



July 7, 2009
 Contact: Steve Wamhoff
 (202) 299-1066 x33

Health Care Reform Financing Options: President Obama's Proposal to Limit Itemized Deductions for High-Income Families (State-by-State Figures in Appendix)

Reason to Focus on the Itemized Deductions: Limiting Them Makes the Tax Code Fairer

Itemized deductions provide subsidies for certain activities (like buying a home or giving to charity) through the tax system. But they unfairly subsidize these activities at higher rates for wealthy families than they do for middle-income families. The President's proposal to reduce this unfairness would only impact 1.3 percent of taxpayers. Almost all of these taxpayers are among the very richest Americans.

How Itemized Deductions Work Currently: The Higher Your Income, the More You Benefit

People filing their federal income taxes are allowed deductions to lower their taxable income. They can either take a "standard deduction" or choose to "itemize" their deductions. Most people take the standard deduction, but well-off families typically itemize.

The income tax allows you to take an itemized deduction for interest you paid during the year on a home mortgage, for charitable donations you made during the year, for state and local taxes you've paid, and for several other expenses.

The problem is that itemized deductions subsidize certain activities at a higher rate for high-income taxpayers.

For example, the itemized deduction for home mortgage interest is supposed to encourage home ownership, but it does so in an outrageously unfair manner. Someone rich enough to be in the 39.6 percent income tax bracket will save

Percentage of Taxpayers with Tax Increases Under Obama's Proposal to Limit the Benefits of Itemized Deductions to 28% (listed by state, in alphabetical order)			
Alabama	1.1%	Montana	0.7%
Alaska	2.0%	Nebraska	0.7%
Arizona	1.1%	Nevada	1.9%
Arkansas	0.7%	New Hampshire	1.8%
California	1.4%	New Jersey	1.4%
Colorado	1.6%	New Mexico	0.7%
Connecticut	2.5%	New York	1.2%
Delaware	1.1%	North Carolina	0.9%
District of Columbia	1.9%	North Dakota	1.0%
Florida	1.8%	Ohio	0.7%
Georgia	1.4%	Oklahoma	1.1%
Hawaii	1.0%	Oregon	0.9%
Idaho	0.7%	Pennsylvania	1.1%
Illinois	1.7%	Rhode Island	0.7%
Indiana	0.8%	South Carolina	0.8%
Iowa	0.7%	South Dakota	1.3%
Kansas	0.9%	Tennessee	1.5%
Kentucky	0.6%	Texas	1.9%
Louisiana	1.0%	Utah	1.0%
Maine	0.5%	Vermont	0.4%
Maryland	1.3%	Virginia	1.3%
Massachusetts	2.0%	Washington	2.0%
Michigan	0.8%	West Virginia	0.6%
Minnesota	1.0%	Wisconsin	0.7%
Mississippi	0.7%	Wyoming	1.6%
Missouri	0.8%	United States	1.3%

almost 40 cents for each dollar they spend on mortgage interest.

A middle-income family might be in the 15 percent tax bracket. This family will save only 15 cents for each dollar they spend on mortgage interest.

If a member of Congress proposed a program to encourage home ownership through direct subsidies, with larger subsidies going to rich families than middle-income families, we would say that's absurd. But that's exactly how the itemized deductions work.

How Itemized Deductions Can Be Reformed to Make the Tax System More Progressive

The President would reduce, but not eliminate, this disparity by limiting the savings for each dollar of deductions to 28 cents. So someone in the 39.6 percent tax bracket would save 28 cents (instead of nearly 40 cents) for each dollar of itemized deductions. That's still more than the family in the 15 percent bracket would save, but the difference would be reduced.

This Reform would Raise Over \$260 Billion Over 10 Years and Only Impact the Richest 1.3%

The President's proposal to limit the benefits of itemized deductions for high-income people would raise over \$20 billion in 2011 and over \$260 billion over ten years, without impacting the vast majority of Americans at all.

