

**Network Rail
CP4 Delivery Plan 2009
Enhancements programme:
statement of scope, outputs
and milestones**

Dec 2009 update



Contents

Changes to the plan.....	3
Introduction.....	5
Route plans	5
Operational plans	5
Rolling stock, depots and stabling	5
Network Rail's obligations	5
Project development	6
Project delivery.....	6
Ongoing engagement with our customers	7
Monitoring and change control.....	8
Funding packages and expenditure limits	9
The programme packages	11
England and Wales.....	14
Network Rail Discretionary Fund (NRDF).....	15
National Stations Improvement Programme (NSIP).....	17
Strategic Freight Network (SFN)	19
Project definition: Channel Tunnel south of London route fund	23
Project definition: In-fill gauge projects fund	24
Project definition: Train lengthening projects fund.....	26
Performance Fund	28
Seven Day Railway fund	29
CP5 Development fund	31
Safety and Environment fund	32
Access for All.....	33
King's Cross	36
West Coast Main Line committed schemes	38
Thameslink Programme	45
Intercity Express Programme (IEP).....	48
Crossrail and Reading	52
Birmingham New Street Gateway Project	57
Train lengthening - southern.....	60
Southern capacity package	102
East Coast Main Line improvements	108
East Coast Main Line overhead line electrification.....	127
St Pancras - Sheffield linespeed improvements.....	129
Nottingham resignalling	132
Midlands improvements programme	134
Northern urban centres - Yorkshire.....	147
Northern urban centres - Manchester	156
Northern urban centres – Liverpool - Leeds linespeed improvements	166
Western improvements programme	168
North London Line capacity enhancement.....	174
FTN/GSM-R inclusion of freight-only branch lines	176
Station security	177
Scotland.....	178
Scotland: Tier 3 project development fund	179
Scotland small projects fund (SPF)	180
Project definition: Airdrie - Bathgate.....	182
Project definition: Paisley corridor improvements (PCI).....	185
Project definition: Borders new railway	188
Project definition: Glasgow to Kilmarnock enhancement.....	189
Other Transport Scotland Tier 3 schemes.....	191

Changes to the plan

Changes incorporated in this document are:

- those required by ORR in their acceptance letter of the June 2009 update;
- those changes that have been approved through the change control process; and
- changes to development milestones that don't affect the delivery date of the project.

These changes are shown in the table below. In England and Wales, where milestones have been met in March, June and September 2009 they have been deleted from the activities and milestones table so that the these tables show activities going forwards.

ID number	Section	Page	Change	External consultation
n/a	Expenditure table	10	Change to the funding available for NSIP and the Performance fund to reflect both capex and opex contributions as required by the acceptance letter.	n/a
n/a	Programme packages	12	Route 16 Chiltern train lengthening has become South Ruislip loop.	Yes
1.00	NRDF	16	List of schemes authorised to draw down on the fund included.	n/a
4.00	Performance fund	28	Change in objective as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
6.00	CP5 Development fund	31	Appropriate industry planning forum for discussion of this fund is now RIPG.	Agreed with RIPG
8.00	Access for All	34	Change in key assumptions as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
10.01	Bletchley remodelling project	39	Change in obligation, scope of works and outputs as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
10.02	WCML traction power supply upgrade	42	Changes to activities and milestones table as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
12.00	IEP	50	Change in development milestone.	n/a
15.01	Waterloo International integration	63/64	Change in scope of works and activities and milestones table as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
15.06	Suburban area 10-car operations to Victoria and London Bridge	77/78 79	Norwood Junction station platform 5 is to be lengthened instead of platform 6. This is shown in the scope of works and key assumptions sections. Sydenham route activities and milestones table has been amended as required by the acceptance letter.	Yes n/a
16.05	Route 5 – Power supply enhancements	96	Change in development milestones.	n/a
16.06	Route 6– Power supply enhancements	98	Change in development milestones.	n/a
16.07	Route 7 – Power supply enhancements	100	Change in development milestones.	n/a
17.01	Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity scheme	104	Change in development milestone.	n/a
17.03	Seven Sisters improved access	107	Scope of works changed as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
18.03	Alexandra Palace to	113	Change in outputs as required by	n/a

ID number	Section	Page	Change	External consultation
	Finsbury Park 3 rd Up line	114	acceptance letter. Change in development milestone.	
18.04	Finsbury Park to Alexandra Palace 3 rd Down line improvements	116	Change in development milestone.	n/a
18.06	Hitchin grade separation	120	Change in development milestone.	n/a
18.08	Shaftholme Junction remodelling	122	Change in scope and outputs as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
19.00	East Coast Main Line electrification	128	Change in development milestone.	n/a
20.00	St Pancras – Sheffield line speed improvements	129/131	Change in outputs and key assumptions sections as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
21.00	Nottingham resignalling	132	Change in scope of works as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
		133	Expansion of the activities and milestones table.	n/a
22.01	Bromsgrove electrification	136	Change in development milestone.	n/a
22.03	Line speed improvements: Wrexham to London Marylebone	139	Change in scope of works and outputs sections as required by acceptance letter.	n/a
22.04	South Ruislip loop	141/142	Previously the capacity associated with this scheme was to be provided by Gerrards Cross bay platform. The capacity will now be provided by a loop at South Ruislip delivered as part of the Evergreen 3 project.	Yes
22.06	East Midlands train lengthening	146	Change in development milestone.	n/a
23.03	South Yorkshire – stabling for Northern	155	Change in development milestone.	n/a
26.04	Maidenhead and Twyford (relief lines)	173	Change in development milestone.	n/a
27.00	North London Line capacity enhancement	175	Change in development milestone to reflect the phased completion of GRIP 5.	n/a
32.01	Airdrie - Bathgate	182-184	Change in scope via change control process.	Yes
32.02	GARL	185-187	Now Paisley corridor improvements (with associated changes) following the cancellation of the airport branch line.	Yes

Introduction

This document sets out our enhancements plan for CP4. For each enhancement programme funded through ORR's final determinations it sets out:

- our obligations consistent with the final determinations;
- the proposed scope of the programme or project;
- the outputs that the scope is intended to support;
- key assumptions and interfaces; and
- milestones.

This document also includes a definition of the programme of “on network works” we are expecting to deliver in CP4 in support of the Crossrail project, subject to completion of the proposed funding arrangement and protocol. The project is therefore assumed to be delivered for the purposes of this plan.

The document does not provide such a statement for all enhancements funded outside of the review. These are termed third party schemes. The volume of third party enhancements we might reasonably expect to deliver in CP4 is described in the CP4 Delivery Plan document. These programmes are subject to separate contractual arrangements and funding agreements.

Route plans

This document should be read in conjunction with the route plans which provide further information on a route basis including the assumed operational plans in CP4, depot and stabling options, renewals expenditure and volumes and key third party projects.

Operational plans

We have worked with train operators to agree the operational plans to meet the England and Wales High Level Output Specification capacity metrics. These operational plans are described in the route plans and, where relevant, summarised in the project definition statements in this document where they are relevant to understanding our obligations.

Rolling stock, depots and stabling

The default assumption is that in CP4 the existing rolling stock type will operate on the same routes as they do today. Where it has been agreed with train operators that a different assumption should be adopted then this is stated in the key assumptions section of the project definition sheet. Further information on rolling stock assumptions is provided in the route plans.

With regard to depot and stabling proposals in CP4, most funding for additional capacity to support the introduction of more rolling stock is outside the funding provided to us. There are two specific exceptions to this related to the Northern franchise. We continue to work with train operators and funders to develop an agreed depot and stabling strategy for CP4. The current options under consideration for stabling and depot are identified in the route plans.

Network Rail's obligations

In delivering the enhancement programme funded from the periodic review, we have flexibility to determine the most cost-effective way of delivering the outputs. In terms of the enhancements programme funded through the review, we have distinguished between different types of obligation.

A number of projects are specified in the HLOSs including the Thameslink Programme, Access for All, King's Cross redevelopment, Birmingham New Street, Reading station area redevelopment, projects on the West Coast, Airdrie to Bathgate and Glasgow Airport Rail Link. Our obligation is to deliver the stated scope for each of the projects. Any change to the statement scope for each of these schemes will be subject to change control. Change control is discussed further below.

We have been provided with a number of funds in CP4 such as the Network Rail Discretionary Fund, National Stations Improvement Programme, Strategic Freight Network funds and the CP4 Performance Fund. Our obligation is to deliver schemes authorised to draw down from these funds. Where schemes have already been allocated funding we have identified these in the document. Further schemes will be allocated funding as we progress through CP4 and the plan will be updated accordingly. Each of these funds has specific governance arrangements to determine the allocation of funding and these are described in the relevant sections of this document.


We have worked with train operators to agree an operational plan that, if implemented, would deliver the England and Wales HLOS capacity metrics. We have included in our enhancements plan the programmes and projects that we believe are necessary to facilitate these operational plans. The commitment we have made is to deliver the infrastructure necessary to facilitate the operational plans. The operational plans are defined in the route plan documents. In particular, we have committed to providing the infrastructure to support the service change descriptions in the Figure 'Capacity enhancements to meet HLOS peak capacity in CP4' and these figures are reproduced in the relevant sections of this document. These are the service changes that we included in our capacity analysis to demonstrate we have a plan to achieve the HLOS capacity metrics. We will provide further updates to the plan as we make further progress in developing and delivering the plan. These changes will be subject to change control.

We have received funding for a number of schemes defined by ORR. These schemes either meet specific outputs or, although not required to meet the HLOS outputs, are justified on wider criteria including value for money. Our obligation is to deliver these schemes and we commit to delivering the stated scope of these projects. Any change to the statement scope for each of these schemes will be subject to change control.

At the start of each project definition we have provided a statement of our obligation relevant to that particular scheme consistent with the commitments set out above.

Project development

Our projects are developed through the Guide to Railway Investment Projects (GRIP) framework. The constituent projects within the programmes for CP4 are at varying stages of development within this framework. The final specification for each project and the construction plan are not confirmed until completion of GRIP stage 4.



Projects are being progressed to develop single option definitions and to allow value management reviews to be undertaken. Where there is the potential need for more complex work, projects are being developed to a more advanced GRIP stage in order to inform decision making. More straight-forward schemes require less development work to get a similar level of confidence regarding the potential costs and to examine value management opportunities. At sites with particularly high cost forecasts relative to the available funding, more detailed reviews are required. This approach is reflected in the individual project milestones included in this document.

We have included in our plan, where appropriate, dates by which we will be able to commit to definitive scope and timescales for projects which require further development work and to provide further opportunity for consultation with operators.

Project delivery

Each project has a set of key dates and milestones. The dates are all calendar year dates. The dates represent the completion date for each activity or milestone except where dates are defined specifically as commencement dates. Key milestones are:

- GRIP 3 completion, where the single option for the project is chosen;

- GRIP 4 completion, when scope will become more detailed; and
- GRIP 6 completion, once construction is complete the works can, in the majority of cases, be commissioned and taken into use. It is generally at this stage, rather than at the end of GRIP 8, when the project benefits will be realised.

For some schemes the project needs to complete further development work before a full set of milestone dates can be provided. The plan will be updated accordingly.

We will continue to refine our delivery programme recognising the need to balance:

- achievement of our obligations and the outputs within the control period;
- alignment with customer and funder delivery programmes for rolling stock and service change introduction dates;
- the impact of the construction programme on the operational railway and the need to minimise disruption to train services;
- efficient delivery of the overall capital investment programme including the asset renewals programme through exploitation of synergies;
- resource and capability constraints; and
- the development and delivery timescales.

Any material changes to the delivery milestones, and in particular, output change dates will be subject to consultation and change control.

Ongoing engagement with our customers

We will work with our customers to ensure that they are involved in the ongoing development of the programmes and projects. The key interface within Network Rail for the ongoing development of the overall plan for each route is with the Planning team and, in particular, the Principal Network Planners.

Within Network Rail's project development framework there are three key roles:

- the client is responsible for the business need, defining the output to be delivered and is accountable for identifying funding and providing the remit for the sponsor;
- the sponsor acts on behalf of the client(s), representing their interests, defining deliverables, securing funding authority and providing the remit for the project manager; and
- the project manager is responsible for development and delivery of the project consistent with the remit.

For most of the enhancement schemes the network planning team fulfils the role of internal client. This includes projects related to the achievement of the HLOS capacity metrics. A key element of this role is to remit sponsors to develop the necessary infrastructure schemes to support the operational plans.

We have engaged, and will continue to engage, with industry in a number of ways on the development and delivery of our plans:

- owning group and ATOC meeting: during the development of the CP4 Delivery Plan we have regularly met the owning groups and ATOC to discuss emerging issues. We will continue these meetings into CP4 and will focus on issues that arise in delivering the CP4 plan and also to start to discuss issues around the next periodic review process;
- Railway Industry Planning Group (RIPG): this group exists to obtain rail industry input into national railway strategic planning processes and has representatives of railway funders, operators and users;
- Route Investment Review Groups: these meetings provide a regular opportunity to review with each operator the proposed plans for the development of the network on a route basis including the programme of planned renewals and enhancement activity and future opportunities;
- performance planning: we have a well established engagement and governance framework for the development of performance outputs and plans at both a TOC level and industry level through National Taskforce. Our route performance managers are responsible for the management of the development process including long term performance plans and joint performance improvement

plans between Network Rail and each passenger TOC. We have also developed local output commitments with freight and open access operators;

- seven day railway: we have established a process for the development of a remit for each route with the opportunity for all operators on each route to engage in the development of that remit and to set out their own requirements. This programme is also overseen by an industry governance group; and
- project specific engagement: each programme and project is required to establish a stakeholder plan to ensure effective engagement particularly with affected train operators on the outputs and definition of the scheme and the impact on the train operators both during implementation and operation.

The established Route Investment Review Group meetings provide a regular forum at which to monitor and discuss overall delivery of the CP4 Delivery Plan with our customers. They will, where necessary, be supplemented by programme and project meetings to discuss specific project issues such as scope, timing of milestones, possessions plans and alignment with rolling stock proposals. Such fora already exist for a number of large-scale projects, such as the Thameslink programme, which requires significant customer participation for it to be successfully implemented.

Monitoring and change control

We will monitor delivery of our obligations and report progress on a routine basis to our customers and stakeholders. As we refine our plans, we will consult customers on changes to the plan and seek their endorsement to material changes. For example, where projects are in still in the optioneering stage we will use change control to update our plan when we have identified our preferred option for the project. We will record changes to the plan on our website with a clear audit trail showing how the change was agreed or decided. Changes to the schemes that we would consult on include:

- the statement regarding our obligation;
- the assumed operational plan and statement of outputs;
- the statement of scope; and
- activities and milestones.

We would consult with affected operators and provide the following evidence and justification to ORR as a consequence of this including:

- analysis, where necessary, to demonstrate that the proposed change still achieves the HLOS capacity metrics;
- a letter of support (or objection) from the affected train operators to the proposed change; and
- a statement setting out the change to the published CP4 Delivery Plan in the form of an update to the relevant pages of the supporting enhancement document.

We intend to publish on our website approved changes to our plan on a quarterly basis with a formal publication on an annual basis of updates to the plan and supporting documents including the route plans.

Certain projects have bespoke change control arrangements in place, such as the Thameslink programme. For material changes to other elements of the plan the following mechanisms will apply:

- defined enhancement funds: industry governance processes for funds, such as the National Stations Improvement Programme and the Strategic Freight Network, will be used to agree changes and ORR will be notified;
- schemes required to meet HLOS capacity metrics: proposed changes to the schemes will be subject to consultation with affected operators and funders. We will provide ORR with the results of this consultation and analysis to demonstrate the proposal is consistent with the achievement of the HLOS capacity metrics. ORR will approve the change if it is satisfied that it meets the HLOS requirements, unless it believes there are legitimate grounds for refusing the change based on objections from consultees; and
- other enhancement projects: proposed changes to the schemes will be subject to consultation with affected operators and funders. We will provide ORR with the results of this consultation. ORR will approve the change if it is satisfied that it is consistent with the principles on which it was

originally included in the determinations, unless it believes there are legitimate grounds for refusing the change based on objections from consultees.

Funding packages and expenditure limits

In managing our enhancement programme for CP4 and the need to deliver the required outputs within the funds available, we have defined work packages based on their contribution to the outputs required and the synergies and dependencies between projects. The grouping of projects into packages therefore in many cases covers a common geographical area.

Within the packages of projects our obligations may be different for each project. For instance the package of projects on the East Coast Main Line includes projects defined by ORR that we must deliver and also projects where we have flexibility to determine if they are the most cost-effective way of delivering the operational plans that meet the HLOS capacity metrics.

The purpose of grouping these projects in this way is to help us to manage the delivery of the outputs within the funding available at a programme-level rather than at an individual project-level. We have used this packaging to create expenditure limits within which the packages of projects must be managed to ensure we have an affordable portfolio of projects.

Set out below are the expenditure limits we have set for each of the programme packages to deliver our obligations within the funding available. The expenditure profile reflects the current plan for each project but constrains the available funding to that provided for within the final determinations.

There are two exceptions to this approach to setting expenditure limits. The first is the King's Cross project where we have assumed that given the advanced stage of the work there is limited opportunity to reduce the cost of the project to within the funding made available. The forecast cost of the project in CP4 is nearly £40 million above the funding provided by the final determinations (in 2009/10 prices). We have included the total forecast cost of the project in the plan. The funding for this is offset by a reduction in projected expenditure on the operational property renewals programme in CP4.

The second exception is the west coast main line committed schemes package. The forecast expenditure for this package of projects in CP4 is £252 million (in 2009/10 prices) less than the funding provided for in the review. This is a consequence of our re-assessment of the delivery programme and the timescales required to obtain Transport and Works Act powers for the Stafford / Colwich re-modelling project. We recognise the need to carry forward this under spend to CP5 to support delivery of the project in the next control period.

For the King's Cross, Reading and North London Line projects, agreed renewals funding associated with these works has been added to the enhancements funding in order to give a single funding stream for each project.

The packaging of the projects allows us to examine at a package-level the opportunities to prioritise projects, and identify efficiencies in terms of costs, scope and delivery, and to manage the overall portfolio in a way that allows us to control costs and to deliver the outputs within the funds available. This will be done in close collaboration with our customers and the packaging of projects should facilitate this dialogue.

In Scotland, ORR will undertake a specific ex post efficiency assessment on GARL, Borders and Glasgow to Kilmarnock to determine the value of expenditure for which we receive funding.

CP4 enhancements – expenditure limits

Delivery Plan (£m 09/10 prices)	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	CP4 Total
England & Wales						
Network Rail Discretionary Fund	50	50	50	50	50	248
National Stations Improvement Programme	35	31	31	34	34	165
Intercity Express Programme	8	24	60	92	90	275
Strategic Freight Network	30	46	38	50	55	220
Performance Fund	36	32	33	34	35	169
Seven day railway	0	52	53	55	56	217
Safety and Environment rollover	97	14	5	0	0	116
CP5 development fund	2	4	9	15	24	53
Access for All	49	43	47	49	45	232
King's Cross	126	106	72	11	14	329
Thameslink Programme	541	598	756	517	501	2,913
Birmingham New Street Gateway project	1	2	16	85	31	135
East Coast Main Line overhead line electrification	2	6	9	10	10	37
St Pancras - Sheffield linespeed improvements	5	30	30	2	0	67
Nottingham resignalling	0	1	1	8	0	11
North London Line capacity enhancement	20	19	24	3	0	67
Station security	5	3	3	4	3	18
Crossrail and Reading*	45	91	146	139	93	514
Train lengthening - southern	28	68	98	109	47	350
Power supply upgrade	12	23	32	35	29	131
Southern capacity	3	7	6	13	16	45
East Coast Main Line improvements	12	52	133	177	183	557
Western improvements programme	32	41	10	6	5	95
West Coast Main Line committed schemes	25	56	129	105	180	495
Midlands improvements programme	6	13	16	23	25	83
Northern urban centres - Yorkshire	8	27	34	19	0	88
Northern urban centres - Manchester	4	14	22	26	20	87
Liverpool – Leeds linespeed improvements	1	6	8	9	6	30
Total England and Wales	1,183	1,459	1,871	1,680	1,552	7,747

* The amounts shown here only include the Reading element of the integrated Crossrail and Reading programme since Crossrail is not funded through the periodic review.

Delivery Plan (£m 09/10 prices)	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	CP4 Total
Scotland						
Airdrie – Bathgate	151	50	1	0	0	202
Glasgow Airport Rail Link	40	54	47	14	0	155
Borders Rail	0	0	1	1	1	3
Glasgow to Kilmaronock	15	0	0	0	0	15
Tier 3 project development	3	3	3	2	2	14
Scottish small projects	4	4	4	4	4	21
Total Scotland	214	111	56	21	8	410

Grand total	1,397	1,570	1,927	1,701	1,560	8,157
--------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

The expenditure limits for each package are subject to further refinement. As projects are developed and delivered we will manage the allocation of available funding through internal, Network Rail change control.

The programme packages

In the next section of this document we set out:

- a definition of each work package; and
- a definition of each constituent project defining any project-specific obligations, project scope, outputs, significant interfaces, key assumptions and project activities and milestones.

The packages are summarised in the tables below.

England & Wales		
Programme – funds	Constituent projects	ID no.
Network Rail Discretionary Fund (NRDF)	Programme to be confirmed during CP4. Candidate schemes have been identified.	1.00
National Stations Improvement Programme (NSIP)	Stations within the programme are determined by cross-industry local delivery groups.	2.00
Strategic Freight Network (SFN)	Felixstowe Nuneaton freight capacity	3.01
	Southampton to Basingstoke W10 diversionary route	3.02
	Channel Tunnel – south of London route fund	3.03
	In-fill gauge projects fund	3.04
	Train lengthening projects fund	3.05
Performance fund	Scope of work still to be determined during CP4. Development of proposals being undertaken with train operators.	4.00
Seven Day Railway	Scope of work still to be determined during CP4. Prioritisation of proposals to be undertaken, overseen by industry governance group.	5.00
CP5 development fund	Candidate schemes to be determined during CP4.	6.00
Safety and Environment (S&E) fund	Carry over of funding from CP3 for projects including level crossing closure, junction lighting and improved access points, and metering to improve energy efficiency.	7.00
Access for All	Stations selected by DfT and Transport Scotland as part of a rolling programme.	8.00

England & Wales		
Programme – major projects	Constituent projects	ID no.
King's Cross	Single integrated project	9.00
West Coast Main Line committed schemes	Bletchley remodelling project	10.01
	WCRM traction power supply upgrade	10.02
	Stafford / Colwich remodelling project	10.03
Thameslink	Programmes of work related to delivery of key outputs 0, 1 and 2.	11.00
Intercity Express Programme (IEP)	Programmes of work on the East Coast and Great Western routes to facilitate introduction of IEP rolling stock.	12.00
Crossrail and Reading	Crossrail	13.01
	Reading area redevelopment	13.02
	Reading southern platforms	13.03
Birmingham New Street	Single integrated project	14.00

England & Wales		
Programme – defined outputs	Constituent projects	ID no.
Train lengthening - southern	Route 3 - Waterloo International integration	15.01
	Route 6 - 12-car capability on the Tilbury Loop and Ockendon Branch	15.02
	Route 5 - West Anglia outer 12-coach trains	15.03
	Route 3 - 10-car south west suburban railway	15.04
	Route 3 - Clapham Junction station capacity and platform lengthening	15.05
	Route 2 - suburban area 10-car operations to Victoria and London Bridge	15.06
	Route 1 – Train lengthening Kent	15.07-15.13
Power supply upgrade	Route 1 - Power supply enhancements	16.01
	Route 1 - New Cross enhancement to power supply	16.02
	Route 2 - Power supply enhancements	16.03
	Route 3 - Power supply enhancements	16.04
	Route 5 - Power supply enhancements	16.05
	Route 6 - Power supply enhancements	16.06
	Route 7 - Power supply enhancements DC regeneration	16.07 16.08
Southern capacity	Route 2 - Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity	17.01
	Route 2 - East Croydon passenger capacity scheme	17.02
	Route 5 - Seven Sisters improved access	17.03
East Coast Main Line improvements	Route 8 - Capacity relief to the ECML (GN/GE Joint Line)	18.01
	Route 8 - Peterborough station area capacity enhancements	18.02
	Route 8 - Alexandra Palace to Finsbury Park 3rd Up line project	18.03
	Route 8 - Finsbury Park – Alexandra Palace 3 rd Down line improvements	18.04
	Route 8 - ECML level crossings	18.05
	Route 8 - Hitchin grade separation	18.06
	Route 8 - York Holgate Junction 4th line	18.07
	Route 8 - Shaftholme Junction re-modelling	18.08
	Route 8 - FCC train lengthening	18.10
ECML OLE	Route 8 - To be delivered as part of the renewals programme	19.00
St Pancras - Sheffield linespeed improvements	Route 19 - Stand alone scheme	20.00
Nottingham resignalling	Route 19 - To be delivered as part of the renewals programme	21.00
Midlands improvements programme	Route 17 - Bromsgrove electrification	22.01
	Route 17 - Redditch branch enhancement	22.02
	Route 16 / 17 – Line speed improvements: Wrexham to London Marylebone	22.03
	Route 16 – South Ruislip loop	22.04
	Route 17 - Train lengthening	22.05
	Route 19 - East Midlands train lengthening	22.06

England & Wales Programme – defined outputs (continued)	Constituent projects	ID no.
Northern urban centres (a) Yorkshire	Route 10 - Capacity improvements (Leeds area)	23.01
	Route 11 - South Yorkshire - train lengthening	23.02
	Route 11 - South Yorkshire - stabling for Northern	23.03
Northern urban centres (b) Manchester	Route 20 - Platform lengthening	24.01
	Route 20 - Stabling for Northern	24.02
	Route 20 - Salford Crescent station redevelopment	24.03
	Route 20 - Capacity enhancement package	24.04
Northern urban centres (c) Liverpool – Leeds LSI	Route 10 / 20 – Trans-Pennine line speed improvements	25.00
Western improvements programme	Route 15 - Barry - Cardiff Queen St corridor	26.01
	Route 13 - Cotswold Line re-doubling	26.02
	Route 13 – Westerleigh Junction - Barnt Green linespeed increase	26.03
	Route 13 - Maidenhead and Twyford (relief lines)	26.04

England & Wales Programme - other	Constituent projects	ID no.
North London Line capacity enhancement	Route 6 - Single integrated project	27.00
GSM-R coverage of freight only lines	To be delivered as part of the renewals programme	28.00
Station security	Enhanced station security at Network Rail's managed stations	29.00

Scotland		
Programme - funds	Constituent projects	ID no.
Tier 3 project development	Schemes to be developed are to be agreed with Transport Scotland	30.00
Small projects fund	Candidate schemes to be determined during CP4	31.00

Scotland		
Programme - specified	Constituent projects	ID no.
Scotland projects	Route 24 / 26 - Airdrie - Bathgate	32.01
	Route 26 - Glasgow Airport Rail Link	32.02
	Route 24 - Borders Rail	32.03
	Route 26 - Glasgow to Kilmarnock	32.04

Scotland		
Programme - other	Constituent projects	ID no.
Other Transport Scotland Tier 3 schemes	EGIP, Highlands Main Line Improvements and New Rolling Stock projects	33.00

England and Wales

CP4 enhancement programme

Network Rail Discretionary Fund (NRDF)

Network Rail's obligation

The fund is a mechanism for funding minor schemes which can either be linked to renewals or stand-alone schemes, which have a positive whole-industry business case. It is primarily aimed at schemes that will result in an increase in the capacity or capability of the network.

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet the following criteria:

- it provides a positive industry-wide business case in terms of the NPV; and
- the net cost of the scheme (i.e. the amount that will be drawn down from the NRDF) must not exceed £5 million, without the prior agreement of DfT.

Our obligation is to work with stakeholders to identify the best use of available funds and to deliver the schemes that are funded through NRDF. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Governance

The Head of Network Planning is the fund holder for NRDF. Authorisation of draw down and spend is as set out in Network Rail's Investment Regulations but schemes are required to have been supported at the appropriate Route Strategy Planning Group (Network Rail's internal cross-functional group where local investment opportunities are reviewed) and will generally have been discussed at Route Investment Review Group (at which Network Rail shares its forward renewals plans with TOCs and FOCs and discusses opportunities for enhancements to the network). We propose to use RIPG to take an oversight on the use of NRDF funds, although the use of funds and delivery of projects will still be driven through local engagement. This process involves consultation with the relevant train operators.

Eligibility rules

Schemes with a total cost in excess of £5 million are eligible where additional funding is provided by Network Rail or others to ensure the draw down on the NRDF is within this limit.

The fund is not intended to support investments where the benefits to individual stakeholders are sufficient to warrant them funding the scheme directly. Therefore where the benefits of a scheme:

- will accrue wholly to a single third party, it would generally be funded as a third party scheme; or
- are sufficient for Network Rail to justify funding the scheme, we would be expected to fund it ourselves.

Approval from ORR is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file. As ORR's acceptance criteria includes efficient delivery it is most important that the efficiency rigour that is applied to all stages of a renewal scheme are also applied to NRDF schemes.

Dialogue with ORR may be required where the implementation of a scheme would have an adverse impact on the profits or cash flow of an industry partner.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a value for money assessment (using a methodology agreed with ORR and DfT) and considers the financial impact on each affected industry partner and the socio-economic benefits to society.

An outline (qualitative) appraisal of the likely value to be delivered by the scheme should be carried out as early as possible in the development of the scheme, no later than the completion of GRIP stage 1. A more detailed (usually quantitative) appraisal should be completed at the end of GRIP stage 3.

Schemes will be judged against a "hurdle rate" expressed in terms of a target Benefit to Cost Ratio and other criteria set from time to time to assist in the allocation of the available funding.

Draw down from the fund

The amount that will be drawn down from the NRDF as a result of implementing the scheme (the scheme cost) is determined as follows:

- for stand-alone schemes, the scheme cost is that determined at the completion of GRIP stage 5 (including risk and contingency allowances and net of any third party contributions); and
- for enhancements linked to a renewal scheme the percentage of the overall scheme cost which is attributable to the enhancement is identified at GRIP stage 3. This percentage would then be applied to the actual completed scheme cost to determine the amount of NRDF funding required.

Schemes which can be funded by the NRDF

It is expected that most schemes will involve incremental enhancements linked to renewals as this is likely to provide the greatest value for money. However, stand-alone enhancement schemes are also possible, including those part-funded by third-parties.

The fund can be used for improvement initiatives that deliver:

- improvements in train service performance that will benefit more than one party. This does not include initiatives that deliver sufficient schedule 8 benefits within a five year period to cover the scheme costs, as we would be expected to fund these schemes;
- reduction in train journey times, possibly as a result of line speed improvements. Schemes that reduce walking journey times at stations are also eligible. The latter can result from new entrances and exits to the station, which will be used by rail passengers;
- station facilities improvements such as providing waiting rooms, shelters and customer information systems. The benefits are attributed to the passengers who board or interchange at the station;
- platform lengthening (when part of a larger capacity change scheme); and
- enlargement of freight capability in a specific area for which there is specific demand.

This list is not intended to be exhaustive.

Schemes to be implemented in CP4

Candidate NRDF schemes are identified in the route plans. A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period.

Schemes authorised to draw down from the fund

Beccles loop	Manchester Piccadilly platform 13/14
Fambridge loop extension	North Pole turnback facility
Oxford south facing bay platform	Northampton station platform extensions
Salisbury signalling enhancements	Oxford down goods loop
Waterloo East second entrance	Purley removal of double block
Wembley Central platform extension	Robin Hood performance improvements
Billingshurst platform extension	Seaforth 9'6" gauge clearance
Brigg Line freight enhancement	Shakespeare tunnel alterations
Bristol to Bath signalling enhancement	Shrewsbury platform 3 signalling
Bromley Junction approach control	Tunbridge Wells 12 car turnback
Crofton Road junction approach control	Wokingham turnback
Kettering South junction to Harrowden junction	Yeovil Junction – Exeter frequency enhancements
Leicester North/Trend South line speed improvements	Corby reinstate passenger service

National Stations Improvement Programme (NSIP)

Network Rail's obligation

The NSIP programme is a joint industry initiative funded primarily by the DfT. The five year programme aims to deliver £165 million worth of station improvements to a minimum of 150 medium sized stations in England and Wales (excluding Network Rail managed stations) through CP4.

Our obligation is to work with stakeholders to identify the best use of available funds and to deliver the proposed programme of station works developed by the cross-industry local delivery groups.

Objective

The core objective of the NSIP programme is to achieve a noticeable improvement to the passenger perception of stations by focusing on high footfall, low passenger satisfaction stations. A wider aim of the programme is to develop a more effective, coordinated approach for the planning and delivery of activities at stations by all stakeholders, thereby improving efficiency and value for money in station investments.

Governance

The Director, Operations and Customer Service is the fund holder for NSIP. Authorisation of draw down and spend is as set out in Network Rail's Investment Regulations.

Scope of works

In order to ensure that the NSIP programme delivers lasting improvements, a design guide was produced. The design guide makes recommendations of the types of works which should be considered in order to ensure that the core objective of the NSIP programme is achieved. Examples of the types of works recommended for the NSIP programme include:

- customer comfort, security and safety e.g. good standard of seats, good standard of station canopies, adequate CCTV;
- customer information and way finding including core station signage, concentrated passenger information and help points and clocks;
- customer facilities including customer toilets, retail facilities and customer waiting shelters; and
- introduction of modular assets.

Any works that are being undertaken as part of the NSIP programme of works must be incremental and not part of the TOCs' or Network Rail's wider obligations.

Significant interfaces

Each Local Delivery Group (LDG), as part of the NSIP programme, will attempt to maximise third party funding.

Each LDG will integrate with, and gain synergies from, other programmes of works e.g. Access for All, 3rd party works, commercial developments, other projects (e.g. Thameslink), TOC franchise commitments and renewals to deliver the right and efficient overall solution for the station.

Each LDG has its own specific programme.

The current list of stations being considered for NSIP funding is shown below, by Station Facility Owner (SFO).

2.00 Programme definition – National Stations Improvement Programme

SFO	Stations
Arriva Trains Wales	Abercynon, Abergavenny, Aberystwyth, Bangor, Barry Dock, Bidston, Caldicot, Cardiff Central, Cardiff Queen Street, Carmarthen, Chester, Dinas Powys, Dinas Rhondda, Frodsham, Haverfordwest, Helsby, Hereford, Heswall, Llanbradach, Llandudno Town, Llanelli, Llwynypia, Ludlow, Milford Haven, Nantwich, Neston, Penrhiwceiber, Pontypool & New Inn, Pontypridd, Port Talbot Parkway, Porthmadog, Pyle, Quakers Yard, Phyl, Severn Tunnel, Shrewsbury, Swansea High Street, Ton Pentre, Trefforest, Ty Glas, Wem, Whitland, Ystrad Rhondda.
Arriva Trains Wales (CIS locations)	Chepstow, Chirk, Church Stretton, Craven Arms, Dingle Road, Eastbrook, Gobowen, Hengoed, Leominster, Lydney, Maesteg, Mountain Ash, Pembrey and Burry Port, Pencoed, Pontyclun, Rhymney, Ruabon, Runcorn East, Tenby, Tonypany, Treorchy, Welshpool, Whitchurch.
C2C	Basildon, Chalkwell, Chafford Hundred, Limehouse, Ockendon, Shoeburyness, Southend East, Upminster.
Chiltern Railways	Aylesbury Town, Gerrards Cross, Leamington Spa, Princes Risborough, Warwick, Wendover.
EM Stagecoach	Alfreton, Burton on Trent, Derby, Kettering, Leicester, Long Eaton, Loughborough, Skegness.
First Great Western	Castle Carey, Cheltenham Spa, Chippenham, Didcot Parkway, Exeter Central, Exeter St Davids, Gloucester, Newbury, Newton Abbott, Penzance, Slough, Swindon, Truro, Westbury, Weston-super Mare.
First Capital Connect	Bedford, Finsbury Park, Flitwick, Harpenden, Haringey, Hatfield, Hitchin, Kentish Town, Leagrave, Mill Hill Broadway, Potters Bar, Royston, St Albans, Stevenage, Welwyn Garden City, West Hampstead.
London Midland	Berkhamsted, Bloxwich, Bloxwich North, Cannock, Hednesford, Kidderminster, Landywood, Milton Keynes Central, Rugeley Town, Tamworth, Telford, University, Watford Junction, Worcester Foregate Str.
Merseyrail	Hall Road, Hooton, Kirkdale, Liverpool Central, Ormskirk, Rice Lane, Rock Ferry, Walton, Waterloo.
National Express	Berwick upon Tweed, Darlington, Grantham, Newark, Peterborough, Retford.
National Express - East Anglia	Billericay, Bishops Stortford, Brentwood, Mark Tey, Cambridge, Chelmsford, Colchester, Gidea park, Harold Wood, Ilford, Rayleigh, Romford, Seven Sisters, Southend Victoria, Waltham Cross, Wickford, Witham, Wood Street.
Northern	Accrington, Altrincham, Blackburn, Bolton, Bradford Interchange, Halifax, Harrogate, Hartlepool, Huyton, Manchester Oxford Road, Manchester Victoria, Mexborough, Rochdale, Skipton, Wakefield Kirkgate.
South West Trains	Andover, Basingstoke, Clapham Junction, Earlsfield, Eastleigh, Fareham, Farnham, Fleet, Fratton, Haslemere, Havant, Hersham, Honiton, Hounslow, New Malden, Putney, Salisbury, Southampton Central, Staines, Surbiton, Twickenham, Vauxhall, Wandsworth Town, Weymouth, Wimbledon, Winchester, Wokingham.
Southeastern	Ashford International, Brixton, Bromley South, Canterbury West, Chatham, Crayford, Dartford, Denmark Hill, Deptford, Dover Priory, Folkestone Central, Gillingham, Gravesend, Lewisham, Margate, Northfleet, Paddock Wood, Rochester, Sevenoaks, Sittingbourne, Strood, Swanley, Tonbridge, Tunbridge Wells, Waterloo East, Woolwich Arsenal.
Southern	Ashted, Balham, Crystal Palace, East Grinstead, Gipsy Hill, Hassocks, Horsham, Norbury, Norwood Junction, Peckham Rye, Queens Road Peckham, Selhurst, Smitham, Streatham Hill, Uckfield, West Croydon, West Norwood.
TransPennine Express	Barrow in Furness, Dewsbury, Grimsby, Huddersfield, Middlesbrough, Northallerton, Scarborough, Selby, Stalybridge, Warrington Central.
Virgin Trains	Carlisle, Preston, Runcorn, Wigan, Wolverhampton.

Strategic Freight Network (SFN)

Network Rail's obligations

Our obligation is to work with stakeholders to identify the best use of available funds and to deliver schemes that are funded by the SFN programme.

