

# REFERENCE

IC/88/27

# INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

PRODUCTION OF  $J/\psi$  IN QUARK-GLUON PLASMA

Hafeez R. Hoorani

Т



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY



UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

> t t

### IC/88/27

INTRODUCTION

τ.

In the past, existence of a new form of matter had been suggested by many different authors <sup>1</sup> i.e. the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). The main goal for colliding large nuclei at high energy is to form this new form of matter. From relativistic heavy ion programs at CERN-SPS and BNL-AGS a flow of data are already coming. At present, it is a very challenging task to analyze such a wealth of data and study the new physics taking place under the extreme conditions provided by these most violent nuclear collisions. Most important of all is to find a clean experimental signature for the formation of quark-gluon plasma. The experimental signatures for the plasma formation proposed so far include <sup>2</sup> real or virtual photons, dilepton production, the relative production rate of strange particles and the  $P_{\rm T}$  distribution of secondary hadrons.

Recently, a new experimental signature was proposed by Matsui and Satz<sup>3)</sup>. According to them, a strong and systematic suppression of the heavy quark bound states formation in nuclear collisions may provide a clear signature of quark-gluon plasma formation. Their argument goes as as follows: the dominant mechanism for producing  $c\bar{c}$  pairs is hard partonparton interaction. The production of  $J/\psi$ 's takes place through resonant interaction of the  $c\bar{c}$  system. If, however, the  $c\bar{c}$  production occurs in a nuclear collision, and if such collisions result in a quarkgluon plasma, then the produced  $c\bar{c}$  finds itself in a deconfining environment. Provided the Debye screening radius  $r_D^{(T)}$  is smaller than the binding radius  $r_{J/\psi}^{(T)}$  of  $J/\psi$  - then the resonance interaction cannot become operative and  $J/\psi$  production will be prohibited.

In the next section, we will mention a few known properties of  $J/\psi$ and the values of parameters important for our anlysis. In Section III we will discuss the formation of  $c\bar{c}$  pair in quark-gluon plasma. In Section IV we will conclude and make some remarks.

II. PRESENT STATUS

The lowest-lying state of psions called  $\psi$  or  $J/\psi$ , was first observed simultaneously in 1974 in experiments at SLAC <sup>4)</sup> and Brookhaven AGS <sup>5)</sup>. Since then the following properties of  $J/\psi$  have been well established:

-2-

ΤĽ

## International Atomic Energy Agency

and

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

PRODUCTION OF J/W IN QUARK-GLUON PLASMA \*

Hafeez R. Hoorani \*\* International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

#### ABSTRACT

It was recently proposed by T. Matsui and H. Satz that  $J/\psi$ suppression in nuclear collisions will provide an unambiguous signature for the formation of quark-gluon plasma. In this paper, we will discuss the present status of this proposal and make a few remarks about it.

> MIRAMARE - TRIESTE February 1988

To be submitted for publication.

\*\* Permanent address: Department of Physics, University of Karachi, Karachi-3201, Pakistan.

.

•

1 <sup>G</sup> J <sup>P</sup> Cn	Mass (MeV)	Full Width (MeV)	Branching Ratio
J/⊎ 0 <sup>+</sup> (1 <sup>−</sup> )-	3096.9 ± 0.1	0.063 ± 0.009	e <b>'e'</b> 7.4 ± 1.2%
-,, - ,	·		ν <sup>+</sup> μ <sup>−</sup> 7.4 ± 1.2%

Hadrons+Any 85 ± 2%

the bound state of two heavy quarks can successfully be described with the help of Schrödinger's equation and a potential of Coulomb-plus-linear form  $\binom{6}{2}$ 

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{eff}}{r} + \sigma r$$
 (1)

where  $\alpha_{eff} = \frac{h}{3} \alpha_s$  and  $\sigma$  is the string tension. For our enalysis, important parameters are  $\alpha_{eff}$ ,  $\sigma$ , mass of the charm quark  $m_c$  and mean square radius of  $c\bar{c}$  s-state. In the following we give two sets of values for the above parameters obtained by Quigg and Rosner <sup>6</sup> and the Cornell group <sup>7</sup>,