Only 1.3 percent of taxpayers would be impacted in any way. Over 90 percent of the resulting tax increase would be paid by the richest one percent of taxpayers and over 99 percent would be paid by the richest 5 percent of taxpayers.

The percentage of taxpayers impacted varies by state, but not by much. The state with the largest percentage of taxpayers impacted is Connecticut, with 2.5 percent receiving a tax increase as a result of this reform. The state with the lowest percentage of taxpayers impacted is Vermont, with 0.4 percent of taxpayers receiving a tax increase.

Misinformation about the Impact on Charities

Some lawmakers have expressed concern that this proposal would hurt non-profits because it would reduce the tax subsidy for charitable donations by wealthy taxpayers. But a recent report from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities concludes that this proposal would only reduce charitable giving by around 1.9 percent.

That's partly because only a small group of wealthy taxpayers are affected, and they only account for a fraction of the total charitable giving (about 17 percent) in the United States. Using previous studies on the way tax rates impact charitable giving, they estimate that this fraction of charitable giving will be reduced somewhat, but the overall impact on donations will be a reduction of only 1.9 percent.

The report also points out that non-profits could gain enormously if Congress uses this proposal to fund reform of the health care system, making it easier for non-profits and other entities to make sure their employees have adequate coverage.

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in the U.S.			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,427	\$ —	—
Second 20%	25,994	—	—
Middle 20%	43,396	—	—
Fourth 20%	69,347	—	—
Next 15%	119,539	8	0.8%
Next 4%	267,646	295	8.1%
Top 1%	1,497,730	13,224	91.0%
ALL	\$ 72,885	\$ 144	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,947	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Alabama			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,482	\$ —	—
Second 20%	20,354	—	—
Middle 20%	34,397	—	—
Fourth 20%	58,812	—	—
Next 15%	100,422	0	0.1%
Next 4%	209,057	258	12.0%
Top 1%	1,046,266	7,336	85.6%
ALL	\$ 57,929	\$ 85	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 21,753	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Alaska			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 17,070	\$ —	—
Second 20%	33,443	—	—
Middle 20%	57,771	—	—
Fourth 20%	97,303	—	—
Next 15%	160,069	26	5.4%
Next 4%	278,661	526	29.7%
Top 1%	1,202,304	4,590	64.9%
ALL	\$ 86,903	\$ 70	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 36,209	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Arizona			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,305	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,317	—	—
Middle 20%	40,357	—	—
Fourth 20%	62,939	—	—
Next 15%	104,983	2	0.2%
Next 4%	229,684	176	5.4%
Top 1%	1,255,607	12,214	94.4%
ALL	\$ 65,300	\$ 129	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,324	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Arkansas			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,213	\$ —	—
Second 20%	20,870	—	—
Middle 20%	34,904	—	—
Fourth 20%	56,684	—	—
Next 15%	93,723	—	—
Next 4%	205,901	92	5.3%
Top 1%	846,176	6,661	94.7%
ALL	\$ 54,330	\$ 69	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 21,661	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in California			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 13,629	\$ —	—
Second 20%	28,787	—	—
Middle 20%	46,475	—	—
Fourth 20%	74,893	—	—
Next 15%	137,166	11	0.7%
Next 4%	324,480	276	4.2%
Top 1%	2,035,213	24,675	94.9%
ALL	\$ 85,825	\$ 258	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 29,631	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Colorado			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,284	\$ —	—
Second 20%	29,464	—	—
Middle 20%	50,090	—	—
Fourth 20%	79,379	—	—
Next 15%	137,657	5	0.5%
Next 4%	304,292	425	10.7%
Top 1%	1,625,547	14,076	88.8%
