology Laboratory


## 2. Selected Fundamentals of Aerosol Formation
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## Particle Dynamics



## Theory: Population Balance Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{n}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\nabla \cdot \mathrm{nu}=\nabla \cdot D \nabla \mathrm{n} \quad+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right) \quad-\nabla \cdot \mathrm{cn} \\
& \text { convection diffusion growth external force } \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{v} \beta(\widetilde{v}, v-\widetilde{v}) n(\widetilde{v}) n(v-\widetilde{v}) d \widetilde{v}-\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(v, \widetilde{v}) n(v) n(\widetilde{v}) d \widetilde{v} \\
& \text { coagulation } \\
& -S(v) n(v)+\int_{v}^{\infty} \gamma(v, \widetilde{v}) \operatorname{Sn}(\widetilde{v}) d \widetilde{v} \\
& \text { fragmentation }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Fundamentals of Particle Formation

### 2.0 Books

Smoke, Dust and Haze, S.K. Friedlander, Oxford, $2^{\text {nd }}$ edition, 2000 Aerosol Processing of Materials, T.Kodas M. Hampden-Smith, Wiley, 1999 Aerosol Technology, W. Hinds, Wiley, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Edition, 2000.

### 2.1 Coagulation

Atmospheric processes (air pollution, smog), Plumes, Tailpipe exhaust, Optical fibers for telecommunications, Carbon blacks for tires, Pigments, Enlargement by granulation or flocculation

The theory of coagulation is based on:
a) collision theory
b) field forces

### 2.1.1 Collision frequency function

Assume that collisions occur between two clouds of partices of volume $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ :

$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$


The number of collisions per unit time and unit volume is:

$$
P_{i j}=\beta\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) n_{i} n_{j}
$$

Where the collision frequency is the rate of collisions per particle per unit volume. This function depends on temperature, pressurpeathelipaftcle size.

The birth of particles of size $\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{j})$ is given by:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} P_{i j}
$$

The factor $1 / 2$ is included to correct for double counting.

The loss of particles of size $k$ by collision with all other particles is:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i k}
$$

Then the net rate of change in particle concentration is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d n_{k}}{d t} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum P_{i j}-\sum P_{i k} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} \beta\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) n_{i} n_{j}-n_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right) n_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the basic equation for coagulation that is encountered in many physical phenomena:
Granulation, Flocculation etc.
It used to be very intimidating 10 years ago, but not anymore. It can be easily solved.

GOAL: To determine collision frequency function

### 2.1.2 CASE 1: Brownian Coagulation

In a stagnant gas coagulation takes place by diffusion of particles to the surface of each other.

Consider a sphere of radius $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at a fixed point.
Particles of radius $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are in Brownian motion and diffuse to the surface of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

We would like to calculate the concentration profile $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}$ away from the surface of particle i so we can calculate the flux of particles $j$ to the surface of particle $i$. This will give the rate of collisions of particles i and $j$ per unit area of parittirleheh pratsinis 2004


Let us drop the subscript j for convenience and write a balance for particles of size $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
For spherical symmetry:

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=\frac{D}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial n}{\partial r}\right)
$$

With boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}: & \mathrm{n}=0 \\
\mathrm{r} \rightarrow \infty & : \\
\mathrm{t}=0 & \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{0} \\
: & \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{0} \quad \forall \mathrm{r}
\end{array}
$$

The solution of this equation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(r, t) & =n_{0}\left[1-\frac{a_{i}+a_{j}}{r}\left(1-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\frac{r-\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right)}{2 \sqrt{D t}}} e^{-z^{2}} d z\right]\right] \\
& =n_{0}\left[1-\frac{a_{i}+a_{j}}{r} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{r-\left\lfloor a_{i}+a_{j}\right\rfloor}{2 \sqrt{D t}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now calculate the rate at which particles arrive at the surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =4 \pi\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right)^{2} J_{a_{i}+a_{j}}=4 \pi\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right)^{2} D\left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial r}\right)_{r=a_{i}+a_{j}} \\
& =4 \pi\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right) D n_{0}\left(1+\frac{a_{i}+a_{j}}{\sqrt{\pi D t}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \gg 0\left(d_{p}=1 \mu m \quad t>10 s\right.$ or $\left.d_{p}=0.1 \mu m \quad t>0.01 \mathrm{~s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { By definition } \beta=\frac{F}{n_{0}} \text {, so: } F=4 \pi\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right) D n_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider that the sphere $a_{i}$ is in Brownian motion. Then we introduce the diffusion coefficient describing the relative motion of the two particles:

