

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District CLYDE-SAVANNAH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 650301040000 Superintendent MARILYN BARR Telephone (315) 902-3000 Grades PK-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	29	23	34
Kindergarten	79	65	61
Grade 1	73	78	79
Grade 2	70	71	73
Grade 3	75	64	73
Grade 4	88	71	66
Grade 5	79	81	69
Grade 6	87	81	87
Ungraded Elementary	0	16	0
Grade 7	96	93	81
Grade 8	92	98	83
Grade 9	82	98	97
Grade 10	82	75	87
Grade 11	74	79	64
Grade 12	84	82	75
Ungraded Secondary	0	8	2
Total K–12	1061	1060	997

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	21	17
Grade 8			
English	16	19	17
Mathematics	15	21	16
Science	16	19	14
Social Studies	16	19	16
Grade 10			
English	19	18	15
Mathematics		19	
Science	16	17	21
Social Studies	18	17	19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	263	25%	313	30%	294	29%
Reduced-Price Lunch	148	14%	125	12%	112	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	6	1%	4	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	81	8%	69	7%	76	8%
Hispanic or Latino	13	1%	20	2%	20	2%
Asian or Native	3	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	963	91%	967	91%	896	90%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		95%		94%	
Student Suspensions	85	N/A	81	8%	106	10%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	234	257	368
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	7%	5%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	5	3
Percentage of Total	2%	5%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	9%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	97	103	105
Total Other Professional Staff	12	13	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	37	39	33
Assistant Principals	0	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District CLYDE-SAVANNAH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Status (2006–07)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve		
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native				_	_			
Black or African American	✓	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	✓	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	✓	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (456:440)	V	 Image: Contract of the second s	100%	Internet	145	117	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (34:33)	~	_	-	~	130	105		
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (416:401)	<	~	100%	~	147	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (90:83)	✔ SH	~	98%	√ SH	71	111	61	84
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					••••
Economically Disadvantaged (188:180)	~	~	99%	~	126	114		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (454:434)	~	 ✓ 	99%	 ✓ 	151	81		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (34:33)	~	-	-	~	124	69		
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	_	_	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (414:395)	<	✓	99%	 	153	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (89:81)	~	~	97%	~	79	75		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (186:175)	~	<	98%	~	131	78		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures 1 0		Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (153:143)	v	Qualified	 ✓ 	99%	V	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (13:13)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••••••••		
White (138:128)	••••••••	Qualified	~	99%	<	183	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (32:28)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••••		••••				•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (61:56)	•••••••	Qualified	~	98%	~	168	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures 2 of 2		Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (66:67)	~	 	98%	V	175	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (5:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)				•••••				
White (64:63)	<	 	98%	 ✓ 	178	142	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (11:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (9:9)	–	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
 - Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Council for the student is the 12th and a superior of the participation of the students.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (66:67)	V	V	98%	V	185	134		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (5:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)				••••••				
White (64:63)	✓	✓	98%	 	189	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (11:14)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (9:9)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
 - Made AYP
 - ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
 - X Did Not Make AYP
 - Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 Council and the second students in the 12th and a second students are the second students.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	5		
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (71)	~	~	89%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (4)		-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (65)		~	89%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (8)		-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (18)		_	_	-	-	_	
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Fe	deral Title I Status	New York State Status
~	Good Standing	
	2 schools identified 67% of total	
	CLYDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
	SAVANNAH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
•	Improvement (Year1)	
	1 school identified 33% of total	
	CLYDE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	59%		68
Grade 4	63%		67
Grade 5	63%		67
Grade 6	49%		84
Grade 7	47%		78
Grade 8	48%		82
Mathematics			
Grade 3	69%		68
Grade 4	79%		66
Grade 5	45%		67
Grade 6	52%		83
Grade 7	71%		77
Grade 8	54%		82
Science			
Grade 4	94%		67
Grade 8	78%		83
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	69%		78
Mathematics	81%		78
	Percentage of who graduat	2002 Cohort	
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	81%	l	78

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

1 mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students male le merican Indian or Alaska Native nck or African American panic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander mite all Group Totals meral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient mited English Proficient mited E		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 73	30-780							
	100%	93%				92%						
		5570				5270	69%					
			59%				0570					
				19	%			7%				
Number of Students:		63	40	1	<u> </u>							
			shool Voor			2004.05	School Year					
Mean Score: 657 Range: 616–780 650–780 7 100% 93% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% 59%	scoring at				scoring at le	vol(s).						
Student Group			-	-	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
•						Testeu	2 4	5 4	4			
					3%							
Male	•••••		86%	49%	0%	••••••	•••••	•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive											
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5			-							
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	1		-	-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								
Pacific Islander						······						
White		62	94%	61%	2%	This tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals					0%							
General-Education Students			98%	66%	2%							
Students with Disabilities		9	56%	11%	0%							
English Proficient		68	93%	59%	1%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		31	87%	45%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		37	97%	70%	3%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		68	93%	59%	1%							