ALL	\$ 82,283	\$ 157	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 30,612	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Connecticut			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,969	\$ —	—
Second 20%	32,455	—	—
Middle 20%	54,487	—	—
Fourth 20%	88,734	—	—
Next 15%	157,605	23	0.9%
Next 4%	399,197	1,280	13.3%
Top 1%	2,524,756	25,614	85.8%
ALL	\$ 106,120	\$ 362	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 33,294	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Delaware			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,953	\$ —	—
Second 20%	25,137	—	—
Middle 20%	43,991	—	—
Fourth 20%	70,125	—	—
Next 15%	114,309	8	1.0%
Next 4%	240,662	131	4.7%
Top 1%	1,350,707	10,667	94.3%
ALL	\$ 69,978	\$ 112	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,751	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in District of Columbia			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,576	\$ —	—
Second 20%	28,296	—	—
Middle 20%	49,187	—	—
Fourth 20%	78,437	—	—
Next 15%	150,967	52	1.7%
Next 4%	435,695	820	7.2%
Top 1%	2,727,188	41,952	91.1%
ALL	\$ 100,804	\$ 460	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 30,080	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Florida			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,812	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,166	—	—
Middle 20%	37,078	—	—
Fourth 20%	60,383	—	—
Next 15%	110,607	5	0.5%
Next 4%	281,370	552	14.5%
Top 1%	2,054,298	12,991	84.9%
ALL	\$ 73,878	\$ 151	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 23,694	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Georgia			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,851	\$ —	—
Second 20%	21,158	—	—
Middle 20%	36,850	—	—
Fourth 20%	61,108	—	—
Next 15%	107,946	1	0.2%
Next 4%	241,418	281	9.2%
Top 1%	1,164,100	11,022	90.6%
ALL	\$ 62,459	\$ 120	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 22,636	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Hawaii			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,259	\$ —	—
Second 20%	24,605	—	—
Middle 20%	40,141	—	—
Fourth 20%	63,080	—	—
Next 15%	112,376	1	0.2%
Next 4%	241,543	298	14.2%
Top 1%	1,079,093	7,174	85.6%
ALL	\$ 64,599	\$ 83	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 25,158	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Idaho			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,770	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,818	—	—
Middle 20%	40,614	—	—
Fourth 20%	61,088	—	—
Next 15%	99,331	—	—
Next 4%	210,831	29	1.5%
Top 1%	1,049,804	7,609	95.6%
ALL	\$ 60,895	\$ 79	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 25,165	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Illinois			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,823	\$ —	—
Second 20%	27,435	—	—
Middle 20%	47,554	—	—
Fourth 20%	74,248	—	—
Next 15%	126,677	10	1.1%
Next 4%	291,115	540	15.8%
Top 1%	1,675,200	11,392	83.1%
ALL	\$ 78,766	\$ 136	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 28,662	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Indiana			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,710	\$ —	—
Second 20%	24,896	—	—
Middle 20%	41,804	—	—
Fourth 20%	63,201	—	—
Next 15%	100,053	0	0.0%
Next 4%	203,601	103	6.3%
Top 1%	921,991	6,089	93.7%
ALL	\$ 60,044	\$ 64	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 25,766	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Iowa			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,373	\$ —	—
Second 20%	29,407	—	—
Middle 20%	46,969	—	—
Fourth 20%	70,050	—	—
Next 15%	107,316	1	0.4%
Next 4%	206,193	29	1.9%
Top 1%	907,932	5,796	97.7%
ALL	\$ 64,458	\$ 59	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 29,319	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Kansas			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,666	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,770	—	—
Middle 20%	44,083	—	—
Fourth 20%	70,082	—	—
Next 15%	112,562	8	1.2%
Next 4%	234,136	146	6.5%
Top 1%	1,063,791	8,398	92.3%
ALL	\$ 66,947	\$ 91	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 27,235	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Kentucky			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,196	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,076	—	—
