Glucocorticoidinduced osteoporosis

Guidelines for prevention and treatment

Bone and Tooth Society of Great Britain

National Osteoporosis Society

Royal College of Physicians

December 2002

Acknowledgements

The development of the guidelines was supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Procter and Gamble and Merck Sharp & Dohme. The Guidelines Development Group have maintained complete editorial independence from the sponsors and all members of the Guideline Development Group have provided the Royal College of Physicians with details of any potential conflicts of interests.

Front cover illustration:

Specimens of trabecular bone from young (above) and elderly (below) vertebral bodies. Note the reduction in bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration typical of osteoporosis in older people.

ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS OF LONDON
11 St Andrews Place, London NW1 4LE

Registered Charity No 210508

Copyright © 2002 Royal College of Physicians of London

ISBN 1 86016 173 I

Typeset by Dan-Set Graphics, Telford, Shropshire

Printed in Great Britain by The Lavenham Press Ltd, Sudbury, Suffolk

Guidelines Writing Group

Dr Juliet Compston (*Chairman*), Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine

Professor David Barlow, Nuffield Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Oxford

Dr Pam Brown, Kings Road Surgery, Mumbles, Swansea

Professor Cyrus Cooper, MRC Environmental Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton

Dr David Doyle, Rheumatology Research Unit, Whipps Cross University Hospital

Professor Richard Eastell, Clinical Sciences Centre, University of Sheffield

Mrs Linda Edwards, National Osteoporosis Society, Bath, UK

Dr Roger Francis, Department of Medicine (Geriatrics), University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Professor John Kanis, WHO Collaborating Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases, Sheffield

Dr Sarath Lekamwasam, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine

Mr Tim Melville, National Osteoporosis Society, Bath, UK

Professor David Reid, Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Aberdeen

Professor Graham Russell, Institute of Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford

Dr Colin Waine, Department of Primary and Community Care, Sunderland University

Participating organisations

The draft guidelines document was circulated to a number of organisations for peer review, and their feedback was incorporated into the final document. These organisations are listed below.

Age Concern England, Astral House, 1268 London Road, London, SW16 4ER

Arthritis Research Campaign, Copeman House, St Mary's Court, St Mary's Gate, Chesterfield, Derbyshire S41 7TD

Association of British Neurologists, Ormond House, 4th Floor, 27 Boswell Street, London WC1N 3JZ

British Endocrine Societies, Department of Neuro-endocrinology, Commonwealth Building, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, Ducane Road, London W12 0NN

British Geriatrics Society, Marjory Warren House, 31 St John's Square, London EC1M 4DN

British Menopause Society, 36 West Street, Marlow SL7 2NB

British Orthopaedic Association, c/o Royal College of Surgeons, 35–43 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PN

British Society of Gastroenterology, 3 St Andrew's Place, London NW1 4LB

British Society of Rheumatology, Eagle Street, London WC1R 4TL

British Thoracic Society, 17 Doughty Street, London WC1N 2PL

Clinical Effectiveness and Evaluation Unit, Royal College of Physicians, 11 St Andrews Place, London NW1 4LE

Help the Aged, 207-21 Pentonville Road, London N1 9UZ

National Collaborating Centre for Women and Children's Health, Royal College of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 27 Sussex Place, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RG

National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 11 Strand, London WC2N 5HR

National Osteoporosis Society, Camerton, Bath BA2 0PJ

Primary Care Rheumatology Society, PO Box 42, Northallerton, North Yorkshire DL7 8YG

Royal College of General Practitioners, 14 Princes Gate, London SW7 1PU

Royal College of Nursing, 20 Cavendish Square, London W1G 0RN

Contents

	Guidelines vyriting Group	III
	Participating organisations	iv
	Executive summary	vii
Ī	Introduction	1
	Implementation and audit	2
2	Epidemiology, pathogenesis and clinical characteristics	3
	Epidemiology	3
	Pathogenesis and clinical characteristics	4
	Mechanisms of bone loss in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis	4
	Bone loss associated with glucocorticoid therapy	5
	Changes in bone mineral density	5
	Relationship between bone mineral density and fracture risk	6
	Changes in biochemical markers of bone turnover	6
3	Evaluation	7
	Route, duration and dose of glucocorticoid therapy	7
	Short-term and intermittent glucocorticoid therapy	7
	Inhaled glucocorticoids	7
	Clinical risk factors	8
	Diagnostic threshold for bone mineral density	8
	Laboratory evaluation	10
	Monitoring of therapy	10
4	Management	12
	General considerations	12
	Lifestyle measures	12
	Bisphosphonates	13
	Alendronate	13
	Clodronate	13
	Etidronate	14
	Pamidronate	14
	Risedronate	15
	Calcitonin	16

	Calcium, vitamin D and vitamin D metabolites	16
	Alfacalcidol	16
	Calcitriol	16
	Calcium	17
	Vitamin D ± calcium	17
	Fluoride	18
	Hormone replacement therapy	18
	Parathyroid hormone	19
	Other agents	19
	Gradings of recommendations	19
5	Glucocorticoids with potentially bone-sparing effects	21
	Deflazacort	21
	Budesonide	21
6	Premenopausal women, and men and children	22
	Premenopausal women	22
	Men	22
	Children	22
	Appendices	51
	I Quality assessment of RCTs	23
	2 Literature search methodology and levels of evidence	26
	3 Medline search strategies	28
	4 Abbreviations	30
	5 Database resource	31
	References	50

Executive summary

- ► Glucocorticoids are widely used to treat a number of medical disorders. At any one time, approximately 1% of the adult population in the UK is taking oral glucocorticoids; this figure increases to 2.4% in individuals aged 70–79 years (level III).
- ▶ The administration of oral glucocorticoids is associated with a significant increase in fracture risk at the hip and spine (level Ia). Although the greatest increase in risk is observed with higher dose therapy, increased risk is seen even at daily doses of prednisolone less than 7.5 mg (level III). Fracture risk increases rapidly after the onset of treatment and declines rapidly after stopping therapy (level III).
- Loss of bone mineral density (BMD) associated with oral glucocorticoid administration is greatest in the first few months of glucocorticoid use (level IIa). The effects of inhaled glucocorticoids on BMD are less certain, although some studies report increased bone loss with high doses (level IIa) and long-term use of lower doses may result in significant deficits of BMD (level III).
- ▶ Glucocorticoids contribute to the increase in fracture risk over and above the effect of low BMD (level IA). Thus, for a given BMD, the risk of fracture is higher in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis than in postmenopausal osteoporosis.
- Measurement of BMD using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry is currently recommended for assessment of fracture risk in individuals treated with glucocorticoids (grade C). Other secondary causes of osteoporosis should be excluded in individuals with a prior fracture (grade C).
- General measures to reduce bone loss include reduction of the dose of glucocorticoids to a minimum, consideration of alternative formulations or routes of administration and prescription of alternative immunosuppressive agents (grade C). Good nutrition, an adequate dietary calcium intake and appropriate physical activity should be encouraged, and tobacco use and alcohol abuse avoided (grade C).
- Evidence for the efficacy of agents in the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid osteoporosis varies but beneficial effects on BMD in the spine and hip have been demonstrated for several interventions (level Ia). Fracture has not been a primary endpoint of any studies of prevention or treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Nevertheless, a reduction in vertebral fracture has been observed in post hoc or safety analyses of trials of etidronate, alendronate and risedronate (level Ib).
- Individuals at high risk should be advised to commence bone-protective therapy at the time of starting glucocorticoids; for example, those aged 65 years or over and those with a prior fragility fracture (grade A).

- ▶ In other subjects receiving oral prednisolone, in whom it is intended to continue therapy for at least three months, bone densitometry should be considered (grade C). A T score of −1.5 or lower may indicate the need for intervention with a bone-sparing agent (level IV), although the effect of age on fracture probability in an individual should be taken into account when making treatment decisions (grade C).
- The role of monitoring the effects of bone-protective agents in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis has not been established. However, significant treatment responses in some individuals may be detectable within one to two years by BMD measurements in the spine (level IV).

Guideline strength: levels of evidence and grades of recommendation.

Level of evidence	Type of evidence	Grade of recommendation
la	Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs)	Α
lb	At least one RCT	Α
lla	At least one well designed, controlled study without randomisation	В
IIb	At least one well designed quasi-experimental study	В
III	At least one well designed, non-experimental descriptive study (eg comparative studies, correlation studies, case studies)	В
IV	From expert committee reports/opinions and/or clinical experience of authorities	С

Introduction

- 1.1 This document presents evidence-based guidelines for the management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. The Guidelines Writing Group see this as timely because these guidelines complement guidelines on the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis^{1,2} and the recent National Service Framework for Older People in which the problem of osteoporosis is emphasised in the section on falls. In addition, in 1998 the National Osteoporosis Society issued a guidance document on the management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis.³
- 1.2 The need for evidence-based guidelines on the management of osteoporosis was recognised by the Department of Health Advisory Group on Osteoporosis⁴ and as a result these guidelines were prepared with a strong emphasis on quality of evidence, using 'evidence-based medicine' principles and the guideline methodology originated by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) in the USA.
- 1.3 Following the publication of the NHS White Papers, *The new NHS: modern, dependable* and *Saving lives: our healthier nation*,* and the establishment of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence, there was a further emphasis on systematically generated evidence on which clinical management could be based.
- 1.4 In the production of the previous guidelines on osteoporosis, a policy decision was made that the specific area of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis would not be addressed in detail since the evidence base available up to 1997 for that aspect of osteoporosis remained weak. However, between 1997 and 2001 several important epidemiological and intervention studies have been published which provide a substantial increase in the available data.
- 1.5 The economic consequences of pharmacological intervention for osteoporosis have been extensively evaluated. The majority of therapies are most cost-effective (expressed either as cost per averted fracture or as cost per quality-adjusted life year saved) when targeted to those at the greatest absolute risk of fracture. Glucocorticoid use is one of the strong risk factors for bone loss and fracture and the above principle is therefore likely to apply to individuals treated with glucocorticoids. However, formal cost utility or cost-effectiveness analyses have not been performed for this particular indication. As a consequence, health economic analysis remains on the research agenda and has not been included in this report.
- 1.6 The Writing Group has followed evidence-based methodology with stratification of evidence to provide an up-to-date appraisal of current knowledge, presented in the context of the implications for clinical management. This represents a collaboration between the RCP Guidelines Writing Group, the Bone and Tooth Society of Great Britain and the National Osteoporosis Society. The guidelines are intended to assist all health professionals in primary and secondary care who have a role in the management of patients treated with glucocorticoids.

^{*}Department of Health, 1997 and 1999.

Implementation and audit

- 1.7 We recommend that mechanisms are put in place in primary and secondary care to ensure that the management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis is reviewed against the guideline recommendations given here. An audit tool is being developed by the Clinical Effectiveness and Evaluation Unit of the Royal College of Physicians and will be available through the Publications Department of the Royal College of Physicians in early 2003. This will focus on the management of glucocorticoid-treated patients aged 65 years or older.
- 1.8 We also recommend that commissioners of healthcare ensure that adequate resources are available for the full implementation of these guidelines in primary and secondary care. Funding will be required in primary care to provide the resources for the identification and management of patients at risk, including their drug treatment. Provision of bone densitometry services, using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), is currently inadequate to enable implementation of the guidelines in all parts of the UK and it is recommended that these resources are increased, as previously recommended by the Advisory Group on Osteoporosis and the NHS Executive (letter EL(96)110).
- 1.9 We recommend that these guidelines are reviewed in five years time, or sooner if new evidence becomes available.

2 Epidemiology, pathogenesis and clinical characteristics

Epidemiology

- 2.1 Glucocorticoids are used widely in medicine today. A recent study using the General Practice Research Database, a detailed pharmacological recording system that covers some 7 million individuals in England and Wales, identified 1.6 million oral glucocorticoid prescriptions over a 10-year period.⁵ At any one time, the prevalence of oral glucocorticoid use was 0.9% of the total adult population. The use of oral glucocorticoids varied substantially with age, but was similar in men and women. Thus, the prevalence of current utilisation was only 0.2% at age 20–29 years, rising to 2.5% at age 70–79 years. Of the three dose categories included in this study, the intermediate dose (2.5–7.5 mg prednisolone or equivalent daily) was the most frequently used (0.4% of the population). The prevalence of higher dose therapy (more than 7.5 mg daily) was 0.3%, and that of lower dose treatment (less than 2.5 mg daily) was 0.1%. These estimates are in close accordance with those of a survey in the Trent region, 6 which found that 1.4% of patients aged over 54 years were taking glucocorticoids.
- 2.2 The most frequently recorded indication for oral glucocorticoid therapy in the General Practice Research Database was respiratory disease: around 40% of patients had recorded respiratory disease, with the other two major categories being musculoskeletal and cutaneous disease. Most patients received glucocorticoids for a short period of time. Treatment was continued for longer than six months in only 22% of patients during any given course, and for more than five years in only 4.3%. The utilisation pattern was again similar for both sexes, but elderly patients took oral glucocorticoids for longer than younger patients. Glucocorticoid therapy was continued for over two years in approximately 20% of men and women aged 70 years, compared with only 2.5% of men and women aged less than 30 years.
- 2.3 The association between osteoporosis and glucocorticoid therapy was made shortly after the first use of these drugs in humans in the 1950s, particularly in patients treated for asthma.³ The first population-based study of limb fractures was by Hooyman *et al*,⁷ who reported that the relative risk of hip, distal forearm and proximal humoral fracture was doubled in a group of patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with glucocorticoids, compared with patients with rheumatoid arthritis alone. A subsequent British case-control study confirmed that use of glucocorticoids approximately doubles hip fracture risk.⁸
- 2.4 The most detailed analysis of the relationship between oral glucocorticoid use and fracture risk was again performed in the General Practice Research Database of the UK.⁹ In this retrospective cohort study comparing 244,235 oral glucocorticoid users and an equal number of age- and sex-matched controls, the relative risk of any non-vertebral fracture during oral glucocorticoid treatment was 1.33 (95%, confidence interval (CI) 1.29–1.38), that of hip fracture was 1.61 (CI 1.47–1.76), that of forearm fracture was 1.09 (CI 1.01–1.17), and that of vertebral

fracture was 2.60 (CI 2.31–2.92). A dose dependence of fracture risk was observed. With a standardised daily dose of less than 2.5 mg prednisolone, hip fracture risk was 0.99 (CI 0.82–1.20) relative to control, rising to 2.27 (CI 1.94–2.66) at doses of 7.5 mg or greater. For vertebral fracture, the relative rates were 1.55 (CI 1.20–2.01), rising to 5.18 (CI 4.25–6.31), at these two doses. At the intermediate dose of 2.5–7.5 mg daily, the adjusted relative risk of hip and vertebral fracture was 1.77 (CI 1.55–2.02) and 2.59 (CI 2.16–3.10) respectively. Fracture risk increased rapidly after the onset of oral glucocorticoid treatment, and risk declined towards baseline rapidly after cessation of therapy. The use of bone active medication was extremely low among oral glucocorticoid users (5% used hormone replacement therapy and 1.8% used bisphosphonates during the period of follow-up).

2.5 These epidemiological data suggest that the current population at risk of developing glucocorticoid-induced fractures in the UK might be as large as 350,000 individuals, and that the vast majority of glucocorticoid-treated individuals have not been evaluated for osteoporosis risk, or commenced on treatment to prevent accelerated bone loss and future osteoporotic fracture.

Pathogenesis and clinical characteristics

Mechanisms of bone loss in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis

- 2.6 There are many ways in which glucocorticoids may exert their actions on the skeleton and related tissues. Their overall effects depend on a number of factors including the dose, the duration, the steroid type, and the species tested.
- 2.7 Glucocorticoid receptors are present in most cell types, including bone cells, ¹⁰ and glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) are present in many genes. In addition, glucocorticoid effects may be mediated via the transcription factor AP1 and overall there are several hundred genes that can respond to glucocorticoids either directly via GREs or indirectly via AP1. Responses to glucocorticoids can also occur by non-genomic mechanisms, involving the glucocorticoid receptor or mediated via the steroids directly. ¹¹ Given the multiplicity of these potential responses, it is often difficult to be certain of the relevance of phenomena observed experimentally to the pathogenesis of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in man.
- 2.8 There are also direct effects on bone that result in diminished bone formation and unchanged or enhanced bone resorption. The most important effect of glucocorticoids is suppression of bone formation, and this probably involves several mechanisms. First, glucocorticoids affect the differentiation and activity of many cell types, including those of the osteoblast lineage and other cells within bone. Secondly, glucocorticoids modulate the transcription of many of the genes responsible for the synthesis of matrix constituents by osteoblasts, such as type 1 collagen and osteocalcin. Thirdly, glucocorticoids influence the synthesis and activity of many locally acting factors that affect osteoblasts, including cytokines (eg interleukins 1 and 6), and growth factors, especially the insulin-like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) and several of the IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP-3, -4, and -5). The latter effects may contribute in particular to the stunting of growth and retarded skeletal development in children treated with glucocorticoids. Recently, the role of apoptosis has gained prominence. Glucocorticoids shorten the lifespan of osteoblasts and osteocytes, the latter also being invoked

in the pathogenesis of glucocorticoid-induced osteonecrosis; ¹⁴ in addition, glucocorticoids may promote osteoclast survival. ¹⁵ Interestingly, bisphosphonates can reverse the pro-apoptotic effects of glucocorticoids on osteoblasts and osteocytes, which may contribute to their efficacy in preventing glucocorticoid-induced bone loss. ¹⁶

- 2.9 In addition to these direct effects on bone cells, other mechanisms may also contribute to bone loss. Thus reduced intestinal calcium absorption and increased renal calcium excretion have been reported after the administration of glucocorticoids;^{17–19} whether these changes are associated with secondary hyperparathyroidism is controversial, most studies showing no increase in serum parathyroid hormone levels in glucocorticoid-treated individuals.^{20–22} Low serum testosterone levels have been reported in glucocorticoid-treated men and are believed to be due both to direct effects on the testis and indirect effects on testosterone production mediated via suppression of gonadotropin hormone secretion.^{23,24}
- 2.10 Histomorphometric analysis of biopsies from glucocorticoid-treated individuals have demonstrated a reduction in bone formation at the cellular and tissue level, resulting in reduced bone volume and trabecular thickness.^{25,26} Higher doses of glucocorticoids, however, also may be associated with an increase in bone resorption leading to greater bone loss and disruption of cancellous bone architecture.^{26,27}
- 2.11 Individual variability to glucocorticoids is well recognised but the mechanisms involved have not been established. Genetic variants of 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase may modulate skeletal glucocorticoid metabolism and thus contribute to the individual risk of osteoporosis. ²⁸ Furthermore, glucocorticoids may differ in their tissue selectivity because of differences in tissue distribution and/or in conversion to active or inactive metabolites, as well as in receptor binding and tissue selective differences in association with transcriptional regulatory proteins. Such differences in effects on target organs exist among structural analogues for other classes of steroids, eg oestrogens, vitamin D analogues, and androgens, and can be exploited for clinical use to enhance therapeutic effects and reduce adverse effects.

Bone loss associated with glucocorticoid therapy

Changes in bone mineral density

- 2.12 Glucocorticoid therapy results in a rapid loss of bone mineral density (BMD). The rate of loss is greatest in the first year of therapy and may be as high as 30% in the first six months; higher than normal rates of loss may persist for the duration of treatment.^{29,30} There is some evidence that these effects are at least partially reversible on cessation of glucocorticoids.^{9,31,32} Bone loss occurs at both cortical and cancellous sites,^{33,34} and is associated with fractures of the vertebrae, hip, pelvis, forearm and ribs.
- 2.13 In a recent meta-analysis of 66 studies, it was found that lumbar spine and hip BMD values in glucocorticoid users were 89.4% and 88.8%, respectively, of the expected value; corresponding figures for distal and mid-shaft radius were 88.3% and 92.2%. ³⁴ A strong correlation was found between cumulative glucocorticoid dose and decreases in BMD at spine and hip, although no statistically significant relationship could be demonstrated between daily dose of glucocorticoids and decrease in BMD.

