Review	oval
Prepare Request Search Requests Generate Reports	Detail
Approvals	Submittal Details
Help Wizard	Document Info Title : Beyond Petascale Computing The End of the Beginning or the Beginning of the End
Search Requests New Search Refine Search Second	Document Number : 5225759 SAND Number : 2004-4706 P Review Type : Electronic Status : Approved Sandia Contact : DEBENEDICTIS,ERIK P. Submittal Type : Viewgraph/Presentation Requestor : DEBENEDICTIS,ERIK P. Submittal Type : 09/15/2004
Clone Request	Author(s) CAMP,WILLIAM JAMES DEBENEDICTIS,ERIK P.
Cancel Request	Event (Conference/Journal/Book) Info Name : Presentation at Argonne National Laboratoris City : Chicago State : IL Country : USA Start Date : 09/14/2004 End Date : 09/14/2004
	Partnership Info Partnership Involved : No Partner Approval : Agreement Number :
	Patent Info Scientific or Technical in Content : Yes Technical Advance : No TA Form Filed : No SD Number :
	Classification and Sensitivity Info
	Additional Limited Release Info : None
	DUSA : None.
	Deuting Deteile

Routing Details						
Role	Routed To	Approved By	Approval Date			
Г						
Derivative Classifier Approver	YARRINGTON, PAUL	YARRINGTON, PAUL	09/15/2004			
Conditions:						

1

Classification Approver	WILLIAMS, RONALD L.	WILLIAMS, RONALD L.	09/16/2004
Conditions:			
ſ			
Manager Approver	PUNDIT,NEIL D.	PUNDIT,NEIL D.	09/17/2004
Conditions:			
1			

Created by WebCo Problems? Contact CCHD: by email or at 845-CCHD (2243).

For Review and Approval process questions please contact the **Application Process Owner**

Beyond Petascale Computing – The End Of The Beginning Or The Beginning Of The End?

Erik P. DeBenedictis & William J. Camp Sandia National Laboratories, USA

Conference on Computational Physics 2004 Genoa, Italy





Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



The Baseline

ETA: January 2005

Design Parameters

- True MPP, Designed to be a single system
- Fully connected high performance 3-D mesh interconnect
- Topology 27 X 16 X 24 compute nodes and 2 X 8X 16 service and I/O nodes
- 108 compute node cabinets and 10,368 compute node processors (AMD Sledgehammer @ 2.0 GHz)
- ~10 TB of DDR memory @ 333 MHz (1.0 GB per processor)
- Red/Black switching ~1/4, ~1/2, ~1/4
- 8 Service and I/O cabinets on each end (256 processors for each color)
- 240 TB of disk storage (120 TB per color)
- Functional hardware partitioning service and I/O nodes, compute nodes, and RAS nodes
- Functional system software partitioning LINUX on service and I/O nodes, LWK (Catamount) on compute nodes, stripped down LINUX on RAS nodes
- Separate RAS and system management network (Ethernet)
- Router table based routing in the interconnect
- Less than 2 MW total power and cooling
- Less than 3,000 square feet of floor space

Performance

Peak of ~ 40 TF

- Expected MP-Linpack performance >20 TF
- Aggregate system memory bandwidth ~55 TB/s
- Interconnect
 - Aggregate sustained interconnect bandwidth > 100 TB/s
 - MPI Latency us neighbor, 5 us across machine
 - Bi-Section bandwidth ~2.3 TB/s
 - Link bandwidth ~3.0 GB/s in each direction
- I/O System
 - Sustained 50 GB/s disk I/O bandwidth for each color
 - Sustained 25 GB/s external network bandwidth for each color





Applications and Computer Technology



[Jardin 03] S.C. Jardin, "Plasma Science Contribution to the SCaLeS Report," Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, PPPL-3879 UC-70, available on Internet. [Malone 03] Robert C. Malone, John B. Drake, Philip W. Jones, Douglas A. Rotman, "High-End Computing in Climate Modeling," contribution to SCaLeS report. [NASA 99] R. T. Biedron, P. Mehrotra, M. L. Nelson, F. S. Preston, J. J. Rehder, J. L. Rogers, D. H. Rudy, J. Sobieski, and O. O. Storaasli, "Compute as Fast as the Engineers Can Think!" NASA/TM-1999-209715, available on Internet.

[NASA 02] NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, "Advanced Weather Prediction Technologies: NASA's Contribution to the Operational Agencies," available on Internet.

[SCaLeS 03] Workshop on the Science Case for Large-scale Simulation, June 24-25, proceedings on Internet a http://www.pnl.gov/scales/.