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{\overline{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}}}{2 \mathrm{t}} \quad \text { Einstein equation }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i j}=\frac{\overline{x_{i}^{2}}}{2 t}-\frac{\overline{2 x_{i} x_{j}}}{2 t}+\frac{\overline{x_{j}^{2}}}{2 t}=D_{i}+D_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the collision frequency function becomes from (1) \& (2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=4 \pi\left(D_{i}+D_{j}\right)\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right) \quad \text { where } \quad D=\frac{k_{B} T}{f} \\
& \beta=4 \pi \frac{k_{B} T}{3 \pi \mu}\left(\frac{1}{d_{P, i}}+\frac{1}{d_{P, j}}\right)\left(\frac{d_{P, i}}{2}+\frac{d_{P, j}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{2 k_{B} T}{3 \mu}\left(\frac{1}{v_{i}^{1 / 3}}+\frac{1}{v_{j}^{1 / 3}}\right)\left(v_{i}^{1 / 3}+v_{j}^{1 / 3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the collision frequency function in the continuum limit ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}} \gg \lambda$ ).

### 2.1.3 Coagulation of Monodisperse Particles

Assume that all particles have the same size during coagulation. This is a bold assumption but amazingly good and useful. Then, we can describe the rate of change of particle concentration as:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right) \mathrm{N}^{2}
$$

where the collision frequency function is:

$$
\beta\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=\frac{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{3 \mu}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}}\right)\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}+\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}\right)=\frac{8 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{3 \mu}
$$

Then $\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{\beta}{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ and integration gives: $\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{0}}{1+\frac{\beta N_{0}}{2} \mathrm{t}}{ }_{14}$

This simple expression can be used to estimate the half-life of an aerosol, or the time needed for particles to grow to a certain size by coagulation, or even the significance of coagulation with respect to other processes.

For example, estimate the time needed to reduce the concentration of a monodisperse aerosol to $90 \%, 50 \%$ or $10 \%$ of its initial concentration $10^{8}$ particles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, and initial diameter $100 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \frac{N}{N_{0}}=0.9: \\
& \text { For } \frac{N}{N_{0}}=0.5: \\
& \text { For } \frac{N}{N_{0}}=0.1: \\
& \text { ETH Zurich Prasinis } 2004
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{2\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}{\mathrm{~N}}-1\right)}{\beta \mathrm{N}_{0}} \approx 1.5 \mathrm{~s}
$$

$$
t \approx 14 \mathrm{~s}
$$

$$
t \approx 125 \mathrm{~s}
$$

### 2.1.4 CASE 2: Coagulation in the free molecule regime

In this case the concept of continuum does not exist anymore so we cannot write the Navier-Stokes equations as we did for case 1.

Instead we rely on the kinetic theory of gases (e.g. N. Davidson, Statistical Mechanics, Ch. 10, McGraw, New York, 1962).

The mean scalar velocity of N gas molecules of mass $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ per $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ having a Maxwellian distribution is:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{c}}=\sqrt{\frac{8 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\pi \mathrm{~m}_{1}}}
$$

The total rate at which molecules strike a surface dS is

$$
e(s)=\frac{1}{4} N \bar{c} d S
$$

For a sphere of radius $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ colliding with particles (molecules) of equivalent spherical radius $\mathrm{a}_{1}$

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~N} \overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~S}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~N} \sqrt{\frac{8 \mathrm{kT}}{\pi \mathrm{~m}_{1}}} 4 \pi \mathrm{a}^{2}=\pi \mathrm{N} \overline{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a}^{2}
$$

where $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ is the collision radius. Now if the sphere also moves then the number of collisions increases as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}=\pi N \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{12} \mathrm{a}^{2}=\pi \mathrm{N} \sqrt{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{1}^{2}+\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{a}^{2} \\
\mathrm{~F}=\beta_{\mathrm{fm}} \mathrm{~N}=\pi \mathrm{N} \sqrt{\frac{8 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\pi \rho_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\frac{1}{v_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{3}{4 \pi}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}+\mathrm{v}_{2}^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}} \\
\beta_{\mathrm{fm}}=\left(\frac{3}{4 \pi}\right)^{1 / 6} \sqrt{\frac{6 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}^{1 / 3}+\mathrm{v}_{2}^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thits assith hes isionllision frequency function for $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}} \ll \lambda$.

### 2.1.7 Self-Preserving Theory

Observation of natural particle suspensions in gases (atmospheric aerosols) undergoing coagulation indicated that after a long time the particle size distribution attains a shape that is invariant with time.