Relationship between bone mineral density and fracture risk

- 2.14 It has been suggested that glucocorticoid-induced fractures occur at a higher BMD than in age-related or postmenopausal osteoporosis, 35 although this has not been confirmed in a more recent study. 36 Further evidence for a different 'fracture threshold' in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis is provided by the different vertebral fracture rates in the placebo group of randomised controlled trials. $^{37-40}$ Thus although the lumbar spine T score of -1.2 in a study of glucocorticoid-treated individuals indicated a higher BMD than that of -2.4 to -2.8 in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis, there was a higher percentage of incident vertebral fractures in the placebo arm of the former (16%) than in the latter (5 to 13%). This occurred despite the greater average age and higher prevalent vertebral fracture rate in the women with postmenopausal osteoporosis.
- 2.15 Further evidence that the relationship between BMD and fracture risk differs between glucocorticoid-induced and postmenopausal osteoporosis and that glucocorticoids contribute independently to fracture risk is provided by two recent reports. In their meta-analysis van Staa *et al* estimated that a cumulative dose of 13.9 g of oral prednisolone would correspond to an average BMD loss 4.7% and 6.1% greater than expected at the spine and hip respectively.³⁴ Using population-based data in postmenopausal women, these BMD decreases can be estimated to correspond to a relative risk of 1.48 for vertebral fracture and 1.41 for hip fracture.⁴¹ These risks are substantially less than those demonstrated for glucocorticoid users in the General Practice Research Database study (3.05 and 2.34)⁹ and in the meta-analysis (2.86 and 2.01),³⁴ suggesting that fracture risk associated with glucocorticoid use is considerably greater than would be expected from the observed BMD changes.
- 2.16 Secondly, in a meta-analysis of data from seven large population-based studies comprising 5,704 men and 12,253 women followed for a total of 180,000 person years, glucocorticoid therapy was associated with a significant increase in fracture risk, independently of BMD. Thus after adjustment for BMD, the relative risk of fracture associated with glucocorticoid use varied between 1.62 and 2.09 depending on age, when compared with that of the general population.⁴²

Changes in biochemical markers of bone turnover

2.17 Osteoblastic activity may be assessed by measurement in blood of enzymes or matrix proteins released during bone formation. With both acute and chronic use of glucocorticoids there is a dose-dependent decrease in serum osteocalcin, which is rapid and reversible. 19,43 Changes in serum total alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bone ALP and procollagen type I carboxy-propeptide (PICP) also indicate suppression of bone formation. Reported changes in specific resorption markers in response to glucocorticoids have been inconsistent. 22,44–48

3 Evaluation

3.1 The clinical evaluation of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in individual patients includes confirmation of the diagnosis using BMD, modification of diagnostic thresholds by clinical risk factors and investigation of other possible underlying causes of osteoporosis.

Route, duration and dose of glucocorticoid therapy

- 3.2 The dose dependence of glucocorticoid-induced fractures and the increased risk of vertebral fractures even for doses between 2.5 and 7.5 mg/day indicates that there is no 'safe dose' of oral glucocorticoids. This emphasises the importance of evaluating fracture risk in all individuals using oral glucocorticoids.
- 3.3 The duration of glucocorticoid therapy is very variable and depends on the disease process, severity and natural history of the disease. A retrospective population-based study identified that 6% of patients with respiratory indications continued treatment for more than two years compared to 19% with musculoskeletal indications.⁵

Short-term and intermittent glucocorticoid therapy

3.4 The majority of studies of bone loss and its treatment have been conducted in individuals taking glucocorticoids for at least six months, and the effects of short-term, high-dose therapy or intermittent courses of glucocorticoids over long periods of time are less well studied. Because rates of bone loss are greatest in the first few months of glucocorticoid administration, treatment for periods as short as three months may result in increased fracture risk and thus the need for prevention of bone loss and fractures should be carefully assessed in this situation. Evidence that bone loss is related to cumulative dose of glucocorticoids³⁴ provides a strong rationale for considering preventive measures in individuals receiving intermittent courses of oral prednisolone over longer periods of time. Finally, although alternate day glucocorticoid administration may suppress growth less than a daily regimen in children, the few data available in adults do not support a bone-sparing effect. ^{49,50}

Inhaled glucocorticoids

3.5 The potential effects of inhaled glucocorticoids on bone are of great importance in view of the common and increasing use of these medications and the long duration of exposure in many cases. Inhaled glucocorticoids should be used in preference to oral formulations when possible, to reduce the risk of systemic adverse effects. Nevertheless it should be recognised that adverse skeletal effects may occur in individuals using inhaled glucocorticoids, particularly when high doses are administered long-term. ^{51–53} The skeletal effects of inhaled glucocorticoids appear to depend on both the dose and the duration of use. ⁵¹ There may also be differences between different forms of inhaled glucocorticoids and there is some evidence that systemic absorption of beclomethasone is greater than that of fluticasone or budesonide.

- Several cross-sectional studies have examined the relationship between dose of inhaled glucocorticoids and BMD, although interpretation of some of these is confounded by the concomitant use of oral steroids and the small number of patients studied. Doses above 800 µg/day of beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) or equivalent have been reported to result in decreases in BMD in adults, ⁵¹ although this finding has not been universal. ⁵⁴ Long-term use of lower doses may also have adverse effects on bone; thus extrapolation of data from the study of Wong et al in 196 young adults with only limited exposure to oral glucocorticoids, indicates that patients taking an inhaled glucocorticoid at a dose of 2,000 µg daily for seven years could expect a BMD one standard deviation (SD) below the predicted value.⁵² In a large prospective study, BMD was measured in patients with mild asthma over a two-year period.⁵⁵ Changes in BMD were small and did not differ between groups randomised to inhaled glucocorticoid or non-glucocorticoid therapy. However, the change in BMD at the spine was inversely related to the mean daily dose of inhaled glucocorticoid and the lack of difference between the two groups may have been due to the small doses of inhaled glucocorticoids used by most patients in the treatment group and confounding by oral glucocorticoid use, which was greater in the non-inhaled glucocorticoid group. In a three-year prospective study, significant bone loss in the proximal femur was reported in a group of premenopausal women treated for asthma with inhaled triamcinolone acetonide, a significant relationship being demonstrated between dose and change in BMD over three years. ⁵⁶ Finally, two prospective studies of inhaled glucocorticoids in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have recently been reported. In one of these, ⁵⁷ patients treated with inhaled triamcinolone showed greater bone loss than those allocated to placebo, whilst in the EUROSCOP study⁵⁸ no bone loss was observed in patients treated with inhaled budesonide or in those taking placebo.
- 3.7 Recent population-based data demonstrate a small increase in fracture risk in subjects using either inhaled glucocorticoids or bronchodilator drugs, suggesting that the observed increase in risk is related to the underlying respiratory disease rather than the use of inhaled glucocorticoids. The increase in the relative rate of fracture observed was small for non-vertebral (15%), forearm (13%), hip (22%) and vertebral (51%) fractures. A small dose-response effect was observed for non-vertebral fractures with a rate of 11% (less then 300 μ g/day of BDP) rising to a maximum fracture rate of 28% (greater then 700 μ g/day of BDP). A statistically significant increase in hip (77%) and vertebral (150%) fractures was only observed at doses of BDP greater than 700 μ g/day. Cessation of therapy was associated with partial reduction in excess risk of fracture.

Clinical risk factors

3.8 Prospective studies in postmenopausal women have identified independent risk factors for fracture including age, sex, Caucasian race, history of prior fracture, recurrent falls, family history of fracture and poor health status. Although these risk factors have not been evaluated in the context of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, it is reasonable to consider them in the assessment of fracture risk in individuals treated with glucocorticoids.

Diagnostic threshold for bone mineral density

3.9 The appropriate BMD threshold at which intervention should be considered in glucocorticoid-treated patients requires further evaluation. Based on the evidence that fractures

occur at a higher BMD in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis than in postmenopausal osteoporosis, a UK consensus group recommended the use of a T score cut-off of -1.5 SD at the spine or hip as an indication for intervention in subjects taking glucocorticoids,³ whereas the American College of Rheumatology proposed a T score cut-off of -1 SD.⁶⁰ Whichever T score is selected, its significance in terms of absolute fracture risk will differ according to age and hence the use of the T score as an intervention threshold is not optimal.⁶¹ For example, a T score of -2 is associated with a 9.2% probability of an osteoporotic fracture in the next ten years in non-glucocorticoid treated women aged 50 years, but at the age of 80 years this probability is more than twice as high (Table 1).⁶²

Table 1 Ten-year probability of fracture (%) at hip, spine (clinical spine fracture), proximal humerus or distal forearm in non-glucocorticoid-treated individuals according to age and T score at the femoral neck (from Kanis et al, 2001).⁶²

			T sco	re		
Age (years)	+1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4
Women						
50	2.4	3.8	5.9	9.2	14.1	21.3
60	3.2	5.1	8.2	13.0	20.2	30.6
70	4.3	7.1	11.5	18.3	28.4	42.3
80	4.6	7.7	12.7	20.5	31.8	46.4
Men						
50	1.2	2.0	3.4	5.8	9.6	15.9
60	1.6	2.7	4.5	7.3	11.8	18.7
70	2.3	3.8	6.2	10.0	16.0	25.0
80	3.6	5.8	9.3	14.7	22.6	33.3

- 3.10 Similarly, the relationship between relative risk and ten-year fracture probability varies with age. This is shown in Table 2. It should be noted that in men and women treated with glucocorticoids, the relative risk of fracture is approximately twice as high as that in the general population.
- 3.11 These estimates emphasise the limitations of T scores as interventional thresholds and the importance of other factors in the assessment of fracture risk. In the future it is likely that treatment thresholds will be based on fracture probability at different ages derived either from the T score, the estimated relative risk, or both.
- 3.12 If the assumption is made that the gradient of risk of fracture with decreasing BMD is similar in glucocorticoid-induced and postmenopausal osteoporosis, measurement of BMD at the hip using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry provides the best assessment of fracture risk. 41,61 Diagnostic thresholds in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis have not been established for peripheral densitometry, using either dual energy x-ray absorptiometry or quantitative ultrasound. These technologies should thus be used with caution in fracture risk assessment.

Table 2 Ten-year probability of fracture (%) at hip, spine (clinical spine fracture), proximal humerus or distal forearm in non-glucocorticoid treated individuals according to age and risk relative to the general population (RR) (from Kanis et al, 2002).⁶³

Age (years)					
RR	50	60	70	80	
Men					
I	3.3	4.7	7.0	12.6	
2	6.5	9.1	13.5	23.1	
3	9.6	13.3	19.4	31.9	
4	12.6	17.3	24.9	39.3	
Vomen					
	5.8	9.6	16.1	21.5	
<u> </u>	11.3	18.2	29.4	37.4	
}	16.5	26.0	40.0	49.2	
4	21.4	33.1	49.5	58.1	

Peripheral bone mass measurements, especially quantitative ultrasound, may be particularly misleading if used to assess generalised osteoporosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis due to the local bone loss resulting from the disease process.

Laboratory evaluation

3.13 The utility of testing for secondary causes of osteoporosis in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis has not been studied in men or women. However, patients presenting with unusual clinical features and/or a low trauma vertebral fracture warrant laboratory evaluation for secondary causes of osteoporosis. A general evaluation should include measurement of serum calcium, phosphate and alkaline phosphatase, assessment of renal and liver function, full blood count and thyroid-stimulating hormone, 24-hour urine calcium (malabsorption and hypercalciuria), PTH (primary and secondary hyperparathyroidism), serum and urine immunoelectrophoresis (myeloma) and testosterone in men (hypogonadism).

Monitoring of therapy

3.14 The role of monitoring the effects of bone-sparing agents in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, using either BMD or biochemical markers of bone turnover, has not been established. Depending on the rate of bone loss prior to treatment, significant treatment responses in individuals may be detectable within one to two years using dual energy x-ray absorptiometric measurements of bone density. However, in individuals taking high doses of glucocorticoids, large changes in BMD may be detectable earlier and measurement at six months may be appropriate.

3.15 The spine is the preferred site for monitoring because of the low precision error of bone density measurements at this site. Bone loss from the spine during the first year of glucocorticoid

therapy may vary between 3 and 10%; since the precision error of measurements is approximately 1%, a loss of more than 3% (the least significant change) is likely to be significant. Rates of bone loss are less during established glucocorticoid therapy and in this situation the target is to increase BMD above the least significant change, ie an increase of more than 3%.

3.16 Bone resorption markers, such as N-telopeptide (NTX) or C-telopeptide (CTX) of type I collagen, show similar changes with treatment in individuals taking glucocorticoids to those in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. However, bone resorption markers may also be affected by changes in inflammatory activity and hence a decrease following initiation of glucocorticoid therapy may reflect suppression of disease activity rather than reduced bone resorption.

4 Management

General considerations

- 4.1 In the context of glucocorticoid-induced effects on bone, the term prevention is generally used to denote prevention of bone loss in individuals commencing glucocorticoid therapy (primary prevention) whilst treatment describes the prevention of further bone loss and fractures in individuals already established on glucocorticoid therapy, in whom low bone density and/or fractures have developed (secondary prevention or treatment). Although this distinction does not currently have specific implications for the choice of intervention, it is important in making decisions about when therapy should be instituted. At present three agents are licensed in the UK for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, namely cyclical etidronate, alendronate and risedronate. All three are licensed for prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced bone loss; in the case of risedronate, the licence is restricted to postmenopausal women whereas cyclical etidronate and alendronate are also licensed for use in men and premenopausal women. However, there is no *a priori* reason why the beneficial effects of risedronate should not extend to premenopausal women and men.
- 4.2 The following sections summarise the evidence for efficacy of a number of bone active agents, many of which are licensed for use in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. They are reviewed in this report because there is no *a priori* reason to believe that agents that are beneficial in postmenopausal osteoporosis do not have similar effects in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. It should be noted that fracture has not been a primary endpoint in any of the studies of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, although in some of the larger studies vertebral fracture was a secondary or safety endpoint; the level of evidence for anti-fracture efficacy is thus less robust than for some interventions used in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Many of the studies were small and the patient populations varied widely with respect to the underlying disease, connective tissues disorders and pulmonary disease predominating, whilst inflammatory bowel disease has generally been under-represented. In addition, the dose of glucocorticoids, duration of use and cumulative dose before intervention, and densitometric criteria for inclusion differ considerably between studies. The decision to include studies in patients undergoing organ transplantation was taken on the basis that the heterogeneity of underlying disease and its treatment in these individuals is also seen in other cohorts undergoing glucocorticoid therapy.

Lifestyle measures

4.3 Although there are very few data on the effects of lifestyle interventions or modifications in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, it seems reasonable to recommend certain measures that may reduce bone loss and fracture risk. These include reducing the dose of glucocorticoids to a minimum, the use of alternative routes of administration (for example inhaled or topical) or formulations (for example budesonide), and prescription of alternative immunosuppressive agents. Adequate levels of dietary calcium intake should be encouraged and good nutrition and normal body weight should be maintained where possible. In addition, individuals taking

glucocorticoids should be advised not to smoke and to avoid alcohol abuse. Within the limits imposed by the underlying disease, physical exercise should be encouraged. Falls risk assessment and advice should be performed in those at increased risk of falling.

Bisphosphonates

Alendronate

- 4.4 The principal evidence of effectiveness of alendronate, 5 or 10 mg per day, comes from a placebo-controlled randomised controlled trial in which males and females (total study population 477), either newly exposed to glucocorticoids (34%) or established on therapy for >4 months (66%), were studied, initially over a 48-week period. The mean BMD of the lumbar spine increased by 2.1% and 2.95%, respectively, in the groups that received 5 and 10 mg of alendronate per day, and decreased by 0.4% in the placebo group, all groups receiving 1,000 mg calcium and 250–500 IU of vitamin D. A similar pattern was seen at the femoral neck, the treatment benefit being statistically significant both in the spine and hip.⁶⁴ Although there were proportionally fewer new vertebral fractures in the alendronate groups (2.3%) than in the placebo group (3.7%), this difference was not statistically significant.
- 4.5 A subsequent 12-month follow-up of 208 subjects at selected centres showed continued effectiveness in the second year. Over two years, lumbar spine BMD increased by 2.8%, 3.9% and 3.7% respectively in groups treated with daily doses of 5 mg or 10 mg for two years, or 2.5 mg in year 1 and 10 mg in year 2, resulting in a statistically significant benefit of treatment when compared to the placebo group, in whom there was a non-significant reduction of -0.8%. Essentially similar patterns of effectiveness were seen at the proximal femur and total body and, by the second year in the studied subgroup, there was a significant reduction in new vertebral fractures in the alendronate treatment groups (0.7%) compared to the placebo group (6.8%; p = 0.026).
- 4.6 A significant benefit of one year's treatment with alendronate, 5 mg daily, on distal radius BMD was reported by Gonnelli *et al* in patients commencing glucocorticoid therapy for sarcoidosis.⁴⁷ Also, in a short-term study (six months) of the effects of alendronate, 10 mg daily, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who were established on glucocorticoid therapy, there was a significant increase in spine BMD from the baseline value but no significant benefit was demonstrated over the control group.⁶⁶

Clodronate

4.7 In a randomised study of the effects of clodronate, 800 mg daily, in patients with giant cell arteritis no significant effect on total body BMC or BMD was demonstrated after one year of treatment when compared to a control group.⁶⁷ In another randomised study, performed in asthmatic patients, Herrala *et al* reported that clodronate, in a dose of 1,600 or 2,400 mg/daily, but not 800 mg/daily, increased mean spine BMD significantly after one year's treatment, a significant increase in femoral neck BMD also being seen with the highest dose.⁶⁸ In addition, significant treatment benefits were demonstrated at the spine for the two higher doses and at the femoral neck for the 2,400 mg daily dose. Finally, Grotz *et al*, in a randomised study in patients who had undergone renal transplantation, reported a significant increase in spine BMD (mean 4.6%) in patients receiving oral clodronate, 800 mg daily, although this did not differ significantly from the change seen in the control group.⁶⁹

Etidronate

- 4.8 Cyclical etidronate was initially shown to be effective in a small single-blind primary prevention study in 20 elderly women with giant cell arteritis. A significant increase in lumbar spine BMD (mean 1.4%) was seen over 12 months in the women randomised to receive etidronate, while in untreated controls lumbar spine BMD fell by 4.9%. Similar small randomised openlabel or double-blind primary prevention studies, principally in patients with rheumatic diseases, randomised to cyclical etidronate and calcium \pm vitamin D, showed essentially similar results. Significant treatment benefits on BMD at the spine and/or hip were also shown in patients established on glucocorticoid therapy. $^{74-76}$
- Subsequently, results from larger primary prevention studies have been reported. In a 12-month randomised placebo-controlled study involving 141 men and women recently commencing glucocorticoids, small and insignificant mean increases in BMD of 0.61% at the lumbar spine and 1.46% at the trochanter occurred in patients with a variety of medical conditions.⁷⁷ The placebo group, however, lost bone at both sites, with significant differences between active and placebo groups of 3.72% (p = 0.02) and 4.14% (p = 0.02) at the lumbar spine and trochanter respectively. A significant reduction in vertebral deformity score and the proportion of subjects with a new vertebral fracture in the treatment group after one year was demonstrated in a post hoc analysis, although the validity of these data has been questioned because of differences in the baseline characteristics of the treatment and control groups. ^{78,79} A subsequent 12-month randomised controlled trial in 117 patients starting high-dose glucocorticoids for a variety of medical conditions showed similar effects of cyclical etidronate at the lumbar spine but failed to show any significant difference in BMD between treatment and control groups at the femoral neck or trochanter. 80 In a small randomised controlled trial in 12 patients starting glucocorticoid therapy for primary biliary cirrhosis, a significant treatment benefit of cyclical etidronate and calcium was seen at the spine but not the proximal femur.⁸¹
- 4.10 Two studies of cyclical etidronate therapy in patients undergoing organ transplantation have demonstrated significant bone loss in both the spine and proximal femur with cyclical etidronate therapy. 82,83 However, Garcia-Delgado *et al* reported no significant bone loss in the spine in men undergoing heart transplantation treated with cyclical etidronate therapy, although no untreated control group was included in this study. 84 In patients receiving long-term glucocorticoid therapy and cyclical etidronate, a significant treatment benefit on lumbar spine BMD has been reported, 85,86 although no significant effect in the proximal femur was demonstrated in the one study in which it was measured. 86

Pamidronate

4.11 Significant treatment benefits on metacarpal cortical area and vertebral BMD were reported by Reid *et al* in a randomised study of the effects of oral administration of pamidronate, 150 mg/d, in patients receiving long-term glucocorticoid therapy (p<0.01 and <0.005 respectively at one year).⁸⁷ Using intermittent intravenous administration of pamidronate (90 mg initially followed by 30 mg three-monthly) in a group of patients starting glucocorticoid therapy, Boutsen *et al* reported significant benefits over the control group at the lumbar spine and hip.⁸⁸ Thus after one year BMD had increased in the treatment group by 3.6% and 2.2% respectively (p<0.001 and p = 0.002 respectively vs controls). In a subsequent one-year study performed in a similar population, the benefits of pamidronate were confirmed but no difference was demonstrated

between patients receiving only a single infusion of pamidronate, 90 mg, and those receiving the same dose initially followed by 30 mg three-monthly. ⁸⁹ Charlwood *et al* compared the effects of pamidronate, calcitonin and cyclical etidronate in patients with glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. ⁹⁰ Significant increases in lumbar spine BMD were seen both with pamidronate (30 mg intravenously given at three-monthly intervals) and cyclical etidronate, but no significant change was demonstrated in patients receiving calcitonin, nor was a significant effect on femoral neck BMD observed in any of the three groups.

