Dear Candidate or Senior Staff,

Please print the immigration survey below. Fill it out, sign it and mail it to the address below.

"Immigration Grade Cards" and "Immigration Candidate Pages" are the most viewed sources on the Internet for citizens wanting to know the immigration actions and the immigration positions of congressional incumbents and challengers.

If you want voters to know your immigration positions, you will want to return this survey to us as soon as possible. I hope the page for your race will have information from your survey.

NumbersUSA never endorses candidates. We recognize that voters mark their ballot based on many issues and judgments about the character and leadership of a candidate. What we offer on our Grade Cards and Candidate Pages is a way for voters to know which candidates are most likely to reduce overall immigration and which are most likely to increase it so they can factor that into their voting decisions.

As for our stance. See our positions at the end of the survey.

Thank you for returning the survey,

Roy Beck, President, NumbersUSA

1601 North Kent Street, Suite 1100
Attention: Candidate Surveys
Arlington, Virginia 22209

Phone: 703-816-8820 Fax: 703-816-8824

www.CongressGrades.org

After each statement, please mark the position that most closely matches your own views:

1. Do you OPPOSE offering the officially estimated 11 million people illegally in the U.S. long-term work permits and/or a path to citizenship (whether through a blanket amnesty or an "earned legalization" or other form)?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

2. Should Congress fund an Attrition Through Enforcement campaign to cause illegal aliens to self-repatriate back to their home countries over time?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

3. Should jobs held by illegal aliens be opened up for unemployed Americans and legal immigrants already here by requiring all businesses to use the Federal automated, rapid-response internet system (E-Verify) to screen out illegal foreign workers?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

4. Should the federal government be required to cooperate with local officials and pick up all illegal aliens detained by local law enforcement?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

5. Should Congress reduce funding to state and local governments that adopt sanctuary policies and other rewards for illegal foreign workers and the companies that hire them?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

6. Should Congress fully fund the completion of the entry/exit system at all borders and points of entry in which every person entering and leaving the U.S. is logged into a database which would notify law enforcement, businesses and others when a foreign tourist, student, worker or other fails to leave on-time? *(US-VISIT was approved by Congress in 1996 and has never been sufficiently funded and is largely incomplete.)
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

7. Should Congress fund and provide oversight for the full implementation of border security measures already signed into law?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

8. Should Congress move the U.S. in line with most other nations and stop the policy of giving automatic citizenship at birth to children when both parents are illegal aliens?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

9. Should Congress implement the bi-partisan, national Jordan Commission recommendation to limit family-based immigration to the nuclear family of spouse and minor children, thus eliminating the "chain migration" categories of extended family that are the key reason immigration has quadrupled since the 1960s?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

10. Should Congress stop using a lottery to give away permanent greencards to 50,000 randomly chosen foreign citizens each year (an elimination suggested by the Jordan Commission)?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

11. Should Congress institute safeguards that will prevent importation of foreign workers any time they would threaten the jobs or depress the wages of American workers?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

12. Until 1976, U.S. immigration tradition was an average of around 250,000 a year; since new legislation in 1990, it has averaged 1,000,000 a year. More than 38 million foreign workers and dependents now live in the U.S. At current rates, immigration will add more than 100 million additional people to the U.S. population by 2060. This government-forced rapid population expansion will require huge increases in energy, roads and other infrastructure and services. Do you favor reducing overall immigration numbers toward the traditional levels?
[   ] YES [   ] NO [   ] NOT TAKING A POSITION

I attest that my answers accurately represent my position on the issues addressed in this survey and understand that my responses will be made public on the NumbersUSA Candidate Surveys website.

Surveys are ONLY for official candidates or their authorized staff members

CAMPAIGN INFORMATION (PLEASE PRINT)

CANDIDATE NAME_____

CANDIDATE (OR AUTHORIZED) SIGNATURE _____

NAME OF SIGNER (IF OTHER THAN CANDIDATE) _____

ADDRESS_____

CITY_____STATE_____ZIP_____

PHONE_____ FAX_____

EMAIL_____ CAMPAIGN WEBSITE_____

PARTY_____

STATE_____ DISTRICT _____ DATE_____
* This survey should ONLY be completed by the candidate or a member of the candidate's senior staff.

Immigration Positions Favored by NumbersUSA

NumbersUSA supports the recommendations of (1) the bi-partisan U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform that called for immigration reductions to protect vulnerable American workers and their families and of (2) the President's Council on Sustainable Development that said immigration numbers had to be reduced to achieve an environmentally sustainable future.

With nearly 20% of American workers unable to find a full-time job and with U.S. population growth (87%) related to immigration) destroying approximately 1 million acres of natural habitat and farmland each year while further congesting American communities, NumbersUSA believes Members of Congress should be looking for ways to greatly reduce the current flow of nearly 2 million foreign workers and family members into the United States each year.

The Census Bureau states that U.S. communities -- which had 203 million people in 1970 and almost 300 million today -- will have to handle a half-BILLION people after mid-century, if immigration is not substantially reduced. Nearly all the growth will be the result of post-1970 immigration. NumbersUSA opposes the federal government forcing that kind of congestion and infrastructure over-load on local communities and the American people.

NumbersUSA believes any amnesty (reward-of-residency) for illegal aliens encourages a lot more illegal immigration. Many small enforcement efforts have proven that illegal aliens will buy their own bus and plane tickets back home if they cannot find a job, cannot receive major public services and cannot move freely without fear of a random arrest. Requiring that all employers use the E-Verify system of workplace verification, fully funding the entry/exit system to go after visa overstays, and full federal cooperation with local government attempting to push illegal aliens out would achieve successful Attrition Through Enforcement.

Those are our opinions. We will display your opinions fairly and accurately on our website. Please send us your opinions soon.