

# CHARLOTTE NEIGHBORHOOD QUALITY OF LIFE STUDY 2008

---

Prepared

for

City of Charlotte Neighborhood Development  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department

in cooperation with

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department  
Mecklenburg County Department of Social Services  
Mecklenburg County Health Department  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System  
Charlotte Solid Waste Services

by

Metropolitan Studies Group  
University of North Carolina at Charlotte  
July 28, 2008





## Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Defining Quality of Life .....	3
Methods.....	5
Geographic Units .....	6
NSA Name Changes .....	12
Stable, Transitioning and Challenged Neighborhoods .....	13
2008 Citywide Quality of Life Results .....	14
Citywide Quality of Life Changes: 2006-2008.....	19
Four Dimensions of Quality of Life.....	20
Social Dimension .....	21
Crime Dimension .....	23
Physical Dimension .....	25
Economic Dimension.....	27
NSA Trend 2002-2008.....	29
Appendix A: Neighborhood Profiles .....	A-1
Appendix B: Neighborhood Profiles: User Guide .....	B-1
Appendix C: NSA Trend 2002-2008 by Variable .....	C-1
Appendix D: NSA Demographics .....	D-1
Appendix E: CWAC Analysis .....	E-1

## Tables and Figures

Table 1. Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables .....	4
Table 2. CWAC Neighborhood Names and NSA Number .....	9
Table 3. NSA Names and Number .....	11
Table 4. 2008 NSA Quality of Life Ratings .....	18
Figure 1. Quality of Life Study Area Boundaries.....	7
Figure 2. CWAC Neighborhood Boundaries.....	8
Figure 3. NSA Neighborhood Boundaries.....	10
Figure 4. 2008 Citywide Quality of Life Index .....	15
Figure 5. 2008 CWAC Quality of Life Index .....	16
Figure 6. 2008 Non-CWAC Quality of Life Index.....	17
Figure 7. 2008 Social Dimension.....	22
Figure 8. 2008 Crime Dimension.....	24
Figure 9. 2008 Physical Dimension .....	26
Figure 10. 2008 Economic Dimension .....	28
Figure 11. 2008 CWAC Trend.....	31
Figure 12. 2008 NSA Trend.....	32



## Introduction

Fifteen years ago, the City of Charlotte has pioneered a policy of monitoring neighborhood level quality of life and taking proactive actions to protect and improve these basic building blocks of the city. Starting with the publication of the City Within A City (CWAC) Neighborhood Assessment in 1993, city leaders and policy makers have had a document that enabled them to take a detailed look at the issues that need to be addressed in order to maintain and improve neighborhood vitality. Over time, the 'Charlotte Model' for assessing community change has earned national and international recognition and been used as a prototype for numerous cities.

In 1997, the Neighborhood Assessment was followed by the CWAC Neighborhood Quality of Life Index. This study evaluated the quality of life in 73 inner city neighborhoods through an analysis of a wide ranging set of variables. In turn, these variables were aggregated into social, physical, crime, and economic dimensions that were combined to create a quality of life index or score for each neighborhood. Individual neighborhoods were labeled Stable, Threatened, or Fragile, based upon the cumulative variable scores. The data presented in that study offered a baseline of information that enabled the city to carry out an ongoing review of neighborhood level quality of life. The index study was intended to serve as a benchmark, a first step in an ongoing program to monitor progress toward the goals of sustaining and renewing the neighborhoods of CWAC.

In 2000, the Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Study expanded the geographical scope of the earlier inner city analysis. It evaluated the quality of life in 173 neighborhood statistical areas (NSAs) covering the entire city and the city's Sphere of Influence. The 2000 study constructed an index measurement using 19 locally based variables that measured neighborhood social, physical, and economic conditions. The most important improvement of this study was that it offered a citywide baseline for measuring cumulative and individual changes in NSAs in the future.



The Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life studies in 2002 and 2004 closely followed the framework and format of the 2000 report. The composition of study variables changed slightly in order to strengthen the rigor of the statistical analysis.

In 2006, the Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life study, changed the nomenclature for classifying NSAs. The terms Stable, Threatened, and Fragile which were used in every report since 1993 are changed to Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged. The research

methodology used to classify NSAs was not changed. The shift in classification was made in order to better describe what the research findings are reporting.

This report, Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life 2008, replicates and builds upon the research framework established in the three previous iterations of this process. This study continues the evaluation of neighborhoods through measurement of a set of community-centered variables. These variables are generally locally based and have remained unchanged in the way they are measured. This allows for longitudinal analyses of a NSA growth and change.

This study continues to offer detailed intra-neighborhood analysis, but expands the detail of this information. A detailed analysis of NSA change over time is also included in this report. It presents how each variable changes, by neighborhood, over a six year period of time. These data are displayed in Appendix C: NSA Trend by Variable.

As in the past, this report includes a neighborhood profile page for each NSA. Appendix A presents these profiles. The profile includes quality of life rankings, background statistics, and the individual descriptive data for each of the 20 variables used in the quality of life analysis along with their comparable citywide data.



## Defining Quality of Life

Quality of Life can mean many things to different people. One of the most critical challenges is how to correctly and efficiently measure the concept. Many academics and planners maintain that in order for a community to enjoy a high level of quality of life, residents should feel safe from crime, live in affordable and safe housing, and should have access to good quality education and employment. Indeed, these are basic expectations for a livable community. They transcend economic status, age, race or ethnicity, household composition, or any other demographic marker.

Beyond the basic factors, there are many more subjective ideas, often framed by local or regional constructs, about what makes a neighborhood a good place to live. These ideas often revolve around the structure of a neighborhood or the lifestyle of the residents. Urban, suburban, or rural settings may translate into different scales or different ideas about what constitutes quality of life.

Despite challenges, many cities and regions throughout North America and Europe are developing locally-based measures to assess quality of life. Studies such as the *Providence Neighborhood Fact Book*, *Jacksonville Quality-of-Life Report*, *Sustainable Indicators in Seattle*, *Sustainable Pittsburgh*, and the *Boston Indicators Project* are commonly cited prototypes. The Washington DC-based Urban Institute has been working with a select group of U.S. cities on a



“National Neighborhood Indicators Project” which compiles and documents the use of additional neighborhood-level evaluation systems around the U.S. Taken together, over 200 American communities have examined or implemented quality of life studies. Some have collected measures of quality of life incorporating local, environmental, social, and economic conditions. But most communities have relied on published data from the U.S. Census Bureau to measure quality of life.

The notion of quality of life as a multi-faceted concept includes a wide variety of critical factors that operate within a unified framework. In this case, the Charlotte neighborhood quality of life research model defines neighborhood quality of life as a nexus where social well being, physical characteristics, crime, and economic vitality are all considered. In all, 20 variables make up this quality of life composite (Table 1). A detailed description of each of these factors is contained in Appendix B, Neighborhood Profiles: User Guide. The selection of the individual variables was made following extensive discussion and consultations with the sponsoring organizations and staff from the cooperating city and county partners.

**Table 1. Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables**

***Social Dimension***

Percent of Persons over Age 64  
Average Kindergarten Score  
Dropout Rate  
Percent of Children Achieving at or Above Grade Level  
Percent of Births to Adolescents  
Youth Opportunity Index

***Physical Dimension***

Appearance Index  
Housing Code Index  
Percent Homeowners  
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs  
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation  
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities  
Pedestrian Friendliness Index

***Crime Dimension***

Violent Crime Rate  
Juvenile Arrest Rate  
Property Crime Rate  
Crime Hot Spots

***Economic Dimension***

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps  
Percent Change in Income  
Percent Change in House Value

## Methods

The findings reported in this study were derived from a statistical analysis of 20 variables collected for 173 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). All of the variables used in the analysis are structured around locally sourced data. Only “Percent of Persons over Age 64” and “Percent Change in Income” are partially derived from Claritas data, a nationally regarded demographic and marketing firm. Within this framework, the 20 variables were organized into four groups representing the major dimensions that comprise local quality of life. These four dimensions are social, physical, crime, and economic.

The data were standardized prior to calculating quality of life scores. The standardization was done by computing the mean value for the NSAs on each of the 20 variables. The mean measure for each variable was assigned a value of zero and each NSA score was expressed in terms of the number of standard deviations above or below the mean (Z scores). This procedure converts all variables to the same unit and allows NSA scores to be combined in order to derive an overall or composite score based on multiple variables. In selected cases, the variables used in the analysis were inverse measures of the quality of life, so that, a high value may indicate a low quality of life condition. The signs of the Z scores for these variables were reversed before summing scores for several variables to derive an overall or cumulative score for the quality of life.

Following standardization, individual quality of life scores were calculated for each NSA and for each of the four groups of variables. The composite score was then determined for each neighborhood using the four dimensions (social, physical, crime, and economic) by summing the Z scores of the individual variables. Once the composite Z scores were determined on a dimension for the NSAs, these scores were standardized again, i.e. each neighborhood’s score was expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation units above or below the mean. Consequently, a high positive score indicated a positive quality of life condition in the NSA.

Finally, an overall or composite quality of life index for each NSA was calculated by summing the four dimensions. In computing the overall index, the four dimensions were weighted in the following manner: social, 30%; physical, 30%; crime, 30%; and economic, 10%. These scores were standardized by setting the mean value to zero and expressing each neighborhood’s score in standard deviation units above or below the mean. Therefore, large positive scores indicate a relative high quality of life, while large negative scores reveal a relative low quality of life.

In addition to the citywide analysis using the 173 NSAs, the same procedure was employed to determine quality of life scores for the CWAC neighborhoods as a separate group. This analysis is presented in Appendix F, labeled CWAC Analysis.

A companion document, **Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Technical Report 2008**, contains the complete set of data for all individual variables, as well as, maps displaying the distribution of variables across NSAs.



## Geographic Units

The quality of life analysis is applied to 173 NSAs encompassing all of the City of Charlotte and its Sphere of Influence (Figure 1). The only exclusions are seven non-residential areas that have no expected residential future. Therefore, these areas are not included in the NSA framework. The NSA boundaries are delineated by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission. These neighborhood scale geographies have been used by the Planning Commission and other city and county staff for a variety of community-based initiatives.

The CWAC geography is structured around 73 inner city neighborhoods. These boundaries roughly follow 1990 U.S. Census block group and block boundaries (Figure 2). Table 2 lists the CWAC neighborhood name and NSA number. In developing the CWAC neighborhood boundaries, the Planning Commission staff devised alignments that best matched communities of interest. Existing neighborhood organizations and community groups offered guidance and advice on where their boundaries should be drawn. While the advice of every community group border was not always followed, efforts to select the best set of boundaries were made.

Outside the CWAC area, Planning Commission staff delineated neighborhood centered units for the more suburban residential areas in Charlotte and its Sphere of Influence in 2000 (Figure 3). Again, 1990 U.S. Census block group and block boundaries were utilized as guides. In most cases, individual NSAs were comprised of multiple residential subdivisions. In preparation for the 2010 Census of Population, the US Census Bureau is




in the process of redesigning smaller neighborhood geographic boundaries. These revised boundaries will be utilized in future Quality of life reports.


In 2008, one minor NSA boundary adjustment was made to incorporate new residential growth that had been left out of the original 2000 delineation. This change did not have any significant impact of the analyses used in this report. Future changes in NSA boundaries will be minimal and take place only when neighborhood conditions warrant action. This “fixed boundary” rule is used in order to allow comparisons of neighborhoods over time.

A list of neighborhood names and NSA numbers are provided in Table 3. Since individuals and communities have different perceptions of neighborhood boundaries and name identification, some neighborhoods may have multiple ways of being identified.

**Figure 1. Quality of Life Study Area Boundaries**



**Figure 2. CWAC Neighborhood Boundaries**






**Table 2. CWAC Neighborhoods Names and NSA Number**

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>NSA</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>
1	Clanton Park / Roseland	38	Druid Hills North
2	Pinecrest	39	J.T. Williams
3	Jackson Homes	40	Sugaw Creek / Ritch Avenue
4	Capitol Drive	41	Derita / Statesville
5	Wilson Heights	42	Rockwell Park / Hemphill Heights
6	York Road	43	University Park
7	Reid Park	44	North Charlotte
8	West Blvd	45	Optimist Park
9	Ponderosa / Wingate	46	Villa Heights
10	Boulevard Homes	47	Plaza Hills
11	Westover Hills	48	Plaza-Shamrock
12	Westerly Hills	49	Country Club Heights
13	Ashley Park	50	Plaza Midwood
14	Brookhill	51	Belmont
15	Wilmore	52	Commonwealth
16	Revolution Park	53	Chantilly
17	Todd Park	54	Elizabeth
18	Enderly Park	55	Briarcreek-Woodland
19	Thomasboro/Hoskins	56	Coliseum Drive
20	Wesley Heights	57	Echo Hills
21	Lakewood	58	Oakhurst
22	Oakview Terrace	59	Grier Heights
23	Washington Heights	60	Wendover / Sedgewood
24	Seversville	61	Cotswold
25	Smallwood	62	Eastover
26	Biddleville	63	Myers Park
27	McCrorey Heights	64	Cherry
28	Oaklawn	65	Freedom Park
29	Lincoln Heights	66	Dilworth
30	Third Ward	67	First Ward
31	Greenville	68	Sedgefield
32	Fourth Ward	69	Ashbrook / Clawson Village
33	Genesis Park	70	Collingwood
34	Double Oaks	71	Colonial Village
35	Lockwood	72	Southside Park
36	Tryon Hills	73	Arbor Glen
37	Druid Hills South		

**Figure 3. NSA Neighborhood Boundaries**



**Table 3. NSA Names and Number**

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>NSA</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>
100	Eagle Lake	150	Windsor Park
101	Olde Whitehall	151	Eastway / Sheffield Park
102	Griers Fork	152	North Sharon Amity / Reddman Road
103	Brown Road	153	Idlewild Farms
104	Yorkshire	154	Becton Park
105	Pleasant Hill Road	155	Marlwood
106	Steele Creek	156	Marshbrooke
107	Dixie / Berryhill	157	Idlewild South
108	Harbor House	158	East Forest
109	Moores Chapel	159	Sherwood Forest
110	Wildwood	160	Stonehaven
111	Pawtucket	161	Sardis Woods
112	Toddville Road	162	Sardis Forest
113	Westchester	163	Lansdowne
114	Coulwood East	164	Providence Park
115	Coulwood West	165	Foxcroft
116	Harwood Lane	166	Governor's Square
117	Mountain Island	167	Olde Providence North
118	Oakdale North	168	Oxford Hunt
119	Oakdale South	169	Hembstead
120	Firestone / Garden Park	170	Providence Plantation
121	Sunset Road	171	Providence Estates East
122	North Lake	172	Providence Crossing
123	Beatties Ford / Trinity	173	Provincetowne
124	Slater Road / Hamilton Circle	174	Piper Glen Estates
125	Nevin Community	175	Rain Tree
126	Henderson Circle	176	Wessex Square
127	Davis Lake / Eastfield	177	Olde Providence South
128	West Sugar Creek / W T Harris Blvd	178	Montibello
129	Prosperity Church Road	179	Mountainbrook
130	Highland Creek	180	Sharon Woods
131	Mallard Creek / Withrow Downs	181	Barclay Downs
132	University City North	182	Beverly Woods
133	Mineral Springs / Rumble Road	183	Carmel
134	University City South	184	Touchstone Village / Elm Lane
135	Harris-Houston	185	Whiteoak
136	Back Creek Church Road	186	Ballantyne East
137	Newell	187	Ballantyne West
138	College Downs	188	Johnston Road / McAlpine
139	Newell South	189	Hwy 51/ Park Road
140	Hidden Valley	190	Seven Eagles
141	Hampshire Hills	191	Park Crossing
142	Shannon Park	192	Sterling
143	Oak Forest	193	Starmount Forest
144	Hickory Grove	194	Quail Hollow
145	Robinson Church Road	195	Starmount
146	Bradfield Farms	196	Montclair / Madison Park
147	Hickory Ridge	197	Madison Park
148	Farm Pond	198	Montclair South
149	Eastland/Wilora Lake	199	Yorkmount

## NSA Name Changes

In 2000, when the Neighborhood Quality of Life Study was expanded to the current 173 NSAs, 100 new neighborhoods and “communities of interest” were added to the study framework. These new NSAs were not formally named until the 2004 Quality of Life study. Subsequently, neighborhood organizations within the newly defined NSAs have been given the opportunity to recommend name changes. The reason for suggested NSA name changes varies. In most cases, a neighborhood name was assigned to the NSA that did not represent the entire area within the NSA. Therefore, the name was changed to represent a general geographic area within Charlotte, often referencing street intersections or a historical name. In addition, some NSA names within the traditional CWAC geography were also modified to better represent the area they incorporate. Below is a list of NSAs that were identified under a different name in earlier reports.