Objective

The DfT announced in its HLOS (July 2007) funding to facilitate the implementation of a strategic freight network. The objective is to enhance the network used by freight trains and reduce conflict between freight and passenger traffic.

Governance

The Head of Network Planning is the fund holder for SFN. Authorisation of draw down and spend is as set out in Network Rail's Investment Regulations but schemes are required to have been supported by the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group (SFNSG). This cross-industry group oversees the development of the SFN and consists of representatives from DfT, the Welsh Assembly Government, Transport Scotland, Freightliner, DB Schenker, GB Railfreight, DRS, the Freight Transport Association, Rail Freight Group and ATOC.

The SFNSG will oversee the prioritisation of schemes and allocation of funding for scheme development and delivery.

Scope of works

The first stage of the SFN is defined as:

- capacity for 24 train paths per day from Ipswich to Peterborough, an increment of 16 trains per day beyond that specified by the Transport Innovation Fund works; and
- W10 gauge clearance of a diversionary route between Southampton and Basingstoke.

Funding has been allocated for the delivery of the following:

- in-fill gauge schemes;
- schemes to enable running of longer freight trains; and
- a scheme to facilitate operation of freight trains south of London.

Freight studies will be commissioned and delivered as directed.

As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from these funds as we progress through the control period.

Project definition: Felixstowe to Nuneaton freight capacity scheme

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The upgrade required to deliver the outputs will include the following physical works:

- provision of a bi-directional chord line between the East Suffolk Line and Great Eastern Main Line known as 'Bacon Factory Curve' to enable cross country intermodal traffic to bypass Ipswich Yard; and
- provision of two 775m loops on the east side of Ely station for regulation of intermodal freight trains heading towards Peterborough over Ely North Junction and towards Ipswich over the single line section to Soham.

Any level crossing interventions required will be identified during the GRIP 4 single option development works.

Outputs

The principal output expected in CP4 is capacity to enable a total of 24 intermodal freight trains per day to operate in each direction between Ipswich and Peterborough, an increment of 16 trains per day beyond that specified by the Transport Innovation Fund works. Active provision will be made for 775m trains.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces with:

- the HPUK Ltd scheme to provide lengthening at Ipswich Yard and double Felixstowe branch line capacity;
- Peterborough station remodelling;
- Peterborough Nuneaton gauge (W10);
- seven day railway;
- platform extension works;
- Water-Orton resignalling;
- Nuneaton North Chord; and
- Kennett signal box intermediate block signals.

Key assumptions

A Transport Works Act (TWA) is likely to be required for the proposed Bacon Factory Curve, and may be required for the loops at Ely.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
GRIP 4 completion	June 2011
Submission of applications for TWA where appropriate	June 2011
Start on site (subject to TWA)	Sept. 2012
Implementation complete (subject to TWA)	March 2014

Project definition: Southampton to Basingstoke W10 diversionary route

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The works will include the following:

ELR	From	To
BML2	Millbrook 80m 27c	Redbridge Junction 81m 76c
RTJ1	Redbridge Junction 23m 31c	Romsey 18m 16c
RTJ2	Romsey 80m 35c	Laverstock South Junction 95m 61c
LAV	Laverstock South Junction 82m 39c	Laverston North Junction 82m 05c
BAE1	Laverstock North Junction 82m 05c	Worting Junction 50m 21c
ECR	Eastleigh East Junction 73m 35ch	Romsey 80m 35ch

ELR	Structure	Proposed Solution	Mileage
BAE1	Overbridge 144, St Johns Road	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	51m 48c
BAE1	Overbridge 146, Church Acre	Bridge reconstruction	52m 02c
BAE1	Overbridge 147, Dickers	Slue required, Up Line	52m 17c
BAE1	Overbridge 151, Dean	Bridge reconstruction	52m 51c
BAE1	Overton Station	Clear	55m 39c
BAE1	Overbridge 156, Foxdown	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	55m 58c
BAE1	Overbridge 157, Court Drove	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	56m 07c
BAE1	Overbridge 158, Northington Farm	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	56m 55c
BAE1	Overbridge 162, Taskers	Bridge reconstruction	57m 57c
BAE1	Overbridge 163, Frefolk	Bridge reconstruction	57m 75c
BAE1	Overbridge 164, Lunn	Bridge reconstruction	58m 16c
BAE1	Overbridge 167, Newbury Road	Bridge reconstruction	59m 00c
BAE1	Whitchurch Station	Canopy alteration required	59m 08c
BAE1	Overbridge 176, Apsley	Bridge reconstruction	61m 71c
BAE1	Overbridge 178, Wyke	Lower, slue, re-sleeper U/Lower, relay Down or bridge reconstruction or close	62m 55c
BAE1	Andover Station	Canopy works	66m 19c
BAE1	Overbridge 188, Weyhill Road	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	66m 55c
BAE1	Overbridge 193, Sarson Down	Bridge reconstruction	70m 16c
BAE1	Overbridge 204, Allington Road	Close or bridge reconstruction or track lower	76m 01c
BAE1	Overbridge 215, Burts	Bridge reconstruction	79m 45c

ELR	Structure	Proposed Solution	Mileage
BAE1	Overbridge 219, Broken Cross	Bridge reconstruction	80m 59c
RTJ2	Overbridge 40, New Road	Bridge reconstruction	90m 12c
RTJ2	Dean Station	Canopy alterations	88m 10c
RTJ2	Overbridge 33, Lockerly Green	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	86m 01c
RTJ2	Overbridge 29, Hat Hill Farm	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	84m 59c
RTJ2	Overbridge 25, Rookwood Copse	Close or bridge reconstruction	82m 11c
RTJ2	Overbridge 24, Lone Barn	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	81m 65c
RTJ2	Overbridge 23, Old Salisbury/Dukes Mead	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	81m 59c
RTJ2	Romsey Station	Canopy alterations	80m 47c
RTJ1	Overbridge 32, Ashfield	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	19m 27c
RTJ1	Overbridge 33, Four Lanes	Track lower or bridge reconstruction	19m 63c
RTJ1	Overbridge 34, Lee Drove	Close or track lower or bridge reconstruction	20m 26c
RTJ1	Overbridge 35, Coldharbour Lane	Bridge reconstruction	20m 53c
RTJ1	Overbridge 38, Belvers	Bridge reconstruction	22m 02c
RTJ1	Overbridge 40, Bournemouth Road	Minor Slue to get W10 + 50 or relay and slue to get W10 + 100	23m 19c

Outputs

The project will clear the diversionary route from Southampton to Basingstoke via Andover to W10 gauge.

Significant interfaces

Works will be planned to minimise disruption in conjunction with the W10 gauge clearance Southampton to West Midlands project.

Key assumptions

All works will be carried out by Network Rail under permitted development rights.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
Commencement of work on site	December 2010
Completion of work	March 2014

Project definition: Channel Tunnel south of London route fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to work with stakeholders to identify the best use of available funds and to deliver schemes that are funded by this programme. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The fund is aimed at schemes that will be progressed as the first step towards the development of the CT3 route enabling Channel Tunnel traffic to go via Redhill, Reading and beyond.

Governance

The fund holder is the Head of Network Planning. Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations but schemes are required to have been supported at the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Eligibility rules

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet following criteria:

- it must provide a contribution to the development of the above route; and
- it must be consistent with the development of the Strategic Freight Network as defined in Section 5.6 and Map 15 of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document to the SBP update.

It is desirable that the schemes will provide re-routeing benefits. These will be measured with respect to the following criteria:

- improvement in traffic mix; and
- reduction in route mileage.

The total cost of the selected schemes to the SFN should not exceed the value of the fund. If third party contributions are available then the fund can exceed this value to the extent of the contributions, provided these contributions are committed before the end of GRIP stage 3.

Approval from ORR is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file. As ORR's acceptance criteria includes efficient delivery it is most important that the efficiency rigour that is applied to all stages of a renewal scheme are also applied to SFN schemes.

Dialogue with ORR may be required where the implementation of a scheme would have an adverse impact on the profits or cash flow of an industry partner.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a consideration of costs and scoring system for benefits as outlined in Appendix B of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document for the SBP update in April 2008. Other criteria may be set from time to time to assist in the allocation of funding as agreed by the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Schemes to be implemented in CP4

A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period. Further information will be provided by Q4 2009.

Project definition: In-fill gauge projects fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The fund is primarily aimed at schemes that will result in an increase in the W10 gauge (and wherever possible W12) cleared network in England and Wales.

Governance

The fund holder is the Head of Network Planning. Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations but schemes are required to have been supported at the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Eligibility rules

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet following criteria:

- the scheme must provide additional capacity for W10 / W12 traffic. This could be on a core or diversionary route; and
- the scheme must be consistent with the development of the Strategic Freight Network as defined in Section 5.6 and Map 15 of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document to the SBP update.

Wherever possible schemes will provide re-routeing benefits. These will be measured with respect to the following criteria:

- improvement in traffic mix; and
- reduction in route mileage.

The total cost of the selected schemes to the SFN should not exceed the value of the fund. If third party contributions are available then the fund can exceed this value to the extent of the contributions, provided these contributions are committed before the end of GRIP stage 3.

The fund is not intended to support investments where the benefits to individual stakeholders are sufficient to warrant them funding the scheme directly. Therefore where the benefits of a scheme:

- will accrue wholly to a single third party, it would generally be funded as a third party scheme; or
- are sufficient for Network Rail to justify funding the scheme, we would be expected to fund it ourselves.

Approval from ORR is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file. As ORR's acceptance criteria includes efficient delivery it is most important that the efficiency rigour that is applied to all stages of a renewal scheme are also applied to SFN schemes.

Dialogue with ORR may be required where the implementation of a scheme would have an adverse impact on the profits or cash flow of an industry partner.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a consideration of costs and scoring system for benefits as outlined in Appendix B of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document for the SBP update April 2008. Other criteria may be set from time to time to assist in the allocation of funding as agreed by the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Schemes under consideration

It is expected that most schemes will involve gauge enhancements to W10 to allow the carriage of 9'6" containers on conventional wagons. It is recommended that W12 gauge (which in many cases involves

only a small amount of incremental work over W10 clearance) is considered as a starting point when a structure is renewed on the routes chosen.

A number of schemes are currently being considered for funding from this source. The list below sets out the industry agreed prioritisation of these schemes. The funding is subject to confirmation that the scheme complies with the rules above:

- Water Orton to Doncaster via Castle Donnington, the Erewash Valley and Beighton;
- London to Peterborough via the Hertford Loop on the ECML;
- European gauge from Exchange Sidings near barking to terminals in the vicinity; and
- ECML north to Berwick upon Tweed.

Project definition: Train lengthening projects fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The fund is aimed at schemes that will increase the network on which longer freight trains can operate in England and Wales.

Governance

The fund holder is the Head of Network Planning. Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations but schemes are required to have been supported at the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Eligibility rules

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet following criteria:

- it must provide additional capability for the operation of longer trains, if possible to 775m in length; and
- it must be consistent with the development of the Strategic Freight Network as defined in Section 5.6 and Map 15 of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document to the SBP update.

It is desirable (but not essential) that the schemes will provide re-routeing benefits. These will be measured with respect to the following criteria:

- improvement in traffic mix; and
- reduction in route mileage.

The total cost of the selected schemes to the SFN should not exceed the value of the fund. If third party contributions are available then the fund can exceed this value to the extent of the contributions, provided these contributions are committed before the end of GRIP stage 3.

Approval from ORR is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file. As ORR's acceptance criteria includes efficient delivery it is most important that the efficiency rigour that is applied to all stages of a renewal scheme are also applied to SFN schemes.

Dialogue with ORR may be required where the implementation of a scheme would have an adverse impact on the profits or cash flow of an industry partner.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a consideration of costs and scoring system for benefits as outlined in Appendix B of the Strategic Freight Network supporting document for the SBP update April 2008. Other criteria may be set from time to time to assist in the allocation of funding as agreed by the Strategic Freight Network Steering Group.

Schemes under consideration

It is expected that schemes will ensure that selected routes on the national network will be made capable of carrying longer trains from key origins to selected destinations.

A number of schemes are currently being considered for funding from this source. The list below gives two examples of these schemes. It is not exhaustive and the funding is subject to confirmation that the scheme complies with the rules above:

- Mendips to Acton; and
- Hope Valley to London.

A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period with further detail available December 2009.

Performance Fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The objective of the fund is to facilitate performance improvement activity to deliver performance levels beyond that anticipated to be achieved by our core asset management policies and enhancement projects in order to deliver the performance targets required by the 2008 Periodic Review. The fund relates to the achievement of the regulatory outputs for England and Wales.

Governance

The fund holder is the Head of Operational Planning. Authorisation of draw down and spend is as set out in Network Rail's Investment Regulations. There are two key elements to the process for use of the funds:

- distribution of the fund to routes (plus a small central fund) as budget holders in relation to initiatives on each route and on behalf of operators; and
- creation of business cases by measuring the value of trains that achieve the PPM and / or CaSL thresholds.

Route teams will be responsible for management of the investment, prioritising activity towards key areas and services and coordinating work for lead TOCs. A positive business case is likely to form only part of the investment criteria and not all schemes with positive business cases will be implemented.

Potential initiatives for 2009/10

A portfolio of initiatives is expected with a range of ease of application and benefits. Specific opportunity is expected to be taken to consider larger schemes or progress some analytical / data improvement work which may take longer to implement but will yield higher eventual benefits. Both opex and capex based schemes are applicable. The following list highlights potential initiatives (not prioritised) planned for delivery in 2009/10.

Short term focus

- Localised cable theft and fatality reduction work;
- ARS improvements;
- autumn and weather mitigation;
- UPS and alert monitoring equipment;
- better equipment for response teams; strategic spares;
- staff training and competence;
- focussed component replacement;
- cable replacement before life expiry to reduce performance risk; and
- line speed improvements in depots.

Longer term focus

In the longer term the focus will be on capital investment – e.g. fleet maintenance improvements, better placed accommodation and analysis and development of longer term solutions such as improved data, autumn effects and climate.

Schemes to be implemented in CP4

A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period.

Seven Day Railway fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The purpose of this fund is to provide incremental funding to provide investment and operating expenditure to make progress towards delivering the seven day railway concept. The precise output will evolve in light of further discussion with operators at a local level.

The possession disruption measures are new and there remains considerable uncertainty about the trajectories that can realistically be achieved. ORR will therefore need to assess whether the availability targets are reasonable based on actual results as CP4 progresses.

Governance

The fund holder is the Head of Route Planning. Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations.

The planning and implementation of the seven day railway project is organised on a line of route basis, with an Operations & Customer Services programme manager responsible for each route. The programme managers have worked at local level with TOCs and FOCs to define the type of network availability outputs which would meet their aspirations, and with colleagues in Maintenance and Infrastructure Investment to understand the types of interventions which would be required to deliver these aspirations.

This information has been captured in a portfolio of route remits. Indicative estimates for the costs of these enhancements are in the process of being calculated. However, it is already known (from the estimates received to date) that the total forecast cost will be higher than the funding available. A set of criteria has been agreed by the Industry Governance Group (the cross-industry group which includes TOCs, FOCs, ORR, DfT, Transport Scotland and Network Rail) for determining the suitability of enhancements and the prioritisation of funding for delivering the network availability outputs.

Eligibility rules

The industry governance group will determine those routes (or sections of route) for which the seven day railway funds can be applied. National projects will demonstrate that they will provide particular benefits to those specific routes. Specific route based projects will demonstrate that they contribute to an improvement in the availability of the infrastructure as measured by the PDI-P (passenger possession disruption index) and at worst hold constant the PDI-F (freight possession disruption index).

Network Rail will undertake modelling, and provide a summary of the results of the modelling on each route, to demonstrate the effect the proposed changes will have on capacity, performance reliability and journey times for passenger and freight services and it must be demonstrated that the seven day railway proposals do not have any adverse material effect in any of these areas.

The aim is that no individual operator will be materially disadvantaged as the result of a proposed seven day railway project.

Some projects will have been assessed on the basis of delivering benefits in other areas (e.g. performance projects), but may also provide benefits towards the seven day railway. Seven day railway funds will not be used to support these projects. If the project scope is extended beyond the original scope and budget is provided for additional seven day railway benefits then the funds may be used for this.

Seven day railway funding will be available only where there is a systemic change in a methodology or process that brings long term sustainable benefits. Funding will not be permitted for one off projects that do not deliver longer term benefits.

Where funding is sought due to an increase in costs as a result of a change in possession arrangements then the evaluation will analyse the costs using the current access regime compared with a seven day railway access regime. Seven day railway funding will not be considered for projects where the possession regime is determined as part of the normal consultation/negotiation process between Network Rail and the affected operators.

Funding will not be provided when the project can demonstrate it is self funded i.e. by offsetting all project costs against savings on performance/Schedule 4 payments.

Scope of works

Securing some of the benefits relies on national initiatives which are already planned, such as changes to maintenance processes, modular track renewals and high output. Others require additional enhancement funding, and proposals now exist for all the routes as to the projects for which they would see this funding being used. The types of enhancements considered are:

- additional crossovers to facilitate bi-direction operation;
- infill bi-directional or Simbids signalling;
- installation of additional tracks (or upgrading of existing loops or sidings to passenger standard);
- provision of platform faces at stations that do not have platforms on all lines;
- minor capacity improvements (e.g. additional signal);
- changes to OLE sectioning to facilitate isolations for possessions;
- motorising ground frames;
- providing independent electrical feeds to depots;
- providing controls at level crossings for reverse direction running;
- additional lineside access points;
- junction lighting (to enable night-time inspections);
- new/additional plant required as a result of changing working methods/adjacent line operation;
- provision of protective warning systems (LOWs etc); and
- upgraded remote condition monitoring systems.

Schemes to be implemented in CP4

A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period. We are currently developing a number of candidate schemes to GRIP stage 3. It will take approximately six months to develop the schemes to this GRIP stage, at which point greater definition of project proposals, including milestones and costs, will be provided.

CP5 Development fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund. As part of the process of updating the CP4 Delivery Plan we will routinely provide a list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund as we progress through the control period.

Objective

The fund will be used to develop schemes which are considered likely to be required and funded for delivery during CP5 as part of the next periodic review.

Governance

The Head of Network Planning is the fund holder for the CP5 Development Fund. Authorisation of draw down and spend is as set out in Network Rail's Investment Regulations. Schemes will be prioritised by Network Rail following discussion with customers and funders at the appropriate industry planning forums including RIPG. Qualifying schemes will generally have been discussed with DfT as part of the HLOS(2) development process or will be in support of joint industry activity to plan for CP5. The Head of Network Planning is responsible for maintaining a forward programme for disbursement of the fund to provide clarity on the use of the fund throughout CP4. We propose to use RIPG to provide an oversight on the use of the CP5 Development fund.

Eligibility rules

The fund will be used to develop schemes not otherwise funded in CP4 through the PR08 settlement, and which are considered likely to be required, and funded for delivery primarily during CP5. The fund would generally cover early stage development costs and separate funding would generally be required for detailed design work and other significant costs such as TWA processes.

Appraisal

CP5 Development fund schemes will be subject to the value for money test appropriate to the type of scheme under consideration.

Schemes to be developed in CP4

A list of schemes authorised to draw down from the fund will be provided as we progress through the control period.

Safety and Environment fund

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from this fund.

Objective

Network Rail's funding for CP3 included a fund for safety and environment enhancements to meet legal requirements. Our objective is to deliver the remaining schemes authorised from this fund/

Scope of works

However, recognising that some safety enhancement schemes initiated within CP3 would not be completed until CP4, the PR08 final determinations include a safety and environment roll-over fund of £116 million. This is for specific schemes started in CP3 and programmed for completion early in CP4. These are identified in the table below.

Category	Description
Energy efficiency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - on-train metering (Network Rail contribution) - non-traction metering - carbon reduction strategy - photovoltaic cells on Blackfriars Station roof
Environment protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - pollution prevention - sites of special scientific interest - lineside vegetation and habitat management
Infrastructure failure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - post Lambrigg improvements - Peascliffe Tunnel flood mitigation - Hampole Dyke scour protection
Level crossing closure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UWC closure programme - closure of footpath crossings - closure of barrow crossings - closure of Kirknewton AHB crossing
Passenger safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - trap point mitigation - fitment of enhanced security cameras at FGW stations
Crime	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - fitment of forward facing cameras to trains - demolition of redundant lineside buildings
Security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - enhancements to BTP HQ CCTV control hub - enhanced security at key operational locations
SPAD mitigation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SPAD mitigation
Vegetation management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - lineside tree surveys
Workforce safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - enhanced Network Rail fleet servicing and maintenance facilities - junction lighting improvements - access point improvements - enhanced catchpit covers
Workforce health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - excessive lever pulls in signal boxes - hoists at access points

Safety and environment improvements during CP4 are included in the asset policies and strategies and associated renewal funds. Other safety improvements will be delivered through specific enhancement schemes and their associated funding, identified within this document. There is therefore no specific safety and environment fund for CP4.

Access for All

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes that are authorised to draw down from the Access for All fund. See the scope of work defined below.

Objective

The Access for All Programme Consultation targeted a five per cent (125 stations) increase in accessible stations across the network by March 2015. At this time, Network Rail projected output suggests six per cent (145 stations) of the network will be made accessible with one per cent completed in CP3 and the remaining five per cent completed in CP4 and the first year of CP5. The Programme has now reached a stage at which 25 completions per year is achievable. However the move to Integrated Station Planning (ISP) requires a review of the CP4 Access for All portfolio against other Programmes such as renewals. It is expected that as part of this review the number of completions in the first year of CP4 will dip but this will be recovered to maintain the original output.

Station-specific outputs

The main output from this Programme is, for each station in scope, to achieve an unobstructed and obstacle free 'accessible route' within Network Rail controlled infrastructure, from at least one station entrance (usually the main one) and all drop-off points associated with that entrance, to each platform and between platforms served by passenger trains.

An accessible route is defined as:

- meeting all applicable areas of 'Accessible Train and Station Design for Disabled People Code of Practice' technical standards, except where dispensations have been agreed;
- a distance, ideally not exceeding 400m, from station entrance (or drop off point if further) to the appropriate point of entry/exit of trains at platforms; and
- a route for a manually self-propelled wheelchair user to negotiate.

Scope of works

The specific infrastructure required to achieve the output will be determined on a station by station basis. In the majority of cases the scope will be the provision of lifts or ramps to an existing, or new, footbridge/subway. In addition to new works, existing infrastructure on the accessible route will, as far as is practical, be upgraded to comply with the code. Examples of such upgrades include provision of colour contrasting compliant handrails to existing stairs; non slip surfacing to footbridges/stairs; and extended CIS and CCTV coverage on the accessible route.

Stations selected by DfT

Abergavenny	Finsbury Park	Laindon	Rotherham
Alnmouth	Fleet	Leighton Buzzard	Selly Oak
Audley End	Forest Hill	Leominster	Severn Tunnel Junction
Berkhamsted	Gloucester	Letchworth	Shirley
Bingley	Gospel Oak	Limehouse	Sittingbourne
Blackburn	Gravesend	Liverpool Central	St Erth
Blackheath	Grimsby Town	Long Eaton	Staines
Brentwood	Grove Park	Loughborough	Stalybridge
Bridgend	Harpenden	Luton	Staplehurst
Brockenhurst	Hassocks	Manchester Oxford Road	Streatham Common
Brockley	Hatfield	Marple	Strood
Bromley South	Hemel Hempstead	Metro Centre Gateshead	Sutton Coldfield
Burnham	Henley in Arden	Middlesbrough	Swanley
Camden Road	Hereford	Morpeth	Thornton Heath
Canterbury West	Highbury & Islington	Neath	Tilbury Town
Carlisle	Hitchin	New Cross	Tottenham Hale
Chadwell Heath	Honor Oak Park	New Cross Gate	Twickenham
Cheadle Hulme	Hooton	New Eltham	Vauxhall
Chippenham	Horley	New Malden	Walthamstow Central
Clapham Junction	Huddersfield	Northfield	Waterloo (Merseyside)
Denmark Hill	Huntingdon	Orrell Park	West Hampstead Thameslink
Dorking	Ilford	Peterborough	Winchester
Earlsfield	Ipswich	Pitsea	Worcester Park
Elstree & Borehamwood	Keighley	Prestatyn	Worcester Shrub Hill
Farnborough	Kew Gardens	Putney	Wrexham General

Stations selected by Transport Scotland

Cupar	Dalmuir	Linlithgow	Perth
Easterhouse	Hyndland	Montrose	Stirling

In respect of the Access for All Programme Network Rail is accountable to its customers and funders. Network Rail is responsible for:

- the development, planning, management and delivery of the customer's reasonable requirements for the Access for All Programme; and
- consultation with the customer and with train operators on its plans for delivery of the Access for All works to achieve the accessible route.

Physical works will be undertaken by various delivery groups including Network Rail and Train Operating Companies.

Significant interfaces

Access for All works are to be included in the ISPs developed by Network Rail and TOCs. Where no plan exists for stations in scope, the Access for All Programme will review all opportunities for integration with renewals, other enhancement works and works by TOCs.

A communications strategy is in place for the Programme and is reviewed on an ongoing basis to ensure all stakeholders issues and enquiries are being managed in an effective manner.

Key assumptions

A number of key assumptions have been made in arriving at the scope of works for CP4. These are:

- unallocated funding in CP3 is rolled into CP4;
- stations due to complete in late CP3 are not included (no slippage considered); and

- if the accelerated programme, completion March 2014, is adopted then budget can be drawn forward from CP5.

Activities and milestones

The ten year programme agreed with Government extends to March 2015, the first year of CP5. Subject to being allowed to draw forward budget from CP5 into CP4, we are developing an accelerated plan to complete all sites in CP4, namely March 2014. If this revised plan is agreed an additional five per cent of stations will have their access improved by the end of CP4.

King's Cross

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The enhancements at King's Cross station include a new western concourse with a significant increase in the footprint of the structure. A new mezzanine level will be created within the western concourse to provide retail and leisure facilities. The train shed and platforms will be refurbished and their roofs strengthened, painted and re-clad. Work beneath the station will take place to widen the services tunnels and modernise facilities. The key volumes of work are summarised below.

Volume	
Track	
Rail (km)	0.7
Sleepers (km)	0.7
Ballast (km)	0.7
S&C units	2
Signalling	
SEUs	17
OLE	
OLE (km)	0.7
Civils	
New platform (m)	300
New concourse and associated operational facilities (sq m)	8,000
Refurbished office space (sq m)	4,000
Photo-voltaic panels on roof (sq m)	2,500
Excavation for basements and service yards (cubic m)	52,000
Renewed main train shed roof (sq m)	20,000

Objective

The primary objective of the King's Cross project is to provide station capacity to handle passengers at peak times within a more attractive retail and transport interchange environment. It will provide a new western concourse, three times the size of the existing one. As well as providing better passenger circulation within the station, connectivity with London Underground and with St Pancras International will be substantially improved. This is in recognition of the growing overall demand coupled with the generative effect of the transfer of Eurostar and Thameslink services to St Pancras International.

It will also provide additional peak capacity into King's Cross by allowing the operation of additional long distance high speed and 12-car outer suburban services into King's Cross. A new 12-car platform will be provided within the main train shed, planned for 2010, with the remainder of the work staged over the rest of CP4.

Other outputs of the King's Cross project include increased station capacity via a new concourse and increased commercial development. The redevelopment is being delivered in several stages with new or refurbished facilities handed over in a rolling programme between 2009 and 2013.

Significant interfaces

The station works form part of the redevelopment on the King's Cross lands and there are a number of interfaces with works being carried out by the other land owners and property developers, notably the enhancement works being carried out to the Underground station by LUL and construction of shared service facilities being undertaken in conjunction with Argent.

Key assumptions

The delivery programme relies on the construction of the additional platform Y ahead of starting any substantial works on the existing platforms in order to retain train throughput at current service levels. Platform Y does not open up additional capacity until works on the existing platforms has been completed.

There are some interdependent projects being carried out by third parties. The Western concourse requires Argent to complete works to the ground floor arcade of the adjacent Great Northern Hotel. The passenger interchange arrangements rely on London Underground completing works on their sub-surface Northern Ticket Hall under the Western concourse.

Consents are being managed on a rolling programme as and when detailed designs are completed for the various elements. The industry consents (Station Change, Network Change, possession strategy) are close to being finalised. Over half of the Planning and S106 conditions are completed.

Activities and milestones

The milestones for the works are planned around avoiding major disruptive works during the London 2012 Olympics. The final phase Southern Square package is not planned to start until after the Olympics. The legal agreements contain backstop dates for start of the works on the western concourse (December 2012).

Planning permission for the new facilities was granted in November 2007. As the station is Grade 1 listed, Listed Building consents are being sought as and when detailed designs are completed. The station works form part of the redevelopment on the King's Cross lands and there are a number of property agreements with the DfT, other land owners and the developers. Both the enhancement and renewals works on King's Cross station are being carried out by a Network Rail delivery team.

Activity	Output	Date
Platform Y commissioned	Allows work to commence on remaining platforms. Increases train capacity in longer term.	June 2010
Plant room and shared service yard commissioned (interim state)	Supports station operations.	Sept. 2010
Main train shed interior modifications to link in with Western concourse	Provides new passenger circulation regime for new concourse.	Dec. 2011
Western range refurbishment	Provides operational facilities.	Dec. 2011
Western concourse in use	Enhanced passenger and retail facilities.	Dec. 2011
Main train shed roof renewal	Condition-led renewal.	March 2012
Southern Square reconfiguration	Completes reconfiguration of station concourse and a condition of planning permission.	Sept. 2013

West Coast Main Line committed schemes

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the three schemes described in more detail in the following pages. Namely:

- Bletchley remodelling;
- West Coast power supply upgrade; and
- Stafford / Colwich remodelling.

Objectives

This package of works are the remaining elements necessary to deliver the West Coast Strategy (Strategic Rail Authority, 2002), required to enhance the capacity on the West Coast Main Line. DfT specified the completion of the remaining strategy elements in the HLOS (July 2007).

The Bletchley remodelling scheme will renew life expired assets and rationalise the layout around Bletchley station to increase the line speed.

As part of the strategy the power supply between London and Glasgow is being upgraded. The first two stages have been completed to support the increases in services and stage three will be phased over CP4 and CP5 as appropriate to meet the power demand projections. When stage three has been completed the full auto-transformer system will be provided between London and Carstairs.

With the traffic increases provided by the West Coast Upgrade, and those from Birmingham to Manchester and the north, the junctions and two track section in the Stafford area will constrain any further traffic growth and hinder train running performance. The Stafford scheme is being developed to mitigate these issues.

Project definition: Bletchley remodelling project

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope and outputs of works described below.

Scope of works

The project lies within the following geographical limits:

Line	Boundary (approximate)
WCML northern limit	North of Denbigh Hall Junction – approx 48m 20ch
WCML southern limit	Linslade Interlocking – approx 43 mile post
Bedford line	Boundary with Marston Vale – approx ¾ mile post
Oxford line	Buffer stops at 1 ½ mile post

The track, S&C and signalling equipment in the Bletchley power signal box control area is either approaching, or has already reached, life expiry with target renewal dates ranging from 2005 for Bletchley power signal box panel to 2015 for Bletchley interlocking.

This project will maximise the opportunity presented by renewals to remodel the track layout and re-signal with modern technology in order to provide greater functionality and capability; improved reliability and maintainability. Control of all signalling will be transferred to Rugby signal control centre.

The scope of work includes:

- removal of the fixed diamond from Bletchley South Junction (early delivery in conjunction with the IMDM);
- recovery of out of use track and OLE assets;
- implementation of 3600m of drainage associated with the remodelled track;
- transfer of signalling control to Rugby SCC;
- provide 775m goods loop for regulation and hot axle box detector inspection;
- platforms 4 and 5 extended to accommodate 12 car standage;
- provide bi-directional signalling on platform 5;
- turnback facility clear of the Slow lines from the bi-directional signalling on platform 5;
- bi-directional loop for regulating a 775 metre freight train; and
- turn back in platform 6 for trains up to four car length.

Outputs

The following functionality will be provided:

- 125 mph line speed throughout on the Fast Lines including through Bletchley South Junction;
- 12-car platform capacity provided on platforms 4 & 5;
- access to train maintenance depot and adjacent civil engineering freight sidings to be preserved;
- direct access to the Bedford lines from the Slow lines independent of whether platform 5 or 6 is occupied;
- route for 775 metre freight Up Slow to branch via flyover and vice versa;
- the Drayton Road Junction will allow an Up Slow to Up Fast and parallel Down Slow to Down Fast move;
- enable entry and exit to the carriage sidings from the north and south;
- 12 car shunt through carriage sidings washer, remaining clear of running lines;
- retain existing stabling for NDS trains;
- retain existing stabling facilities in carriage sidings; and
- retain stone terminal (CEMEX siding) existing standage (437m).

Significant interfaces

- East West Rail Link - this is a third party independent scheme. The Bletchley project will work closely with the East West project to ensure compatibility in designs as far as is practicable;
- DfT and Virgin Trains 'Pendolino' project that lengthens the current Class 390 rolling stock formations from 9-car to 11-car during CP4;
- London Midland expansion of out-based stabling activity in the Bletchley area which may require infrastructure interventions; and
- London Midland service intervention of Class 350 rolling stock formations to 12-car during CP4.

Key assumptions

The project will be delivered in conjunction with planned major renewals to signalling and track.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
GRIP stage 4 completion- single option development	March 2010
Commence GRIP stages 5 -8	June 2010
GRIP 5-8 detailed design and build starts	Dec. 2010
Commencement of physical works	Dec. 2010
Final signalling commissioning	Dec. 2012
All infrastructure in use	June 2013
Full project completion date including snagging	Sept. 2013

Project definition: WCML traction power supply upgrade project

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The scope of the overall programme is to deliver an upgraded traction power supply system to support the operation of the DfT specified 2020 timetable for the WCML..

Phase one was completed in time for the December 2008 timetable change. Phase two is substantially complete with some residual works due for completion by September 2009.

Phase three is the implementation of an upgraded traction power supply across the balance of the route and is to be completed during CP4 and CP5. It will renew and upgrade the remainder of the 25kV power supply equipment on the WCML between North Wembley MPATS (25.95km) to Carstairs MPTSC (599km) with an upgraded Autotransformer (AT) traction power supply and distribution system.

The scope of works comprises the following work packages:

- modifications and alterations at various electricity supply industry (ESI) connection points on the route to support the increased electrical demand;
- provision of new 25kV AT distribution sites at approx 10km intervals along the route;
- provision of telecoms and SCADA infrastructure for the new AT distribution sites;
- provision of additional 25kV conductors to form the AT Feeder (ATF) throughout the length of the route converted to AT;
- provision of a return screening conductor throughout the length of the route covered by the AT system;
- additional electrical cross bonding throughout the route;
- screening of signals or other structures where required to maintain electrical clearance to the ATF;
- clearance of lineside vegetation to maintain electrical clearance to the ATF;
- re-sectioning of the OLE to reflect the new feeding sections and subsections created by the auto transformer (AT) system; and
- recoveries of redundant equipment.

Outputs

The overall programme for the power supply upgrade will support the '2020' design scenario timetable previously agreed with the DfT in 2002. As part of the option development work we are revisiting the timetable and rolling stock assumptions with customers and stakeholders to check that we have the right scope to support CP4 outputs and that this is aligned to CP5 outputs. This will include emerging requirements including potential future electrification of Liverpool to Manchester.

The scheme will also validate the proposal to move to a system design with 12kA fault level which will secure major financial efficiency benefits for future electrification schemes.

A programme of works will be developed so that we secure the most efficient delivery route through CP4 and CP5. The upgraded power supply will remove the traction power supply as a constraint on line speed and capability for WCML from 2020.

Significant interfaces

- LNW route 25kV traction switchgear renewals and overhauls, the renewals will be integrated into the overall programme of works to maximise delivery efficiency;
- renewal of 25kV traction sole user assets at Rugby and Stafford. By better integration of the PSU program with LNW electrification renewals, renewal of 50 year old ESI connections can be avoided whilst delivering the enhancement required;
- Crewe remodelling;
- Stafford remodelling;
- DfT and Virgin Trains 'Pendolino' project that lengthens the current Class 390 rolling stock formations from 9-car to 11-car during CP4;

Programme – West Coast Main Line committed schemes
10.02 Project definition – WCML traction power supply upgrade project

- London Midland expansion of out based stabling activity in the Bletchley area which may require infrastructure interventions;
- London Midland service interventions; and
- deployment and roll out of IEP on the West Coast route.

Key assumptions

Possession requirements are assumed to be covered by the Rules of the Route.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
GRIP 4 commences	June 2010
Site works Hillmorton – Tamworth commence	December 2011
Site works North Wembley – Ashton commence	September 2012
Site works Brereton – Crewe commence	December 2012
Site works Hillmorton – Tamworth complete	April 2015
Site works North Wembley – Ashton complete	December 2015
Site works Brereton – Crewe complete	April 2016
Full project completion date	2019

There is a project review underway which is due to complete by December 2009. This review will enable development of the programme and milestones plan in consultation with all stakeholders.

Project definition – Stafford / Colwich re-modelling project

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

At the current stage of development (completion of GRIP 2) no preferred scheme has been identified.

Work has been progressing the development of a refined set of options, which are being evaluated in line with DfT requirements to improve capacity within the Stafford area. Capacity improvements will be delivered through upgrading the existing railway, or the construction of a new route, or a combination of both.

Delivery of the project is dependent upon a successful Transport and Works Act (TWA) or Infrastructure planning Commission (IPC) process. The current forecast for completion of the project is in Control Period 5 (2014/15 – 2018/19), with project development work being undertaken during CP4. The exact timing of project delivery will be dependent upon the final option selected and the planning process.

It is planned to undertake a number of activities during CP4 to advance the project towards the implementation of a final infrastructure solution. A limited range of infrastructure options have already been identified as a GRIP 2 output. These will be taken through GRIP 3 development during CP4, in order to identify the preferred scheme option.

Key deliverables within the scope of GRIP stage 3 include the production of a final option selection report, a public consultation exercise, indicative project implementation plans, project management plans and environmental management plans.

The final option arising from the GRIP3 works will be subject to GRIP 4 development and this work will commence during CP4 and is forecast to be completed early in CP5. The complexity of the final option will influence when this work concludes. The key deliverables at this stage will provide greater certainty for project implementation and will allow us to enter GRIP 5 (detailed design) early in CP5.

It is proposed to take into consideration the outputs of a series of consultations with stakeholders prior to the development of a single option in GRIP 4. The results of this consultation and its impact on the project will be presented as part of the planning application.

Some of the physical work will fall within our Permitted Development Rights. However, all options under consideration will require additional land purchase to a varying degree.

Outputs

The Stafford area has been identified as a capacity constraint on the West Coast Main Line, which limits the opportunity to fully exploit the capacity offered by the recent modernisation of the route and limits the ability to provide additional capacity to cater for future forecasted demand growth.