Middle 20%	36,791	—	—
Fourth 20%	58,587	—	—
Next 15%	94,681	—	—
Next 4%	194,143	44	3.2%
Top 1%	849,773	5,250	96.8%
ALL	\$ 55,235	\$ 54	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 22,677	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Louisiana			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,177	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,183	—	—
Middle 20%	37,923	—	—
Fourth 20%	61,059	—	—
Next 15%	109,373	0	0.0%
Next 4%	230,679	122	6.5%
Top 1%	997,644	6,996	93.5%
ALL	\$ 60,924	\$ 74	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 23,425	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Maine			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,136	\$ —	—
Second 20%	24,860	—	—
Middle 20%	40,636	—	—
Fourth 20%	62,583	—	—
Next 15%	101,309	—	—
Next 4%	217,627	26	1.9%
Top 1%	887,848	5,277	98.1%
ALL	\$ 60,274	\$ 53	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 25,877	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Maryland			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,168	\$ —	—
Second 20%	30,837	—	—
Middle 20%	51,280	—	—
Fourth 20%	82,491	—	—
Next 15%	143,059	23	2.0%
Next 4%	301,874	300	7.0%
Top 1%	1,585,323	15,728	90.9%
ALL	\$ 83,798	\$ 170	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 31,486	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Massachusetts			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,978	\$ —	—
Second 20%	30,814	—	—
Middle 20%	53,700	—	—
Fourth 20%	86,099	—	—
Next 15%	152,548	11	0.8%
Next 4%	360,068	644	12.4%
Top 1%	2,225,794	17,983	86.8%
ALL	\$ 95,075	\$ 205	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 32,156	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Michigan			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,972	\$ —	—
Second 20%	24,645	—	—
Middle 20%	42,124	—	—
Fourth 20%	66,126	—	—
Next 15%	106,697	6	1.2%
Next 4%	210,367	71	4.3%
Top 1%	989,751	6,304	94.5%
ALL	\$ 62,062	\$ 66	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 25,588	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Minnesota			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,372	\$ —	—
Second 20%	30,423	—	—
Middle 20%	49,932	—	—
Fourth 20%	75,041	—	—
Next 15%	120,340	20	2.2%
Next 4%	266,667	56	1.7%
Top 1%	1,343,551	12,756	96.1%
ALL	\$ 75,324	\$ 132	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 30,971	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Mississippi			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,265	\$ —	—
Second 20%	18,567	—	—
Middle 20%	31,129	—	—
Fourth 20%	53,671	—	—
Next 15%	92,530	—	—
Next 4%	187,743	35	2.6%
Top 1%	776,999	5,177	97.4%
ALL	\$ 50,816	\$ 52	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 19,627	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Missouri			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,412	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,707	—	—
Middle 20%	39,401	—	—
Fourth 20%	63,099	—	—
Next 15%	102,779	3	0.6%
Next 4%	216,505	53	2.6%
Top 1%	1,046,887	8,037	96.9%
ALL	\$ 61,186	\$ 82	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,498	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Montana			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,117	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,605	—	—
Middle 20%	39,087	—	—
Fourth 20%	62,179	—	—
Next 15%	103,630	19	5.6%
Next 4%	227,325	128	10.1%
Top 1%	956,561	4,246	84.2%
ALL	\$ 60,522	\$ 50	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,360	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Nebraska			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,999	\$ —	—
Second 20%	29,204	—	—
Middle 20%	47,037	—	—
Fourth 20%	72,298	—	—
Next 15%	118,922	2	0.3%
Next 4%	233,626	76	3.3%
Top 1%	1,183,712	8,943	96.4%
ALL	\$ 71,342	\$ 94	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 29,365	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Nevada			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 13,713	\$ —	—
Second 20%	27,244	—	—
Middle 20%	43,825	—	—
Fourth 20%	66,941	—	—
Next 15%	110,610	1	0.1%
Next 4%	263,946	604	11.1%
Top 1%	2,370,576	19,409	88.9%