NSA	Original Name	New Name
1	Clanton Park	Clanton Park / Roseland
103	The Crossings	Brown Road
122	Wedgewood	North Lake
139	Autumnwood	Newell South
145	Silverwood	Robinson Church Road
149	Wilora Lake	Eastland / Wilora Lake
152	Coventry Woods	North Sharon Amity / Reddman Road
196	Closeburn / Glenkirk	Montclair / Madison Park



## Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged Neighborhoods

The Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life research model is built around a comprehensive assessment of community level quality of life. Within this framework, the individual variables are organized into four dimensions: social, physical, crime and economic. In turn, these dimensions are aggregated into a cumulative quality of life index. Based upon this numeric value, NSAs are classified into three groups: Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged.

Arranging the NSAs into categories is a useful approach for creating a general template that can convey the idea of quality of life at a small, localized scale. Moreover, it permits a comparison of the quality of life between NSAs, as well as, within the entire city. However, this general three part categorization formula should only be used to assess a generic level of need in a community. Each NSA is unique. Accordingly, each NSAs score on an individual dimension and individual variables should be regarded as more useful to assessing the specific conditions and needs of that NSA.

In broad terms, the categories Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged reflect community conditions relative to other NSAs. As a measure for separating local inter-NSA quality of life, the terms translate into the following broad standards.

**Stable:** NSAs that exhibit few neighborhood level problems. These are neighborhoods that score high on the social, physical, crime, and economic dimensions. Compared to other NSAs, the Stable grouping has a significant higher quality of life than the city average conditions.



**Transitioning:** These are NSAs that are around average on most dimensions, but also display a weakness on one or more of the dimensions. This pattern may be signaling a shift in the overall NSA quality of life. Transitional status can indicate an improving or declining position, relative to other Charlotte NSAs. Compared to all Charlotte NSA, the transitioning group is clustered around conditions.

**Challenged:** Challenged NSAs generally have low to moderate scores on some or all quality of life dimensions. A Challenged neighborhood has a lower quality of life than other communities in Charlotte and is “at risk” on multiple dimensions. This grouping represents below average quality of life compared to citywide values.

This study looks at the very broad issues in each community, as well as, detailed data. The specific variable values that are used to compile the rankings are contained in the **Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Technical Report 2008**.

## 2008 Citywide Quality of Life Results

The quality of life experienced by residents in a particular area can vary from person to person. Because of the individuality of the concept, quantifying the meaning and rating local conditions is a complex task. Nonetheless, in order to assess what makes a community a desirable, safe, and socially healthy place to live, it is necessary to reduce the complex idea of quality of life to a manageable set of measurable information. This information can, in turn, be used to assess community characteristics and improve neighborhoods across the city.

For the Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Study, a strong, healthy NSA has few social needs, low crime rates, low levels of physical deterioration, and low levels of economic stress. These are important aspects of any healthy community. However, these




qualities do not occur independently. For example, neighborhoods with high crime rates typically have relatively high rates of social need or physical deterioration. This type of situation illustrates the need to address quality of life from many different perspectives, utilizing the talents and resources of many people and organizations in a cooperative effort with neighborhood residents.

Fundamentally, the distribution of strong residential communities across a jurisdiction is a key building block for a successful city. Acknowledging the interrelated issues of quality of life is the first step in creating a program to routinely assess progress toward healthy neighborhoods and focus efforts on strengthening the residential structure.

Among Charlotte's 173 NSAs, 90 were classified as Stable, 63 were classified as Transitioning, and 20 were classified as Challenged. These findings are displayed in Figure 4. Companion maps, presented as Figures 5 and 6, contain the results with the identifying NSA label numbers. The CWAC geography is shown in Figure 5, while the remainder of the city is covered in Figure 6. A table presenting the research results follows as Table 4.


A review of the 2008 Quality of Life results finds that earlier geographical patterns are persistent. The greatest concentrations of Stable NSAs were concentrated in southeast and southwest Charlotte, in neighborhoods around center city, and in NSAs around the edge of the city. Transitioning neighborhoods were generally clustered in the west Charlotte and the older suburban portions of east and northeast Charlotte. Challenged neighborhoods were concentrated in the west, northeast, and north sections of inner city Charlotte.

**Figure 4. 2008 Citywide Quality of Life Index**






**Figure 5. 2008 CWAC Quality of Life Index**





**Figure 6. 2008 Non-CWAC Quality of Life Index**



**Table 4. 2008 NSA Quality of Life Ratings**

<b>Stable NSAs</b>				<b>Transitioning NSAs</b>		<b>Challenged NSAs</b>
15	61	144	174	1	112	2
16	62	145	175	5	113	3
20	63	146	176	8	114	4
24	64	147	177	11	115	6
30	65	153	178	22	118	7
31	66	155	179	23	119	9
32	67	156	180	25	120	10
33	69	159	181	26	121	12
34	101	160	182	27	123	13
42	103	161	183	28	124	14
44	104	162	184	35	132	17
45	105	163	185	36	133	18
47	106	164	186	37	134	19
49	116	165	187	40	135	21
50	117	166	188	41	137	29
51	122	167	189	46	139	38
52	127	168	190	48	140	39
53	128	169	191	57	141	43
54	129	170	194	59	142	125
55	130	171	195	68	143	126
56	131	172	196	70	148	
58	136	173	197	71	149	
60	138			72	150	
				73	151	
				100	152	
				102	154	
				107	157	
				108	158	
				109	192	
				110	193	
				111	198	

## Citywide Quality of Life Changes: 2006-2008

A comparative review of the 2006 and 2008 citywide Quality of Life findings reveal several short-term findings. First, the number of NSAs in the below average group, Challenged NSAs, declined substantially from 24 to 20. At the other end of the scale, the number of NSAs in the Stable grouping, that is, neighborhoods substantially above average, grew slightly from 89 to 90. The middle group, Transitioning NSAs, increased from 60 to 63. Overall, the shifts between groupings during the past two years exhibited a general tendency for improving neighborhood quality of life. The positive movement of the individual variables pulled upward the citywide average measure for quality of life. In turn, NSAs exhibited stronger individual variable performance, with fewer extremely low scores among the variables.

Geographically, Charlotte's inner city NSAs displayed continuing quality of life improvement during the past two years. While persistently Challenged NSAs are concentrated in Charlotte's CWAC area, a number of inner city Challenged NSAs are moving into the Stable and Transitioning groupings. On the other hand, another shift during the past two years has been a pattern, wherein, older suburban NSAs are from Stable to the Transitioning group. This shift is most evident in east and northeast Charlotte.

One important caveat is that both comparative findings are reflective of only one cycle (two years) in the quality of life analyses. They should be considered as short term observations, rather than trends or long term tendencies. Long-term findings are presented in other sections of this report.

## Four Dimensions of Quality of Life

Measuring the quality of life in a large and diverse community, such as Charlotte, is a complicated and difficult task. The city's neighborhoods are diverse and continually changing. Because individual NSAs have such varied needs, it is necessary to assess their strengths and weaknesses from multiple perspectives. Recognizing that each place is unique, this study looks at each NSA from 20 different perspectives (variables) measuring community level quality of life across a wide array of factors. These perspectives are then aggregated into four broad, but core dimensions of quality of life. These are social, physical, crime, and economic dimensions.

The following four sections of this report, present the NSA level finding for each of these dimensions. **Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Technical Report 2008**, a companion document for this study, contains the NSA level and citywide data for each of the 20 analysis variables.



## Social Dimension

### Overview

The social well being of a neighborhood is dependent upon many interconnected issues. Neighborhoods with a desirable quality of life are economically and socially diverse. They have healthy populations with a mix of older and younger residents. They are served by strong public schools and they have residents that are involved in their community. These neighborhoods provide strong role models for youth and opportunities for young people to be involved in a variety of after-school activities. The social vitality of a neighborhood is an important dimension of a comprehensive assessment of neighborhood quality of life.

### Results

NSAs exhibiting the attributes listed above have low levels of social need. NSAs with some signs of social stress are classified as presenting a medium level of need. Lastly, NSAs experiencing social distress have the highest level of social needs. Individual NSA classifications, as well as the geographical pattern of the results are presented in Figure 7.

Among all NSAs, 81 display low levels of needs, 65 have medium levels of social needs and 27 are classified as having high levels of social needs. In general geographic terms, peripheral suburban NSAs display the lowest levels of social needs. In contrast, the greatest concentrations of NSAs with medium to high levels of social needs are geographically clustered in the north and westside CWAC neighborhoods and extend into the western and northeast areas of Charlotte.


In reviewing and interpreting the social dimension, it is critical to remember that individual NSA classifications reflect unique differences between NSAs, as well as, broader patterns of problems within communities. Consequently, it is important to acknowledge that variables are often related and do not act with complete independence. For example, low scores on competency exams are correlated with high rates of births to adolescents. Thus, related variables exhibiting similar scores signal a need to target specific populations or problem areas in an NSA.

Social need is only one component of neighborhood quality of life. Nevertheless, social distress tends to exacerbate the larger set of issues that affect the quality of life in communities with the greatest needs. In this regard, individual variables included in the quality of life study help to pinpoint specific problems and identify the type of organizations that are best qualified to address those deficiencies. By tracking specific scores over time, progress toward established goals can be assessed and communities strengthened.



Photo courtesy of Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools

**Figure 7. 2008 Social Dimension**



## Crime Dimension

### Overview

Crime rates play an integral role in the overall quality of life in an area. High rates of crime create undesirable and unstable living environments. This report measures various categories of crime in each NSA and compares these rates to a citywide average. By measuring crime rates between small geographic areas and the larger city area, it is easier to assess whether the criminal activity in an NSA is abnormally high, around average, or below the citywide norm.

### Results

The crime dimension findings are displayed in Figure 8. Within the 173 NSAs, 106 present low levels of crime, 46 are classified as having medium levels of crime, and 21 are experiencing high levels of crime. In Charlotte, crime related needs are not geographically focused. With that said, generally higher levels of crime are spatially identifiable in northeastern and western CWAC neighborhoods and in adjoining NSAs. Those NSAs with medium levels of crime exhibit a different pattern. Spatially, they tend to be grouped in the northern portions of the older suburban NSAs in west and east Charlotte. One overall geographical finding is that communities impacted by high rates of criminal activity tend to be clustered together, rather than isolated from each other. Aside from these geographies, most Charlotte NSAs are characterized as having relatively low levels of crime.

In reviewing and interpreting the crime dimension, it is critical to remember that each NSA crime classification reflects unique differences between neighborhoods, as well as, the social and economic context within neighborhoods. Levels of crime are sometimes



Photo courtesy of Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department


related to the physical or social conditions in a neighborhood. For example, NSAs with large numbers of businesses have higher concentrations of property crime, while areas that attract large numbers of youth have a greater problem with juvenile linked crime.

Crime is only one component of neighborhood quality of life. However, as crime rates increase and awareness grows, it takes

increasing precedence in community assessments of neighborhood quality of life. Monitoring and tracking crime levels over time can assess progress toward measurable goals. Moreover, areas that have persistently high levels of crime can be targeted for special efforts.



**Figure 8. 2008 Crime Dimension**





## Physical Dimension

### Overview

The physical characteristics of a community are a visual cue to the level of social and economic distress experienced by neighborhood residents. Deteriorated housing stock or vacant and abandoned businesses indicate a neighborhood in trouble or at risk. Lack of maintenance of neighborhood structures weakens the social fabric of a neighborhood. In contrast, well-maintained public areas and infrastructure, attractive housing, and accessibility to basic retail establishments indicate a low level of stress—a desirable and safe place to live.

### Results

Figure 9 contain the results of the physical dimension analysis. Overall, 86 NSAs are classified as having relatively low levels of physical need, 70 have medium levels of physical need, and 21 are classified as having relatively high levels of physical need. Neighborhoods with low levels of physical need were found throughout Charlotte. However, in general, there is a suburban orientation to these communities. NSAs with




medium levels of physical need are clustered in Northeast and western Charlotte, with a disproportionate number found in the older inner city neighborhoods. Finally, NSAs with the highest levels of physical need are almost exclusively located in the CWAC, especially in the northwest and west quadrants.

Physical Dimension scores represent a composite assessment of the structure and character of the NSA. The condition of buildings, lots, and businesses sets the tone and helps formulate an image for a community. A well-kept community gives a sense of security to those who live in or visit a neighborhood.

The physical integrity of a neighborhood is important in maintaining the quality of life of residents. All citizens benefit from clean, safe environments. Therefore, assessing the overall level of physical stress in a neighborhood is a necessary prerequisite to organizing strategic plans for improving conditions.

**Figure 9. 2008 Physical Dimension**



## Economic Dimension

### Overview

The economic vitality and character of a neighborhood are among the most commonly cited quality of life indicators. Often, household income is used as a primary metric to assess quality of life. When this is done, the evaluation of economic condition can easily become biased toward high wealth neighborhoods. This report looks at a different measure of economic vitality, one which is less biased toward higher income communities. It uses three variables that broadly measure economic status. They are the “Percent Change in Income”, “Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps”, and “Percent Change in House Value”.

### Results


With this framework, NSAs with low economic needs are communities that display strong positive economic trends, higher than citywide norms; whereas, NSAs with the highest economic needs have slow growing or stagnant economic characteristics. The economic dimension findings are displayed in Figure 10.

Among all NSAs, 87 were classified as have low economic needs, 56 were classified as having medium economic needs, and 30 were classified as having the highest economic needs. Geographically, the low need NSAs are concentrated in neighborhoods around center city, in the southeast quadrant, and circle around the suburban edge of Charlotte. Medium level economic need NSAs are clustered around the urban core, especially in the north and west, as well as, in the northeast and northwest Charlotte, including CWAC and non-CWAC geographies. Finally, the NSAs with the highest level of economic need are predominately found in north and northwest CWAC NSAs.

