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## 1. Kernels, boundedness, continuity

Definition: A linear (not necessarily continuous) map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ from one Hilbert space to another is bounded if, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that for all $x \in X$ with $|x|_{X}<\delta$ we have $|T x|_{Y}<\varepsilon$. The following simple result is used constantly.

Proposition: Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear (not necessarily continuous) map. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) $T$ is continuous
(ii) $T$ is continuous at 0
(iii) $T$ is bounded

Proof: Suppose $T$ is continuous as 0 . Given $\varepsilon>0$ and $x \in X$, let $\delta>0$ be small enough such that for $\left|x^{\prime}-0\right|_{X}<\delta$ we have $\left|T x^{\prime}-0\right|_{Y}<\varepsilon$. Then for $|x "-x|_{X}<\delta$, using the linearity, we have

$$
|T x "-T x|_{X}=|T(x "-x)-0|_{X}<\delta
$$

That is, continuity at 0 implies continuity.
Since $|x|=|x-0|$, continuity at 0 is immediately equivalent to boundedness.
Definition: The kernel ker $T$ of a linear (not necessarily continuous) linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ from one Hilbert space to another is

$$
\operatorname{ker} T=\{x \in X: T x=0 \in Y\}
$$

Proposition: The kernel of a continuous linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is closed.
Proof: For $T$ continuous

$$
\operatorname{ker} T=T^{-1}\{0\}=X-T^{-1}(Y-\{0\})=X-T^{-1}(\text { open })=X-\text { open }=\text { closed }
$$

since the inverse images of open sets by a continuous map are continuous.

## 2. Adjoints of maps on Hilbert spaces

Definition: An adjoint $T^{*}$ of a continuous linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ from a pre-Hilbert space $X$ to a pre-Hilbert space $Y$ (if $T^{*}$ exists) is a continuous linear map $T^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ such that

$$
\langle T x, y\rangle_{Y}=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle_{X}
$$

Remark: Without an assumption that a pre-Hilbert space $X$ is complete, hence a Hilbert space, we do not know that an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ has an adjoint.

Theorem: A continuous linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ of a Hilbert space $X$ to a pre-Hilbert space $Y$ has a unique adjoint $T^{*}$.

Remark: Note that the target space of $T$ need not be a Hilbert space, that is, need not be complete.
Proof: For each fixed $y \in Y$, the map

$$
\lambda_{y}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

given by

$$
\lambda_{y}(x)=\langle T x, y\rangle
$$

is a continuous linear functional on $X$. Thus, by the Riesz-Fischer theorem, there is a unique $x_{y} \in X$ so that

$$
\langle T x, y\rangle=\lambda_{y}(x)=\left\langle x, x_{y}\right\rangle
$$

Take

$$
T^{*} y=x_{y}
$$

This is a perfectly well-defined map from $Y$ to $X$, and has the crucial property $\langle T x, y\rangle_{Y}=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle_{X}$.
To prove that $T^{*}$ is continuous, prove that it is bounded. From Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakowsky

$$
\left|T^{*} y\right|^{2}=\left|\left\langle T^{*} y, T^{*} y\right\rangle_{X}\right|=\left|\left\langle y, T T^{*} y\right\rangle_{Y}\right| \leq|y| \cdot\left|T T^{*} y\right| \leq|y| \cdot|T| \cdot\left|T^{*} y\right|
$$

where $|T|$ is the uniform operator norm of $T$. If $T^{*} y \neq 0$, then we divide by it to find

$$
\left|T^{*} y\right| \leq|y| \cdot|T|
$$

Thus, $\left|T^{*}\right| \leq|T|$. In particular, $T^{*}$ is bounded. Since $\left(T^{*}\right)^{*}=T$, we obtain $|T|=\left|T^{*}\right|$.
The linearity is easy.
Corollary: For a continuous linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ of Hilbert spaces, $T^{* *}=T$.
An operator $T \in \operatorname{End}(X)$ is normal if it commutes with its adjoint, that is, if

$$
T T^{*}=T^{*} T
$$

This definition only makes sense in application to operators from a Hilbert space to itself. An operator $T$ is self-adjoint or hermitian if $T=T^{*}$. That is, $T$ is hermitian if