ALL	\$ 80,346	\$ 216	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 28,311	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in New Hampshire			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 15,559	\$ —	—
Second 20%	34,435	—	—
Middle 20%	54,758	—	—
Fourth 20%	84,620	—	—
Next 15%	136,909	—	—
Next 4%	288,487	547	22.3%
Top 1%	1,374,656	7,682	77.7%
ALL	\$ 82,378	\$ 97	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 35,027	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in New Jersey			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,463	\$ —	—
Second 20%	29,912	—	—
Middle 20%	51,610	—	—
Fourth 20%	83,437	—	—
Next 15%	147,301	7	0.5%
Next 4%	337,494	291	5.6%
Top 1%	1,789,833	19,664	93.9%
ALL	\$ 88,194	\$ 208	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 31,342	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in New Mexico			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,261	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,061	—	—
Middle 20%	37,147	—	—
Fourth 20%	60,308	—	—
Next 15%	103,274	3	0.9%
Next 4%	215,420	69	5.3%
Top 1%	886,415	4,931	93.9%
ALL	\$ 58,463	\$ 52	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 23,485	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in New York			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,956	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,380	—	—
Middle 20%	41,237	—	—
Fourth 20%	68,468	—	—
Next 15%	122,464	8	0.4%
Next 4%	299,387	189	2.5%
Top 1%	2,233,564	29,544	97.1%
ALL	\$ 80,066	\$ 300	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,834	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in North Carolina			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,580	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,625	—	—
Middle 20%	37,634	—	—
Fourth 20%	61,667	—	—
Next 15%	104,881	0	0.0%
Next 4%	227,710	100	5.1%
Top 1%	995,534	7,417	94.9%
ALL	\$ 60,623	\$ 77	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 23,606	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in North Dakota			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 14,635	\$ —	—
Second 20%	30,316	—	—
Middle 20%	50,396	—	—
Fourth 20%	80,990	—	—
Next 15%	124,054	1	0.1%
Next 4%	251,741	106	6.2%
Top 1%	1,020,499	6,410	93.6%
ALL	\$ 73,917	\$ 68	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 31,604	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Ohio			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,845	\$ —	—
Second 20%	25,464	—	—
Middle 20%	42,410	—	—
Fourth 20%	63,962	—	—
Next 15%	101,656	0	0.0%
Next 4%	210,426	70	3.7%
Top 1%	967,901	7,273	96.2%
ALL	\$ 61,065	\$ 74	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,242	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Oklahoma			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,020	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,886	—	—
Middle 20%	40,045	—	—
Fourth 20%	64,955	—	—
Next 15%	112,190	1	0.1%
Next 4%	238,767	118	4.7%
Top 1%	1,172,255	9,509	95.1%
ALL	\$ 65,042	\$ 99	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,260	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Oregon			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,315	\$ —	—
Second 20%	25,631	—	—
Middle 20%	43,128	—	—
Fourth 20%	68,937	—	—
Next 15%	117,047	5	0.7%
Next 4%	249,241	443	16.3%
Top 1%	1,083,651	9,050	83.0%
ALL	\$ 67,426	\$ 108	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,709	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Pennsylvania			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,202	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,673	—	—
Middle 20%	45,076	—	—
Fourth 20%	69,301	—	—
Next 15%	115,000	1	0.1%
Next 4%	248,204	176	7.4%
Top 1%	1,189,180	8,737	92.4%
ALL	\$ 68,572	\$ 93	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 27,656	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Rhode Island			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,820	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,208	—	—
Middle 20%	40,136	—	—
Fourth 20%	63,989	—	—
Next 15%	108,579	1	0.1%
Next 4%	231,014	120	5.4%
Top 1%	1,042,432	8,300	94.5%