4.12 In patients undergoing organ transplantation, significant benefits of pamidronate have also been reported. Bianda $et\ al$ demonstrated significant attenuation of bone loss at one year with intermittent intravenous pamidronate in heart transplant recipients, although this benefit was no longer apparent after 18 months of treatment. ⁹¹ In a study of patients with cystic fibrosis undergoing lung transplantation, 30 mg of pamidronate, administered intravenously at three-monthly intervals, together with daily vitamin D and calcium supplements, resulted in large gains in spine and femur BMD after two years (mean 8.8% and 8.2% respectively; p=0.015 vs controls). ⁹² However, 7 and 6 new fractures occurred in the control and treated groups respectively. In patients undergoing renal transplantation, Fan $et\ al$ reported preservation of bone mass at the spine and hip in those treated with intravenous pamidronate, 0.5 mg/kg at the time of transplantation and one month later, whereas the control group showed significant bone loss at both sites. ⁹³

Risedronate

4.13 In a randomised controlled trial in which patients receiving long-term glucocorticoids for rheumatoid arthritis were randomised to treatment with 2.5 mg risedronate daily, 15 mg cyclical risedronate (daily for two weeks every 12 weeks) or placebo for nearly two years, BMD was maintained at the lumbar spine (mean +1.4%) and trochanter (mean +0.4%) in the 2.5 mg daily risedronate group, while significant bone loss occurred in the placebo group (-1.6% and 4.0% respectively). At the femoral neck, there was a non-significant bone loss in the 2.5 mg daily risedronate group (mean -1.0%) while in the placebo group bone mass decreased significantly (mean -3.6%). The difference between placebo and 2.5 mg daily risedronate groups was significant at the lumbar spine and trochanter only. No significant treatment benefit was demonstrated in the group treated with cyclical risedronate.

4.14 Two separate randomised controlled trials have been reported involving a total of 795 men, pre- and post-menopausal women, in which 2.5 mg and 5 mg of risedronate daily were compared with placebo over a 12-month period. In a primary prevention study, in which all groups also received at least 500 mg calcium and 400 IU of vitamin D, lumbar spine BMD fell significantly (mean –2.8%) in the placebo group but the loss was prevented by both doses of risedronate. Significant differences were seen between risedronate, 5 mg daily, and placebo at the lumbar spine (mean 3.8%) femoral neck (mean 4.1%) and femoral trochanter (mean 4.6%). 95 Similar benefits were seen in a secondary prevention study in patients with a variety of medical conditions who had been taking glucocorticoids for six months or more at baseline. BMD increased significantly at the lumbar spine (2.9%), femoral neck (1.8%) and femoral trochanter (2.4%) in the 5 mg risedronate group, these changes being significant when compared to the placebo group, in whom BMD did not change significantly in the spine or proximal femur. 96 In a post hoc analysis in which both these studies were combined, there was a significant reduction in vertebral fracture rates in the 5 mg treatment group (5.4%) compared to the placebo group (16.2%). 39

Calcitonin

4.15 Studies of the effect of intranasal or subcutaneous calcitonin on glucocorticoid-induced bone loss have produced conflicting results. Five studies performed in patients undergoing organ transplantation failed to show a significant treatment benefit on BMD.^{69,84,91,97,98} In the study of Valero *et al*, in which there was no control group, patients treated with calcitonin or cyclic etidronate showed a significant increase in lumbar spine BMD after one year of treatment (mean 6.4% and 8.2% respectively).⁹⁹

4.16 In several studies performed in other patient groups, a significant treatment benefit has been demonstrated in lumbar spine BMD when compared to controls.^{32,100–102} However, no effect on spine BMD was demonstrated in three studies,^{90,103,104} although in one study¹⁰² a significant gain in proximal femur BMD was demonstrated. In two of the studies in which significant effects on spine BMD were demonstrated, bone loss was nevertheless not completely prevented by calcitonin.^{32,102}

Calcium, vitamin D and vitamin D metabolites

Alfacalcidol

4.17 In a study of 145 men and women recently started on glucocorticoids for various medical conditions, Reginster et al reported that one year's treatment with alfacalcidol 1 µg daily prevented bone loss from the lumbar spine (mean percentage change at 12 months in treatment group 0.39% vs 5.67% in controls; p = 0.02), but no data on bone loss from the hip were available. ¹⁰⁵ In another trial in 41 women recently started on glucocorticoids for various medical conditions, Lakatos et al showed that alfacalcidol, 0.25–1.0 µg daily, prevented the bone loss from the lumbar spine, hip and radius observed in those taking only calcium 500 mg daily at 12, 24 and 36 months. ¹⁰⁶ In 85 patients with established glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, Ringe et al compared alfacalcidol 1 µg and calcium 500 mg daily with vitamin D 1,000 IU and calcium 500 mg daily. 107 During the three years of treatment there was a significant increase in lumbar spine BMD with alfacalcidol and calcium (mean 2.0%), but no significant change in femoral neck BMD; no change at either site was seen with vitamin D and calcium. In a study of 112 transplant recipients and 42 patients with rheumatoid arthritis on glucocorticoids, two years' treatment with alfacalcidol 0.5–1 µg daily had a beneficial effect on bone loss, particularly from the lumbar spine. 108 There were differences in response between organ transplant groups and bone sites measured, with liver and lung transplant recipients responding better to alfacalcidol than cardiac recipients. These studies suggest that alfacalcidol has a beneficial effect on glucocorticoid-induced bone loss, at least in the spine, but serum calcium and renal function should be monitored during treatment, because of the risks of hypercalcaemia and renal impairment. In one study of premenopausal women receiving glucocorticoids for collagen disorders, the addition of trichlormethiazide to alfacalcidol prevented the development of hypercalciuria observed in women treated with alfacalcidol alone and was also associated with a significant increase in metacarpal index at 24 months. 109

Calcitriol

4.18 In an early study in 23 patients on glucocorticoids for rheumatoid arthritis, Dykman *et al* showed no effect of calcitriol $0.25-1.0 \mu g$ daily on forearm bone mineral density. A trial in

92 men and women who had recently commenced glucocorticoid therapy for a variety of medical conditions, showed that calcitriol 0.5-1.0 µg daily significantly decreased bone loss from the lumbar spine over 12 months, but had no effect on bone loss from the hip.²⁰ In a subsequent study of 65 patients undergoing cardiac or lung transplantation, Sambrook et al found that calcitriol 0.5-0.75 µg and calcium 600 mg daily significantly reduced bone loss from the proximal femur, compared with treatment with calcium alone. ¹¹¹ In a similar group of patients, Henderson et al reported partial protection from bone loss after six months treatment with calcitriol 0.5 µg daily.83 In contrast, in a study of 101 patients on long-term glucocorticoids after cardiac transplantation, Stempfle et al showed no effect of calcitriol 0.25 µg daily on bone loss from the lumbar spine. 112 In Lambrinoudaki's study of 56 premenopausal women on glucocorticoids for systemic lupus erythematosus, there was a significant increase (mean 2.1%) in lumbar spine BMD with calcitriol 0.5 µg and calcium 1,200 mg daily compared with placebo, but no significant effects were noted on forearm or hip BMD.¹¹³ Finally, in a two-year randomised open study, Diamond et al reported mean losses of 1% and 0.7% in the lumbar spine and femoral neck respectively in glucocorticoid-treated patients receiving calcitriol 0.25 µg twice daily, whilst those treated with cyclical etidronate and vitamin D showed corresponding mean changes of +1.11 and +0.6%. 114 A number of these studies showed that mild hypercalcaemia and hypercalciuria are common in patients on calcitriol therapy.

Calcium

4.19 An early study of the effect of microcrystalline hydroxyapatite compound (MCHC) in 36 patients on glucocorticoids for liver disease demonstrated a reduction in bone loss from the metacarpals. However, Lambrinoudaki *et al* reported no effect of two years treatment with 1,200 mg calcium daily on lumbar spine, hip or forearm BMD in a trial in 81 premenopausal women on glucocorticoids for systemic lupus erythematosus. Välimäki *et al* also showed no benefit of 12 months treatment with 2 g calcium daily on bone loss from the spine, in a study of 29 middle-aged men and one woman starting glucocorticoids at the time of cardiac transplantation.

Vitamin D ± calcium

4.20 In a study of 30 patients on long-term glucocorticoid therapy for various medical conditions, Bijlsma *et al* found no effect of two years treatment with 500 mg calcium/day alone or in combination with 4,000 IU vitamin D on alternate days on lumbar spine or femoral neck BMD. Similarly, Adachi *et al* reported no effect of 50,000 IU vitamin D weekly and 1,000 mg calcium daily on bone loss from the lumbar spine in a trial in 25 patients recently started on glucocorticoids for various medical conditions. Bernstein *et al* compared the effects of 250 IU vitamin D₃ and 1 g calcium daily with placebo in 17 patients who had recently commenced glucocorticoids for inflammatory bowel disease, and also found no significant effect on lumbar spine BMD after 12 months treatment with calcium and vitamin D. In contrast, Buckley *et al* showed that treatment with 1 g calcium and 500 IU vitamin D daily was associated with significant reduction in bone loss from the lumbar spine in 66 patients on long-term glucocorticoid therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (p < 0.005). In the streatment with 120 patients on long-term glucocorticoid therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (p < 0.005).

4.21 Two studies have suggested that 25-hydroxyvitamin D_3 (25OHD₃; calcidiol) is effective in preventing glucocorticoid-induced bone loss. Di Munno *et al* reported that 35 μ g 25OHD₃ daily

prevented bone loss from the distal radius over 12 months, in a trial in 24 patients recently started on glucocorticoids for polymyalgia rheumatica. Talalaj *et al* compared the effects of $40 \mu g \ 25OHD_3$ and 3 g calcium daily with placebo in 77 patients starting glucocorticoids at the time of renal transplantation. Over the 12 months study, the bone loss observed in untreated patients in the spine and hip was prevented by $25OHD_3$ and calcium.

Fluoride

4.22 The effects of sodium fluoride on glucocorticoid-induced bone loss have been investigated in a number of randomised trials in patients requiring glucocorticoids for various indications. $^{123-129}$ In most of these studies sodium fluoride was given alone (with or without calcium \pm vitamin D) but in two studies it was used in combination either with cyclic etidronate 127 or calcidiol 125 . In all studies in which spine BMD was assessed, significant treatment benefits of sodium fluoride were demonstrated after one or two years' treatment with mean increases of up to 11%. In those studies in which femoral neck and forearm BMD were measured, no significant effect was demonstrated. $^{123-125,127,128}$ It should be noted that in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, substantial increases in spine BMD induced by fluoride have not always been associated with a reduction in vertebral fractures and, in some studies, increased risk of non-vertebral fractures has been reported. 130

Hormone replacement therapy

4.23 There have been few studies of the use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Hall $et\ al$ performed a randomised trial of transdermal oestradiol 50 µg daily, with oral norethisterone 1 mg daily for 12 days of each 28-day cycle versus calcium 400 mg daily in a group of postmenopausal women with rheumatoid arthritis, 42 (21%) of whom were treated with glucocorticoids. ¹³¹ In the subgroup receiving glucocorticoid therapy, the mean increases in lumbar spine and femoral BMD were 3.75% and 1.62% respectively, compared with changes of -0.85% and +1.12% in the calcium treated patients. The difference between groups was statistically significant at the spine at 24 months (p <0.05). In another randomised study, Coombes $et\ al$ studied the effects of 2.5 mg/day of tibolone in 37 postmenopausal women with rheumatoid arthritis, all of whom had received long-term glucocorticoid therapy. ¹³² After 24 months of treatment significant treatment benefits were observed in the spine (mean BMD increase 4%, p <0.01 versus placebo) and at the total hip (mean increase 4.2%, p <0.02 versus placebo).

4.24 In the study of Kung *et al*, 28 young hypogonadal women with systemic lupus erythematosus treated with long-term glucocorticoid therapy were randomised to receive HRT (conjugated oestrogen 0.625 mg daily on days 1–21 plus medroxyprogesterone 5 mg daily on days 10–21) or calcitriol 0.5 µg daily. After two years of treatment, lumbar spine BMD had increased by 2.0 \pm 0.4% (mean \pm SD) in the HRT group and decreased by 1.74 \pm 0.4% in the calcitriol group (p <0.03). A significant treatment benefit was also observed for the total radius BMD (p <0.05). In the femoral neck, no significant change in BMD occurred in either group.

4.25 The effects of HRT and calcitriol were compared in a group of women with glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. 134 Although the mean % change in BMD evaluated by quantitative computed tomography (site not stated) was greater in women receiving HRT (5.8 vs -0.6%), this difference was not statistically significant.

4.26 The effects of testosterone were investigated by Reid *et al* in 15 asthmatic men who had received long-term glucocorticoid therapy. They were randomly allocated to receive treatment with testosterone esters or no treatment. A significant treatment benefit was demonstrated for lumbar spine BMD in men treated with testosterone, the mean increase in spine BMD being 5.0% versus no change in controls (p<0.05). No significant treatment benefit was demonstrated in femoral neck BMD.

Parathyroid hormone

4.27 Lane *et al* reported the effects of parathyroid hormone (PTH) peptide 1–34 in 51 postmenopausal women with chronic inflammatory diseases treated with oestrogen and glucocorticoids. 136 , 137 Women already taking HRT were randomised to treatment with PTH peptide 400 IU/day subcutaneously or to no treatment. Significant increases in spine BMD were seen at 12 months (mean 11%; p < 0.001 compared to control group). No significant benefit was seen for BMD at the hip. Bone markers in the treatment group showed significant increases in bone formation and resorption by one month, the latter lagging behind the former. During 12 months without PTH therapy, spine BMD measured by DXA remained stable, thus maintaining the treatment benefit, but hip BMD increased so that at 24 months total hip BMD was significantly greater in the PTH group than in those receiving HRT alone. 138 Bone markers had returned to baseline within six months of discontinuing PTH therapy.

Other agents

4.28 The effects of other agents, including vitamin K^{139} and nandrolone, ¹⁴⁰ have been reported in individuals taking glucocorticoids but there are insufficient data available to enable an evaluation of the efficacy of these interventions.

Gradings of recommendations

- 4.29 In general, the pharmacological agents that have undergone assessment for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis are similar to those used for postmenopausal osteoporosis. In the latter condition, however, a number of adequately powered randomised controlled trials have been performed in which fracture was the primary endpoint, whereas no such studies exist for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. However, reductions in vertebral fracture have been demonstrated in some studies based on post hoc analyses or safety data; numbers of non-vertebral and hip fractures have been insufficient to provide evidence for or against efficacy. Based on BMD changes, it appears that the interventions discussed above have similar efficacy in glucocorticoid-induced and postmenopausal osteoporosis and the assumption is generally made that anti-fracture efficacy is also similar although this has not been rigorously tested.
- 4.30 The grading of recommendations given in Table 4 was derived as shown in Table 3.
- 4.31 Table 4 provides guideline recommendations on the evidence for efficacy of different interventions in the prevention of glucocorticoid-induced bone loss and fracture. These gradings refer solely to the level of evidence of efficacy, regardless of effect size; it should also be noted that for some agents there are inconsistencies between studies.

 Table 3 Guideline strength: levels of evidence and grades of recommendation.

Level of evidence	Type of evidence	Grade of recommendation
la	Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs)	Α
lb	At least one RCT	Α
lla	At least one well designed, controlled study without randomisation	В
IIb	At least one well designed quasi-experimental study	В
III	At least one well designed, non-experimental descriptive study (eg comparative studies, correlation studies, case studies)	В
IV	From expert committee reports/opinions and/or clinical experience of authorities	С

Table 4 Effect of interventions on the prevention/reduction of glucocorticoid-induced bone loss and vertebral fracture: grade of recommendations.

Intervention	Spine BMD	Proximal femur BMD	Vertebral fracture
Alendronate	Α	Α	A ^a
Alfacalcidol	Α	A^b	nae
Calcitonin	A^b	A^b	nae
Calcitriol	A^b	A^b	nae
Calcium	nd	nd	nae
Calcium + vitamin D	A^b	A^b	nae
Clodronate	Α	Α	nae
Cyclic etidronate	Α	Α	A^a
Fluoride	Α	nd	nae
HRT (inc. tibolone)	Α	Α	nae
Pamidronate	Α	Α	nae
PTH	Α	Α	nae
Raloxifene	no data	no data	no data
Risedronate	Α	Α	A^a
Testosterone	Α	nae	nae

nae: not adequately assessed; nd: not detected.

^anot a primary endpoint; ^bdata inconsistent.

5 Glucocorticoids with potentially bone-sparing effects

5.1 There is considerable interest in the use of glucocorticoids with potent anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive actions but with fewer adverse skeletal effects than prednisolone. However, most of the studies comparing deflazacort and budesonide with prednisolone and other glucocorticoids were small and are confounded by uncertainty about the relative anti-inflammatory potency of the different preparations.

Deflazacort

5.2 Early studies suggested that use of deflazacort was associated with less bone loss than with prednisolone, but these were based on the assumption that the anti-inflammatory potency of deflazacort relative to prednisolone is 1:2.^{29,141} More recent work indicates that this ratio is closer to 1:8.¹⁴² However, in a randomised trial in 30 patients with polymyalgia rheumatica in which glucocorticoid dose was adjusted to obtain disease control, there was no significant difference in bone loss from the lumbar spine or forearm in patients taking prednisolone or deflazacort.¹⁴³ In contrast, in a randomised study of 24 patients undergoing renal transplantation, bone loss from the lumbar spine and total body was greater with prednisolone than with deflazacort.¹⁴⁴

Budesonide

- 5.3 In a randomised controlled trial in 374 adults with mild asthma, a comparison was made of inhaled budesonide or beclomethasone and non-glucocorticoid treatment. Patients treated with an inhaled glucocorticoid had better disease control than those in the non-glucocorticoid treated group, but there was no difference in the BMD change over two years. ⁵⁵ In an observational study in 51 patients using long-term beclomethasone or budesonide for respiratory disease, there was no significant change in lumbar spine BMD in either group over three years, although the power of this study to demonstrate such an effect was limited by its small size. ¹⁴⁵
- 5.4 In the treatment of Crohn's disease, oral budesonide appears to be as effective as prednisolone 146 and in one open randomised study was reported to have no effect on biochemical markers of bone turnover, whereas suppression of serum osteocalcin was observed in patients treated with equivalent doses of methylprednisolone. 147 In a group of 22 patients with Crohn's disease treated with budesonide for two years, no significant changes in BMD were seen at the lumbar spine or hip. 148 Overall, therefore, currently available data suggest that budesonide does not have adverse skeletal effects, but further studies are required.