Set I: (Quigg and Rosner)

$$a_{\rm g} = 0.38, \quad a_{\rm eff} = 0.507$$
  
 $a = 0.17 \, {\rm GeV}^2, \quad m_{\rm c} = 1.37 \, {\rm GeV}$   
 $\sqrt{\langle {\rm r}^2 \rangle} = 0.394 \, {\rm fm}$ 
(2)

Set II: (Cornell Group)

$$\alpha_{g} = 0.39, \quad \alpha_{eff} = 0.52$$
  
 $\sigma = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^{2}, \quad m_{c} = 1.84 \text{ GeV}$   
 $\sqrt{\langle r^{2} \rangle} = 0.47 \text{ fm}$ 
(3)

By using the uncertaintly principle, one can evaluate the formation time  $\tau_{\rm f}$  for  $J/\psi.$ 

-3-

$$\tau_{f}^{I} \approx \frac{1}{2m_{c}} = 0.07 \text{fm/c}$$

$$\tau_{f}^{II} \approx \frac{1}{2m_{c}} = 0.05 \text{fm/c} \qquad (4)$$

where I and II in the superscripts refer to the set of values I and II. One can also use the Bohr model to estimate the formation time  $\tau_{f}$  for  $J/\psi$ 

$$\tau_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\pi < \mathbf{r}^2 > m_c}{n} \tag{5}$$

where 'n' is the principal quantum number. For n = 1, with the help of (2), (3) and (5), one gets

$$\tau_{f}^{I} = 3.4 \text{fm/c}$$
  
$$\tau_{f}^{II} = 6.4 \text{fm/c}$$
 (6)

III.  $J/\psi$  AND QGP

The potential given in Eq.(1) is for T = 0. Imagine now that this  $c\bar{c}$  is created in the deconfined phase of hadronic matter i.e. QGP. It means T is greater than some critical temperature  $T_c$ . In such a deconfining environment, the string tension  $\sigma$  will vanish and the Coulombic part of the  $c\bar{c}$  potential will be modified by the plasma screening effect and become short ranged <sup>8</sup>

$$v(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{eff}}{r} \exp(-\frac{r}{r_{D}})$$
(7)

where  $a_{eff}$  is replaced by  $a_{eff}^{(9)}$ , because of the fact

$$\alpha_{\rm g}({\rm T}) = \frac{{\rm g}^2}{{\rm L}_{\rm T}} = \frac{6\pi}{(11N_{\rm c} - 2N_{\rm f}) \log\left(\frac{{\rm L}_{\rm T}}{\Lambda_{\rm T}}\right)} \tag{8}$$

where 'g' is the colour charge, N<sub>c</sub> and N<sub>f</sub> are the number of colours and flavours present in the plasma respectively. The Debye screening radius r<sub>D</sub> in Eq.(7) can be written as 9)  $r_{D}^{-1} = 0.38 \text{ C} (N_{c}, N_{f}) \beta^{-1} \left(\frac{g^{2}}{\mu_{\pi}}\right)^{1/2}$  (9)

-4-

where

$$\beta^{-1} = T$$
 and  $C(N_c, N_f) = \frac{(2N_c + N_f)^{3/2}(N_c^2 - 1)}{3N_cN_f + 2N_c^2 - 2}$ 

Therefore, Eq.(9) determines the behaviour of Debye screening radius  $r_D$  with respect to T. At this point, one should note that in the potential of Eq.(7) there is no linear confining part, but sill  $c\bar{c}$  bound state can exist as a Coulomb bound state. To find that out we write the Hamiltonian

$$H \approx 2m_{c} - \frac{1}{m_{c}} \nabla^{2} + V(r)$$
 (10)

In the semi-classical approximation one can write 10)

$$E(r) = 2m_{c} + \frac{1}{2m_{c}r^{2}} + V(r)$$
(11)