[DeBenedictis 04], Erik P. DeBenedictis, "Matching Supercomputing to Progress in Science," July 2004. Presentation at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, also publishe Sandia National Laboratories SAND report SAND2004-3333P. Sandia technical reports are available by going to http://www.sandia.gov and accessing the technical library





Outline

- The Computing of Physics: The Need for Zettaflops
- Limits of Moore's Law Today's Technologies
- An Expert System/Optimizer for Supercomputing
- The Physics of Computing: Reaching to Zettaflops
- Roadmap and Future Directions





Global Climate

- Objective
 - Collect data about Earth
 - Model climate into the future
 - Provide "decision support" and ability to "mitigate"
- Approaches
 - Climate models exist, but need they more resolution, better physics, and better initial conditions (observations of the Earth)
- Computer Resources Required
 - Increments over current workstation on next slide







Ref. "High-End Computing in Climate Modeling," Robert C. Malone, LANL, John B. Drake, ORNL, Philip W. Jones, LANL, and Douglas A. Rotman, LLNL (2004)





NASA Climate Earth Station

Based on these inputs, various portions of the Modeling and Data Assimilation System will require anywhere from 10⁷ to 10¹³ GFLOPS of computational resources. In other words, the range of computational resources needed is 10¹⁶ to 10²¹ Floating Point Operations per Second. For the curious, the range can also be stated as 10 PetaFLOPS to 1 ZettaFLOPS.

4.1.2. Anticipated Computing Technology Capabilities

At first glance, the numbers discussed in the previous section appear so high as to be impossibly ludicrous. However, with the expected growth in computing capabilities, the lower end of this spectrum actually falls within the domain of possibility.

- "Advanced Weather Prediction Technologies: NASA's Contribution to the Operational Agencies," Gap Analysis Appendix, May 31, 2002





NASA Work Station

- "...the ultimate goal of making the computing underlying the design process so capable that it no longer acts as a brake on the flow of the creative human thought..."
- Requirement 3 Exaflops
- Note: In the context of this report, this requirement is for one or a few engineers, not a supercomputer center!

NASA/TM-1999-209715



Compute as Fast as the Engineers Can Think! *ULTRAFAST COMPUTING TEAM FINAL REPORT*

R. T. Biedron, P. Mehrotra, M. L. Nelson, F. S. Preston, J. J. Rehder, J. L. Rogers, D. H. Rudy, J. Sobieski, and O. O. Storaasli Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia





Outline

- The Computing of Physics: The Need for Zettaflops
- Limits of Moore's Law Today's Technologies
- An Expert System/Optimizer for Supercomputing
- The Physics of Computing: Reaching to Zettaflops
- Roadmap and Future Directions





*** This is a Preview ***

	Best-Case Logic	Microprocesso Architecture	r	Physical Factor	Source of Authority
2×10 ²⁴ logic ops/s⁴				Reliability limit 750KW/(80k _B T)	Esteemed physicists (T=60°C junction temperature)
				Derate 20,000 convert logic ops to floating poin	Floating point engineering t (64 bit precision)
Expert Opinion	100 Exaflops ← 125	800 Petaflops :1 →		Derate for manufacturing margin (4×)	g Estimate
Estimate	25 Exaflops	200 Petaflops		Uncertainty (6×)	Gap in chart
	4 Exatlops	32 Petaflops		Improved devices (4×)	Estimate
	1 Exaflops	8 Petaflops		Projected ITRS	ITRS committee of experts
Assumption: Supercomputer is size & cost of Red Storm: US\$100M budget; consumes 2 MW wall power: 750 KW to		80 Teraflops		(100×)	
			Lower supply voltage (2×)	ITRS committee of experts	
active com	ponents	40 Teraflops	•	Red Storm	contract National Laboratorios



Thermal Noise Limit



Metaphor: FM Radio on Trip to St. Louis

- You drive to St. Louis listening to FM radio
- Music clear for a while, but noise creeps in and then overtakes music
- Analogy: You live out the next dozen years buying PCs every couple years
- PCs keep getting faster
 - clock rate increases
 - fan gets bigger
 - won't go on forever
- Why...see next slide

Details: Erik DeBenedictis, "Taking ASCI Supercomputing to the End Game," SAND2004-0959







Driving away from FM transmitter \rightarrow less signal Noise from electrons \rightarrow no change



Increasing numbers of gates \rightarrow less signal power Noise from electrons \rightarrow no change





- Generalization of Moore's Law
 - Projects many parameters
 - Years through 2016
 - Includes justification
 - Panel of experts
 - known to be wrong
 - Size between
 Albuquerque white and yellow pages