6 Premenopausal women, and men and children

6.1 There are few clinical trials investigating the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoidinduced osteoporosis exclusively in premenopausal women or in men. Nevertheless, a number of studies have included premenopausal women and men in the patient population.

Premenopausal women

6.2 In the larger clinical trials of etidronate, alendronate and risedronate, the incidence of vertebral fracture was very low in premenopausal women, indicating that absolute fracture risk is low and prophylaxis against bone loss should be restricted to those with very low bone mineral density \pm the presence of fragility fracture and/or other strong risk factors. Bisphosphonates should be used with great caution in premenopausal women since they cross the placenta and teratogenic effects have been demonstrated in animals.

Men

6.3 Testosterone supplementation should be considered in hypogonadal men on oral glucocorticoid therapy, as Reid *et al* have shown a beneficial effect on lumbar spine BMD.¹³⁵ Fracture incidence in glucocorticoid-treated men varied considerably between trials but overall is lower than in postmenopausal women, although in older men, fracture risk may approach similar levels.¹⁴⁹

Children

- 6.4 Recognised skeletal effects of glucocorticoid administration in children include slowing of growth velocity and reduction in final height, suppression of markers of bone turnover, reduced bone mass with associated micro-architectural deterioration, avascular necrosis and increased risk of fractures.
- 6.5 There is currently no clear understanding of the relationship between measures of bone mass and fracture risk in children. Conventional bone densitometry provides values that are strongly influenced by body size, height and weight together accounting for 85–90% of the variability in measurements of areal BMD. It is difficult to extrapolate normative data to groups of children who are ill and receiving steroids and whilst overall linear growth may be limited by the administration of the steroids, weight often increases; adjusting for body size may therefore not provide a clear indication of skeletal status.
- 6.6 There are very few reported studies of the prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in children although in other forms of osteoporosis, intravenous pamidronate has been used. Measures should be taken to ensure that appropriate intakes of calcium and vitamin D are maintained and that physical activity is encouraged as far as possible within the limitations of the underlying disease.

Appendix I: Quality assessment of RCTs

A quality assessment of all randomised controlled trials meeting the inclusion criteria was performed using the tool developed by Gillespie *et al.*¹⁵⁰ This tool is intended specifically for the assessment of randomised or quasi-randomised trials of interventions designed to prevent fractures associated with osteoporosis and examines the following areas (see Table 5 and 6):

- randomisation: adequacy and masking of randomisation
- assessment of outcome: whether outcome assessors were blind to the treatment allocation
- withdrawals: whether the outcome of people who withdrew were described and included in the analysis
- comparability of groups at baseline: whether groups were comparable at baseline or adjusted for confounding factors
- radiological confirmation of hip or other appendicular skeletal fractures
- b diagnosis of vertebral fractures: the method used to diagnose vertebral fracture.

All trials included were initially assessed by one author. When the same trial was reported in more than one publication, data from all publications were combined for a single quality assessment. Blinding of quality assessors to author, institution or journal was not performed.

To determine the reproducibility of assessment scores 20 papers, selected in random manner, were assessed independently by four other assessors using the same tool. The degree of agreement between the main assessor and these four was determined using two-way kappa statistics. Kappa scores for agreement between the four individuals and the main assessor were 0.42, 0.49, 0.50 and 0.63, indicating a moderate to substantial agreement between the scores.

Table 5 Summary of quality assessment according to criteria.

		Included RCTs n (%)
Was	s the randomisation to the study groups blinded?	
1	States random but no description	52 (69)
2	Small but real chance of disclosure of assignment	3 (4)
3	Method does not allow disclosure of assignment	17 (27)
We	re assessors of outcome blinded to treatment status?	
1	Not mentioned	36 (48)
2	Moderate chance of blinding assessors	3 (4)
3	Action taken to blind assessors	36 (48)

continued

Table 5 continued

		Included RCTs n (%)
	re the outcomes of patients who withdrew described and included he analysis?	
I	Not mentioned or states number of withdrawals only	18 (24)
2	States numbers and reasons for withdrawals, but analysis unmodified	31 (41)
3	Primary analysis based on all cases as randomised	26 (35)
Cor	mparability of treatment and control groups at entry:	
I	Large potential for confounding or not discussed	4 (5)
2	Confounding small, mentioned but not adjusted for	7 (9)
3	Unconfounded: good comparability of groups or confounding adjusted for	64 (85)
For	hip and other appendicular skeleton fracture:	
0	Not applicable	53 (71)
I	No confirmation of diagnosis	17 (23)
3	x-ray confirmation of diagnosis	5 (7)
For	vertebral fractures:	
0	Not applicable	36 (48)
I	Inadequately described method	14 (19)
2	Radiological method: uses anterior/posterior height ratio	0 (0)
3	Radiological method: uses anterior, middle and posterior height in criteria OR reports radiologically confirmed clinical events only	25 (33)

Table 6 Summary of quality assessment – mean scores.

All publications	
Fully blinded randomisation	1.57
Blinded assessment of outcome	2.0
Withdrawals	2.10
Comparability of groups at baseline	2.80
Confirmation of hip or appendicular skeleton fracture	0.42
Appropriateness of method of diagnosis of vertebral fracture	1.18
Total (as a percentage of total possible score)	56%

Appraisal of the guidelines was performed by four members of the group using the AGREE Instrument (Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation) developed by the Health Care Evaluation Unit at St George's Hospital Medical School, London, UK, in June 2001. The appraisal covers six domains for each of which the score is expressed as a percentage, as shown in Table 7.

 Table 7 Appraisal of guidelines using the AGREE Instrument.

	Appraiser I %	Appraiser 2 %	Appraiser 3 %	Appraiser 4 %	Mean score %
Scope and purpose	83	83	100	83	87
Stakeholder involvement	75	75	50	75	69
Rigour of development	100	89	86	96	93
Clarity and presentation	100	100	100	100	100
Applicability	50	50	58	66	56
Editorial independence	100	100	100	100	100

Appendix 2: Literature search methodology and levels of evidence

Literature search methodology

The database of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) was compiled as follows.

The following databases were searched:

- ▶ Medline (+Pubmed) 1963 to March 2001
- Embase 1980 to March 2001
- ► Cochrane Controlled Trial Register 1978 to March 2001
- ▶ Web of Science (WOS) 1982 to March 2001.

The initial strategy for the Medline search is shown in Appendix 3. This was subsequently extended to include names of different bisphosphonates and specific diseases for which glucocorticoid therapy is used.

The search was repeated in PubMed for articles in Spanish, Russian, Japanese, Italian, German and French. A total of 451 abstracts were found (French 99, German 174, Italian 45, Japanese 48, Russian 61 and Spanish 24).

- ▶ Only one RCT was selected from this search.
- ► The number of abstracts generated and reviewed was 4,078:
 - Medline = 2,369
 - Embase = 1,376
 - Cochrane Controlled Trial Register = 51
 - Web of Science = 282.

Attempts were made to increase the number of abstracts using the 'related articles' function in Medline, PubMed and the WOS. The search was repeated using the same search strategy (but limited to years 2000 and 2001) in Pubmed, four months after the initial search, to trace the late inclusions to this database. No new entries were found in the second search.

The publications cited in previous review articles and meta-analyses related to this topic were scanned to check the completeness of the database.

Abstracts of the meetings and conferences published in the *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, *Bone, Calcified Tissue International*, *Osteoporosis International* and *Arthritis and Rheumatism* were hand-searched from 1997 to March 2001. A total of 52 were found.

Results of four RCTs were entered (entered as HS 1 to 4).

The inclusion criteria for the database were as follows:

- 1 RCTs
- 2 Patients with glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis or taking oral glucocorticoids
- 3 Replicated BMD measurements or fractures as outcome measures.

The exclusion criteria for the database were as follows:

- 1 Trials which failed to randomise patients to treatment groups (n = 39)
- 2 Trials with neither BMD nor fracture data (n = 5)
- When patients were not on oral steroids, either because they were excluded from the analysis or they were on inhaled glucocorticoids (n = 4)
- When only a proportion of the study population was taking glucocorticoids and no data were available for this subgroup (n = 2).

Eleven articles with borderline inclusion criteria were reviewed by three independent authors before a final decision was taken.

Only two studies were found in the paediatric age group: one RCT and one case control study. They have not been included in the database.

Evidence levels

Systematic definitions of evidence were used, as laid down by the US AHCPR (Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 1992). The grading system is shown in Table 8. The quality of the guidelines recommendations is similarly systematised to indicate the levels of evidence on which the recommendations are based.

Table 8 Guideline strength: levels of evidence and grades of recommendation.

Level of evidence	Type of evidence	Grade of recommendation
la	Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs)	А
lb	At least one RCT	Α
lla	At least one well designed, controlled study without randomisation	В
IIb	At least one well designed quasi-experimental study	В
III	At least one well designed, non-experimental descriptive study (eg comparative studies, correlation studies, case studies)	В
IV	From expert committee reports/opinions and/or clinical experience of authorities	С

Appendix 3: Medline search strategies

Table 9 Initial medline search strategy.

Search I	Search 2	Search 3
steroid*	osteop*	treatment*
glucocorticoid*	fracture*	therap*
corticosteroid*	Bone mineral density	trial*
oredniso*	ex 'Osteoporosis'/ all sub	management
x 'Anti-Inflammatory-	ex 'Fractures'/ all sub	prevention
Agents-Steroidal'/ all sub	ex 'Bone-Density'/ all sub	bisphosphonate*
x 'Glucocorticoids-		hormone replacement therapy
ynthetic'/ all sub		estrogen replacement therapy
x 'Adrenal-Cortex- lormones'/ all sub		oestrogen replacement therapy
Tiormones / all sub		HRT
		ERT
		calcium
		vitamin D
		calcitriol
		alfacalcidol
		alphacalcidol
		calcitonin
		PTH
		parathyroid
		hormone
		parathyroid hormone
		testosterone
		fluoride
		SERM*
		Randomised controlled trials
		ex 'Drug-Therapy'/ all sub
		ex 'Randomized-Controlled- Trials'/ all sub
		ex 'Diphosphonates'/ all sub
		ex 'Estrogen-Replacement- Therapy'/ all sub
		ex 'Vitamin-D'/ all sub
		ex 'Calcitriol'/ all sub
		ex 'Testosterone'/ all sub
		ex 'Calcitonin'/ all sub
		ex 'Fluorides'/ all sub
		ex 'Parathyroid-Hormones'/ all sub
		ex 'Selective-Estrogen-Receptor Modulators'/ all sub

The three searches were limited to 'human' and English language. Freetext with truncation. Mesh terms.

Abbreviations and symbols used in Tables 9 and 10: *Searches included all variations of main term (eg 'therap' will include therapy, therapeutic, etc.

ex = searches included all related terms and subsets of the main term.

HRT = hormone replacement therapy; ERT = oestrogen replacement therapy; PTH = parathyroid hormone; SERM = selective oestrogen receptor modulator.

Table 10 Extended search strategy.

Search I	Search 2	Search 3
Asthma	osteop*	bisphosphonate*
Rheumatoid arthritis	fracture*	ex 'Diphosphonates'/ all sub
Vasculitis	Bone mineral density	alendronate
Polymyalgia rheumatica	ex 'Osteoporosis'/ all sub	pamidronate
Collagen vascular diseases	ex 'Fractures'/ all sub	etidronate
ex 'asthma'/ all sub	ex 'Bone-Density'/ all sub	risedronate
ex 'arthritis rheumatoid'/ all sub		
ex 'vasculitis'/ all sub		
ex 'polymyalgia rheumatica'/ all sub		
ex 'collagen diseases'/ all sub		

The three searches were limited to 'human' and English, Spanish, Russian, Japanese, Italian, German and French.

Appendix 4: Abbreviations

ALN	alendronate	LS	lumbar spine
bd	twice daily	M	male
BDP	beclomethasone dipropionate	MCHC	microcrystalline hydroxyapatite
BMD	bone mineral density		compound
BMC	bone mineral content	MFP	monofluorophosphate
btwn	between	MPA	medroxyprogesterone acetate
Ca	calcium	MR	mid-radius
CAH	chronic active hepatitis	mo	month
CaP	calcium phosphate	NA	not analysed
CEE	conjugated equine oestrogens	NaF	sodium fluoride
CnT	connective tissue	NM	not mentioned
COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary	NS	not significant
	disease	NTX	N-telopeptide
CT	calcitonin	25OHD	25-hydroxyvitamin D
CT disease	connective tissue disease	PBC	primary biliary cirrhosis
CTX	C-telopeptide	PTH	parathyroid hormone
d	day	PMR	polymyalgia rheumatica
DHT	dihydrotachysterol	po	orally
DR	distal radius	PR	proximal radius
DXA	dual energy x-ray	pts	patients
EOD	absorptiometry every other day	QCT	quantitative computed tomography
ESR	erythrocyte sedimentation rate	R	radius
F	female	RA	rheumatoid arthritis
FA	forearm	SD	standard deviation
FBC	full blood count	SHGB	sex hormone binding globulin
FN	femoral neck	SLE	systemic lupus erythematosus
FSH	follicle-stimulating hormone	sc	subcutaneous
g	gram	Tx	treatment
GC	glucocorticoid	TBBM	total body bone mineral
GCA	giant cell arteritis	Td	transdermal
γGT	gamma glutamyltransferase	TH	total hip
HRT	hormone replacement therapy	tid	three times daily
Ht	heart	Transpl	transplantation
IBD	inflammatory bowel disease	TSH	thyroid-stimulating hormone
im	intramuscular	Vasc	vasculitis
in	intranasal	Vit	vitamin
IU	international unit	wk	week
iv	intravenous	yr	year
kg	kilogram	<i>i</i> -	7
0	- O		

Appendix 5: Database resource

Introduction

This database resource contains details of the randomised controlled trials used in the development of the guidelines on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. The studies are grouped according to the agent or agents tested, with a total of 26 treatment categories.

Outcome measurements included in the database are bone mineral density at the lumbar spine, femoral neck, total hip and forearm, and vertebral and non-vertebral fractures. These are detailed in six separate sections, in each of which the study details are provided. The sections are as follows:

- ▶ Lumbar spine bone mineral density
- Femoral neck bone mineral density
- ► Total hip bone mineral density
- Forearm bone mineral density (1)
- Forearm bone mineral density (2) (for studies in which bone mineral density was measured at two sites in the forearm)
- ▶ Vertebral and non-vertebral fractures.

In the sections on lumbar spine and femoral neck bone mineral density and vertebral and non-vertebral fracture, all the studies in the database are listed. In the remaining sections (total hip and forearm bone mineral density (1) and (2)), only those studies for which the relevant data are available are shown.

Where the information was available, mean \pm SD values for bone mineral density changes have been provided. Significance values are shown only if included in the original paper. In the column headings, 'p within' represents the significance of the change in bone mineral density against baseline, and 'p btwn' represents the significance of the difference in changes between groups. Wherever possible, fracture data are reported as the number of individuals sustaining a fracture followed by the number of individuals evaluated in that group. However, in some papers only the number of fractures was stated and this is indicated in the database by the symbol #.

References are shown with the numbers given at the end of the guidelines, where full references are provided.

For abbreviations used in the database please consult Appendix 4.

									-								
				STUDY DETAILS						8	AR SPIN	Σ ω ш	٥				
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time /	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo % change	SD (%) ρ within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	р within	ρ btwn	
1. Calcium/control	6661	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Control						-8.20		SZ					
115 Stellon et al	1985	>12 mo GCs	CAH	Ca Ca (MCHC)	88 88		51 (7) 44.2 (15.2) 44.2 (15.2)	20mg 9.4 (1.4)mg 8.8 (1.8)mg		9							
113 Lambrinoudaki et al	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Cantrol Ca + placebo Placebo + placebo	1.2g	24			30				0.30	2.50	S S	SZ	
2. Vitamin D3/control	9661	1 SS ≥ 1	Kidney transpl	Calcidiol + Ca	40µg/3g		38 (9)	ΣΖ		-0.20	5.20	10:0>					
120 Buckley et of	9661	`Σ Ζ	- ∀		ь		34 (11)	þ	36	-7.10	6.70		48	2.80		0005	
	922		ξ						35				. 4	7.50		0000	
117 Bjilsma e <i>t ol</i>	1988	Mean 44.2 mo	Mixed medical	Vit D + Ca	4000 IU EOD/0.5g		41.1	14.9mg	9 4				3.70			SZ	
121 Di Munno et al	6861	Early GCs	PMR	idiol + Ca	0.5g			M.	2 2 2				ì				
118 Adachi et al	9661	< I mo GCs	Mixed medical	ت 4 - ت	0.5g 50,000 IU/wk/1g		70.7 (7.8) 63.8(11.1)		= 5				-4.20	7.00		NS 3	36 mo
119 Bernstein et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs	IBD	Placebo Vit D3 + Ca Placebo	250IU/1g	38		19.3 (11) mg NM NM NM	<u>+</u> v 8	3.38	4.30 3.80	0.18	-9.00	00.9			
3. Calcitriol/control 20 Sambrook et al	1993	I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitriol	0.5–1.0µg	,	49(22–79)			-1.30	5.60	0.026					
112 Stempfle et al	6661	35+25 mo GCs	Httranso	+ Ca + HRT		12 38	53(25–77)		29 -	4.30	5.50		6.10	7.80		s Z	36 mo
				# HRT			50 (12)		54				5.70	4.40)
Sambrook et al	2000	I mo GCs	Ht/lung transpl	Placebo + Ca	0,64		45.3	Σž	21				-3.00	5.50		SZ	
				Calcitriol 24 mo + Ca			45.8		22				-2.70	5.10		SZ	
113 Lambrinoudaki et al	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Placebo + Ca Calcitriol + Ca	0.6g 0.5µg/1.2g		(0.9		21 26				-3.00	2.40)5	SZ	
110 Dykman et al	1984	>6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic		0.5g/400 IU			11 (8.2)mg 12.2 (9.4)mg	30				0.30	3.50	SZ		
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	8	Placebo + Ca + vit D Calcitriol Ca		2 2 8	51.5 (14.6) >60 >60		10 Total=53	7.60	16.30	0.055					
4. Calcitriol/other agent	2000	I mo GCs	Ht/lung transpl	+ Ca 12 mo	0.5-0.75µg/0.6g	24	8.6.8	ΣΞ	22				-5.60	6.40		SZ	
				Calcitrol 24 mo				ΣΖ	77				-2.70	5.10			
5. Alphacalcidol/control 105 Reginster et <i>al</i>	6661	<2 wks GCs	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol + Ca						0.39	18.00	0.02					
106 Lakatos et al	2000	4 wks GCs	Mixed medical	acalcidol	0.25–1.0µg		5			0.0	00:01		4.0			(*)	36 mo
108 Dequeker et al	2000	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Ca Alphacalcidol			44.1 57 (7.5) 55 (8.0)	14.9 (5.8)mg NM NA	20 26 33				-5.80 -5.50		100.00		
			Liver transpl	cidol	0.5-1.5µg				3 4 5				8.80		<0.05		
109 Yamada	6861	Long-term GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Alphacalcidol + Ca	0.75µg/0.4g			50 1	4 5				0		2		
				Alphacalcidol+Ca+thiazide Control	0.75µg/0.4g/4mg	24 24	35.2 (7.7) 36.5 (5.6)		2 = 2								
6. Alphacalcidol/other agent 107 Ringe et al 1999	agent 1999	Long-term GCs	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol + Ca Vrt D + Ca	1 µg/0.5g 1000 IU/0.5g	36 6	60.6 (37–76) 60.7 (40–76)	9.7g 9.6g	43				2.00			<0.0001 36 mo	e mo

*Median rather than mean.