The capacity and performance constraints in the Stafford area are due to the number of conflicts that exist between the flows of traffic at Colwich Junction and Norton Bridge, together with the performance of the infrastructure at these locations.

The project's remit is to address the capacity and performance constraints in the Stafford area, in line with the requirements of the DfT's 2015 service specification.

The requirements of both freight and passenger traffic are being taken into consideration and the impact of external factors will be included within the option appraisal process.

Significant interfaces

The Stafford project must take into consideration the impact and timing of re-signalling projects in the area, the capacity constraints on WCML outside of the Stafford area and the projected demand requirements beyond CP4. Consideration of these elements will help to shape the final project option and define the overall project costs.

The ability to implement the final project option will be dependent on a successful application for a TWA order, or more likely IPC consent. The outputs of a successful planning application will shape the final in-depth development of the recommended option and as such project costs will be updated as the GRIP process proceeds.

The project will take need to take account of the High Speed 2 (HS2) project which is due to report to the Secretary of State in late 2009. This report is expected to provide an indication of whether the new high speed line will be built to serve destinations north of the West Midlands, timescales for doing this, proposed infrastructure corridors and interaction with the existing railway.

Key assumptions

The key assumption is that the planning application will be successful and will support a scheme that will meet the required outputs.

The project also assumes that the plans of HS2 will be sufficiently clear by the end of 2009 to allow measured development of the project options in the context of HS2's proposals.

Activities and milestones

The key activities for CP4 will be work on the identification of a single option and the work to support, and the submission of, an application for planning authority.

During CP4 it is intended that the project will be developed to a point where a single option has been identified, work has been completed on refining that option in terms of technical scope and requirements and an application for planning authority has been made. The planning process is expected to extend beyond the end of CP4 into early CP5.

Activity	Date
GRIP 3 complete	September 2010
GRIP 4 commencement	December 2010
Draft TWA/IPC order submitted	September 2012
TWA order/IPC consent complete	June 2015
GRIP 5 commencement	September 2015
GRIP 8 completion	December 2018

We will continue to work with HS2 and DfT to understand the potential impact of the HS2 proposals on the definition of scope and timing of the Stafford project and develop a plan for the route which addresses the issues identified consistent with an agreed long term strategy for the corridor between London and the North West.

Thameslink Programme

Network Rail's obligation

A regulatory protocol has been established for the Thameslink Programme. Our obligation under the protocol is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

Key output 0

Key output 0 scope relates to the railway infrastructure enabling works required to deliver the Thameslink Programme construction period timetable. It includes gauge clearance, Selective Door Operation (SDO), platform lighting and other works to enable class 377 operation on the Midland Main Line and to Brighton.

Key output 1

The following work packages are required to deliver key output 1:

- Blackfriars – major works to accommodate the expansion and extensive reconstruction of the station and bridge as well as the London Underground station below;
- Farringdon – major works to remodel the station and track layout. Existing platforms will be extended to accommodate 12-car length trains;
- City Thameslink – minor works to the fabric of the station to accommodate an enhanced 12-car service;
- signalling – within the Core Area (between St Pancras International (low level) and Blackfriars stations) the lineside signalling will be optimised for the final 24tph train service and rolling stock. Outside of the core area, modifications to the current signalling system are required to support the enhanced train service;
- operational telecoms – provision of new and enhanced telecommunications equipment to support the delivery of the enhanced train service;
- electrification and plant – provision of new and enhanced equipment including AC electrification, DC electrification, and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) to support the delivery of the enhanced train service;
- permanent way – mainly within the core and operational inner area and will consist of plain line and S&C works;
- outer areas – provision of platform extensions, power upgrade works, route clearance works and some stabling berthing facilities to deliver the specified train service;
- inner area tunnels – provision of fire fighting water main, emergency lighting systems, improvements to tunnel services and infrastructure in Snow Hill (excluding the fire fighting water main in this tunnel), Clerkenwell and King's Cross tunnels;
- reliability strengthening - asset replacement/enhancement to maintain/increase service reliability during the implementation of Key output 1; and
- St Pancras international (low level) (12-car) – minor works to the fabric of the station to accommodate an enhanced 12-car service.

Key output 2

The following work packages are required to deliver key output 2:

- London Bridge - reconstruction of station in accordance with TWA consented "Masterplan" station design;
- Borough Viaduct – construction of a new twin-track viaduct on the south side of the existing viaduct;
- Bermondsey Dive Under – to grade separate the Thameslink and Charing Cross lines;
- Tanners Hill Flydown – an additional line to increase network capacity;
- signalling control centre – as part of Kent area signalling control strategy;
- signalling – modifications to the current signalling system are required to support the enhanced train service;
- operational telecoms – provision of new and enhanced telecommunications equipment to support the delivery of the enhanced train service;
- electrification and plant - provision of new and enhanced equipment including AC/DC system changeover, AC electrification, DC electrification, and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) to support the delivery of the enhanced train service;

- permanent way – substantial remodelling in the London Bridge corridor between Blackfriars Junction (exclusive) and Lewisham/New Cross Gate/Peckham Rye;
- outer areas - provision of platform extensions, power upgrade works, route clearance works and some stabling berthing facilities to deliver the specified train service;
- reliability strengthening – asset replacement/enhancement to maintain/increase service reliability during the implementation of key output 2;
- route wide civils works – structure gauge clearance etc.; and
- Canal tunnels – fit out of the tunnels and connection to the national rail network at St Pancras International (low level) and Belle Isle Junction (ECML).

Output

The Thameslink Programme has phased delivery over three key outputs. Key output 0 allows for a consistent train service at present levels to run throughout the Thameslink Programme construction periods. The work required to facilitate this is to be completed by March 2009. It is to allow for up to 15 trains per hour to run between St Pancras International (Low Level) and Blackfriars stations.

Key output 1 provides an improved train service capacity of up to 16 train paths per hour between St Pancras International (Low Level) and Blackfriars stations and allows 12 car train length operation between Bedford and Brighton by December 2011.

Key output 2 provides for the completed Thameslink service giving a further improved train service of up to 24 train paths per hour between St Pancras International (low level) and Blackfriars stations by December 2015.

Significant interfaces

The following major infrastructure programmes are scheduled to be undertaken concurrently with the Thameslink Programme. These include;

- Crossrail;
- East London Line (phases 1 and 2);
- King's Cross Station redevelopment;
- London Underground upgrades;
- 2012 Olympic and Paralympic games; and
- DC power supply enhancement programme.

In addition, three major building developments are scheduled to take place;

- London Bridge Tower (Shard of Glass);
- 25 London Bridge Place; and
- Thornfields development (Smithfield)

Key assumptions

- Thornfield development at Smithfield Market (Snowhill Tunnel) will have no adverse impact on the proposed Thameslink works;
- the Thameslink Programme will precede any Crossrail works at Farringdon;
- Crossrail will be responsible for all further works at Farringdon required to deliver the Crossrail scheme;
- the East London Line Extension project will precede any works by the Thameslink Programme at New Cross Gate;
- the Thameslink Programme will precede any works by the East London Line project in the Bermondsey and Peckham Rye areas;
- the Network Rail / London Underground Project Agreement for the Thameslink Programme will reflect London Underground's (LUL) acceptance of designs developed at the time of signing;
- any works being undertaken by LUL / Transport for London (TfL) before the start of or during the Thameslink Programme will have no adverse impact on the proposed Thameslink works;
- Thameslink Programme construction requirements can be co-ordinated with construction works relating to King's Cross station redevelopment;

- the Thameslink Programme and London Bridge Tower (Shard of Glass) construction works can either take place concurrently or a mutually acceptable programme will be developed and agreed; and
- the Thameslink Programme and 25 London Bridge Place construction works can either take place concurrently or a mutually acceptable programme will be developed and agreed.

Activities and milestones

Key milestones consistent with the protocol agreement with DfT are shown below.

Activity	Date
Blackfriars trackswitch	December 2010
12-car operation	December 2011
KO1 operation (Blackfriars bay platforms etc)	March 2012
KO2 operation	December 2015

Intercity Express Programme (IEP)

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The key elements of scope currently being developed with the DfT relate to power supplies, platforms, gauge clearance and overhead line equipment (the extent of the scope is dependent on the pantograph design, which is yet to be finalised by the train builder). Further work is required to establish if expenditure relating to bridge resonance and aerodynamic work is required, and this again depends on train design and proposed solutions.

East Coast Main Line, including Hitchin to Cambridge and Kings Lynn

The scope of works on this line includes platform works, gauging works, power supply/overhead line works to introduce Intercity Express trains up to 260m long to replace the current IC225 and HST fleet and train services on the routes. The train type (electric or bi-mode) and configuration (full length or half length) depends on service.

Great Western Main Line

The scope of works on this line includes development, design and advanced implementation works including platform works and gauging works to introduce Intercity Express trains up to 248m long to replace the current HST fleet and train services on the route.

Element	Route	Scope of work – see note 5	Notes
Platforms	ECML	Platform lengthening works at; Stevenage (4), Huntingdon (1), Peterborough (4) , Grantham (1), Newark Northgate (3), Retford (3), Durham (1), Littleport (2), Watlington (2), Waterbeach (2)	See notes 1a & 1b
	GWML	Bath (1), Neath (1) and Maidenhead (2)	
Power	ECML	New feeder stations required at Tallington (near Peterborough) and at Newark. New feeder transformer required at existing Ardsley feeder station. Substantial reinforcement of traction power for services between Littleton and Cambridge.	See note 2
Gauging	ECML	Currently identified significant locations; Kings Cross , Haymarket North, Kircaldy station, Glasgow Central Station, location near Sunderland Station, Crosshill Station , Wetheral, Newcastle station, additional locations South of Newcastle Station, Thackley, location between Stockton and Ferryhill, Hartlepool, Ryhope Grange, Seaburn, Park Lane Jn, Hartlepool, Winchburgh Tunnel, location near Harrogate, Aberdour, Burntisland, Dundee Dock St., Dundee Station, Arbroath, additional location between Arbroath – Montrose, Cove Bay, Stocksfield, Corbridge, Greenhead, Brampton, Pollockshields East.	See notes 3 & 4
	GWML	Currently identified significant locations; Wickwar, Paddington Station, Plymouth Station, Devonport Station, Bugle Lewan, St. Blazey, Saltash, Largin – Bodmin, location West of St. Austell, Torquay, Chipping Sodbury, Savernake Pewsey, Savernake, Freshford	See notes 3 & 4

Note 1 – a) Highlighted stations – IEP interfacing with existing project.

b) Figures in brackets indicate number of platform faces to be extended.

Note 2 – Hitchin and Royston power is being upgraded by Thameslink project by December 2015.

However IEP services intend to start in March 2015. A change to the introduction of the IEP service on this section of the route has been proposed to the DfT.

Note 3 – Gauging scope is still being refined, gauging verification is still required along all IEP routes.

Note 4 – The assessment of the gauging scope is based on Notional Intercity Vehicle Kinematic Envelope (NIV KE). Scope will be revised once the preferred bidder's design K.E is available.

Note 5 – The scope is based on the Network Rail output document dated February 2009

Outputs

These works facilitate the introduction of the Intercity Express fleet to the currently declared timescales. For CP4, funding covers the implementation works on the East Coast route and development and detailed design on the Great Western route. However, we recognise that the DfT will finalise this position between now and contract award expected in autumn 2009.

The final output of the programme will be Network Rail infrastructure ready to accept the operation of the Intercity Express trains allowing for the replacement of some existing trains on a 'like for like service' basis i.e. no increase in service levels. The trains are being procured under a "train service provision" (TSP) contract by the DfT which is compatible with Network Rail IEP Train Infrastructure Interface Specification dated November 2007.

Operation of the IEP trains will take place over the following routes:

- East Coast Main Line, including Hitchin to Cambridge and Kings Lynn; and
- Great Western Main Line.

The stopping patterns assumed are those detailed in the Indicative Timetable planning information pack part 2, issued in February 2008 by DfT.

To achieve the final output, some of the key deliverables are listed below (but not limited to):

- Infrastructure gauge clearance for the IEP which will in turn require;
 - completion of physical gauge clearance works;
 - certificate of Gauging Authority;
 - updated NRAP certificate;
 - updated Sectional Appendix;
- completed and operational platform extensions; and
- reinforcement of power supplies aligned to IEP train parameter.

The current baseline is the service and route descriptions contained within Network Rail output document February 2009.

Significant interfaces

East Coast Main Line

- Thameslink – there are multiple interfaces including Peterborough station, Finsbury Park station, Royston platform extension works as well as power supply upgrade synergies. There are opportunities to increase delivery efficiency through combined scope; minimise disruption to the customer and identify potential scope synergies;
- Cambridge station;
- Peterborough station;
- FTN/GSM-R - GSM-R will be rolled out south of Peterborough in time for IEP, however, rollout north of Peterborough is not due to be complete until 18 months after IEP introduction; a mitigation plan is being prepared; and
- Hitchin flyover - works co-ordination in order to minimise disruption through a co-ordinated possession strategy will need to take place. Also confirmation of IEP requirements regarding gauge etc to feed into the specification for both ECML and Cambridge line routes through flyover.

Great Western Main Line

- Reading - the Reading station project has been separately specified by the DfT to include provision for diesel, bi-mode and electric IEP formations, the majority of the works will be completed before IEP arrives on the Great Western Main Line;
- Paddington area - the IEP project has developed effective interfaces with the Network Rail Crossrail project which will lead to a single programme being developed to enable both projects to deliver by 2017; and

- Maidenhead – there are synergies and interfaces with the Crossrail project affecting both platform extensions and delivery timescales. There are opportunities to increase delivery efficiency through combined scope, minimise disruption to customers and identify potential scope synergies.

Key assumptions

- Timescales for the Peterborough station project works are expected to match the delivery requirements for IEP platform extensions;
- the rolling stock procured by DfT will be compatible with the issued “Train Infrastructure Interface Specification” (TIIS) and the final rolling stock delivery programme is in accordance with the current programme;
- platform lengthening scope excludes locations where selective door opening operation has been agreed with DfT;
- all IEP depot and depot access works are excluded from this submission (part of TSP contract requirements);
- scope of works specifically excludes works on West Coast Main Line South;
- ECML works exclude works covering traction power and overhead line works associated with an increase in service level between Hitchin and Edinburgh;
- Great Western Main Line works specifically exclude works covering traction power associated with any possible future operation of electric powered Intercity Express trains.;
- Thameslink key output 2 power upgrade between King’s Cross and St. Neots is delivered in accordance with the current programme (December 2015);
- all works are deemed to be within the current boundary of the Network Rail infrastructure; and
- Paddington area;
 - works currently assume 248m IEP trains; and
 - all IEP depot and depot access works are excluded from this submission (part of TSP contract requirements).

Activities and milestones

Route	Activity	Output	Date
East Coast train test route (London / Doncaster)	Receipt of preferred bidder’s kinetic envelope (required for all routes).		Mar. 2010
	Contract awarded to preferred bidder (all routes).		Dec. 2010
	Start of detailed design.		Sept. 2010
		ECML test routes infrastructure ready for IEP operation	Sept. 2011
East Coast pre series routes (London to Newcastle)	Validation of the capability of the existing traction power supply to support the proposed 2013 timetable. Validation will enable introduction of limited train service enhancements.		Dec. 2009
	Engagement of DNO for provision of new supply connection at Tallington and Newark. This is required to reinforce the traction power supply between St. Neots to Doncaster which is known to have insufficient capacity for the proposed enhancements to train service.		March 2010
	Start of detailed design.		March 2011
		ECML Pre-series Infrastructure ready for IEP operation	March 2013

Route	Activity	Output	Date
East Coast series routes (Aberdeen / Inverness and Hitchin -Kings Lynn	Validation of the capability of the reinforced traction power supply to support the proposed 2015/16 timetable. Validation will enable implementation of the proposed reinforcement to the traction power supply system to proceed and introduction of train service enhancements.		March 2010
	Engagement of DNO for provision of additional supply connection at Ardsley. This is required to reinforce the traction power supply between Leeds and Doncaster which is known to have insufficient capacity for the proposed enhancements to train service.		March 2010
	Engagement of DNO for provision of new supply connection at Cambridge. This is required to reinforce the traction power supply between Litlington and Ely which is known to have insufficient capacity for the proposed enhancements to train service.		June 2010
	Start of detailed design.		March 2011
		ECML Series Infrastructure ready for IEP operation	Sept. 2014
Great Western Main Line	Start of detailed design.		March 2012
	Development, detailed design and early works for IEP operation.		March 2014
ECML	ECML balance of implementation.	Project completion	Sept. 2014
GWML	Great Western Main Line significant implementation.	Project completion	Sept. 2015

Crossrail and Reading

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works associated with the Crossrail and Reading area redevelopment projects. The scope of these projects is set out in the following pages. There are significant interfaces between these projects and as such we are delivering them through an integrated programme delivery team.

The Crossrail project is to deliver infrastructure enhancements to enable the operation of 24 trains per hour through central London to destinations such as Heathrow Airport, West Drayton and Maidenhead in the west and Abbey Wood and Shenfield in the east.

Reading station area redevelopment is designed to deliver significant capacity and performance improvements throughout the area for GWML and cross country passenger and freight services.

The Reading southern platform project is an integral part of the Reading station area redevelopment project. This project is required to support the proposed plan to operate 12-car services on the Waterloo lines.

Project definition: Crossrail

Network Rail's obligation

Subject to completion of the proposed funding arrangements and protocol, our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The constituent parts of the overall Crossrail project are:

- infrastructure enhancement of 76km of existing railway, referred to as the On Network Works;
- construction of 23km of subsurface railway infrastructure; and
- platform extensions for stations from Maidenhead to Abbey Wood and Shenfield to cater for 200m long electric trains.

Stations will include Maidenhead, Taplow, Burnham, Slough, Langley, Iver, West Drayton, Hayes & Harlington, Southall, Hanwell, West Ealing (includes new bay platform), Ealing Broadway, Acton Main Line, Forest Gate, Manor Park, Ilford, Severn Kings, Goodmayes, Chadwell Heath, Romford, Gidea Park, Harold Wood and Brentwood. A new station will be built at Abbey Wood.

The responsibility for the On Network Works (ONW) was transferred to Network Rail when Royal Assent was granted in July 2008. A Letter of Comfort, issued by CLRL, covers a limited Network Rail expenditure of £20 million until the full Implementation Protocol is agreed. GRIP 3 design contracts were let in November 2008. It is not possible at the moment to quantify precise locations and volumes. This level of detail will be provided once Network Rail has completed GRIP 3 designs.

Outputs

The Crossrail project aims to deliver 24 trains an hour through 23 kilometres of new subsurface railway infrastructure under central London, continuing outwards to the east and west over 76 kilometres of some of the most congested and complex rail infrastructure in the UK. The Crossrail project is jointly sponsored by DfT and TfL and is being developed by Cross London Rail Links (CLRL). The CLRL Client Requirements for Network Rail will cover what we are required to deliver in terms of infrastructure capability as well as our contribution to CLRL's programme management of the project.

Significant interfaces

There are multiple interfaces within Network Rail with:

- other projects (IEP, ERTMS, FTN-GMRS);
- routes (Western, East Anglia, Kent);
- enhancements;
- renewals;
- maintenance; and
- outside party works.

Key assumptions

- The Implementation Protocol is agreed; and
- the cost surety estimate demonstrates that the ONW are deliverable within the defined cost boundaries.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3 stage gate review	GRIP 3 designs for ONW	March 2010
Target price	The identification of an overall target price for the ONW	June 2010

Project definition: Reading station area redevelopment

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The constituent parts of the project are:

- new Thames Valley signalling centre replacing the existing Reading signal box in December 2010;
- four new platforms on the north side of the station and a new Transfer Deck by November 2012;
- a new south side platform and platform extensions for Waterloo line services by November 2012;
- grade separation at the east end of the station via the former dive under from the Waterloo line to the north side of the station by November 2012;
- new train maintenance facility located to the west of Reading station replacing the existing facilities, which will be demolished to enable the track layout reconfiguration by December 2013;
- grade separation by provision of elevated main lines to the west of the station facilitating improvements to Cow Lane Bridge by May 2015;
- Provision of a new grade separated eastern chord from Oxford Road Junction to the north side of the station by February 2016;
- grade separation of the western chord from Oxford Road Junction to Westbury Line junction by February 2016;
- extensive track layout reconfiguration and resignalling throughout the area; and
- passive provision for a possible future extension of Crossrail and the introduction of AirTrack.

There is currently a TWA for compulsory purchase of all temporary and permanent land requirements submitted on the 6th November 2008. We are actively negotiating with all affected landowners to avoid the need to go to inquiry.

Outputs

The intended outputs are:

- passenger trains: four to five additional train paths per hour in each direction, five additional platforms, 125 per cent improvement on through line platform capacity, 37.7 per cent improvement in performance (train delay minutes); and
- engineering trains: up to 660m in length and up to five trains per week – two delivering materials and up to three engineering trains plus OTM's per 52 hours of possession.

There will be a 50mph permanent speed restriction for the duration of the works. The project will have intermediate phasing.

Significant interfaces

- Asset renewals programmes for signalling, telecoms and track;
- Crossrail; and
- IEP.

Key assumptions

- Funding for CP5 is made available;
- current funding for the New Train care facilities are based on a like for like replacement, any further enhancements will require further commitments from the DfT;
- any additional requirements should be made clear in sufficient time to enable delivery of the facilities without negative impact on the programme below.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Key output 0: enabling works complete	Signalling enabling works Install temporary crossover in Goods lines	Dec. 2010
Key output 1: All station platforms commissioned	Southern platforms remodelling Relocate driver academy, Rail Gourmet etc. Station mains works Construction of platforms 12-15 Commission of new platforms 12-15 Station south side works Station western bridge Platforms 7, 8, 9 and 10 New station entrance platforms 1-3 Station upgrade follow-up works Platforms 10 face extension Relief lines east remodelling Relief lines west and temporary/final depot connections Southern tunnel civils works External station works Vastern Road (George Street) bridge widening Caversham Road bridge widening	Dec. 2012
Follow-on works: non key output 1 deliverables	Bridge demolition Platform 11 works Canopy works	Sept. 2013
Key output 2: FGW depot fully operational	FGW civils enabling works Depot facilities FGW new depot familiarisation Northern embankment depot Main lines east remodelling Cow Lane bridges Wigmore Lane bridge Little John's Lane bridge	Dec. 2013
Key output 3: Reading West Junction grade separation	Reading West grade separation part 1 Construction of new HOBC depot Westbury Line junction remodelling part 1 Reading West grade separation part 2 New mainline civils work West Country grade separation (part1)/ Oxford Road Junction re-modelling	Sept. 2015
Key output 4: West Country grade separation	Westbury Line junction remodelling part 2 Construction of final depot connections West Country grade separation part 2 Southern viaduct	March 2016

Project Definition: Reading station southern platforms

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

The constituent parts of the project are:

- a new south side platform and platform extensions for Waterloo line services by November 2012; and
- an additional bridge span over Vastern Road.

Outputs

The project is an integral part of the Reading station area redevelopment project. It will deliver significant capacity and performance benefits with an additional platform and extensions to two existing platforms to accommodate up to 12-car services from the Waterloo lines.

Activities and milestones

The project will be delivered as an integral part of the Reading station area redevelopment project. The activities and milestones for this project are contained in the overall Reading programme.

Birmingham New Street Gateway Project

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scope of works described below.

Scope of works

Platform level

The work generally comprises of the removal of all platform accommodation, ramped areas to the West and enclosures. Passenger movements both for access and escape are enhanced by the introduction of new and additional standard escalators, lifts and staircases to platforms.

Seven new train dispatch rooms are to be constructed to accommodate platform level staff.

Passive provision is made for the widening of platform 8/9.

Concourse level

The works comprise of the enlargement of the existing concourse and dispersal bridge to cater for increased passenger demand, with associated requirements for additional dwell space, customer information systems and other facilities. The additional space is created by extending the concourse into the area currently occupied by the lowest two NCP car park levels.

Existing staircases and escalators are to be removed. In their place are new vertical circulation cores down to platform level. New entrances to the concourse are created on the northern, southern and eastern elevations.

A new control room / Network Rail customer reception is to be constructed.

Additional retail is to be provided on the eastern side in the form of a new two storey extension. The concourse areas are to provide amenity facilities such as toilets and a multi faith prayer room.

Rail specific accommodation is to be provided within the concourse area for the ticket office and Centro travel centre, Network Rail reception and a 'Virgin' First Class Lounge.

Public Information Systems are to be provided including a new departure board located on one side of the atrium.

Works to the North West entrance to the Pallasades include lifts, stairs and escalators to the Pallasades level.

Off station works

TOC back of house accommodation is to be relocated away from the confines of the operational station. The proposed location being within Ladywood House (part of the Pallasades lease hold demise) this space being within the five minutes walk time provided for in the TOC franchises.

External works

The creation of a new North West entrance to the shopping centre will require some external works to be undertaken.

The new walkway (also required to accommodate via a controlled means of access BTP, service and maintenance vehicles) adjacent to the Odeon site will provide connection routes through to both the northern and eastern elevations and the city generally.

Queens Drive is retained on its current alignment but the slab is to be extended to create a public space and a taxi drop off/pick up area. A canopy for the taxi drop off area is to be provided.

Within the station site a new walkway will provide a route from the proposed northern station entrance to the proposed southern station entrance and on to the southern part of the city.

A new short term parking facility is to be created utilising part of the existing NCP lower level car park. At the concourse level a through route will provide drop off / pick up facilities. It is envisaged that the existing alignment and connections at both Navigation Street and Hill Street will be incorporated into this facility.

Part of the existing Navigation Street footbridge will be removed and replaced by a new enhanced section. This new section will extend to Hill Street and provide a new entrance to the station. In addition the footbridge will be modified so as to connect to both platforms 1 and 12 (these are not currently accessible off the existing footbridge).

Outputs

The high level objectives for the project have been agreed by the key funders, Advantage West Midlands, Birmingham City Council, DfT, Centro and Network Rail. The table below contains all the project objectives (including those funded by others):

Category	High level objective
Transport (Rail)	Provide sufficient passenger capacity to meet both short term and forecast longer term needs. Improve passenger facilities and the environment within the station. Installation of ticket barriers. Improve the overall manageability of the station.
Transport (Multi-Modal)	Improve access to/from/in the station for all users. Improve the interchange capability within the station and between transport modes. Improve pedestrian access routes to/from/across the city.
City & Regional Regeneration	Transform the appearance of a major civic amenity and its environs to improve perceptions and stimulate confidence through creating an appropriate gateway to the region. Improve the urban environment and develop the public realm to catalyse the development and take up of new high quality office space in the city core, resulting in new jobs, and resulting productivity gains. Create a major private sector commercial development to the southern aspect. Strategic added value benefits to the city, including initiatives in sustainable development, skills development and training, and information and communication technologies.
Commercial	Maximise commercial value of the scheme. Stimulate the successful re-development of Pallasades shopping centre/car-park. Improve access to commercial facilities for all users.

Significant interfaces

- Sleeperz Hotel: independent commercial development;
- re-signalling: scheduled to occur after Gateway but, subject to transfer of funding, may provide passive provision and deliver containment systems within Gateway project;
- Centro project linking to Moor Street in Stephenson Street / Stephenson Place directly fronting onto station; and
- potential service diversions in the highways along the proposed Metro route to and past the station.

Key assumptions

- Stakeholder funding flows are in accordance with the agreed funding and finance plan;
- site assembly proceeds as required by the project; and
- necessary consents and property acquisitions are obtained as planned, including BCC obligations.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Complete GRIP 5	Scheme signed off by funders	Dec. 2009
Commence enabling works	Visible works start	Dec. 2009
Land transfer to Network Rail	Land available to start works on non Network Rail land	March 2010
Start phase 1 (west)	Start main works	March 2010
Complete phase 1 (west)	50 per cent of new station complete	Dec. 2012
Start phase 2 (east)		March 2013
Complete phase 2 (East)	Main concourse open for use by passengers	March 2015

Note

- Through GRIP 5 the programme is being refined, including sequencing, to increase programme robustness, reduce disruption and the potential for disruption, and reduce costs; and
- dates are based upon Funding & Finance Plan Revision 3 of 24th October 2008 (Option 2 – Full CPO process).

Train lengthening - southern

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the enhancement projects necessary to support the operational plans assumed with train operators to meet the HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are described in the route plans and in each relevant section within the following pages, which also set out the proposed enhancement projects necessary to support these operational plans.

The ORR's final determinations provide us with the flexibility to work with train operators to deliver the most cost-effective plan to meet the HLOS capacity metrics for England and Wales.

Operational plans

This programme is targeted at allowing the operation of longer trains on key routes within the south east of England. The programme of enhancements within this package, in combination with the Thameslink programme described earlier, will enable the relevant train operating companies to strengthen and lengthen services in the high peak and peak three hours to deliver the HLOS passenger capacity into the following London terminus stations:

- Fenchurch Street;
- Liverpool Street;
- Waterloo;
- London Bridge; and
- Victoria.

Further refinement of the overall delivery strategy is required to ensure that the disruption impact of this enhancement programme and loss of capacity at key locations during construction, for instance at London Bridge, is minimised. This includes examining the potential for earlier staging of other capacity works necessary to meet the HLOS capacity targets to help compensate for the temporary loss of capacity on the network during significant construction works.

The majority of the capability enhancement on the Kent route is to be provided early enough to coincide with the start of disruptive construction work at London Bridge in October 2012 in order to enable trains to be lengthened as mitigation for any reduction in train service levels as a result of construction requirements. The exception is for the route to the east of Dartford which, due to the significant levels of track access required during the works at Gravesend, has been programmed for implementation in time for those elements of construction work at London Bridge that start in mid 2014, when the extra capacity facilitated by the platform lengthening can provide further mitigation.

The programme of enhancements will provide the following capability:

- A. 10-car capability on certain suburban services on the Wessex route into Waterloo.
- B. 10-car capability on certain suburban services on the Sussex route into Victoria.
- C. 10-car capability on certain suburban services on the Sussex route into London Bridge.
- D. 12-car capability on the Sussex route from East Grinstead into Victoria and London Bridge.
- E. 12-car capability on certain Kent route suburban services into Victoria and London Bridge.
- F. 8-car capability on the Kent route (Maidstone East line) into Victoria.
- G. 12-car capability on the Anglia route (Tilbury Loop and Ockendon Branch) into Fenchurch Street.
- H. 12-car capability on certain West Anglia services on the Anglia route into Liverpool Street.

The detailed service patterns are subject to further development involving tri-partite discussion with TOCs and DfT to establish the most efficient industry solution when taking into account the cost of infrastructure change, rolling stock strategy and operational requirements. We will continue to develop these detailed plans with TOCs and DfT so that we are able to deliver the required outputs with the funding available.

These capability changes will be delivered to different timescales across CP4, with the operation of longer services possible on or before the December 2013 timetable change date. Further details of the proposed programme dates are included within the individual project definition sheets, and are subject to continuing discussions concerning rolling stock availability.

Enhancement projects

Set out below are the projects necessary to deliver the operational plans.

Capability change	Necessary projects
(A)	Waterloo International integration 10-car capability on the Waterloo to Windsor and Eton Riverside via Richmond route; 10-car capability on the Raynes Park to Epsom route; 10-car capability on the Hounslow Loop; 10-car capability on the Staines to Weybridge route; 10-car capability on the Shepperton branch; 10-car capability on the Hampton Court branch and Kingston Loop; 10-car capability on the Waterloo to Woking slow lines; 10-car capability on the Chessington South branch; 10-car capability on the Leatherhead to Guildford route; 10-car capability on the Hinchley Wood to Guildford route; and Clapham Junction platform lengthening.
(B), (D), (E), (F)	Clapham Junction platform lengthening; 10-car capability on the Streatham Hill route; 10-car capability on the Norbury route; 10-car capability on the Hackbridge route; 12-car capability on the East Grinstead route; 12-car capability on the Swanley to Rochester route; 8-car capability on the Maidstone East route; and
(C), (D), (E)	12-car capability on the East Grinstead route; 10-car capability on the Sydenham slow lines route; 12-car capability on the Sidcup and Bexleyheath routes (from Dartford); 12-car capability on the Hayes and Sevenoaks (via Grove Park) routes; 12-car capability on the Greenwich and Woolwich routes; and 12-car capability on the Dartford to Gravesend route.
(G)	12-car capability on the Tilbury Loop and Ockendon Branch
(H)	12-car capability on the Liverpool Street to Cambridge route 12-car capability on the Liverpool Street to Stansted route

Set out in the following pages are definition sheets for the projects within this programme.

Project scope

The programme of enhancements requires further development to ensure robust decisions are made in order to deliver the outputs within the funding available. Further development of the enhancement programmes is required to examine opportunities to reduce the forecast cost of the proposed schemes in order to make them affordable within the CP4 settlement. This will be achieved through:

- examination of unit cost efficiencies;
- value management and scope challenge to ensure the most cost effective solutions are being progressed;
- standards challenge to ensure consistency of application of company standards with regard to issues such as usable platform lengths and widths, and re-positioning of signals;
- risk assessments to assess the need for scope such as enhanced means of escape;
- further refinement of the operational plan with train operators to examine, for example, opportunities for selective door opening (SDO).

For the programme of platform extension works we aim to design solutions that comply with the mandatory requirements of Railway Group Standards. We have identified a significant number of sites where it is not feasible to deliver fully compliant designs within the programme timescales; examples include situations where significant remodelling and/or land outside of railway boundaries becomes needed to comply with the standards. Deviating from the mandatory standards requires permission from the Railway Safety and Standards Board. Securing this permission requires active support from our

customers, therefore we will need to work closely with the train operators to finalise the designs at each station or identify alternative solutions to delivering the capability at sites where we cannot jointly identify satisfactory deliverable infrastructure solutions.

For the programme of platform lengthening schemes the following facilities will be provided on each platform extension:

- standard back fence;
- adequate lighting;
- signage;
- enhancement to pre-existing CCTV equipment to cover the platform extension if needed; and
- enhancement to the existing PA system if needed.

Additional passenger facilities such as canopies and shelters may be provided at the time of delivery where the Stations Facilities Operator and Network Rail agree to install them and funding is provided through other programmes such as the national stations improvement programme.

Project definition: Waterloo International integration

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Run all suburban trains at maximum length	12	London Waterloo	1,200	0
Run all mainline trains at maximum length	79	London Waterloo	8,000	1,200
10-car operation on all suburban services	140	London Waterloo	19,200	7,300

Scope of works

The scope of work necessary to help meet our obligation is set out below. The scope will be more fully defined at the completion of the GRIP 3 study:

- extension of platforms 3 and 4 at Waterloo to 10 car;
- platforms 1 and 2 no longer used;
- track alignment;
- point work alterations to replicate functionality; and
- signalling moves and alterations;

The following items will be delivered to allow the conversion of Waterloo International station for use by domestic services:

- an additional signal section to facilitate operation of WIT;
- moving of buffer stops to create a larger level concourse;
- deck over the orchestra pit connecting Waterloo International terminal (WIT) to the concourse;
- possible routing of passengers through WIT directly to LUL;
- CIS system in WIT;
- automatic ticket gates in WIT; and
- PA system in WIT.

Outputs

Waterloo International integration project will provide a 10-car compliant Waterloo station and convert Waterloo International for use by domestic services.

Significant interfaces

Project interfaces have been identified with the following projects:

- Waterloo automatic ticket gate project;
- WIT conversion of platform 20;
- Reading area station redevelopment;
- Waterloo buffer stop project;
- 10-Car South West suburban railway project;
- retail proposals for development in the 'Orchestra Pit' at Waterloo;
- Southern DC traction power supply project; and
- Airtrack (uncommitted).

Key assumptions

- The works for the 10-car project will not require a TWA;
- applications for listing the station (Grade II) will not adversely affect the project;
- there is enough power in the system to cope with an upgrade to 10-car operations on the Windsor and Suburban lines;
- significant civil works will not be required to existing structures; and
- significant signalling work is not required.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	Single option developed	Dec. 2009
Submit investment authority for GRIP 5-8	Draw down funds to begin implementation	March 2010
GRIP 5	Detailed design completed	Dec. 2010
GRIP 6	Construction started	Dec. 2010
WIT operational	In time for the December 2011 timetable change	Dec. 2011
Construction completed		Dec. 2013

Project definition: 12-car capability on the Tilbury Loop and Ockendon Branch

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Thameside 12-car running on main line	20	Fenchurch Street	2,400	1,600
Thameside 12-car running on Tilbury Loop	28	Fenchurch Street	3,200	2,000

Scope of works

The scope of work necessary to meet our obligation is:

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Pitsea to Fenchurch Street via Ockendon	Pitsea – platforms 3 & 4 Stanford le Hope – platforms 1 & 2 East Tilbury – platforms 1 & 2 Tilbury Town – platforms 1 & 2 Grays – platforms 1 & 2 Ockendon – platforms 1 & 2
Pitsea to Fenchurch Street via Rainham	Purfleet – platforms 3 & 4 Rainham – platforms 1 & 2 Dagenham Dock – platforms 1 & 2

The detailed scope will also determine the level of associated works such as track remodelling, signalling, OHL and DOO equipment.

Outputs

This project is to allow 12-coach operations on the Tilbury Loop based on using class 357 and cascaded class 321 rolling stock.

Significant interfaces

- The CP4 enhancement scheme to provide enhanced OHL power supply throughout East Anglia; and
- consideration will be given to synergy with other potential enhancement schemes, notably station improvements (NSIP, Access for All etc).

Key assumptions

- DfT has indicated that the rolling stock strategy requires existing class 357 and cascaded class 321 vehicles to operate the 12-coach services over the Tilbury Loop. These are not currently fitted with a functioning SDO system;
- 12-coach trains will be able to be accommodated at Chafford Hundred without any infrastructure works being required; and
- it is assumed that the planning approvals will be forthcoming for the works if required.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Tender for detailed design and implementation phase	Invitations to Tender	Dec. 2009
Completion of detailed design	Relevant GRIP products and successful GRIP 5 stage gate	Dec. 2010
Implementation phase (on-site works)	Physical works, taken into use upon completion	Dec. 2011

These works will be completed in time for the December 2011 timetable change.

Project definition: West Anglia outer 12-coach trains

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Cambridge services	20	Liverpool Street	2,100	800
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Stansted Airport services	36	Liverpool Street	4,900	1,600
WA Inner peak strengthening	24	Liverpool Street	3,700	800

Scope of works

The scope of work necessary to meet our obligation is:

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Stansted Airport to Liverpool Street	Stansted Mountfitchet – platform 1 & 2 Sawbridgeworth – platform 1 & 2 Broxbourne – platform 2 & 3 Cheshunt – platform 1 & 2
Cambridge to Liverpool Street	Cambridge – one additional 12-car platform face

Outputs

This project allows 12-coach operations on the West Anglia route between Cambridge/Stansted Airport and Liverpool Street, based on class 317 and new rolling stock.

