

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 440301060000
Superintendent TIMOTHY REHM
Telephone (845) 534-8009
Grades K-12, UE

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

## Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	150	180	167
Grade 1	214	200	207
Grade 2	225	238	217
Grade 3	227	231	235
Grade 4	254	230	243
Grade 5	233	272	244
Grade 6	243	241	269
Ungraded Elementary	31	39	41
Grade 7	280	265	252
Grade 8	265	274	271
Grade 9	279	303	299
Grade 10	265	279	292
Grade 11	217	237	239
Grade 12	210	225	255
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3093	3214	3231

## **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	25	26	25
Mathematics	26	24	26
Science	26	27	27
Social Studies	26	26	27
Grade 10			
English	20	24	25
Mathematics	19	23	20
Science	20	25	21
Social Studies	20	24	25

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **District Profile**

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	112	4%	115	4%	139	4%
Reduced-Price Lunch	85	3%	116	4%	133	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	16	1%	18	1%	21	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0%	1	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	92	3%	101	3%	119	4%
Hispanic or Latino	208	7%	256	8%	267	8%
Asian or Native	70	2%	79	2%	109	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2719	88%	2777	86%	2732	85%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		93%
Student Suspensions	124	N/A	85	3%	139	4%

## **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	550	518	757
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	7%	4%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	3	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	9	7	2
Percentage of Total	4%	3%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	20%	19%	20%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	223	228	216
Total Other Professional Staff	40	24	21
Total Paraprofessionals*	62	58	60
Assistant Principals	3	3	4
Principals	5	5	5

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

## **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

## Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

### Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math	
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
YES	YES	YES

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	~	~	••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	- -	_ _	••••••	
White	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>V</b>	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••	_		•••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••••	_ _	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	

### AYP Status

✓ Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) A Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ipation <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
<b>All Students</b> (1564:1533)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	174	119		2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (68:62)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	171	110	•••••••••	•••
Hispanic or Latino (130:128)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	159	113	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (60:55)	~	<b>/</b>	100%	~	182	109	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
White (1305:1287)	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	176	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (155:148)	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	97%	X	97	113	99	107
Limited English Proficient (11:10)	_ _	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (143:137)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	148	113	••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
<b>All Students</b> (1568:1531)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	172	83		2000 0/
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (68:62)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	163	74		
Hispanic or Latino (132:128)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	162	77	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (60:55)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	178	73	•••••••	•••
White (1307:1285)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	173	83	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (157:149)	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	97%	<b>/</b>	107	77		
Limited English Proficient (11:10)	_ _	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (147:138)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	141	77	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7							

- NOTES

  These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (541:528)	Safe Harbo Status Qualificatio		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	190	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (22:20)		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (50:49)		Qualified	<b>'</b>	100%	<b>'</b>	186	100	•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:23)		_		_	_	-	_	_	
White (444:435)		Qualified	<b>V</b>	99%	~	191	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (60:57)		Qualified	<b>V</b>	97%	~	167	100		
Limited English Proficient (3:3)		_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (55:50)	• •••••	Qualified	<b>V</b>	98%	~	174	100	•	

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08 [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	P Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (249:249)	<u> </u>	<b>✓</b>	100%	<u> </u>	188	147		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (7:6)	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_
					_		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	-	_	_	-	_		_
White (206:208)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	188	146	••••••••	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (12:16)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2							

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (249:249)	✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	186	139		2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (7:6)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (27:27)	_	_	-	_	_			_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	_	-	_	_	_		_
White (206:208)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	186	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:21)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (12:16)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (227)	~	<b>~</b>	91%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (7)		_	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (22)	• • • • • • • •	_	-	_	-	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		_	-	_	_	_		
White (195)	• • • • • • • •	~	90%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (19)		-	-	-	_	-		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b>	of 1						

### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### **New York State Status**