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S			Σ	M B A B	S P	Σ ω	0				
Ref Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	L.	Dose/route (daily po unless specified) (mo)	ne Age (yrs)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts	12 mo % change	SD		p btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	p within	þ btwn	
7. Calcitonin/control 69 Grotz et al 103 Healey et al 20 Sambrook et al	9661	>6 mo GG. 2 wks GCs <1 mo GG.	Kidney transpl PMR/GCA/vasc Mixed medical	C)	100 IU in bd/0.5g 12 0.5g 12 c x 3/wk 24 1.5g/400 IU = 24 400 IU in/0.5 - 1 μg/1.g 24	45(12) 48(12) 71.6(9.7) 71.7 (8.4)	9.6 (5.1)mg 16 8.4 (4.9)mg 15 NM 25 NM 23 23 25mg 29	3.20	5.70	0.34	SZ	-0.10 -0.20 0.70	3.30 8.30 7.80	S S S	NS 0.17	
100 Luengo et al	1990	>12 mo GCs	Asthma Asthma	ebo + placebo + ca itonin + Ca itonin + Ca	1g 24 100 IU sc/1g 12 1g 12 200 IU in EOD/1g 24			4 -2.50	2.50	<0.001		2.80	06:9	SZ	0.004	
104 Kotaniemi et al32 Rizzato et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs RA Mean 15 mo GCs Sarcoid	RA Cs Sarcoid	- + Ca	1g 24 100 IU in/0.5g 12 0.5g 12 100 IU in/d I mo, then EOD 15		10.5 (4.5) mg 8.5 (1.7) mg 8.6 (1.3) mg 11.1 (0.97) mg	5.10	41.90	SZ SZ	NS 	-7.80		0.007		15 mo
98 Cremer et al 102 Adachi et al	1999	Early GCs < Imo GCs	Ht transpl PMR	Control Calcitonin + Ca + calcitriol Ca + calcitriol Calcitonin + Ca Placebo + Ca	15 40 IU sc/1g/0.25µg EOD 48 wks 1g/0.25µgEOD 48 wks 100 IU in/0.8g 12 0.8g	2 2	13.8 (1.19) mg 19 (2.5) mg 20 (2.5) mg 17(3.8) mg 18 (2.5) mg	-14.11 -32 -38.00 -1.29 -4.95	1 11.90 00 6.76 3.50		NS ^0.05					
8. Calcitonin/other agent 99 Valero et al 20 Sambrook et al	ent 1995 1993	Mean 17 mo GCs Liver transpl	is Liver transpl Mixed medical	Calcitonin + Ca Etidronate + Ca Calcitonin + Calcitriol + Ca	40 IU im/1g 400mg/1g cyclical 400 IU in/0.5-1.0ug/1g 24	50.1 (6.2) 40.4 (12.8) 52(18–77)	13.3 (8.6)mg 17 13.3 (8.6)mg 23 25mg 29	6.40		<0.05	SZ.	0.70	7.80		0.014	
		Early GCs	Ht transpl Ht transpl	Placebo + calcitriol + Ca Calcitonin + Ca Calcidiol + Ca Control Ca + Calcitonin	0.5-1.0µg/lg 24 100 IU in/lg 18 32,000 IU/wk/lg 18 2g/400 IU in I mo then 200 IU 12		25mg 34 Img/kg/d 13 Img/kg/d 13 20mg 10	-8.20 -4.50			SZ	-3.60 -1.19 4.92	5.40 7.20 14.40		_	18 mo
9. Etidronate/control81 Wolfhagen et al80 Roux et al	1997	I mo before GCs PBC 3 mo GCs Mixe	Cs PBC Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Ca Etidronate + Ca ± vit D	400mg/0.5g cyclical 12 0.5g 12 400mg/0.5gcyclical/1,000 IU 12	57 (11) 49 (6) 59 (13.6)	30mg 30mg NM	0.40 -3.00 0.30	2.20	SZ 0.00 Z	0.00					
102 Adachi et <i>al</i> 84 Garcia-Delgado et <i>al</i>	1997	<100 d GCs Early GCs	Mixed medical Ht transpl		⊇	58.5 (13.9) 60 (16) 62 (14) 52.7 (6.8)	23 (22)mg 21 (22)mg I mg/kg/d	-3.23 -3.23 0.61		\$0.00 \$	0.02	6.19	10.80		<0.00	I8 mo
85 Geusens et al 86 Pitt et al	1998	>3 mo GCs ≥6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic Mixed medical	a + vit D	400mg/0.5g cyclical 24 0.5g 240mg/7.mg/400 IU cyclical 24	63 (6) 63 (6) 65 (10) 58.9 (13.7)						4.72 -2.40 5.12	2.10 1.60 5.20			

				·,	STUDY DETAIL	S					LUMB	AR S	PINE	ВМ	(continued)	(pani			
Ref /	Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified) (Time A	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo % change	SD (%)	β within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ ρ within btwn	Ę	
10. Et	10. Etidronate/other agent82 van Cleemput et al 19	e nt 1996	1–2 wks GCs	Ht transpl	Etidronate + Ca	clical			ΣΞ	61					06'8-	6.10	<0.005		
84	Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Alphacalcidol + Ca Etidronate + Ca Calcitonin + Ca	0.25-1 µg/1.25g 400mg/1g cyclical	24 18 28 27	57 (8) 52.7 (6.8) 55.9 (5.8)	kg/day	27 + 1					00:9-0-1	_	<0.005	l8 mo	0
83 F	Henderson et al	2001	- wk GCs	Ht transpl	Calcitriol			49.9 (9.5)		2 - 2) ·	Q ,	Z		
_ 4	Diamond et al	1997	Long–term GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Calcitriol	400mg/0.5g cyclical 6	24	2.3)	Jay	27 20						6.36			
%	Charlwood et al	1997	Long-term GCs	ΣΖ	Etidronate + Ca + vit D_2 Calcitonin Etidronate	400mg/lg cyclical/0.5mg/wk 4 100 IU sc 0.4g	2 2 2	63.5* 58.6 (15.4) 1 58.1 (14.5) 1	-0.8mg Σ Σ Σ Σ	28 Total = 60	0.41		NS 0.0004			9,66			
= 69	11. Clodronate/control	8661	>6 mo GCs	Kidney transp	Clodronate + Ca	9/0.59			8.8 (7.8) mg	15	4.60	5.30	0.005	s: Z					
		800	3000	Actions (2005)				48 (12)	8.4 (4.9)mg	2 2 2	08.1	5.90		2 <u>v</u>					
	riei ala et ol	0//-							7.6 (3.0)mg	6	-0.50		0.63	2					
					Clodronate	1600mg			10 (6)mg	6 9	2.60		<0.02	<0.05					
					Placebo Clodronate	2400mg		56.1 (11.3)	7.6 (3.0)mg 8.2 (3.9)mg	6 6	3.00	5.30	0.63	×0.04					
					Placebo		/		7.6 (3.0)mg	61	-0.50	5.30	0.63						
1 29	Nordborg et al	1997	Early GCS	GCA	Clodronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	800mg/0.5–0.75g cyclical 1 0.5–0.75g	12 7	70.5 (59–78) 69.8 (57–82)	10.3mg 10.9mg	4 €									
12. C	12. Clodronate/other agent	gent			1				600	_		000		<u>u</u>					
69	Grotz et al	222	>6 mo GCs	Kidney transpl	Clodronate			41 (12)	8.8 (2.8)mg	2 >	4.60	5.30		2					
89	Herrala et ol	1998	≥6 mo GCs	Asthma/COPD	Clodronate	800mg	12 5		9.6 (5.1)mg 7.6 (4.2)mg	9	3.20	5.70 4.20	0.034						
					Clodronate				10 (6)mg	61	2.60	4.40	<0.02						
					Clodronate	800mg			7.6 (4.2)mg		00	4.20	0.3						
					Clodronate				10 (6)mg	6	2.60	4.40	<0.02						
					Clodronate	2400mg			8.2 (3.9)mg	61	3.00	5.10	<0.01						
13. A	13. Alendronate/control	l																	
49	Saag et ol	1998	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	. Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU 0.8-1.0s/250-500 IU		56 (15)	10mg*(8-135) 11mg*(5-120)	191	2.10	3.62	<0.00 NS	<0.001					
					Alendronate + Ca + vit D	\supseteq	12			157	2.90	3.61	-0	<0.001					
(59	Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	\supseteq				63	P	ì	2				-0	<0.05	
					Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	20	24 5	54 (15) 53 (15)	_	55					3.85		NS <0.001		
, 99	Yilmaz et al	2001	ΣΖ	RA A	Placebo + Ca + vrt U Alendronate + Ca	0.8-1g/250-5001U .			(071-4)	61 22	16.4		<0.05		//0-/	4.65	s Z	6 mo	
	=	001	(g -					22	0.88		SZ						
}	Connelli et di	1661	Starting GCS	Sarcoid	Alendronate Placebo		12 9	55.3 (11.5) 48.8 (12.5)	o.5mg/kg	5 5									
14. 49	14. Alendronate/other agent 64 Saag et al	agent 1998	66% >4 mo GCs	. Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU				191	2.10	3.62	<0.001						
		0	()	-	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	10mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 12				157	2.90	3.61	<0.001			1	2		
6	Adacni et di	7007	66% /4 mo GCS I'llixed medical	riixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	_	24 5	53 (15)	10mg*(7-95)	55					3.85	4.75	2		

*Median rather than mean.

			S	STUDY DETAIL	S				LUMBAR		SPINE	ВМ				
Ref Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route Time (daily po unless specified) (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo S % change	ν (%) OS	ithin	ρ 24 mo btwn % chan	90	SD (%) p within	h in btwn	
15. Pamidronate/control 88 Boutsen et d	1997 2001	Starting GGs	Mixed rheumatic Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca Ca Pamidronate + Ca Ca Pamidronate + Ca	90mg/w/130mg/v/3mo/0.8g 12 0.8g 12 90mg/w/130mg/w/3mo(0.8g 12 0.8g 12		8 8 E E	4 m 0 0 0 0	3.60 6.01 7.30 7.70	2.60 4 2.58 4 2.90 4 2.20	00.00 >	100:00				
93 Fan et al92 Aris et al87 Reid et al	2000	Starting GCs I-12 mo GCs >5 yrs GCs	Kidney transpl Lung transpl Mixed medical	ebo ildronate ildronate + Ca + vit D + vitamin D ildronate + Ca ildronate + Ca	0.5mg/kg×2 30mg iv/3 mo/1g/800 IU 24 1g/800 IU 24 15/mg/1g 24	57(18) 50 (23–74) 53(23–66) 27.5 (6.6) 29.1 (6.4) 48 (20) 57 (13)	17 (16)mg 80mg/day 80mg/day 14.7 (4.5)mg 15.1 (6.3)mg 15.1 (5.6)mg				<0.05 0.25 <0.02 <0.02 <	8.8 2.6 2.6 2.6	09 09	2.50 3.20 27 70	0.015	S 5
16. Pamidronate/other agent 89 Boutsen et al 2001 91 Bianda et al 2000	agent 2001	Early GCs 2 wks GCs	Mixed medical Ht transpl	Pamidronate + Ca Pamidronate + Ca Calcitriol 18 mo +	ng iv × 1 .g ivx /30mg iv/3ma/0.8g 5–0.5µg/200 U in/1g	59(21) 55(17) 54.5(3.4)	28 (25)mg 25 (23)mg NM			000	NS 10:00 ×					
90 Charlwood et al	2661	Osteoporosis	Σ Ζ	Pamidronate + Ca Pamidronate + Ca Calcitonin Etidronate Pamidronate	0.5mg/kg every 3 mo/1g 18 30mg 3 monthly iv 12 100 IU sc 12 400mg 12 30mg 3 monthly iv 12	51.1 (11.2) 59.5 (12.4) 58.6 (15.4) 58.1 (14.5) 59.5 (12.4)	ΣΣΣΣΣ	14 Total=60	-1.90 4.81 0.41 10.87	0 0 Z 0 0	0.02 0.007 NS 0.0004 0.0007	<0.05				
17. Risedronate/control 94 Eastell et al 39 Wallach et al	7000 7000 7000	several yrs GCs	several yrs GCs RA <3 mo or ≥6 mo Mixed medical	Placebo Risedronate Placebo Risedronate Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D	al OC	65 (6.3) 64.5 (7.2) 65 (6.3) 61.4 (8.2) 58 (13.06) 59.4 (13.65)		40 40 40 170 165	1.30					5.60 NS 4.40 0.03 5.05 NS 5.05 NS	00:00 SZ	9 97 wks
	5000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo ± Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	1g/400 U 12 25 mg/g/400 U 12 15 mg/g/400 U 12 25 mg/g/400 U 12 19/400 U U U U U U U U U	58 (13.06) 59.3 (13.20) 59 (12) 59 (14) 58 (12) 59 (12)	18 (15.6)mg 17 (14.5)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (12)mg 15 (13)mg			4.56 5.01 3.24 7.4.18 8.24 8.44 8.44 8.24 8.24	V Z V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	<0.00 NS NS <0.05				
75 Conen et di	666		Tixed medmatic	Nsedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca Risedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	mg/u.sg 12 0.5g 12 5mg/0.5g 12 0.5g 12	57.2 (14.7) 57.2 (14.7) 61.9 (14.3) 57.2 (14.7)	21.4 (2.6) 21.7 (2.0) 20.4 (1.9) 21.7 (2.0)	5 5 5 5			δ δ	<0.000				
8. Risedronate/other agent 94. Eastell et al 200 39. Wallach et al 200 96. Reid et al 200 95. Cohen et al 199	2000 2000 2000 2000 1999	several yrs GCs <3mo or ≥6 mo ≥6 mo GCs <3 mo GCs	RA Mixed medical Mixed medical	Risedronate Risedronate Risedronate Risedronate Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate Risedronate Risedronate	25mg 24 15mg cyclical 24 25mg 12 5mg 12 25mg/1g/400 IU 12 25mg/1g/400 IU 12 5mg/1g/400 IU 12	64.5 (7.2) 61.4 (8.2) 59.4 (13.65) 59.3 (13.20) 59 (14) 58 (12) 59.5 (14) 61.9 (14.3)	NM NM 18 (7.9)mg 17 (145)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (12)mg 21.4 (2.6) 20.4 (1.9)	40 40 165 174 94 100 75	1.30	5.26 5.01 4.18 4.44 6.14 7.7 7.7	NS N	1		5.05 NS		97 wks
19. Vitamin K/control	2000	Early GCs	Nephritis	Menatetrenone Control	15mg tid 10 wks	ks 25.7 (7.8) ks 30.3 (10.8)	0.8mg/kg/day 0.8mg/kg/day	0 0	-1.83 -3.50	0 0	0.153					10 wks

									-									
			S	STUDY DETAILS	S				_	E O D	BARS	SPIN	ВМО	(continued)	nned)			
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ within	p btwn	
20. Fluoride/control	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed respiratory	MFP + Ca	200mg/0.5g	24	47.7 (12.2)	15.9 (9.3)mg	15					00:11	13.80	0.03	0.05	
et <i>a</i> l 123 Rickers e <i>t al</i>	1982	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Ca NaF+CaP+vit D	0.5g 50mg/4.5g/ 45000 IU-x2/wk	54	45.0 (9.6) 59 (21–81)	19.1 (11.7)mg 1 28.6mg	91					1.20	8.20	SZ		
128 Lems et al	1997	Variable from zero Mixed medical	o Mixed medical	Control NaF + Ca ± DHT	50mg/0.5–1.0g/	24	63.2 (47–76) 49 (17)	24.6mg 14.6 (10.5)mg	15					2.20	7.00	SZ	<0.01	
125 Lippuneret <i>al</i>	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Ca ± DHT MFP + Ca + 250HD	0.5–1.0g/0.1mg EOD 100mg/0.5g/50µg	24	53 (15) 41.7 (4.4)	b0	7 4	3.67	6.09	0.048	<0.05	m	5.00	<0.01		
124 Rizzoli et al	1995	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca + 25UHU MFP + Ca	0.5g/50µg 200mg/1g	7 8 9	48.9 (3.9) 50.6 (16)		225			S Z		7.80	= 5		<0.02	l8 mo
129 von Tirpitz et al	2000	GCs in previous y.	GCs in previous yr Crohn's disease	Placebo or control + Ca NaF + Ca + vit D Ca + vit D	1g 75mg/1g/1000 IU 1g/1000 IU	2 2 8	51.6 (14.4) 43.7 (13.1) 36.7 (9.67)	12.1 (5.28)mg 2 9.9 (14.4)mg 1 17.9 (18.1)mg 1	- 18 18	7.04		<0.05 NS	0.021	3.60	6.24			
21. Fluoride/other agent	1997	ΣΖ	Mixed medical	NaF+etidronate+Ca±DHT	50mg/400mgcyc/ 05-1a/0 1mg FOD	24	56 (17)	10.6 (4.2)mg 2	23					9.30	17.10	<0.01	<0.01	
				Placebo+etidronate+ Ca±DHT	400mgcyc/0.5-1g/ 0.1mg EOD	24	(21) 09	16.9 (19.8)mg 2	24					0.30	9.11	SZ		
22. PTH/control 136 Lane et <i>al</i>	98/2000	98/2000 >12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	PTH+HRT+Ca+vit D HRT + Ca + vit D	40 µg sc/1gm/ 800 IU 1g/800 IU	2 2	65.1 (9.6) 59.9 (10.2)	8.0 (3.8)mg 2 9.4 (4.5)mg 2	28	11.90	9.50	0.01 NS	<0.001					
23. HRT/control 131 Hall et <i>al</i>	1994	ΣΖ	\$	HRT	Oestradiol 50μg/d td	24	58.7 (4.2)	7.5 (2.7)mg 2	22					3.75	7.08		<0.05	
132 Coombes et al	2000	18 yrs GCs	\$	olone + Ca ebo + Ca	2.5mg +0.8–1g 0.8–1g	24 24 24	56.6 66.6 66.6		Total=37					9 4 0	Ę	<0.0001 NS	0.01	
24. HRT/other 131 Hall et <i>al</i>	1994	ΣΖ	\$	HRT	Oestradiol 50 µg/d td		58.7 (4.2)	7.5 (2.7)mg 2	12					3.75	7.08		<0.05	
133 Kung et <i>al</i>	6661	Mean 130 mo GCs	s SLE	HRT + Ca	0.75 CEE 0.625mg/5mgMPA/1g 0.51/q/1		37 (6) 37 (6) 36 (6)		13					2.00	0.40	<0.05	<0.03	
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	₹		0.5 µg CEE 0.625mg/MPA 2.5mg	7 2 2	09>		al=53	-0.60	11.60		>0.05	-	2	3		
25. Testosterone/control 135 Reid et <i>al</i>	9661	Mean 8 yrs GCs	Asthma	Testosterone + Ca Ca	250mg im/mo/1g 1g	12	(11) 19	9.2mg (6.8) 11.6mg (6.7)	15	5	5.30	0.005 NS	0.05					
26. Anabolic/control 140 Adami et <i>al</i>	1661	Most > lyr GCs	Most > 1yr GCs Mixed rheumatic	Nandrolone + Ca + vit D	50mg im every 3 wk/	*0	57*	l0mg*	81									
				(a + vit D	1.2g/600–800 IU	*	54*	15mg*										

*Median rather than mean.