Significant interfaces

The CP4 enhancement scheme to provide sufficient OHL power supply on this route.

Key assumptions

Stansted Airport station is to have an extended platform (platform 1) to be funded entirely by Stansted Airport Limited (anticipated circa late 2011). This is an intervention that was agreed to be funded and delivered by STAL subject to certain conditions relating to growth being met. If these conditions are not met in time to complete the works by late 2011 then Stansted Airport – Liverpool Street 12-coach operations may not be fully deliverable without other mitigation, e.g. interim timetabling solutions.

It is currently assumed that there will be an SDO or other operational solution to allow 12-car running at the stations below. This is based on the premise that the new SDO enabled rolling stock can be used to operate the services that call at the smaller stations on the Cambridge to Liverpool Street route.

Route	Possible SDO or other operational solution
Stansted Airport to Liverpool Street	Broxbourne (platforms 1 & 4)
Cambridge to Liverpool Street	Shelford Great Chesterford Newport Elsenham Harlow Mill Roydon

Other assumptions are:-

- 12-coach trains will be able to be accommodated at Liverpool Street without there being any infrastructure works required;
- no other alterations to infrastructure away from affected stations will be required;
- the existing 12-coach stations on the route (Whittlesford Parkway, Audley End, Bishops Stortford, Harlow Town and Tottenham Hale) will not require platform extensions;
- if land take or air rights are required, this will be forthcoming;
- planning approvals, if required, will be forthcoming;
- no further works in stations (secondary means of escape, platform canopies, additional station entrances, customer information systems, seating, etc.) will be required; and
- any required additional train stabling facilities will be provided outside this project.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Complete single option development and outline design for each location	GRIP 4 stagegate reviews completed	March 2010 (Broxbourne) June 2010 (Cambridge) June 2010 (all other locations)
Tender for detailed design and implementation phase	Invitations to tender	Sept. 2010 (Broxbourne) Dec. 2010 (Cambridge) December 2010 (all other locations)
Implementation phase (on-site works)	Physical works, taken into use upon completion	Dec. 2011 (Broxbourne) Dec. 2011 (Cambridge) Dec. 2011 (all other locations)

This project is planned to be completed in time for the December 2011 timetable change.

Project definition: 10-car south west suburban railway

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Run all suburban trains at maximum length	12	London Waterloo	1,200	0
Run all mainline trains at maximum length	79	London Waterloo	8,000	1,200
10-car operation on all suburban services	140	London Waterloo	19,200	7,300

There are a range of options/variations on this strategy, currently being developed by SSWT, DfT and Network Rail, which may reduce the figures above whilst still meeting HLOS targets.

Scope of works

The scope of work necessary to meet our obligation is:

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Waterloo to Windsor and Eton Riverside (via Richmond)	Vauxhall (platforms 3 and 4) Putney (platforms 2, 3 and 4) Richmond (platforms 1 and 2) ¹ Twickenham (platforms 3, 4 and 5) Whitton (platforms 1 and 2) Ashford (platforms 1 and 2) Staines (platforms 1 and 2) Wraysbury (platforms 1 and 2) Windsor and Eton Riverside (platforms 1 and 2)
Hounslow Loop	Queenstown Road (platforms 2 and 3) Wandsworth Town (platforms 1, 2, 3 and 4) Barnes (platforms 1, 2, 3 and 4) Mortlake (platforms 1 and 2) North Sheen (platforms 1 and 2) St Margarets (platforms 1, 2 and 3) Barnes Bridge (platforms 1 and 2) Chiswick (platforms 1 and 2) Kew Bridge (platforms 1 and 2) Brentford (platforms 1 and 2) Syon Lane (platforms 1 and 2) Hounslow (platforms 1 and 2)

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Staines to Weybridge	Egham (platforms 1 and 2) Virginia Water (platform 4) Addlestone (platforms 1 and 2) Weybridge (platform 1)
Raynes Park to Dorking ²	Raynes Park (platforms 1 and 4) Motspur Park (platforms 1 and 2) Worcester Park (platforms 1 and 2) Stoneleigh (platforms 1 and 2) Ewell West (platforms 1 and 2) Epsom (platforms 1, 2, 3 and 4) Ashted (platforms 1 and 2) Leatherhead (platforms 1 and 2) Boxhill and Westhumble (platforms 1 and 2)
Kingston Loop and Shepperton Branch ²	Vauxhall (platforms 7 and 8) Clapham Junction (platform 11) Earlsfield (platforms 2 and 3) Raynes Park (platforms 2 and 3) New Malden (platforms 1 and 3) Norbiton (platforms 1 and 2) Kingston (platforms 2 and 3) Hampton Wick (platforms 1 and 2) Teddington (platforms 1 and 2) Strawberry Hill (platforms 1 and 2) Fulwell (platforms 1 and 2) Hampton (platforms 1 and 2) Kempton Park (platforms 1 and 2) Sunbury (platforms 1 and 2) Upper Halliford (platforms 1 and 2) Shepperton (platform 1)
Hampton Court Branch ²	Berrylands (platforms 1 and 2) Thames Ditton (platforms 1 and 2) Hampton Court (platforms 1 and 2)
Guildford via Woking ²	Guildford (Platforms 1 and 2. The capability to turnback a 10-car train in platform 2 is required but due to geographical constraints it is not yet decided whether platform lengthening is appropriate or whether other solutions are appropriate)

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Guildford via Cobham ²	Hinchley Wood (platforms 1 and 2) Claygate (platforms 1 and 2) Oxshott (platforms 1 and 2) Cobham and Stoke d'Abernon (platforms 1 and 2) Effingham Junction (platforms 1 and 2) Horsley (platforms 1 and 2) Clandon (platforms 1 and 2) London Road (Guildford) (platforms 1 and 2)
Guildford via Leatherhead ²	Bookham (platforms 1 and 2)
Chessington Branch ²	Malden Manor (platforms 1 and 2) Tolworth (platforms 1 and 2) Chessington North (platforms 1 and 2) Chessington South (platform 1)

Note 1 The works planned at Richmond require significant reconstruction of a road overbridge, which may not be achievable until the end of the control period. The interim solution would be the use of SDO.

Note 2 It is assumed that these routes will be operated by Class 455 stock, and that it will not be practicable to implement SDO functionality on this stock. However, if it does prove possible to fit approved SDO functionality on this stock (or if this functionality can be provided by using alternative stock), then we will review and agree with SSWT a list of locations where an SDO solution is an appropriate and cost-effective alternative to platform lengthening.

Outputs

This project allows 10-car operation on suburban services on the Wessex route into Waterloo.

Significant interfaces

There are major interfaces with the following projects:

- the CP4 enhancement scheme to provide additional power supply throughout the South West suburban area;
- Waterloo International integration;
- Sussex route platform lengthening; and
- the Olympic and Paralympic Games (avoidance of possessions during).

Key assumptions

- Delivery dates assume no TWA powers are required;
- Stabling facilities at Feltham (or alternative interim location) are available to SSWT to accommodate any 10-car fleet as it is rolled out for suburban services;
- powers supply will be developed in line with the timescales outlined in this project in order that a 10-car service can be implemented by SSWT without any compromise to sectional running times, performance and timetable;
- planning approvals will be forthcoming for the works required;
- platforms 1 and 2 at Vauxhall and platforms 3, 4, 5 and 6 at Clapham Junction are capable of accommodating 10 car trains without requiring any infrastructure work, but there is a small risk that some minor platform surface work is required; and
- it is also assumed that platform lengthening will not be undertaken at the locations shown in the table below.

Route	Locations where works will not be undertaken
Waterloo to Windsor and Eton Riverside (via Richmond)	Putney (platform 1) Twickenham (platforms 1 and 2) platforms not used for passenger trains Feltham (platforms 1 and 2) ³ Sunnymeads (Platforms 1 and 2) existing use of SDO will continue Datchet (platforms 1 and 2) Clapham Junction (platforms 3, 4, 5 and 6) Vauxhall (platforms 1 and 2) already 10-car capable
Hounslow Loop	Isleworth (platforms 1 and 2) existing use of SDO will continue
Staines to Weybridge	Virginia Water (platform 3) existing use of SDO will continue Chertsey (platforms 1 and 2) existing use of SDO will continue
Raynes Park to Dorking	Dorking (platforms 1, 2 and 3) already 12-car capable
Kingston Loop and Shepperton Branch	Clapham Junction (platform 10) already 10-car capable Wimbledon (platforms 5, 6, 7 and 8) already 10-car capable Kingston (platform 1)
Hampton Court Branch	Surbiton (platforms 1, 2, 3 and 4) already 12-car capable
Guildford via Woking	Esher (platforms 1 and 4) already 12-car capable Hersham (platforms 1 and 2) already 12-car capable Walton-on-Thames (platforms 1 and 2) already 12-car capable Weybridge (platforms 2 and 3) already 12-car capable Byfleet and New Haw (platforms 1 and 2) already 12-car capable West Byfleet (platforms 1, 2 and 3) already 12-car capable Woking (platforms 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) already 12-car capable Woking (Platform 6). not in scope Worplesdon (platforms 1 and 2) already 12-car capable Guildford (platforms 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8) already 10- or 12-car capable

Note 3 Extending Feltham platforms at the country end will require closure of the level crossing. Extending them at the London end will impact on the entrance to any potential new depot. Further development work will be needed before a firm decision can be made at this location. The interim fallback position would be the use of SDO.

Activities and milestones

Route	Milestone	Date
Waterloo to Windsor and Eton riverside (via Richmond)	GRIP 4 completion (Windsor, Whitton, Ashford, Vauxhall, Clapham Junction)	Dec. 2009
	GRIP 4 completion (Staines, Wraysbury)	June 2012
	GRIP 4 completion (Twickenham, Richmond, Putney)	Sept. 2010
	Infrastructure complete (Windsor, Wraysbury, Staines, Ashford, Whitton, Twickenham, Clapham Junction, Vauxhall, Richmond*)	Dec. 2011
	Infrastructure complete (Putney,)	Dec. 2013
Hounslow Loop	GRIP 4 completion	Sept. 2010
	GRIP 4 completion (Queens Town Road, Hounslow)	March 2011
	Infrastructure complete	Dec. 2012
Staines to Weybridge	GRIP 4 completion	March 2010
	Infrastructure complete	Dec. 2012
Raynes Park to Dorking	GRIP 4 completion	March 2011
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013
Kingston Loop and Shepperton Branch	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013
Hampton Court Branch	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013
Guildford via Woking	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013
Guildford via Cobham	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec.2013
Guildford via Leatherhead	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013
Chessington Branch	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013

* The programme for Richmond depends on the outcome of negotiations with key stakeholders including local authorities.

Works will be complete in time for the December 2012 and 2013 timetable changes.

Project definition: Clapham Junction station capacity and platform lengthening

Network Rail's obligations

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

The below operational plan has been updated in light of Govia's winning franchise bid for South Central. Class 377 stock is assumed to be used for all services.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Approximate additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
London Bridge/East Croydon/ Norwood Jn to Victoria via Streatham Hill	12	Victoria/London Bridge	1089	1089
Sutton/Epsom Downs to Victoria via Norbury	18	Victoria	1634	1089
Horsham/ Epsom to Victoria via Hackbridge	10	Victoria	908	545
East Grinstead to Victoria/ LB via Clapham Jn Fast Lines and Sydenham fasts respectively	16	Victoria/ London Bridge	1815	1452
East Croydon/ West Croydon/ Epsom to London Bridge via Sydenham Slow Lines	10	London Bridge	1271	726
Run all suburban trains at maximum length	12	London Waterloo	1,200	0
Run all mainline trains at maximum length	79	London Waterloo	8,000	1,200
10-car operation on all suburban services	140	London Waterloo	19,200	7,300

Scope of works

The scope of works necessary to meet our obligation is:

Location	Platform extensions	Civil engineering	Track / signalling	Other
Platforms 3, 4, 5 and 6	None	None	None	Possible minor surface works to facilitate use of existing 10 car platforms.
Platforms 11, 14 and 15	Extend platform x 3	Lengthening of platforms	None identified	None identified

Outputs

This project would contribute to the achievement of 10-car suburban operation into Victoria from the Sussex route by December 2013 and Waterloo from the Wessex route by December 2012

Significant interfaces

- Route 2 suburban area 10-car operations to Victoria and London Bridge;
- Route 3 10-car South West suburban railway; and
- Route 3 Waterloo International Terminal conversion.
- North London Railway Infrastructure Project
- East London Line Extension Phase 2

Key assumptions

- That SDO will not be an acceptable alternative at this location;
- a capacity of 90.75 has been assumed per class 377 vehicle;
- that no developer contributions will be available within CP4 to fund enhancements to the passenger circulating space at Clapham Junction. The planning application submitted by Land Securities was turned down by the Local Authorities and the proposals have subsequently been withdrawn.
- further development work on a scheme to improve the stepping distances on platforms 15 and 16 will continue in conjunction with this project, but as the major realignment work is no longer necessary to enable the required platform extensions to platforms 14 and 15 any work will be funded from an alternative source.

Activities and milestones

Location	Activity	Date
Platforms 14 and 15*	Complete GRIP4	Sept. 2011
	Complete GRIP5	June 2012
	Commence works on site	June 2012
	Complete works on site	Dec. 2013
	Commence 10-car Operations	Dec. 2013
Platform 11**	GRIP 4 completion	March 2012
	Infrastructure completion	Dec. 2013

* Platforms 14 and 15 – will be delivered in line with the Sussex route suburban area timescales for the Norbury route.

** Platform 11 will be delivered in line with the 10-car south west suburban railway timescales for the Waterloo to Winsor and Eton Riverside route.

The platform lengthening works will be complete in time for the December 2013 timetable change. Minor works on platforms 3 to 6 will be complete for the December 2011 timetable change.

Project definition: Sussex route suburban area 10-car/ 12-car operations to Victoria and London Bridge

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans. The below operational plan has been updated in light of Govia's winning franchise bid for South Central.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Approximate additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
London Bridge/East Croydon/ Norwood Jn to Victoria via Streatham Hill	12	Victoria/London Bridge	1089	1089
Sutton/Epsom Downs to Victoria via Norbury	18	Victoria	1634	1089
Horsham/ Epsom to Victoria via Hackbridge	10	Victoria	908	545
East Grinstead to Victoria/ LB via Clapham Jn Fast Lines and Sydenham fasts respectively	16	Victoria/ London Bridge	1815	1452
East Croydon/ West Croydon/ Epsom to London Bridge via Sydenham Slow Lines	10	London Bridge	1271	726

Scope of works

The scope of work that is necessary to meet our obligation is set out in the following table which lists locations where work is necessary to deliver the 10-car, and in some cases 12-car, capability. The locations are grouped by five operational route groupings plus a separate category for the stations core to all routes on the Victoria slow lines.

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
Victoria Core stations: 10 car	Clapham Junction ¹ (platforms 14 and 15) Wandsworth Common* (platforms 1 and 2) Balham (platforms 1 and 2)
Sutton/ Epsom Downs <> Victoria Central via Norbury: 10 car	Streatham Common* (platforms 1 and 2) Norbury (platforms 1, 2) Thornton Heath* (platforms 1 and 2) Selhurst* (platforms 1 and 2) West Croydon ² (platforms 1, 3 and 4) Waddon (platforms 1 and 2) Wallington (platforms 1 and 2) Sutton (platforms 3* and 4*) Epsom Downs
East Grinstead <> Victoria Central (fast lines from Windmill Bridge Jn)/ London Bridge (fast lines from Norwood Jn): 12 car	East Grinstead (platforms 1* and 2*) Oxted (platforms 1 and 2) Upper Warlingham (platforms 1 and 2) Sanderstead (platforms 1 and 2)

15.06 Project definition - Suburban area 10-car operations to Victoria and London Bridge

Route	Platforms to be lengthened
London Bridge <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill) & East Croydon/ Norwood Jn <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill): 10 car	Streatham Hill (platforms 1 and 2*) Gypsy Hill (platform 2) Crystal Palace (platforms 1*, 2*, 4 and 6, with platform numbers based on the post ELLX station layout)
East/ West Croydon <> London Bridge (via Sydenham slow lines) & Epsom <> London Bridge (via West Croydon and Sydenham fast lines from Norwood Jn): 10 car	Norwood Junction (platforms 1 and 5) Sydenham platform 2 Forest Hill* (platforms 1 and 2) Honor Oak Park (platforms 1 and 2) Brockley (platforms 1 and 2) New Cross Gate* (platforms 2 and 5)
Horsham/ Epsom <> Victoria via Hackbridge ¹ : 10 car	Carshalton (platforms 1 and 2) Cheam (platforms 1 and 2) Mitcham Eastfields* (platforms 1 and 2)

Note 1 Clapham Junction, platforms 14 and 15 were formerly included in the scope of project 15.05 in the March 2009 Delivery Plan.

Note 2 Platforms 3 and 4 will be required for 12 car operation for Thameslink services in CP5. Development of a 10 car solution for CP4 will be consistent with that requirement. The country end turnback will be delivered in 2009/10 for 10 car operation as part of shortly to be completed East London Line works. Bay Platform 1 is presently in scope for a 10 car extension within CP4. This is subject to a scheme to extend beyond the present buffer stop in the country direction being feasible.

* Denotes locations where a derogation from standards will be required in order to deliver presently listed scope.

Epsom, Leatherhead, Ashted and Box Hill and Westhumble sit within the scope of the Route 3 project '10-car south west suburban railway'.

All stations East and West Croydon to London Bridge via the Sydenham slow lines are required for 12 car operation for Thameslink services in CP5. Development of a 10 car solution for CP4 will be consistent with that requirement. Where work is taking place to extend platforms / move S&C or signals in CP4, early delivery of 12 car capability will also take place at the same time, where it is efficient to do so.

Where land is required and cannot be purchased directly, it is intended that Transport and Works Act (TWA) powers be sought.

Outputs

This project will allow 10 and in some cases 12 car services to run on the operational routes:

- A 10-car service for Victoria core stations;
- a 10-car service for Sutton / Epsom Downs <> Victoria Central via Norbury;
- a 12-car service for East Grinstead <> Victoria Central (fast lines from Windmill Bridge Jn)/ London Bridge (fast lines from Norwood Jn);
- a 10-car service for London Bridge <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill) and East Croydon/ Norwood Jn <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill);
- a 10-car service for East/ West Croydon <> London Bridge (via Sydenham slow lines) and Epsom <> London Bridge (via West Croydon and Sydenham fast lines from Norwood Jn); and
- a 10-car service for Horsham/ Epsom <> Victoria via Hackbridge.

Significant interfaces

- Strategic route 2: power supply upgrade project. Key to delivery of lengthened services on some of the routes outlined in this project;
- 10-car south west suburban railway at Epsom, Leatherhead, Ashted, Box Hill and Westhumble;

- Thameslink KO2: interface with Thameslink project as KO2 currently envisages *some* services on the East Grinstead and Sydenham slow line routes become Thameslink operated from 2015; and
- Thameslink works at London Bridge: presently programmed to begin in October 2012. An aspiration exists to have lengthened 12-car services on East Grinstead route and possibly 10-car operations on the Sydenham slow lines route by this point.

Key assumptions

- It is assumed that SDO capable rolling stock will now be in use on the operational routes outlined above during CP4, though it is recognised that the dates when this stock may become available could be subject to change;
- a capacity of 90.75 passengers per carriage has been assumed per class 377 vehicle;
- it is assumed that the platforms listed in the second table below will remain unmodified and any longer trains calling in CP4 will need to utilise Selective Door Opening (SDO) or vehicles locked out of use. With the exception of Norwood Junction, where a mix of fast and slow line services can call at the fast line platforms, fast line platforms at stations are not listed as they are uniformly assumed to be out of scope at all locations; and
- No work is presently being undertaken by Network Rail on enhancements to depot and stabling facilities/ associated depot power supply to enable 10/12-car peak suburban operations. As agreed with DfT, proposals for enhancements to depot and stabling facilities to allow peak 10/12 car operation were tabled by bidders for the South Central franchise, the winner of which was announced in June 2009. Network Rail has provided comments on the feasibility of each of these proposals to the Department for Transport as part of the re-franchising process.

Operational route	Stations where SDO or vehicles locked out of use will be required for longer trains to call
Victoria Core stations: 10 car	Battersea Park (platforms 3 and 4)
Sutton/ Epsom Downs <> Victoria Central via Norbury: 10 car	Carshalton Beeches (platforms 1 and 2) Belmont Banstead
East Grinstead <> Victoria Central (fast lines from Windmill Bridge Jn)/ London Bridge (fast lines from Norwood Jn): 12 car	Dormans (platforms 1 and 2) Lingfield (platforms 1 and 2) Hurst Green (platforms 1 and 2) Woldingham (platforms 1 and 2) Riddlesdown (platforms 1 and 2) South Croydon (platforms 3, 4 and 5) ³
London Bridge <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill) & East Croydon/ Norwood Jn <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill): 10 car	Gypsy Hill (platform 1) ⁴ West Norwood (platform 1 and 2)
East/ West Croydon <> London Bridge (via Sydenham slow lines) & Epsom <> London Bridge (via West Croydon and Sydenham fast lines from Norwood Jn): 10 car	Norwood Junction (platforms 3, 4 and 6) Anerley (platforms 1 and 2) ⁵ Penge West (platforms 1 and 2) ⁵ Sydenham (platform 1)
Horsham/ Epsom <> Victoria via Hackbridge: 10 car	Warnham (platforms 1, 2) Ockley (platforms 1, 2) Holmwood (platforms 1, 2) Ewell East (platforms 1, 2) Hackbridge (platforms 1, 2) Mitcham Junction (platforms 1, 2)

Note 3 It may not be possible to call 12 car services at South Croydon using SDO. Network Rail is presently investigating the operational impact of SDO operation at this location.

Note 4 Subject to derogations being achieved it may be possible to move this platform into scope.

Note 5 Stations outside the scope of this project, but within the 12-car Thameslink scope will be developed in conjunction with any other Thameslink 12-car schemes that are developed by this project.

Activities and milestones

East Grinstead Route: (East Grinstead <> Victoria Central (fast lines from Windmill Bridge Jn)/ London Bridge (fast lines from Norwood Jn): 12 car)	Date
Complete GRIP4	June 2010
Complete GRIP5	Sept. 2010
Commence works on site	Dec. 2010
Complete works on site	Sept. 2011
Commence 12-car Operations	Dec. 2011

Sydenham Route: (East/ West Croydon <> London Bridge (via Sydenham slow lines) & Epsom <> London Bridge (via West Croydon and Sydenham fast lines from Norwood Jn): 10 car)	Date
Complete GRIP4	Sept. 2010
Complete GRIP5	Dec. 2011
Commence works on site	Dec. 2011
Complete works on site	April 2012
Commence 10-car operations	May 2012

Norbury Route: (Victoria Core stations: 10 car) (Sutton/ Epsom Downs <> Victoria Central via Norbury: 10 car)	Date
Complete GRIP4	Sept. 2011
Complete GRIP5	June 2012
Commence works on site	June 2012
Complete works on site	Dec. 2013
Commence 10-car Operations	Dec. 2013

Streatham Hill Route: (London Bridge <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill) & East Croydon/ Norwood Jn <> Victoria Central (via Streatham Hill): 10 car)	Date
Complete GRIP4	March 2012
Complete GRIP5	Dec. 2012
Commence works on site	Dec. 2012
Complete works on site	March 2013
Commence 10-car Operations	Dec. 2013

Hackbridge Route: Horsham/ Epsom <> Victoria via Hackbridge: 10 car	Date
Complete GRIP4	March 2012
Complete GRIP5	Dec. 2012
Commence works on site	Dec. 2012
Complete works on site	March 2013
Commence 10-car Operations	Dec. 2013

The delivery dates in the table, in particular those for the East Grinstead route could be impacted by the outcome of the modelling being carried out on the power supply works necessary to support the 10 and 12-car lengthening. The currently envisaged scope of works for enhancements to power supply on Route 2 are detailed in the Route 2 – power supply enhancements project section.

Project definition: Kent train lengthening

This work package covers several projects previously listed separately (15.07 to 15.13). They have been amalgamated here into a single package for ease of reference.

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: St Pancras domestic service via High Speed One	174	St Pancras	11,000	5,800
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: Victoria service change from 17tph to 16tph in high peak	-12	Victoria	-1,200	-1,200
Train lengthening to Victoria in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	4	Victoria	400	400
Train lengthening to Charing Cross / Cannon Street in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	30	London Bridge	5,200	4,400
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Sidcup line to Dartford	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Bexleyheath line to Dartford	12	London Bridge	1,400	1,200
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Greenwich line to Dartford	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operation Sevenoaks (via Hither Green) stopping services	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Hayes line	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Dartford to Gravesend	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 12-car operations: stopping services via Sole Street	8	Victoria	500	500
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 8-car operations: Maidstone East line	4	Victoria	200	200

Scope of works

The scope of works required to deliver the outputs is shown below.

Locations listed in the first column will undergo platform extension works whilst locations in the second column will undergo minor infrastructure works as necessary (typically signal and Driver Only Operation equipment relocations) to accommodate the longer trains stated.

Project	Platforms in scope of works to support 12-car Class 465 operations	
	Platform lengthening	Minor infrastructure works
15.10 – 12-car operations Sidcup and Bexleyheath routes	New Cross (platforms A, B, C) Blackheath (platform 1) Eltham (platforms 1, 2) Welling (platform 1) Bexleyheath (platform 2) Barnehurst (platforms 1, 2) Hither Green (platforms 5, 6) Mottingham (platforms 1, 2) Dartford (platforms 1, 2, 3, 4)	Waterloo East (platform A) Blackheath (platform 2) Kidbrooke (platform 2) Welling (platform 2) Bexleyheath (platform 1) Bexley (platform 1) Crayford (platform 1)
15.11 – 12-car operations Hayes and Sevenoaks (stopping) services	Chelsfield (platform 1) Knockholt (platform 2) Eden Park (platform 2)	Ladywell (platform 2) Lower Sydenham (platform 1) West Wickham (platform 2) Grove Park (platform 5) Petts Wood (platform 3) Dunton Green (platforms 1, 2)
15.12 – 12-car operations Greenwich and Woolwich route	Westcombe Park (platforms 1, 2) Charlton (platforms 1, 2) Woolwich Arsenal (platform 1) Plumstead (platforms 1, 2) Abbey Wood (existing plats 1, 2) Erith (platforms 1, 2) Slade Green (platforms 1, 2)	Deptford (platforms 1, 2) Greenwich (platform 1) Maze Hill (platforms 1, 2) Woolwich Arsenal (platform 2)
15.13 – 12-car Dartford to Rochester route	Stone Crossing (platforms 1) Greenhithe (platforms 1, 2) Swanscombe (platforms 1, 2) Northfleet (platforms 1, 2) Gravesend (platforms 1, 2 and new platform 3)	

Platforms not mentioned within the geographic scope of the routes (above) can already support 12-car Class 465 trains with the exception of Charing Cross which is described in the 'key assumptions' section (below).

Outputs

Platform lengths for 12-car trains will be provided on all suburban routes from Charing Cross and Cannon Street with the exception of Woolwich Dockyard station and stations east of Gravesend. Other capability will include:

- 12-car turnback capability at Sidcup;
- 12-car turnback capability at Plumstead or Abbey Wood (subject to Crossrail phasing plans); and
- 12-car turnback capability at Dartford.

Significant interfaces

The significant interfaces for this project are:

- construction works for Key Output 2 of the Thameslink Programme. These will potentially reduce capacity through London Bridge for much of the later part of CP4, and would therefore require longer trains to be in place in mitigation during this period;
- a scheme by Southeastern to modify Class 465 vehicles such that both sets of passenger doors on the rear vehicle on 12-car formations do not open at Charing Cross;
- the CP4 enhancement scheme to provide additional depot and stabling capacity, which is led by DfT;
- the CP4 enhancement scheme to provide additional power supply throughout the Kent suburban area; and
- construction works for Crossrail between Woolwich and Abbey Wood, together with the safeguarding of a potential future Crossrail extension to Gravesend.

Key assumptions

- Southeastern's franchise agreement will be modified to include a requirement to meet the CP4 HLOS peak capacity metrics, with additional rolling stock provided as necessary
- 12-car operation in the suburban area will utilise 3 x 4-car Class 465 units, with reconfigured vehicle interiors if necessary. The scheme will also be designed to allow for the operation of 12-car Class 375, 376 or 377 sets;
- it is assumed that 12-car Class 465 trains will be able to be accommodated at London Charing Cross without any major infrastructure works there being required. Only platforms 1, 2, 4 and 6 are confirmed as capable for use by these trains, this limitation is reflected in our assumptions regarding the overall contribution of the Kent train lengthening package to the peak capacity metric;
- 2-car Class 466 vehicles will be banned from operation in 12-car formations, since the additional platform length required cannot realistically be provided at critical sites;
- turnaround drivers will be provided at Charing Cross if necessary to avoid increased turnaround times at that location reducing the number of trains which can run;
- any main line trains (those operating east of Swanley and south of Sevenoaks) to be lengthened will be operated by SDO equipped rolling stock (Class 375 or 377) so longer platforms are not required;
- splitting and joining is required at Dartford and Orpington, to enable 12-car sets to meet high peak requirements, whilst allowing shorter trains to run off peak. Splitting and joining capability at other locations will be provided to the extent necessary to deliver the capacity metric; and
- derogations from standards will be required to deliver certain items in the above listed scope. We are assuming that stakeholder support will be forthcoming where necessary.

It is also assumed that the platforms listed in the second column, below, will remain unmodified and any longer trains calling in CP4 will need to utilise Selective Door Opening (SDO). In addition to the above a rear vehicle only doors inhibit system will be required at Charing Cross for 12-car Class 465 vehicles (projects 15.10 – 15.13).

Project	Locations where SDO will be required if longer trains are to call
15.07 – 12-car Swanley to Rochester route	Farningham Road (platforms 1, 2) Sole Street (platforms 1, 2)
15.08 – 8-car operation Maidstone East Line	Kemsing (platforms 1, 2) Barming (platforms 1, 2) Bearsted (platforms 1, 2) Hollingbourne (platforms 1, 2) Harrietsham (platforms 1, 2) Lenham (platforms 1, 2) Charing (platforms 1, 2)
15.09 – 6-car operation Victoria to Bellingham	This service is no longer expected to operate in CP4. In the event of this changing SDO will be required in the following: Clapham High Street (platforms 1, 2) Wandsworth Road (platforms 1, 2)
15.11 – 12-car operations Hayes and Sevenoaks (stopping) services	Hither Green (platforms 1, 2) – fast lines Grove Park (platforms 2, 3) – fast lines Elmstead Woods (platforms 1, 2) – fast lines Chislehurst (platforms 1, 2) – fast lines Petts Wood (platforms 1, 2) – fast lines
15.12 – 12-car operations Greenwich and Woolwich route	Woolwich Dockyard platforms 1, 2
15.13 – 12-car Dartford to Rochester route	Higham (platforms 1,2) Strood (platforms 1, 2) Rochester (platforms 1, 2, 3, 4)
N/A – Charing Cross main line services	Pluckley (platforms 1, 2) Tunbridge Wells (platforms 1, 2)

Activities and milestones

Activity (sites except project 15.13: east of Dartford)	Date
Complete GRIP4	March 2010
Commence detailed design (GRIP5)	June 2010
Award construction contract	Sept. 2011
Commence works on site	Dec. 2011
Complete works on site	June 2012
Commence 12-car Class 465 operations (not east of Dartford)	Oct. 2012

Activity (project 15.13: east of Dartford)	Date
Complete GRIP4	Dec. 2010
Commence detailed design (GRIP5)	June 2011
Award construction contract	March 2013
Commence works on site	May 2013
Complete works on site	May 2014*
Commence 12-car Class 465 operations to Gravesend	May 2014*

* Due to the significant levels of track access required for the works at Gravesend, this has been programmed for implementation in time for those elements of construction works at London Bridge that start in mid 2014, when the extra capacity facilitated by the platform lengthening can provide further mitigation.

Power supply upgrade

Network Rail's obligation

The ORR's final determinations provide us with the flexibility to work with train operators to deliver the most cost-effective plan to meet the HLOS capacity metrics for England and Wales.

Our obligation is to deliver the enhancement projects necessary to support the operational plans assumed with train operators to meet the HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are described in the route plans.

The proposed power supply upgrade projects necessary, in combination with the train lengthening programme, to support the operational plans are set out in the following pages.

We have the flexibility to change the operational plan and the proposed scope necessary to facilitate the operational plan. Any changes to the proposed operational plans or the proposed enhancement schemes will be subject to consultation with relevant train operators and change control as outlined at the front of this document.

Enhancement projects

Set out below are the projects assumed necessary:

- Kent (route 1) power supply enhancements;
- New Cross Grid supply point enhancement;
- Sussex (route 2) power supply enhancements;
- Wessex (route 3) power supply enhancements;
- West Anglia (route 5) power supply enhancements;
- Thameside (route 6) power supply enhancements;
- Great Eastern (route 7) power supply enhancements; and
- DC regeneration.

The delivery dates for these projects will be determined by the delivery dates for the output change for infrastructure capability on the relevant route as described in the train lengthening programme.

Project definition: Route 1 – power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: St Pancras domestic service via High Speed One	174	St Pancras	11,000	5,800
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: Victoria service change from 17tph to 16tph in high peak	-12	Victoria	-1,200	-1,200
Train lengthening to Victoria in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	4	Victoria	400	400
Train lengthening to Charing Cross / Cannon Street in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	30	London Bridge	5,200	4,400
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Sidcup line to Dartford	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Bexleyheath line to Dartford	12	London Bridge	1,400	1,200
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Greenwich line to Dartford	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operation Sevenoaks (via Hither Green) stopping services	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Hayes line	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Dartford to Gravesend	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 12-car operations: stopping services via Sole Street	8	Victoria	500	500
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 8-car operations: Maidstone East line	4	Victoria	200	200

Scope of works

Traction power modelling has been completed with the enhanced train service. This has highlighted constraints in the existing network. The next stage is to complete constructability assessments to remove the constraint and to finalise the scope required. The scope of works necessary to deliver the output is:

	Description of work
E&P Distribution – Dartford loop	
DNO supply points	Increase of firm service capacity at three sites and alterations to HV feeding network
TPH / substation	6 - 8 track paralleling hut (TPH) to substation conversions
Electric track equipment	35 - 45km of double track ETE conversion to high current specification Impedance bonds changed to type 3
E&P Distribution – Dartford to Strood	
TPH / substation	3 - 4 track paralleling hut to substation conversions
Electric track equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3
E&P Distribution – Chislehurst to St Mary Cray / Sevenoaks	
TPH / substation	3 - 4 substation enhancements
Electric track equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3
E&P Distribution – Hayes branch	
TPH / Substation	1 substation enhancement
Electric track equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3

- The project is being developed and delivered progressively and hence there will be several packages of work of each area;
- the modelling is being completed progressively and will be a crucial feed into understanding the total cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output section of the project as well as those detailed in as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification; and
- although the modelling results are critical in understanding the scope of the project there is concurrent work being undertaken to understand the detailed requirements and funding of this project.

Outputs

Consistent with all Route 1 capacity schemes as detailed in section 15.00.

Significant interfaces

- Thameslink Programme;
- Sussex train lengthening;
- Kent domestic stock (including diversionary routes);
- Class 92 diversionary route project;
- Tunbridge Wells turnback;
- ELL;
- New Cross Grid enhancement;
- Crossrail;
- regenerative braking project;
- national SCADA project;
- platform extension projects;
- traction power supply renewals;
- separation of LUL power supply system; and
- LUL S stock introduction.

Key assumptions

- The current practice of freight services not using all contracted paths will continue and there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that the Thameslink Programme and other projects addressing the capacity metric will take place in CP4 enabling additional growth on other routes including:
 - 12-car operation on the Sidcup route to Dartford;
 - 12-car operation on the Bexleyheath route to Dartford;
- no specific requirement to reduce journey times or improve rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- rolling stock configurations are as agreed and detailed in the Southern DC traction power supply programme specification;
- rolling stock and the new configurations will be operating on existing power levels;
- Class 395 rolling stock dc maximum current draw for 12 car formation is 4kA;
- no special requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains, including both temporary and permanent, have been included;
- this project will be required to modify and / or enhance elements of the SCADA system; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of GRIP 3	Identification of single option	June 2010
Project completion	Completion of infrastructure works (GRIP 6)	Oct. 2012, consistent with the Train Lengthening details in section 15.07 to 15.13

16.02 Project definition – Route 1 New Cross Grid connection enhancement to power supply

Project definition: Route 1 – New Cross Grid connection enhancement to power supply

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: St Pancras domestic service via High Speed One	174	St Pancras	11,000	5,800
Southeastern December 2009 timetable SLC2 franchise commitments: Victoria service change from 17tph to 16tph in high peak	-12	Victoria	-1,200	-1,200
Train lengthening to Victoria in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	4	Victoria	400	400
Train lengthening to Charing Cross / Cannon Street in CP4 within existing infrastructure capability constraints	30	London Bridge	5,200	4,400
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Sidcup line to Dartford	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Bexleyheath line to Dartford	12	London Bridge	1,400	1,200
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Greenwich line to Dartford	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operation Sevenoaks (via Hither Green) stopping services	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Hayes line	10	London Bridge	1,200	900
Train lengthening infrastructure schemes: 12-car operations Dartford to Gravesend	8	London Bridge	900	700
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 12-car operations: stopping services via Sole Street	8	Victoria	500	500
Train lengthening schemes (SDO assumed) 8-car operations: Maidstone East line	4	Victoria	200	200

16.02 Project definition – Route 1 New Cross Grid connection enhancement to power supply

Scope of works

These works form part of an eight year programme expected to span two Control Periods and scheduled to complete in December 2016. It includes the following works:

- modification and extension of National Grid's existing 275kV substation at New Cross, to provide a replacement to the existing 66kV railway power supply feed;
- provision of two new 33kV supply points to the railway system, for the onward transmittal of traction supplies;
- short term remedial repairs to a number of transformers in the area, to enable them to remain in reliable service until 2015 when the new supplies are commissioned; and
- eventual decommissioning of the existing 66kV system at New Cross.

Outputs

The New Cross Grid supply point provides electric traction and signalling supplies to a large area of the DC third rail electrified system in South London, North Kent and Surrey. This project is to renew and upgrade this grid connection and associated infrastructure.

The following specific outputs will be provided:

- improved asset condition, reliability and performance, by renewal of infrastructure which is reaching the end of its economic working life;
- elimination of equipment which will otherwise become obsolete and be inefficient to retain; and
- enhanced traction supply capacity, to support the train lengthening and frequency requirements of the train service in CP4 and beyond.

Significant interfaces

- Thameslink Programme;
- Sussex train lengthening;
- introduction of High Speed domestic stock (including diversionary routes);
- freight (Class 92 diversionary route);
- Tunbridge Wells turnback siding;
- East London Line;
- New Cross Grid enhancement;
- Crossrail;
- regenerative braking project;
- SCADA project;
- traction power supply renewals;
- separation of LUL power supply system; and
- LUL S stock introduction.