### **Good Standing**

5 schools identified 100% of total

CORNWALL CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

CORNWALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

CORNWALL MIDDLE SCHOOL

CORNWALL-ON-HUDSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WILLOW AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	5 <b>0</b> %	100%
Grade 3	85%		243
Grade 4	88%		259
Grade 5	80%		247
Grade 6	77%		271
Grade 7	73%		251
Grade 8	63%		267
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		246
Grade 4	90%		262
Grade 5	79%		248
Grade 6	78%		271
Grade 7	57%		249
Grade 8	67%		269
Science			
Grade 4	96%		262
Grade 8	82%		204
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	88%		267
Mathematics	86%		267
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	88%	I	267

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	243	97%	85%	14%						
Female	122	98%	91%	18%						
Male	121	95%	79%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	14	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	23	91%	70%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	<u> </u>						
White	202	97%	87%	15%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	18	100%	83%	6%						
General-Education Students	221	99%	89%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	45%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	239	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	62%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	222	97%	87%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	243	97%	85%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	100000	t was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4 )4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
246	100%	93%	41%						
122	100%	95%	42%						
124	99%	90%	40%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
14		-	<del>-</del>						
25	100%	84%	28%						
л		_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4 		_ 	_ 						
203	100%	94%	43%	This t	est was not giv	/en in 2004	1-05.		
18	100%	94%	28%						
224	100%	96%	44%						
22	95%	59%	9%						
242	_	_	_						
4	_	<del></del>	<del></del>						
24	100%	83%	25%						
222	100%	94%	42%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
246	100%	93%	41%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested  246 122 124  14 25 4 203 18 224 22 242 4 24 224	Total Tested 2-4  246 100%  122 100%  124 99%  14 - 25 100%  4 - 203 100%  18 100%  22 95%  242 - 4 - 24 100%  222 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4  246 100% 93%  122 100% 95%  124 99% 90%  14 25 100% 84%  4 203 100% 94%  18 100% 94%  224 100% 96%  22 95% 59%  242 4 24 100% 83%  222 100% 94%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4  246 100% 93% 41%  122 100% 95% 42%  124 99% 90% 40%  14 25 100% 84% 28%  4 203 100% 94% 43%  18 100% 94% 28%  224 100% 96% 44%  22 95% 59% 9%  242 4 24 100% 83% 25%  222 100% 94% 42%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  246 100% 93% 41%  122 100% 95% 42%  124 99% 90% 40%  14  25 100% 84% 28%  4  203 100% 94% 43% This t  18 100% 94% 28%  224 100% 96% 44%  22 95% 59% 9%  242  4  24 100% 83% 25%  222 100% 94% 42%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  246 100% 93% 41%  122 100% 95% 42%  124 99% 90% 40%  14  25 100% 84% 28%  4  203 100% 94% 43% This test was not given by the second of the s	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  246 100% 93% 41%  122 100% 95% 42%  124 99% 90% 40%  14  25 100% 84% 28%  4  203 100% 94% 43%  18 100% 94% 28%  224 100% 96% 44%  22 95% 59% 9%  242  24 100% 83% 25%  222 100% 94% 42%		

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at l			evel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	259	96%	88%	15%						
Female	141	98%	90%	17%						
Male	118	94%	85%	12%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	11	_		<u> </u>						
Hispanic or Latino 26 96% 88% 8% New assessments for elei										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	18%	and middle-level English langua  arts and mathematics were					
White	204	96%	88%	16%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	12	100%	92%	0%			s cannot be directly			
General-Education Students	231	99%	94%	16%	•	ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	28	75%	36%	4%	adminis	tered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	259	96%	88%	15%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	26	85%	73%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged	233	97%	89%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	259	96%	88%	15%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-		Tested 0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	-	-	N/A	0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				
All Students	262	97%	90%	39%					
Female	141	97%	91%	38%					
Male	121	96%	89%	40%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	11	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	27	96%	85%	26%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	94%	94%	44%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	205	97%	91%	41%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	75%	8%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	234	99%	95%	42%	compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities	28	75%	50%	7%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	260	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	- -						
Economically Disadvantaged	28	82%	61%	21%					
Not Disadvantaged	234	98%	94%	41%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	262	97%	90%	39%					

