

# On the Reconstruction of Japanese Accents

UWANO Zendo (Univ. of Tokyo)

RCJLL, Univ. of Oxford

07 Sept. 2009

# Abstract

Based on modern dialects and ancient documents I propose a proto-accent system for mainland Japanese dialects. For the high-beginning group I hypothesize a half-falling pattern of the form \*HHMM- instead of the commonly accepted high-level pattern \*HHHH-.

On the whole, the proto-system consists of the two tonal patterns, falling(!) and low-level (\_), and the two accent kernels, rising ([) and lowering (]), and the system contains more oppositions than previously proposed.

# Notations

[ : (abrupt) rise in pitch

[[ : rising pitch

] : (abrupt) fall in pitch

]] : falling pitch

! : half fall in pitch

!! : half falling pitch

% : half rise in pitch

O : syllable (CV)

e.g.,

[OO = HH, [[O = R, [O]O = HL, [OO]] = HF,

[O!O = HM, [O!! = H-M, O%O = LM

# A brief history of the reconstruction of Japanese accents

- E. D. POLIVANOV initiated the comparative study of Japanese accents (Nagasaki, Tosa, Kyoto and Tokyo).
- HATTORI Shiroo laid the foundations of a geographical, descriptive and comparative study of Japanese accents.
- KINDAICHI Haruhiko established proto-forms and word-accent classes.

# Word-accent classes

- Word-accent classes are the categories which are proposed in the proto-system on the basis of the correspondence sets.
- Based on modern dialects and ancient documents, especially *Ruiju-Myoogishoo*, the following word-accent classes are proposed by KINDAICHI Haruhiko. Hence, they are named as “Kindaichi’s word-accent classes”.

# Examples of K's word-accent classes

class	monosyllabic (I)	disyllabic (II)	trisyllabic (III)
-1	ka, ti, to,...	kaze, niha, tori,...	kemuri, kuruma,...
-2	na, ha, hi,...	isi, kaha, oto,...	aduki, kenuki,...
-3	ki, te, me,...	inu, hana, yama,...	komugi, tikara,...
-4		kasa, sora, matu,...	atama, wotoko,...
-5		aki, ame, nabe,...	asahi, inoti,...
-6			usagi, kitune,...
-7			itigo, kabuto,...

# Prerequisites for the reconstruction

- (a) **The changes** from the proto-system to the attested dialects and ancient documents must **be explained in a phonetically natural way**.
- (b) **Reconstructed accent patterns must be realistic**, and each pattern must be clearly distinguished from the others. On the other hand, patterns of the classes which have merged in many dialects must have some common features.
- (c) **Reconstructed forms must make a system** as a whole.

# Kindaichi's proto-system (nouns)

classes	I	II	III	
-1	*[ka	*[kaze	*[kuruma	
-2	<u>*[ha]</u>	<u>*[o]to</u>	*[adu]ki	High-beginning
-3	*ki	*yama	<u>*[ti]kara</u>	
-4		*so[ra	*atama	
-5		*a[me]]	*ino[ti	Low-beginning
-6			*u[sagi	
-7			*ka[bu]to	



# Kindaichi's proto-system (verbs)

## IIa (vowel verbs)

concl.    attrib.

-1 \***[ki]**ru    \***[ki]**ru

-2 \***mi[ru]**    \***mi[ru]**

## IIb (cons. verbs)

-1 \***[o]**ku    \***[o]**ku

-2 \***ka[ku]**    \***ka[ku]**

-3

## IIIa

concl.    attrib.

\***[a]**gu    \***[a]**gu

\***sa[gu]**    \***sa[gu]**

## IIIb

\***[ata]**ru    \***[ata]**ru

\***ugo[ku]**    \***ugo[ku]**

\***a[ru]**ku    \***a[ru]**ku

# Problems for Kindaichi's Theory

- K' s hypothesis meets the prerequisites (b) & (c).
  - However, there are many dialects where it is difficult to meet condition (a).
- [1] Especially, **not a few dialects have a fall in pitch in class 1** (I-1, II-1, III-1).
  - [2] **Some dialects have different patterns between class-1 nouns and class-1 verbs**, both having the same patterns in the proto-system.
  - [3] **Some have distinctions between conclusive forms and attributive forms in verbs**, both being derived from the original attributive forms.