More specifically, when the size distribution is scaled by some factor (e.g. average particle size) then the distributions fall on top of each other and are called self-preserving. This was observed first experimentally
(e.g. Husar \& Whitby, Environ. Sci. Technol. 7:241, 1973):


Size distribution of an aging free molecule aerosol generated by exposing filtered laboratory air in $90 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ polyethylene bag to solar radiation.


Size distribution as on left side, plotted in the self-preserving form. The curve is based on the data.

According to this, the particle volume $v$ becomes nondimensional by dividing by the average volume concentration where V is the aerosol volumetric concentration $\left[\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{3} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{3}\right]=[-]$ and N the number concentration respectively:

$$
\eta=\frac{v}{\bar{v}}=\frac{\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~V}}
$$

And the particle size distribution is defined in a nondimensional form as:

$$
\psi(\eta)=n(v) \frac{V}{N^{2}}
$$

### 2.2 Particle Formation by Nucleation-Condensation

A phase transition is encountered in many industrial (e.g. crystallization, carbon black production) and environmental (e.g. smog formation) processes

The fundamental equation that describes these processes is:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathrm{n}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\nabla \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}=0
$$

With boundary conditions:
at

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{P} & =d_{P}^{*} \\
t & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
n_{i} v_{i}^{*}=l^{*}
$$

nucleation
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}\right) \quad$ initial distribution

The goal is to determine:

1. the critical diameter for particle formation which is dictated by thermodynamics
2. the growth rate that is determined by thermodynamics and transport
3. the nucleation rate which is determined by thermodynamics and kinetic theory by physical (e.g.cooling) or chemical (e.g. reactions) driving forces

### 2.2.1 Critical Particle Size

Key feature: The curved interface
The goal is to derive an expression relating the concentration (vapor pressure) of species A with a particle (droplet) of radius $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ at equilibrium (Seinfeld, 1986)

If the interface was flat which is, for example, the tabulated equilibrium concentration or vapor pressure at a given temperature and pressure.

Consider the change in Gibbs free energy accompanying the formation of a single drop (embryo) of pure material A of diameter $d_{p}$ containing $g$ molecules of $A$ :
$\Delta G=G_{\text {embryo system }}-G_{\text {pure vapor }}$ (1)
ETH Zurich Pratsinis 2004


Now let's say that the number of molecules in the starting condition of pure vapor is $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$. After the embryo forms, the number of vapor molecules remaining is $n=n_{T}-g$. Then the above equation is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=n G_{v}+g G_{1}+\pi d_{p}^{2} \sigma-n_{T} G_{v} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{V}$ and $G_{\mid}$are the free energies of a molecule in a liquid and vapor phases and $\sigma$ is the surface energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=g\left(G_{I}-G_{v}\right)+\pi d_{P}^{2} \sigma=\frac{\pi d_{P}^{3}}{6 v_{l}}\left(G_{I}-G_{v}\right)+\pi d_{P}^{2} \sigma \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\mathrm{gv}_{\mathrm{l}}=\frac{\pi \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}^{3}}{6}$
Where $v_{1}$ is the volume occupied by a molecule in the liquid phase (equivalent sphere in liquid phase).

Before we go further let's evaluate the difference in Gibbs free energy:
$\mathrm{dG}=\mathrm{VdP}$
then
$d G=\left(v_{1}-v_{v}\right) d P$
But $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{l}} \ll \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}$
then
$d G=-v_{v} d P$
According to ideal gas law $v_{v}=k_{B} T / P$
Then $G_{v}-G_{I}=-k_{B} T \int_{P_{A 0}}^{P_{A}} \frac{d P}{P}=-k_{B} T \ln \frac{P_{A}}{P_{A O}}=-k_{B} T \ln S$
Where $S$ is the saturation ratio.

Now equation 3 becomes:


Now plot $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ as a function of $d_{p}$
$\mathrm{S}<1$ monotonic increase in $\Delta \mathrm{G}$

$S>1$ positive and negative contributions at $s m a l l d_{p}$ the surface tension dominates and the behavior of $\Delta G$ as a function of $d_{p}$ is close to that for $S<1$. For larger $d_{p}$ the first term becomes importanty. Pratsinis 2004

At $\frac{\partial \Delta G}{\partial d_{p}}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{p}^{*}=\frac{4 \sigma v_{l}}{k_{B} \ln S}$

This is the minimum possible particle size.

This equation relates the equilibrium radius of a droplet of a pure substance to the physical properties of the substance and the saturation ratio of its environment. It is called also the Kelvin equation and the critical diameter is called the Kelvin diameter.

This equation relates the equilibrium radius of a droplet of a pure substance to the physical properties of the substance and the saturation ratio of its environment. It is called also the Kelvin equation and the critical diameter is called the Kelvin diameter.