Key assumptions

- The current practice of freight services not using all contracted paths will continue and there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that Thameslink Programme and other schemes listed in section 3 will be funded separately and take place in CP4 enabling additional growth. The Thameslink Programme will deliver significant elements of traction power supply improvements on Sussex routes;
- rolling stock configurations are as agreed and detailed in the southern DC traction power supply programme specification;
- rolling stock and the new configurations will be operating on existing power levels;
- no specific requirement to improvement journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- no additional requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains, including both temporary and permanent, have been included;
- this project will be required to modify and / or enhance elements of the SCADA system; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

16.02 Project definition – Route 1 New Cross Grid connection enhancement to power supply

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of National Grid works	Works by others, required before Network Rail works	Dec. 2014
Commission into service new traction supplies from New Cross Grid	End of main project delivery phase	Sept. 2015
Completion of 66kV decommissioning	Removal of redundant infrastructure	Sept. 2016
Project close-out (GRIP 8)	Project completion	Dec. 2016

Project definition: Route 2 – power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans. The below operational plan has been updated in light of Govia's winning franchise bid for South Central. Class 377 stock is assumed to be used for all services.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Approximate additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
London Bridge/East Croydon/ Norwood Jn to Victoria via Streatham Hill	12	Victoria/London Bridge	1089	1089
Sutton/Epsom Downs to Victoria via Norbury	18	Victoria	1634	1089
Horsham/ Epsom to Victoria via Hackbridge	10	Victoria	908	545
East Grinstead to Victoria/ LB via Clapham Jn Fast Lines and Sydenham fasts respectively	16	Victoria/ London Bridge	1815	1452
East Croydon/ West Croydon/ Epsom to London Bridge via Sydenham Slow Lines	10	London Bridge	1271	726

Scope of works

Traction power modelling has been completed with the enhanced train service. This has highlighted constraints in the existing network. The next stage is to complete constructability assessments to remove the constraint and to finalise the scope required. The scope required to deliver the outputs

	Description of Work
E&P Distribution - East Grinstead branch	
HV cable upgrade	30km of new 33kV feeders to replace existing 11kV feeders
Substations	4 -5 new substations
Electric track equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3

- The project is being developed and delivered progressively and hence there will be several packages of work of each area;
- the modelling is being completed progressively and will be a crucial feed into understanding the total cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output section of the project as well as those detailed as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification;
- although the modelling results are critical in understanding the scope of the project there is concurrent work being undertaken to understand the detailed requirements and funding of this project.

Significant interfaces

- Thameslink Programme;
- Wessex and Kent train lengthening;
- freight (Class 92 diversionary route);
- New Cross Grid enhancement;
- East London Line;
- West London Line;

- SCADA project;
- traction power supply renewals;
- separation of LUL power supply system; and
- LUL S stock introduction.

Key assumptions

- A capacity of 90.75 passengers per carriage has been assumed per class 377 vehicle;
- the current practice of freight services not using all contracted paths will continue and there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that Thameslink Programme and other schemes listed in section 3 will be funded separately and take place in CP4 enabling additional growth. The Thameslink Programme will deliver significant elements of traction power supply improvements on Sussex routes;
- rolling stock configurations are as agreed and detailed in the Southern DC traction power supply programme specification;
- rolling stock and the new configurations will be operating on existing power levels;
- no specific requirement to improvement journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- no additional requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains, including both temporary and permanent, have been included;
- this project will be required to modify and / or enhance elements of the SCADA system; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of GRIP 3	Identification of single option	June 2010
Project completion	Completion of infrastructure works (GRIP 6)	Sept. 2011, consistent with the train lengthening details in section 15.06

Project definition: Route 3 – power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Run all suburban trains at maximum length	12	London Waterloo	1,200	0
Run all mainline trains at maximum length	79	London Waterloo	8,000	1,200
10-car operation on all suburban services	140	London Waterloo	19,200	7,300

Scope of works

Traction power modelling has been completed with the enhanced train service. This has highlighted constraints in the existing network. The next stage is to complete constructability assessments to remove the constraint and to finalise the scope required.

Description of work	
E&P Distribution – Hounslow loop	
HV cable upgrade	Upgrade of 33kV feeders
Substations	4 - 6 substations enhancements
Electric Track Equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3 and some high current enhancement
E&P Distribution – Hounslow to Reading	
Grid supply enhancement	Staines
HV cable upgrade	Upgrade of 33kV feeders
Substations	7 - 9 substations enhancements
Electric Track Equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3
E&P Distribution – Wimbledon to Guildford via Woking	
HV cable upgrade	Upgrade of 33kV feeders
Substations	6 - 9 substations enhancements
Electric Track Equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3 and some high current enhancement
E&P Distribution – Hampton Court Junction to Guildford via Cobham	
HV cable upgrade	Upgrade of 33kV feeders
Substations	2 - 3 substations enhancements
Electric Track Equipment	Impedance bonds changed to type 3

Modelling of the services changes and the impact of other schemes (significant interfaces) is a critical element of the project. This work will be completed in June 2009 and will be a crucial feed into understanding the likely cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output

section of the project, as well as those detailed in as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification.

Outputs

Consistent with all Route 3 capacity schemes as detailed in section 15.00.

Significant interfaces

- Thameslink Programme;
- Wessex train lengthening;
- Freight (Class 92 diversionary route);
- New Cross Grid enhancement
- East London Line
- West London Line
- SCADA project;
- traction power supply renewals;
- separation of LUL power supply system;
- LUL S stock introduction
- SWT diversionary routes;
- Farnham re-signalling; and
- Airtrack.

Key assumptions

- The current practice of freight services not using all contracted paths will continue and there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that Thameslink Programme and other schemes listed in section 3 will be funded separately and take place in CP4 enabling additional growth. The Thameslink Programme will deliver significant elements of traction power supply improvements on Sussex routes;
- rolling stock configurations are as agreed and detailed in the Southern DC traction power supply programme specification;
- rolling stock and the new configurations will be operating on existing power levels;
- no specific requirement to improvement journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- no additional requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains, including both temporary and permanent, have been included;
- this project will be required to modify and / or enhance elements of the SCADA system; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of GRIP 3	Identification of single option	Sept. 2010
Project completion	Completion of infrastructure works (GRIP 6)	Dec. 2011, Dec. 2012 and Dec. 2013, consistent with the train lengthening details in section 15.04

Project definition: Route 5 – Power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Cambridge services	20	Liverpool Street	2,100	800
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Stansted Airport services	36	Liverpool Street	4,900	1,600
WA Inner Peak strengthening	24	Liverpool Street	3,700	800

Scope of works

Volume	Location			
E&P distribution				
Increase in firm supply capacity	Ugely	Milton	Rye House	Northumberland Park

Modelling of the service changes detailed in the programme outputs and the impact of other schemes (significant interfaces) is a critical element of the project. This work will not be completed until June 2009 and will be a crucial feed into understanding the likely scope, cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output section of the project as well as those detailed in as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification.

Outputs

Consistent with all Route 5 capacity schemes as detailed in section 15.00.

Significant interfaces

- Cambridge new island platform;
- Stansted Airport new platform (to be funded and delivered by BAA);
- Crossrail; and
- AC traction power supply enhancements on routes 7 (Great Eastern) and 6 (Thameside) in association with additional trains and train lengthening projects on those routes.

Key assumptions

The study being undertaken by the Traction Power Design National Specialist team will also identify what work will be required for Crossrail services in CP5, which could have an impact on works identified purely for West Anglia additional and lengthened services in CP4. The Sponsor of this project is working with the Crossrail team to identify where synergies might exist between the two projects in order that abortive or unnecessary works are not carried out as long as the delivery of the CP4 capacity metric is not jeopardised. This could involve bringing forward works required and funded by the Crossrail project.

Other key assumptions are:

- the current practice of freight services not using all contracted paths will continue, there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that other schemes listed as significant interfaces will take place in CP4 enabling additional growth;
- no specific requirement to improve journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;

- lead times are as follows: grid connections 3-4 years, equipment procurement transformers 2 years, switchgear, SCADA miscellaneous; 1-2 years;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- the SCADA system has either sufficient capacity or can be modified to accept all new devices;
- no special requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains including both temporary and permanent; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of modelling	Confirmation of scope and GRIP 3 estimate	March 2010
Complete programme specification	Baseline project scope	March 2010
Complete outline design	GRIP 4 designs suitable for tendering detailed design and implementation stage	March 2010
Complete project implementation (GRIP 6)	Delivery of project requirements	Dec. 2011
Project close-out (GRIP 8)	Project completion	March 2012

Project Definition: Route 6 – Power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Thameside 12-car running on main line	20	Fenchurch Street	2,400	1,600
Thameside 12-car running on Tilbury Loop	28	Fenchurch Street	3,200	2,000

Scope of works

Service changes to be modelled during feasibility on the Thameside Route are:

- switch from 8 to 12-coach operation on all main line services into Fenchurch Street in the peak hours CP4;
- switch from 8 to 12-coach operation on all Thameside services via Ockendon and Tilbury Loop into Fenchurch Street in the peak hours CP4; and
- potential introduction of an all day 4tph between Grays and Fenchurch Street in CP4.

Modelling of the services changes detailed above and the impact of other schemes (significant interfaces) is a critical element of the project. This work will not be completed until June 2009 and will be a crucial feed into understanding the likely scope, cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output section of the project as well as those detailed in as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification.

Outputs

Consistent with all Route 6 capacity schemes as detailed in section 15.00.

Significant interfaces

The main interfaces are with the AC traction power supply enhancements on routes 5 (West Anglia) and 7 (Great Eastern) in association with additional trains and train lengthening projects on those routes.

Key assumptions

- There will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that other schemes listed as significant interfaces will take place in CP4 enabling additional growth;
- no specific requirement to improve journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- lead times are as follows: grid connections 3-4 years, equipment procurement transformers 2 years, switchgear, SCADA misc; 1-2 years;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- the SCADA system has either sufficient capacity or can be modified to accept all new devices;
- no special requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains including both temporary and permanent; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of modelling	Confirmation of scope and GRIP 3 estimate	March 2010
Complete programme specification	Baseline project scope	March 2010
Complete outline design	GRIP 4 designs suitable for tendering detailed design and implementation stage	March 2010
Complete project implementation (GRIP 6)	Delivery of project requirements	Dec. 2011
Project close-out (GRIP 8)	Project completion	March 2012

Project Definition: Route 7 – Power supply enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
GE two additional main line services	24	Liverpool Street	2,500	0
GE eight extended main line services to 12-car	32	Liverpool Street	3,000	0
GE one extended Southminster service to 12-car	4	Liverpool Street	400	400
GE alterations to rolling stock allocations on main line services	0	Liverpool Street	1,200	1,100
GE two additional peak electric line services	8	Liverpool Street	1,700	900

Scope of works

Service changes being modelled during feasibility on the Great Eastern route are:

- introduce three additional Great Eastern outer services per hour into Liverpool Street in the peak hours (currently assumed to be one from Colchester, one from Chelmsford and one from Southend). These services are assumed to be 12-coach in the high peak hour and 8-coach in the shoulder peak hours in CP4;
- switch from 8 to 12-coach operation on all services between Southminster and Liverpool Street in the peak hours CP4;
- introduce two additional Great Eastern inner services to Liverpool Street;
- introduce new rolling stock on Great Eastern inner services following construction of Crossrail in CP5; and
- introduce enhanced Crossrail services in 2026.

Modelling of the service changes detailed previously and the impact of other schemes (significant interfaces) is a critical element of the project. This work will not be completed until June 2009 and will be a crucial feed into understanding the likely scope, cost and deliverability of works. All service changes assumed in the output section of the project as well as those detailed in as significant interfaces have been included in the modelling specification.

Outputs

The output of the project is to provide enhancement of the AC traction power supply to support the increase in the Great Eastern Main Line outer services; the increase in the Great Eastern inner 'Metro' services and lengthening of the Great Eastern Southminster service.

Significant interfaces

- North Fambridge loop extension;
- Crossrail; and
- AC traction power supply enhancements on routes 5 (West Anglia) and 6 (Thameside) in association with additional trains and train lengthening projects on those routes.

Key assumptions

The study being undertaken by the Traction Power Design National Specialist team will also identify what work will be required for Crossrail services in CP5, which could have an impact on works identified purely

for Great Eastern additional and lengthened services in CP4. The Sponsor of this project is working with the Crossrail team to identify where synergies might exist between the two projects in order that abortive or unnecessary works are not carried out as long as the delivery of the CP4 capacity metric is not jeopardised. This could involve bringing forward works required and funded by the Crossrail project with a contribution if required from funding for this project.

Other assumptions include:

- there will be no significant shift from diesel to electric hauled freight;
- it is assumed that other schemes listed as significant interfaces will take place in CP4 enabling additional growth;
- no specific requirement to improve journey times or rolling stock performance;
- technology used will be based on current industry standards providing lowest life cycle cost with no provision for low loss materials, or other developments;
- lead times are as follows: grid connections 3-4 years, equipment procurement transformers 2 years, switchgear, SCADA miscellaneous; 1-2 years;
- costs associated with train entry into service requirements such as safety case and system compatibility are not included;
- the SCADA system has either sufficient capacity or can be modified to accept all new devices;
- no special requirements for depots (new and old) or stabling of trains including both temporary and permanent; and
- current Rules of the Route will remain unchanged.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of modelling	Confirmation of scope and GRIP 3 estimate	March 2010
Complete programme specification	Baseline project scope	March 2010
Complete outline design	GRIP 4 designs suitable for tendering detailed design and implementation stage	March 2010
Complete project implementation (GRIP 6)	Delivery of project requirements	Dec. 2011
Project close-out (GRIP 8)	Project completion	March 2012

Project definition: DC regeneration

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to implement a scheme that enables DC regenerative braking to be introduced on all DC electrified routes in Wessex, Sussex and Kent.

Scope of works

The scope of works encompasses the complete testing of DC systems and phased introduction of regenerative capable trains in Sussex and Kent. There will also be segregation of 660V traction supplies to LUL from Network Rail Infrastructure to enable the increase of Network Rail system voltage without risk to LUL rolling stock and systems. Specific routes include:

- East Putney – Wimbledon (LUL Lines);
- Waterloo – Bank (LUL lines); and
- Richmond – Gunnersbury (Network Rail lines).

This element includes construction of new and altered major traction supplies (substations etc.).

The project will also modify circuit breakers and raise traction supply outputs on all inner London routes to 750V DC nominal in Wessex, Sussex and Kent.

The scope is subject to confirmation pending further development.

Outputs

The project results in a reduction of electric current for traction (EC4T) consumption with consequent reductions in energy costs to TOCs and FOCs.

The project increases the nominal system voltage to 750V across the three routes, which marginally increases the available traction supply capacity.

Significant interfaces

- LUL – agreement of commercial and technical arrangements, train interfaces, introduction of S Stock trains;
- South West Trains - agreement of commercial and technical arrangements and train interfaces in Wessex;
- South East Trains/Southern Trains - agreement of commercial and technical arrangements and train interfaces; and
- Airtrack

Key assumptions

Key assumptions are that agreement can be reached on technical and commercial issues with LUL and SWT and that the timescales with interfacing projects can be managed and delivered.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Agree technical and commercial arrangements with LUL and SWT	Arrangement established to allow project to proceed	Sept. 2010
Implementation authority	Authority to proceed to build project	Sept. 2011
Implementation commence	Work commenced	March 2012
Completion	Outputs delivered	March 2014

Southern capacity package

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are summarised in the tables included within this document and are described further in the route plans.

Scope of works

This package includes.

- Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity;
- East Croydon passenger capacity scheme; and
- Seven Sisters improved access.

Outputs

This package of work will provide:

- the necessary passenger handling capacity at Gatwick Airport, East Croydon and Seven Sisters stations; and
- enhanced operational robustness at Gatwick Airport.

17.01 Project definition – Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity scheme**Project definition: Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity scheme****Network Rail's obligation**

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans. The below operational plan has been updated in light of Govia's winning franchise bid for South Central. Class 377 stock is assumed to be used for all services.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Approximate additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
London Bridge/East Croydon/ Norwood Jn to Victoria via Streatham Hill	12	Victoria/London Bridge	1089	1089
Sutton/Epsom Downs to Victoria via Norbury	18	Victoria	1634	1089
Horsham/ Epsom to Victoria via Hackbridge	10	Victoria	908	545
East Grinstead to Victoria/ LB via Clapham Jn Fast Lines and Sydenham fasts respectively	16	Victoria/ London Bridge	1815	1452
East Croydon/ West Croydon/ Epsom to London Bridge via Sydenham Slow Lines	10	London Bridge	1271	726

Scope of works

The scope of works will incorporate alterations to track and signalling infrastructure, along with the associated electrification and civil engineering works to enable utilisation of the new platform 7. The scope could include the renewal of existing signalling interlocking equipment. The enhancements to the concourse could include the extension of the existing concourse and overbridge buildings to accommodate the new platform 7 along with integration to the airport forecourt. This will form the first phase of a fully integrated transportation facility which is intended for delivery in a future control period.

Outputs

The project will widen platforms 5 & 6 at Gatwick station and construct a new platform 7 along with an enhanced track layout to enable Gatwick terminating services to terminate away from the fast line platforms. This will provide for the additional capacity required to operate 2tph from the Reading – Guildford – Redhill route into Gatwick. This remains a franchise commitment for FGW and a key aspiration for regional stakeholders.

Significant interfaces

- Planned signalling and track renewals; and
- BAA airport works.

Key assumptions

- A capacity of 90.75 passengers per carriage has been assumed per class 377 vehicle;
- it is expected that core outputs will be deliverable within the limits of Network Rail land; and
- third party funding may be secured to enable an enhanced scope of works to be completed.

17.01 Project definition – Gatwick Airport remodelling and passenger capacity scheme

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
Funding identified and scope agreed	March 2010
Outline design complete (GRIP 4)	Sept. 2010
Design and build commencement (GRIP 5-8)	June 2010
Delivery timescale	Dec. 2012

We will commit to firm scope for this project at Q3 2010, following the GRIP 4 stage review.

Project definition: East Croydon passenger capacity scheme

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans. The below operational plan has been updated in light of Govia's winning franchise bid for South Central. Class 377 stock is assumed to be used for all services.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Approximate additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
London Bridge/East Croydon/ Norwood Jn to Victoria via Streatham Hill	12	Victoria/London Bridge	1089	1089
Sutton/Epsom Downs to Victoria via Norbury	18	Victoria	1634	1089
Horsham/ Epsom to Victoria via Hackbridge	10	Victoria	908	545
East Grinstead to Victoria/ LB via Clapham Jn Fast Lines and Sydenham fasts respectively	16	Victoria/ London Bridge	1815	1452
East Croydon/ West Croydon/ Epsom to London Bridge via Sydenham Slow Lines	10	London Bridge	1271	726

Scope of works

- Increased passenger circulation area on the concourse;
- substantially increased gateline capacity;
- improved passenger flows from the platforms to the concourse;
- increased passenger circulation space on the platforms; and
- reduced passenger numbers using the main station concourse and associated platform ramps.

The current preferred scheme, developed with stakeholders, will improve passenger flows through the main station building by remodelling the overall concourse. Platform accommodation will be removed to ensure improved circulation space. A mid-platform dispersal bridge will also be provided to allow entry / exit to the station laterally through third party lands to the east and west rather than solely through the main station building.

Network Rail has created an East Croydon Project group to work closely with key stakeholders such as the London Borough of Croydon.

Passive provision for an additional platform to the west of the station has been included in the project.

Outputs

The desired output of the East Croydon station capacity project is to deliver a station that accommodates predicted passenger growth over the next 30 years.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces with the Thameslink Programme – London Bridge station, Gatwick Airport station redevelopment and London Victoria redevelopment. Masterplans are in place to ensure major station redevelopments on the Brighton Main Line / London area are phased to avoid major disruption to the network.

Key stakeholders and potential additional funders have been identified. A regular liaison meeting is held with London Borough of Croydon, Transport for London, English Partnerships, Design for London etc.

An improved interface with buses, taxis and trams has been studied as part of this scheme. Discussions with relevant stakeholders are in progress.

Key assumptions

- A capacity of 90.75 passengers per carriage has been assumed per class 377 vehicle and
- it is assumed that external funding will be made available from London Borough of Croydon Section 106 contributions (circa £1-2 million) to further enhance the output of the scheme, the provision of the mid-platform dispersal bridge is dependent upon this. An element of TfL interchange funding may also be made available to improve the connections with bus and tram.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Complete GRIP 4	Single option development	Sept. 2010
Commence planning approvals		Sept. 2010
Complete planning approvals		June 2011
Complete GRIP 5	Detailed scheme design	Sept. 2011
Commence works on site		Dec. 2011
Complete site works		Dec. 2013

Project definition: Seven Sisters improved access

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Cambridge services	20	Liverpool Street	2,100	800
WA 12-car operation on Liverpool St-Stansted Airport services	36	Liverpool Street	4,900	1,600
WA Inner peak strengthening	24	Liverpool Street	3,700	800

Scope of works

Further development work will give more detailed scope and level of works required. At Seven Sisters station work will include widening staircases, extending canopies and providing additional seating, lighting and CIS equipment.

Outputs

The project will also facilitate anticipated increases in passengers at Seven Sisters station, including the interchange between the National Rail and London Underground networks.

Significant interfaces

- CP4 enhancement schemes to provide for 12-coach operations on the West Anglia Outer services;
- CP4 enhancement scheme to provide additional depot and stabling capacity, which is led by DfT; and
- CP4 enhancement scheme to provide enhanced AC traction power supplies throughout East Anglia.

Key assumptions

It is assumed that the planning approvals will be forthcoming for the works if required and it is assumed that further station works (platform canopies, additional station entrances, Customer Information Systems, seating, lighting etc) will be required.

Activities and milestones

These works will be completed in time for the December 2011 timetable change.

Milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 1 – 3	Option selection	March 2013
GRIP 4 – 8	Project completion	March 2014

East Coast Main Line improvements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver those projects specified by ORR and to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plans set out in the route plans.

Scope of works

The schemes identified by ORR to be delivered are:

- capacity relief to the ECML (GN/GE Joint Line);
- Peterborough station area capacity enhancements;
- Alexandra Palace to Finsbury Park 3rd Up line;
- Finsbury Park to Alexandra Palace 3rd Down line improvements;
- ECML level crossings;
- Hitchin grade separation;
- York Holgate Junction 4th line; and
- Shaftholme Junction remodelling.

For many of the above schemes the delivery date is towards the end of the control period. We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

In addition the following scheme is necessary to support the operational plans:

- FCC train lengthening.

In addition, a number of Thameslink Programme key output 2 projects are required to deliver the outputs:

- OLE power supply upgrade in the London area;
- platform extensions to 12-cars at Finsbury Park, Arlesey, Biggleswade and Sandy;
- platform extensions to 8-cars at Meldreth, Shepreth and Foxton;
- additional 12-car stabling at Peterborough (in conjunction with the above project at Peterborough); and
- additional 12-car stabling at Cambridge.

Output

These schemes deliver both the HLOS passenger kilometre specification for strategic route 8 and the London capacity specification for the East Coast.

The following will be provided (the baseline being the December 2008 timetable):

- up to two additional freight paths per hour between Peterborough and Doncaster;
- up to one additional long distance high speed passenger path per hour off peak;
- up to two additional long distance high speed passenger paths in each peak hour; and
- operation of up to ten outer suburban services per peak hour, with up to six of these being 12-car formations, subject to calling pattern.

The enhancements to the GN/GE Joint Line between Peterborough and Doncaster via Spalding and Lincoln (on strategic route 11) will enable it to handle two freight trains per hour at speeds up to 75mph (in addition to all existing traffic) with a loading gauge to W9/W10. One of these paths per hour will be suitable for a class 6 RA10 train, other paths would be for class 4 RA8 trains.

The GN/GE Joint Line will then form the primary route for daytime freight traffic, as well as offering a more attractive diversionary option to the ECML for both passenger and freight trains during perturbation or engineering work.

Project definition: Capacity relief to the ECML (GN/GE Joint Line)

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The current requirements of the project are:

- gauge clearance for W9, W10 (with an option for W12) at linespeed;
- improved layout in the area between Peterborough and Werrington Junction that avoids Down freight trains accessing the Spalding line and Up freight trains from the Spalding Line to East Anglia having to cross both the Up and Down ECML fast lines in one movement;
- accommodate train lengths that at least match those of existing services between Peterborough and Doncaster;
- provision for 775m freight train operation; and
- mitigation measures (including closures of level crossings), taking into account the increase in speed and numbers of trains operating, ensure that current levels of level crossing safety risk are maintained or improved.

A Transport and Works Act Order will be required if a grade separated option is selected at Werrington Junction. Various consents will be required for the multiple level crossing sites on the route which may require alterations as a result of the project.

Outputs

The scheme provides a significantly upgraded line between Peterborough and Doncaster via Spalding and Lincoln that can become the primary route for daytime freight traffic. This allows a parallel growth in Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) passenger services between London and Yorkshire, the North East and Scotland, and freight traffic, particularly intermodal traffic from Felixstowe, Bathside Bay and London Gateway.

The route via Spalding and Lincoln will be capable of taking class 4 (including intermodal trains) of up to Route Availability 8 at a ruling linespeed of 75mph and other freights including those up to Route Availability 10 at a ruling linespeed of 60mph. The ruling line speed will apply except where curvature precludes it and also through Lincoln.

Two freight paths each way per hour, over and above existing traffic levels on all sections of the route from Werrington Junction (exclusive) to Loversall Carr Junction (exclusive), will be provided with one capable of being a class 6 (timed as class 66 + 2000 tonnes trailing) and one being class 4 (timed as class 66 + 1600 tonnes trailing).

Where speeds in excess of 75mph are achievable for passenger services at marginal cost or where funding for the extra costs are available from other sources then these will be delivered as part of the project.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces with the HPUK Ltd scheme to provide W10 gauge clearance between Felixstowe and four Yorkshire terminals, and the Peterborough station area capacity enhancements, particularly in relation to Werrington Junction which could drive changes to the track layout at Peterborough approaching platforms 2 and 3 from the north and exiting platforms 4 and 5 northbound.

Key assumptions

The HPUK Ltd scheme will provide W9 and W10 gauge clearance from Pyewipe Junction to Loversall Carr Junction and can be delivered in compatible timescales.

Some necessary level crossing works will require external planning agreements such as level crossing section orders, which could impact on the completion timescales for increased linespeeds on certain sections of the route.

Programme – East Coast Main Line improvements
18.01 Project definition – Capacity relief to the ECML (GN/GE Joint Line)

If grade separation is required at Werrington Junction to provide two freight trains per hour in each direction via Spalding, plus six LDHS services each way per hour and the Norwich – Liverpool service, and then if TWA is required, this will impact on the timescales for the completion of the overall project.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Completion of GRIP 3	Critical step towards defining single option for implementation	June 2010
Bridge strengthening and track renewals work	Delivery of RA10 / 60mph and RA8 / 75mph to enable more freight trains to utilise this route	Dec. 2013
Remodelling of Werrington Junction area	Provision of a junction capable of handling traffic without detrimental impact on ECML performance	Dec. 2013 assuming no TWA required. If TWA required then this element of the project will not be delivered until CP5.
Level crossing works to enable higher linespeeds	Higher line speeds to provide ultimate capacity requirement	March 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: Peterborough station area capacity enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The specific requirements of the project are a new island platform (platforms 6 and 7) capable of handling 6x23m vehicle trains, built on the site of the current lines on the western side of platform 5. Bi-directional signalling on both platforms 6 and 7 with access to/from the Midland lines and the March lines may be required. The layout will allow parallel operation of a train from March towards Stamford through platform 7 and from Stamford towards March through platform 6. The project scope will also need to maintain a connection between the March lines and the Spital ladder.

The following scope is subject to further development work and consultation:

- revised connections into the Nene sidings to provide 12x20m vehicle stabling sidings to accommodate 317/319/365 type rolling stock and the future Thameslink Programme units;
- a new signalled route from platforms 4 and 5 to the Up fast line at Fletton including a new main to main crossover or relocation of Down main to Down slow turnout at Fletton junction;
- 10x26m vehicle IEP train operation in both directions in all of platforms 2, 3, 4 and 5;
- allow 2x23m vehicle operation on Spalding line service either using a separate bay platform to the eastern side of platform 2 or by permissive working on extended platforms 2 and 3 (platform sharing with 12x20m vehicle trains of classes 317/319/365 and future Thameslink Programme rolling stock);
- improved linespeed to minimum of 50mph on Up slow to Up main turnout at Fletton junction;
- new platform face on Up fast line with bi-directional signalling capable of handling 10x26m vehicle IEP trains in both directions;
- remove track from platform 4 and build platform out to Down fast line capable of handling 10x26m vehicle IEP trains;
- a flashing yellow sequence for Up trains running into platforms 2 and 3; and
- a 775m freight loop on Down March line at Peterborough East.

Volume	Peterborough
Track	
Rail (km)	0.5 (new plain line) 2 (relay plain line)
S&C units	15
SEUs	50
OLE (km)	3
Platform lengthening (m)	700

The scope of the project extends from ECML 75.02 Fletton junction to 79.34 Werrington Junction. Any works undertaken will aim to avoid any impact on requirements to extend some or all of the existing through platforms at Peterborough to accommodate IEP services.

Outputs

The scheme provides for a separate island platform on the western side of the station thereby allowing many services to/from East Anglia to run completely independently of ECML services, which in turn will improve capacity and performance. This contributes to the additional capacity required between Ipswich and Nuneaton following gauge enhancement of the route.

The project is examining options to allow successive Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) services to call at the station on four minute planning headways, a key assumption in the DfT's latest version of the CP5 IEP timetable. The revised layout aims to reduce passenger congestion on some platforms by spreading passengers across wider and additional platforms.

The scheme is examining options to provide additional 12x20m vehicle train stabling capacity for outer suburban and Thameslink services (specifically funded by an agreed contribution from the Thameslink Programme).

The scheme reduces a constraint in developing ECML timetables thereby allowing an increase in LDHS and freight services as part of a programme of ECML schemes as identified in the ECML Route Utilisation Strategy. This programme would allow an increase in services with an improvement in performance even though more trains would be operating. As the rolling stock on existing franchised LDHS services cannot be lengthened, additional services are required to support the increased passenger kilometre HLOS metric for route 8 for longer distance journeys to/from London.

In CP5, longer IEP services using the additional capacity created through this CP4 programme on the ECML would allow for continued growth in the LDHS market. Allowing successive IEP trains to call at Peterborough on a four minute planning headway is an assumption of the latest IEP timetable produced by DfT's consultants.

Significant interfaces

The Network Rail Commercial Property team is discussing a number of property development proposals with developers including development of the Queensgate Shopping Centre, development of the existing railway land in the Peterborough area and third party land on the west side of the station.

The Thameslink Programme has also developed a remit that requires the station layout at Peterborough to meet the requirements of the Thameslink timetable specifications. Although the Great Northern element of the Thameslink programme is not due to be delivered until 2015, the scope of the Thameslink requirements has been included into the wider capacity development remit to ensure that the design of the layout encompasses these proposals.

There is also an interface with the capacity relief to the ECML (GN/GE Joint Line) project, particularly in relation to Werrington junction which could drive changes to the track layout approaching platforms 2 and 3 from the north and exiting platforms 4 and 5 northbound. Also, this scheme interfaces with IEP, the Felixstowe to Nuneaton TIF gauge enhancement project and future capacity schemes.

Key assumptions

The commercial property scheme will provide a wider and longer footbridge (to serve the new island platform) and improved station entrance facilities on platform 2. The full extent of the commercial property proposals on both the east and west side of the station are uncertain. The capacity scheme can progress independently but if funding is not available to enhance the station facilities as planned through commercial property, then the scope of the capacity proposals may have to be revised.

Also assumed is that:

- no TWA is required; and
- Network and Station Change will be required;

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
SAP modelling	Performance benefits	Dec. 2009
Review of business case	Benefits confirmed	March 2010
GRIP 3	Single option report	June 2010
Network Change approved	Regulatory consent obtained	Dec. 2011
Station Change approved	Regulatory consent obtained	Dec. 2011
GRIP 4	Outline design complete	March 2012
GRIP 5	Detailed design complete	Dec. 2012
GRIP 6	Construction works complete	March 2014
GRIP 7/8	Project close out	June 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: Alexandra Palace to Finsbury Park 3rd Up line

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Finsbury Park station and Bounds Green depot will be impacted by the works on the Up side. Passive provision is being made to ensure that proposals do not impact on any future requirements to extend platforms further at Finsbury Park to accommodate IEP services, and to allow provision of platform faces for the 3rd line at Hornsey and Harringay.

Volumes	Up and Down combined
Track	
Rail (km)	0.5
Sleepers (km)	0.5
Ballast (km)	0.5
S&C units	22
SEUs	46
OLE (km)	1.6
Platform lengthening (m)	370

Outputs

The scheme provides for a 3rd Up passenger line from Alexandra Palace (leading off from the Up Hertford line to the north of Alexandra Palace station) through to the top of Holloway Bank with associated platform faces at Alexandra palace and Finsbury Park. This allows some Hertford/Gordon Hill to Moorgate inner suburban services to operate independently of outer suburban services and Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) services from Alexandra Palace.

The scheme reduces a constraint in developing ECML timetables thereby allowing an increase in LDHS services as part of a programme of ECML schemes as identified in the ECML Route Utilisation Strategy. This programme would allow an increase in services with an improvement in performance even though more trains would be operating. As the rolling stock on existing franchised LDHS services cannot be lengthened, additional services are required to support the increased passenger kilometre HLOS metric for route 8 for longer distance journeys to/from London. In addition, the scheme will also reduce pathing time in some Up outer suburban and LDHS services approaching Finsbury Park, thereby providing some improved journey times.

In CP5 longer IEP services using the additional capacity created through this CP4 programme on the ECML would allow for continued growth in the LDHS market. The improved layout will allow more flexibility in Thameslink Programme specifications. Subject to funding in CP5, platform faces for the 3rd line at Hornsey and Harringay would allow a greater segregation of inner suburban services, as recommended in the ECML RUS.

The specific requirements of the project are:

- conversion of the Up goods line between Alexandra Palace and Holloway to passenger status;
- direct link from the Up Hertford line to the former Up goods line with associated platforms at Alexandra Palace capable of accommodating 6x20m vehicles of class 313 type rolling stock;
- line speed improvements on the former Up goods line to be more comparable with the existing Up slow line speeds;
- reinstate 3rd Up platform at Finsbury Park for 12x20m vehicle trains to accommodate train types such as class 317/319/365 and future Thameslink trains;
- new crossover from Up Canonbury to Up Moorgate lines south of Finsbury Park station
- 'reversal' of Up slow 2 / Up slow 1 crossover north of Finsbury Park station so that it provides access from Up slow 1 to Up Slow 2 instead; and
- consequent improvements to platform access from underpass to accommodate additional passenger numbers.

Significant interfaces

This project interfaces with Finsbury Park to Alexandra Palace 3rd Down line improvements project and the 12-car extension of existing platforms at Finsbury Park by the Thameslink Programme. There will also be interfaces at Finsbury Park Station with proposed NSIP works, LUL Access for All scheme and Network Rail Access for All scheme.

Other interfaces include:

- S&C renewals at Finsbury Park currently planned in 2012/13;
- S&C renewals at Kings Cross currently planned in 2013/14;
- ERTMS in 2015; and
- signal renewals at King's Cross currently planned in 2015.

Key assumptions

- Additional class 313 units are made available to First Capital Connect through the DfT's Rolling Stock Plan;
- Network Change will be required;
- Station Change will be required; and
- ROGS approval may be required.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Single option report	Dec. 2009
GRIP 4 authority	Authority to progress GRIP 4	Sept. 2010
Network Change	Regulatory approval	March 2011
Station Change	Regulatory approval	March 2011
GRIP 4	Outline design	March 2011
GRIP 5-8 authority	Authority to progress GRIP 5-8	March 2011
GRIP 5	Detailed design	Dec. 2011
GRIP 6	Construction	Dec. 2013
GRIP 7	Handback	March 2014
GRIP 8	Project close out	June 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: Finsbury Park - Alexandra Palace 3rd Down line improvements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The scope of this scheme is subject to review and further consultation. The current requirement of the project is to improve linespeeds on Down slow 2 line between Finsbury Park and Alexandra Palace so that limited stop, inner suburban services can use it in preference to Down slow 1.

Volume	Up and Down combined
Track	
Rail (km)	0.5 (new PL)
Sleepers (km)	0.5
Ballast (km)	0.5
S&C units	22
Signalling	
SEUs	46
OLE (km)	1.6
Platform lengthening (m)	370

The Down goods line is to be considered for upgrading to passenger status (from turnout 2072 to 2096).

Passive provision is being made to ensure that proposals do not impact on any future requirements to extend platforms further at Finsbury Park to accommodate IEP services.

Outputs

The scheme allows improved use of the Down slow 2 line between Finsbury Park and Alexandra Palace and thereby allows some Moorgate to Gordon Hill/Hertford inner suburban services to operate independently of other inner and outer suburban and Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) services south of Alexandra Palace.

The scheme reduces a constraint in developing ECML timetables thereby allowing an increase in LDHS services, particularly in the evening peak, as part of a programme of ECML schemes as identified in the ECML Route Utilisation Strategy. This programme would allow an increase in services with an improvement in performance even though more trains would be operating. As the rolling stock on existing franchised LDHS services cannot be lengthened, additional services are required to support the increased passenger kilometre HLOS metric for route 8 for longer distance journeys to/from London.

In CP5 longer IEP services using the additional capacity created through this CP4 programme on the ECML would allow for continued growth in the LDHS market. The improved layout will allow more flexibility in Thameslink Programme specifications. Subject to funding in CP5, platform faces for Down Slow 2 at Haringay and Hornsey would allow a greater segregation of inner suburban services as recommended in the ECML RUS.

Significant interfaces

The project interfaces with the Alexandra Palace to Finsbury Park 3rd Up line project. There will be an interface with the Thameslink Programme.

There are also interfaces at Finsbury Park with proposed NSIP works, LUL Access for All scheme and Network Rail Access for All scheme.

Other interfaces include:

- S&C renewals at Finsbury Park currently planned in 2012/13;
- S&C renewals at King's Cross currently planned in 2013/14;
- ERTMS in 2015; and

- signal renewals at King's Cross currently planned in 2015.