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	۷			_		was not yn	200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State F	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
	100%	94%				94%			
			69%				81%		
			007/0						
								25%	
				6	%				
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students nale le erican Indian or Alaska Native ck or African American panic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander ite all Group Totals heral-Education Students dents with Disabilities		64	47		4				
Results by Student Group		2005–06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					School Year	• • •	14.3
		Total	-	-		Total		scoring at le	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		68 32	94% 97%	69% 72%	6%				
Male	•••••		92%	67%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••
	10	50	5270	0170	070				
		5	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······-	 _	• •••••			
	•••••			·····	 _	• •••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander									
White		62	95%	73%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		6	83%	33%	0%				
General-Education Students		60	98%	73%	5%				
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	38%	13%				
English Proficient		68	94%	69%	6%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		31	90%	65%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged		37	97%	73%	5%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		68	94%	69%	6%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring at le			l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 650	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 72	L6-775						
	100%					91%					
		87%				9178	69%				
			63%				0970				
				49	%			9%			
Number of Chudonte			42								
Number of Students:		58	42	3	5						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage sco		level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		67	87 %	63%	4%						
Female		30	100%	73%	7%						
Male		37	76%	54%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		7									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei	-					and mid	Idle-level En	glish langua	age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematic				
White		59	86%	61%	5%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		8	88%	75%	0%		ssessments o				
General-Education Students		54	98%	72%	6%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities		13	38%	23%	0%	auminis	····· administered assessments.				
English Proficient		67	87%	63%	4%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		26	73%	50%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		41	95%	71%	2%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		67	87%	63%	4%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 2-4 3-4 4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 2 1 (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent New York State English as a Second 0 Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 Grade 4

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	92%				93%					
		5278	79%			55%	78%				
				2	4%			26%			
				2	470						
Number of Students:		61	52		16						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		66	92%	79%	24%						
Female		30	100%	80%	33%						
Male		36	86%	78%	17%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive										
Black or African American		7									
Hispanic or Latino		1				New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er						ddle-level En	5	age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematic				
White			93%	79%	24%		stered in 200 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		8	88%	75%	25%		red to results		-		
General-Education Students		54	100%	87%	30%		stered assessi	•	custy		
Students with Disabilities		12	58%	42%	0%						
English Proficient		66	92%	79%	24%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		25	80%	60%	20%						
Not Disadvantaged		41	100%	90%	27%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		66	92%	79%	24%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	2	-	_	_	

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ict			NY State Public					
		Percentages	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 00	35-100						
 ■ 2005-06 ■ 2004-05 	100%	100% 99%	94%		68%	97% 95%	86% 80)% 49'	[%] 42%		
Number of Students:		67 70	63	69	55 48			_			
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	ır		2004-05 \$	School Yea	ır			
		Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		67	100%	94%	82%	71	99%	97%	68 %		
Female		30	100%	100%	87%	42	100%	100%	69%		
Male		37	100%	89%	78%	29	97%	93%	66%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative										
Black or African American		7				4	_				
Hispanic or Latino		1				1			_ 		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ner					1	-	-	-		
White		59	100%	95%	81%	65	98%	97%	71%		
Small Group Totals		8	100%	88%	88%	6	100%	100%	33%		
General-Education Students		54	100%	100%	93%	67	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities		13	100%	69%	38%	4	–	-	-		
English Proficient		67	100%	94%	82%	71	99%	97%	68%		
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		26	100%	85%	69%	33	97%	94%	61%		
Not Disadvantaged		41	100%	100%	90%	38	100%	100%	74%		
Migrant											

NOTES

Other Assessments	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	_	_	_	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