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	<del>-</del>				O				

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	262	100%	96%	69%	236	100%	94%	56%	
Female	140	99%	96%	71%	100	100%	92%	54%	
Male	122	100%	96%	68%	136	99%	96%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	11	-	_	-	10	100%	90%	20%	
Hispanic or Latino	26	100%	100%	58%	10	100%	90%	50%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	61%	7	100%	100%	71%	
White	206	100%	97%	73%	209	100%	95%	58%	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	92%	42%		••••	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	233	100%	98%	76%	212	100%	95%	60%	
Students with Disabilities	29	97%	83%	21%	24	96%	88%	21%	
English Proficient	260	-	_	-	235	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	<del>-</del>	1		_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	86%	36%	21	95%	81%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged	234	100%	97%	74%	215	100%	96%	59%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	262	100%	96%	69%	236	100%	94%	56%	

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	<del>-</del>				O				

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	247	98%	80%	19%					
Female	106	96%	82%	22%					
Male	141	99%	79%	18%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	100%	55%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	57%	14%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	33%					
White	213	98%	83%	20%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••				
General-Education Students	224	100%	86%	21%					
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	22%	0%					
English Proficient	246	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1		- -	–					
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	62%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	218	98%	83%	21%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	247	98%	80%	19%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 2	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This tes	t was not giv	3–4 ven in 200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	248	94%	79%	19%					
Female	107	92%	73%	17%					
Male	141	96%	84%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	91%	45%	9%					
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	71%	14%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	90%	90%	40%					
White	213	95%	81%	19%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••				
General-Education Students	225	98%	84%	20%					
Students with Disabilities	23	57%	35%	9%	•••••				
English Proficient	246	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	<u> </u>					
Economically Disadvantaged	29	83%	66%	17%					
Not Disadvantaged	219	96%	81%	19%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	248	94%	79%	19%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	271	96%	77%	20%							
Female	140	96%	81%	25%							
Male	131	96%	73%	14%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	11%							
Hispanic or Latino	20	90%	70%	15%	••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	29%							
White	225	96%	78%	20%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••						
General-Education Students	250	100%	82%	21%							
Students with Disabilities	21	52%	14%	0%							
English Proficient	269	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_							
Economically Disadvantaged	21	86%	71%	5%							
Not Disadvantaged	250	97%	78%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant											
Not Migrant	271	96%	77%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	3		Total Number scoring at le		J		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 )4-05.	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent  New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	271	96%	78%	15%							
Female	139	97%	78%	13%							
Male	132	94%	78%	17%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	11%	••••						
Hispanic or Latino	20	100%	70%	15%	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	24%							
White	225	95%	78%	14%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••						
General-Education Students	251	99%	82%	16%							
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	25%	5%							
English Proficient	269	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	2		<del></del>	<del></del>							
Economically Disadvantaged	20	95%	65%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	251	96%	79%	16%	••••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	271	96%	78%	15%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at leve	i(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	251	94%	73%	7%							
Female	116	97%	80%	9%							
Male	135	93%	67%	4%			•				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	12	100%	75%	8%							
Hispanic or Latino	21	90%	62%	0%	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	0%							
White	213	95%	74%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	<b>1</b> -05.			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••						
General-Education Students	228	100%	80%	7%							
Students with Disabilities	23	43%	4%	0%	•••••						
English Proficient	251	94%	73%	7%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••	••••	•••••		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	19	79%	37%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	232	96%	76%	7%	••••••	•••••					
Migrant											
Not Migrant	251	94%	73%	7%	••••••	••••					