Key assumptions

- Network Change will be required; and
- ROGS approval may be required.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4 authority	Authority to progress GRIP 4	Sept. 2010
Network Change approved	Regulatory approval	March 2011
Station Change approved	Regulatory approval	March 2011
GRIP 4	Outline design	March 2011
GRIP 5-8 authority	Authority to progress GRIP 5-8	March 2011
GRIP 5	Detailed design	Dec. 2011
GRIP 6	Construction	Dec. 2013
GRIP 7	Handback	March 2014
GRIP 8	Project close out	June 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition – ECML level crossings

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

- Buying out rights of access (public and private);
- reductions in status;
- providing diversionary routes;
- bridgeworks or subways; and
- modernisation of level crossings to provide enhanced protection.

Optioneering of all relevant level crossings is to be completed by the end of March 2009 which will result in risk ranking scores. Proposed options are then to be developed for each crossing, to enable understanding of costs, planning issues, timescales, business case and risks. Delivery will be subject to confirmation of the preferred option in each case and obtaining any necessary external consents.

Outputs

The scheme allows an increase in passenger and freight services on the East Coast Main Line by eliminating or reducing the safety risks associated with level crossings. The crossings concerned are those that have been assessed as having sufficient safety risk mitigation measures with existing levels of rail traffic but for which the level of risk becomes unacceptable when additional services operate.

The specific requirements of the project are level crossing closure, through extinguishing rights or replacement by bridge or underpass, or provision of enhanced safety risk mitigation measures to allow additional rail services to operate over level crossings between King's Cross and Northallerton and between Newark Northgate and Lincoln. The level crossings concerned will be those where the increase in services causes the safety risk to rise from currently acceptable levels to where the current mitigation measures are no longer as low as reasonably practicable.

The additional level of services to be accommodated per hour in each direction is shown in the table below (where 0.5 means 1 extra train every 2 hours) based on the December 2008 timetable:

Route section	Off peak passenger	Off peak freight	Peak passenger	Peak freight
Alexandra Palace to Hertford North	+1	+0.5	+3	N/C
Hertford North to Langley junction	+1	+0.5	N/C	N/C
Alexandra Palace to Welwyn GC	+2.5	N/C	+3	N/C
Welwyn Garden City to Langley junction	+1.5	N/C	+2	N/C
Langley junction to Hitchin	+2.5	+0.5	+2	N/C
Hitchin to Peterborough	+1.5	+0.5	+2	N/C
Peterborough to Werrington junction	+1.5	+2	+2	N/C
Werrington junction to Newark Flat crossing	+1.5	-0.5	+2	-0.5
Newark Flat crossing to Loversall Carr	+1	-0.5	+1.5	-0.5
Doncaster Marshgate to Shaftholme junction	+1	+0.5	+1.5	+0.5
Shaftholme junction to Hambleton south junction	+1	-0.5	+1.5	-0.5
Colton junction to York	+1	+0.5	+1	+0.5
York to Northallerton	N/C	+0.5	+1	+0.5
Newark Flat crossing to Lincoln	+0.5	N/C	+0.5	N/C

The definition of peak is passenger trains arriving in London 0700-1000 and departing from 1600 to 1900. For freight it is trains running over the relevant level crossing in the three hours when peak passenger services reach that crossing.

Significant interfaces

The key interface is the signalling renewals work bank.

Key assumptions

- Buying out of user rights will be achievable;
- the local authorities will agree to Section 116 closure / diversion or TWA powers will be obtained;
- planning consent will be obtained for bridge, subway and diversionary works, where this is required; and
- HMRI agreement will be obtained where necessary.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Feasibility of options to be considered and a single option selected for each crossing	Dec. 2009
GRIP 4	Single options to be fully developed	Sept. 2010
Planning issues	To be resolved	Sept. 2011
GRIP 5 – 8	Implementation	March 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: Hitchin grade separation

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The project scope is subject to review, but the specific requirements of the project are:

- a flyover to the north of Hitchin Cambridge Junction from the Down slow to the Down Cambridge line; and
- a 75mph Down fast to Down slow crossover immediately north of Hitchin Cambridge Junction.

Volume	Hitchin
Rail (km)	2
S&C units	4
SEUs	6
OLE (km)	2

The position of the S&C unit for the start of the new grade separation will be ECML Down slow 32m 53ch and Down Cambridge 33m 31ch. The length of the new grade separation is 2260m.

The following scope is subject to further development work and consultation:

- upgrade of current ground frame operated Up Cambridge to Down Cambridge crossover to 40 mph for normal operational use; and
- provide a signalled route from the Up Cambridge to the Down Cambridge and then directly onto the Up fast.

Outputs

The scheme will eliminate conflicting movements between Down Cambridge line services and Up trains from the Peterborough direction. This removes a major constraint in developing timetables on the ECML thereby allowing an increase in Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) and freight services as part of a programme of ECML schemes as identified in the ECML Route Utilisation Strategy. This programme will allow an increase in services with an improvement in performance even though more trains would be operating. As the rolling stock on existing franchised LDHS services cannot be lengthened, additional services are required to support the increased passenger kilometre HLOS metric for route 8 for longer distance journeys to/from London.

Eliminating most of the above conflicts will reduce junction layout risk.

In CP5 longer IEP services using the additional capacity created through this CP4 programme on the ECML would allow for continued growth in the LDHS market.

Significant interfaces

There will be extensive consultation with local authorities, land owners, TOCs/FOCs as a TWA application is required. There is also an interface with the IEP and Thameslink Programme projects. Proposals are being reviewed against possible IEP timetable requirements to ensure compatibility between schemes.

The project will have to take into account the renewal of signalling and S&C in 2009/10.

Key assumptions

- The project completion assumes that the TWA does not go to public inquiry;
- Network Change will be required; and
- ROGS approval may be required.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
TWA application made	Application submitted	Dec. 2009
Network Change approved	Regulatory approval	Sept. 2010
GRIP 4	Outline design complete	Dec. 2010
TWA order made	TWA order received	March 2011
GRIP 5	Detailed design complete	June 2012
GRIP 6	Construction works complete	March 2014
GRIP 7/8	Project close out complete	June 2014

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: York Holgate Junction 4th line

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The following scope is subject to further development and consultation:

- an additional connection from the Down Leeds line to platform 11 (and possibly 10); and
- an increase in signalling overlaps on platforms 9 and 10.

Volume	Location			
	Platform 11	Platform 10	Platform 9 & 10 overlaps	Total platform 10 & 11 and overlaps 9 & 10
Track				
Rail (km)	0.8	0.10		0.9
Sleepers (km)	0.46	0.10		0.5
Ballast (km)	0.46	0.10		0.5
S&C units	3	2		5
Signalling				
SEUs	8	4	4	16
OLE				
OLE (km)	0.40	0.10		0.50

Outputs

The scheme will eliminate conflicting movements between Down Leeds line passenger services that are operating to the North East and Scotland (typically three per hour) and all other passenger services. This reduces a major constraint in developing timetables on the East Coast Main Line (ECML) thereby allowing an increase in Long Distance High Speed (LDHS) services as part of a programme of ECML schemes as identified in the ECML Route Utilisation Strategy. This programme will allow an increase in services with an improvement in performance even though more trains would be operating. As the rolling stock on existing franchised LDHS services cannot be lengthened, additional services are required to support the increased passenger kilometre HLOS metric for route 8 for longer distance journeys to/from London.

Significant interfaces

There is an interface with the York Central commercial property development.

Key assumptions

- No TWA or land / property requirements; and
- TOCs and FOCs will sign up to a possession strategy.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4 (Finish)		Sept. 2010
GRIP 5 (Finish)		Sept. 2011
GRIP 6 (Finish)		March 2012
GRIP 7 (Finish)	Specified outputs delivered	June 2012
GRIP 8 (Finish)		Sept. 2012

Project definition: Shaftholme Junction remodelling

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Volumes	Shaftholme
Track	
Rail (km)	10
Sleepers (km)	5
Ballast (km)	5
S&C units	6 or 8
Signalling	
SEUs	18

A new non-electrified double track chord from the Skellow line at 10m 49ch heading in a north westerly direction crossing the ECML via an overbridge at 160m 70ch and joining the Askern line at 68m 10ch will be created. Connection of the chord to the Askern and Skellow lines will each comprise three or four S&C units depending on whether the cord is single or double track.

The project requires both land purchase and a TWA order. The project does not make any additional active or passive provisions - noting the project leaves in the existing Skellow to ECML chords and Shaftholme to Askern.

Outputs

The scheme allows an increase in passenger and freight services on the East Coast Main Line (ECML) by removing a significant number of existing freight services between Joan Croft junction and Hambleton South junction and re-routing these via a more direct route, thereby creating capacity on this constrained section of the ECML while at the same time reducing mileages and journey times for most of the re-routed freight trains.

The project allows some existing freight services on the Doncaster to Hare Park route to be diverted thereby creating capacity for additional freight services that would need to be routed this way. Both this and the Joan Croft to Hambleton routes were identified as gaps in the Freight RUS.

The scheme also reduces the number of potential junction conflicts between high speed passenger trains and freight services thereby reducing junction layout safety risk.

The specific requirements of the project are:

- provision of a double track line crossing over the East Coast Main Line using grade separation, from the Applehurst junction area on the Skellow line to the Askern Line (Shaftholme Junction to Knottingley route);
- the new line must be capable of operation of class 66 hauled trains with 3000 tonnes trailing loads; and
- retention of the Joan Croft to Applehurst junction and Shaftholme junctions to Askern (and vice versa in both cases) is required.

Significant interfaces

This is a standalone project in terms of direct project interfaces although it is part of the overarching programme of ECML works required, when benefits are aggregated, to meet both the passenger km and performance requirements specified in the HLOS.

Key assumptions

A key assumption is that the TWA will be required and granted without the need for a public inquiry. Also that ground conditions do not add additional risks over those already identified.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Submit TWA order		June 2011
Completer GRIP 4		Dec. 2011
TWA order comes into force		Dec. 2011
Complete GRIP 5		March 2012
Complete GRIP6		March 2014
Complete GRIP 7	Removal of freight trains going to and from the Skellow line off the ECML	March 2014
Complete GRIP 8		June 2014

Note: The above GRIP 7 date is based on a build programme that does not in any way impact the alignment of the ECML - mitigation measures have been identified to address the known ground condition either side of the ECML, however until full geotechnical surveying and bore hole samples can be undertaken and analysed this remains a risk to the programme timescales.

We are currently reviewing the overall programme to determine a smoother delivery profile for the portfolio of ECML projects over the control period.

Project definition: Moorgate branch improvements

It has been agreed with the train operating company and DfT that this project is no longer required to sustain the operational plan.

Project definition: FCC train lengthening

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Additional 1 LDHS tph King's Cross to York/Lincoln	0	King's Cross	1600	500
Progressive lengthening of outer suburban services from 8-car to 12-car	36	King's Cross	3700	2100
TransPennine Express train lengthening	1	Newcastle	200	100

Scope of works

The specific requirements of the project are:

- platform extensions for operation of 12x20m vehicle trains to accommodate train types such as class 317/319/365 and future Thameslink trains at Letchworth Up and Down platforms and Royston Down platform; and
- provision of additional DOO equipment on these platforms, where necessary, and possible relocation of existing equipment.

Volume	Location	
	Royston	Letchworth
Total platform lengthening (m)	83	136

Project development will involve the OLE, signalling, track and civils disciplines. Station change will be required and consultation with local authorities.

Outputs

The scheme provides for platform extensions at two outer suburban stations sufficient for 12x20m vehicles to accommodate train types such as class 317/319/365 and future Thameslink trains. The East Coast Main Line Route Utilisation Strategy recommended a progressive lengthening of outer suburban services from 8 to 12-cars during CP4 and CP5. A number of outer suburban stations that already cannot handle such train lengths are within the scope of Thameslink Programme key output 2. This project covers the other outer suburban stations that FCC and ourselves have identified as needing to be extended in CP4 in order to meet the King's Cross peak capacity metrics, particularly for the high peak hour. Other outer suburban stations not included within either of these projects are not expected to require operation of 12-car trains until early CP5 or for which SDO will be used.

Significant interfaces

- The project interfaces with the 12-car extension of platforms at Arlesey, Biggleswade, and Sandy by the Thameslink Programme. FCC, as SFO at all affected stations, is a key stakeholder; and
- the IEP project has requested that the Royston Down extension is able to accommodate IEP services, it may be efficient to deliver IEP capability at the same time as the FCC platform lengthening scheme.

Key assumptions

This project assumes that the existing platforms at Arlesey, Biggleswade and Sandy are extended within the same timescales as this project and are funded by the Thameslink Programme. The Thameslink

Programme will also fund the extension of platforms at Finsbury Park at the end of CP4. We assume provision of additional outer suburban units for FCC through the DfT's Rolling Stock Plan.

The ability to operate further 12-car trains is dependant on sufficient ac overhead power supplies in the London area. Enhancement of these is included in the scope of the Thameslink Programme.

Activities and milestones

The project is currently at GRIP stage 3. This stage has been extended to allow further analysis of the options for Royston Down, which include derogations and possible Network Change issues to be considered. Implementation of the works is currently planned to be completed by the end of 2011 although First Capital Connect has expressed a wish for completion in 2010, and we are examining this.

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	GRIP 4 design	June 2010
GRIP 5	Design	Dec. 2011
GRIP 6 - 8	Implementation and close out	June 2012

East Coast Main Line overhead line electrification

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

This project is split into five distinct tasks:

- defect survey – full survey of the ECML to record all defects, all outstanding campaign changes and any existing non-conformances;
- campaign changes – the implementation of 11 campaign changes. This is the removal of components or designs with known reliability problems with a modern fit-for-purpose equivalent;
- defect removal – in line with the campaign change delivery, all defects identified as a risk to performance will be removed with highest priorities being delivered first. A separate work stream will be used for tunnels where a non-intrusive survey is not practicable;
- vegetation – in conjunction with the lineside team, a detailed survey of all areas of critical vegetation with specific regard to OLE will be undertaken. This will be completed with due regard for the Group Standards for new infrastructure with consideration of future schemes (such as Auto-Transformer) being considered. This will include, where required, clearance back to maintainable boundaries; and
- neutral sections – the upgrade of 78 neutral sections to a more reliable type.

The defect work and campaign changes will be packaged into three geographical delivery areas to be contracted out for works. The neutral sections are planned to be packed into two geographical areas for the design surveys. Tunnel surveys and vegetation surveys will be one package each.

Volume	Location		
	Package 1 King's Cross – Hitchin including Hertford and Cambridge branches	Package 2 Hitchin – Doncaster including Doncaster to Leeds	Package 3 Doncaster to territory boundary
OLE (wire runs)	472	569	760

The project will incorporate approximately 1900 wire runs within the ECML from London King's Cross to Marshall Meadows incorporating the Hertford, Cambridge and Doncaster to Leeds branch lines. Key to the delivery of this project is the timely survey and assessment of the data to produce a deliverable work scope. This activity will drive the milestones for all of the other sub-projects within this scheme.

Close integration of the survey work and the current maintenance work bank will be required. A close interface with the maintenance organisation is required for this project.

All vegetation requirements will be carried out with due regard for the future auto-transformer works proposed by Thameslink.

Outputs

The key output is a reduction of delay minutes to support delivery of the route performance as part of CP4 Long Term Performance Plan (LTPP). This will be achieved by increasing the reliability and performance of the ECML Overhead Line Electrification (OLE) through delivery of targeted renewals and component changes, identifying key assets within the OLE where a reduction of risk can be achieved.

Significant interfaces

As well as the interface with maintenance, constant review of other enhancement works (Thameslink, Grade Separation, IEP) to ensure that works are not duplicated and all requirements are met with maximum efficiency will be necessary.

Key assumptions

Access is aligned to the current deliverability strategy. This will entail maximising the use of current maintenance access under rules of the route. This assumption may change when the surveys are received and the scope of works reviewed. Any additional access outside of ROTR will require liaison with Operations & Customer Services and the TOC and FOCs.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
ECML OLE surveys	Completion of approx.1927 surveys	Dec. 2009
Defect removal and campaign changes (tunnels)	Removal of all identified defects and campaign changes within the wire runs	June 2010
Vegetation	Clearance of all known risk areas	Sept. 2010
Neutral sections	Delivery of 78 neutral sections	Dec. 2010
Defect removal and campaign changes	Removal of all identified defects and campaign changes within the wire runs	Dec. 2011

St Pancras - Sheffield linespeed improvements**Network Rail's obligation**

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Volumes	Multiple locations between London and Sheffield
Track	
Rail (km)	5
Sleepers (km)	3
Ballast (Tonnes)	30000
S&C units	8
Signalling	
New signals*	28
Civils	
Footbridges replacing level crossings	15
Possible bridge strengthenings	56

*There are no interlocking changes, this is for signal sight purposes and does not, therefore, equate to an SEU.

The scope of this project is subject to further development work.

The aim is to do this in such a manner as to maximise synergy with permanent way renewals which are planned on the Midland Main Line in CP4. The project will make use of and amend, where required, the high output track renewals planned on the MML. This is varied in location and subject to change, but for 2009/10 will concentrate on the section of line between Sharnbrook (south of Luton) and Sundon (north of Luton).

Several foot path crossings will be closed, diverted onto bridges or other safety enhancements introduced.

Structures will be assessed for gauge and strength. Track quality will be maintained.

The critical milestones relate to the access permissible to the railway and the ability to tie into the high output track renewals in 2009 and other renewals. In 2009, high output track renewals will realign the track to the new geometry wherever that can be achieved between 58 miles and 63.5 miles on the Bedford to Leicester section and between 37 miles and 48 miles on the Luton to Bedford section. The line speed in these sections will be increased when all the other asset clearance work is complete.

There is a very limited property implication at some of the level crossing sites. All planning and statutory authority is within permitted development. Statutory process will be required for the closure and diversion of footpaths.

Outputs

This project will improve the capability of the infrastructure to enable a minimum eight minute improvement in journey times between London and Sheffield for services calling at Leicester, Derby and Chesterfield. The primary outputs are to deliver the line speed increases detailed below. The project aims to increase line speeds where they are currently below the 125mph capability of the class 222 and HST trains operating over the route. The table shows sections of line (between the given mileages) where it has been identified that the current speed can be raised. The aim is to do this in such a manner as to maximise synergy with permanent way renewals which are planned on the Midland Main Line in CP4.

These changes will result in reduced Sectional Running Times (SRTs) for class 222 and HST operated services between London, Nottingham, Derby and Sheffield. Subject to the timetable interaction between these services and other passenger and freight services on the route, the relevant SRT reductions (which will depend on calling patterns) will provide opportunities to improve some journey times for services

20.00 Programme – St Pancras - Sheffield linespeed improvements

operating on the Midland Main Line between St Pancras International and Derby, Nottingham and Sheffield.

Intended linespeed improvements - Up Fast

Start		Finish		Existing Speed	Proposed Speed
Miles	Chains	Miles	Chains	mph	mph
115	31	115	7	100	110
115	7	109	41	110	120
108	62	108	29	100	110
108	29	106	41	110	120
106	22	104	45	110	120
104	45	101	58	110	125
101	58	100	42	110	120
100	42	100	0	90	105
100	0	99	18	90	110
99	0	98	73	15	40
98	45	98	28	50	80
97	13	95	74	80	100
95	47	92	50	100	110
92	50	92	36	95	100
91	67	84	24	100	110
83	10	82	62	60	85
82	62	82	40	75	85
82	40	82	0	75	100
82	0	79	30	100	110
79	30	78	73	100	105
77	75	73	0	100	110
73	15	72	35	90	105
72	35	72	19	90	100
71	1	69	70	100	110
69	70	66	65	100	120
66	65	66	17	100	110
66	17	65	72	90	105
65	72	65	27	90	105
65	27	65	11	65	85
64	75	64	23	80	85
63	20	58	20	110	125
56	38	55	80	110	115
55	80	42	52	110	125
42	52	42	23	100	115
42	23	42	19	100	125
42	19	30	79	110	125
30	17	29	57	90	100
29	57	28	78	95	100
25	0	24	64	105	110
24	64	20	77	110	125
20	77	20	30	100	105
19	21	18	38	100	110
18	38	12	70	110	125
12	6	11	38	100	110

Intended linespeed improvements – Down Fast

Start		Finish		Existing Speed	Proposed Speed
Miles	Chains	Miles	Chains	mph	mph
11	38	12	6	100	110
12	71	18	39	110	125
18	39	19	21	100	110
19	62	20	0	95	100
20	31	20	78	100	105
20	77	24	65	110	125
24	65	25	1	105	110
28	27	29	59	95	100
29	59	30	17	90	100
31	0	42	19	110	125
42	19	42	24	100	125
42	24	42	53	100	115
42	52	49	61	110	125
50	11	56	1	110	125
56	1	56	39	110	115
58	21	63	21	110	125
64	24	64	76	65	85
64	76	65	11	65	75
65	11	65	16	80	75
65	16	65	28	80	85
65	28	66	18	100	105
66	18	66	66	100	110
66	66	69	71	100	120
69	71	71	2	100	110
72	20	72	36	90	100
72	36	73	0	90	105
73	0	73	16	100	105
73	16	77	76	100	110
78	74	79	31	100	105
79	31	82	1	100	110
82	1	82	41	75	80
82	41	82	62	75	85
82	62	83	10	60	85
84	25	91	68	100	110
92	51	95	47	100	110
97	36	98	28	90	95
98	28	98	46	50	95
98	46	98	73	15	50
98	73	99	0	15	40
102	1	104	46	110	120
104	46	106	23	110	125
106	42	108	30	110	120
108	30	108	62	100	110
109	42	111	0	110	125
111	0	111	46	100	125
112	11	115	7	110	120
115	7	115	31	100	110
115	36	118	31	110	120

Significant interfaces

There is significant dependence with the track renewal programme and there are other interfaces with Thameslink Programme, East Midlands signalling renewal and the gauge clearance projects.

Key assumptions

- There will be synergies with renewal programmes;
- improved asset quality is not required - existing levels will be maintained;
- level crossing closures can be achieved; and
- existing rolling stock (HST and class 222) will be used.

Activities and milestones

Work is programmed around planned track renewals with two High Output Ballast Cleaning sites planned for 2009. The new linespeed geometry will be included for the first site and has been proposed for the design of second site. Remaining works will be programmed once the future track renewals programme has been agreed.

Activity	Output	Date
Realignment of track between Bedford and Leicester		Dec. 2009
Realignment of track between Luton and Bedford		Dec. 2009
First Package	Timetable change	Dec. 2011
Second Package	Timetable change	Dec. 2012
Third Package	Timetable change	Dec. 2013

Nottingham resignalling

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Volume	Location			
	Beeston to Nottingham	Mansfield Junction	Nottingham west / east	Additional platform
Track				
Rail (km)		3.4	1	0.5
Sleepers (km)		3.4	1	0.5
Ballast (km)		4.4	1	0.5
S&C units	2	1	6 / 3	2
Signalling				
SEUs	3	1	6	2

The scope of this project is subject to further development work. The project is intended to improve performance through the segregation of trains at the west end of Nottingham with bi-directionally paired tracks for trains to Derby / Leicester and Mansfield / Sheffield. The affected section of line is from Beeston through Lenton and Mansfield junctions to Nottingham station. The project adds 12 SEUs to the signalling renewal project, 5.9km of plain line track and 14 S&C units.

The critical milestones are tied into the signalling renewal and the need to commission the signalling in the Nottingham station area of the Christmas period of 2011.

Network Change will be required for this project, there maybe some elements that also require station change.

The scheme will split the existing platform 4 to create a wing platform and a 6-car bay platform. It will also allow operations from the west to use existing platform 6. Passive provision will be made for a new platform.

Delivery will be through the East Midlands Signalling renewal project.

Output

The primary outputs are to enhance the layout at Nottingham station by the end of CP4 to deliver improved performance for services operating through Nottingham. The project will maximise synergy with the East Midlands signalling renewal scheme.

Significant interfaces

There is significant interface with track renewals to package improvements at Nottingham, the Nottingham Hub station regeneration project and the Nottingham Express Transit outside party project.

Key assumptions

It is assumed that signalling and track renewals work and enhancement scope can be delivered at the same time to achieve the total output of the project in the most efficient manner.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	Development of a single option	Dec. 2010
GRIP 5-8	Authority granted	March 2011
Start on site		June 2011
Civils complete*		March 2012
Signalling installation complete*		Sept. 2012
Blockade starts		Dec. 2012
GRIP 6 completion	Commissioning	March 2013
GRIP 7		March 2014

* pre-blockade works only.

Midlands improvements programme

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes as defined by ORR and to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plans assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are summarised in this document and described further in the route plans.

Scope of works

The schemes defined by ORR to be delivered are:

- Bromsgrove electrification;
- Redditch branch enhancement; and
- line speed improvements – Wrexham to London Marylebone.

In addition the following schemes are necessary to support the operational plans:

- Route 16 – South Ruislip loop;
- Route 17 train lengthening; and
- Route 19 – East Midlands train lengthening.

Project definition: Bromsgrove electrification

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scheme in CP4.

Scope of works

A High Level Options Assessment report (GRIP 2) has been produced detailing the scope required for this project. This includes the following work to be done:

- extension of electrification from Barnt Green (51m 67ch) to Bromsgrove (56m 00ch);
- the existing signalling equipment between Barnt Green (51m 67ch) and Bromsgrove (56m 00ch) requires immunisation works which will result in a complete signalling renewal and control transfer;
- permanent way works at the site of a re-located Bromsgrove station to provide adequate infrastructure to turn back trains; and
- five over bridges between Barnt Green and Bromsgrove have been identified for either bridge reconstruction or track lowering and are being examined due to insufficient clearance for electrification.

This work should fall within Network Rail's permitted development rights. However, the corridor between Blackwell and Bromsgrove (Lickey Incline) is quite narrow in places with steep cutting and embankment. Additional land requirements in respect of electrification clearances will be examined as part of the GRIP 3 study.

Whilst the station re-location project is a third party enhancement and may well be delivered by Network Rail, there are opportunities for efficiencies in adopting an integrated approach. The station relocation is necessary for electrification and is required as soon as possible in order to alleviate the overcrowding on services to/from Birmingham and to meet demand. A staged strategy for both schemes could be of benefit to the Cross City extension works.

Outputs

This project increases capacity by extending a service of three trains per hour to Bromsgrove that currently terminate and turn round at Longbridge. The additional services offer a significantly enhanced frequency for passengers in Bromsgrove and further improvements in journey times between Bromsgrove and the intermediate locations e.g. Birmingham University.

Significant interfaces

- Bromsgrove station re-location. This is a third party enhancement that is now a pre-requisite of the extension of the Cross City line to Bromsgrove, to provide the opportunity to install turn back facilities. The platforms at the existing station are three car in the Up direction and four car in the Down direction. Due to constraints these cannot be extended so the additional track work cannot be provided at the current site. Options for an efficient layout of the new station are being developed. Funding for the new station may require business case justification for some or all of the funding streams.
- Redditch branch enhancement – these two projects comprise the extension of the Cross City line between Longbridge and Bromsgrove. The current service of six trains per hour, where four turn round at Longbridge and two carry on to Redditch, will be extended so that three trains per hour run to Bromsgrove, where they will turn round and three trains per hour will run to Redditch;
- S&C renewal at Bromsgrove Down goods loop - originally scheduled for 2008, but has been deferred pending the outcome of the station re-location project;
- the introduction of new rolling stock (Class 172s) and additional EMU stock;
- Barnt Green to Westerleigh line speed improvements;
- other committed journey time improvements;
- Seven Day Railway opportunities; and
- West Midlands resignalling programme, scheduled between 2009 and 2018.

Key assumptions

The extension of the Cross City line will only require a minimal increase in rolling stock. Currently the service is operated by class 323s operated by London Midland in three and six car formations.

The station relocation needs to happen before electrification to enable the extension of the Cross City Line services. The station relocation is separately funded and constitutes a replacement of the existing two platform station, with longer platforms and with the additional facilities to turn back trains. The additional track work and signalling required to operate the turn back will be provided under this project.

The project will take in to account our commitments to network-wide performance improvements, further improvements to passenger journey times for long distance services and longer term freight growth.

Activities and milestones

The current programme assumption is that the earliest this work could be delivered is 2012, although an indicative construction programme will be a GRIP 3 deliverable.

Activity	Output	Date
Start GRIP 3	Start option selection process	March 2010
Start GRIP 4	Start development of single option	Sept. 2010
Station re-location GRIP 4 final option	Agree final option with partners	June 2010
Station re-location	Completion of station relocation in interim layout	Dec. 2011*
Start GRIP 5	Start detailed design	June 2011
GRIP 5-8 contracting	Award contract to preferred bidder	Dec. 2011
GRIP 6 construction	Start on site	March 2012
GRIP 6 commissioning	Construction completed	Dec. 2013

*This date is subject to agreement of funding and agreements for the third party enhancement.

Project definition: Redditch branch enhancement

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scheme in CP4.

Scope of works

Improving capacity on the Redditch branch has been examined to GRIP 2 and current options include:

- provision of a passing loop between Alvechurch and Redditch or $\frac{3}{4}$ mile of additional track from Redditch towards Barnt Green;
- additional platform face at Redditch; and
- removal of the footpath level crossing at Alvechurch to improve line speed and safety.

Most of this work will fall within Network Rail's Permitted Development rights. However, the additional platform and additional track (passing loop or $\frac{3}{4}$ mile track at Redditch) will require additional land purchase. At one side the land is owned by a developer with an additional land owner midway along the site. On the other side, part of the existing car park will be required in addition to previously owned railway land which has been sold and developed as residential.

Obtaining the above required amount of additional land may result in a Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) under the transport and works act. This may dictate which option is most feasible. Aspirational land requirements in respect of electrification clearances will be examined as part of the GRIP 3 study.

Outputs

The primary output of this project is increased capacity in the form of an additional train path per hour (creating a standard 20 minute interval), from the current two trains to three trains an hour between Barnt Green and Redditch.

The most likely option to achieve three trains an hour is an additional platform at Redditch station. The extra platform and associated infrastructure is to allow the incoming Redditch service to access the station before the outward service leaves the station onto the single line to Barnt Green.

Significant interfaces

- Bromsgrove electrification project. The electrification to Bromsgrove and Redditch Branch Enhancement comprise the extension of the Cross City line between Longbridge and Bromsgrove. The current service of six trains per hour, where four turn round at Longbridge and two carry on to Redditch, will be extended so that three trains per hour run to Bromsgrove, where they will turn round and three trains per hour will run to Redditch;
- the introduction of new rolling stock (Class 172s) and additional EMU stock;
- Barnt Green to Westerleigh line speed improvements;
- Seven Day Railway opportunities;
- Longbridge station and area redevelopments; and
- West Midlands resignalling programme, scheduled between 2009 and 2018

Key assumptions

The extension of the Cross City Line will only require a minimal increase in rolling stock. Currently the service is operated by Class 323s operated by London Midland in three and six car formations.

The project will take in to account our commitments to network-wide performance improvements, further improvements to passenger journey times for long distance services and longer term freight growth.

Activities and milestones

The current programme assumption is that the earliest this work could be delivered is 2012, although an indicative construction programme will be a GRIP 3 deliverable.

Activity	Output	Date
Start GRIP 4	Start development of single option	June 2010
Start GRIP 5	Start detailed design	March 2011
GRIP 5-8 contracting	Contract awarded to preferred bidder	June 2011
GRIP 6 construction	Start on site	Sept. 2011
GRIP 6 commissioning	Construction completed	Dec. 2012

Project definition: Line speed improvements: Wrexham to London Marylebone

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the scheme is CP4.

Scope of works

The proposed project is one element of the wider Evergreen 3 project which aims to deliver the 100 minute journey time objective between the West Midlands and London Marylebone. This particular project focuses on raising the line speed at Aynho Junction (between Banbury and Bicester) in both directions. In the Up direction (towards London) the line speed will be increased from 60mph to 90mph and in the Down direction (towards the West Midlands) the junction speed will be increased from 40mph to 85mph. It is likely that the signalling and track elements will be separately managed by DB Regio UK Ltd as part of their Evergreen 3 project.

Outputs

The primary output of the project is to improve journey times between Reading / London Marylebone and central Birmingham.

The principal train operators along the route (CrossCountry, Chiltern Railways and WSMR) have wider aspirations to reduce overall journey times between key destinations. CrossCountry aims to reduce overall journey times between Birmingham and Thames Valley; Chiltern Railways 100 minutes from London Marylebone to Birmingham Moor Street; WSMR 2 hours 30 minutes between London Marylebone and Telford Central. These aspirations will be achieved by improved line speed increases, rolling stock changes and timetable interventions.

Our initial analysis indicates that the infrastructure intervention will contribute between 1 and 1.5 minutes journey time reduction towards the overall achievement of the 100 minute journey time objective between the West Midlands and Marylebone.

Reliability of the asset is essential to ensure a robust service, and the project must therefore consider current weaknesses in the civils and track assets which would prevent this reliability from being realised.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces with stakeholders including DB Regio UK Ltd, and other TOCs and FOCs. There are interdependencies with other projects including the Evergreen 3 project managed by DB Regio UK Ltd and renewals and re-signalling schemes.

Current territory renewals funding would be used to supplement that provided by Chiltern Railways and Network Rail to enable the PSR to be raised. To deliver this project, additional third party funding contribution is required.

Key assumptions

Discussions are currently taking place with DB Regio UK concerning the delivery of this project. It is proposed that DB Regio UK will deliver this scheme as part of their larger Evergreen 3 proposals.

A principal assumption is that the 75mph Permanent Speed Restriction north of the former Fenny Compton Signal Box location (96m to 97 ¼ m) would be removed to recover valuable time from the current journey time. Line-speeds on approach to this section are between 90mph and 95mph EPS, but substantial further work is required to provide support to the embankment earthworks if the reduction in journey time is to be realised.

The key assumption is that the current rolling stock will continue to operate on the route for the foreseeable future but with up-rated performance and that a new timetable may be necessary.

22.03 Project definition – Line speed improvements: Wrexham to London Marylebone

Activities and milestones

Critical path milestones are under discussion with DB Regio UK Ltd.

Activity	Output	Date
Commence GRIP 5 – 8	Authority approved	June 2010
Construction starts	Start on site	Sept. 2010
Completion (GRIP 8)	Project commissioned	Dec. 2011

Project Definition: Route 16 – South Ruislip Loop

Network Rail's Obligation

Our obligation is to ensure the delivery of the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below. Also to asset protect the works as detailed below as part of Chilterns Railways Evergreen 3 project.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700-0959 capacity impact	0800-0859 capacity impact
South Ruislip loop	20	Marylebone	2366	709

Scope of works

This project focuses on providing increased capacity through the provision of a new loop at South Ruislip, to include:

- a new junction at Northolt Park station;
- a new 100mph down line between Northolt Junction and South Ruislip (0m 70ch NAJ1 to 0m 35ch NAJ2);
- retention of the existing down line as a loop for stopping trains; and
- revised connections and facing crossover for access to the West Waste terminal and the route to Paddington.

It is likely that the signalling and track elements will be separately managed by DB Regio UK Ltd as part of their Evergreen 3 project.

The proposed project is one element of the wider Evergreen 3 project which aims to deliver the 100 minute journey time objective between the West Midlands and London Marylebone.

Outputs

The primary output of this project is to increase passenger capacity into London Marylebone during the morning peak hours of 0700-0959.

The scheme will enable a timetable recast so that calls at stations between London and Gerrards Cross can be concentrated into revised and additional London to Gerrards Cross inner suburban trains. These services will be looped to allow faster services to overtake at West Ruislip in the morning peak towards London, and in the new loop provided at South Ruislip in the evening peak. The benefits of the new timetable structure comprise enhanced capacity provision for key markets such as Beaconsfield, High Wycombe, Haddenham & Thame and Bicester, consequent from the concentration of inner stops proposed. The new layout is also linked to the wider Evergreen 3 proposals which include significant linespeed enhancements.

The scheme is expected to facilitate an additional 20 vehicle arrivals at London Marylebone in the 3 hour peak, contributing to the HLOS metrics. This assumption is supported by detailed timetable development work that has been undertaken as part of the wider Evergreen 3 project.

Line capacity is also created north of South Ruislip by providing the loop, which will benefit many markets on the Chiltern route.

Significant interfaces

It is intended that the installation and commissioning of the loop at South Ruislip, together with its associated signalling alterations, will be delivered as an integral part of Project Evergreen 3, the large scale upgrade of the railway infrastructure between London and Aynho Junction, sponsored and delivered by Chiltern Railways as a third party enhancement. In order to secure efficiency in design and construction resources, the works at South Ruislip will be included in Chiltern Railways' main design and construction contract, and as a consequence timescales for the implementation will be linked to the overall programme for the Evergreen 3 works.

Key assumptions

- Chiltern Railways UK will deliver this scheme as part of their larger Evergreen 3 proposals;
- Chiltern Railways will progress Project Evergreen 3 in accordance with their current programme and funding plan; and
- in the event that Project Evergreen 3 does not progress, the South Ruislip loop still has the ability to deliver both capacity and journey time benefits.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Authority GRIP 4	Authority request	Dec. 2009
Scheme design commenced	Scheme design for track, signalling and civils works	March 2010
Commence GRIP 5-8	Commence detailed design	May 2010
Construction starts	(Evergreen 3 project)	May 2010
Completion (GRIP 8)	(Evergreen 3 project)	Dec. 2011

Dates above are based on current expected Evergreen 3 programme timescales.

Project definition: Route 17 - train lengthening

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
West Coast intercity train lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Birmingham	2,200	700
Train lengthening on Birmingham suburban services	65	Birmingham	10,200	7,500

Scope of works

The scope reflects agreements with the train operators and other stakeholders. Further refinement of the scope is possible.

Achieving the capacity targets as detailed within the High Level output Statement requires the Train Operator's to deploy additional rolling stock. The preferred method for deploying extra stock will be achieved through operating longer train services; as agreed in the Operators' 'Operational Plans'. Accommodating longer rolling stock formations requires various enabling works at the stations detailed below.

Corridor	Rolling stock	Stations	Platforms
Stourbridge	Class 150 and new build of suburban diesel unit (Class 172)	Droitwich Spa	1, 2
		Kidderminster	1, 2
		Lye	1, 2
		Langley Green	1, 2
		Birmingham Snow Hill	4
		Cradley Heath	1, 2
Stratford	Class 150 DMU to 6 car formations	Wythall	1, 2
		Spring Road	1, 2
		Whitlocks End	1, 2
		Yardley Wood	1, 2
Leamington	Class 150 DMUs up to 6 car formations.	Widney Manor	1, 2
		Small Heath	3, 4
Derby	Class 170 4-car formations	Wilnecote*	1, 2
Coventry	Class 323 (3 car) 321 and 350 (4-car)	Hampton	1, 2

* or SDO, subject to an agreed Operational Plan

Outputs

The output requirements are to provide interventions to enable longer passenger trains to operate on the above routes. Platform lengthening is one way of achieving requirements for longer trains with other methods including selective door opening (SDO).

Outputs requirements for the corridors are:

- Stourbridge – 6x23m car capability;
- Stratford – 6x23m car capability;
- Leamington – 6x23m car capability;
- Derby – 4x23m car capability; and
- Coventry – 8x23m car capability.