Growing income levels and increases in property values throughout the community sustain the prosperity and quality of life of all residents of Charlotte. Early detection of potential economic instability can help city officials and residents to work together to strengthen neighborhood education and job-training resources. The quality of life indicators will help city officials to take a proactive approach to creating and maintaining economically vital neighborhoods in all parts of the community.



**Figure 10. 2008 Economic Dimension**



## NSA Trend 2002-2008

### **Introduction**

Planners and social scientists have long noted that neighborhoods evolve and change as they move from initial development and occupancy to a mature state. The nature of these adjustments is both social and physical. Neighborhood demographics shift as neighborhood children grow up and move away and new residents move in. Crime rates fluctuate over time as police target neighborhoods to reduce crime. Physical conditions can change when neighborhoods are targeted for revitalization and overall housing conditions improve. Economic conditions can improve as new development brings in households with different income levels.

Although some factors affecting neighborhood quality of life are locally driven, other external forces and trends can play major roles. Both sets of factors need to be considered when assessing and evaluating neighborhood change.

In Charlotte, the city has developed a strategic process and policy framework to monitor neighborhood trends, and, as necessary, take actions to guard the negative effects that can accompany neighborhood maturation and change.

The Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life is a tool that has been designed to measure neighborhood trends. The community elements used in the analysis are structured around quantitative measures that can be directly or indirectly influenced by local government actions or policies. In this way, the study is focused on examining neighborhood change that is affected by deliberate and strategic public intervention, rather than change processes that are beyond public impact.

In addition, this analysis provides another layer of information about how neighborhoods change beyond the classification of Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged. In this way, it is possible to measure improvements or declines with more precision. Shifts between the broad categories, Stable, Transitioning, and Challenged, reflect large scale trends. Smaller scale adjustments are captured in this change analysis

### **Methodology**

As a component of the study, the 2002 and 2008 Charlotte Quality of Life Studies were utilized for analyzing NSA trends. A six-year analysis timeframe was selected in order to allow for adequate trends in the data. A shorter timeframe risks missing slower developing neighborhood change. It may also capture isolated adjustments in data that should be treated as trends.

At the center of the analysis was a comparison between the two time periods for each variable used in the quality of life analysis. Fourteen common variables employed in both periods were examined. Significant changes, either improvements or declines in variable scores, were recorded. Each NSA was subsequently grouped into one of three categories based upon the cumulative variable performances. NSAs experiencing significant improvement in individual variable performance and cumulative positive change in variable scores are labeled Trending Up. Those NSAs showing modest or slight changes in individual and cumulative variable scores, either improving or declining, are labeled




No Change. Finally, the NSAs where individual variables and cumulative variable performance are declining are labeled Trending Down.

### Results

Among the 173 NSAs, 78 were classified as Trending Up, 82 were in a No Change group, and 13 were labeled as Trending Down. These results are presented in Figures 11 and 12.


Geographically, the NSAs with improving quality of life rankings are found throughout the city. This is a positive finding that affirms the citywide scope of efforts to guard against neighborhood deterioration. A majority of the inner city CWAC neighborhoods were in the Trending Up category. Southeast Charlotte also exhibited large concentrations of upward trending NSAs. A similar pattern was also evidenced for the No Change category. These NSAs were located throughout the city. The two quadrants of the city that had the greatest concentration of No Change NSAs were east and west Charlotte. The Trending Down NSAs were spatially concentrated in two areas. The largest number of these NSAs were found in east Charlotte, followed by west Charlotte.

The trend information will be included on the individual NSA profile pages. These are provided in Appendix A and use the following symbols:

	Trending Up
	No Change
	Trending Down


The change for each variable from 2002 to 2008 is included in the report to allow users to track the change of each variable for each NSA in Charlotte. Individual variable trend information is displayed in Appendix C.

**Figure 11. 2008 CWAC Trend**





**Figure 12. 2008 NSA Trend**





# Appendix A

## Neighborhood Profiles



## Neighborhood Profiles

The following section presents the Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life profile for each of the 173 NSAs in this report. The profile is intended to provide a “snapshot” of each community as well as a perspective on how an NSA compares to citywide averages. Each individual profile contains:

- Cumulative quality of life rating;
- NSA Trend from 2002-2008
- Statistical background data on the NSA;
- NSA location map;
- Individual neighborhood data for each of the 20 variables used in the analysis with comparable citywide data for the same variables. In cases where citywide data could not be calculated or were inappropriate, values were not provided;

The detailed statistical data that are used to compile this profile are described in Appendix B of this report. A complete reporting of all of these data by all NSAs is contained in the technical document that accompanies this report.

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Clanton Park / Roseland	A-27
2	Pinecrest	A-115
3	Jackson Homes	A-77
4	Capitol Drive	A-23
5	Wilson Heights	A-174
6	York Road	A-176
7	Reid Park	A-130
8	West Blvd	A-166
9	Ponderosa / Wingate	A-121
10	Boulevard Homes	A-18
11	Westover Hills	A-170
12	Westerly Hills	A-169
13	Ashley Park	A-8
14	Brookhill	A-21
15	Wilmore	A-173
16	Revolution Park	A-131
17	Todd Park	A-154
18	Enderly Park	A-51
19	Thomasboro / Hoskins	A-153
20	Wesley Heights	A-164
21	Lakewood	A-79
22	Oakview Terrace	A-107
23	Washington Heights	A-162
24	Seversville	A-138
25	Smallwood	A-143
26	Biddleville	A-17
27	McCrorey Heights	A-87
28	Oaklawn	A-106
29	Lincoln Heights	A-81
30	Third Ward	A-152
31	Greenville	A-60
32	Fourth Ward	A-55
33	Genesis Park	A-58
34	Double Oaks	A-41
35	Lockwood	A-82
36	Tryon Hills	A-157
37	Druid Hills South	A-43
38	Druid Hills North	A-42
39	J.T. Williams	A-76
40	Sugaw Creek / Ritch Ave	A-150
41	Derita / Statesville	A-38
42	Rockwell Park / Hemphill Heights	A-133
43	University Park	A-160
44	North Charlotte	A-99
45	Optimist Park	A-111
46	Villa Heights	A-161
47	Plaza Hills	A-117
48	Plaza-Shamrock	A-119
49	Country Club Heights	A-36
50	Plaza Midwood	A-118
51	Belmont	A-15

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
52	Commonwealth	A-32
53	Chantilly	A-25
54	Elizabeth	A-50
55	Briarcreek-Woodland	A-20
56	Coliseum Drive	A-28
57	Echo Hills	A-49
58	Oakhurst	A-105
59	Grier Heights	A-61
60	Wendover / Sedgewood	A-163
61	Cotswold	A-33
62	Eastover	A-47
63	Myers Park	A-95
64	Cherry	A-26
65	Freedom Park	A-57
66	Dilworth	A-39
67	First Ward	A-54
68	Sedgefield	A-136
69	Ashbrook / Clawson Village	A-7
70	Collingwood	A-30
71	Colonial Village	A-31
72	Southside Park	A-144
73	Arbor Glen	A-6
100	Eagle Lake	A-44
101	Olde Whitehall	A-110
102	Griers Fork	A-62
103	Brown Road	A-22
104	Yorkshire	A-178
105	Pleasant Hill Road	A-120
106	Steele Creek	A-147
107	Dixie / Berryhill	A-40
108	Harbor House	A-64
109	Moore's Chapel	A-92
110	Wildwood	A-172
111	Pawtucket	A-114
112	Toddville Road	A-155
113	Westchester	A-168
114	Coulwood East	A-34
115	Coulwood West	A-35
116	Harwood Lane	A-66
117	Mountain Island	A-93
118	Oakdale North	A-103
119	Oakdale South	A-104
120	Firestone / Garden Park	A-53
121	Sunset Road	A-151
122	North Lake	A-100
123	Beatties Ford / Trinity	A-13
124	Slater Rd / Hamilton Circle	A-142
125	Nevin Community	A-96
126	Henderson Circle	A-68
127	Davis Lake / Eastfield	A-37
128	West Sugar Creek / W T Harris Blvd	A-167

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
129	Prosperity Church Road	A-122
130	Highland Creek	A-72
131	Mallard Creek / Withrow Downs	A-84
132	University City North	A-158
133	Mineral Springs / Rumble Road	A-88
134	University City South	A-159
135	Harris-Houston	A-65
136	Back Creek Church Road	A-9
137	Newell	A-97
138	College Downs	A-29
139	Newell South	A-98
140	Hidden Valley	A-71
141	Hampshire Hills	A-63
142	Shannon Park	A-139
143	Oak Forest	A-102
144	Hickory Grove	A-69
145	Robinson Church Road	A-132
146	Bradfield Farms	A-19
147	Hickory Ridge	A-70
148	Farm Pond	A-52
149	Eastland / Wilora Lake	A-46
150	Windsor Park	A-175
151	Eastway / Sheffield Park	A-48
152	North Sharon Amity / Reddman Road	A-101
153	Idlewild Farms	A-74
154	Becton Park	A-14
155	Marlwood	A-85
156	Marshbrooke	A-86
157	Idlewild South	A-75
158	East Forest	A-45
159	Sherwood Forest	A-141
160	Stonehaven	A-149
161	Sardis Woods	A-135
162	Sardis Forest	A-134
163	Lansdowne	A-80
164	Providence Park	A-125
165	Foxcroft	A-56
166	Governor's Square	A-59
167	Olde Providence North	A-108
168	Oxford Hunt	A-112
169	Hembstead	A-67
170	Providence Plantation	A-126
171	Providence Estates East	A-124
172	Providence Crossing	A-123
173	Provincetowne	A-127
174	Piper Glen Estates	A-116
175	Rain Tree	A-129
176	Wessex Square	A-165
177	Olde Providence South	A-109
178	Montibello	A-91
179	Mountainbrook	A-94

<b>NSA</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
180	Sharon Woods	A-140
181	Barclay Downs	A-12
182	Beverly Woods	A-16
183	Carmel	A-24
184	Touchstone Village / Elm Lane	A-156
185	Whiteoak	A-171
186	Ballantyne East	A-10
187	Ballantyne West	A-11
188	Johnston Rd. / McAlpine	A-78
189	Hwy 51 / Park Road	A-73
190	Seven Eagles	A-137
191	Park Crossing	A-113
192	Sterling	A-148
193	Starmount Forest	A-146
194	Quail Hollow	A-128
195	Starmount	A-145
196	Montclair / Madison Park	A-89
197	Madison Park	A-83
198	Montclair South	A-90
199	Yorkmount	A-177

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3


# 73

# Arbor Glen

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	833	686,291
Youth Population	279	174,650
Number of Housing Units	355	295,624
Area (Acres)	82	183,674
Median Household Income	\$19,904	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$55,195	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	61.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.17	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	4.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$8,256,325	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	28.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-4.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 69 Ashbrook / Clawson Village


Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,889	686,291
Youth Population	361	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,850	295,624
Area (Acres)	461	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,382	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$209,429	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	15.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	67.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.04	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	56.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$8,624,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	48.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	11.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	4

# 13

# Ashley Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Challenged

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	4,015	686,291
Youth Population	1,221	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,632	295,624
Area (Acres)	976	183,674
Median Household Income	\$28,395	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$66,561	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	48.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	24.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	5.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.37	0.14
Housing Code Index	17.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	34.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	27.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	17.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	9
Decreasing Variables	3

# 136 Back Creek Church Road



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	11,068	686,291
Youth Population	3,235	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,200	295,624
Area (Acres)	5,993	183,674
Median Household Income	\$77,703	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$180,717	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	10	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	53.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	84.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,372,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	2.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 186 Ballantyne East

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	8,161	686,291
Youth Population	2,490	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,511	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,789	183,674
Median Household Income	\$105,746	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$394,971	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	83.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	56.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$392,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	12.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	40.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	1

# 187

# Ballantyne West

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	10,537	686,291
Youth Population	2,900	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,753	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,524	183,674
Median Household Income	\$85,122	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$347,654	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	3.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	82.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	66.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$392,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	10.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	18.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 181 Barclay Downs

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,249	686,291
Youth Population	789	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,466	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,097	183,674
Median Household Income	\$73,964	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$404,688	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	15.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	85.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	55.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,900,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	94.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	26.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	13.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 123 Beatties Ford / Trinity


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,642	686,291
Youth Population	751	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,231	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,413	183,674
Median Household Income	\$54,279	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$110,927	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	47.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	18.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.20	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	65.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,891,471	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	85.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	7.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	7


# 154

# Becton Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,749	686,291
Youth Population	1,128	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,140	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,227	183,674
Median Household Income	\$50,804	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$104,963	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	49.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	69.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,880,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	56.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	10.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	9
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	0

# 51

# Belmont


## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,487	686,291
Youth Population	943	174,650
Number of Housing Units	807	295,624
Area (Acres)	389	183,674
Median Household Income	\$26,215	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$97,308	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	49.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	3.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.50	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	35.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	21.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	3

# 182

# Beverly Woods

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	5,408	686,291
Youth Population	1,003	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,689	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,257	183,674
Median Household Income	\$82,365	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$377,975	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	26.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	74.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	72.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,704,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	61.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.7%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	4

# 26

# Biddleville



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,414	686,291
Youth Population	337	174,650
Number of Housing Units	559	295,624
Area (Acres)	276	183,674
Median Household Income	\$22,182	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$80,755	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	24.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	28.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.45	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	32.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,508,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	93.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	14.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008




Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 10 Boulevard Homes

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,066	686,291
Youth Population	556	174,650
Number of Housing Units	378	295,624
Area (Acres)	45	183,674
Median Household Income	\$14,034	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$63,205	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	14.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	25.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	25.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	5.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	1.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.31	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	0.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$29,596,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	75.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 146

# Bradfield Farms



## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,309	686,291
Youth Population	2,354	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,637	295,624
Area (Acres)	5,162	183,674
Median Household Income	\$67,925	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$147,841	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	58.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	85.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,176,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.9%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008




Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 55 Briarcreek-Woodland

Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,740	686,291
Youth Population	1,412	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,107	295,624
Area (Acres)	510	183,674
Median Household Income	\$40,791	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$156,089	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	24.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	43.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	21.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	5

# 14

# Brookhill

## Quality of Life Index

## Challenged

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	777	686,291
Youth Population	252	174,650
Number of Housing Units	416	295,624
Area (Acres)	153	183,674
Median Household Income	\$19,894	\$47,670
Average House Value	N/A	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	23.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	27.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	4.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.94	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	0.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	36.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	24.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 103

# Brown Road

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	4,515	686,291
Youth Population	1,235	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,179	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,030	183,674
Median Household Income	\$59,371	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$157,112	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	67.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	72.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,939,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	9.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 4

# Capitol Drive

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	987	686,291
Youth Population	460	174,650
Number of Housing Units	337	295,624
Area (Acres)	329	183,674
Median Household Income	\$27,688	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$70,849	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	19.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	16.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.3	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.28	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	11.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	51.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	2


# 183

# Carmel

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,677	686,291
Youth Population	1,184	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,594	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,690	183,674
Median Household Income	\$71,572	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$310,825	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	75.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	61.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,528,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	68.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	30.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 53

# Chantilly

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	1,313	686,291
Youth Population	141	174,650
Number of Housing Units	876	295,624
Area (Acres)	234	183,674
Median Household Income	\$45,431	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$214,988	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	1.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	83.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.15	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	57.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$607,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	75.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	24.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	16.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	9
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	2