$$
\langle T x, y\rangle=\langle x, T y\rangle
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. An operator $T$ is unitary if

$$
T T^{*}=T^{*} T=\text { identity map } 1_{X} \text { on } X
$$

There are simple examples in infinite-dimensional spaces where $T T^{*}=1$ does not imply $T^{*} T=1$, and vice-versa. Thus, it does not suffice to check something like $\langle T x, T x\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle$ in order to prove unitariness. Obviously hermitian operators are normal. With this more careful definition of unitary operators, it is also immediate that unitary operators are normal.

## 3. Stable subspaces and complements

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear operator on a Hilbert space $X$. A vector subspace is $T$-stable or $T$-invariant if $T y \in Y$ for all $y \in Y$. Often one is most interested in the case that the subspace be closed in addition to being invariant.

Proposition: Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear operator on a Hilbert space $X$, and let $Y$ be a $T$-stable subspace. Then $Y^{\perp}$ is $T^{*}$-stable.

Proof: Take $z \in Y^{\perp}$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$
\left\langle T^{*} z, y\right\rangle=\left\langle z, T^{* *} y\right\rangle=\langle z, T y\rangle
$$

since $T^{* *}=T$, from above. Since $Y$ is $T$-stable, $T y \in Y$, and this inner product is 0 . Thus, $T^{*} z \in Y^{\perp}$. ///

Corollary: Let $T$ be a continuous linear operator on a Hilbert space $X$, and let $Y$ be a closed $T$-stable subspace. For $T$ self-adjoint both $Y$ and $Y^{\perp}$ are $T$-stable.

Remark: The hypothesis of normality is insufficient to assure the conclusion of the corollary, in general. For example, with the two-sided $\ell^{2}$ space

$$
X=\left\{\left\{c_{n}: n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}: \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

let $T$ be the right shift operator

$$
(T c)_{n}=c_{n-1}
$$

Then $T^{*}$ is the left shift operator

$$
\left(T^{*} c\right)_{n}=c_{n+1}
$$

and

$$
T^{*} T=T T^{*}=1_{X}
$$

So this $T$ is not merely normal, but unitary. However, the $T$-stable subspace

$$
Y=\left\{\left\{c_{n}\right\} \in X: c_{k}=0 \text { for } k<0\right\}
$$

is certainly not $T^{*}$-stable, and the orthogonal complement is not $T$-stable. On the other hand, if we look at adjoint-stable collections of operators, we recover a good stability result, as in the following proposition.

Proposition: Let $A$ be a set of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $V$, and suppose that for $T \in A$ also the adjoint $T^{*}$ is in $A$. Then for an $A$-stable closed subspace $W$ of $V$, the orthogonal complement $W^{\perp}$ is also $A$-stable.

Proof: Let $y$ be in $W^{\perp}$, and $T \in A$. Then for $x \in W$

$$
\langle x, T y\rangle=\left\langle T^{*} x, y\right\rangle \in\langle W, y\rangle=\{0\}
$$

since $T^{*} \in A$.

## 4. Spectrum, eigenvalues

For a continuous linear operator $T \in \operatorname{End}(X)$, the $\lambda$-eigenspace of $T$ is

$$
X_{\lambda}=\{x \in X: T x=\lambda x\}
$$

If this space is not simply $\{0\}$, then $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue.
Proposition: An eigenspace $X_{\lambda}$ for a continuous linear operator $T$ on $X$ is a closed and $T$-stable subspace of $X$. Further, for normal $T$ the adjoint $T^{*}$ acts by the scalar $\bar{\lambda}$ on $X_{\lambda}$.