The Kelvin equation states that the vapor pressure over a curved interface always exceeds that of the same substance over a flat surface:



See the anchoring of the surface molecules on a flat and a curved surface. Surface molecules are anchored on two molecules on the layer below flat surfaces while on curved interfaces some are anchored on just one!
These can easily escape (evaporate) from the condensed (liquid, arisolis)s phase.

### 2.3 Particle Growth

The mechanism for particle growth refers to droplet or particle growth from gas (condensation), to crystal growth from solution etc..
In all cases mass should be transported to the particle surface.

In principle, two steps are required, a diffusional step followed by a surface reaction or rearrangement step. In condensation the former is dominant while in crystallization is the latter. In many processes both can be dominant.

### 2.3.1 Mass transfer to a particle surface (continuum)

Consider a single droplet growing by condensation without convection at rather dilute conditions. The goal is to determine the flux of mass to its surface. For this the vapor concentration profile around the droplet is needed at steady state:


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

D = vapor diffusivity
C = vapor concentration
(moles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ )

With boundary conditions:
at $r=d_{p} / 2 \quad C=C_{d}$ the equilibrium concentration at the droplet surface
at $r=\infty \quad C=C_{\infty}$ bulk vapor concentration

Solving the above equation for C as a function of r gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}=1-\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \mathrm{r}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the rate of condensation F is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{D}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)_{\mathrm{r}=\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}}{2}} & =\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)\left[0+\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}}{2\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}} / 2\right)^{2}}\right] \pi \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2} \\
& =2 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \pi \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

And the rate of particle volume growth is:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\pi \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}^{3} / 6\right)}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\mathrm{FMW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \mathrm{MW} \pi \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}}
$$

where MW and $\rho_{\mathrm{P}}$ are the molecular weight and density of the condensing material

So the diameter growth rate is (molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dd}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{4 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \mathrm{MW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.2 Mass transfer to a particle surface (free molecule)

The collision rate per unit area is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AV}} \mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{c}}}{4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ and $m_{1}$ are the molecular velocity and mass and $N_{A V}$ the Avogadro number
so z becomes $\quad \mathrm{z}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}{4}\left(\frac{8 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}}{\pi \mathrm{m}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}$

Then the rate of condensation $F$ to particle surface is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{z} \cdot \operatorname{area} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AV}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{2 \pi \mathrm{~m}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \pi \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the rate of particle volume growth is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\mathrm{FMW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{2 \pi \mathrm{~m}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \pi \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{MW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the diameter growth rate is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dd}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{MW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{2 \pi \mathrm{~m}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.3 Mass transfer to a particle surface (entire spectrum)

For particle growth from the free molecule to continuum regime, the expression for the continuum regime is extended by an interpolation factor:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dd}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{4 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \mathrm{MW}}{\rho_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\frac{1+\mathrm{Kn}}{1+1.71 \mathrm{Kn}+1.33 \mathrm{Kn}^{2}}\right)
$$

where the Knudsen number is $\mathrm{Kn}=2 \lambda / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}$

This is called the Fuchs effect.

(a)

(b)

The effect of of temperature depression is to reduce the partial pressure of vapor at the droplet surface and slow the rate of evaporation. Similarly a temperature enhancement slows the rate of condensation.


Figure 13.12 Water droplet lifetimes as function of droplet size for $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{5 0}$, and $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ relative humidity at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(adapted from Hinds (1982))

Table 13.2 Effect of Fuchs Effect, Kelvin Effect, and Temperature Depression Corrections on Calculated Lifetimes of Water Droplets at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 50 Percent Relative Humidity ${ }^{\text {a.h }}$

|  | Droplet Lifetime (s) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Droplet <br> Diameter <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Including <br> All <br> Corrections | Omitting <br> Fuchs Effect <br> Correction | Omitting <br> Kelvin Effect <br> Correction | Omitting <br> Temperature <br> Depression <br> Correction | No Corrections <br> $($ Eq. 13.18) <br> $p_{d}=p_{s}$ <br> $T_{d}=T_{\infty}$ |
| 0.01 | $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.1 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.04 | $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.1 | $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.4 | $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\underline{3.8 \times 10^{-4}}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1.0 | $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\underline{1.4 \times 10^{-3}}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 4.0 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.010 | $9.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 10 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.062 | 0.060 |
| 40 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | $\underline{0.97}$ | $\underline{0.96}$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Calculated with corrections as indicated by numerical integration of Eq. 13.19. ${ }_{b}$ Error in calculated lifetime exceeds $20 \%$ above the line in each column.