# 64

# Cherry


## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	930	686,291
Youth Population	174	174,650
Number of Housing Units	552	295,624
Area (Acres)	174	183,674
Median Household Income	\$36,984	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$279,920	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	12.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	33.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	20.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.35	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	47.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	34.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	12.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	25.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	9



# 1

# Clanton Park / Roseland

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,125	686,291
Youth Population	783	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,355	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,166	183,674
Median Household Income	\$31,176	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$66,267	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	30.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	19.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	47.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	24.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-4.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	1

# 56

# Coliseum Drive


**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	713	686,291
Youth Population	200	174,650
Number of Housing Units	396	295,624
Area (Acres)	174	183,674
Median Household Income	\$26,295	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$128,025	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	3.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	11.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	28.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.67	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	9.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	71.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	9
Decreasing Variables	2

# 138 College Downs

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	5,779	686,291
Youth Population	863	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,926	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,025	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,828	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$102,230	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	48.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.09	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	33.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	31.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	23.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5

# 70

# Collingwood

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,181	686,291
Youth Population	587	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,797	295,624
Area (Acres)	462	183,674
Median Household Income	\$36,379	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$161,966	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	11.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	19.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	27.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,252,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	6.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	10.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 71

# Colonial Village



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,172	686,291
Youth Population	250	174,650
Number of Housing Units	474	295,624
Area (Acres)	181	183,674
Median Household Income	\$54,667	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$188,769	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	44.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	59.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,724,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	43.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.5%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	2

# 52

# Commonwealth

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	1,176	686,291
Youth Population	270	174,650
Number of Housing Units	679	295,624
Area (Acres)	240	183,674
Median Household Income	\$37,803	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$232,824	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	47.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	3.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.19	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	26.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	48.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	16.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	4

# 61

# Cotswold

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,949	686,291
Youth Population	819	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,226	295,624
Area (Acres)	850	183,674
Median Household Income	\$44,587	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$254,030	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	16.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	61.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.11	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	52.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,352,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	56.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	43.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	13.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	9

# 114

# Coulwood East

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	981	686,291
Youth Population	288	174,650
Number of Housing Units	419	295,624
Area (Acres)	462	183,674
Median Household Income	\$46,765	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$106,925	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	51.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.09	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	64.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,920,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	1.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	23.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-3.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 115

# Coulwood West

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	8,954	686,291
Youth Population	2,586	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,555	295,624
Area (Acres)	3,607	183,674
Median Household Income	\$64,219	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$134,827	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	54.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,764,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	3.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	2

# 49 Country Club Heights



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,452	686,291
Youth Population	1,080	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,884	295,624
Area (Acres)	714	183,674
Median Household Income	\$50,334	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$153,841	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.21	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,372,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	16.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	6

# 127 Davis Lake / Eastfield


Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	9,780	686,291
Youth Population	3,219	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,560	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,607	183,674
Median Household Income	\$93,669	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$165,992	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	3.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	51.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	83.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,176,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	2.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 41 Derita / Statesville


**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,802	686,291
Youth Population	1,314	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,961	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,372	183,674
Median Household Income	\$41,578	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$92,742	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	37.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.21	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	69.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,175,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	55.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	2.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4

# 66

# Dilworth

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	7,296	686,291
Youth Population	954	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,591	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,197	183,674
Median Household Income	\$60,310	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$473,803	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	71.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A



### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	46.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	96.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	44.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	16.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	5

# 107

# Dixie / Berryhill

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,627	686,291
Youth Population	939	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,103	295,624
Area (Acres)	8,703	183,674
Median Household Income	\$58,714	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$213,694	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	46.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	74.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,900,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	4

# 34

# Double Oaks



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,880	686,291
Youth Population	961	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,214	295,624
Area (Acres)	215	183,674
Median Household Income	\$26,779	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$85,131	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	38.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.56	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	7.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	19.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-3.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008




Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	5

# 38 Druid Hills North

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	753	686,291
Youth Population	253	174,650
Number of Housing Units	277	295,624
Area (Acres)	719	183,674
Median Household Income	\$17,672	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$55,638	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	21.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	25.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.18	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.8%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	28.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$215,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	95.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	21.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 37 Druid Hills South


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,328	686,291
Youth Population	381	174,650
Number of Housing Units	553	295,624
Area (Acres)	252	183,674
Median Household Income	\$23,537	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$53,943	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	14.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	27.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	14.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.27	0.14
Housing Code Index	5.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	32.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$803,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	2.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	20.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-6.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	4



# 100

# Eagle Lake

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,930	686,291
Youth Population	653	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,378	295,624
Area (Acres)	4,814	183,674
Median Household Income	\$43,459	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$132,512	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.04	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	29.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,176,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	28.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

**158**

**East Forest**

**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

**Profile**



**NSA**

**City**

Population	11,196	686,291
Youth Population	2,559	174,650
Number of Housing Units	5,795	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,369	183,674
Median Household Income	\$39,419	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$123,568	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	40.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	4.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	23.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	92.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	36.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	4

# 149 Eastland / Wilora Lake

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	5,699	686,291
Youth Population	1,384	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,479	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,010	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,711	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$110,604	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	30.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	3.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.24	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	38.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$98,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	76.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5


# 62

# Eastover

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,349	686,291
Youth Population	657	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,063	295,624
Area (Acres)	483	183,674
Median Household Income	\$173,242	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$1,009,476	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	18.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	86.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	82.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,568,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	83.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	34.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	7

# 151 Eastway / Sheffield Park



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,277	686,291
Youth Population	1,325	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,647	295,624
Area (Acres)	911	183,674
Median Household Income	\$40,300	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$120,176	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	9	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	37.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.2	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.56	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	27.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,176,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	71.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	50.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	17.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

**57**

**Echo Hills**



**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	624	686,291
Youth Population	122	174,650
Number of Housing Units	351	295,624
Area (Acres)	276	183,674
Median Household Income	\$43,024	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$135,082	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	26.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	30.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	3.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.66	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	40.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,391,200	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	89.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	79.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	22.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	1

# 54

# Elizabeth

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,722	686,291
Youth Population	523	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,179	295,624
Area (Acres)	757	183,674
Median Household Income	\$55,844	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$329,749	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	81.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	47.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$392,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	9.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 18

# Enderly Park

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	3,878	686,291
Youth Population	1,187	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,541	295,624
Area (Acres)	681	183,674
Median Household Income	\$28,030	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$65,967	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	37.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	29.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	4.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.5	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.68	0.14
Housing Code Index	4.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	34.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$11,564,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	90.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	20.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	27.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.2%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 148

# Farm Pond



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,504	686,291
Youth Population	1,439	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,677	295,624
Area (Acres)	786	183,674
Median Household Income	\$36,064	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$97,343	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	46.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	7.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.2	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.17	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.8%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	30.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	77.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	48.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	18.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.7%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	7

# 120 Firestone / Garden Park


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,222	686,291
Youth Population	1,347	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,266	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,793	183,674
Median Household Income	\$42,454	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$93,204	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.2%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	40.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	67.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,488,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	70.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	16.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

# 67

# First Ward

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	2,172	686,291
Youth Population	551	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,187	295,624
Area (Acres)	283	183,674
Median Household Income	\$32,776	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$314,023	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.2%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	43.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.4	N/A



### Physical

Appearance Index	0.03	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	32.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	47.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	19.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	4.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	17.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 32

# Fourth Ward

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	3,006	686,291
Youth Population	87	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,532	295,624
Area (Acres)	158	183,674
Median Household Income	\$47,357	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$316,706	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	17.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	14.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	75.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.8	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	36.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	73.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.2%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 165

# Foxcroft

## Quality of Life Index


## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	5,133	686,291
Youth Population	1,018	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,628	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,351	183,674
Median Household Income	\$89,960	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$799,176	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	88.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.04	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	45.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,116,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	65.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	42.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	1

# 65

# Freedom Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,034	686,291
Youth Population	378	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,207	295,624
Area (Acres)	517	183,674
Median Household Income	\$81,708	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$420,137	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	70.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	62.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,292,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	30.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	15.8%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	3

# 33

# Genesis Park

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile

### NSA

### City

Population	383	686,291
Youth Population	133	174,650
Number of Housing Units	149	295,624
Area (Acres)	35	183,674
Median Household Income	\$35,909	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$47,585	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	61.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.53	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	63.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	20.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-6.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	7

# 166 Governor's Square

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	1,376	686,291
Youth Population	241	174,650
Number of Housing Units	755	295,624
Area (Acres)	312	183,674
Median Household Income	\$62,188	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$297,023	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	87.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	56.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,704,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	41.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	7

# 31

# Greenville

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	892	686,291
Youth Population	318	174,650
Number of Housing Units	268	295,624
Area (Acres)	232	183,674
Median Household Income	\$30,333	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$77,992	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	37.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.62	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	70.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	27.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 59

# Grier Heights


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,955	686,291
Youth Population	1,071	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,181	295,624
Area (Acres)	464	183,674
Median Household Income	\$23,136	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$65,862	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	34.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.47	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.8%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	12.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,920,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	52.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	46.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 102

# Griers Fork



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,442	686,291
Youth Population	1,209	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,661	295,624
Area (Acres)	987	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,132	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$124,744	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	66.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	72.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,528,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	8.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	7.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	2
Decreasing Variables	9

# 141

# Hampshire Hills


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,055	686,291
Youth Population	1,490	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,504	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,663	183,674
Median Household Income	\$40,389	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$85,318	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.33	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	55.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,920,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	89.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	16.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	18.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5

**108**

**Harbor House**

**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

**Profile**


**NSA**

**City**

Population	697	686,291
Youth Population	137	174,650
Number of Housing Units	320	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,392	183,674
Median Household Income	\$40,064	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$118,665	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	16.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	1.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	53.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	7.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	74.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	12.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008





Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	8

# 135 Harris-Houston

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,610	686,291
Youth Population	1,847	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,751	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,621	183,674
Median Household Income	\$50,776	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$120,998	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	2.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.22	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	44.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$980,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	38.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	26.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	2

# 116

# Harwood Lane

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	5,714	686,291
Youth Population	1,393	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,396	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,989	183,674
Median Household Income	\$64,390	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$175,908	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	56.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,822,800	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	5.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	4.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.4%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 169

# Hembstead

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	1,487	686,291
Youth Population	450	174,650
Number of Housing Units	526	295,624
Area (Acres)	512	183,674
Median Household Income	\$109,194	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$554,319	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	94.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	94.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,292,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	6.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.6%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	5

# 126 Henderson Circle

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	644	686,291
Youth Population	174	174,650
Number of Housing Units	310	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,387	183,674
Median Household Income	\$58,047	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$74,700	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	15.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	26.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	4.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	4.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	7.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	7.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	66.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,568,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	3.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	37.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-6.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	8

# 144

# Hickory Grove

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,373	686,291
Youth Population	1,057	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,856	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,297	183,674
Median Household Income	\$58,139	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$122,556	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	45.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.08	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,704,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	28.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	14.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 147

# Hickory Ridge

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	7,130	686,291
Youth Population	1,986	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,134	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,515	183,674
Median Household Income	\$44,916	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$103,048	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	48.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.18	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	65.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$980,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	68.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	18.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.4%	4.7%





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	5

# 140

# Hidden Valley

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	12,127	686,291
Youth Population	3,272	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,572	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,516	183,674
Median Household Income	\$34,746	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$70,867	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	13.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	40.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.2	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.27	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	34.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,088,201	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	95.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	19.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-3.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	6

# 130

# Highland Creek

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	12,698	686,291
Youth Population	4,301	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,734	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,939	183,674
Median Household Income	\$107,515	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$206,511	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	8	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	60.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	88.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$196,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	3.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

# 189 Hwy 51 / Park Road

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	2,472	686,291
Youth Population	637	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,066	295,624
Area (Acres)	706	183,674
Median Household Income	\$60,936	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$124,059	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	52.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.18	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,840,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	90.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	8

# 153 Idlewild Farms

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	4,532	686,291
Youth Population	1,239	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,925	295,624
Area (Acres)	650	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,629	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$116,738	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	45.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.2	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	33.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	78.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	62.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.6%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	0
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	10

# 157 Idlewild South



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,793	686,291
Youth Population	1,643	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,338	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,306	183,674
Median Household Income	\$44,946	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$102,569	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.2%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.1	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.17	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	58.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,116,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	61.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	7.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 39

# J.T. Williams


## Quality of Life Index

## Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	527	686,291
Youth Population	177	174,650
Number of Housing Units	206	295,624
Area (Acres)	392	183,674
Median Household Income	\$17,672	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$64,406	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	42.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	33.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	50.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	5.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	8.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.61	0.14
Housing Code Index	30.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	7.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,372,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	33.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-3.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	2


# 3

# Jackson Homes

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	699	686,291
Youth Population	133	174,650
Number of Housing Units	212	295,624
Area (Acres)	129	183,674
Median Household Income	\$33,947	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$86,850	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	1.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	22.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.3	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.57	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.8%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	11.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$15,680,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 188 Johnston Rd. / McAlpine

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	5,812	686,291
Youth Population	1,262	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,866	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,199	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,677	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$127,624	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.2%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	67.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	61.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,840,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	73.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	5.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	5

# 21

# Lakewood


## Quality of Life Index

## Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	709	686,291
Youth Population	214	174,650
Number of Housing Units	281	295,624
Area (Acres)	230	183,674
Median Household Income	\$23,235	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$52,680	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	14.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.41	0.14
Housing Code Index	4.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	43.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$8,624,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	26.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	6

# 163

# Lansdowne

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,717	686,291
Youth Population	1,081	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,247	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,156	183,674
Median Household Income	\$66,499	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$318,382	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	55.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	46.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,508,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	68.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	7.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 29

# Lincoln Heights

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	2,634	686,291
Youth Population	592	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,120	295,624
Area (Acres)	425	183,674
Median Household Income	\$31,659	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$67,725	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	17.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	25.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	20.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.58	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	37.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,265,581	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	24.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	20.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.6%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	1

# 35

# Lockwood

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	921	686,291
Youth Population	203	174,650
Number of Housing Units	214	295,624
Area (Acres)	539	183,674
Median Household Income	\$22,321	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$75,262	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	23.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	5.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.24	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	37.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	11.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	81.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 197

# Madison Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	4,721	686,291
Youth Population	886	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,503	295,624
Area (Acres)	996	183,674
Median Household Income	\$45,576	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$188,542	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	59.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	57.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,704,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	76.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	36.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	13.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4



# 131

## Mallard Creek / Withrow Downs

### Quality of Life Index

### Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,165	686,291
Youth Population	2,165	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,916	295,624
Area (Acres)	3,889	183,674
Median Household Income	\$88,510	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$229,898	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	55.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	75.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,078,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	17.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	13.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	7

# 155

# Marlwood

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	5,264	686,291
Youth Population	1,485	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,939	295,624
Area (Acres)	777	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,996	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$109,059	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	58.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.20	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	75.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,056,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	56.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	5.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	9
Decreasing Variables	3

# 156

# Marshbrooke

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	7,237	686,291
Youth Population	2,086	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,145	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,154	183,674
Median Household Income	\$62,558	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$122,579	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	64.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	62.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	28.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.7%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4

# 27 McCrorey Heights



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	389	686,291
Youth Population	95	174,650
Number of Housing Units	170	295,624
Area (Acres)	155	183,674
Median Household Income	\$30,069	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$101,170	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	19.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	33.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.52	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	69.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$12,838,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	14.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