# [1] Dialects with a fall in pitch in class 1

- Many dialects have a fall after the second mora.
  - They are distributed mainly in **three separate districts** in Japan, two of which, (1) and (2), are **contiguous to dialects with half-falling pattern**.
- (1) Sado, Kanazawa (Ishikawa), Mikuni (Fukui), etc.
  - (2) Manabe-shima, Shishi-jima, Uoshima (all in Setonaikai), Yamashirodani (Tokushima), etc.
  - (3) Oki, Mishima (Yamaguchi), Iki, Tsushima, 2-pattern accent in Kyuushuu, etc.

## [2] Class 1 noun ≠ Class 1 verb

(1) Dialects with no fall in pitch in nouns, but with a fall in pitch in verbs: Toyama, Marugame (Kagawa), etc.

Toyama: ka[ze ≠ [o]ku, sa[kana ≠ a[ta]ru

Marugame: [ka!ze ≠ [o]ku, [saka!na ≠ [a]taru

(2) Dialects with different position of the fall in nouns and verbs: Sado, Mishima, Hakata, Iki, etc.

Mishima: ka[ze] ≠ [o]ku, sa[kana] ≠ a[ta]ru

# [3] Dialects with alternations between conclusive forms and attributive forms

- Sado, Himi (Toyama), Mino (Kagawa), etc.
- The Aikawa Dialect in Sado:

Positive

Negative

Ila-1	[ki]ru	ki[ruto]ki	[ki]N	[kiNto]ki
Ila-2	mi[ru	mi[ruto]ki	[mi]N	[miNto]ki
Ilb-1	[o]ku	o[kuto]ki	o[ka]N	o[kaNto]ki
Ilb-2	ka[ku	ka[kuto]ki	[ka]kaN	[ka]kaNto]ki
Illa-1	a[ge]ru	a[geruto]ki	a[ge]N	a[geNto]ki
Illa-2	[sa]geru	[sa]geruto]ki	[sa]geN	[sa]geNto]ki

# No alternations in the past tense

- Aikawa: Past forms                      Cf. Present forms
- Ila-1 [ki]ta, [ki]tato]ki              [ki]ru, ki[ruto]ki
- Ila-2 [mi]ta, [mi]tato]ki
- Ilb-1 [o]ita, [o]itato]ki              [o]ku, o[kuto]ki
- Ilb-2 [kaita, [kaitato]ki
- Illa-1 [a]geta, [a]getato]ki              a[ge]ru, a[geruto]ki
- Illa-2 sa[gete, sa[getato]ki

# Explanations so far: a fall in class 1

- Kindaichi: through the low flat pattern.
- \*[000 > \*0[00 > \*00[0 > \*000 > \*[0]00 > 0[0]0  
or .... \*000 > \*[00]0 > 0[0]0
- Serious questions:
  - (1) **Unnaturalness**: Only class 1 suffers drastic changes, the others remaining almost as they were.
  - (2) Is it possible without any merger of classes?
  - (3) **No dialect with low flat pattern is found nearby.**

# A case of the Shiramine dialect

- “Shiramine dialect underwent drastic changes in II-1, but preserved proto-form in II-2.” (Kindaichi)
- The only possibility? (\* omitted for lack of space)

a            b            c            d            e            f            now

-1 [kaze = [kaze > ka[ze > kaze > [ka]ze > ka[ze]=ka[ze]

-2 [o]to = [o]to = [o]to = [o]to > o[to] > oto > [o]to

-3 yama>[ya]ma=[ya]ma=[ya]ma>ya[ma]>yama>[ya]ma

-4 ka[sa = ka[sa > kasa > [kasa > ka[sa = ka[sa =ka[sa

-5 a[me]]>a[me > ame > [ame > a[me = a[me =a[me



# The problem of class 1

- The problem of the kernel in class 1: starting from \*high-level pattern as a proto-form, it became low flat, and initial rising occurred, and then the high shifted one mora to the right --- detour!
- The same difficulties hold true also for Manabe-shima, Sado, Uoshima and Mishima dialects, and so on.
- \*[O, \*[OO, \*[OOO must be reconsidered.