ALL	\$ 62,493	\$ 87	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,079	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in South Carolina			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,124	\$ —	—
Second 20%	22,184	—	—
Middle 20%	35,198	—	—
Fourth 20%	57,619	—	—
Next 15%	99,233	0	0.0%
Next 4%	212,714	48	3.2%
Top 1%	921,011	5,742	96.7%
ALL	\$ 57,008	\$ 59	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 22,495	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in South Dakota			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,267	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,342	—	—
Middle 20%	45,760	—	—
Fourth 20%	70,169	—	—
Next 15%	111,648	0	0.1%
Next 4%	243,436	286	14.9%
Top 1%	1,206,722	6,527	85.1%
ALL	\$ 68,375	\$ 76	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 27,675	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Tennessee			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 10,634	\$ —	—
Second 20%	23,239	—	—
Middle 20%	38,640	—	—
Fourth 20%	59,523	—	—
Next 15%	100,085	0	0.0%
Next 4%	221,469	307	13.9%
Top 1%	1,090,704	7,575	86.0%
ALL	\$ 60,431	\$ 87	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 24,174	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Texas			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,094	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,436	—	—
Middle 20%	43,115	—	—
Fourth 20%	70,998	—	—
Next 15%	127,439	37	4.6%
Next 4%	286,752	601	19.9%
Top 1%	1,543,326	9,102	75.5%
ALL	\$ 75,735	\$ 120	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 27,226	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Utah			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,700	\$ —	—
Second 20%	27,067	—	—
Middle 20%	43,805	—	—
Fourth 20%	68,453	—	—
Next 15%	113,829	—	—
Next 4%	232,558	176	6.7%
Top 1%	1,167,774	9,948	93.3%
ALL	\$ 67,484	\$ 105	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 27,560	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Vermont			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,851	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,238	—	—
Middle 20%	42,597	—	—
Fourth 20%	62,230	—	—
Next 15%	105,055	—	—
Next 4%	227,824	22	1.7%
Top 1%	984,757	5,269	98.3%
ALL	\$ 62,737	\$ 53	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 26,886	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Virginia			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 11,478	\$ —	—
Second 20%	26,812	—	—
Middle 20%	46,288	—	—
Fourth 20%	75,921	—	—
Next 15%	133,637	4	0.5%
Next 4%	282,864	191	6.3%
Top 1%	1,403,791	11,387	93.3%
ALL	\$ 76,825	\$ 121	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 28,197	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Washington			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 12,677	\$ —	—
Second 20%	31,137	—	—
Middle 20%	53,481	—	—
Fourth 20%	83,850	—	—
Next 15%	139,159	8	0.9%
Next 4%	302,711	901	26.0%
Top 1%	1,593,352	10,209	73.1%
ALL	\$ 83,652	\$ 137	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 32,455	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in West Virginia			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 9,402	\$ —	—
Second 20%	20,906	—	—
Middle 20%	34,312	—	—
Fourth 20%	58,189	—	—
Next 15%	94,469	—	—
Next 4%	178,310	23	2.6%
Top 1%	658,973	3,510	97.4%
ALL	\$ 51,826	\$ 36	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 21,542	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Wisconsin			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 13,235	\$ —	—
Second 20%	27,777	—	—
Middle 20%	46,175	—	—
Fourth 20%	71,703	—	—
Next 15%	110,663	—	—
Next 4%	223,100	33	1.6%
Top 1%	1,101,660	7,782	98.4%
ALL	\$ 68,129	\$ 80	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 29,136	\$ —	0.0%

President's Proposal to Limit Benefit of Itemized Deductions to the Wealthy to 28 Percent, Impact in 2011 in Wyoming			
Income Group	Average Income	Average Tax Increase	Share of Tax Increase
Lowest 20%	\$ 13,717	\$ —	—
Second 20%	32,577	—	—
Middle 20%	53,668	—	—
Fourth 20%	82,073	—	—
Next 15%	129,103	7	0.4%
Next 4%	299,599	608	10.7%
Top 1%	2,562,045	20,028	88.9%
ALL	\$ 93,518	\$ 226	100.0%
Bottom 60%	\$ 33,256	\$ —	0.0%