Semiconductor Roadmap

YEAR OF PRODUCTION	2010	2013	2016
DRAM ½ PITCH (nm)	45	32	22
MPU/ASIC ½ PITCH (nm)	50	35	25
MPU PRINTED GATE LENGTH (nm)	25	18	13
MPU PHYSICAL GATE LENGTH (nm)	18	13	9
Physical gate length high-performance (HP) (nm) [1]	18	13	9
Equivalent physical oxide thickness for high-performance T _{ox} (EOT)(nm) [2]	0.5-0.8	0.4-0.6	0.4-0.5
Gate depletion and quantum effects electrical thickness adjustment factor (nm) [3]	0.5	0.5	0.5
T _{ox} electrical equivalent (nm) [4]	1.2	1.0	0.9
Nominal power supply voltage (V _{dd}) (V) [5]	0.6	0.5	0.4
Nominal high-performance NMOS sub threshold leakage current, I _{sdleak} (at 25 °C) (µA/µm) [6]	3	7	10
Nominal high-performance NMOS saturation drive current , I_{dd} (at V_{dd} at 25 ° C) (µA/µm) [7]	1200	1500	1500
Required percent current-drive "mobility/transconductance improvement" [8]	30%	70%	100%
Parasitic source/drain resistance (Rsd) (ohm 100) 503	110	90	80
Parasitic source/drain resistance (Rsd) pe 1 000 k T/trapaintar	25%	30%	35%
Parasitic capacitance percent of ideal gat 1,000 KB 1/II ansistor	31%	36%	42%
High-performance NMOS device τ (C _{gate} * V_{dd} / I_{dd} -NMOS)(ps) [12]	0.39	0.22	0.15
Relative device performance [13]	4.5	72	10.7
Energy per ($W/L_{gate}=3$) device switching transition ($C_{gate}*(3*L_{gate})*V^2$) (fJ/Device) [14]	0.015	0.007	0.002
Static power dissipation per (W/Lgate=3) device (Watts/Device) [15]	9.7E-08	1.4E-07	1.1E-07

White-Manufacturable Solutions Exist, and Are Being Optimized

Yellow-Manufacturable Solutions are Known

Red-Manufacturable Solutions are NOT Known







Scientific Supercomputer Limits

	Best-Case Logic	Microprocesso Architecture	r	Physical Factor	Source of Authority
2×10 ²⁴ logic ops/s◀				Reliability limit 750KW/(80k _B T)	Esteemed physicists (T=60°C junction temperature)
				Derate 20,000 convert logic ops to floating poin	Floating point engineering t (64 bit precision)
Expert Opinion	100 Exaflops ← 125	800 Petaflops :1 →		Derate for manufacturing margin (4×)	g Estimate
Estimate	25 Exaflops	200 Petaflops		Uncertainty (6×)	Gap in chart
	4 Exaflops	32 Petaflops		Improved devices (4×)	Estimate
	1 Exaflops	8 Petaflops		Projected ITRS	ITRS committee of experts
Assumption: Supercomputer is size & cost of Red Storm: US\$100M budget; consumes 2 MW wall power; 750 KW to active components 40		90 Toroflopo	-	(100×)	
			Lower supply voltage (2×)	ITRS committee of experts	
		40 Teraflops	•	Red Storm	contract Sandia
					Laboratories



- Have radios become better able to receive distant stations over the last few decades with a rate of improvement similar to Moore's Law?
- You judge from your experience, but the answer should be that they have not.
- Therefore, electrical noise does not scale with Moore's Law.





Outline

- The Computing of Physics: The Need for Zettaflops
- Limits of Moore's Law Today's Technologies
- An Expert System/Optimizer for Supercomputing
- The Physics of Computing: Reaching to Zettaflops
- Roadmap and Future Directions







Sample Analytical Runtime Model

- Simple case: finite difference equation
- Each node holds n×n×n grid points

- Volume-area rule
 - Computing $\propto n^3$
 - Communications $\propto n^2$



Expert System for Future Supercomputers

- Applications Modeling
 - Runtime
 - $T_{run} = f_1(n, design)$
- Technology Roadmap
 - Gate speed = $f_2(year)$,
 - chip density = f_3 (year),
 - cost = \$(n, design), ...
- Scaling Objective Function
 - I have \$C₁ & can wait
 T_{run}=C₂ seconds. What is the biggest n I can solve in year Y?

 Use "Expert System" To Calculate:

• Report:

Floating operations T_{run}(n, design)

and illustrate "design"





Outline

- The Computing of Physics: The Need for Zettaflops
- Limits of Moore's Law Today's Technologies
- An Expert System/Optimizer for Supercomputing
- The Physics of Computing: Reaching to Zettaflops
- Roadmap and Future Directions



Candidate Technologies for Zettaflops

- CMOS per Moore's Law
 - Cluster/μP solution exceeds limits by 10,000×
 - Trillion US\$ cost
 - 10 × Hoover Dam for power supply
 - Custom logic solution exceeds limits by 100×
 - US\$10 billion cost
 - 100 MW power
 - ... worth our while to consider alternatives

- Limiting search for Alternatives to CMOS
 - Digital (not Analog)
 - Plausible to lots of Floating point
 - Controllable by something recognizable as "programming"
 - Mature enough for above issues to be addressed in published papers
 - Rules out coherent quantum, neural nets, DNA computing, optical interference, ...