# Explanations so far: Verbs

- As for [2] the difference in class 1 between nouns and verbs, only an ad-hoc explanation by analogy is given, without success.
- As for [3] the difference between conclusive and attributive forms of verbs, no explanation is yet given, because the facts are first clarified by my field research.

# Other possibilities

- KINDAICHI proposed another possibility:

II-1 \*[OO], III-1 \*[OOO]

However, he did not adopt this, because this hypothesis entails more difficult problems.

- TOKUGAWA Munemasa: II-1 \*[OO]o (o means a particle)
- However, both did not, or rather could not, clarify the whole system, and the changes from the proto-system are not clear at all.

# Half-falling tonal pattern

- A number of dialects have been found in the last 25 years which have a high-beginning half-falling tonal pattern (hereafter, half-falling tonal pattern).
- The half-falling tonal pattern has basically [OO!O-(HHM-) pattern (represented by !), distinguished from HHH- pattern (□).
- This pattern is distributed in at least three areas: (1) Ishikawa Pref., (2) Shikoku district, and (3) islands of Setonaikai.

# Geographical distribution of half-falling tonal pattern

- **Ishikawa**: Shiramine, Tsubata and Monzen, **three non-contiguous areas**.
- **Shikoku** district: Matsuyama ~ Kawanoe (Ehime, excluding Imabari?); Kan'onji ~ Marugame, Takamatsu (and many other places? in Kagawa); Ikeda ~ Waki (Tokushima).
- Setonaikai: Ibuki-jima, Hiro-shima.
- **The pattern appears for class 1**, except for the islands in Setonaikai, where it appears on II-3 and III-4·5. The pattern appears for both categories in Kagawa dialects because of the mergers.

# Examples of the half-falling tonal pattern: The case of Ibuki-jima

- The Ibuki-jima dialect has the most complicated accent system among the Japanese dialects: it is a system with one lowering accent kernel /]/ and three tonal patterns (□, !, J). It has a **half-falling tonal pattern (!)**, in addition to the two tonal patterns (□, J) of the Kansai dialects.
- The dialect is also the most conservative dialect in Japan. It preserves **almost all the accentual distinctions** found in the Kyoto dialect of the 12th century. Only III-4 and -5 have merged.

# High-beginning level tonal pattern (1)

I-1 [e·                    [ega                    II-1 [kaze   [kazega

I-2 [ha· ]                    [ha]ga                    II-2 [i]si       [i]siga

III-1 [kuruma       [kurumaga

III-2 [musu]me       [musu]mega

III-3 [ci]kara               [ci]karaga

# High-beginning level tonal pattern (2)

[niwatori

[kanemo]ci

[ama]zake

[a]sagao

[hiyakedome

[kawakuda]ri

[agari]guci

[aka]hadaka

[i]cyooyaku

[niwatoriga

[kanemo]ciga

[ama]zakega

[a]sagaoga

[hiyakedomega

[kawakuda]riga

[agari]guciga

[aka]hadakaga

[i]cyooyakuga



# Half-falling tonal pattern

I-?	[sya·!!	[sya!ga	II-3	[i!nu	[inu!ga
III-4	[ata!ma	[ata!maga			
	[asi!ato	[asi!atoga			
	[kaga!ku]sya	[kaga!ku]syaga			
	[ma!me]rui	[ma!me]ruiga			
	[ata!makazu	[ata!makazuga			
	[waka!mezi]ru	[waka!mezi]ruga			
	[sado!ga]sima	[sado!ga]simaga			