To find the lowest state, we minimize  $E(\mathbf{r})$  and obtain

$$x(x + 1) exp(-x) = (m_c a_{eff}^{\dagger} r_D)^{-1}$$
 (12)

In Eq.(11) we have used the potential given in Eq.(7) and also  $x \equiv \frac{r}{r_D}$ . There is still one more inequality one can get by using the fact that if E(r) is minimum then  $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial r^2} > 0$ , which gives  $x(x^2 + 2x + 2) \exp(-x) > 3(m_c r_D a_{eff}^{\prime})^{-1}$  (13) Eq.(12) has a solution if  $(m_c a_{eff}^{\prime} r_D)^{-1} \le 0.84$ , so that  $r_D^{\min} = [0.84 m_c a_{eff}^{\prime}]^{-1}$  (14)

is the smallest value of the screening radius still permitting a Coulombic bound state.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The lifetime of the plasma without taking into account the 11). These numbers are transverse expansion range from 4 to 64 fm/c obtained by using Bjorken's model 12), the inclusion of transverse expansion would perhaps shorten the upper limit by a factor of  $2^{13}$ . At this point, we will make the final remark. An important characteristic of psions lying below the  $2M_{\rm D}(\sim$  3.73 GeV, where  $M_{\rm D}$  is the mass of a D-meson) limit is the narrowness of their total decay width i.e. of the order of a few hundred keV. This implies a lifetime of a typical psion, lying below  $2M_{\rm D}$  limit, of the order  $10^5 \, {\rm fm/c}$ . This narrowness of decay width of psions can be well understood on the basis of OZI (Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka) rule. Another important point is the direct production cross section of  $J/\psi$ , which requires the fusion of three gluons, is much less than that obtained via production of the intermediate state X. This fact is supported by the experiments and also explain the small observed ratio of  $\psi'$  production relative to  $J/\psi$  production i.e.  $\sim 2\%$   $^{14)}$ . Therefore, if the main mechanism for the production of  $J/\psi$  is via an intermediate state x, then it will be produced  $10^5 fm/c$  after the formation of  $\chi$ , by that time the nucleus-nucleus collision is over. So, is it sensible to talk about  $J/\psi$  as the formation signal for the quark-gluon plasma?

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality and financial support during his stay at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste.

-6-

#### REFERENCES

- For a recent survey see J. Cleymans, R.V. Gavai and E. Suhonen, Phys. Rep. 130 (1986) 218.
- 2) M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A418 (1984) 59C.
- 3) T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. <u>B178</u> (1986) 416.
- 4) J.E. Augustin <u>et al</u>., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u> (1974) 1406.
- 5) J.J. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>33</u> (1974) 1404.
- 6) C. Quigg and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rep. <u>56</u> (1979) 167.
- 7) E. Eichten et al., Phys. Rev. <u>D21</u> (1980) 203.
- 8) T. Matsui, "J/# Suppression by Plasma Formation", to appear in the Proceedings of "Quark Matter 1987" Schloss Nordkirchen, Federal Republic of Germany, August 1987.
- 9) H. Satz, Nucl. Phys. <u>A418</u> (1964) 447C.
- 10) F. Karsch, M.T. Mehr and H. Satz, "Colour screening and deconfinement for bound states of heavy quarks", Brookhaven National Laboratory, preprint BNL-40122.
- 11) J. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 2857.
- 12) J.D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. <u>D27</u> (1983) 140.
- M. Kataja, P.V. Ruuskanen, L.D. McLerran and H. von Gersdorff,
  Phys. Rev. <u>D34</u> (1986) 2755;
  K. Kajantie, M. Kataja and P.V. Ruuskanen, Phys. Rev. <u>B179</u> (1986) 153.
- 14) J.H. Cobb et al., Phys. Lett. <u>B72</u> (1978) 497.

潮 都 雪重

ال يكون الأرباط المراكر بله الإنتيان المراكر المراكر المراكر المراكر المراكر

# The state of the s

Ť.