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S				_	FEMORAL		NECKB	ВМО				
Ref Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	No.	12 mo % change	SD (%) p	ρ ρ within btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ within	p btwn	
I. Calcium/control	6661	Early GCs	Httranspl	Control	20	12	47 (12)	20mg		00.6-		SZ					
115 Stellon et al	1985	>12 mo GCs	CAH	Ca (MCHC)	3 23			9.4 (1.4)mg 8.8 (1.8)me									
113 Lambrinoudaki et <i>al</i>	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Ca + placebo Placebo + placebo	1.2g	24	31.2 (5.8)	9.8 (3.9)mg 11 (8.2)mg	25								
	00	()	2			2	(6)	2		-							
	986	N yr GCs	Kidney transpi	Control		7	38 (9) 34 (11)	ΣΣΖ		1.30 -5.50	6.60 7.50	0.00					
120 Buckley et al	9661	Σ	\$	Vit D3 + Ca Placeho	500 IU/I g	24	51.9 (12.5)	5.9mg	31				0.75	5.00		0.2	
117 Bjilsma et ol	1988	Mean 44.2 mo	Mixed medical	Vit D + Ca	IU EOD/0.5g	24	41.1	14.9mg	9 :				2.30	9		SZ	
121 Di Munno et al	6861	Mean 38.0 mo Early GCs	PMR	Ca Calcidiol + Ca	0.5g 35µg 5d each mo/0.5g	24 12	50.3 65.7 (8.3)	l4mg NM	4 Z				-0.50				
118 Adachi et al	9661	<1 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca Vit D + Ca		12	70.7 (7.8) 63.8(11.1)	NM 19.3 (10.8) mg	= 5								
119 Bernstein et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs	IBD	Placebo Vit D3 + Ca Placebo	250IU/1g	38 12	67.4(12.3) 35.3 (9.9) 36.4 (16.2)	19.3 (11)mg NM NM	4 6 8								
3. Calcitriol/control	1993	- mo GCs	Mixed medical		0.5-1.01.0	12	49(77–79)	25mg		-2 80	10.30	Z					
	-)		Placebo		12	53(25–77)	u 50		-2.90	6.80	-					
112 Stempfle et al	6661	35±25 mo GCs	Ht transpl	Placebo + Ca ± HRT Calcitriol + Ca + HRT	lg 0.25ug/1g	38	53 (8)	ΣΣ	47 54								
III Sambrook et al	2000	I mo GCs	Ht/lung transpl	Placebo + Ca	0.6g	24	45.3		21				-8.20	10.10		SZ	
				Calcitriol 12 mo + Ca 12 mo Calcitriol 24 mo + Ca	0.5-0,75µg/0.6g 0.5-0.75µg/0.6g	24	46.8 45.8		77 77				-7.40 -5.00	6.90 8.70		0.04	
113 Lambrinou daki et al	2000	0 mo GCs	H.S.	Placebo + Ca		24	45.3	NM 99 (47)mo	21				-8.20	10.10			
				Placebo + placebo		24	32 (6.2)		30								
110 Dykman et al	1984	>6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Calcitriol + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	0.25-1.0µg/0.5g/400 IU 0.5g/400 IU	∞ ∞	46.5 (13.7) 51.5 (14.6)	12.2 (9.4) mg 11.3 (13.0) mg	<u> </u>								
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	RA	Calcitriol		2 2	09<	ΣΣZ	Total=53								
4. Calcitriol/other agent	it																
III Sambrook et al	2000	I mo GCs	Ht/lung transpl	Calcitriol 12 mo + Ca 12 mo Calcitriol 24 mo	0.5-0.75µg/0.6g 0.5-0.75µg/0.6g	24	46.8 45.8	ΣΣΖ	22				-7.40 -5.00	6.90		NS	
5. Alphacalcidol/control	6661	<2 wks GCs	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol + Ca	lug/0,4g	12	57.1 (16.2)	46.6 (16.3) mg	74								
106 Lakatos e <i>t al</i>	2000	4 wks GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca Albhacalcidol	0.4g 0.25–1.0ug	12	57.3 (14.0) 43.8		71				090-			<0.05	36 mo
				ď	0.5g	36	1.4	(5.8) mg	20				-3.60				?
108 Dequeker et al	2000	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Alphacalcidol Control	0.5-1.0µg	24	57 (7.5) 55 (8.0)	ΣΣ	33				-7.30		-00.00 -00.00		
			Liver transpl	Alphacalcidol	0.5-1.5µg	24	57 (3.9)		4 0				-2.40		NS		
109 Yamada	6861	Long-term GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Alphacalcidol + Ca	0.75µg/0.4g	24	32.1 (8.6)	llmg	4 4				200		000		
				Control Alphacalcidol+Ca+thiazide	0.75µg/0.4g/4mg	24	36.5 (5.6) 35.2 (7.7)		_ =								
				Control	,	24	36.5 (5.6)	IImg	13								
6. Alphacalcidol/other agent 107 Ringe et al	agent 1999	Long-term GCs Mixed medical	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol + Ca	1 µg/0.5g	36	60.6 (37–76)	9.7g	43				747			SN	36 mo
-				VIT	1000 IO/0.5g	26		7.08	4.7				0.45				

			v)	STUDY DETAIL	S				ш	FEMOR	RALI	N E C	B M D	(continued)	(p		
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified) (Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose N mean (SD) pt	No. 12	12 mo SI % change	ν (%) OS	p p within btwn	24 mo n % change	SD (%)	%) p within	p nin btwn	Ę
7. Calcitonin/control 69 Grotz et al	1998	>6 mo GCs	Kidney transpl	Calcitonin + Ca	100 IU in bd/0.5g		45(12)				8.30 0.	0.228 NS					
103 Healey et al	9661	2 wks GCs	PMR/GCA/vasc	Ca Calcitonin + Ca + vit D	0,5g 100 IU sc × 3/wk		48(12) 71.6(9.7)	8.4 (4.9)mg 1.9 NM 2.9		-0.80		570	-3.60	9.60		SZ	
00 Samband of of	1993	ر ا	Mixed basical	C	1.5g/400 IU		71.7 (8.4)		ŭ α				-6.80	10.90	SZ	Z	
		5	2000		g /gH _ C O B		53		. 6				-5.20	8.80		2	
100 Luengo et al	0661	>12 mo GCs	Asthma	Cacitonin + Ca	100 IU sa/1g		58.9(7.6)	10.5 (5.2)mg 20	0.0								
101 Luengo et al	1994	≥12 mo GCs	Asthma	citonin + Ca	200 IU in EOD/1g		58.9(7.6)		2 0								
104 Kotaniemi et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs	RA	citonin + Ca	lg 100 IU in/0.5g		58.8(6.3) 49.2 (8.6)				53.90 N	NS <0.01	_				
32 Rizzato et al	1988	Mean 15 mo GCs Sarcoid	Cs Sarcoid	_	0.5g 100 IU im/d I mo, then EOD 15		52.4 (8.6) 48.8 (2.17)	8.6 (1.3)mg 3 11.1 (0.97)mg 20		-22.10 39		0.05					
98 Cremer et al	6661	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Control Calcitonin + Ca + calcitriol	40 IU sc/1g/0.25µg EOD	S	43.9 (2.28) 47.2 (8.6)		<u></u> 0								
102 Adachi et <i>al</i>	1997	< Imo GCs	PMR	Ca + calcitriol Calcitonin + Ca Placebo + Ca	1g/0.25µgEOD 100 IU in/0.8g 0.8g	48 wks 12 12	47.3 (9.2) 71 (8.4) 69.8 (7.6)	20 (2.5)mg 3 (7.3.8) mg 16 (2.5)mg	31 16 –2 15 –3	-2.35 -3.57		S Z					
8. Calcitonin/other agent 99 Valero et al	nt 1995	Mean 17 mo GC	Mean 17 mo GCs Liver transpl	Calcitonin + Ca	40 IU im/1g		50.1 (6.2)	l n									
20 Sambrook et al	1993	< I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Calcitonin + Calcitriol + Ca	400mg/1g cyclical 400 IU in/0.5–1.0µg/1g		40.4 (12.8) 52(18–77)	. B	₩ 6				-3.20	12.50		SZ	
84 Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Placebo + calcitriol + Ca Calcitonin + Ca			49(22–79) 55.9 (5.8)	P/	4 w				-3.80	10.00			
97 Välimäki et <i>al</i>	6661	Early GCs	Httranspl	Calcidiol + Ca Control Ca + Calcitonin	/1g to then 200 IU	2 2 8	51.5 (10.3) 47 (12) 47 (8)	Img/kg/d I.3 20mg I0 20mg I0	E 0 0	-9.00 -4.50		SZ					
12																	
81 Wolfhagen et al	1997	I mo before GCs PBC	Cs PBC	Etidronate + Ca Ca			57 (II) 49 (6)	30mg 6 30mg 6		-0.10 3. -1.50 5.	3.60 5.10	SZ ZS ZS					
80 Roux et al	8661	3 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca ± vit D	1g/0.5gcyclical/1,000 IU		59 (13.6)					<0.05 0.206	٠,0				
102 Adachi et al	1997	<100 d GCs	Mixed medical		0.5g		50.2 (13.2) 60 (16)	22)mg	74		5.31	0.63					
84 Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl	² a			52.7 (6.8)				?						
85 Geusens et al	1998	>3 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	,e			63 (6)	6.3 (0.9)mg	100				3.60	1.40		S NS	
86 Pitt et al	1998	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca + vit D	10 IU cydical		58.9 (13.7)		0 9 1				2.47	6.57	S Z S	SZ	
72 Skingle et al	1997	≥I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Flacebo + Ca + VIL D Etidronate + Ca			57.2 (10.0) 65 24		100				1.30	9.00	0.263	SN S	
74 Jenkins et al	6661	Starting GCs	PMR/RA	Ironate + Ca			68.7 (10.9)	*		0.95 0.	0.15	Z	71.20		Ė	2	
71 Skingle et al	1994	ΣΖ	Mixed medical	cebo + Ca Ironate + Ca			65.9 (9.7)				0		3.00		SZ	SN	
76 Jinnouchi	2000	>6 mo GCs	CT disease	Etidronate + calcitriol		7 2 2	64 57 (15.4) 23 (10.1)	8 Σ Σ Σ Σ	<u> </u>				Σ Z		Ź		
73 Cortet et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	RA/PMR/GCA	e + Ca	400mg/0.5g cyclical		61.4 (12.5)	(6.6)mg		-1.13 0.	0.73	0.3					
70 Mulder & Struys	1994	Starting GCs	GCA	Etidronate	ng cyclical		65.3 (11.3) 74 77				000						
75 Struys et al	1995	≥ l yr GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca	ng/0.5g cyclical		62.3 (14.3)	Ju S	2 6 6								
151 Worth et al	1994	>9 mo GCs	Asthma	Etidronate + Ca + vit D	7.5mg/kg/d/1g/1000 IU 6		55 (11) 58 (12)	27 (44)mg 14	3 4 6								

						,					Ο Σ Ш	RAL	U W Z	M M M	_				
Ref A	Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	No.	12 mo % change	SD (%)	p within	þ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	p p within b	þ btwn	
10. Eti 82 va	10. Etidronate/other agent 82 van Cleemput et al 19	ent 1996	I-2 wks GCs	Ht transpl	Etidronate + Ca	400mg/1.25g cyclical	24	49 (11)	ΣΣ	61					-16.10	7.10	<0.005 0.	0.001	
84 G	Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl			F 8 :	52.7 (6.8)	Img/kg/day	7 4 9					9		0000/		
83 H	Henderson et al	2001	- wk GG	Ht transpl	Calcitrolin + Ca Calcitriol		<u>8</u> 9 (55.9 (5.8) 49.9 (9.5)	Img/kg/day Img/kg/day	21 3	-7.00	9 -		SZ				9	6 mo
	Diamond et al	1997	Long-term GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Calcitriol	400mg/0.5g cyclical 0.25µg	24	48.8 (12.3) 63.5*	I mg/kg/day I 0.8mg	27 29		2			0.70	5.83			
U	Charlwood et al	1997	Long-term GCs	ΣΖ	Etidronate + Ca + vit D ₂ Calcitonin Etidronate	400 mg/lg cyclical/0.5 mg/wk 24 100 IU sc 0.4g	12 12	63.5* 58.6 (15.4) 58.1 (14.5)	10.8mg Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ Σ	28 Total = 60	5.87		0.0047 NS		0.60	10:/			
ŏ	11. Clodronate/control	8661	05 0m 9<	Kidney transa	Clodmoste + Ca	9/0 59	2	41(12)	8 8 (7 8)mg	15	9	5.50	0.346	SZ.					
)					San Car	10	7		8.4 (4.9)mg	5 5		7.60		2					
H 89	Herrala et <i>al</i>	1998	≥6 mo GCs	Asthma/COPD	Clodronate	800mg	2 2	56.1 (8.9)	7.6 (4.2)mg 7.6 (3.0)mg				0.93	SZ					
					Clodronate	1600mg	2 2 2		10 (6)mg	6 6	00:0	3.50		SZ					
					Flacebo	2400mg	7	58.7 (10.3)	7.6 (3.0)mg 8.2 (3.9)mg	6			00	<0.005					
2	10000	1007	(Š.	Placebo	0.75	12		7.6 (3.0)mg	6 7		4.00	0.71						
_	Nordborg et di	1661	Early G	5)5	Clodronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	600mg/0.5-0.75g cyclical 0.5-0.75g	7	/U.S (57–78) 69.8 (57–82)	10.5mg 10.9mg	± E									
ŏ	12. Clodronate/other agent 69 Grotz et al 199	gent 1998	>6 mo GCs	Kidney transpl	Clodronate	800mg 200 II Jin	12	41(12)	8.8 (2.8)mg	51 2	-1.10 2.50		0.346	s Z					
I	Herrala et ol	8661	≥6 mo GCs	Asthma/COPD	Clodronate	800mg	12	56.1 (8.9)	7.6 (4.2)mg	2 _			0.93						
					Clodronate	1600mg	2	58.1 (9.6)	10 (6)mg	6 1			0.97						
					Clodronate	avumg 2400mg	7 7	_	7.5 (4.2)mg 8.2 (3.9)mg	<u> 6</u>			<0.0001						
					Clodronate Clodronate	1600mg 2400mg	12	58.1 (9.6) 58.7 (10.3)	10 (6)mg 8.2 (3.9)mg	6 6		3.50	0.97						
¥	13. Alendronate/control	_																	
Š	Saag et <i>al</i>	1998	66% >4 mo GCs	s Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU 0.8-1.0g/250-500 IU I0mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU		56 (15) 54 (15) 55 (15)		161 159 157		4.83 4.76 4.81		100.00>					
∢	Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GC	66% >4 mo G.G. Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	0.8-1g/250-500 IU 5mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU 0.8-1g/250-500 IU 10mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU	24 24 24	54 (15) 53(15) 54(15) 53(15)	mg*(5–120) 0mg*(8–135) mg*(5–120) 0mg*(7–95)	159 63 61 55	-I.20	4.76	0.0>	,	0.11	4.82 6.26 4.71	V V	<0.05	
⊱	Yilmaz et <i>al</i>	2001	ΣΖ	\$	Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca	0.8-1g/250-500 IU I0mg/1g		54(15) 51.12 (9.6)	(5-120)	61	2.12		SZ		-2.93	6.26			om 9
O	Gonnelli et al	1997	Starting GCs	Sarcoid	Ca Alendronate Placebo	lg 5mg	6 12 12	42 (11.6) 53.3 (11.5) 48.8 (12.5)	7.5mg 0.5mg/kg 0.5mg/kg	22 15 15	-2.78		SZ						
Š. Š.	14. Alendronate/other agent54 Saag et al1998	1998	66% >4 mo GC	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	12	56 (15)		191	1.20	4.83	10.0						
∢	Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GCs	s Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	10mg/0.8—1 g/250—500 10 5mg/0.8—1 g/250—500 1U 10mg/0.8—1 g/250—500 1U	24 24	53(15) 53(15) 53(15)	10mg*(7-73) 10mg*(8-135) 10mg*(7-95)	63		o o	0.00		0.11	4.82	Z	S	

			2	SIDDI DELAIL	n					1			1	(00000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route Time (daily po unless specified) (mo)	ne Age (yrs) o) mean (SD)) Initial GC dose No. O) mean (SD) pts	ose No.	12 mo % change	SD (%)	р within	þ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ ρ within b	p btwn
15. Pamidronate/control 88 Boutsen et al	1997 2001	Starting GCs	Mixed rheumatic Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca Ca Ca Pamidronate + Ca Ca Pamidronate + Ca	90mgivx1/30mgw/3mo/08g 12 0.8g 12 90mg ivx1/30mg iv/3mo(08g 12 0.8g 12	60(16) 61(12) 61(12) 55(17) 57(18) 59(21)	31.2 (23.8)mg 28.1 (23.8)mg 25 (23) mg 19 (16)mg 28 (25)mg	18 14 19 9 9 9	2.96 4.13 1.20 -3.10	6.42 6.25 2.30 4.10	0.029	0.002				
93 Fan et <i>al</i>	2000	Starting GCs	Kidney transpl	Placebo Pamidronate				, C 4	00.6-	<u> </u>	<0.005					
92 Aris et al	2000	I-12 mo GCs	Lung transpl	Pamidronate + Ca + vit D Ca + vitamin D	_ no/1g/800 lU		, – –	9 8			5		8.20	3.80	Ö	10.0
87 Reid et al	1988	>5 yrs GCs	Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	150mg/1g 24 1g 24											
16. Pamidronate/other agent 89 Boutsen et al 2001	er agent 2001	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca	1			6	1.20	2.20		SZ				
91 Bianda et al	2000	2 wks GCs	Ht transpl	Pamidronate + Ca Calcitriol 18 mo + CT 3 mo + Ca	90mg iw/1/30mg iv/3mo/0.8g 12 0.25-0.5µg/200 IU in/1g 18		25 (23)mg NM	9 12	1.20	2.30	<0.01	<0.05				
90 Charlwood et al	1997	Osteoporosis	ΣZ	a	0.5mg/kg every 3 mo/1g 18 30mg 3 monthly iv 12 100 IU sc 12 400mg 12 30mg 3 monthly iv 12	51.1 (11.2) 59.5 (12.4) 58.6 (15.4) 58.1 (14.5) 59.5 (12.4)	Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ Δ	14 Total=60	-1.40 2.71 5.87 2.66 2.71	1.40	0.02 NS 0.0047 NS NS					
17. Risedronate/control	lo															
94 Eastell et al	2000	several yrs GCs	\$	Placebo Risedronate Placebo	24 2.5mg 24	65 (6.3) 64.5 (7.2)	ΣΣΣΣ	4 4 4					-3.60 -1.00	6.32	NS N	97 wks
39 Wallach et al	2000	<3 mo or≥6 m	<3 mo or≥6 mo Mixed medical	ate + Ca + vit D ate + Ca + vit D			íc.		-1.50	5.34	0.001 NS	SZ	0.60	13.90		97 wks
96 Reid et <i>al</i>	2000	≥ 6 mo GCs	Mixed medical					170	1.30	5.34 5.27 4.09	0.00 NS	-00.00 NS				
				Q Q	⊇⊚				-0.20 1.80	4.09	NS <0.001	<0.01				
95 Cohen et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca Risedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	1g/4001U 12 2.5mg/0.5g 12 0.5g 12 5mg/0.5g 12 0.5g 12	59 (12) 59.5 (14) 57.2 (14.7) 61.9 (14.3) 57.2 (14.7)	15 (13) mg 21.4 (2.6) 7) 21.7 (2.0) 3) 20.4 (1.9) 7) 21.7 (2.0)	96 77 75 77 76	-0.30 -3.10 -3.10	4.09 5.70 5.70 5.70	NS NS A0.05 NS A0.05	NS 				
18. Risedronate/other agent 94 Eastell et al 2000	2000	several yrs GCs	8	Risedronate		64.5 (7.2)		40					00.1-	6.32	SZ	
39 Wallach et of	2000	<3mo or≥6 mo	o Mixed medical	Risedronate Risedronate	cyclical 3) NM (5) 18 (17.9) mg		-0.30	2.00	SZ		06:0	13.90	SZ	
96 Reid et al	2000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical		⊇				- 1.30 -0.20	5.27	<0.01 NS	SZ				
95 Cohen et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate Risedronate	5mg/ 1g/400 IU 12 2.5mg 12 5mg 12	58 (12) 59.5 (14) 61.9 (14.3)		100 75 76	0.80 0.80	4.38 5.88 5.92	<0.001 NS NS NS	SZ				
19. Vitamin K/control	2000	Early GCs	Nephritis	Menatetrenone Control	.p.	10 wks 25.7 (7.8) 10 wks 30.3 (10.8)) 0.8mg/kg/day 8) 0.8mg/kg/day	01 0								
*Median rather than mean.	ċ															