# Low-beginning rising tonal pattern (1)

I-3 [[te· te[ga

II-4 hu[ne hune[ga

II-5 sa[ru]] sa[ru]ga

III-6 suzu[me suzume[ga

III-? noro[ma]] noro[ma]ga

III-7 ka[i]ko ka[i]koga

# Low-beginning rising tonal pattern (2)

atoa[si

atoasi[ga

ki[no]bori

ki[no]boriga

irozi[ro]]

irozi[ro]ga

macu[ta]ke

macu[ta]kega

kibibata[ke

kibibatake[ga

momeN[ba]ri

momeN[ba]riga

kasi[wa]moci

kasi[wa]mociga

o[cu]kisaN

o[cu]kisaNga

# Accent system of the Ibuki-jima dialect

A: /[]O []OO []OOO []OOOO []OOOOO  
[]O] []O]O []OO]O []OOO]O []OOOO]O  
[]O]OO []OO]OO []OOO]OO  
[]O]OOO []OO]OOO  
[]O]OOOO/  
B: /!O !OO !OOO !OOOO !OOOOO  
!OOO]O !OOOO]O  
!OO]OO !OOO]OO  
(!OO]OOO)/

# Accent system of the Ibuki-jima dialect

C: /]0 ]00 ]000 ]0000 ]00000  
          ]00] ]000] ]0000] (]00000])  
                  ]00]0 ]000]0 ]0000]0  
                          ]00]00 ]000]00  
                                  ]00]000/

# Correspondences: Ibuki & Myoogi

Ibuki	K's word-classes			Myoogishoo	
□	I-1,2	II-1,2	III-1,2,3	上(-), 東	H-
!		II-3	III-4,5	平平(-)	L-
┘	I-3	II-4,5	III-6,7	平上(-), 平東	L-

- Half-falling tonal pattern (!) has forms [O!!, [O!O, [OO!O(-), and correspond to 平平(-) in Myoogishoo, but not to 上上(-).

# Accent system of Kan'onji dialect (1)

- Kan'onji city is located on the opposite shore of Ibuki-jima. In this dialect the **half-falling pattern appears for class 1, which had been regarded as high level pattern before my observation.**

/!ka (1)	!kaze (1,3)	!sakana (1,4)	!kaminari
!ha] (2)	!o]to (2)	!ke]nuki (2)	!a]sagao
			!kanemo]ci/
/&ki (3)	&hune (4)	&usagi (6)	&niwatori
	&nabe] (5)	&kabu]to (7)	&mura]saki
			&nokogi]ri/

# Accent system of Kan'onji dialect (2)

- The Kan'onji dialect has two tonal patterns, **half-falling (!)** and **low-connecting (&)**, and one lowering kernel (]).
- The half-falling pattern is like that of Ibuki-jima, but the accent kernel may fall on the first mora. The kernel does not appear on the second mora.
- The latter has “u[sagi ~ %usagi ~ [usagi]” patterns in isolation, but it begins low after another word, as “[kono]usagi”, which indicates that this is derived from the low-beginning rising pattern.



# Accent system of Shiramine dialect

- In fact, the half-falling tonal pattern appears for class 1 of the Shiramine dialect, too.

!ka   !kaze   !sakana   !asagao   !aidagara  
!hakiso]ozi  
!otokoo]ya  
[]te   []kata   []usagi   []harigane   []otosidama  
[]hi]   []ha]na   []mu]sume   []ne]esaN   []si]asatte  
[]oto]na   []kuci]biru   []kata]guruma  
[]murasa]ki   []umibo]ozu  
[]uNteN]si

# My hypothesis

- I hypothesize that this half-falling tonal pattern is the proto-form of class 1. To be precise, I propose this not only as the proto-form of class 1, but also as that of the traditionally assumed H-beginning group.
- This explains not only the origin of the accent kernel of class 1 in some dialects, but also the high-beginning level tonal pattern of other dialects in a natural way.

# My proto-forms for K's classes

-1	*[ka!!	*[ka!ze	*[kuru!ma	Ila -1	*[ki]ru	*[ki!ru
-2	*[ha]]	*[o]to	*[a!du]ki	-2	*mi[ru]]	*mi[ru
-3	*ki	*yama	*[ti]kara	IIb -1	*[o]ku	*[o!ku
-4		*so[ra	*atama	-2	*ka[ku]]	*ka[ku
-5		*a[me]]	*ino[ti	IIIa-1	*[a]gu	*[agu!ru
-6			*u[sagi	-2	*sa[gu]]	*sagu[ru
-7			*ka[bu]to	IIIb-1	*[a!ta]ru	*[ata!ru
				-2	*ugo[ku]]	*ugo[ku
				-3	*a[ru]ku	*a[ruku