# 133 Mineral Springs / Rumble Road



**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,938	686,291
Youth Population	1,653	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,311	295,624
Area (Acres)	3,162	183,674
Median Household Income	\$57,205	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$126,555	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	45.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	4.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.39	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	45.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,940,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	53.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	23.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 196 Montclair / Madison Park



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,140	686,291
Youth Population	844	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,209	295,624
Area (Acres)	956	183,674
Median Household Income	\$44,440	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$211,808	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	16.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	48.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.08	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	46.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,312,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	67.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	33.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008





Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	8

# 198 Montclair South

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	10,858	686,291
Youth Population	2,864	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,333	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,390	183,674
Median Household Income	\$37,258	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$83,758	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	38.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.1	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	28.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$411,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	52.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	27.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.4%	4.7%

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.1	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	28.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$411,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	52.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	27.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3


# 178

# Montibello

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,335	686,291
Youth Population	1,179	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,587	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,569	183,674
Median Household Income	\$195,132	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$622,777	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	88.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	93.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,724,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	31.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	2

# 109

# Moores Chapel

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	1,377	686,291
Youth Population	346	174,650
Number of Housing Units	597	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,706	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,784	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$133,047	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	15.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	51.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	8.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	83.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$255,241	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

**117**

**Mountain Island**

**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

**Profile**


**NSA**

**City**

Population	5,660	686,291
Youth Population	1,573	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,292	295,624
Area (Acres)	5,085	183,674
Median Household Income	\$72,628	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$247,280	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	9	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	62.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	69.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$784,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	11.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	12.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 179

# Mountainbrook

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	2,215	686,291
Youth Population	566	174,650
Number of Housing Units	960	295,624
Area (Acres)	664	183,674
Median Household Income	\$109,192	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$490,553	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	77.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	68.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,292,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	60.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	32.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	10.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	2

# 63

# Myers Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	9,809	686,291
Youth Population	2,249	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,643	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,210	183,674
Median Household Income	\$109,772	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$778,762	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	15.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	88.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$980,980	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	94.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	14.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.8%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4

# 125 Nevin Community


Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,260	686,291
Youth Population	814	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,451	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,494	183,674
Median Household Income	\$36,214	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$102,945	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	7.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	67.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	16.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	6

# 137

# Newell

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	5,653	686,291
Youth Population	1,639	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,393	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,439	183,674
Median Household Income	\$55,746	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$132,753	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	3.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	43.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	11.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	6.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	2
Decreasing Variables	9



# 139

# Newell South

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,240	686,291
Youth Population	642	174,650
Number of Housing Units	912	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,344	183,674
Median Household Income	\$47,743	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$140,536	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	54.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	83.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,332,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	18.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	14.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	3

# 44

# North Charlotte



## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,443	686,291
Youth Population	957	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,579	295,624
Area (Acres)	576	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,231	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$201,820	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	17.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	48.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	20.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	27.8%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	6

# 122

# North Lake

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	6,826	686,291
Youth Population	2,167	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,760	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,915	183,674
Median Household Income	\$63,959	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$143,976	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	64.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.21	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$784,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	8.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	7

# 152 North Sharon Amity / Reddman Road


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,142	686,291
Youth Population	1,733	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,344	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,036	183,674
Median Household Income	\$41,774	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$102,031	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	3.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,116,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	75.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	44.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	7

# 143

# Oak Forest



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,897	686,291
Youth Population	2,163	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,705	295,624
Area (Acres)	894	183,674
Median Household Income	\$36,283	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$84,314	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	31.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.27	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	28.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,096,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	93.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	42.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	20.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5

# 118

# Oakdale North



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,682	686,291
Youth Population	563	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,179	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,243	183,674
Median Household Income	\$47,059	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$131,114	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	18.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	73.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,548,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	5

# 119

# Oakdale South

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,583	686,291
Youth Population	875	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,593	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,786	183,674
Median Household Income	\$49,569	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$128,409	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	15.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	52.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	79.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,096,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	4.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	4.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.8%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 58

# Oakhurst

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	2,593	686,291
Youth Population	518	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,514	295,624
Area (Acres)	556	183,674
Median Household Income	\$43,595	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$126,381	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	26.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.21	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	45.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,351,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	76.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	50.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4

# 28

# Oaklawn

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	977	686,291
Youth Population	238	174,650
Number of Housing Units	440	295,624
Area (Acres)	216	183,674
Median Household Income	\$30,069	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$52,082	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	20.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.63	0.14
Housing Code Index	3.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	42.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	24.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-4.9%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	9
Decreasing Variables	3

# 22

# Oakview Terrace

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	1,092	686,291
Youth Population	444	174,650
Number of Housing Units	405	295,624
Area (Acres)	285	183,674
Median Household Income	\$22,870	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$56,858	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	48.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	4.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	23.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	29.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-3.8%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 167 Olde Providence North

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	3,281	686,291
Youth Population	698	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,627	295,624
Area (Acres)	960	183,674
Median Household Income	\$67,140	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$547,872	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	11	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	25.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	83.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	53.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	75.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	8.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.9%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	1

# 177 Olde Providence South


**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,889	686,291
Youth Population	1,257	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,078	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,540	183,674
Median Household Income	\$102,901	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$444,988	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	91.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	75.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,920,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	46.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	10.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	6

# 101

# Olde Whitehall

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	5,355	686,291
Youth Population	1,467	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,249	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,572	183,674
Median Household Income	\$63,273	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$142,196	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	63.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	54.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,176,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	27.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	26.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	10
No Change Variables	2
Decreasing Variables	2

# 45

# Optimist Park


## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	733	686,291
Youth Population	222	174,650
Number of Housing Units	245	295,624
Area (Acres)	254	183,674
Median Household Income	\$24,597	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$109,614	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	30.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.22	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	39.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,047,226	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	17.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 168

# Oxford Hunt

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	4,139	686,291
Youth Population	1,188	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,997	295,624
Area (Acres)	762	183,674
Median Household Income	\$72,027	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$337,851	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	3.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	89.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	45.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,116,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	29.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	2.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.7%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 191 Park Crossing



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,482	686,291
Youth Population	681	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,852	295,624
Area (Acres)	826	183,674
Median Household Income	\$52,515	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$242,709	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	85.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,724,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	62.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	19.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	5

# 111

# Pawtucket

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,035	686,291
Youth Population	1,026	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,479	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,636	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,942	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$102,552	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	3.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.29	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	83.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,312,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	7.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	6.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	6

## 2

## Pinecrest

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile

### NSA

### City

Population	333	686,291
Youth Population	111	174,650
Number of Housing Units	134	295,624
Area (Acres)	57	183,674
Median Household Income	\$19,904	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$70,127	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	44.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	25.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	1.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.53	0.14
Housing Code Index	4.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	51.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$16,856,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	25.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	2

# 174 Piper Glen Estates


Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,954	686,291
Youth Population	1,933	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,276	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,390	183,674
Median Household Income	\$104,771	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$346,537	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	86.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.04	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	82.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	21.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	2

# 47

# Plaza Hills

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	606	686,291
Youth Population	145	174,650
Number of Housing Units	302	295,624
Area (Acres)	94	183,674
Median Household Income	\$44,900	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$172,781	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	80.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.23	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	57.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$19,992,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	96.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	38.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	19.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	9
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	2

# 50

# Plaza Midwood

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	3,444	686,291
Youth Population	704	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,840	295,624
Area (Acres)	716	183,674
Median Household Income	\$56,476	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$330,640	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	60.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	64.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,273,262	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	92.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	25.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	2.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	16.2%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

# 48

# Plaza-Shamrock

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	3,513	686,291
Youth Population	822	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,582	295,624
Area (Acres)	553	183,674
Median Household Income	\$32,806	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$93,462	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	31.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	15.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	3.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	3.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.30	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	39.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,715,147	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	54.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	23.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	9.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	2

# 105 Pleasant Hill Road

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	3,120	686,291
Youth Population	689	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,315	295,624
Area (Acres)	6,233	183,674
Median Household Income	\$70,653	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$392,259	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	85.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	75.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,684,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	8.6%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	4

## 9 Ponderosa / Wingate


Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,265	686,291
Youth Population	393	174,650
Number of Housing Units	503	295,624
Area (Acres)	369	183,674
Median Household Income	\$27,108	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$48,982	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	18.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	29.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	21.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.1	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.46	0.14
Housing Code Index	4.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	37.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$7,691,397	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	98.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	33.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	2
Decreasing Variables	8

# 129 Prosperity Church Road

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	16,683	686,291
Youth Population	4,589	174,650
Number of Housing Units	7,500	295,624
Area (Acres)	3,829	183,674
Median Household Income	\$70,801	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$183,693	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	22	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	55.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.04	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	54.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$828,093	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	23.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	31.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008





Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	2

# 172 Providence Crossing

Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,997	686,291
Youth Population	2,515	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,207	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,292	183,674
Median Household Income	\$147,211	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$452,239	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	87.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	76.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,764,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.6%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	9
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	2

# 171 Providence Estates East

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	7,104	686,291
Youth Population	2,224	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,615	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,721	183,674
Median Household Income	\$99,314	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$279,502	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	87.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	86.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,078,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	4.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.7%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	5

# 164 Providence Park

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	2,122	686,291
Youth Population	434	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,211	295,624
Area (Acres)	444	183,674
Median Household Income	\$72,282	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$436,705	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	23.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	64.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	31.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,920,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	66.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	2

# 170 Providence Plantation


Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	7,675	686,291
Youth Population	2,570	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,567	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,547	183,674
Median Household Income	\$123,288	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$425,203	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	10	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	93.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	86.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	7.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	8.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 173 Provincetowne

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	16,224	686,291
Youth Population	5,219	174,650
Number of Housing Units	6,102	295,624
Area (Acres)	3,013	183,674
Median Household Income	\$89,703	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$246,760	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	14	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	89.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	86.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,684,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	13.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.6%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 194

# Quail Hollow



## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,583	686,291
Youth Population	708	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,753	295,624
Area (Acres)	843	183,674
Median Household Income	\$68,465	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$224,307	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	17.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	84.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,488,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	56.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	15.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	2

# 175

# Rain Tree



## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,172	686,291
Youth Population	580	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,553	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,359	183,674
Median Household Income	\$90,831	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$334,458	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	92.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.03	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	59.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$980,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	44.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	42.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	4.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	10
Decreasing Variables	3


# 7

## Reid Park

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	932	686,291
Youth Population	251	174,650
Number of Housing Units	399	295,624
Area (Acres)	475	183,674
Median Household Income	\$26,836	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$62,604	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	11.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.5%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	3.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.40	0.14
Housing Code Index	3.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$209,224	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	31.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.8%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	6

# 16

# Revolution Park

**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	978	686,291
Youth Population	274	174,650
Number of Housing Units	416	295,624
Area (Acres)	152	183,674
Median Household Income	\$25,064	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$57,773	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	12.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	23.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	2.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.18	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	37.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	62.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	28.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	6

# 145 Robinson Church Road

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	7,245	686,291
Youth Population	2,229	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,865	295,624
Area (Acres)	4,280	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,338	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$137,501	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	53.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	84.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$392,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.2%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	5

**42**

**Rockwell Park /  
Hemphill Heights**

**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**

Population	3,349	686,291
Youth Population	882	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,640	295,624
Area (Acres)	973	183,674
Median Household Income	\$54,352	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$120,102	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	42.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	0.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	80.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,323,764	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	36.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	16.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.6%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	8
Decreasing Variables	2

# 162

# Sardis Forest

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	4,166	686,291
Youth Population	1,242	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,630	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,050	183,674
Median Household Income	\$100,132	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$258,498	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	89.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	91.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,332,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	20.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 161

# Sardis Woods

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	4,435	686,291
Youth Population	1,079	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,909	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,062	183,674
Median Household Income	\$62,990	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$162,004	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	70.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	89.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$588,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	22.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	9.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	4

# 68

# Sedgefield

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	3,106	686,291
Youth Population	632	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,825	295,624
Area (Acres)	493	183,674
Median Household Income	\$42,516	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$244,386	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	11.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	46.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$8,428,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	66.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	32.6%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	18.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	2


# 190

# Seven Eagles

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,402	686,291
Youth Population	1,156	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,027	295,624
Area (Acres)	748	183,674
Median Household Income	\$77,667	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$395,075	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	62.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.00	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	45.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	66.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	46.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	1

# 24

# Seversville


## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	830	686,291
Youth Population	318	174,650
Number of Housing Units	292	295,624
Area (Acres)	140	183,674
Median Household Income	\$27,885	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$118,863	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	47.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.32	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	36.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$784,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	19.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.6%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	13.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	7

# 142

# Shannon Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile

NSA

City

Population	7,110	686,291
Youth Population	1,894	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,809	295,624
Area (Acres)	911	183,674
Median Household Income	\$39,523	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$87,892	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.30	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	47.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,548,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	76.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	20.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	17.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	6

# 180

# Sharon Woods

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	3,984	686,291
Youth Population	873	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,003	295,624
Area (Acres)	737	183,674
Median Household Income	\$75,558	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$309,667	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	91.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	73.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,116,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	34.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 159 Sherwood Forest

Quality of Life Index

Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,398	686,291
Youth Population	722	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,560	295,624
Area (Acres)	994	183,674
Median Household Income	\$83,693	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$322,806	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	20.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	90.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	79.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$813,418	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	92.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	3.3%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	10.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 124 Slater Rd / Hamilton Circle

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,075	686,291
Youth Population	859	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,308	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,077	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,750	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$98,015	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	35.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.19	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,156,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	37.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	2.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	15.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	1

# 25

# Smallwood

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	1,615	686,291
Youth Population	568	174,650
Number of Housing Units	627	295,624
Area (Acres)	384	183,674
Median Household Income	\$23,471	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$82,509	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	14.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	38.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	18.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.36	0.14
Housing Code Index	5.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	36.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	98.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	22.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	11.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	1

# 72

# Southside Park

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

### Profile

### NSA

### City

Population	1,275	686,291
Youth Population	462	174,650
Number of Housing Units	580	295,624
Area (Acres)	342	183,674
Median Household Income	\$9,999	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$41,946	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	36.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime



Violent Crime Rate	3.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	2.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	37.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	2

# 195

# Starmount

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	2,668	686,291
Youth Population	668	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,013	295,624
Area (Acres)	566	183,674
Median Household Income	\$53,143	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$147,856	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	40.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	55.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,508,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	56.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	5

# 193 Starmount Forest


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,089	686,291
Youth Population	1,235	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,405	295,624
Area (Acres)	587	183,674
Median Household Income	\$41,366	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$84,888	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	38.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	6.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	5.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	23.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$8,820,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	88.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	45.1%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 106

# Steele Creek

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	8,192	686,291
Youth Population	2,315	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,357	295,624
Area (Acres)	8,359	183,674
Median Household Income	\$78,586	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$217,515	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	5	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	62.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.03	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	83.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,744,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	1.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 192

# Sterling

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	2,721	686,291
Youth Population	559	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,454	295,624
Area (Acres)	884	183,674
Median Household Income	\$46,064	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$75,731	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.1%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	39.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Low	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	3.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.23	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	36.9%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$784,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	91.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	6.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6