Significant interfaces

- Introduction of new rolling stock
- NSIP
- West Midlands resignalling programme
- West Midlands & Chilterns RUS recommendations
- East Midlands platform lengthening

Key assumptions

The additional rolling stock is delivered to the agreed timescales and the stations below will operate using SDO.

Corridor	Rolling stock	Stations	Platforms
Stourbridge	Class 150 and new build of suburban diesel unit (Class 172)	Hagley	1, 2
		Blakedown	1, 2
Stratford	Class 170 DMU to 6 car formations	Wilmcote	1, 2
		Wooton Wawen	1, 2
		The Lakes	1, 2
		Earlswood	1, 2
		Wood End	1, 2
Leamington	Class 170 DMUs up to 6 car formations	Hatton	1, 2, 3
		Lapworth	1

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Start GRIP 4	Start development of single option	March 2010
Start GRIP 5	Start detailed design	December 2010
GRIP 5-8 contracting	Contract awarded to preferred bidder	June 2011
GRIP 6 construction	Start on site	September 2011
GRIP 6 commissioning	Platform scheme implemented	December 2013

A realignment of the GRIP 4 and subsequent GRIP stage milestones is required in order to provide a robust Detailed Design, the commissioning date of December 2013 still remains.

Project definition: East Midlands train lengthening

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Lengthening of East Midlands Trains and Cross Country trains	6	Leicester	612	510
Lengthening of East Midlands Trains	10	Nottingham	1170	936

Scope of works

The locations under review for this project are:

- Stamford;
- Melton Mowbray;
- Syston;
- South Wigston;
- Spondon;
- Willington;
- Wellingborough;
- Loughborough;
- Long Eaton;
- Kettering;
- Market Harborough; and
- Beeston.

The maximum potential platform lengthening at these locations is shown in the table below.

Volume	Location			
	Spondon	Long Eaton	Syston	South Wigston
Platform lengthening (m)	25 Down 25 Up	10 Down 7 Up	41 Down	2 Up

Volume	Location			
	Willington	Loughborough	Kettering	Wellingborough
Platform lengthening (m)	16 Down 16 Up	125 Platform 1 128 Platform 2 177 Platform 3	50 Platform 1 49 Platform 2 42 Platform 3 48 Platform 4	20 Platform 1 22 Platform 2 133 Platform 3

Volume	Location			
	Stamford	Melton Mowbray	Market Harborough	Beeston
Platform lengthening (m)	5 Down 5 UP	13 Down 11 Up	27 Down 106 Up	68 Down 69 Up

The project is currently pre GRIP. Grip 1-3 commences the week commencing 5th December and is due to be complete by March 2009.

Implementation works are to be complete by the end of CP4 (March 2014). Project development may involve signalling and track disciplines.

Outputs

This scheme could provide additional carrying capacity on East Midlands routes by operating longer passenger trains. This could require platform lengthening to accommodate either 10x23m (class 222) or 5x23m (class 222) or 4x23m (class 170) vehicle trains at those stations listed in the tables above.

Significant interfaces

This project interfaces with the National Stations Improvement Programme at Kettering, potential 3rd party funded DDA (footbridge with lifts) improvements at Wellingborough, DDA (footbridge with lifts), a Car Park, station forecourt Improvements at Loughborough, line speed improvements at Market Harborough, and National Stations Improvement Programme works at Long Eaton.

Key assumptions

- Only civils works are required; and
- it is assumed that East Midlands Trains will run up to 10-cars possibly with some SDO, and CrossCountry will run up to 4-cars without SDO.

Activities and milestones profile

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4 commencement	Single option development	Dec. 2009
Grip 5-8	Detailed design and construction	June 2012

Northern urban centres - Yorkshire

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are summarised in this document and described further in the route plans.

Scope of works

The scope of work we believe is necessary to help meet our obligation includes:

- additional stabling and servicing in the Huddersfield and Skipton areas to accommodate up to 34 and 16 (additional) vehicles per night respectively for Northern Rail as part of the DfT Rolling Stock Strategy;
- an additional bay platform at Leeds opposite platform 1 capable of taking at least 6 x23m vehicle trains;
- additional platform capacity on the south side of the station;
- a programme of platform extensions to allow longer trains to operate on a number of rail corridors into Leeds and Sheffield;
- turnback facility at Horsforth and two additional signal sections between Horsforth and Harrogate;
- re-instatement of the Up platform at Castleford;
- turnback facility east of Leeds in the Micklefield area; and
- additional stabling and servicing at Ickles in the Sheffield area to accommodate up to 25 additional vehicles per night for Northern Rail as part of the DfT Rolling Stock Strategy.

The interventions described in this section remain based on the assumptions made in earlier documents regarding the quantum and deployment of additional rolling stock. There is yet no certainty, in terms of agreement between DfT and its franchised train operators, of the actual infrastructure requirements. We therefore plan to prioritise on the basis of discussions held with train operators that have identified those interventions most likely required to deliver an increase in capacity.

Project definition: Capacity improvements (Leeds area)

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Northern Rail / TransPennine Express train lengthening and additional services	112	Leeds	12100	6500
Northern Rail / TransPennine Express train lengthening and additional services	13	Sheffield	1600	1300

Scope of works

A programme of platform extensions is planned in order to allow longer trains to operate on a number of rail corridors into Leeds. Stations in South Yorkshire are covered by the South Yorkshire train lengthening project. On some corridors additional shuttle services over the section of route where crowding occurs are proposed as these make better use of rolling stock.

We have examined a number of options to improve platform capacity at Leeds to accommodate the longer and additional services that terminate / start there. The preferred option is joining platforms 13 and 14 to provide an additional through platform and thereby allow platform 15 to be used mainly as a long turnback platform.

Other works are to include new turnback facilities, clear of the running lines, at Horsforth (4x23m vehicles) and Micklefield (6x23m vehicles). The latter may require the existing Micklefield station to be relocated. To allow for growth beyond CP4 the turnbacks will have provision to allow formations longer than necessary for CP4 train formations.

Scope could include the reinstatement of the Up platform at Castleford and the connection to the former Ledston branch to allow an increase in Castleford – Leeds shuttle services but this is subject to clarification of Northern Rail's operational plan. Also two additional signal sections between Harrogate and Horsforth (in each direction) are being provided to free up capacity for the Horsforth to Leeds shuttles.

The proposed scope of works includes new and enhanced stabling and servicing facilities at Skipton and in the Huddersfield area to accommodate circa up to 16 and 34 (additional) vehicles respectively per night. Those at Skipton will be electric units. These will be supplemented by a similar facility in South Yorkshire (see South Yorkshire stabling for Northern project). The exact number will be determined once Northern Rail's operational plan is confirmed.

Platform lengthening scope is as follows:

- the development work covers understanding the requirements to extend existing station platforms as shown below. This will involve mainly civil engineering, however some signalling and permanent way work will be necessary at some locations; and
- the work only accommodates the longest length train to call at these stations to meet CP4 HLOS growth, so additional passive provision has not been considered.

a

Corridor	Stations	Number of platforms
Skipton – Leeds	Cononley	2
	Steeton & Silsden	2
	Crossflatts	2
	Bingley	2
	Saltaire	2
	Shipley	2
Ikley-Leeds	Ben Rhydding	2
	Burley-in-Wharfedale	2
	Menston	2
	Guiseley	2
Selby-Leeds	South Milford	2
	Micklefield	See note *
	East Garforth	2
Knottingley-Glasshaughton	Knottingley	2
	Pontefract Monkhill	2
Wakefield Kirkgate - Castleford- Leeds	Wakefield Kirkgate	1
	Normanton	2
	Castleford	1
	Woodlesford	1
Stalybridge-Huddersfield	Mossley	2
	Marsden	3
	Slaithwaite	2
Brighouse-Leeds	Mirfield	2
	Ravensthorpe	2
	Cottingley	2
Doncaster-Leeds	South Emsall	2
	Fitzwilliam	2
	Sandall	2
	Outwood	2

* Micklefield station is relocated to provide the turnback (see above) and the new station will have three 6 x 23m platforms, (2 through and 1 turnback)

West Yorkshire stabling scope includes:

- additional stabling and servicing facilities for Northern Rail's fleet so that Neville Hill and Newton Heath depots can concentrate on maintenance thereby avoiding the need for additional maintenance depots, thereby accommodating the provision of additional Northern Rail rolling stock expected via the DfT Rolling Stock Strategy and to meet CP4 HLOS growth;
- GRIP1-3 works concentrating on sites at Skipton and Huddersfield Hillhouse, the latter is subject to the successful release of the Strategic Freight Site; and
- works involving signalling and permanent way works on the main network, and permanent way, signalling, civil engineering and plant works in the internal depot facility.

Outputs

The strategy for each corridor can be seen below.

Corridor	Length / rolling stock types
Skipton – Leeds	6 x23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 321, 333, 35X
Ilkley-Leeds	6 x23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 321, 333, 35X
Selby-Leeds	6 x 23m, Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 18X, 35X
Knottingley-Glasshaughton	4 x 23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 35X
Wakefield Kirkgate - Castleford- Leeds	4 x23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 35X
Stalybridge-Huddersfield	4 x 23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 35X
Brighouse-Leeds	4 x 23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 35X
Doncaster-Leeds	4 x 23m Class 14X, 15X, 17X, 321, 333, 35X

Significant interfaces

- Interfaces with a potential Regional Funding Allocation scheme to provide a parkway station at Micklefield;
- signalling renewals on the Harrogate line; and
- West Yorkshire stabling has an interface with the tram train project (at Huddersfield only).

Key assumptions

The interventions assume that Northern Rail will receive circa 140 additional vehicles for services across its entire franchise area. If the actual figure is lower then it may not be possible to deliver the Leeds peak capacity metric within the enhanced infrastructure provided under this project.

The enhancements on the Harrogate line assume that they will be integrated with the signalling renewals at Horsforth and Rigton.

It is assumed that the Leeds southern entrance scheme will be funded within CP4 through the RFA thereby dealing with the station crowding issues at Leeds that the capacity metric would otherwise cause.

Provision of land for the stabling and servicing facilities will only have a moderate cost and any consents required will be gained within reasonable timescales.

The Northern Rail operational plan confirms that the infrastructure schemes above are required, and is agreed by July 2009.

It is assumed that infrastructure works are not required at the below stations.

Corridor	Stations
Skipton – Leeds	Skipton Keighley
Ilkley-Leeds	Ilkley
Selby-Leeds	Selby Garforth Crossgates
Knottingley-Glasshaughton	Glasshaughton
Stalybridge-Huddersfield	Greenfield
Brighouse-Leeds	Brighouse Dewsbury Batley Morley Wakefield Westgate

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Stabling GRIP4	Complete outline design	Dec. 2010*
Stabling GRIP 4	Complete consents	March 2011
Stabling GRIP 5-6	Complete detailed design and construction	Dec. 2012
Stabling GRIP 7	Complete handback	March 2013
Platform lengthening GRIP4	Complete outline design	Sept. 2010
Platform lengthening GRIP 5-6	Complete detailed design and construction	March 2013
Platform lengthening GRIP 7	Complete handback	June 2013
Horsforth Turnback and additional signals GRIP 4	Complete outline design	Sept. 2010
Horsforth Turnback and additional signals GRIP 5-6	Complete detailed design and construction	June 2012
Horsforth Turnback and additional signals GRIP 7	Complete handback	Sept. 2012
Remainder of schemes GRIP 4-8	Complete outline design, detailed design and construction	March 2013 – March 2014

* The critical milestones are to develop and deliver the depot facilities by early / mid CP4 (i.e. approximately 2011 - 2013).

Project definition: South Yorkshire - train lengthening

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Northern Rail / TransPennine Express train lengthening and additional services	13	Sheffield	1600	1300

Scope of works

The specific requirements of the project are a programme of platform extensions (or derogations where appropriate) to allow longer trains to operate on two rail corridors into Sheffield, as shown in the table below. Those stations in West Yorkshire are covered by the capacity improvement (Leeds area) project. Project scope includes Thurnscoe, Goldthorpe, Bolton on Dearne, Swinton, Rotherham Central and Chapeltown stations.

Corridor	Stations	Number of platforms
Sheffield – Barnsley-Darton	Chapeltown	2
Sheffield-Moorthorpe	Rotherham Central	2
	Swinton	3
	Bolton-on-Deerne	2
	Goldthorpe	2
	Thurnscoe	2

Outputs

The project provides platform extensions to support train lengthening on some Northern Rail services into Sheffield and a number that run between Sheffield and Leeds providing peak trains at Leeds. Additional train capacity into Sheffield on other operators' services is available without alteration to existing Network Rail infrastructure in the area.

Corridor	Length / rolling stock types
Sheffield – Swinton - Moorthorpe	4 x23m Class 14x, 15x, 17x
Sheffield – Barnsley – Darton	4 x23m Class 14x, 15x, 17x

Significant interfaces

- Interfaces with platform extensions on the West Yorkshire sections of the routes above are covered by the capacity improvements (Leeds area) project; and
- the scheme will interface with the tram train trial (phase 1) at Chapeltown and could interface at Rotherham Central under phase 2 of the tram train trial.

Key assumptions

- Additional land purchase will not be required, but Station Change and internal property clearance will be needed;
- Northern Rail receive their full complement of additional vehicles under the DfT Rolling Stock Plan of circa an additional 140 vehicles and therefore Northern Rail's operational plan requires that some 4 car trains operate on the Sheffield to Leeds via Moorthorpe and via Barnsley routes to meet CP4 HLOS metrics;
- it is assumed that the rolling stock (both cascaded and new) is a mixture of Class 14X, 15X, and 17X;

- the rolling stock plan is agreed in July 2009; and
- we do not secure any operational derogation / local operational instruction to operate trains and flex stopping distances that exceed the current platform length except at Bolton-on Dearne.

Other platform extensions between Wakefield Kirkgate and Leeds and Fitzwilliam and Leeds are included in the West Yorkshire metrics

The stations shown below do not require infrastructure works.

Corridor	Stations
Sheffield – Barnsley-Darton	Meadowhall Elsecar Wombwell Barnsley Darton
Sheffield-Moorthorpe	Meadowhall Moorthorpe

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	Outline design completion	Sept. 2010
GRIP 5-6	Detailed design and construction completion	March 2013
GRIP 7-8	Handback	June 2013

The programme reflects the lack of clarity in relation to the DfT / Northern Rail Rolling Stock Plan and the implications on the scope required to deliver the outputs.

Until we know exactly the Northern Rail Operational Plan it is difficult to determine which platforms are to be progressed and in what order of priority.

We are currently looking at synergies with signalling renewal possessions in the Moorthorpe area and tram train on the Barnsley – Penistone route, both planned for 2011/12.

Project definition: South Yorkshire - stabling for Northern

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Northern Rail / TransPennine Express train lengthening and additional services	13	Sheffield	1600	1300

Scope of works

Project scope has concentrated on the Tinsley depot area / Ickles Yard sites and the existing station and depot facilities at Sheffield station. Subject to the Strategic Freight Site being secured, Ickles Yard (north of Rotherham) is the preferred option.

The work will involve signalling and permanent way works on the main network, and permanent way, signalling and civil engineering works in the internal depot facility.

Outputs

The scheme provides additional stabling and servicing for Northern Rail's fleet at a new site in South Yorkshire so that Neville Hill and Newton Heath depots can concentrate on maintenance thereby avoiding the need for additional maintenance depots. It will support the additional vehicles required to lengthen services into Sheffield and also those that operate between Sheffield and Leeds that provide peak capacity for Leeds.

The specific requirements of the project are a new stabling and servicing facilities at a site in the Sheffield area to accommodate circa 25 (additional) vehicles and to be capable of reducing the need for stabling in Sheffield station.

The facility will cater for Class 14X, 15X and 17X vehicles.

Significant interfaces

- There are potential interfaces with platform extensions on the South Yorkshire sections of the routes; and
- the scheme could interface with the tram train trial project.

Key assumptions

- Provision of land for the stabling and servicing facilities will only have a moderate cost and that any consents required are gained within reasonable timescales;
- Northern Rail receive their full complement of additional vehicles under the DfT Rolling Stock Plan of circa 140 additional vehicles;
- the rolling stock plan is agreed in July 2009;
- Northern Rail's operational plan is confirmed to require a depot in South Yorkshire; and
- the types of vehicles to be cascaded / new build for the South Yorkshire area are Class 14X, 15X and 17X.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP1-3	Complete option selection	Dec. 2009
GRIP4	Complete outline design ³	Dec. 2010
GRIP 4	Complete consents	March 2011
GRIP 5 - 6	Complete detailed design and construction	Dec. 2012
Grip 7	Complete handback	March 2013

The programme reflects the lack of clarity in relation to the DfT / Northern Rail Rolling Stock Plan and the implications on the scope required to deliver the outputs

Northern urban centres - Manchester

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plans assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are summarised in this document and described further in the route plans.

Scope of works

The proposed scope of works covers:

- route 20 platform lengthening (24.01);
- route 20 stabling for Northern Rail (24.02);
- Salford Crescent station redevelopment (24.03); and
- Route 20 capacity enhancements (24.04) - package consisting of smaller projects that are identified as being 'value for money' in terms of delivering additional capacity. These projects are still under development but options include: Stalybridge track and signalling modifications and modest infrastructure interventions between Hadfield – Glossop and Manchester Piccadilly.

The interventions described in this section remain based on the assumptions made in earlier documents regarding the quantum and deployment of additional rolling stock. There is yet no certainty, in terms of agreement between DfT and its franchised train operators, of the actual infrastructure requirements. We therefore plan to prioritise on the basis of discussions held with train operators that have identified those interventions most likely required to deliver an increase in capacity.

Project definition: Route 20 - platform lengthening

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Liverpool Lime Street	200	200
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Manchester Piccadilly	900	500
TPE lengthening	14	Manchester Piccadilly	2,300	1,000
Northern train lengthening	54	Manchester Piccadilly	6,100	5,800
Northern train lengthening	13	Liverpool Lime Street	1,300	1,300
TPE lengthening	1	Liverpool Lime Street	300	100

Scope of works

Achieving the capacity targets for Manchester and Liverpool, as detailed within the High Level output Statement, requires the Train Operators to deploy additional rolling stock. The primary method for deploying extra stock will be through operating longer train services which is yet to be finalised in the Operators' Operational Plans. Accommodating longer rolling stock formations will require various infrastructure enabling works at the stations detailed in the outputs below.

The scope covers ten major routes equating to ninety platforms all requiring some type of enabling works. Some locations may require minimal works such as relocating platform end fences, whilst others will need signalling alterations, OLE modifications and platform lengthening. The final scope will be further understood at GRIP 3.

Outputs

The output requirements are to provide interventions to enable longer passenger trains to operate on the corridors below. Platform lengthening is one way of achieving requirements for longer trains with other methods including selective door opening (SDO). Following discussions with Northern Rail and TPE following output is agreed for commencing GRIP 3:

The overall operational platform strategy for each route is:

Corridor	Stations	Platforms	Output requirements
Atherton	Swinton	1,2	4 vehicles
	Walkden	1,2	
	Wigan Wallgate	3	
	New Lane	1,2	
	Bescar Lane	1,2	
	Orrell	1,2	
	Upholland	1,2	
	Rainford	1,2	
Bolton	Bromley Cross	1,2	4 vehicles
	Clifton	1,2	
	Darwen	1,2	
	Hall I'Th' Wood	1,2	
	Langho	1,2	
	Moses Gate	1,2	
	Ramsgreave and Wilpshire	1,2	
	Adlington	1,2	6 vehicles
	Blackrod	1,2	
	Bolton	1,2	
	Chorley	1,2	
	Kirkham and Wesham	1,2	
	Layton	1	
	Poulton-Le-Fylde	1,2	
	Cherry Tree	1,2	3 vehicles
	Pleasington	1,2	
	Bamber Bridge	1,2	
	Andsell & Fairhaven	1	2 vehicles
	Huncoat	1,2	
Calder Valley	Mills Hill	1,2	4 vehicles
CLC	Glazebrook	1,2	4 vehicles
	Padgate	1,2	
	Sankey	1,2	
	West Allerton	1,2,3	
	Humphrey Park	1,2	
Hadfield	Flowery Field	1,2	4 vehicles
St Helens	Bryn	1,2	4 vehicles
	Eccleston Park	1,2	
	Garswood	1,2	
	Thatto Heath	1,2	
Stockport	Chapel-en-le-Frith	1	4 vehicles
	Woodsmoor	1,2	
	Middlewood	1,2	
	Dove Holes	1,2	
	Ashley	2	
	Plumley	2	
	Greenbank	1,2	
	Cuddington	1,2	
	Delamere	1,2	
	Mouldsworth	1,2	

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces within Network Rail with other Manchester capacity proposals such as:

- route 20 stabling for Northern;
- DfT's Rolling Stock plan currently being developed for Northern Rail and TPE;
- route 20 capacity enhancements; and
- Salford Crescent station redevelopment.

The emerging Manchester hub proposals for CP5 and beyond interface with all Manchester capacity proposals.

Key assumptions

Following discussions with Northern Rail the following assumptions have been made:

- 4 x vehicle lengths are required on all radial routes from Manchester;
- 6 x vehicle lengths are required Preston – Bolton – Salford Crescent;
- 3 x EMU Manchester – Glossop and Stockport – Crewe; and
- full rolling stock deployment expected by December 2013;

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Completion	June 2010
GRIP 4	Completion	Sept. 2011
GRIP 5-6	Completion	Sept. 2013
GRIP 7	Commission	Dec. 2013

Project definition: Route 20 – stabling for Northern

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Liverpool Lime Street	200	200
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Manchester Piccadilly	900	500
TPE lengthening	14	Manchester Piccadilly	2,300	1,000
Northern train lengthening	54	Manchester Piccadilly	6,100	5,800
Northern train lengthening	13	Liverpool Lime Street	1,300	1,300
TPE lengthening	1	Liverpool Lime Street	300	100

Scope of works

Additional stabling and servicing facilities for Northern Rail's fleet so that Neville Hill and Newton Heath depots can concentrate on maintenance. This would avoid the need for additional maintenance depots, thereby accommodating the provision of additional Northern Rail rolling stock expected via the DfT Rolling Stock Strategy and to meet CP4 HLOS growth.

The number of additional vehicles to be provided to Northern Rail is currently not finalised and thus the train operator has been unable to confirm its requirements for additional stabling. It is anticipated that as soon as the operational plan is available, the scope of this project will be confirmed. However, in consultation with the Operator (Northern Rail) we have currently identified Guide Bridge area as a possible location.

Both Guide Bridge locations have sufficient land availability for the following:

- stabling for a minimum 44 vehicles; and
- vehicle washers, watering, fuelling, CET discharge, stabling power, wheel lathe and train crew facilities.

The next stage of development will progress varying options and layouts to GRIP 3 in conjunction with the TOC (Northern) to determine the optimum facility requirements. Likely issues encountered will be engineering solutions, operational, land ownership, environmental / ecological and planning. Network and Depot Change will also be required.

Outputs

The project will determine with Northern and DfT an optimum provision of stabling and depot facilities centred around the expansion of the DMU facilities at Guide Bridge and Blackpool North.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces within Network Rail with other Manchester capacity proposals such as:

- route 20 platform lengthening;
- route 20 capacity enhancements; and
- Salford Crescent station redevelopment.

The emerging Manchester Hub proposals for CP5 and beyond interface with all Manchester capacity proposals.

Key assumptions

Following discussions with Northern Rail the following assumptions have been made:

- Guide Bridge will be for DMU operation only;
- in line with DfT's Northern Rail rolling stock deployment strategy;
- Northern Rail to finalise their rolling stock deployment plan by type, length, service, timescale to enable full performance and operational timetable modelling plan to be undertaken to prove depot facilities scope; and
- Guide Bridge to be in use by December 2012.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Feasibility completion	Dec. 2010
GRIP 4	Single option design completion	March 2012
GRIP 5-6	Detailed design and construction completion	Dec. 2012

Project definition: Salford Crescent station redevelopment

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Liverpool Lime Street	200	200
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Manchester Piccadilly	900	500
TPE lengthening	14	Manchester Piccadilly	2,300	1,000
Northern train lengthening	54	Manchester Piccadilly	6,100	5,800
Northern train lengthening	13	Liverpool Lime Street	1,300	1,300
TPE lengthening	1	Liverpool Lime Street	300	100

Scope of works

Currently we are in discussions with the train operator and other stakeholders to finalise the options that deliver most benefit and, meets HLOS targets. The options consist of the following interventions:

The project is limited to the redevelopment of the station and possible minor remodelling of the track layout between Windsor Bridge north and south junctions. Station works may include:

- lengthening the current platforms to accommodate services 6 vehicles in length;
- slewing of track to accommodate longer platforms and possible additional platforms;
- minor track modifications at Windsor Bridge Junction;
- some rationalisation of station building on the current platforms;
- possible widening and/or an additional platform; and
- modifications to the station access arrangements (e.g. DDA compliance).

Outputs

The redevelopment should improve connectivity to and from the surrounding area and aid regeneration of central Salford as well as connectivity through the station by way of improving train/train interchange and provide additional station capacity.

Development work undertaken until now has proceeded on the basis of a project that would provide local development, regeneration and connectivity benefits as well as providing provision for further capacity improvements in future - in addition to meeting the HLOS targets for Manchester. This more significant project was identified as a result of stakeholder consultation and was predicated on additional funding from external sources. Partly as a result of the referendum on the Greater Manchester TIF proposal, that level of external funding is now not forthcoming. This project will now concentrate on the measures needed to meet the stated HLOS targets for Manchester, for which Salford Crescent station is currently a significant constraint. This is likely to include extending the current platforms to accommodate 6 car trains and measures to provide sufficient capacity on the platforms for growth in passenger numbers.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces within Network Rail and other Manchester capacity proposals. Externally there are interfaces with GMPTE (in respect of the construction of a new bus/rail interchange), Central Salford URC

(in respect of regeneration proposals) and University of Salford (in respect of redevelopment of their adjacent campus).

External funding is expected to contribute towards the development of a larger scheme than is required by Network Rail to meet the requirements set by these external stakeholders.

Key assumptions

- Train formation up to 6 x 23m vehicles plus the appropriate length to cater for operational purposes;
- external funding is required for work in excess of that required to deliver CP4 outputs; and
- land may be required from adjacent landowners – Salford City Council and University of Salford.

This is a complex redevelopment which will require Network and Station Change, planning permission, ROGS approvals and possible land acquisition and TWA powers.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Option selection report complete	Sept. 2011
GRIP 5	Detailed design complete	Dec. 2012
GRIP 4	Commence	March 2013
GRIP 6	Construction start	Sept. 2013
GRIP 6	Construction complete	Dec. 2014

Project definition: Route 20 capacity enhancements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Liverpool Lime Street	200	200
Pendolino lengthening	(Shown under Route 18)	Manchester Piccadilly	900	500
TPE lengthening	14	Manchester Piccadilly	2,300	1,000
Northern train lengthening	54	Manchester Piccadilly	6,100	5,800
Northern train lengthening	13	Liverpool Lime Street	1,300	1,300
TPE lengthening	1	Liverpool Lime Street	300	100

Scope of works

The scope requires additional infrastructure to support both additions and the extensions of existing passenger services. The corridors identified for infrastructure interventions are as follows;

Hadfield corridor

- Raise the line speed between Dinting and Glossop and between Dinting and Hadfield to 50mph;
- raise the line speed between Guide Bridge to Dinting to 90mph (where possible); and
- replace all fixed distant signals to working distant signals.

The above interventions will facilitate the addition of another class 323 unit to be deployed in to the existing cycle.

Stalybridge Turnback

- Additional crossovers west side of Stalybridge station;
- additional bay platform on the west side of Stalybridge station;
- platform lighting and seating; and
- other track and signalling alterations.

The creation of a turnback facility on the Victoria side of Stalybridge station will allow some existing services that currently terminate at Manchester Victoria to be extended through to Stalybridge, supporting current terminating services between Manchester Victoria and Stalybridge. The above interventions will improve operational moves by eliminating the crossing of the up and down Guide Bridge lines and accommodating the loop lines, and improve onboard capacity between Stalybridge and Manchester by increased service frequency.

Outputs

The operational plans are being refined with the operators. Northern has highlighted that the most efficient use of a strengthened fleet is for trains, where possible, to work through the centre of Manchester and thereby avoid a turnaround for each trip to or from the centre, and for the longest units to not have to work to the extremities of the system. These infrastructure interventions will significantly improve utilisation of the additional rolling stock.

The Hatfield Infrastructure intervention will facilitate 6 additional vehicle arrivals into Manchester Piccadilly during the 3 hour peak – subject to timetable paths available between Ardwick Junction and Manchester Piccadilly.

We anticipate that the north bay at Stalybridge would facilitate additional vehicle arrivals into Manchester Victoria - 12 vehicles in the 3 hour am peak and 10 vehicle departures in the pm peak. Additionally, the Stalybridge bay platform provides a conflict free turnback facility, allowing services the benefit to terminate avoiding main line.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces within Network Rail with other Manchester capacity proposals such as:

- North West platform extensions;
- North West depots and stabling;
- Stalybridge track and signalling renewals;
- Victoria station commercial development; and
- Salford Crescent remodelling.

The emerging Manchester Hub proposals for CP5 and beyond interface with all Manchester capacity proposals, the assumptions for which are consistent with this project.

Key assumptions

Following discussions with Northern Rail the following assumptions have been made:

- DfT to finalise its rolling stock plan by July 2009;
- Northern Rail and TPE to define its rolling stock deployment plan by type, length, service, timescale to enable full performance and operational timetable modelling plan to be undertaken to prove validity of capacity schemes;
- Northern rolling stock deployment expected by December 2013; and
- capacity schemes to be commissioned by December 2013.

Activities and milestones

Hadfield intervention

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 1	Completion GRIP 1	March 2010
GRIP 2	Completion GRIP 2	Dec. 2010
GRIP 3	Feasibility and Capacity Modelling	Sept. 2011
GRIP 4	Completion GRIP 4	March 2013
GRIP 5 -8	Completion and Commission	March 2014

Stalybridge intervention

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	Completion	Sept. 2010
GRIP 5	Completion GRIP 5	Sept. 2012
GRIP 6 - 8	Completion and commission	June 2013

Northern urban centres – Liverpool - Leeds linespeed improvements

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The project scope is for track, signalling, structures and earthworks alterations to take place at locations between Leeds Station and Ardwick Junction for the route via Diggle tunnel and Liverpool Lime Street station to Manchester Oxford Road station via the Chat Moss route.

On completion of the GRIP 3 study, we expect the scope to become more defined and include:

- suggestive signalling alterations to accommodate higher line speeds;
- review of some restrictive signalling alterations;
- possible track realignments;
- track tamping and drainage works;
- gauge re-profiling; and
- timetable interventions.

Passive provision will be made for W9 and W10 gauging.

Output

The primary output is to contribute to the route 10 and route 20 HLOS passenger kilometre metrics by stimulating further passenger demand by improving journey times between Leeds and Manchester via Diggle, and Manchester and Liverpool via Chat Moss.

Reductions in journey times between these cities are a move towards the Government's target journey time of 30 minutes between Liverpool Lime Street and Manchester via Chat Moss and 43 minutes between Manchester and Leeds.

It is recognised that, achieving improved journey times will require both the defined infrastructure interventions, combined with an industry agreed timetabling intervention.

The line speed improvements will manifest as revised Sectional Running Times over the section between Liverpool Lime Street and Leeds. The scope of infrastructure and timetabling works required to achieve these time savings are currently being assessed.

Specific infrastructure interventions being examined include potential increased speeds, for example, Ardwick to Ashburys potentially restoring a 40/60 mph differential, Stalybridge to Diggle from 65 mph to 75mph, Huddersfield to Heaton Lodge 70 mph to 85 mph, Mirfield to Batley 60/75 mph to 80mph, Edge Hill to Astley from 75 mph to 90mph and Patricroft to Ordsall from 75mph to 90 mph.

Significant interfaces

There are interfaces with stakeholders including DfT, TOCs, FOCs, Merseytravel, GMPTE and West Yorkshire PTE. There are interdependencies with other projects including the seven day railway and renewals and resignalling schemes.

Key assumptions

The key assumption is that the current rolling stock will continue to operate on the route for the foreseeable future.

Network Change will be required. If structural work is needed, especially to strengthen bridges, access from outside the railway may be required.

25.00 Programme – Northern urban centres – Liverpool - Leeds linespeed improvements

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3	Option selection report complete	March 2010
GRIP 4/5	Detailed design commences	Sept. 2010
GRIP 6	Staged implementation of line speed improvements commences	March 2011
GRIP 6	Construction complete	Dec. 2013
GRIP 8	Project complete	March 2014

Western improvements programme

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver the schemes as defined by ORR and to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plans assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plans are summarised in this document and described further in the route plans.

Scope of works

The schemes defined by ORR to be delivered are:

- Barry – Cardiff Queen Street corridor;
- Cotswold Line redoubling; and
- Westerleigh Junction to Barnt Green linespeed improvement.

In addition the following scheme is necessary to support the operational plans:

- Maidenhead and Twyford relief line platform extensions project.

Project definition: Barry – Cardiff Queen Street corridor

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project.

Scope of works

The scope of work will include:

- the provision of an additional through platform at Cardiff Queen Street station to accommodate the increased level of south Wales valley lines services;
- the provision of an additional bay platform at Cardiff Queen Street station for independent operation of Cardiff Bay services, freeing up capacity to accommodate the increased level of south Wales valley lines services;
- the provision of an additional through platform at Cardiff Central station to accommodate the increased level of south Wales valley lines services;
- the re-instatement of the former platform 5 at Cardiff Central station to accommodate the increased level of Maesteg services;
- bi-directional signalling for those platforms;
- doubling of the single line Treforrest curve to accommodate the increased level of south Wales valley lines services;
- linespeed increase for the City Line (Radyr to Ninian Park) to deliver services faster to and from the city centre corridor to maximise capacity;
- Cardiff East crossover from platform 4 to the Up Barry line to accommodate the increased level of south Wales valley lines services; and
- Cogan junction remodelling to accommodate the revised specification for south Wales valley lines services towards the Vale of Glamorgan.

Outputs

This project facilitates the increase of south Wales valley line services from 12 trains per hour to 16 trains per hour through the central Cardiff corridor by the end of CP4, March 2014.

Significant interfaces

Cardiff area signalling renewal (CASR) - the Network Rail renewal of the Cardiff area signalling system.

Key assumptions

Cardiff Area Signalling Renewal (CASR) will be delivered to time.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
Phase 1	Signalling commissioning. Valley Lines/Queen Street	December 2012
Permanent way works	New S&C and track to Queen Street platforms 0 and 4.	December 2012
Phase 2A/2B Barry / VOG	Predominantly a signalling commissioning	2A June 2013 2B September 2013
Phase 3	Permanent way - new S&C 70mph ladders at Longdyke Marshfield – Newtown signalling commissioning	June 2013
Phase 4A Cardiff Central platforms 4/6/7	Permanent way blockade with signalling commissioning	June 2014
Phase 4B Cardiff east/west and central	Major signalling commissioning and permanent way	June 2014
Phase 5 Leckwith-Llanharan	Predominantly a signalling commissioning	June 2015
Additional permanent way stages	Permanent way recoveries	March 2015
GRIP 6	Completion	September 2015
GRIP 7	Completion	September 2016
GRIP 8	Completion	December 2016

Note these timescales are for the overall Cardiff Area Signalling Renewal. This project will deliver the enhanced scope described in the scope of works section.

Project definition: Cotswold line re-doubling

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The scope of works includes:

- redoubling the single line track section between Charlbury and Ascott-under-Wychwood by May 2010;
- redoubling the single line track section between Moreton-in-Marsh and Evesham and also to the west of Evesham station by August 2010; and
- linespeed improvements.

Outputs

This project facilitates a robust hourly train service and reduces the impact of delays throughout the Thames Valley corridor to and from Paddington and reduces delays to north-south services via Oxford.

Significant interfaces

- Reading station area redevelopment;
- Oxford area redevelopment, upgrade of the Up and Down goods loops to accommodate passenger trains;
- Didcot - Oxford capacity enhancement, upgrade and linking of existing passenger and goods loops to provide a four-track railway between Radley and Wolvercote Junction, with a new south facing bay platform at Oxford station;
- Oxford – Bletchley strategic route development, upgrade of the line to a core trunk route linking the South Coast and the Midlands and the north of England via the Thames Valley with the West Coast Main Line for main line and local passenger services and freight services;
- East West rail, upgrade of the line between Oxford and Milton Keynes primarily for local services connecting Oxford, Aylesbury and Milton Keynes;
- Evergreen III (Chiltern Railways), upgrade of the line between Oxford and Bicester, including a new chord line between Bicester and Bicester Town stations and a new station at Water Eaton (north of Oxford). This facilitates new services between Oxford and London Marylebone via High Wycombe; and
- Weston Otmoor Eco-town, upgrade of the line between Oxford and Bicester connecting the proposed environmentally sustainable housing development between Oxford and Bicester with a new station. This facilitates new services between Oxford and London Marylebone via High Wycombe and local tramtrain services to Oxford.

Key assumptions

The project must be delivered prior to works for the Reading station area redevelopment project.

Activities and milestones profile:

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 4	Completion	June 2009
GRIP 5	Commencement	June 2009
GRIP 6	Commencement	Sept. 2009
GRIP 6	Practical completion/commissioning	March 2011
GRIP 8	Completion	March 2011

Project definition: Westerleigh Junction to Barnt Green line speed increase

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The project will enhance the linespeed on approximately 18 miles of track between Bristol Parkway and Gloucester and between Cheltenham and Birmingham. To be a cost effective programme the enhancement must piggyback on the planned high output S&C and conventional track renewals planned on the route during 2010/11 to 2012/13. In addition to achieving a line speed of 100 mph, the project will explore the possibility of raising the linespeed capability to 110 mph over approximately 30 miles in each direction, to be realised once relevant level crossing renewals are completed at the end of CP4 / early CP5 along with other relevant additional works that may be required.

Outputs

A line speed increase to 100 mph for the majority of the route, resulting in improved performance robustness, the ability to recover better from delays and running time improvements for the Bristol – Birmingham leg of the cross country network.

Significant interfaces

- Plain line and High Output track renewals programme 2010/11 through to 2012/13;
- S&C track renewal programme - maximising renewals work through the S&C renewal programme;
- seven day railway project – additional crossovers, improved track worker access points and enhancements to level crossings which would enable improved single line working opportunities;
- Bristol Parkway 4th platform - provision of an additional platform face abutting existing platform 2;
- interaction with the Bromsgrove station relocation project;
- interaction with Bromsgrove electrification and Redditch branch improvement;
- interaction with Birmingham Gateway project;
- cross-Bristol service increase (Bristol Metro) - West of England Partnership proposal for an enhanced cross-Bristol service encompassing Gloucester, south Wales, Severn Beach, Bath, Portishead, Weston-super-Mare and Taunton. TIF and Regional Funding Allocation (RFA2) funding bid proposed;
- MML line speed increases - will provide reduced journey time for services operating on the Midland Main Line between St Pancras International and Derby, Nottingham and Sheffield and as such may interface with this project;
- York Holgate Junction 4th line; and
- Shaftholme Junction remodelling.