# Kindaichi's law: "shiki"-preserving law

- **Cognates have the same beginning feature**: when a word begins H-, its cognate also begins H-, and when a word begins L-, its cognate also begins L-.
- This applies between: (1) a word and compounds containing the word as the first element, (2) a word and its derivatives, (3) inflected forms of the same word.
- **My hypothesis, replacing high-beginning level by high-beginning half-fall tonal pattern, does not affect Kindaichi's law.**

# Changes from the half-falling pattern

- [OO]O < \*[OO!O > [OOO
- The kernel (]) of class 1 is a secondary development, the place corresponding to that of half-fall (!).
- In other dialects, including Ibuki-jima, high leveling occurred.
- The half-falling tonal pattern in Ibuki-jima is a secondary development from \*OO- (e.g., \*OO > [O!O, \*OOO[O]O > [OO!OO]O). This is regarded as a regional feature in Sanuki district.

# Accentual changes of Shiramine dialect

- Only minor changes occurred: loss of final falling pitch (]])) in II-5, entailing the merger with II-4., and a delay of rise and half-fall as shown in II-1.

-1 \*[ka!ze = \*[ka!ze > ka%ze!!

-2 \*[o]to = \*[o]to = [o]to

-3 \*yama > \*[ya]ma = [ya]ma

-4 \*so[ra = \*so[ra = so[ra

-5 \*a[me]] = \*a[me]] > a[me

# Kanazawa dialect near Shiramine

- The Kanazawa dialect near Shiramine has a kernel on the second mora in class 1, such as “ka[ze]], ka[ze]nga”, “sa[ka]na, sa[ka]nanga”.
- These must have derived from the proto-forms, \*[ka!ze, \*[kaze!nga, \*[saka!na(nga).
- The other dialects with a kernel in class 1 can be explained in almost the same way.

# History of A-pattern of 2-pattern accent system in Kyuushuu

- Proto-forms                      A-pattern
- \*[OO!OOO                      >                      \*[OO]OOO
- \*[O]OOOO                      >                      \*[OO]OOO
- \*[O!O]OOO                      >                      \*[OO]OOO
- \*[OO!O]OO                      >                      \*[OO]OOO
- \*[OO!OO]O                      >                      \*[OO]OOO



# History of alternation in verbs of Sado

- Both concl. and attrib. originated from proto-attributive kernelless forms. **The kernel in concl. is a secondary development in sentence final. On the other hand, the kernel of past form is original.**

Concl. **\*[ki!ru.** >\*[ki]ru. >\*[ki]ru. = [ki]ru.

Attrib. **\*[ki!ru...** >\*[ki!ru... >\*[kiru... > ki[ru...

Concl. \*[age!ru. >\*[age]ru. >\*[age]ru. >a[ge]ru.

Attrib. \*[age!ru...>\*[age!ru...>\*[ageru...>a[geru...

Past: Con. **\*[ki]ta.** = **\*[ki]ta.** = \*[ki]ta. = [ki]ta.

Attr. **\*[ki]ta...=\*[ki]ta...** = \*[ki]ta... = [ki]ta...

# History of the difference between nouns and verbs in class 1

- The Himi dialect (Toyama)

II-1 \*[ka!ze = \*[ka!ze > \*[kaze > ka[ze

IIa-1 \*[ki!ru. > \*[ki]ru. = \*[ki]ru. = [ki]ru. (concl.)

IIa-1 \*[ki!ru...= \*[ki!ru...= \*[kiru... > ki[ruto]ki

past \*[ki]ta. = \*[ki]ta. = \*[ki]ta. > ki[ta]].

\*[ki]ta...= \*[ki]ta...= \*[ki]ta...> ki[ta]toki

- The difference between ‘[ki]ru’ and ‘ki[ta]’ depends on the vowels of the second mora.