And the second s		This Distrie	ct			NY State F	State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 654	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 71	L1-795						
	100%	97%				94%					
							670/				
			63%				01 78				
				39	%			12%			
Number of Students:		65	42	2	2						
		2005-06 5	chool Voar			2004-05	School Voar				
		ge: 608-795 650-795 711-795 97% 94% 63% 67% 63% 3% 12% 65 42 2 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total	vel(s).								
Student Group			-	-			-	-	4		
All Students						Tested	2 4	5 4	-		
Female											
Male	•••••	27	96%	59%	0%		•••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		5	-		–						
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	–						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ									
White		60	98%	65%	3%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		62	100%	68%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		5	60%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		67	97%	63%	3%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		27	96%	59%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		40	98%	65%	3%		•••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		67	97%	63%	3%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost y	was not aiv	on in 200	4-05		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷			-		This test was not given in 2004-05.				
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5										

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 644	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 69	99-780						
	100%					0.0%					
		87%				90%	600/				
			450/				68%				
			45%								
				30	%			19%			
Number of Students:		58	30	2	2						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		67	87%	45%	3%						
Female		40	90%	50%	5%						
Male		27	81%	37%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		5									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	_	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>.</u> -		
White		60	88%	47%	2%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	71%	29%	14%						
General-Education Students		62	92%	47%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		5	20%	20%	0%						
English Proficient		67	87%	45%	3%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		27	81%	30%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		40	90%	55%	3%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		67	87%	45%	3%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 638	Range:	598-785	650-78	35 70)5-785						
	100%					93%					
		81%				9370					
			49%				60%				
				59	6			12%			
Number of Students:		68	41								
		00	41	2	•						
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		84	81%	49 %	5%						
Female		43	88%	56%	5%						
Male		41	73%	41%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		5									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander						······· · ·					
White		78	81%	51%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	83%	17%	0%						
General-Education Students		61	95%	66%	7%						
Students with Disabilities		23	43%	4%	0%						
English Proficient		84	81%	49%	5%						
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		38	71%	34%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		46	89%	61%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		84	81%	49%	5%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						was not gi		÷ 05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 640	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 69	96-780				
	100%								
		80%				87%			
			52%				60%		
								13%	
				29					
Number of Students:		66	43	2					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-	-	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		83	80%	52%	2 %				
Female		43	81%	51%	2%				
Male		40	78%	53%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		5	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her								
Pacific Islander									~-
White		77	79%	55%	3%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		6	83%	17%	0%				
General-Education Students		60	95%	63%	3%				
Students with Disabilities		23	39%	22%	0%				
English Proficient		83	80%	52%	2%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		38	68%	42%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		45	89%	60%	4%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		83	80%	52%	2%				