# 160

# Stonehaven

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	5,648	686,291
Youth Population	1,470	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,450	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,536	183,674
Median Household Income	\$72,339	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$226,053	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	6	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.9	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	71.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	75.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,292,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	28.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	5

# 40 Sugaw Creek / Ritch Ave



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,666	686,291
Youth Population	737	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,298	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,424	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,785	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$95,897	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	12.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	40.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	4.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.32	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	19.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$705,600	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	93.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.2%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	23.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	12.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	8

# 121

# Sunset Road


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	3,623	686,291
Youth Population	1,007	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,547	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,539	183,674
Median Household Income	\$52,924	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$136,020	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	39.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	78.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,508,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	17.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	12.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	2

# 30

# Third Ward


## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,673	686,291
Youth Population	813	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,268	295,624
Area (Acres)	305	183,674
Median Household Income	\$30,270	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$284,435	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	38.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	10.5%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	33.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	29.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	20.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	6



# 19

# Thomasboro / Hoskins

## Quality of Life Index

## Challenged

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,490	686,291
Youth Population	1,611	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,391	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,464	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,152	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$64,707	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.4	2.8
Dropout Rate	8.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	35.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	16.5%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A

### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A

### Physical

Appearance Index	0.46	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	39.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	97.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	25.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.0%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	7

**17**

**Todd Park**


**Quality of Life Index**

**Challenged**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	768	686,291
Youth Population	165	174,650
Number of Housing Units	371	295,624
Area (Acres)	661	183,674
Median Household Income	\$32,583	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$72,798	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	12.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	47.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	26.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	3.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.12	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	48.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,484,201	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	81.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	26.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-5.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	5

# 112

# Toddville Road

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	7,494	686,291
Youth Population	1,924	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,096	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,257	183,674
Median Household Income	\$45,841	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$105,206	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	8.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	44.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	9.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	55.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,352,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	74.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	14.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.5%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

**Trend 2002-2008**



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5

# 184 Touchstone Village / Elm Lane


**Quality of Life Index**

**Stable**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,171	686,291
Youth Population	512	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,071	295,624
Area (Acres)	385	183,674
Median Household Income	\$63,576	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$242,473	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Low	N/A

**Dimension**

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	1.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	78.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	2.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



**Crime**

Violent Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.2	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


**Physical**

Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.6%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

**Economic**

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.5%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	4

# 36

# Tryon Hills



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,172	686,291
Youth Population	702	174,650
Number of Housing Units	803	295,624
Area (Acres)	406	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,170	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$53,681	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	9.5%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	22.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	3.7	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.54	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	26.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	55.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	34.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 132 University City North

Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	5,994	686,291
Youth Population	1,408	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,068	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,501	183,674
Median Household Income	\$59,086	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$127,768	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	35.1%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	3.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.01	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	15.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$980,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	38.2%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	28.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 134 University City South



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	5,200	686,291
Youth Population	191	174,650
Number of Housing Units	853	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,205	183,674
Median Household Income	\$35,784	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$83,173	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	0.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	26.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	71.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.3	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	16.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$392,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	23.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.8%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	4

# 43

# University Park

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	1,246	686,291
Youth Population	223	174,650
Number of Housing Units	525	295,624
Area (Acres)	300	183,674
Median Household Income	\$29,527	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$63,549	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	33.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	45.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	30.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	4.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,352,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	19.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	17.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.1%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	5

# 46

# Villa Heights


**Quality of Life Index**

**Transitioning**

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,332	686,291
Youth Population	659	174,650
Number of Housing Units	885	295,624
Area (Acres)	280	183,674
Median Household Income	\$31,452	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$87,837	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.6	2.8
Dropout Rate	4.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	36.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	23.7%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.8	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.52	0.14
Housing Code Index	9.9%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	38.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	87.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	7.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	21.3%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	10.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	8
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	2

# 23 Washington Heights


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,714	686,291
Youth Population	493	174,650
Number of Housing Units	721	295,624
Area (Acres)	275	183,674
Median Household Income	\$23,302	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$65,989	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	28.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	13.3%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



## Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


## Physical

Appearance Index	0.33	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	36.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,138,862	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	10.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

## Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	36.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	5.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	4

# 60

# Wendover / Sedgewood

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile



### NSA

### City

Population	3,457	686,291
Youth Population	837	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,765	295,624
Area (Acres)	631	183,674
Median Household Income	\$72,151	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$668,408	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.1	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	63.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.9%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.10	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.3%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	35.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	90.3%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	31.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	17.0%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	0
Decreasing Variables	7

# 20

# Wesley Heights

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	1,207	686,291
Youth Population	242	174,650
Number of Housing Units	568	295,624
Area (Acres)	280	183,674
Median Household Income	\$31,447	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$290,714	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	13.5%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	21.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	2.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.16	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	55.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,992,349	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	82.6%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	High	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	8.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	37.9%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	3

# 176 Wessex Square



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,147	686,291
Youth Population	1,856	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,529	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,305	183,674
Median Household Income	\$83,404	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$310,783	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.2	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	83.2%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	64.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,508,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	62.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	29.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	7.1%	4.7%



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	8

# 8

# West Blvd


Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	987	686,291
Youth Population	327	174,650
Number of Housing Units	454	295,624
Area (Acres)	438	183,674
Median Household Income	\$27,216	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$54,457	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	21.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.7%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	22.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.2	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	2.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.1	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.20	0.14
Housing Code Index	3.7%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	17.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$222,116	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	26.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	35.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-6.2%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).





### Trend 2002-2008

Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	3

# 128 West Sugar Creek / W T Harris Blvd



Quality of Life Index

Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	6,822	686,291
Youth Population	1,797	174,650
Number of Housing Units	3,475	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,628	183,674
Median Household Income	\$54,412	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$135,310	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	5.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.8	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.8%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	41.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.4	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.4	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.06	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	43.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.5%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	37.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	5.1%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	7

# 113

# Westchester

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Population	3,434	686,291
Youth Population	900	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,427	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,112	183,674
Median Household Income	\$43,202	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$89,543	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.6%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	45.4%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	11.5%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.9	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.14	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.0%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	57.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$3,136,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	1.5%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	21.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.7%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-0.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	1
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	6

# 12

# Westerly Hills

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile



NSA

City

Population	2,279	686,291
Youth Population	558	174,650
Number of Housing Units	925	295,624
Area (Acres)	845	183,674
Median Household Income	\$33,268	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$73,736	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	12.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	10.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	43.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	19.2%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.5	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	4.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.32	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	58.3%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$5,684,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	11.9%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	23.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-2.2%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	7
Decreasing Variables	5

# 11

# Westover Hills


## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	749	686,291
Youth Population	231	174,650
Number of Housing Units	309	295,624
Area (Acres)	215	183,674
Median Household Income	\$15,447	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$67,459	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	19.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	33.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	5.6%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	3.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.4	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.31	0.14
Housing Code Index	1.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	37.2%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$0	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	97.7%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	53.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	43.0%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.3%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.4%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	6
Decreasing Variables	3

# 185

# Whiteoak

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


NSA

City

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,174	686,291
Youth Population	668	174,650
Number of Housing Units	876	295,624
Area (Acres)	651	183,674
Median Household Income	\$101,632	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$296,514	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	7.0%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	3.1%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	100.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A



### Crime

Violent Crime Rate	0.0	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.1	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A


### Physical

Appearance Index	0.05	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.1%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	60.7%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	36.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low

### Economic

Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.2%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	6.7%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	3
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	7

# 110

# Wildwood



## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,671	686,291
Youth Population	658	174,650
Number of Housing Units	1,109	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,605	183,674
Median Household Income	\$39,936	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$93,938	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	3	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	10.8%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	5.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	54.8%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	4.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.17	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	71.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$4,900,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.5%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	7
No Change Variables	4
Decreasing Variables	3



# 15

# Wilmore

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,203	686,291
Youth Population	607	174,650
Number of Housing Units	880	295,624
Area (Acres)	418	183,674
Median Household Income	\$25,756	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$191,967	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	Medium	N/A



### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	11.2%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.5	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	39.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.5	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.31	0.14
Housing Code Index	2.5%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.4%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,972,384	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	98.9%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	8.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Medium	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	14.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	38.3%	4.7%

For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

## Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	6
No Change Variables	5
Decreasing Variables	3

# 5

# Wilson Heights



Quality of Life Index

Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	500	686,291
Youth Population	140	174,650
Number of Housing Units	227	295,624
Area (Acres)	597	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,750	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$85,702	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

## Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	9.6%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.3	2.8
Dropout Rate	0.0%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.0%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	0.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	2.6	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.0	1.0
Property Crime Rate	2.2	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.07	0.14
Housing Code Index	8.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	14.5%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,960,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	97.4%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	0.0%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.2%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.0%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	-1.4%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).



### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	2
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	9

# 150

# Windsor Park

## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

### Profile


NSA

City

Profile	NSA	City
Population	11,938	686,291
Youth Population	2,597	174,650
Number of Housing Units	5,001	295,624
Area (Acres)	1,582	183,674
Median Household Income	\$38,172	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$96,330	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	2	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.9%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	7.3%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	43.6%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	14.1%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	1.1	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.5	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.38	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.8%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	43.8%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$98,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	92.8%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	17.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.9%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	0.6%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	4
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	7

# 6

# York Road

Quality of Life Index

Challenged

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	521	686,291
Youth Population	123	174,650
Number of Housing Units	228	295,624
Area (Acres)	423	183,674
Median Household Income	\$17,742	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$64,888	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	1	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	14.4%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	12.9%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	35.3%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	25.0%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	High	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	12.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.3	1.0
Property Crime Rate	8.8	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.13	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.4%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	54.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,548,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	99.1%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	40.4%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	28.6%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	-0.4%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	2.3%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	0
No Change Variables	9
Decreasing Variables	5

# 199

# Yorkmount


## Quality of Life Index

## Transitioning

Profile	NSA	City
Population	9,815	686,291
Youth Population	2,816	174,650
Number of Housing Units	4,001	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,697	183,674
Median Household Income	\$45,899	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$95,547	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	7	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	6.3%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	2.7	2.8
Dropout Rate	6.7%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	50.5%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	12.4%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.9	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	1.7	1.0
Property Crime Rate	1.0	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.23	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.6%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	41.1%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$2,156,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	72.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	22.7%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.8%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	0.8%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	1.5%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

### Trend 2002-2008



Increasing Variables	5
No Change Variables	3
Decreasing Variables	6

# 104

# Yorkshire

## Quality of Life Index

## Stable

### Profile


### NSA

### City

Population	7,257	686,291
Youth Population	2,245	174,650
Number of Housing Units	2,995	295,624
Area (Acres)	2,635	183,674
Median Household Income	\$75,661	\$47,670
Average House Value	\$159,503	\$207,627
Number of Organizations	4	N/A
Unemployment Index	High	N/A

### Dimension

Variable	NSA Value	City Value
<b>Social</b>		
Percent of Persons over the Age 64	4.7%	8.7%
Average Kindergarten Score	3.0	2.8
Dropout Rate	2.4%	5.1%
Percent of Children Scoring at or above Grade Level	62.9%	55.2%
Percent of Births to Adolescents	1.8%	6.6%
Youth Opportunity Index	Medium	N/A
<b>Crime</b>		
Violent Crime Rate	0.3	1.0
Juvenile Arrest Rate	0.6	1.0
Property Crime Rate	0.7	1.0
Crime Hot Spots	0.0	N/A
<b>Physical</b>		
Appearance Index	0.02	0.14
Housing Code Index	0.2%	0.7%
Percent Homeowners	70.0%	55.4%
Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs	\$1,666,000	N/A
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	55.6%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	8.8%	19.0%
Pedestrian Friendliness Index	Low	Low
<b>Economic</b>		
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.7%	8.9%
Percent Change in Income	1.1%	1.2%
Percent Change in House Value	3.1%	4.7%



For a detailed discussion of individual variables and their interrelationships, see the Neighborhood Profile User Guide (Appendix B).

Appendix B  
Neighborhood Profiles: User Guide



## Statistical Background Data

**Population** - Total population of the NSA.

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007; Claritas, 2007; United States Census Bureau, 2000.

**Youth Population** – Total youth population of the NSA.

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007; Claritas, 2007; United States Census Bureau, 2000.

**Number of Housing Units** - Number of residential housing units in each NSA.

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007.

**Area (Acres)** - Area of the NSA in acres.

Source: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission, 2007.

**Median Household Income** - Median household income using Census Block Group statistics.

Source: Claritas, 2007.

**Average House Value** - 2007 sales value for single-family homes, condominiums, and townhouses average in each NSA.

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007.

**Neighborhood Organizations** – The total number of neighborhood organizations for each NSA was identified.

Source: Neighborhood Development, 2007.

**Unemployment Index** – For each zip code in Charlotte, the number of people applying for unemployment benefits were totaled for the months of July 2007 through December 2007. This total was divided by the number of persons able to work. The resulting number was indexed based on the following:

0 – 3.0%	Low
3% - 6.5%	Medium
6.5% - 9.25%	High

The resulting index was assigned to each NSA that fell in the respective zip code's boundary.

Source: Employment Security Commission of North Carolina, 2007.

## **Social Dimension**

**Percent of Persons over Age 64** - Percentage of the population that is 65 years and older.

Source: Claritas, 2007.

**Average Kindergarten Score** – Average achievement score was calculated for each kindergarten student at the end of the year. These scores include math and verbal scores.

Source: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools System, 2007.

**Dropout Rate** – Percentage of high school students who dropped out of school.

The total number of high school students and the total number of high school students who dropped out were compiled for each NSA. The total number of high school students who dropped out was then divided by the total number of high school students.

Source: Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System, 2007.

**Percent of Children Achieving at or above Grade Level** – Percentage of seventh and eighth grade students who achieved scores at or above grade level for end of grade test.

Source: Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System, 2007.

**Percent of Births to Adolescents** - Percent of children born in 2006 to women 18 years and younger.

Sources: Mecklenburg County Health Department, Birth Certificate Data, 2006.



**Youth Opportunity Index** - Measure of the potential opportunities for youth to get involved in extra-curricular activities within each NSA.

“Opportunities” were defined as sites within the NSA that offered programs and activities for youth up to age 18. These sites included YMCA/YWCAs, churches, schools offering before and/or after school programs, recreation centers, community centers and libraries. These locations were scored as follows:

- Churches—Because churches provide services beyond their NSA boundaries, each church was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the church. Each church was given a score of 1, which was a minimal score for potential activity center. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was assigned a score of 1.
- Schools—Schools were given a score of 1 for a before-school program and a score of 1 for an after-school program. The highest score possible for a single school was 2 for having both programs. Because these schools provided services beyond their NSA boundaries, each school was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the school. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was assigned a score of based on the programs provided by the adjacent school
- Recreation Centers, Community Centers and YWCA/YMCAs—Because these centers provide services beyond their NSA boundaries, each center was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the center. Each center was given a score of 3. This value was assigned to every residential unit within the buffer zone.
- Libraries—Libraries were scored using the same methodology as the centers. However, a library was given a score of 2, indicating that it potentially offered greater services than a church but fewer than a Recreation Centers, Community Centers or YWCA/YMCAs. Each residential unit that fell in the buffer zone was assigned a score of 2.

Each residential unit within a NSA had the potential of receiving a score ranging from 0 to 8. The score for each NSA was calculated based the average youth opportunity score for each residential unit. This composite score represents the total number and types of opportunities available to youth.