# Half-falling > high-level

- Many dialects are assumed to have undergone the changes from high-beginning half-falling tonal pattern to high-beginning level pattern. It is progressive assimilation, a common occurrence. The position of the kernel didn't change.
- Thus:  $*[00!000 > [00000$   
 $*[00!00]0 > [0000]0$   
 $*[00!0]00 > [000]00$

# High level > half-falling is unnatural

- SOEDA Kenjiroo and OKUMURA Mitsuo seem to assume the reverse process, but they deal only with \*[OOo > (?\*[OO!o >) [OO]o, and regard as a weakening of articulation in word-final position.
- However, **this weakening theory cannot explain why a half-fall in pitch appears after the second mora, irrespective of the length of the word, and whether the word has a kernel or not.**  
(\*[OOOOO>[OO!OOO?, \*[OOOO]O>[OO!OO]O?)
- Moreover, **this peculiar change must have occurred in remote dialects independently.**

# Beyond K's word-accent classes (1)

- MATSUMORI Akiko treats the split of III-5 into -5a (abura, inoti, kokoro, etc.) and -5b (asahi, sugata, wasabi, etc.) in Shikoku district (Ehime: Kawanoe; Kagawa: Ibuki-jima and many other places; Tokushima: Ikeda, Waki and so on) from a viewpoint of lexical diffusion, starting from the same proto-form III-5 \*O[O]O.
- However, since there are no phonetic conditions for the split, these two different categories must be posited for the proto-system.

# Beyond K's word-accent classes (2)

- HATTORI proposed in 1951 that III-7 should be divided into two groups. I propose the following proto-forms: III-7a \*ku[suri], III-7b \*ka[bu]to.
- In the ancient literature there are a number of words beginning with 去-tone (estimated as a rising pitch).
  - \*[[su (nest); \*[[ha]] (tooth)
  - \*[[goma (sesame), \*[[hebi (snake), \*[[yuri (lily);
  - \*[[ha]gi (leg), \*[[yo]ku (well, often, adv.)
  - \*[[hisui (jade), \*[[e]yami (epidemic)

# Beyond K's word-accent classes (3)

- High-beginning group:
    - \*[mi!zo] (ditch), \*[u!e] (top)
    - \*[toko!ro] (place), ?\*[asi!ta] (tomorrow)
  - However, I omit the following two words:
    - ?\*[ni]]zi, ?\*[ni]:zi (rainbow),
    - ?\*[hi]]muka, ?\*[hi]:muka (east),
- Much remains to be considered concerning the syllable structure in proto-system.

# The whole proto-forms

-1	*[ka!!	a	*[ka!ze	a	*[kuru!ma	-8	*[[hisui
-1		b	*[mi!zo]]	b	*[toko!ro]]	-9	*[[e]yami
-2	*[ha]]		*[o]to		*[a!du]ki		
-3	*ki		*yama		*[ti]kara		
-4	*[[su		*so[ra		*atama		
-5	*[[ha]]		*a[me]]	a	*ino[ti		
-5				b	*asa[hi]]		
-6			*[[goma		*u[sagi		
-7			*[[ha]gi	a	*ku[suri]]		
-7				b	*ka[bu]to		



# The whole proto-system

-1 *!ka	a *!kaze	a *!kuruma	-8 *_[hisui
-1	b *!mizo]	b *!tokoro]	-9 *_[e]yami
-2 *!ha]	*!o]to	*!adu]ki	
-3 * _ki	* _yama	*!ti]kara	
-4 * _[su	* _so[ra	* _atama	
-5 * _[ha]	* _a[me]	a * _ino[ti	
-5		b * _asa[hi]	
-6	* _[goma	* _u[sagi	
-7	* _[ha]gi	a * _ku[suri]	
-7		b * _ka[bu]to	

- Two tonal patterns (! and \_) and two kernels ([ and ]).