Other	2005-06	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct		NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790					
100%	070/				92%				
	87%				5270				
		47%				56%			
			3	%			8%		
	68	37		2					
		sheel Veer			2004.05				
								ver(s). 4	
	78	87%	47%	3%	Tested	2 7	5 4		
	45	91%	51%	2%					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	33	82%	42%	3%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	
ve									
•••••	5	80%	20%	0%					
				•••••	······			05	
	73	88%	49%	3%	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	63	97%	57%	3%					
	15	47%	7%	0%					
	78	87%	47%	3%					
	29	76%	34%	0%					
	49	94%	55%	4%					
	78	87%	47%	3%					
	100%	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 87% 68 2005-06 Se Total Tested 78 45 33 78 45 33 78 45 33 78 45 33 78 45 33 78 29 49	2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-7 100% 87% 47% 100% 87% 47% 68 37 68 37 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 78 87% 45 91% 33 82% /e 5 73 88% 63 97% 15 47% 78 87% 29 76% 49 94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 7 100% 87% 47% 3 68 37 3 3 68 37 3 3 68 37 3 3 68 37 2 3 68 37 2 3 68 37 2 3 68 37 2 3 68 37 2 3 701al Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 78 87% 47% 45 91% 51% 33 82% 42% 73 88% 49% 49 45 63 97% 57% 5 63 97% 57% 15 47% 7% 7% 78 87% 47% 29 76% 34% 49 49 94% 55%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 87% 47% 3% 68 37 2 Zoo5-o6 Schort Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 78 87% 47% 3% 45 91% 51% 2% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 6% 73 88% 49% 3% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 3% 74 7% 7% 3% 3% 74 29 76% 34% 0% 78 87% 47% 3% 3% 78 87% 47% 3% 3% 78	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $600-790$ $650-790$ $712-790$ 92% 100% 87% 47% 3% 92% 68 37 2 $2004-05$ Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 245 91% 51% 2% 33 82% 42% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 15 47% 7% 7% 29 76% 34% 0% 29 76% 34% 0% 49 94% 55% 4%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92% 92% 56% 100% 87% 47% 3% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56% 56%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 100% 87% 47% 92% 47% 3% 56% 68 37 2 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 78 87% 47% 45 91% 51% 2% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 63 97% 57% 3% 15 47% 7% 3% 78 87% 47% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 88% 49% 3% 73 7% 3% 7% 73 <t< td=""></t<>	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 668	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	91%				070/					
		5170	71%			87%					
							56%				
				2	7%						
								12%			
Number of Students:		70	55		21						
Posults by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
umber of Students: Results by Students by Students Group I Students male ale nerican Indian or Alaska Native ack or African American spanic or Latino ian or Native Hawaiian/Other actific Islander hite nall Group Totals eneral-Education Students udents with Disabilities iglish Proficient nited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged ot Disadvantaged grant		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		77	91 %	71%	27%						
Female		44	93%	75%	20%						
Male		33	88%	67%	36%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		5	80%	60%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			05		
White			92%	72%	29%		st was not giv	'en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		62	98%	84%	32%						
Students with Disabilities		15	60%	20%	7%						
English Proficient		77	91%	71%	27%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		28	89%	57%	18%						
Not Disadvantaged		49	92%	80%	33%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		77	91%	71%	27%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students male le merican Indian or Alaska Native ick or African American ipanic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander ite all Group Totals neral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient mited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged t Disadvantaged		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 647	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 72	L5-790							
	100%	93%				91%						
						9178						
			48%				49%					
				69	%			5%				
Number of Students:		76	39	5	.							
			ah a al Ma an				Cabaal Maan					
Results by		2005-06 S			1 1 ()		School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le				
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
					6%							
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			5%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••				
		42	93%	45%	7%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	tive	······										
		••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	<u>-</u>	• •••••						
Hispanic or Latino		1		<u>-</u>			sessments fo		-			
,	er						dle-level Eng		age			
Pacific Islander							d mathematic					
White			92%	47%	7%		tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		6	100%	50%	0%		ssessments c		-			
General-Education Students		67	100%	55%	7%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously			
All Students 82 93% 48% 6 Female 40 93% 50% 55 Male 42 93% 45% 7 American Indian or Alaska Native 7 Black or African American 5 - - - Hispanic or Latino 1 - - - Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other - - - - Pacific Islander 76 92% 47% 7 Small Group Totals 6 100% 50% 0 General-Education Students 67 100% 55% 7 Students with Disabilities 15 60% 13% 0 English Proficient 82 93% 48% 6 Limited English Proficient 82 93% 30% 0 Not Disadvantaged 33 82% 30% 0	0%	auminis	tereu assessi	nents.								
English Proficient		82	93%	48%	6%							
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
		33	82%	30%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	49	100%	59%	10%		••••					
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	82	93%	48%	6%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	2 –	-		1		-		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2			-	T	_		_	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				0				
Grade 8									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s	5):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 646	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775						
	100%										
		85%				85%					
			54%				54%				
				6	%			10%			
Number of Students:		70	44		5						
Results by		2005-06 S o				School Year		- 1/-)			
Student Group)	Total Tested	Percentage	5		Total	-	scoring at lev			
All Students		1ested 82	2-4 85%	3-4 54%	4 6%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Female		39	87%	54%	8%						
Male	•••••	43	84%	53%	5%		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American	•••••	5	-		-						
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	1			_	New ass	essments for	elementar	y-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		and mid	dle-level Eng	jlish langua	ge		
Pacific Islander							mathematic				
White		76	84%	54%	7%		tered in 2006				
Small Group Totals		6	100%	50%	0%		sessments ca		-		
General-Education Students		66	97%	67%	8%		compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities		16	38%	0%	0%	auministereu assessments.					
English Proficient		82	85%	54%	6%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		32	72%	34%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		50	94%	66%	10%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		82	85%	54%	6%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment	Testeu		5-4	4	resteu		5-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	T	-	-	-	T	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 74	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	94% 94%	65	3	4% 31%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18	25%	
Results by		2005-06 Se				2004-05 \$	School Yea	r		
_		Total			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Gro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		83	94%	78%	34%	86	94%	83%	31%	
Female		40	93%	75%	23%	42	98%	79%	31%	
Male		43	95%	81%	44%	44	91%	86%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American		5				8	88%	50%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		1								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Other									
White		77	94%	78%	36%	78	95%	86%	35%	
Small Group Totals		6	100%	83%	0%					
General-Education Studen	ts	67	99%	91%	39%	77	96%	84%	35%	
Students with Disabilities		16	75%	25%	13%	9	78%	67%	0%	
English Proficient		83	94%	78%	34%	86	94%	83%	31%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantage	ed	33	88%	67%	24%	24	83%	67%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged		50	98%	86%	40%	62	98%	89%	37%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		83	94%	78%	34%	86	94%	83%	31%	