				-	0					- N	2	2 7	2				
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	7	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo SD (%) % change	p within	btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	م within	ρ btwn	
20. Fluoride/control	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed respiratory	MFP + Ca	200mg/0.5g	24	47.7 (12.2)	15.9 (9.3)mg 15	2								
et on 123 Rickers et al	1982	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Ca NaF+CaP+vit D	0.5g 50mg/4.5g/ 1000 II 0.7	24	45.0 (9.6) 59 (21–81)	19.1 (11.7)mg 13 28.6mg 16	e 9								
128 Lems et al	1997	Variable from zero	Variable from zero Mixed medical	Control Naf + Ca ± DHT	45000 IUXZ/wK 50mg/0.5-1.0g/	6 24	63.2 (47–76)	24.6mg 15	2 0				-3.80	5.50	<0.01	SZ	
	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Ca ± DHT MFP + Ca + 250HD	0.1 mg EOD 0.5—1.0g/0.1 mg EOD 100mg/0.5g/50µg	24 12	53 (15)) 4				-3.00	2.00	<0.05		
124 Rizzoli et al	1995	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca + 25OHD MFP + Ca	0.5g/50µg 200mg/1g	12	48.9 (3.9) 50.6 (16)	9 L	- 2				0.90	9.00		SZ	18 mo
129 von Tirpitz et al	2000	GCs in previous y	GCs in previous yr Crohn's disease	Placebo or control + Ca NaF + Ca + vit D Ca + vit D	lg 75mg/1g/1000 IU 1g/1000 IU	2 2 8	51.6 (14.4) 43.7 (13.1) 36.7 (9.67)	12.1 (5.28)mg 23 9.9 (14.4)mg 18 17.9 (18.1)mg 15	m & Lo								
21. Fluoride/other agent	1 t	ΣΖ	Mixed medical	NaF+etidmonate+Ca+DHT	50ma/400marvr/	24	(71) 95	106 (42)mg 23	~				-2.50	10.50	SN	SN	
		-		Placebo+etidronate+ Ca±DHT	0.5–1g/0.1mg EOD 400mgcyc/0.5–1g/ 0.1mg EOD	24	(71) 09	5.0) 4				4.00	6.40	<0.01		
22. PTH/control 136 Lane et <i>al</i>	98/200	98/2000 >12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	PTH+HRT+Ca+vit D HRT + Ca + vit D	40 µg sc/1gm/ 800 IU 1g/800 IU	2 2	65.1 (9.6) 59.9 (10.2)	8.0 (3.8)mg 28 9.4 (4.5)mg 23		3.33 -1.58	<u>\$</u> \$	s _Z					
1 1	1994	Σ :	& .	HRT Ca	Oestradiol 50µg/d td 400mg	24	58.7 (4.2) 56.2 (5.7)	2.7)mg 3.1)mg					1.62	6.75		SZ	
132 Coombes et al	7000	18 YIS GCS	¥.	Placebo + Ca	2.5mg +0.8-1g 0.8-1g	24	9.99 9.99	ΣΣΖ	l otal=37								
24. HRT/other 131 Hall et <i>al</i>	1994	ΣΖ	RA A	HRT	Oestradiol 50 µg/d td	24	58.7 (4.2)	7.5 (2.7)mg 21					1.62	6.75		SZ Z	
133 Kunget al	6661	Mean 130 mo GCs SLE	s SLE	HRT + Ca Calcitriol + Ca	CEE 0.625mg/5mgMPA/1g 0.5119/19	24 4	37 (6)		- M 14				-0.50	0.30	SZ SZ	2	
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	RA A	Calcitriol	0.5 µg CEE 0.625mg/MPA 2.5mg	2 2	09>		Total=53								
25. Testosterone/control 135 Reid et al	9661	Mean 8 yrs GCs	Asthma	Testosterone + Ca Ca	250mg im/mo/1g 1g	12	(11) 19	9.2mg (6.8) 15 11.6mg (6.7) 15	2.5								
26. Anabolic/control 140 Adami et <i>al</i>	1661	Most > lyr GCs	Most > lyr GCs Mixed rheumatic	Nandrolone + Ca + vit D	50mg im every 3 wk/	*80	57*	10mg*	σ.								
					1.2g/600–800 IU	*8	54*	15mg* 17									

*Median rather than mean.

									-			2				
				SIUDY DELAILS	S				-	TOTAL	- - -	ВМБ				
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		12 mo SD (%) % change	(%) ρ within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	p within	ρ btwn
I. Calcium/control	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Ca + placebo Placebo + placebo	1.2g	24	31.2 (5.8) 32 (6.2)	9.8 (3.9)mg 25 11 (8.2)mg 30	10.0				0.00	3.90	<u>\$</u> \$	S S S
2. Vitamin D3/control	9661	≥3 mo GCs	IBD	Vit D3 + Ca Placebo	250IU/1g	12	35.3 (9.9) 36.4 (16.2)	δ & Σ Σ Ζ Ζ	1	3.05 7.20 -1.62 3.40		0.11				
3. Calcitriol/control	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Calcitriol + Ca Placebo + placebo	0.5µg/1.2g	24	29.9(6.0) 32 (6.2)	9.9 (4.7)mg 26 11 (8.2)mg 30) 2				-0.40	2.60	<u>\$</u> \$	SZ
9. Etidronate/control 75 Struys et al	1995	N yr GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Ca	400mg/0.5g cyclical 0.5g	12	62.3 (14.3) 64.6 (13.4)	10.8mg 19 10.8mg 20		6.80	<0.02	<0.001				
13. Alendronate/control 65 Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GC	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 24 0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 24 10mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 24 0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 24	24 24 24 24	53(15) 54(15) 53(15) 54(15)	10mg*(8-135) 63 11mg*(5-120) 61 10mg*(7-95) 55 11mg*(5-120) 61	m - :				1.64 -1.57 2.69 -1.57	3.90 5.56 3.41 5.56		<0.05
14. Alendronate/other agent 65 Adachi et al 2001	agent 2001	66% >4 mo GC	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU I0mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	24	53(15) 53(15)	10mg*(8–135) 63 10mg*(7–95) 55	m :0				1.64	3.90		SZ
15. Pamidronate/control	2001		Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca Ca Pamidronate + Ca Ca	90mg ivx1/30mg iv/3mo/0.8g 0.8g 90mg iv x1 0.8g	g 12 12 12 12	55(17) 57(18) 59(21) 57(18)	25 (23) mg 9 19 (16)mg 9 28 (25)mg 9 19 (16)mg 9		2.60 3.10 -2.20 2.20 1.00 3.50 -2.20 2.20		0.006				
16. Pamidronate/other agent 89 Boutsen et al 2001	agent 2001	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Pamidronate + Ca Pamidronate + Ca	90mg iv × 1 90mg iv×1/30mg iv/3mo/0.8g	g 12	59(21) 55(17)	28 (25)mg 9 25 (23)mg 9		1.00 3.50 2.60 3.10		SZ				
20. Fluoride/control	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	MFP + Ca + 25OHD Placebo + Ca + 25OHD	100mg/0.5g/50µg 0.5g/50µg	12	41.7 (4.4)	10 (0) mg 7 11.4 (1.4) mg 8		0.44 3.45	S S Z	SZ				
22. PTH/control 136 Lane et <i>al</i>	98/200	98/2000 > 12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	PTH+HRT+Ca+vit D HRT + Ca + vit D	40 µg sc/1gm/ 800 IU 1g/800 IU	12	65.1 (9.6) 59.9 (10.2)	8.0 (3.8)mg 28 9.4 (4.5)mg 23		2.89 4.23 0.00 1.92	S Z Z	SN				
23. HRT/control	2000	18 yrs GCs	RA	Tibolone + Ca Placebo + Ca	2.5mg +0.8–1g 0.8–1g	24	66.6	Σ Σ Ζ Ζ Ζ Ζ	Total=37				4.20		0.005 NS	0.02

*Median rather than mean.

Ref Author(s)																		
no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	No.	12 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	p within	ρ btwn	Site (R)
I. Calcium/control	1985	>12 mo GCs	CAH	Ca (MCHC)	80	24	44.2 (15.2)	9.4 (1.4)mg	8					00:00		SZ		P!W
113 Lambrinoudaki et <i>al</i>	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Control Ca + placebo Placebo + placebo	1.2g	54 54 24 54 54		8.8 (1.8)mg 9.8 (3.9)mg 11 (8.2)mg	18 25 30					-3.20 -0.10 0.20	3.70	<0.005 NS NS NS	SZ	Distal
2. Vitamin D3/control	6861	Early GCs	PMR	Calcidiol + Ca Placebo + Ca	35µg 5d each mo/0.5g 0.5g	12		ΣΣΖΖ	12	16		NS <0.05						Distal
3. Calcitriol/control 20 Sambrook et al	1993	I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitriol	0.5-1.0µg	12		25mg	34	0.80	12.10		SZ					Distal
113 Lambrinoudaki et <i>al</i>	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	Placebo Calcitriol + Ca	0.5µg/1.2g	24		25mg 9.9 (4.7)mg	29 26	-3:00	12.50			0.20	2.30	SZ	SZ	Distal
110 Dykman et al	1984	>6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Placebo + placebo Calcitriol + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	0.25-1.0µg/0.5g/400 IU 0.5g/400 IU	24 8 8 8	32 (6.2) 46.5 (13.7) 51.5 (14.6)	11 (8.2)mg 12.2 (9.4)mg 11.3 (13.0)mg	0 3 30					0.20 8.00 5.00	2.80 9.00 7.70	s Z	SZ Z	Distal 18 mo
5. Alphacalcidol/control 106 Lakatos et al	2000	4 wks GCs	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol Ca	0.25-1.0µg 0.5g	36	43.8 44.1	14.7 (4.9)mg 14.9 (5.8)mg	21 20					-0.84			<0.05	Mid 36 mo
7. Calcitonin/control 20 Sambrook et al	1993	<1 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitonin + calcitriol + Ca Placebo + placebo + ca	1	24 24	52 53	25mg 25mg	29					09.1-	23.20		SZ	Distal
8. Calcitonin/other agent 20 Sambrook et al	1993	< I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitonin + Calcitriol + Ca Placebo + calcitriol + Ca	. 400 IU in/0.5–1.0µg/1g 0.5–1.0µg/1g	24 24	52(18–77) 49(22–79)	25mg 25mg	29					-1.60 -3.60	23.20		SZ	Distal
9. Etidronate/control 102 Adachi et al	1997	< 100 d GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo/Ca Etidronate/Ca	0.5g 400mg/0.5g cyclical	12	60 (16) 62 (14)	23 (22) mg 21 (22) mg	74	0.28	8.01		0.7					Distal
II. Clodronate/control 67 Nordborg et al	1997	Early GCS	GCA	Clodronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	800mg/0.5–0.75g cyclical 0.5–0.75g	2 2	70.5 (59–78) 69.8 (57–82)	10.3mg 10.9mg	4 E	1.07	0.12	SZ SZ	SZ					Total body
13. Alendronate/control 47 Gonnelli et al	1997	Starting GCs	Sarcoid	Alendronate Placebo	5mg	2 2	53.3 (11.5) 48.8 (12.5)	0.5mg/kg 0.5mg/kg	15	0.80		NS -0.0.0	<0.01					Distal
17. Risedronate/control 39 Wallach et al	2000	<3 mo or ≥6 m	<3 mo or ≥6 mo Mixed medical	Pacebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Pacebo ± Ca + vit D	1 g/400 IU 2.5mg/1 g/400 IU 1 g/400 IU	2 2 2	58 (13.06) 59.4 (13.65) 58 (13.06)	18 (15.6)mg 18 (17.9)mg 18 (15.6)mg	071 165 170	0.30	3.77	SZ SZ SZ	S Z Z					ΡįΜ
96 Reid et al	2000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Q Q Q	5mg/1 g/400 IU 1g/400 IU 2.5mg/1 g/400 IU 5mg/1 g/400 IU 1g/400 IU	22222	59.3 (13.20) 59 (12) 59 (14) 58 (12) 59 (12)	17 (14.5)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (12)mg 15 (13)mg	96 94 100 96	0.30 0.10 0.50 0.30	2.90 2.91 6.24 1.62 2.91	NS NS NS NS NS NS	S Z Z					P. W.
18. Risedronate/other agent 39 Wallach et al 200	gent 2000	<3mo or ≥6 mo) Mixed medical	Risedronate	2.5mg	12	59.4 (13.65)	18 (17.9)mg	165	-0.30	3.98	SZ Z	SZ SZ					PIΩ
96 Reid et al	2000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	+ Ca + vit D + Ca + vit D	2.5mg/1g/400 IU 5mg/1g/400 IU	2 2 2	59 (14) 58 (12)	15 (13)mg 15 (12)mg	94 - 00	-0.50 -0.50	6.24 1.62	NS NS 000	SZ					P!Μ

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S					FORE	ARM	Ω B	$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}$	FOREARM BMD (I) (continued)	(ρ ÷			
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route Time (daily po unless specified) (mo)		Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts	No.	12 mo % change	SD (%)	ρ within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ië	þ btwn	Site (R)
20. Fluoride/control	1982	Early GCs	Mixed medical	NaF+CaP+vit D	50mg/4.5g/	9	59 (21-81) 28.6mg	28.6mg	91	-3.10	2.80	<0.01	SZ					Distal 6 mo
				Control	45000 IOXZ/WK	9	63.2 (47–76) 24.6mg	24.6mg	15	-2.50	3.00	<0.05						
22. PTH/control 136 Lane et <i>al</i>	98/200	98/2000 > 12 mo GCs Mixed medical	Mixed medical	PTH+HRT+Ca+vit D HRT + Ca + vit D	40 µg sc/1gm/ 800 lU 1g/800 lU	12	65.1 (9.6)	8.0 (3.8)mg 9.4 (4.5)mg	28 23	-1.63 3.27		s z z	SZ					Distal
24. HRT/other 133 Kung et al	6661	1999 Mean 130 mo GCs SLE	s SLE	HRT + Ca Calcitriol + Ca	CEE 0.625mg/5mgMPA/1g 24 0.5µg/1g	24	37 (6) 36 (6)	ΣΣZ	13					0.25	0.2	NS N	SZ	Distal
26. Anabolic/control 140 Adami et al	1661	Most > 1yr GCs	: Mixed rheumatic	1991 Most > lyr GCs Mixed rheumatic Nandrolone + Ca + vit D 50mg im every 3 wk/ 1.2g/600-800 U Ca + vit D 1.2g/600-800 U 1.26/600-800 U		* *	57*	10mg*	8 2					5.10	. ,	<0.05	10.01	<0.05 <0.01 Distal 18 mo

mean
than
rather
edian
Σ *

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S					FORE/	A R M	ω ω	(2)					
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts	No. Pts	12 mo S % change	SD (%)	р within	ρ btwn	24 mo % change	SD (%)	ithin	btwn	Site (R)
3. Calcitriol/control	1984	>6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Calcitriol + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	0.25-1.0µg/0.5g/400 IU 0.5g/400 IU	<u>8</u> 8	46.5 (13.7) 51.5 (14.6)	12.2 (9.4) mg 11.3 (13.0) mg	13					0.00	3.60	_	SZ	Mid I8 mo
9. Etidronate/control 102 Adachi et al	1997	<100 d GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo/Ca Etidronate/Ca	0.5g 400mg/0.5g cyclical	2 2	60 (16) 62 (14)	23 (22)mg 21 (22)mg	74					01.0	3.09	0	0.62	PiΜ
17. Risedronate/control 39 Wallach et al	2000	<3 mo or≥6 mo Mixed medical	o Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D Placebo ± Ca + vit D	1g/400 IU 2.5mg/ 1g/400 IU 1g/400 IU	2 2 2 2	58 (13.06) 59.4 (13.65) 58 (13.06)	18 (15.6) mg 18 (17.9) mg 18 (15.6) mg	170 165 170		7.55	A0.05 NS A0.05	NS <0.05					Distal
96 Reid et al	2000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Nsedrohate + Ca + WLD Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedrohate + Ca + vit D Risedrohate + Ca + vit D Placebo + Ca + vit D	Smg/1g/+00 10 1g/400 1U 2.5mg/1g/400 1U 5mg/1g/400 1U 1g/400 1U	22222	57.5 (13.20) 59 (12) 59 (14) 58 (12) 59 (12)	17 (14.5) mg 15 (13)mg 15 (13)mg 15 (12)mg 15 (13)mg	94 100 96	0.50	7.70 5.09 5.23 4.87 5.09	NS NS NS NS NS NO:05	SZ SZ					Distal
18. Risedronate/other agent 39. Wallach et al 200 96. Reid et al 200	gent 2000 2000	<3mo or ≥6 mo ≥6 mo GCs	<3mo or z6 mo Mixed medical z6 mo GCs Mixed medical	Risedronate Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca + vit D	2.5mg 5mg 2.5mg/1g/400 IU 5mg/1g/400 IU	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	59.4 (13.65) 59.3 (13.20) 59 (14) 58 (12)	18 (17.9) mg 17 (14.5) mg 15 (13) mg 15 (12) mg	165 174 94 100	0.01 0.40 7 -0.50 6	7.70 7.70 5.23 4.87	2	SZ SZ					Distal
24. HRT/other 133 Kung et <i>al</i>	6661	1999 Mean 130 mo GCs SLE	s SLE	HRT + Ca Calcitriol + Ca	CEE 0.625mg/5mgMPA/1g 24 0.5µg/1g	24	37 (6) 36 (6)	ΣΣΖΖ	13					-0.50 -1.79	0.10 N 0.23 A	NS 10:00	<0.05	Total

*Median rather than mean.