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year							
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level			el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				This tost	was not qiv	14.05		
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	т		_	_	Tills test	was not giv	en in 200	4-03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
249	90%	57%	13%								
116	94%	66%	17%								
133	86%	49%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
12	100%	50%	17%								
21	81%	24%	5%	•••••							
5	80%	60%	20%								
211	91%	60%	13%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••							
226	96%	62%	14%								
23	35%	9%	4%	•••••							
249	90%	57%	13%								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••							
19	68%	26%	0%								
230	92%	59%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
249	90%	57%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	Total Tested  249  116  133  12  21  5  211  226  23  249  19  230	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  249 90% 116 94% 133 86%  12 100% 21 81% 5 80% 211 91%  226 96% 23 35% 249 90%  19 68% 230 92%	Tested         2-4         3-4           249         90%         57%           116         94%         66%           133         86%         49%           12         100%         50%           21         81%         24%           5         80%         60%           211         91%         60%           226         96%         62%           23         35%         9%           249         90%         57%           19         68%         26%           230         92%         59%	Total Tested  2-4  3-4  4  249  90%  57%  13%  116  94%  66%  17%  133  86%  49%  9%  12  100%  50%  17%  21  81%  24%  5%  5  80%  60%  20%  211  91%  60%  13%  226  96%  62%  14%  23  35%  9%  4%  249  90%  57%  13%  19  68%  26%  0%  230  92%  59%  14%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested           249         90%         57%         13%           116         94%         66%         17%           133         86%         49%         9%           12         100%         50%         17%           21         81%         24%         5%           5         80%         60%         20%           211         91%         60%         13%         This test           226         96%         62%         14%         4%           23         35%         9%         4%         4%           249         90%         57%         13%           19         68%         26%         0%           230         92%         59%         14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  249 90% 57% 13%  116 94% 66% 17%  133 86% 49% 9%  12 100% 50% 17%  21 81% 24% 5%  5 80% 60% 20%  211 91% 60% 13%  This test was not giv  226 96% 62% 14%  23 35% 9% 4%  249 90% 57% 13%  19 68% 26% 0%  230 92% 59% 14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  249 90% 57% 13%  116 94% 66% 17%  133 86% 49% 9%  12 100% 50% 17%  21 81% 24% 5%  5 80% 60% 20%  211 91% 60% 13%  This test was not given in 2004  226 96% 62% 14%  23 35% 9% 4%  249 90% 57% 13%  19 68% 26% 0%  230 92% 59% 14%				

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
	2005-06 S  Total Tested  267  111  156  11  22  5  229  246  21  267	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at I			level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	267	98%	63%	5%							
Female	111	99%	76%	9%							
Male	156	97%	54%	3%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	11	100%	64%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino	22	100%	32%	0%	% New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	0%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
White	229	97%	66%	6%	adminis	stered in 200	6. Results f	rom			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			assessments cannot be dire					
General-Education Students	246	99%	68%	6%		ed to results	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	21	81%	5%	0%	adminis	stered assessi	ments.				
English Proficient	267	98%	63%	5%							
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	43%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	244	98%	65%	6%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	267	98%	63%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	_	N/A	0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	269	92%	67%	14%					
Female	113	92%	69%	19%					
Male	156	92%	65%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	91%	45%	0%					
ispanic or Latino 22 95% 55% 5% New assessments for element									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	83%	67%	17%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	230	92%	69%	15%	administ	ered in 2006	. Results f	rom	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		sessments ca		•	
General-Education Students	247	95%	70%	15%		d to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	22	64%	27%	0%	administ	ered assessm	nents.		
English Proficient	268	-	-	_					
Limited English Proficient	1		<del></del>	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	26	85%	38%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	243	93%	70%	15%	••••••	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	269	92%	67%	14%	••••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 Se	004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	0				

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ool Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	204	99%	82%	15%	206	97%	82%	16%			
Female	77	99%	77%	6%	106	98%	81%	8%			
Male	127	98%	85%	20%	100	96%	82%	23%			
American Indian or Alaska Native					1			_			
Black or African American	11	100%	82%	9%	10	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino	20	95%	70%	5%	27	89%	56%	4%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-			
White	168	99%	84%	17%	166	98%	85%	18%			
Small Group Totals	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	13	100%	92%	8%			
General-Education Students	183	99%	86%	16%	187	98%	86%	17%			
Students with Disabilities	21	95%	43%	0%	19	84%	42%	0%			
English Proficient	203	-	_	-	206	97%	82%	16%			
Limited English Proficient	1		-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	68%	0%	15	87%	53%	20%			
Not Disadvantaged	179	99%	84%	17%	191	98%	84%	15%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	204	99%	82%	15%	206	97%	82%	16%			