# Changes from the proto-system (1)

Chuuoo (Kansai)		Proto		Ibuki
*[kuruma	< -1 a	*[kuru!ma	>	[kuruma
*[tokoro	< -1 b	*[toko!ro]]	>	[tokoro
*[azu]ki	< -2	*[a!du]ki	>	(a[zu]ki) [musu]me
*[ci]kara	< -3	*[ti]kara	>	[ci]kara
*[ata]ma	< -4	*atama	>	[ata!ma
*[i]noci	< -5 a	*ino[ti	>	[ino!ci
*[a]sahi	< -5 b	*asa[hi]]	>	[a]sahi
*usa[gi	< -6	*u[sagi	>	usa[gi
*ku[su]ri	< -7 a	*ku[suri]]	>	ku[su]ri
*ka[bu]to	< -7 b	*ka[bu]to	>	ka[bu]to
*hisu[i (?[000)	< -8	*[[hisui	>	hisu[l (?[000)

# Changes from the proto-system (2)

Kaga (Ishikawa)		Proto		Sanuki (Kagawa)
*[kuru!ma	<	-1 a	*[kuru!ma	> *[kuru!ma
*[toko!ro	<	-1 b	*[toko!ro]]	> *[toko!ro
*[a]zuki	<	-2	*[a!du]ki	> *[a]zuki
*[ci]kara	<	-3	*[ti]kara	> *[ci]kara
*[a]tama	<	-4	*atama	> *[ata!ma
*[i]noci	<	-5 a	*ino[ti	> *[ino!ci
*[a]sahi	<	-5 b	*asa[hi]]	> *[a]sahi
*u[sagi	<	-6	*u[sagi	> *u[sagi
*ku[su]ri	<	-7 a	*ku[suri]]	> *ku[su]ri
*ka[bu]to	<	-7 b	*ka[bu]to	> *ka[bu]to
*[hisu!i	<	-8	*[[hisui	> ?

# Changes from the proto-system (3)

Manabe		Proto		Gairin
*ku[ru]ma	< -1 a	*[kuru!ma	>	*[kuruma > *kuruma
*to[ko]ro	< -1 b	*[toko!ro]]	>	*[tokoro]] > *tokoro()
*a[zu]ki	< -2	*[a!du]ki	>	*[azuki > *azuki
*[ci]kara	< -3	*[ti]kara	>	*[cikara > *cikara
*[ata!ma	< -4	*atama	>	*[ata]ma > *ata[ma]
*[ino!ci	< -5 a	*ino[ti	>	*[i]noci > *i[no]ci
*[a]sahi	< -5 b	*asa[hi]]	>	*[a]sahi > *a[sa]hi
*usa[gi	< -6	*u[sagi	>	*usa[gi > *[u]sagi
*ku[su]ri	< -7 a	*ku[suri]]	>	*kusu[ri > *[ku]suri
*ka[bu]to	< -7 b	*ka[bu]to	>	*kabu[to > *[ka]buto
?	< -8	*[[hisui	>	*[hisui > *hisui

# Changes from the proto-system (4)

2-pattern		Proto	Nairin & Chuurin	
*[kuru]ma	< -1 a	*[kuru!ma	> *[kuruma	> *ku[ruma
*[toko]ro	< -1 b	*[toko!ro]]	> *[tokoro	> *to[koro
*[adu]ki	< -2	*[a!du]ki	> *[azu]ki	> *a[zuki]
*[tika]ra	< -3	*[ti]kara	> *[ci]kara	> *ci[ka]ra
*a[tama	< -4	*atama	> *[ata]ma	> *a[tama]
*i[noci	< -5 a	*ino[ti	> *[i]noci	> *i[no]ci
*a[sahi	< -5 b	*asa[hi]]	> *[a]sahi	> *a[sa]hi
*u[sagi	< -6	*u[sagi	> *u[sagi	> *u[sagi
*ku[suri	< -7 a	*ku[suri]]	> *ku[suri	> *ku[suri
*ka[buto	< -7 b	*ka[bu]to	> *kabu[to ?	> *[ka]buto
*[hisu]i?	< -8	*[[hisui	> *hi[sui	> *hi[sui

Thank you!



# References

KINDAICHI, Haruhiko (1937) "Gendai-shohoogenno Hikaku-karamita Heianchoono Akusento" *Hoogen* 7/6: 1-43.

----- (1974) *Kokugo Akusentono Shiteki Kenkyuu: Genri to Hoohoo*, Hanawa-shoboo.

----- (1975) *Nihonno Hoogen: Akusento no Hensen to Sono Zissoo*, Kyooikushuppan Kabushikigaisha