0.0 - 0.09	<i>Low Youth Opportunity</i>
0.09 - 0.90	<i>Medium Youth Opportunity</i>
1.00+	<i>High Youth Opportunity</i>

Source: Charlotte Area YMCAs, 2007.  
Charlotte Area YWCAs, 2007.  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Library System, 2007.  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Park and Recreation Department, 2007.  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools, 2007.

## Crime Dimension

**Violent Crime Rate** - Violent crime rate in each NSA as compared with the violent crime rate in the City of Charlotte.

The locations of violent crime offenses between July 2006 and June 2007 were summarized for each NSA and the City of Charlotte. For the purpose of this study, violent crimes include homicides, rapes, robberies, and aggravated assaults defined according to UCR (Uniform Crime Report) standards.

The number of violent crime incidents for each NSA and the City of Charlotte were divided by their respective populations to get the violent crime rate for each NSA and the City of Charlotte.

The Location Quotient method was used to compare the NSA and city wide rate. The method gives a measure of the share of all violent crime in the City of Charlotte captured by the individual NSA. Thus, a score of 2.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a violent crime rate that is twice the rate in the city; while a score of 0.5 shows that the NSA violent crime rate is one-half the rate of the entire city.

Sources: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Research and Planning Department, 2007.

**Juvenile Arrest Rate** - Juvenile arrest rate in each NSA as compared with the juvenile arrest rate in the City of Charlotte.

The locations of juvenile arrests between July 2006 and June 2007 were summarized for each NSA and the City of Charlotte. For the purpose of this study, juvenile arrests are based on individuals arrested under the age of 16. This definition is based on North Carolina state statutes which generally define a juvenile offender according to this age definition.

The number of juvenile arrest incidents for each NSA and the City of Charlotte were divided by their respective juvenile populations to get the juvenile arrest rate.

The Location Quotient method was used to compare the NSA and city wide rate. The method gives a measure of the share of all juvenile arrests in the City of Charlotte captured by the individual NSA. Thus, a score of 2.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a juvenile arrest rate that is twice the rate in the city; while a score of 0.5 shows that the NSA juvenile arrest rate is one-half the rate of the entire city.

Sources: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Research and Planning Department, 2007.

**Property Crime Rate** - Property crime rate in each NSA as compared with the property crime rate in the City of Charlotte.

The locations of property crime offenses between July 2006 and June 2007 were summarized for each NSA and the City of Charlotte. For the purpose of this study, property crimes include burglaries, larcenies, vehicle thefts, arsons, and vandalisms defined according to UCR (Uniform Crime Report) standards.

The number of property crime incidents for each NSA and the City of Charlotte were divided by their respective populations to get the property crime rate.

The Location Quotient method was used to compare the NSA and city wide rate. The method gives a measure of the share of all property crime in the City of Charlotte captured by the individual NSA. Thus, a score of 2.00 indicates that the particular NSA has a property crime rate that is twice the rate in the city; while a score of 0.5 shows that the NSA property crime rate is one-half the rate of the entire city.

Sources: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Research and Planning Department, 2007.

**Crime Hot Spots** – Proportion of a NSA that has a durable concentration of violent crime.

For the purpose of this study, the crimes compiled in the hotspot analysis were violent crimes including homicides, rapes, robberies, and aggravated assaults defined according to Part I and Part II offense categories as defined by Uniform Crime Reporting standards with the inclusion of missing persons and hit-and-runs. A density grid was created from the violent crime point data using a 250' cell size, a 1500' search radius, and the kernel method. Cells with a density greater than 3 standard deviations above the mean of the grid were considered hot cells. Locations with 5 or more overlapping, monthly hotspots were considered durable hotspots and flagged to be included to calculate final hotspot values for each NSA.

The total number of durable hot cells was divided by the total number of cells for each NSA to get the proportion of a NSA that is considered a durable violent crime hotspot. For instance, a value of 0.9 indicates that 90% of the particular NSA is covered by a durable violent crime hotspot; while a value of 0 indicates that no part of a NSA is a durable violent crime hotspot.

Source: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Research and Planning Department, 2007.

## Physical Dimension

**Appearance Index** – Index of code violations for each NSA.

The violations recorded from July 2006 to June 2007 were summarized for each NSA. The number of documented violations was divided by the total number of parcels in the NSA.

Source: Neighborhood Development, 2007.

**Housing Code Index** - Percentage of housing units in a NSA with housing code violations.

The violations recorded from July 2006 to June 2007 were summarized for each NSA. The number of documented violations was divided by the total number of housing units in the NSA.

Source: Neighborhood Development, 2007.

**Home Ownership** - Percentage of owner-occupied residential units.

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007.

**Projected Infrastructure Improvement Costs** – Estimated public construction costs for sidewalk, curb, and minor drainage, not including any funds for the repair or installation of major drainage systems.

The total area of the NSA is calculated in square miles. The estimated construction costs were divided by the total area to get the infrastructure cost per square mile for each NSA.

Source: Charlotte Engineering and Building Maintenance Department Assessments, 2007.

**Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation** – Percentage of NSA residents, who live within walking distance of public transportation, using the Charlotte Area Transit System bus stops.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, the total number housing units and the total number of housing units within  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile of a bus-stop were compiled for each NSA. The transit accessible housing units were divided by the total number of housing units.

Source: Charlotte Area Transit System, 2007.

**Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities** – Percentage of NSA residents that are within walking distance to a grocery store and/or a pharmacy.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, the total number housing units and the total number of housing units within one-quarter mile of a grocery store and/or pharmacy were compiled for each NSA. The retail accessible housing units were divided by the total number of housing units.

Sources: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007.  
BellSouth Yellow Pages, Charlotte, 2007.

**Pedestrian Friendliness Index** – Pedestrian friendliness based on the total length of sidewalks in each NSA as compared to the total length of the streets. Index values could rank from 0-2.0. The index score were scaled using the following qualitative ranking.

- 0.0 - 1.0 Low Pedestrian Friendliness
- 1.1 - 1.3 Medium Pedestrian Friendliness
- 1.4+ High Pedestrian Friendliness

Source: Charlotte Department of Transportation, 2007.

## **Economic Dimension**

**Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps** - The percentage of people in a NSA receiving Food Stamps.

Sources: Mecklenburg County Department of Social Service Office of Planning and Evaluation, 2007.

**Percent Change in Income** – Percentage change in median household income from 1999 to 2007 per year. The following equation was used:

$$\frac{2007 \text{ income} - 1999 \text{ income}}{1999 \text{ income}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in Income}$$

Source: Claritas, 2007; Census 2000.

**Percent Change in House Value** – Percent change in residential house value per year was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{2007 \text{ sales value} - 2003 \text{ assessed value}}{2003 \text{ assessed value}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in House Value}$$

Source: Mecklenburg County Property Records and Land Management, 2007.



Appendix C  
NSA Trend 2002-2008 by Variable





## **NSA Trend by Variable**

The following section presents trend information for the 14 variables used to calculate the NSA trend from 2002 to 2008. Every NSA is represented in a numeric-ordered row, 1 through 1999. Each variable is represented in a column. Every variable has been color coded to represent its classification. A variable was coded green to show improving or “Trending Up” changes. A variable show no significant change was coded yellow. Finally, variables showing a decline or “Trending Down” change were coded red. A summary of the six year trends for each NSA is presented at the end of each row. It follows the same color coding scheme.

Variable Trend Change 2002 to 2008

NSA	Percent of Persons over the Age 64	Average Kindergarten Score	Percent of Births to Adolescents	Youth Opportunity	Violent Crime Rate	Juvenile Arrest Rate	Property Crime Rate	Crime Hot Spots	Percent Homeowners	Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	Percent Change in Income	Percent Change in House Value	Number of Variables Increasing (Positive)	Number of Variables Decreasing (Negative)	Number of Variables with No Significant Change	TREND
1															2	9	3	Trending Down
2															2	6	5	No Change
3															7	2	5	Trending Up
4															3	4	7	No Change
5															6	3	5	Trending Up
6															4	7	3	No Change
7															1	3	10	No Change
8															3	8	3	Trending Down
9															2	4	8	No Change
10															3	6	5	No Change
11															2	6	7	No Change
12															1	6	7	No Change
13															2	4	8	No Change
14															5	5	4	No Change
15															7	3	4	Trending Up
16															2	6	6	No Change
17															2	7	5	No Change
18															3	4	7	No Change
19															3	6	5	No Change
20															7	7	0	No Change
21															3	5	6	No Change
22															2	3	9	No Change
23															8	2	4	Trending Up
24															7	1	6	Trending Up
25															8	2	4	Trending Up
26															7	4	3	Trending Up
27															5	4	5	Trending Up
28															5	4	5	Trending Up
29															5	3	6	Trending Up
30															6	2	6	Trending Up
31															2	7	5	No Change
32															5	3	6	Trending Up
33															7	3	4	Trending Up
34															7	4	3	Trending Up
35															7	1	6	Trending Up
36															5	4	5	Trending Up
37															6	3	5	Trending Up
38															4	5	5	No Change
39															4	3	6	No Change
40															6	5	3	Trending Up
41															3	6	5	Trending Up
42															3	6	5	No Change
43															3	5	6	No Change
44															3	4	7	No Change
45															8	3	3	Trending Up
46															10	2	2	Trending Up
47															6	5	3	Trending Up
48															5	2	7	Trending Up
49															5	6	3	No Change
50															6	2	6	Trending Up
51															9	0	5	Trending Up
52															6	2	6	Trending Up
53															4	4	6	No Change
54															7	1	6	Trending Up
55															5	3	6	Trending Up
56															8	1	5	Trending Up
57															5	3	6	Trending Up
58															6	3	5	Trending Up
59															5	3	6	Trending Up
60															6	4	4	Trending Up
61															6	4	4	Trending Up
62															2	5	7	No Change

Variable Trend Change 2002 to 2008

NSA	Percent of Persons over the Age 64	Average Kindergarten Score	Percent of Births to Adolescents	Youth Opportunity	Violent Crime Rate	Juvenile Arrest Rate	Property Crime Rate	Crime Hot Spots	Percent Homeowners	Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	Percent Change in Income	Percent Change in House Value	Number of Variables Increasing (Positive)	Number of Variables Decreasing (Negative)	Number of Variables with No Significant Change	TREND
63															4	2	8	Trending Up
64															9	2	7	Trending Up
65															6	1	7	Trending Up
66															5	4	5	Trending Up
67															5	6	3	No Change
68															4	4	6	No Change
69															6	3	5	Trending Up
70															2	5	7	No Change
71															3	6	5	No Change
72															7	1	6	Trending Up
73															4	3	7	Trending Up
100															6	4	4	Trending Up
101															4	6	4	Trending Up
102															3	6	5	No Change
103															4	4	6	No Change
104															5	6	3	No Change
105															5	2	7	Trending Up
106															3	4	7	No Change
107															3	5	6	No Change
108															2	5	7	No Change
109															4	3	6	Trending Up
110															3	7	4	Trending Up
111															4	5	5	No Change
112															4	5	6	No Change
113															3	5	6	No Change
114															4	7	3	No Change
115															2	9	3	Trending Down
116															3	4	7	No Change
117															5	2	7	Trending Up
118															5	6	3	No Change
119															2	7	7	No Change
120															1	5	8	No Change
121															3	7	4	No Change
122															3	8	3	Trending Down
123															2	6	6	No Change
124															3	4	7	No Change
125															5	4	5	No Change
126															6	5	3	Trending Up
127															1	6	7	No Change
128															4	3	7	Trending Up
129															2	8	2	Trending Down
130															4	6	6	No Change
131															5	4	5	Trending Up
132															6	3	5	Trending Up
133															5	6	3	No Change
134															3	4	7	No Change
135															1	8	7	Trending Down
136															2	3	9	No Change
137															1	6	7	No Change
138															3	2	9	Trending Up
139															3	9	2	Trending Down
140															1	5	8	No Change
141															3	9	2	Trending Down
142															3	7	4	No Change
143															3	7	4	No Change
144															2	8	4	Trending Down
145															1	6	7	No Change
146															4	3	7	Trending Up
147															3	6	5	No Change
148															4	4	6	No Change
149															2	4	8	No Change
150															2	9	3	Trending Down

Variable Trend Change 2002 to 2008

NSA	Percent of Persons over the Age 64	Average Kindergarten Score	Percent of Births to Adolescents	Youth Opportunity	Violent Crime Rate	Juvenile Arrest Rate	Property Crime Rate	Crime Hot Spots	Percent Homeowners	Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail	Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	Percent Change in Income	Percent Change in House Value	Number of Variables Increasing (Positive)	Number of Variables Decreasing (Negative)	Number of Variables with No Significant Change	TREND
151															4	7	3	No Change
152															4	7	3	No Change
153															2	8	4	Trending Down
154															2	7	5	No Change
155															3	7	4	No Change
156															2	3	9	No Change
157															0	10	4	Trending Down
158															4	4	6	No Change
159															4	3	7	Trending Up
160															3	6	5	No Change
161															4	4	6	No Change
162															4	4	6	Trending Up
163															4	6	4	No Change
164															4	5	5	No Change
165															5	3	6	Trending Up
166															2	7	5	No Change
167															6	3	5	Trending Up
168															4	3	7	Trending Up
169															5	3	6	Trending Up
170															8	2	4	Trending Up
171															9	2	3	Trending Up
172															5	2	7	Trending Up
173															4	3	7	Trending Up
174															7	2	5	Trending Up
175															7	2	5	Trending Up
176															7	3	4	Trending Up
177															8	1	5	Trending Up
178															4	3	7	Trending Up
179															4	4	6	No Change
180															2	6	6	No Change
181															6	3	5	Trending Up
182															3	3	8	No Change
183															4	2	8	Trending Up
184															2	5	7	No Change
185															5	3	6	Trending Up
186															5	3	6	Trending Up
187															7	1	6	Trending Up
188															5	3	6	Trending Up
189															5	6	3	No Change
190															8	2	4	Trending Up
191															4	3	7	Trending Up
192															3	6	5	No Change
193															3	5	6	No Change
194															5	3	6	Trending Up
195															8	2	4	Trending Up
196															6	3	5	Trending Up
197															6	3	5	Trending Up
198															1	8	5	Trending Down
199															0	5	9	No Change

# Appendix D

## NSA Demographics



Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino		
		One Race													
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races						
1	3,125	3,097	35	3,055	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	28	25	35
2	333	332	1	327	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1
3	699	624	280	139	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	186	75	389	85
4	987	972	46	848	4	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5	43
5	500	494	74	396	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	74
6	521	520	115	377	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	33	99
7	932	915	36	872	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	15	28
8	987	983	10	968	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	16	10
9	1,265	1,244	24	1,217	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	11	18
10	1,066	1,060	6	1,050	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	6
11	749	741	24	717	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	23
12	2,279	2,230	690	1,426	17	42	1	54	49	96	642	54	49	96	642
13	4,015	3,987	838	2,930	25	102	0	91	28	169	753	91	28	169	753
14	777	769	6	758	2	3	1	0	8	3	6	0	8	3	6
15	2,203	2,183	107	2,049	5	15	2	4	20	29	93	4	20	29	93
16	978	968	14	941	0	0	0	0	10	14	14	13	10	14	14
17	768	760	394	322	15	20	0	8	8	21	384	8	8	21	384
18	3,878	3,815	784	2,959	12	12	0	48	63	94	737	48	63	94	737
19	5,490	5,411	1,505	3,531	59	203	0	113	79	259	1,376	113	79	259	1,376
20	1,207	1,197	132	1,045	6	3	1	10	10	23	121	10	10	23	121
21	709	694	93	573	6	22	0	0	15	1	92	0	15	1	92
22	1,092	1,077	33	974	1	50	0	16	15	32	17	16	15	32	17
23	1,714	1,704	51	1,627	6	3	4	13	10	60	21	13	10	60	21
24	830	828	14	786	0	0	0	19	2	40	14	19	2	40	14
25	1,615	1,589	45	1,491	3	17	1	32	26	142	0	32	26	142	0
26	2,414	2,393	32	2,140	4	4	0	165	21	228	32	0	21	228	32
27	389	371	5	348	1	15	0	2	18	3	4	2	18	3	4

Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino		
		One Race													
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races						
28	977	971	12	955	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	16	12
29	2,634	2,603	160	2,212	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	226	31	402	160
30	2,673	2,644	737	1,876	10	16	3	3	3	3	3	3	29	18	728
31	892	889	1	886	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	1
32	3,006	2,961	2,082	791	12	45	0	0	0	0	0	30	45	60	2,052
33	383	380	0	388	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
34	2,880	2,867	146	2,404	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	360	13	432	29
35	921	918	93	786	4	11	0	15	3	15	0	15	3	50	68
36	2,172	2,143	251	1,738	6	5	2	141	29	141	0	141	29	394	54
37	1,328	1,314	80	1,201	1	16	0	29	14	29	0	29	14	187	0
38	753	738	37	613	0	50	0	37	15	37	0	37	15	59	8
39	527	518	7	480	3	30	0	7	9	7	0	7	9	0	7
40	2,666	2,620	785	1,413	13	18	0	391	46	391	0	391	46	921	324
41	4,802	4,736	1,196	3,324	27	155	0	33	66	33	0	33	66	88	1,152
42	3,349	3,296	1,718	1,350	5	152	0	38	53	38	0	38	53	130	1,652
43	1,246	1,241	28	1,205	6	0	0	3	5	3	0	3	5	5	26
44	3,443	3,348	1,286	1,829	27	86	0	119	95	119	0	119	95	216	1,178
45	733	723	35	556	0	129	0	3	10	3	0	3	10	6	32
46	2,332	2,280	150	1,793	2	249	0	86	52	86	0	86	52	130	85
47	606	592	425	128	2	18	0	19	14	19	0	19	14	19	415
48	3,513	3,448	1,333	1,769	29	165	1	151	65	151	1	151	65	284	1,191
49	4,452	4,359	2,061	1,313	25	131	1	827	93	827	1	827	93	1,756	1,183
50	3,444	3,409	2,912	381	20	46	0	55	35	55	0	55	35	110	2,857
51	2,487	2,464	104	2,162	3	100	0	95	23	95	0	95	23	132	38
52	1,176	1,138	477	612	7	5	0	39	38	39	0	39	38	79	438
53	1,313	1,285	1,104	149	3	18	0	11	28	11	0	11	28	28	1,090
54	3,722	3,683	3,152	461	9	28	2	31	39	31	2	31	39	71	3,116



Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One Race											
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races				
55	4,740	4,573	1,663	2,163	21	371	8	298	167	1,083	1,122		
56	713	696	359	271	13	6	0	27	17	85	316		
57	624	607	364	142	0	83	1	13	17	39	345		
58	2,593	2,536	1,493	917	14	41	2	63	57	136	1,425		
59	2,955	2,883	246	2,341	11	23	1	260	72	405	44		
60	3,457	3,431	2,658	663	3	47	0	60	26	119	2,599		
61	3,949	3,894	3,246	536	17	42	2	57	55	157	3,167		
62	2,349	2,345	2,325	12	1	7	0	0	4	4	2,322		
63	9,809	9,770	9,428	176	11	123	1	31	39	151	9,363		
64	930	913	413	471	4	21	0	4	17	7	409		
65	2,034	2,023	1,960	35	2	19	5	3	11	30	1,945		
66	7,296	7,234	6,459	788	30	52	9	42	62	120	6,399		
67	2,172	2,147	369	1,747	7	7	18	0	25	7	365		
68	3,106	3,033	2,179	553	22	61	0	217	73	416	1,971		
69	2,889	2,870	2,184	574	11	53	1	33	19	96	2,136		
70	3,181	3,111	1,945	454	29	138	5	539	70	986	1,452		
71	1,172	1,140	733	92	6	92	0	193	32	237	615		
72	1,275	1,257	53	1,203	1	0	0	0	18	13	46		
73	833	833	0	823	10	0	0	0	0	0	0		
100	2,930	2,905	1,812	881	4	146	0	62	25	291	1,666		
101	5,355	5,281	2,809	1,798	25	433	0	217	74	593	2,513		
102	4,442	4,358	2,458	1,485	19	302	3	91	84	563	2,176		
103	4,515	4,452	3,220	865	20	248	8	92	63	185	3,127		
104	7,257	7,178	5,894	831	23	331	9	90	79	791	5,498		
105	3,120	3,092	2,814	192	7	69	0	10	28	49	2,790		
106	8,192	8,060	6,932	802	8	253	3	63	132	150	6,857		
107	4,627	4,567	3,851	636	33	54	1	224	60	813	3,444		

Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One Race											
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races				
108	697	688	612	7	4	30	0	13	9	79	573		
109	1,377	1,300	1,171	155	7	5	0	8	77	69	1,137		
110	2,671	2,627	1,426	1,019	11	83	1	86	44	233	1,310		
111	4,035	3,939	2,213	1,204	14	458	1	48	96	326	2,050		
112	7,494	7,367	3,000	3,711	23	222	0	412	127	1,229	2,385		
113	3,434	3,358	1,218	1,810	25	195	1	118	76	231	1,102		
114	981	967	549	276	8	90	0	74	14	187	455		
115	8,954	8,869	5,308	3,354	12	122	0	60	85	137	5,240		
116	5,714	5,670	3,672	1,884	39	57	0	18	44	74	3,635		
117	5,660	5,645	3,854	1,606	13	118	0	28	15	82	3,813		
118	2,682	2,673	1,325	1,312	11	23	0	0	9	20	1,315		
119	3,583	3,557	1,982	1,498	4	36	4	26	26	53	1,955		
120	5,222	5,201	674	4,428	9	36	12	42	21	55	646		
121	3,623	3,584	1,894	1,558	25	70	3	34	39	84	1,852		
122	6,826	6,744	3,597	2,855	25	196	0	53	82	154	3,520		
123	3,642	3,591	464	3,070	6	9	1	40	51	123	403		
124	3,075	3,043	901	1,936	2	113	12	79	32	110	846		
125	3,260	3,190	1,354	1,479	15	277	0	66	70	410	1,149		
126	644	630	277	187	10	19	0	116	14	233	161		
127	9,780	9,668	6,333	2,833	23	372	10	84	112	299	6,184		
128	6,822	6,705	4,129	2,136	10	267	4	159	117	706	3,776		
129	16,683	16,414	9,813	5,460	36	912	2	154	269	478	9,573		
130	12,698	12,568	9,827	2,129	38	399	0	88	130	262	9,696		
131	7,165	7,096	3,338	3,188	48	435	3	83	69	182	3,248		
132	5,994	5,921	3,952	1,468	9	285	7	200	73	554	3,675		
133	6,938	6,836	3,348	2,906	20	451	0	110	102	181	3,258		
134	5,200	5,090	2,524	2,119	28	303	9	83	110	131	2,458		

Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One Race											
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races				
135	7,610	7,439	4,297	2,476	31	443	7	185	171	609	3,993		
136	11,068	10,976	6,416	3,929	52	372	6	98	92	375	6,229		
137	5,653	5,546	2,329	2,707	5	389	0	88	107	219	2,220		
138	5,779	5,660	3,284	1,721	15	472	3	165	119	613	2,978		
139	2,240	2,188	1,146	647	10	67	5	254	52	684	804		
140	12,127	11,860	1,269	7,745	53	173	2	2,497	267	3,671	121		
141	6,055	5,986	1,043	4,351	17	221	8	346	69	511	788		
142	7,110	6,996	2,176	3,895	18	313	1	593	114	1,399	1,476		
143	6,897	6,703	1,529	3,845	32	211	4	1,081	194	2,066	496		
144	4,373	4,322	2,331	1,805	11	139	0	54	51	305	2,178		
145	7,245	7,095	3,452	3,193	27	256	3	147	150	263	3,320		
146	7,309	7,203	4,785	2,097	21	151	2	146	106	259	4,656		
147	7,130	6,982	3,358	3,186	26	215	8	188	148	462	3,127		
148	5,504	5,329	1,931	3,077	28	121	4	168	175	1,026	1,418		
149	5,699	5,568	2,584	2,268	22	135	1	558	131	1,924	1,622		
150	11,938	11,664	5,765	3,520	63	709	7	1,481	274	2,996	4,267		
151	5,277	5,081	2,552	1,894	23	136	9	467	196	1,798	1,653		
152	7,142	6,953	3,185	2,973	38	291	10	457	189	1,255	2,557		
153	4,532	4,385	1,612	2,023	26	279	13	342	147	1,338	943		
154	4,749	4,677	2,541	1,802	11	119	0	56	72	371	2,356		
155	5,264	5,201	2,862	1,877	28	176	0	258	63	830	2,447		
156	7,237	7,077	4,981	1,488	23	323	4	258	160	953	4,504		
157	5,793	5,676	2,976	2,210	8	279	10	192	117	869	2,542		
158	11,196	10,941	6,573	3,211	57	371	23	707	255	1,722	5,711		
159	3,398	3,387	3,135	175	5	58	2	13	11	37	3,116		
160	5,648	5,608	4,431	972	16	168	0	22	40	135	4,363		
161	4,435	4,388	3,966	277	4	118	0	22	47	94	3,919		

Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One Race											
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races				
162	4,166	4,140	3,897	122	13	100	0	8	26	73	3,860		
163	4,717	4,632	3,568	839	8	110	0	92	85	293	3,421		
164	2,122	2,113	1,795	205	3	94	0	16	9	162	1,714		
165	5,133	5,094	4,743	183	3	139	0	27	39	87	4,700		
166	1,376	1,368	1,283	63	0	16	0	7	8	21	1,272		
167	3,281	3,262	3,009	204	4	40	0	5	19	44	2,987		
168	4,139	4,070	3,683	177	2	175	2	31	69	89	3,639		
169	1,487	1,479	1,364	63	3	48	0	1	8	15	1,357		
170	7,675	7,616	7,117	224	9	237	2	26	59	102	7,066		
171	7,104	7,069	6,642	293	16	95	3	19	35	149	6,567		
172	6,997	6,942	6,492	271	5	157	0	17	55	108	6,438		
173	16,224	16,055	14,581	568	26	728	6	146	169	548	14,307		
174	7,954	7,887	7,256	265	10	322	0	34	67	169	7,172		
175	3,172	3,142	2,815	166	8	121	0	32	30	101	2,764		
176	6,147	6,085	5,538	315	7	188	1	36	62	174	5,450		
177	4,889	4,829	4,405	177	13	203	0	31	60	105	4,352		
178	4,335	4,306	4,165	67	1	72	0	1	29	39	4,146		
179	2,215	2,202	2,055	59	4	64	3	17	13	64	2,022		
180	3,984	3,968	3,767	102	7	58	1	33	16	97	3,718		
181	4,249	4,218	4,036	96	1	69	1	15	31	91	3,991		
182	5,408	5,375	5,175	98	2	84	2	15	33	95	5,127		
183	5,677	5,606	4,857	324	11	354	7	53	71	129	4,793		
184	2,171	2,136	1,759	237	5	104	0	31	35	109	1,704		
185	2,174	2,151	1,858	77	0	216	0	0	23	18	1,849		
186	8,161	8,081	7,359	331	16	340	2	32	80	223	7,248		
187	10,537	10,376	9,122	675	40	470	16	52	161	265	8,989		
188	5,812	5,689	4,869	420	10	181	3	206	123	599	4,569		

**Estimated Total Population by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin**

NSA	Total Population	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		Total	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or more races				
									Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)			
189	2,472	2,425	1,746	471	12	115	1	80	47	328	1,582		
190	4,402	4,320	3,481	342	6	323	0	168	82	477	3,243		
191	3,482	3,466	3,248	118	7	79	0	13	16	237	3,130		
192	2,721	2,702	1,251	1,325	18	42	0	65	19	340	1,081		
193	6,089	5,911	3,237	1,464	32	379	0	798	178	1,610	2,432		
194	3,583	3,539	3,378	69	6	73	3	11	44	61	3,347		
195	2,668	2,520	1,540	375	37	147	0	342	148	933	1,073		
196	4,140	4,030	2,796	555	14	210	8	446	110	1,152	2,220		
197	4,721	4,622	3,844	417	21	155	4	181	99	683	3,502		
198	10,858	10,244	3,034	5,392	40	243	6	1,421	614	5,252	409		
199	9,815	9,606	3,353	5,407	111	440	3	292	209	723	2,991		
<b>CWAC</b>	156,029	153,821	63,842	80,603	640	3,276	71	5,379	2,208	11,408	58,656		
<b>NSA 100-199</b>	559,957	550,997	353,170	154,999	1,815	21,114	302	18,772	8,960	52,670	327,522		
<b>City</b>	686,291	675,587	399,717	225,831	2,353	23,378	358	23,150	10,704	61,421	370,162		
<b>Study Area</b>	717,898	707,030	418,125	236,231	2,462	24,455	374	24,216	11,197	64,250	387,210		

The numbers reflected in this table are considered estimates and derived from various sources. They should be used for descriptive purposes only and are not reliable for statistical analysis.



# Appendix E

## CWAC Analysis





## **CWAC Neighborhoods**


The two earliest neighborhood quality of life studies were focused exclusively on the 73 neighborhoods comprising the City Within a City (CWAC). As a consequence the quality of life indices developed for 1993 and 1997 did not contain the newer and most suburban communities in Charlotte.

Beginning in 2000, the CWAC geography was replaced by the larger, more comprehensive neighborhood statistical area (NSA) framework. Within this framework, all analyses of neighborhood quality of life were structured to reflect citywide measurement rather than inner city or suburban boundaries.

With this background, the merger of the older 73 CWAC neighborhoods with newer 100 NSA communities is the most relevant neighborhood framework for planning and program evaluation purposes. However, to the extent that CWAC remains a concern for Charlotte's neighborhood development policy-makers and staff, it is useful to continue to track the state of neighborhood quality of life in the CWAC areas. Accordingly, a separate statistical analysis, including only the CWAC NSAs in the analysis, was performed. These findings are presented in the following map.

Within this specialized analytical framework, the number of stable communities within CWAC has grown significantly, from 24 in 1997 to 34 in 2008. Conversely, there has been a decline in challenged neighborhoods from 15 to 6. Although the number and composition of the quality of variables has changed between the 1997 and 2008, making direct comparison impossible, the strategic shift to stable neighborhoods supports the conclusion that CWAC neighborhoods are making substantial strides in community quality of life. Figure 1 shows the CWAC only analysis results for 2008.

**Figure 1. 2008 CWAC Neighborhood Rankings**



Metropolitan Studies Group, April 2008, TML