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 <b>S</b> d	hool Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4		_	_	0				
Regents Science	63	63	62	54	62	62	62	34	


District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 8 127 77 238 680 26 2 39 156 61 258 675 Feb 2004 Feb 2003 4 33 100 89 226 680




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	8	118	114	31	271	705
Jan 2004	8	105	118	36	267	707
Jan 2003	8	93	109	20	230	701


District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 1 14 99 125 239 681 May 2005 125 117 259 677 May 2004 1 16 May 2003 1 19 101 105 226 673



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	23	94	130	22	269	719
May 2004	10	57	167	32	266	731
May 2003	16	77	117	15	225	719

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	t*		
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	267	91%	88%	45%	241	94%	91%	52%
Female	136	94%	90%	52%	120	93%	91%	61%
Male	131	87%	85%	37%	121	95%	91%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	63%	25%	10	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	31	84%	81%	32%	26	85%	81%	31%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	33%	4	_	_	_
White	219	91%	89%	47%	201	96%	93%	55%
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	14	86%	86%	50%
General-Education Students	243	93%	91%	49%	218	96%	93%	56%
Students with Disabilities	24	67%	54%	4%	23	78%	70%	13%
English Proficient	267	91%	88%	45%	240	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••	•••••	1	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	79%	79%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	248	92%	88%	47%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	267	91%	88%	45%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••


### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	nber Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	267	88%	86%	28%	241	91%	90%	27%
Female	136	92%	90%	32%	120	91%	89%	28%
Male	131	84%	81%	24%	121	92%	91%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	75%	63%	0%	10	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	31	81%	77%	13%	26	85%	77%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	33%	4	_	_	_
White	219	89%	88%	31%	201	93%	92%	28%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	14	86%	86%	7%
General-Education Students	243	92%	89%	30%	218	93%	92%	28%
Students with Disabilities	24	50%	50%	13%	23	74%	74%	13%
English Proficient	267	88%	86%	28%	240	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	•••••	1	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	74%	63%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	248	89%	88%	29%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	267	88%	86%	28%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••

### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	nber Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	267	88%	0%	3%	6%	4%
	2001	241	88%	0%	0%	6%	5%
Female	2002	136	91%	0%	2%	5%	1%
	2001	120	89%	0%	0%	6%	5%
Male	2002	131	85%	0%	3%	6%	6%
	2001	121	88%	0%	0%	7%	6%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	8	88%	0%	0%	0%	13%
African American	2001	10	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	31	84%	0%	3%	6%	6%
•	2001	26	81%	0%	0%	8%	12%
Asian or Native	2002	9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	219	88%	0%	3%	6%	3%
	2001	201	90%	0%	0%	6%	4%
Small Group Totals	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
·	2001	14	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
General-Education Students	2002	243	90%	0%	3%	4%	3%
	2001	218	90%	0%	0%	5%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	24	71%	0%	0%	21%	8%
	2001	23	70%	0%	0%	17%	13%
English Proficient	2002	267	88%	0%	3%	6%	4%
	2001	240			<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	1	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	19	68%	0%	16%	16%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	248	90%	0%	2%	5%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	267	88%	0%	3%	6%	4%

### **NOTES**

District CORNWALL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	242	91%	0%	1%	2%	7%
Female	121	91%	0%	1%	1%	7%
Male	121	91%	0%	1%	2%	6%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	10	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	26	85%	0%	0%	4%	12%
Asian or Native	4	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	202	92%	0%	1%	1%	5%
Small Group Totals	14	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
General-Education Students	220	93%	0%	0%	1%	6%
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	0%	5%	9%	14%
English Proficient	241	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	19	74%	0%	5%	0%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	223	92%	0%	0%	2%	5%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	242	91%	0%	1%	2%	7%

### NOTES