Proof: The $\lambda$-eigenspace is the kernel of the continuous linear map $T-\lambda$, so is closed. The stability is clear, since the operator restricted to the eigenspace is a scalar operator. For $v \in X_{\lambda}$, using normality,

$$
(T-\lambda) T^{*} v=T^{*}(T-\lambda) v=T^{*} \cdot 0=0
$$

Thus, $X_{\lambda}$ is $T^{*}$-stable. For $x, y \in X_{\lambda}$,

$$
\left\langle\left(T^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) x, y\right\rangle=\langle x,(T-\lambda) y\rangle=\langle x, 0\rangle
$$

Thus, $\left(T^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) x=0$.
Proposition: For $T$ normal, for $\lambda \neq \mu$, and for $x \in X_{\lambda}, y \in X_{\mu}$, always $\langle x, y\rangle=0$. For $T$ self-adjoint, if $X_{\lambda} \neq 0$ then $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. For $T$ unitary, if $X_{\lambda} \neq 0$ then $|\lambda|=1$.

Proof: Let $x \in X_{\lambda}, y \in X_{\mu}$, with $\mu \neq \lambda$. Then

$$
\lambda\langle x, y\rangle=\langle T x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle=\langle x, \bar{\mu} y\rangle=\mu\langle x, y\rangle
$$

invoking the previous result. Thus,

$$
(\lambda-\mu)\langle x, y\rangle=0
$$

which gives the result. For $T$ self-adjoint and $x$ a non-zero $\lambda$-eigenvector,

$$
\lambda\langle x, x\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{*} x\right\rangle=\langle x, T x\rangle=\langle x, \lambda x\rangle=\bar{\lambda}\langle x, x\rangle
$$

Thus, $(\lambda-\bar{\lambda})\langle x, x\rangle=0$. Since $x$ is non-zero, the result follows. For $T$ unitary and $x$ a non-zero $\lambda$-eigenvector,

$$
\langle x, x\rangle=\left\langle T^{*} T x, x\right\rangle=\langle T x, T x\rangle=|\lambda|^{2} \cdot\langle x, x\rangle
$$

In what follows, for a complex scalar $\lambda$ instead of the more cumbersome notation $\lambda \cdot 1_{X}$ for the scalar multiplication by $\lambda$ on $X$ we may write simply $\lambda$.

Definition: The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of a continuous linear operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ on a Hilbert space $X$ is the collection of complex numbers $\lambda$ such that $T-\lambda$ has no (continuous linear) inverse.
Definition: The discrete spectrum $\sigma_{\text {disc }}(T)$ is the collection of complex numbers $\lambda$ such that $T-\lambda$ fails to be injective. (In other words, the discrete spectrum is the collection of eigenvalues.)

Definition: The continuous spectrum $\sigma_{\text {cont }}(T)$ is the collection of complex numbers $\lambda$ such that $T-\lambda \cdot 1_{X}$ is injective, does have dense image, but fails to be surjective.

Definition: The residual spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{res}}(T)$ is everything else: neither discrete nor continuous spectrum. That is, the residual spectrum of $T$ is the collection of complex numbers $\lambda$ such that $T-\lambda \cdot 1_{X}$ is injective, and fails to have dense image (so is certainly not surjective).

Proposition: A normal operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ has empty residual spectrum.
Proof: The adjoint of $T-\lambda$ is $T^{*}-\bar{\lambda}$, so we may as well consider $\lambda=0$, to lighten the notation. Suppose that $T$ does not have dense image. Then there is a non-zero vector $z$ in the orthogonal complement to the image $T X$. Thus, for every $x \in X$,

$$
0=\langle z, T x\rangle=\left\langle T^{*} z, x\right\rangle
$$

Therefore $T^{*} z=0$. Thus, the 0 -eigenspace for $T^{*}$ is non-zero. From just above, $T=T^{* *}$ stabilizes the 0 -eigenspace $Z$ of $T^{*}$. Thus, $Z$ is both $T$ and $T^{*}$-stable. Therefore, from above, the orthogonal complement $Z^{\perp}$ of $Z$ is both $T$ and $T^{*}$-stable. Then for $z, z^{\prime} \in Z$

$$
\left\langle T z, z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle z, T^{*} z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle z, 0\rangle=0
$$

This holds for all $z^{\prime} \in Z$, so by the $T$-stability of $Z$ we see that $T z=0$ for $z \in Z$. That is, $T$ fails to be injective, having 0 -eigenvectors $Z$. In other words, there is no residual spectrum.