Key assumptions

Delivery of this project is dependent on the availability of High Output equipment programme.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Output	Date
GRIP 3 completion	Single option chosen	Dec. 2009
GRIP 4 authority		Dec. 2009
GRIP 6	Start on site	June 2010
Project completion		Dec. 2012

Works will be complete in time for the December 2012 timetable change, though some changes to linespeed may be possible beforehand, depending on the phasing and location of the renewals sites in each years' programme.

Project definition: Maidenhead and Twyford (relief lines)

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to provide the necessary infrastructure to facilitate the operational plan assumed with train operators to deliver HLOS capacity metrics. The assumed operational plan is summarised in the table below and described further in the route plans.

Assumed operational plan to meet HLOS capacity in CP4

Description	Additional vehicles involved	Station served	0700 – 0959 capacity impact	0800 – 0859 capacity impact
Strengthening of Thames Valley services	53	Paddington	4100	1600
Strengthening of cross-Bristol services	12	Bristol Temple Meads	100	100

Scope of works

The scope of works includes the extension of the up and down relief line platforms at Maidenhead and Twyford stations to accommodate seven car suburban trains.

Outputs

The output would be the accommodation of longer suburban trains.

Significant interfaces

- The Crossrail project proposes key station platform extensions to accommodate 200m long trains. This includes Maidenhead under the current proposal. Should Crossrail be extended to Reading then Twyford platforms would require extending. An opportunity arises to bring forward Crossrail spend to extend Maidenhead much earlier than currently planned to deliver both the HLOS and Crossrail requirements. Should this be achievable, then Twyford would need to be treated as an independent scheme;
- electrification of the GWML - installation of the overhead electrification equipment;
- Reading station area redevelopment provides additional capacity and performance benefits for all GWML and CrossCountry services. There is passive provision for Crossrail;
- reconfiguration and extension of sufficient platforms at Paddington to accommodate longer Intercity Express Programme (IEP) trains from 2016; and
- should the revised FGW rolling stock plan to deliver the HLOS capacity metric for London Paddington station be accepted by DfT, this project would not be required for HLOS purposes.

Key assumptions

A key assumption is the provision of extra vehicles by DfT for FGW's Thames Valley services to deliver the HLOS capacity metric for Paddington.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
Decision on project progression	June 2010

North London Line capacity enhancement

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

The programme as a whole is managed jointly between Transport for London and Network Rail. There are two work packages, one managed by Network Rail and the other by TfL.

The TfL works cover the extension of the ELL from Dalston Junction to run alongside the NLL to Highbury and Islington where the ELL services will terminate. The Network Rail works encompass the upgrade of the NLL and will be delivered by two main contracts; one for signalling, the other for the civil engineering, overhead line and station work.

The principal works planned on the NLL are as follows:

- replacing the signalling on the route to improve headways, closing Willesden High Level Junction, Gospel Oak, Camden Road Junction and Dalston Junction signal boxes and transferring control to Upminster IECC;
- platform extensions to accommodate the longer trains;
- civil engineering work to bridges and other structures to accommodate the longer platforms;
- extensive reconfiguration of the lines between Camden Road and Dalston to accommodate the East London Line connection to Highbury & Islington;
- extension of the 25kV AC OHL electrification to include all lines between Camden Road and Highbury and Islington;
- elimination of third-rail DC electrification outside of the area used by East London Line services;
- alterations to the existing infrastructure to enable the new trains to run on the NLL. Construction of a turnback at Willesden Junction High Level to free up capacity (funded from NRDF); and
- station improvements.

Outputs

The objective of the Network Rail Works is to create the rail infrastructure to facilitate the following service pattern, whilst maintaining loading gauge and capacity for freight traffic (numbers stated are in each direction):

- 4tph Stratford to Richmond;
- 2tph Stratford to Camden Road (peak hours only);
- 2tph Stratford to Clapham Junction; and
- 2tph Clapham Junction to Willesden.

This project is to provide enhancements to the North London Line (NLL) infrastructure which will enable an increase in London Overground's train service on this route; facilitate the extension of East London Line (ELL) services to Highbury & Islington and protect capacity for freight services.

The infrastructure modifications will enable segregation of NLL and ELL services over the most constrained section of the route, and will provide passing loops suitable for freight trains.

The work will facilitate a package of transport improvements in the area, which form a part of the Olympics Transport Plan.

Significant interfaces

The combination of the renewal and enhancement elements of the scheme into a single package has been designed to minimise interfaces with other works on the route. However there are interfaces with ELL, FTN/GSM-R and Angel Lane Bridge works.

Key assumptions

The future level of freight service has been assumed to be consistent with that predicted by the Cross London RUS, with five freight paths per hour provided on the NLL.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
Completion of detailed design (GRIP 5)	Dec. 2010
Completion of construction, testing and commissioning (GRIP 6)	June 2011
Scheme handback (GRIP stage 7)	June 2011
Project close out (GRIP stage 8)	Sept. 2011

The enhanced train service will commence in 2011.

FTN/GSM-R inclusion of freight-only branch lines

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Volume	
Fibre Cabling (km)	665
GSM-R Sites (qty)	125

The project will increase the FTN/GSM-R programme scope to include all single-ended freight-only branch lines that are operational and within Network Rail controlled infrastructure boundaries (NRCI).

The operational license for the National Radio Network (NRN) expires in 2012 for southern England (i.e. south of the 'Severn / Wash line') and in December 2015 for the remainder of the British Isles. Trackside GSM-R base transceiver equipment will be installed as required, together with fibre-optic connections to the Fixed Telecoms Network (FTN), thus providing (as a minimum) a level and quality of driver-signaller communication equivalent to the existing NRN service.

Planning approval will be required for all GSM-R sites under the 'Permitted Development Rights' process.

Delivery of this project is the responsibility of Network Rail through the FTN/GSM-R project. Actual delivery will be undertaken by the Network Rail project team and its associated contractors.

Outputs

The output will be the provision of GSM-R coverage on freight-only branch lines.

Significant interfaces

The key interface is with the main FTN / GSM-R delivery programme.

Key assumptions

- All new infrastructure will be constructed and installed on land owned by Network Rail;
- the delivery rate of the substantive FTN/GSM-R programme shall be maintained;
- the scope volumes cited above assume that design rules applied elsewhere for the provision of radio coverage using GSM-R technology are also appropriate for freight-only branch lines: any viable relaxation of the design rules will be identified on a site-by-site basis during the project development phase and managed as programme efficiency; and
- where branch lines are part-privately owned, radio coverage to minimum operational standards will be extended only as far as the NRCI boundary.

Activities and milestones

With the exception of the developmental phase (GRIP stages 1 to 3) the project's activities and milestones will be structured in the same way as those of the main FTN/GSM-R programme.

- The 40 no. freight-only branch lines south of the 'Severn-Wash' line will be installed with GSM-R base station sub-system equipment and brought into operation by December 2012; and
- for all other sites (i.e. those north of the 'Severn-Wash' the number of completions is tabled below, broken out by work package milestones.

Cumulative quantity of 'northern' branch lines equipped and brought into use	Date
18	Sept. 2012
24	Dec. 2012
36	June 2013
52	June 2013
111	June 2013
154	July 2013

Station security

Network Rail's obligation

Our obligation is to deliver this project in CP4.

Scope of works

Scope will be station specific. The planning consent requirements for each of the stations vary dependent on the location. There will be listed building consents required at many of the stations, subject to location agreements with the planning officers.

The agreement on who delivers the measures will be developed through the feasibility stage.

Outputs

The project will improve security at a number of managed and franchised stations. It will not implement the measures at all stations due to other projects being undertaken but will ensure the coordination of the project interfaces where major works are planned to ensure they deliver the measures in a consistent way.

Any measures will be implemented to ensure the station operations can continue to function as key interchanges.

Significant interfaces

Key interfaces are with major projects at a number of the stations.

The key stakeholders are:

- TOCs as SFO;
- TOC station retail tenants;
- BTP;
- Other station users; and
- TOC and Network Rail projects and maintenance.

Activities and milestones

Project details are agreed through liaison with the DfT Project Board.

Scotland

CP4 enhancement programme

Scotland: Tier 3 project development fund

Purpose

The fund is primarily aimed at initial development for future schemes that will enhance the network in Scotland and will contribute to the Scottish Government's target of promoting sustainable economic growth. Schemes will be developed to a point where a decision about next steps can be made.

Governance

The fund is administered by the Principal Network Planner (Scotland). Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations but schemes are required to have been agreed with Transport Scotland, supported at the Scotland Route Strategy Planning Group and will generally have been discussed at Scotland Route Investment Review Group.

Eligibility rules

The fund will enable initial development of proposals suggested by industry partners and supported by Transport Scotland. Priority will be giving to projects identified by the Strategic Transport Projects Review but other proposals may be included in agreement with Transport Scotland. At this stage schemes may or may not have identified detailed development/delivery funding but there should be a realistic chance of funding being available in CP4 or CP5.

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet the following criteria:

- the cost of the initial development (broadly in line with Network Rail GRIP 1) should not exceed £200k (i.e. the amount that will be drawn down from the fund), without the prior agreement of Transport Scotland.

Approval from ORR is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a value for money assessment (using a methodology based on the Scottish Government's Scottish Transport Appraisal Guidance (STAG)) and considers the financial impact on each affected industry partner and the socio-economic benefits to society as well as the environmental benefits. An outline (qualitative) appraisal of the likely value to be delivered by the scheme should be carried out as part of the GRIP stage 1 development. This will be required as part of identifying funding sources for further development work.

Schemes which can be funded by the Tier 3 Project Development Fund

It is expected that most schemes will have been identified in previous work such as the Strategic Transport Projects Review (STPR), Scotland Route Utilisation Strategy or similar documents but may also arise from discussions at Scotland Route Investment Review Group.

A number of schemes are currently being considered for funding from this source. The table below lists some examples of these schemes. It is not exhaustive and the funding is subject to confirmation that the scheme complies with the rules above:

Scheme	Target start date
Rail Improvements between Aberdeen and Inverness	2009/10
Rail Improvements between Aberdeen and the Central Belt	2009/10
West of Scotland Strategic Rail Enhancements	2009/10
Electrification of the Strategic rail network	2010/11
East of Scotland rail improvements	2010/11
Anglo Scottish Loading Gauge Improvements	2010/11

Scotland small projects fund (SPF)

Purpose

The fund is primarily aimed at schemes that will result in an increase in the capacity or capability of the network in Scotland.

Governance

The fund is administered by the Principal Network Planner (Scotland). Authorisation of draw down and spend is in accordance with Network Rail internal regulations but schemes are required to have been supported at the Scotland Route Strategy Planning Group and will generally have been discussed at Scotland Route Investment Review Group involving Transport Scotland and train operators.

Eligibility rules

For a scheme to be eligible for this fund it must meet the following criteria:

- satisfy the appraisal methodology as set out below; and
- the net cost of the scheme (i.e. the amount that will be drawn down from the SPF) must not exceed £5 million, without the prior agreement of Transport Scotland.

Schemes with a total cost in excess of £5m are eligible where funding is provided by Network Rail or others to ensure the draw down on the SPF is within this limit.

The fund is not intended to support investments where the benefits to individual stakeholders are sufficient to warrant them funding the scheme directly. Therefore where the benefits of a scheme:

- will accrue wholly to a single third party, it would generally be funded as a third party scheme; or
- are sufficient for Network Rail to justify funding the scheme, we would be expected to fund it ourselves.

Approval from the Office of Rail Regulation (ORR) is not required before an individual scheme is progressed. However, the independent regulatory reporters will assess a sample of schemes to ensure compliance with the criteria. It is therefore important that all relevant details relating to the scheme are retained as part of the project file. As ORR's acceptance criteria includes efficient delivery it is most important that the efficiency rigour that is applied to all stages of a renewal scheme are also applied to SPF schemes.

Dialogue with ORR may be required where the implementation of a scheme would have an adverse impact on the profits or cash flow of an industry partner.

Appraisal

The appraisal is based on a value for money assessment (using a methodology agreed with ORR and Transport Scotland) and considers the financial impact on each affected industry partner and the socio-economic benefits to society.

An outline (qualitative) appraisal of the likely value to be delivered by the scheme should be carried out as early as possible in the development of the scheme, no later than the completion of GRIP Stage 1. A more detailed (usually quantitative) appraisal should be completed at the end of GRIP Stage 3.

Schemes will be judged against a "hurdle rate" expressed in terms of a target Benefit to Cost Ratio and other criteria set from time to time to assist in the allocation of the available funding.

Schemes which can be funded by the SPF

It is expected that most schemes will involve incremental enhancements linked to renewals as this is likely to provide the greatest value for money. However, stand-alone enhancement schemes are also possible, including those part-funded by third-parties.

The fund can be used for improvement initiatives that deliver:

- improvements in train service performance that will benefit more than one party. This does not include initiatives that deliver sufficient schedule 8 benefits within a five year period to cover the scheme costs, as we would be expected to fund these schemes;
- reduction in train journey times, possibly as a result of line speed improvements. Schemes that reduce walking journey times at stations are also eligible. The latter can result from new entrances and exits to the station, which will be used by rail passengers;
- station facilities improvements such as providing waiting rooms, shelter, customer information systems. The benefits are attributed to the passengers who board or interchange at the station;
- platform lengthening (when part of a larger capacity change scheme); and
- enlargement of freight capability in a specific area for which there is specific demand.

The above list is not intended to be exhaustive.

A number of schemes are currently being considered for funding from this source. The table below lists some examples of these schemes and their key anticipated outputs. It is not exhaustive and the funding is subject to confirmation that the scheme complies with the rules above.

Scheme	Output	Target delivery date
Stirling Middle S & C enhancements	Performance/Journey Time	2010/11
Paisley Corridor Bi-directional signalling	Performance	2012/13
Grangemouth Branch Improvements	Freight Capability	2009/10
Dumfries Station Turnback Facility	Performance	2010/11
Midcalder Jn S & C Enhancements	Performance	2010/11
Glasgow Central Additional Platform	Station Improvement	2011/12
Laurencekirk New Loop Facility	Freight Capability	2011/12

Project definition: Airdrie - Bathgate

Key outputs

An electrified railway between Airdrie and Bathgate capable of operating a minimum of four passenger trains per hour at a line speed of 80 mph although 90mph should be the target speed where reasonably practical, in each direction using modern electric multiple unit (EMU) rolling stock.

Scope of works

- Acquisition of any necessary properties, land, and rights in land as required to accommodate the works to deliver the key outputs and scope of works;
- construction of a double track electrified railway on the 22km of closed route from Drumgelloch (near Airdrie) to Bathgate;
- construction of a second track and electrification of the 2km between Airdrie and Drumgelloch and also electrification of the 10km double track between Bathgate and Newbridge Junction with 25kV overhead line equipment;
- from Newbridge Junction to Haymarket East Junction the existing double track will be electrified with 25kV overhead line equipment;
- new station to be constructed at Caldercruix, but relocated from the position specified in the Act to a new location between Station Road and Main Street Caldercruix;
- new station to be constructed at Armadale in the location specified in the Act;
- new station to be constructed at Blackridge at OS reference points East 290618 and North 667076;
- relocate the existing stations at Drumgelloch and Bathgate to provide improved facilities in the locations specified in the Act;
- provide additional platforms in the existing stations at Airdrie, Livingston North and Uphall and construct improved car parking at Uphall;
- platform lengths will be 150m for 6x23m vehicles with passive provision for future 9 car 23m trains. Passive provision shall mean where reasonably practicable that no equipment or new structures shall be re-located within the areas identified for future platform extensions;
- station design will include the following: Platform furniture, Customer Information System, CCTV, VAPA/LLPA, Passenger help / Assistance Points, SPTs, clocks and Emergency Services Communications and conform with branding requirements;
- station M&E should include (depending on facilities required at each station); for additional LV power supplies, utilities, fire and safety equipment, earthing and bonding, heating (at Bathgate station building) and lighting, building services, ventilation and lifts;
- construct new DDA compliant accesses, at all stations as defined within the Act;
- car parking and associated access roads (but not Blackridge access road), where required, to be provided as follows at each station location:
 - Drumgelloch Station – 358 spaces
 - Caldercruix Station – 169 spaces
 - Blackridge Station - 54 spaces
 - Armadale Station – 199 spaces
 - Airdrie Station – no change
 - Uphall Station – 170 additional spaces
 - Bathgate Station – 400 spaces
 - Livingston North Station – amendments to existing car park layout
- passive provision will be made (so far as is reasonably practicable) for an additional station at Plains;
- National Cycle Route 75 to be re-routed to an adjacent route where affected by the scheduled and ancillary works;
- a new Light Maintenance Depot to be provided in the Bathgate area. The depot should:
 - accommodate EMUs up to 189 metres in length (8*23m, 9*21m);
 - have two of the cleaning platforms (i.e. four lines) suitable for 9 car 21m trains with suitable stopping distance between units and buffers;
 - have 2 separate rail connections to the main line, one towards Glasgow and one towards Edinburgh;
 - be fully electrified with the depot having the ability to remain electrified whilst the adjacent main line is isolated and vice versa;

- have carriage washing and CET facilities;
 - have suitable accommodation for approximately 130 train crew and associated staff; and
 - be provided with a security gate and fencing and also security CCTV;
- new feeder station to be provided between Armadale and Bathgate in accordance with the Network Rail Scotland Traction Power Supply Strategy;
- the track alignment on the route between Airdrie and Newbridge Junction will be designed to accommodate the following classes of train types:
 - Class 334;
 - Class 156;
 - Class 158;
 - Class 170;
 - MK 1 and 2;
 - Class 314;
 - Class 318;
 - Class 320;
 - Class 322; and
 - Mk 3 and 4 (DVT);
- the route between Airdrie and Bathgate will be designed as a passenger railway. Where new overbridge structures are required, these will be installed to provide W10 loading gauge;
- the route will be designed to accommodate the maximum weight of EMU train but where underbridges have to be renewed because of poor condition, the replacements will be designed for RA10 axle loads;
- the above requirements of line speed, axle load and gross annual tonnage (assuming no freight traffic other than engineering trains) imply a track categorisation of Band 3 (NR/SP/TRK/102 and GC/RT5023);
- design and construction to comply with the Noise & Vibration Policy, and the Code of Construction Practice. Provision shall be made at Newbridge Junction for additional breakers for the future electrification of the Edinburgh to Glasgow Queen Street route; and
- additional space for 2 breakers shall be allocated at Haymarket TSC to support the future electrification of the Up and Down North lines.

Geographical boundaries

The five principal work areas are:

- from the existing Airdrie Station located at 10m 04 ch to the buffer stops west of the existing Drumgelloch Station located at 11m 50ch both on the Glasgow to Airdrie line;
- from the buffer stops west of the existing Drumgelloch Station located at 11m 50ch on the Glasgow to Airdrie line to the site of the new Bathgate Station located at approximately 25m 50ch on the Newbridge Junction to Bathgate line. This work area will primarily follow the solum of the former Airdrie to Bathgate rail line closed in 1982;
- from the existing Bathgate station located at 25m 04 ch on the Newbridge Junction. to Bathgate Line, to Newbridge Junction located at 38m 59ch on the Edinburgh Waverley to Glasgow Queen Street (via Falkirk High) Line;
- from Newbridge Junction located at 38m 59ch to Haymarket East Junction located at 45m 72ch on the Edinburgh Waverley to Glasgow Queen Street (via Falkirk High) Line; and
- the relocation of the National Cycle Path 75 currently running along the solum of the former Airdrie to Bathgate railway.

Significant interfaces

This project interfaces with the following known projects:

- Scotland Territory Track Renewals Programme;
- Edinburgh Tram Lines 1 and 2;
- Edinburgh Waverley Infrastructure Enhancement;
- Electrification of E&G and E&G improvement programme;
- GSMR / FTN;
- Rolling Stock procurement programme; and
- Scotrail Branding.

Management of these interfaces is a reasonable requirement of Network Rail in so far as it is reasonably practicable for Network Rail to do so.

Key assumptions

- Supply of new rolling stock to operate the service is out with the scope of the project. The trains will initially be formed into 3-car units which could form 6 car trains in peak periods. Passive provision is to be made for up to 9 car, 23m trains in the future;
- The rolling stock will be equipped by the client as part of the rolling stock programme with driver aids to permit Driver Only Operation between Helensburgh, Balloch, Milngavie and Waverley stations. No infrastructure such as mirrors or CCTV equipment for DOO purposes will be provided at stations along the route; and
- Change request numbers 1 to 30, excluding numbers 3, 9/1, 16 and 20, are approved and included within the Scope of Works described in this delivery plan.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date in baseline programme	Revised programme
Upgrade Newbridge junction and bring into use the double track layout over the length of the Bathgate branch	Nov. 08	Oct. 08
Commence vesting of land required for project	March 08	March 08
Commence OLE works	Oct. 08	Oct. 08
Commence mining remediation works	Nov. 08	Dec. 08
Commence LM depot works	March 09	Feb. 09
Commence non-operational civils works (baseline date included deveg & mining remediation)	Oct. 08	Feb. 09
Complete advanced stations works	Oct. 08	April 09
Commence E&G civils works	Aug. 08	Sept. 08
Commence operational civils works (baseline date included deveg)	Jan. 09	April 09
Commence OLE works – Bathgate to Airdrie	Jan. 10	Jan. 10
Commence tracklaying works – Bathgate to Airdrie	Feb. 10	Feb. 10
Commence blockade Drumgelloch to Airdrie	30 May 10	30 May 10
Commence blockade Airdrie station	17 July 10	17 July 10
Route available for driver training	20 Sep. 10	20 Sept. 10
Public opening of new service	12 Dec. 10	12 Dec. 10

Sole point of reference for change control

The obligations/outputs stated in this document will be the sole point of reference to assess whether or not a change in capability or outputs has taken place or is being requested by either Transport Scotland or Network Rail.

Project reporting and the change control process shall be in accordance with the agreed Airdrie – Bathgate Governance arrangements dated 16th February 2009.

Project definition: Paisley corridor improvements (PCI)

Introduction

On 17th September 2009, the Scottish Government announced the cancellation of the branch line element of the Glasgow Airport Rail Link/Paisley Corridor Resignalling (GARL/PCR) project but the intention that the main line works proceed as planned. These revised CP4 Delivery Plan pages serve to describe the works to be delivered, which has been renamed the Paisley Corridor Improvements (PCI) project. The PCI project comprises, with one exception, what had been known as the Main Line works under the (GARL/PCR) project Delivery Plan, which was published by Network Rail in March 2009. This includes the works at Glasgow Central and Elderslie. The exception is the formation of a new junction near Paisley St James to connect the airport branch to the existing network, such works not being required under PCI. Also included in this revised Delivery Plan is development activity in relation to the options for future use of the additional capacity provided by the PCI works.

Key Outputs

- To provide railway infrastructure to support what was intended under GARL to be a direct rail service with a 15 minute frequency and a 16 minute journey time, with an aspiration to achieve a 15 minute journey time, between Glasgow Central and Glasgow Airport stations with a stop at Paisley Gilmour Street (the “GARL Service”), such work to exclude any works to construct a branch line to the airport. The additional capacity provided by these works will facilitate the opportunity for improvements to the Ayrshire and/or the Inverclyde train service to be implemented, consistent with the capability of the enhanced infrastructure and Control Period 4 performance requirements, such that passenger benefits can be realised.
- The renewal of the existing signalling assets controlling the network between Shields and Paisley Gilmour Street due to such equipment currently approaching life expiry.

Roles of the Parties

- Transport Scotland (TS) is the Authorised Undertaker (AU) for GARL under the GARL Act 2007 and the project client for GARL, under which the former GARL components of the PCI project will be delivered. TS shall retain AU responsibilities for the revised project.
- Network Rail (NR) is the project client for the PCR component of PCI and will procure the design and construction of PCI and shall become the infrastructure operator. For works related to the GARL component of PCI, including all PCI works on the Paisley corridor, design and construction work will comply with the Environmental Statement and the Code of Construction Practice.

Scope of Works

The Network Rail scope of works is as follows:

- renewal of existing signal interlockings at Shields (old), Cardonald and Paisley;
- renewal of associated lineside equipment;
- transferring control of the new signalling on the Paisley corridor to the West of Scotland signalling centre (WSSC) at Cowlairs;
- re-control of all the other interlockings (10 in total) currently controlled from Paisley signalling centre to the WSSC;
- installation of a new third (Relief) running line on the Paisley corridor from Gower Street Junction (just west of Shields Junction) to Arkleston Junction with associated remodelling of Gower Street and Cardonald (Deanside) Junctions;
- remodelling of the approaches to Paisley Gilmour Street from Arkleston Junction (inclusive) through Wallneuk Junction resulting in 4 running lines between Arkleston and Wallneuk junctions. The Arkleston Up loop will be permanently removed with alternative facilities provided at Elderslie (see below). The Arkleston Down loop will be retained, but its operational length reduced to circa 798m;
- provision of additional platform capacity at Glasgow Central. The existing Platform 12 (formerly 11a) will be demolished and rebuilt on a new extended (6x23m standage) alignment within the main train shed. These works will require the permanent removal of the current short stay car park, between existing Platforms 11 and 14 (formerly 12). In addition a new Platform 13 will be constructed (also 6x23m standage) adjacent to the relocated Platform 12;

- extension of the existing Up passenger loop at Elderslie to an overall total length of circa 867m, incorporating reconfiguration of the rail entrance to Elderslie freight yard, to replace the Up loop facility at Arkleston that will be removed by the above;
- timetable development activities and performance modelling, based on December 2009 timetable, relating to potential future use of the PCI infrastructure, to include rework of the GARL service timetable to reflect future use of the PCI infrastructure and identification of potential new infrastructure required; and
- development of any potential additional infrastructure required by the reworked timetable to end GRIP 3. Further development beyond GRIP 3 and delivery of any such additional infrastructure requirements is excluded from this Delivery Plan but may be added at a future date under change control arrangements.

Significant Interfaces

The project will interface with the following known projects:

- Rolling Stock procurement project;
- Glasgow Central interlocking renewal;
- Ayrshire renewals works;
- Inverclyde renewals works;
- M74 extension;
- Eglinton Street feeder station project;
- GSM-R; and
- ScotRail branding

Management of these interfaces and interdependencies is a reasonable requirement of Network Rail in so far as it is reasonably practicable for Network Rail to do so.

Route capability

- The new infrastructure is to be capable of accommodating the passenger rolling stock currently cleared on the Paisley corridor plus Class 380 units;
- for freight, the infrastructure is to maintain the existing W9 gauging capability as a minimum. Where new structures need to be constructed, clearance shall be a minimum of W12 for height and W9 for width. Where structures are to be altered or reconstructed, clearance shall be a minimum of W10 for height and W9 for width;
- the design must also provide the facility to make the following movements to facilitate ECS and out-of-course running:
 - Platform 2 at Paisley Gilmour Street to enable trains to start back towards Glasgow in the wrong direction;
 - Platform 1 at Paisley St James to enable trains to arrive on the Up Gourock line from Paisley Gilmour Street;
 - To allow movements in the Up direction on the Up line from Paisley St James to cross and return Down to Paisley St James on the Down line; and
- the new relief line on the Paisley corridor will have line speed profiles broadly comparable with the existing Up and Down lines.

Key assumptions

- Transport Scotland will directly procure the new rolling stock that will be required for potential improvements to the Ayrshire and/or the Inverclyde train service. This will be undertaken as part of the rolling stock renewal programme for the Ayrshire and Inverclyde lines. Any infrastructure changes required by the new rolling stock will not form part of the PCI project other than as detailed in the Route Capability section above;
- the project is to be developed on the basis of the December 2008 timetable;
- it is recognised that the infrastructure to be implemented has been designed to deliver the GARL service timetable which is assumed to:
 - include airport services which generally operate between the hours of 0530 and midnight seven days per week;
- the GARL service timetable is assumed to be developed on the basis that:
 - Ayrshire services will be operated by 3 car, 4-car, 6 car and 7-car trains using Class 380 units;

- GARL services will be operated by 3-car Class 380 units;
- Inverclyde services will be operated by 3 car, 4 car and 6-car trains using Class 380 units whilst taking cognisance that peak-hours services may be supplemented by Class 314 units;
- GARL services to operate on a 15- minute frequency;
- the GARL service timetable is assumed to feature a journey time between Glasgow Central and Glasgow Airport (in both directions) of 16 minutes, with an aspiration to achieve a 15 minute journey time;
- all of Network Rail's activities for this project as detailed in this Delivery Plan will be RAB financed during CP4;
- a Franchise Change Notice will be signed between First ScotRail and Transport Scotland to cover all of First ScotRail's involvement in the design development, construction and operational phases of the project;
- a Supplementary Track Access Agreement will be entered into between Network Rail and First ScotRail to facilitate the commencement of operations of any new timetable proposals; and
- operability of any alternative timetable options may be dependent on any additional infrastructure changes as may be identified in the GRIP 3 study highlighted above.

Activities and milestones

In the undernoted table, those milestones shown as critical are considered material to the overall project success criteria or Network Rail's ability to deliver within the efficient cost estimate detailed in the regulatory funding determination for CP4.

Milestone	Description	Responsibility	Milestone Status	Date
1	Complete Enabling Works	Network Rail	Monitoring	Dec. 09
2	Complete Glasgow Central Works	Network Rail	Monitoring	June 10
3	Award Main Line D&B contract – Signalling & Telecoms (S&T)	Network Rail	Monitoring	Feb. 10
4	Award Main Line D&B contract – all other disciplines except for S&T	Network Rail	Monitoring	April 10
5	Main Line first commissioning	Network Rail	Monitoring	July 11
6	Main Line second commissioning and Main Corridor works substantial completion	Network Rail	Critical	Jan. 12
7	Complete Signalling re-control	Network Rail	Monitoring	Dec. 12

Change control process

The obligations/outputs stated in this document will be the sole point of reference to assess whether or not a change in capability or outputs has taken place or is being requested by either Transport Scotland or Network Rail. It is the obligations in the GARL/PCR delivery plan (March 2009) that formed the basis of the efficient cost estimate in the regulatory funding determination for CP4.

December 2009 Delivery Plan (PCI): Revised cost estimate will follow confirmation of revised scope as proposed in this draft.

Transport Scotland approval will be required for items which materially seek to change the client's requirements (excluding PCR). Changes to outputs of the railway will be managed via the standard industry Network and Station Change processes. Any changes to the obligations set out in this Delivery Plan shall be subject to Network Rail's change control process for the CP4 Delivery Plan Enhancements Programme: statement of scope, outputs and milestones

Project definition: Borders new railway

Outputs

The Borders Railway comprises a new railway line connecting Midlothian and Scottish Borders Local Authority areas to central Edinburgh and the national rail network.

The Network Rail output is to provide asset protection to the existing network during the project.

Scope of works

Network Rail will enter into an Asset Protection Agreement with Transport Scotland to provide the following services:

- services of the Network Rail project sponsor and staff to support and provide guidance to the Company during the initial stages of the Works;
- arrangement of third party asset management to superintend works on or near the line including possessions in line with the agreed Works Programme;
- administration and management of internal Network Rail procedures to consider the applications for the Network Rail Consents;
- the appointment of a project manager and appropriate supporting resources;
- review of method statements with particular reference to protection of the Operational Track;
- provision of site safety staff and a site safety consultant;
- provision of staff to carry out site management activities as and when reasonably required; appointment of a Designated Project Engineer and Project Engineers for relevant engineering disciplines to undertake duties under Standard RT/E/P/02009 as and when reasonably required;
- safety inspection for the duration of the period of construction of the works as notified to Network Rail by the Customer to ensure the safety and operation of the Network;
- provision of asset protection services for the duration of the notified implementation period of the works;
- administration of the Taking Into Use procedures; and
- alterations to the sectional appendix to reflect the changes made to the network.

The precise scope of work required from Network Rail is still subject to discussion with Transport Scotland and the funding provided through the review will be applied to the final scope.

Additional services/work not included within the scope of the Final Determinations or the HLOS

Network Rail and Transport Scotland are agreed that Network Rail should design and implement services for the alterations of its infrastructure necessary to facilitate a connection between the Network Rail network and the new Borders Railway. These works will be carried out under separate agreements as they are not part of the HLOS and the ORR's final determinations. This Delivery Plan covers what was included within Network Rail's Strategic Business Plan and within the final determinations of the Periodic Review

Significant interfaces

No interfaces have been identified with any significant projects at this stage.

Key assumptions

The project will be delivered and managed by Transport Scotland. Network Rail is to have an asset protection role on existing Network Rail assets.

Activities and milestones

Not yet defined

Sole point of reference for change control

The obligations/outputs stated in this document will be the sole point of reference to assess whether or not a change in capability or outputs has taken place or is being requested by either Transport Scotland or Network Rail.

Project definition: Glasgow to Kilmarnock enhancement

Key outputs

- A twin tracked section of railway between Lugton (13 miles 1120 yards) and south of Stewarton (19 miles 338 yards) capable of supporting operation of half hourly passenger services between Kilmarnock and Glasgow.

Scope of works

- Re-doubling of the track between Lugton (at approx 13m 1120 yds) and south of Stewarton viaduct (at approx 19m 338 yards) by extending the existing Lugton loop southwards;
- installation of new S&C at the south end of the proposed loop to permit travel at 70mph in the UP direction and 60mph in the DOWN direction;
- provision of a new down platform at Dunlop station for 6 No 23 metre car trains;
- construction of a DDA compliant access to the new platform at Dunlop;
- extension of the existing platform at Dunlop station for 6 No 23 metre car trains;
- provision of a new down platform at Stewarton station for 6 No 23 metre car trains;
- renewal of much of the existing platform at Stewarton station. This will be funded directly from Network Rail renewals budget as the works had already been planned as a renewal;
- re-opening of disused underpass and provision of DDA compliant ramps at Stewarton Station for access to the proposed new platform;
- extension of the existing platform at Kilmaurs stations to 6 car 23m;
- alteration to existing signalling system to facilitate the operation of a half hourly service between Kilmarnock and Glasgow. This will include some re-wiring to Lugton Signal Box which will be entirely funded directly from Network Rail renewals budget as the works had already been planned as a renewal;
- demolition of redundant over-bridges 80 and 82;
- re-decking of under-bridge 85 adjacent to Stewarton Station;
- re-decking of under-bridge 88 south of Stewarton with an appropriate contribution from Network Rail's renewals budget to reflect the planned single track renewal in CP4;
- no worsening of current route clearance as a minimum requirement and clearance to W9 freight gauge between the geographical boundaries of this project i.e. Lugton (13 miles 1120 yds) and south of Stewarton (19 miles 338 yds);
- strengthening works to Stewarton Viaduct to allow this service to operate; and
- remedial works to a number of other structures to allow this service to operate.

Geographical boundaries

Other than the work at Kilmaurs station, the geographical extent of the works will be between Lugton (13 miles 1120 yards) and south of Stewarton (19 miles 338 yards) on the Glasgow-Barrhead-Kilmarnock (GBK) route. Works at Kilmaurs station at 21 miles 1056 yards is also planned.

Key assumptions

- Additional car park provision at Stewarton may be provided, subject to confirmation of funding by third parties;
- the possible car park provision for Strathclyde Partnership for Transport at Stewarton is not a project output;
- a single track re-decking of under bridge 88 had been planned by Network Rail early in CP4. A proportionate contribution to the cost of the bridge replacement has therefore been committed from Network Rail's renewals budget;
- the project was based on preliminary timetable information showing effectively a doubling of the existing service (i.e. half hourly in each direction). Final timetable proposals have not been available; and
- the detailed timetable is currently being developed by Transport Scotland and is therefore not known at this time.

Activities and milestones

Activity	Date
Commence main works	Dec. 2008
Route available for driver briefing	9 Nov. 2009
Start of enhanced service	12 Dec. 2009

Sole point of reference for change control

The obligations/outputs stated in this document will be the sole point of reference to assess whether or not a change in capability or outputs has taken place or is being requested by either Transport Scotland or Network Rail.

Other Transport Scotland Tier 3 schemes

Projects included

Several other schemes, itemised in tier 3 of the Scotland High Level Output Specification are being developed by Network Rail on behalf of Scottish Ministers, subject to separate funding and delivery agreements. The funding for these was not included within ORR's Final Determinations. Currently, this covers the development of the following projects:

- Edinburgh to Glasgow Improvement Programme including electrification (EGIP);
- Highland Main Line improvements; and
- Class 380 introduction – stabling and route infrastructure works.

Roles of the parties

Network Rail is contracted to undertake development works based on a Transport Scotland specification on an emerging price contract for each of these projects. Discussions are ongoing regarding the option of moving to RAB funding for each of these projects both for further development work and for subsequent implementation but arrangements have not yet been finalised.

Scope of Works

EGIP

Network Rail is currently undertaking GRIP 3 development of the following:

- electrification of the main Edinburgh to Glasgow via Falkirk High line including diversionary routes via Cumbernauld and Falkirk Grahamston and branches to Dunblane and Alloa;
- a programme of enhancements designed to deliver a network capable of delivering 6 trains an hour between Edinburgh and Glasgow via Falkirk High with a fastest journey time of 37 minutes;
- further enhancements to enable the operation of 2 fast services per hour between Edinburgh and Glasgow Central via Shotts or Carstairs; and
- a new station at Gogar providing a link to Edinburgh Airport via the tram network.

Highland Main Line improvements

Network Rail are currently undertaking GRIP 3 development of a programme of works designed to deliver capacity for an increased frequency of service between Perth and Inverness with a reduced journey time.

Class 380 introduction – stabling and route infrastructure works

Network Rail is currently contracted to undertake GRIP 3 and 4 development of platform extensions at over 40 stations on the Ayrshire, Inverclyde and North Berwick routes to permit the new Class 380 rolling stock to operate in formations of 7 car to Ayrshire and 6 car to Inverclyde. In addition Network Rail is contracted to complete GRIP 3 development of enhancements to Ayr Townhead, to support the increased rolling stock, and Yoker depot to support stabling of cascaded stock. Discussions are ongoing regarding developing work at Ayr Townhead to GRIP 4.

Activities and milestones

The following milestones are agreed. Subject to further discussion we would expect to establish milestones for further development and implementation.

Activity	Date
New Rolling Stock Depot enhancements GRIP 3	April 2009.
New Rolling Stock Platform Extensions GRIP 4	May 2009
Highland Main Line GRIP 3	April 2009
EGIP Electrification GRIP 3	July 2009
EGIP Enhancements Programme GRIP 3	Nov. 2009