NOTES

Other	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	±	_	_			_	_	_
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	l:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	1	16	46	10	73	664	
Feb 2004	15	28	38	7	88	639	
Feb 2003	5	28	31	15	79	659	


	This School			NY State Pu	ıblic	
	Percentage se	coring at level(s):	Percentage s	s):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 88% 84% 87%	48%	% 3% ^{7%} 2%	93% 93% 919	48% 47% 45	% 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	11	38	42	3	94	688	
Jan 2004	14	47	23	6	90	683	
Jan 2003	12	44	31	2	89	686	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	3	6	38	24	71	671	
May 2004	2	23	56	4	85	646	•••••
May 2003	3	18	34	22	77	656	

	This School			NY State Pub	olic			
Grade 8	Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882					
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 88% 84% 92%	54% 44% 44%	6 <u>2%</u> 6% 0%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	6 9% ^{13%} 9%		

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	11	32	48	2	93	712	
May 2004	14	36	34	5	89	705	
May 2003	7	40	37	0	84	711	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Coho i	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	78	81%	69 %	24%	83	80%	71%	35%
Female	43	86%	77%	30%	38	74%	66%	39%
Male	35	74%	60%	17%	45	84%	76%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	-	–	-	5	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••					•••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	73	82%	71%	26%	76	80%	72%	37%
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	7	71%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	58	91%	83%	31%	73	85%	77%	40%
Students with Disabilities	20	50%	30%	5%	10	40%	30%	0%
English Proficient	78	81%	69%	24%	83	80%	71%	35%
Limited English Proficient	•••••					•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	13	62%	38%	15%				
Not Disadvantaged	65	85%	75%	26%	••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	78	81%	69%	24%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Coho i	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	5-4	4	
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	85% 81%	81% 77%	10% 13%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	ťť			2001 Coho r	t*	*				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	78	85%	81 %	10%	83	81%	77%	13%			
Female	43	91%	86%	12%	38	71%	66%	13%			
Male	35	77%	74%	9%	45	89%	87%	13%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American	3	-	–	-	5	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••							
Pacific Islander											
White	73	86%	84%	11%	76	82%	78%	11%			
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%	7	71%	71%	43%			
General-Education Students	58	95%	95%	14%	73	86%	84%	14%			
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	40%	0%	10	40%	30%	10%			
English Proficient	78	85%	81%	10%	83	81%	77%	13%			
Limited English Proficient	•••••							••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	13	69%	69%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	65	88%	83%	12%		••••••		••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	78	85%	81%	10%		•••••	•••••	••••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2002 Cohort*
 2001 Cohort*

Other	2002 Conor	τ						
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	78	81%	3%	1%	3%	13%
	2001	83	78%	4%	2%	2%	13%
Female	2002	43	91%	2%	0%	0%	7%
	2001	38	68%	5%	5%	3%	18%
Male	2002	35	69%	3%	3%	6%	20%
	2001	45	87%	2%	0%	2%	9%
American Indian	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	5	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
•	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	73	84%	3%	1%	1%	11%
	2001	76	78%	3%	3%	3%	14%
Small Group Totals	2002	5	40%	0%	0%	20%	40%
	2001	7	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	58	90%	0%	2%	2%	7%
	2001	73	84%	0%	1%	1%	14%
Students with Disabilities	2002	20	55%	10%	0%	5%	30%
	2001	10	40%	30%	10%	10%	10%
English Proficient	2002	78	81%	3%	1%	3%	13%
5	2001	83	78%	4%	2%	2%	13%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	13	54%	0%	0%	8%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	65	86%	3%	2%	2%	8%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	78	81%	3%	1%	3%	13%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 82% 72% 4% 2% 2% 1% 0% 5% 12% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	82	82%	4%	2%	0%	12%
Female	37	73%	5%	5%	0%	16%
Male	45	89%	2%	0%	0%	9%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	5	-	-	-		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	_	_
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	75	81%	3%	3%	0%	13%
Small Group Totals	7	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	72	88%	0%	1%	0%	11%
Students with Disabilities	10	40%	30%	10%	0%	20%
English Proficient	82	82%	4%	2%	0%	12%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	24	79%	4%	4%	0%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	58	83%	3%	2%	0%	12%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	82	82%	4%	2%	0%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.