Alternatives to CMOS for Zettaflops

- New Devices
 - Superconducting: RSFQ (a. k. a. nSQUID, parametric quantrons)
 - Quantum Dots/QCA
 - Rod Logic
 - Helical Logic
 - Single Electron
 Transistors
 - Carbon Nanotube Y Junctions

- Logic and Architecture
 - "Reversible logic" will be unfamiliar to today's engineers but has been shown to be sufficient
 - Arithmetic elements and microprocessors have been demonstrated
 - Leading architecture:
 - Reversible ALU/CPU
 - Irreversible memory





Ref. "Maxwell's demon and quantum-dot cellular automata," John Timler and Craig S. Lent, UD Nauunal JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS 15 JULY 2003





Ref. "Clocked Molecular Quantum-Dot Cellular Automata," Craig S. Lent and Beth Isakse IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ELECTRON DEVICES, VOL. 50, NO. 9, SEPTEMBER 2003



Not Specifically Advocating Quantum Dots

- A number of posttransistor devices have been proposed
- The shape of the performance curves have been validated by a consensus of reputable physicists
- However, validity of any data point can be 10-5 questioned
- Cross-checking appropriate; see \rightarrow



Laboratories

Ref. "Maxwell's demon and quantum-dot cellular automata," John T(m)ler and Craig S. Lent, JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS 15 JULY 2003. Ref. "Helical logic," Ralph C. Merkle and K. Eric Drexler, Nanotechnology 7 (1996) 325-339



Reversible Multiplier Status

- 8×8 Multiplier Designed, Fabricated, and Tested by IBM & University of Michigan
- Power savings was up to 4:1





- M. Niemier Ph. D. Thesis University of Notre Dam
- 12 Bit μP
- CAD design tool princip
 - 10× circuit density of CMOS at same λ
- Applies to various devic
 - Metal dot 4.2 nm²
 - Molecular 1.1 nm²



Figure 4.6. A 2-bit QCA Simple 12 ALU with registers

Reversible Microprocessor Status

Status

- Subject of Ph. D. thesis
- Chip laid out (no floating point)
- **RISC** instruction set
- C-like language
- Compiler
- Demonstrated on a PDE
- However: really weird and not general to program with +=, -=, etc. rather than =

Reversible Computer Engineering and Architecture

Carlin Vieri MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory

Tom Knight: Committee chairman Gerald Sussman, Gill Pratt: readers

Pendulum Reversible Processor







CPU Design





CTH at a Zettaflops

Supercomputer is 211K chips, each with 70.7K nodes of 5.77K cells of 240 bytes; solves 86T=44.1Kx44.1Kx 44.1K cell problem. System dissipates 332KW from the faces of a cube 1.53m on a side, for a power density of 47.3KW/m². Power: 332KW active components; 1.33MW refrigeration; 3.32MW wall power; 6.65MW from power company. System has been inflated by 2.57 over minimum size to provide enough surface area to avoid overheating. Chips are at 99.22% full, comprised of 7.07G logic, 101M memory decoder, and 6.44T memory transistors. Gate cell edge is 34.4nm (logic) 34.4nm (decoder); memory cell edge is 4.5nm (memory). Compute power is 768 EFLOPS, completing an iteration in 224µs and a run in 9.88s.

Chio Diaa/



Outline

- The Computing of Physics: The Need for Zettaflops
- Limits of Moore's Law Today's Technologies
- An Expert System/Optimizer for Supercomputing
- The Physics of Computing: Reaching to Zettaflops
- Roadmap and Future Directions





- Don't believe me? Believe the Experts
- Workshop Agenda October 12
 - Applications session Climate expert Phil Jones
 - Advanced Architectures PIM expert Peter Kogge
 - Limits of Current Architectures Me
 - Panel I: Important Applications
 - New Logic Reversible Logic Expert Michael Frank
 - New Devices Quantum Dot Developer Craig Lent
 - Panel II: Do Opportunities Justify the Effort?







Will Supercomputers Grow Forever?

- Will supercomputer simulations scale up forever, or will there be a maximum?
 - Zettaflops simulates the Earth, and the Earth is the largest thing that we care about in detail
- Will progress in science always come through "simulating physics on a computer"?
 - Perhaps future problems could be formulated as a combination of symbolic reasoning (artificial intelligence) and floating point