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S					VERTEBRAL FRACTURE	AL FRAC	TURE	NON-V	NON-VERTEBRAL	FRACTURE
Ref Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	No.	12 mo β b new	ρ btwn 24 mo	p btwn	12 mo new	β btwn 24 mo	p btwn
I. Calcium/control	6661	Early GCs	Ht transpl	itrol		12	47 (12)	20mg	01	l of 8 NS					
115 Stellon et al	1985	>12 mo GCs	CAH	Ca Ca (MCHC)	2g 8g	2 4 2 5	51 (7) 44.2 (15.2) 44.2 (15.2)	20mg 9.4 (1.4)mg	2 2 2	4 of 8	0 of 17	SZ		of 7	SZ
113 Lambrinoudaki et al	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE	icebo + placebo	I.2g	7 7 7 7 7 7 7	31.2 (5.8) 32 (6.2)	9.8 (3.9)mg	30 22		1000			5 -	
2. Vitamin D3/control	9661	s yr GCs	Kidney transpl		40µg/3g	12	38 (9)	ΣΖ	4						
120 Buckley et al	9661	ΣΖ	RA W	Control Vit D3 + Ca	500 IU/1g	12 24	34 (11) 51.9 (12.5)	NM 5.9mg	3.8						
117 Bjilsma et al	1988	Mean 44.2 mo	Mixed medical	ebo O + Ca	4000 IU EOD/0.5g	24	54.2 (11.5) 41.1	5.0mg 14.9mg	35						
121 Di Munno et al	1989	Mean 38.0 mo Early GCs	PMR		0.5g 35µg 5d each mo/0.5g	24 12	50.3 65.7 (8.3)	I4mg ™Z	4 2						
118 Adachi et al	9661	<1 mo GCs	Mixed medical	ت ا ا	0.5g 50,000 IU/wk/1g	36	70.7 (7.8) 63.8(11.1)	NM 19.3 (10.8)mg	= 5		3(4#) of 11	f NS			36 mo
119 Bernstein et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs	IBD	Placebo Vit D3 + Ca Placebo	250IU/1g	36 12	67.4(12.3) 35.3 (9.9) 36.4 (16.2)	19.3 (11)mg NM NM NM	<u>+</u> v 8		5(6#) of 12	f 12			
3. Calcitriol/control	1993	l mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitrio	0.5–1.0ug	12	49(22–79)	25mg	34	0 of 34	l of 34		, p	SZ	
-	6661	35±25 mo GCs	Ht transpl	+ Ca ± HRT	<u> </u>	12	53(25–77) 53 (8)	25mg NM	29	0 of 29 0 of 47	2 of 29 1 of 47	SZ	l of 29	!	36 mo
Sambrook et al	2000	- mo GCs	Ht/lung transp	_	0.25µg/1g 0.69	36	50 (12) 45.3	ΣΣ	54	2 of 54	l of 54	1671			
	9		0	0 + Ca 12 mo	0.5-0,75µg/0.6g 0.5-0,75µg/0.6g	24	46.8 45.8	ΣΣΖ	22 22		0 of 22 1 of 22	- - -			
113 Lambrinoudaki e <i>t al</i>	2000	>10 mo GCs	SLE		0.6g 0.5µg/1.2g	24	45.3 29.9(6.0)	NM 9.9 (4.7)mg	21 26		4(22#) of 21				
110 Dykman et al	1984	>6 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	0	0.25-1.0µg/0.5g/400 IU	24	32 (6.2) 46.5 (13.7)	11 (8.2)mg 12.2 (9.4)mg	33					3 of 13	NS 18 mo
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	RA	Placebo + Ca + vrt D Calcitriol Ca	0.5g/400 IU 0.5µg 0.6g	2 2 8	51.5 (14.6) >60 >60	NM N	10 Total=53					4 of 10	
4. Calcitriol/other agent	2000	I mo GCs	Ht/lung transpl	Calcitriol 12 mo + Ca 12 mo Calcitriol 24 mo	0.5-0.75µg/0.6g 0.5-0.75µg/0.6g	24	46.8 45.8	ΣΣΖ	22		0 of 22 1 of 22	SZ			
5. Alphacalcidol/control	6661	<2 wks GGs	Mixed medical		l.ug/0.4g	12	57.1 (16.2)	46.6 (16.3)mg	74						
106 Lakatos et al	2000	4 wks GCs	Mixed medical	ebo + Ca nacalcidol	0.4g 0.25–1.0µg	12	57.3 (14.0) 43.8	46.3 (18.1)mg 14.7 (4.9)mg	71					l of 21	36 mo
108 Dequekeretal	2000	Early GCs	Ht transpl	lcidol	0.5g 0.5—1.0µg	24 26	57 (7.5)	14.9 (5.8)mg NM	2 % 2					2 of 20	
			Liver transpl	lcidol	0.5-1.5µg	24 4	55 (8:0) 57 (3:9)	ΣΣΞ	£ + ;						
109 Yamada	6861	Long-term GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Icidol + Ca	0.75µg/0.4g	2 4 4	32.1 (8.6)	<u> </u>	7					3(4#) of 14	4 (
				Control Alphacalcidol+Ca+thiazide Control	0.75µg/0.4g/4mg	24 24 24	35.2 (7.7) 36.5 (5.6)	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<u>n = m</u>					2(5#) of 13 0 of 10 2(5#) of 13	<u> </u>
6. Alphacalcidol/other agent	agent 1999	Long-term GCs	Mixed medical	Alphacalcidol + Ca Vit D + Ca	1 µg/0.5g 1 000 IU/0.5g	36	60.6 (37–76) 60.7 (40–76)	9.7g 9.6g	43		10(12#) of 43 17(21#) of 42	of 43 NS of 42		15(18#) of 43 13(21#) of 42	of 43 NS 36 mo of 42
*Median rather than mean.															
45															

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S					VERTEBRAL FRACTURE	IL FRACT		(cont.)	NON-VE	RTEBRA	L FRACTL	NON-VERTEBRAL FRACTURE (cont.)
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified) (Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose N mean (SD) pt	No.	12 mo new	p btwn 2	24 mo new	p btwn	12 mo	p btwn	24 mo new	p btwn
7. Calcitonin/control	ασσΙ	75 cm 95	lasact + yearly		000		45(13)							7 J J J			
	2		מקווע) נומוואל	a a constant		12	48(12)	8.4 (4.9)mg	o 10					l of 15			
103 Healey et al	9661	2 wks GCs	PMR/GCA/vasc	Calcitonin + Ca + vit D			71.6(9.7)		50		7	of 19	SZ				
20 Sambrook et al	1993	< I mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitonin + calcitriol + Ca	400 IU in/0.5—I µg/1g		/1./ (8.4) 52		n 0.		2 3	3 of 21 2 of 29	SZ			0 of 29	SN
00	000		111	Placebo + placebo + ca		24	53		6.0		2	of 29				l of 29	
U Luengo et al	0661	>12 mo GCS	Astnma	Cacitonin + Ca		7 2	58.8(6.3)										
101 Luengo et al	1994	≥12 mo GCs	Asthma	itonin + Ca	<u>60</u>	24			2		2	of 17	SZ			l of 17	SZ
104 Kotaniemi et al	9661	≥3 mo GCs	8	Ca Calcitonin + Ca	1g 1001U in/0.5g) of 25	Z NS	of 17		l of 25	SZ	of 7	
					0.5g		52.4 (8.6)			l of 25				0 of 25			
32 Rizzato et al	888	Mean 15 mo GCs Sarcoid	Sarcoid	Calcitonin	100 IU im/d I mo, then EOD				0 ~								
98 Cremer et al	6661	Early GCs	Ht transpl	Calcitonin + Ca + calcitriol	ug EOD	48 wks	47.2 (8.6)			0 of 30				0 of 30			
102 Adachi et al	1997	< Imo GCs	PM	Ca + calcitriol Calcitonin + Ca Placebo + Ca	1g/0.25µgEOU 1001U in/0.8g 0.8g	48 wks 2 2 2	47.3 (9.2) 71 (8.4) 69.8 (7.6)	20 (2.5)mg 3 17(3.8) mg 10 18 (2.5)mg 13	15) of 3.1				U of 31			
8. Calcitonin/other agent	nt 1995	Mean 17 mo GC Livertranch	o liver transon	c) + cinciple)	im/la		50 1 (6.2)										
valeio et al	2//		S Livel trainspil	Fridonate + Ca	lica		30.1 (6.2) 40.4 (12.8)	13.3 (8.6)mg 7.	· ~								
20 Sambrook et al	1993	<1 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitonin + Calcitriol + Ca	1.0µg/1g	24	52(18–77)		25	0 of 29	2	2 of 29	SZ			0 of 29	SZ
84 Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl	a thoi + Ca			49(22–79) 55.9 (5.8)			0 of 34 4 of 13	-	of 34				l of 34	I8 mo
Valination of	6661	ري کارو	1	Calcidiol + Ca	32,000 IU/wk/1g		51.5 (10.3)	1 Img/kg/d) of 13	y Z						
	<u> </u>	3	4	citonin	2g/400 IU in 1 mo then 200 IU 12	12	47 (8)			2 of 8	2						
9. Etidronate/control	1997	I mo before GCs PBC	's PBC	Etidronate + Ca	400mg/0.5g cyclical	12	57 (11)	30mg 6									
	0	()	-	(C)			49 (6)	5.0		0				3			
Roux et al	1330	S MO GCS	l'IIXed medical	Placebo + Ca ± vit D	450mg/u.3gcyclical/1,000 IU		58.5 (13.9)	ΣZZ		5# 01.58				2# of 56 4# of 59			
102 Adachi et al	1997	< 100 d GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo/Ca		12	(91) 09	22)mg	47		SN			8# of 65	SZ		
Garcia-Delgado et al	1997	Early GCs	Ht transpl	.es		2 00 9	52.7 (6.8)			3 of 14				5			
85 Geusens et al	8661	>3 mo GCs	Mixed meumatic	, eq			51.5 (10.3) 63 (6)			0 01 13	0	0 of 19	SZ			1 of 19	SZ
Pitt et al	1998	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca Etidronate + Ca + vit D	2.		65 (10)	6.4 (0.7)mg 18 8 (4)mg 26	∞ √		1	1 of 18 2 of 22	s Z			4 of 18	
				Placebo + Ca + vit D			59.2 (10.8)	0 50	· M ·		- :	l of 19	!				
Skingle et al	/66	N mo GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca Ca			64) m		- <u>-</u>	1/# of 20 14# of 18					
Jenkins et al	6661	Starting GCs	PMR/RA	e e	400mg/0.5g cyclical		(8.7 (10.9)	*		0 of 6							
Skingle et al	1994	ΣΖ	Mixed medical	ronate + Ca			65.7 (7.7)		20	\ 5							
Jinnouchi	2000	>6 mo GCs	CT disease	Ca Etidronate + calcitriol	lg 200mg cydical/1µg		64 57 (15.4)	5.0	- 8 5	l of 16	SZ						
	0	(()				63 (10.1)			l of 9				-			
/3 Cortet et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	KAYPMKGCA	Etidronate + Ca Placebo + Ca		7 2	61.4 (12.5)	(6.6)mg (6.8)mg	4 0	of 38				1 of 38 3 of 38			
70 Mulder & Struys	1994	Starting GCs	GCA	Etidronate	ng cyclical	2 2	74		0 0								
75 Struys et al	1995	≥ l yr GCs	Mixed medical	Etidronate + Ca	g/0.5g cyclical	2 2 2	62.3 (14.3)	ng.	2 6 8								
151 Worth et al	1994	>9 mo GCs	Asthma	Etidronate + Ca + vit D	7.5mg/kg/d/1g/1000 IU		55 (11)	27 (44)mg		0 of 19	SZ						

				S	STUDY DETAILS	S					VERTEBRAL FRACTURE	AL FRAC	TURE	NON-V	NON-VERTEBRAL	AL FRA	FRACTURE
Ref	Author(s)	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	No. pts	12 mo p bt new	p btwn 24 mo	p btwn	I2 mo new	p btwn 2	24 mo new	þ btwn
10. E	10. Etidronate/other agent 82 van Cleemput et al 19	gent 1996	1–2 wks GCs	Ht transpl	Etidronate + Ca	400mg/1.25g cyclical			ΣΖ	61		5(8#) of 19	61 J				
	Garcia-Delgado et al		Early GCs	Ht transpl	Alphacalcidol + Ca Etidronate + Ca		24	57 (8) 52.7 (6.8)	NM Img/kg/day	14 22	3 of 14	2# of 22	2				
0	10 to 00 more -	1000	0	1	Calcitonin + Ca	in/Ig		55.9 (5.8)	Img/kg/day		4 of 13	1000	<u>U</u>		C	اداماد	
		7007	3 5 ××		Etidronate + Ca	.5g cyclical		23)	i mg/kg/day i mg/kg/day	20		3 of 20			0 0	2 OI 21 0 of 20	
<u>+</u>	Diamond et al	1997	Long-term GCs	Mixed medical	Calcitriol Fridmonate + Ca + vit D.	0.25 µg 24 400ms/19 cyclical/0.5ms/wk 24		63.5*	10.8mg	27							
06	Charlwood et al	1997	Long-term GCs	ΣΖ	Calcitonin Etidronate	100 IU sc 0.4g		5.4)	ο ΣΣ ΖΖ	Total = 60							
= 9	11. Clodronate/control	ασσ	9,5 m9,5	Kidney +mans	of the state of th	80000000		(61)14	88 (78)20	7				0 of 15			
	2000	0//-		Noticy trainspir	Ca Ca	20			8.4 (4.9)mg	5 5				l of 15			
89	Herrala et ol	8661	≥6 mo GCs	Asthma/COPD	Clodronate	98		56.1 (8.9)	7.6 (4.2)mg								
					Clodronate	1600mg			7.0 (6)mg	6							
					Placebo				7.6 (3.0)mg	6							
					Clodronate	2400mg		58.7 (10.3)	8.2 (3.9)mg 7.6 (3.0)mg	<u>6</u> <u>0</u>							
29	Nordborg et al	1997	Early GCS	GCA	Clodronate + Ca	5-0.75g cyclical	7 7 7		10.3mg	4 :							
					Placebo + Ca	0.5-0.75g		69.8 (57–82)	10.9mg	3							
0 5 0 6	12. Clodronate/other agent	gent 1998) () () (ii) () (ii) () (ii) () (iii) (Kidney +	ofcompate	SOOma		41(12)	8 8 (7 8) mg	7				0 of 15			
	200	0		Nailey transpor	Calcitonin	200 IU in			9.6 (5.1)mg	2 9				l of 16			
89	Herrala et al	1998	≥6 mo GCs	Asthma/COPD	Clodronate	800mg		6	7.6 (4.2)mg	17							
					Clodronate	1600mg			10 (6)mg	6 !							
					Clodronate	800mg 2400mg		56.1 (8.9)	7.6 (4.2)mg 8.2 (3.9)mg								
					Clodronate	1600mg	2 2		10 (6)mg	61							
					Clodronate	2400mg		58.7 (10.3)	8.2 (3.9)mg	61							
13. A	13. Alendronate/control	8661	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Mixed medical	Alendropate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1g/250-500 IU	12	56 (15)	10mo* (8-135)		5 of 266 [†] NS			13 of 291‡	SZ		
	0				Placebo + Ca + vit D	0.8-1.0g/250-500 IU	12	54 (15)	11mg*(5-120)		5 of 134			6 of 142	2		
					Alendronate + Ca + vit D	10mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	12	55 (15) 54 (15)	10mg*(7–95) 11mg*(5–120)	157							
99	Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical	Mixed medical	Alendronate + Ca + vit D	\supseteq	24		10mg*(8-135)	63		l of 143†	3† 0.026		00	8 of 147†	NS
					Placebo + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 10mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	24 24	54(15) 53(15)	mg* (5– 20) 0mg* (7–95)	61 55		4 of 59			9	6 of 61	
				·	Placebo + Ca + vit D	0-500 IU	24	;	11mg*(5-120)	19							
99	Yılmaz et <i>al</i>	7001	ΣΖ	≨	Alendronate + Ca	ng/1g		51.12 (9.6)	7.5mg	77							
47	Gonnelli et al	1997	Starting GCs	Sarcoid	Alendronate Placebo	-s 5mg		@ (A	0.5mg/kg	2 2 2							
					- iaceoo				20/20	2							
64 4	14. Alendronate/otner agent64 Saag et al1998	agent 1998	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical		Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	2 2	56 (15)	10mg*(8-135)	191							
9	Adachi et al	2001	66% >4 mo GCs Mixed medical		Alendronate + Ca + vit D Alendronate + Ca + vit D	5mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU 10mg/0.8-1 g/250-500 IU	24 24 24		10mg*(8-135) 10mg*(7-95)	55							
2		+	-			0			ó								

^{*}Median rather than mean. [†]Combined 5 + 10mg groups.

			S	STUDY DETAIL	S				VERTEBRAL FRACTURE		(cont.)	NON-VER	TEBRAL FR	NON-VERTEBRAL FRACTURE (cont.)	cont.)
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route Time (daily po unless specified) (mo)	e Age (yrs)) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose mean (SD)	Pts .	12 mo β btwn new	24 mo	p btwn	I2 mo new	p btwn 24 mo	o p btwn	uwc
15. Pamidronate/control 88 Boutsen et al	2001	Starting GCs	Mixed rheumatic Mixed medical	iidronate + Ca iidronate + Ca iidronate + Ca	90mgivx1/30mgw/3mo/0.8g 12 0.8g 12 90mg ivx1/30mg iv/3mo/0.8g 12 0.8g	60(16) 61(12) 55(17) 57(18) 59(21)	31.2 (23.8) mg 28.1 (23.8) mg 25 (23) mg 19 (16) mg 28 (25) mg	4 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 of 14 1 of 13 0 of 9 0 of 9			0 of 9 0 of 9 0 of 9			
93 Fan et al92 Aris et al87 Reid et al	2000	Starting GCs I-12 mo GCs >5 yrs GCs	Kidney transpl Lung transpl Mixed medical	Ca Pardecho Pamidronate Pamidronate + Ca + vit D Ca + vitamin D Pamidronate + Ca	0.5mg/kg.×.2 12 30mg.w/3 mo/1g/800 IU 24 1g/800 IU 24 150mg/lg 24	57(18) 50 (23–74) 53(23–66) 27.5 (6.6) 29.1 (6.4) 48 (20)	- w w	7 2 4 9 8 9 2 4 9 8 9	A 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	3 of 16 1 of 18	 	5 5	3 of 16 6 of 18	9 × 0.1	_
<u>-</u>	agent 2001	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Placebo + Ca Pamidronate + Ca Pamidronate + Ca		52 (13) 59(21) 55(17)	12.6 (6.9) mg 28 (25) mg 25 (23) mg	6 66	0 of 9 0 of 9			0 of 9 0 of 9			
91 Banda et <i>al</i> 90 Charlwood et <i>al</i>	2000	2 wks GCs Osteoporosis	Ht transpl	Calctriol 18 mo + CT 3 mo + Ca Pamidronate + Ca Pamidronate Calctronin Etidronate Pamidronate	0.25-0.5µg/200 IU in/Ig 18 0.5ng/kg every 3 mo/Ig 18 30mg 3 monthy iv 12 100 IU sc 12 400mg 12 30mg 3 monthy iv 12	54.5(3.4) 51.1 (11.2) 59.5 (12.4) 58.6 (15.4) 58.1 (14.5) 59.5 (12.4)		12 14 Total=60	l of 12 0 of 14						
17. Risedronate/control 94 Eastell et al	2000	several yrs GCs	RA			65 (6.3) 64.5 (7.2) 65 (6.3)	ΣΣΣΣ	04 04 04		3 of 33 7 of 31 3 of 33	s s				
39 Wallach et al	2000	<3 mo or≥6 mc	<3 mo or ≥6 mo Mixed medical		5mg cyclical 24 1g/400 U 12 2.5mg/ 1g/400 U 12 1g/400 U 12	61.4 (8.2) 58 (13.06) 59.4 (13.65) 58 (13.06)		40 170 165	18(55#) of 111 NS 6(14#) of 84 18(55#) of 111 0.01	2 of 30		10 of 170 11 of 165 10 of 174			
96 Reid et al	2000	≥6 mo GCs	Mixed medical	1		59.3 (13.20) 59 (12) 59 (14) 58 (12)		174 96 94 100	6(8#) of IIII 9 of 60 0.125 3 of 60 3 of 125 3 of 60 0.125			2	s s Z		
95 Cohen et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Placebo + Ca + vit D Risedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca Risedronate + Ca Placebo + Ca	lg/4001U 12 2.5mg/0.5g 12 0.5g 12 5mg/0.5g 12 0.5g 12	59 (12) 59.5 (14) 57.2 (14.7) 61.9 (14.3) 57.2 (14.7)	15 (13)mg 21.4 (2.6) 21.7 (2.0) 20.4 (1.9) 21.7 (2.0)	96 75 76 77	9 of 60 3 of 27 9 of 52 3 of 53 9 of 52			6 of 94 3 of 75 4 of 77 3 of 76 4 of 79			
18. Risedronate/other agent 94 Eastell et al 2000	gent 2000	several yrs GCs	RA		vclical	64.5 (7.2)		9 4		7 of 31 2 of 30					
39 Wallach et <i>al</i>96 Reid et <i>al</i>	2000	<3mo or ≥6 mo ≥6 mo GCs	<3mo or ≥6 mo Mixed medical ≥6 mo GCs Mixed medical		2.5mg 2 5mg 2,5mg/1g/400 IU 12	59.4 (13.65) 59.3 (13.20) 59 (14)) 18 (17.9) mg) 17 (14.5) mg 15 (13) mg	165	6(14#) of 84 6(8#) of 111 3 of 60 0.125			11 of 165 10 of 174 8 of 92	SZ		
95 Cohen et al	6661	<3 mo GCs	Mixed rheumatic	Risedronate + Ca + vit D Risedronate Risedronate	5mg/1g/400 IU 12 2.5mg 12 5mg 12	58 (12) 59.5 (14) 61.9 (14.3)		75 75	3 of 60 3 of 27 3 of 53			8 of 4%			
19. Vitamin K/control	2000	Early GCs	Nephritis	Menatetrenone Control	15mg tid 10 wks	vks 25.7 (7.8) vks 30.3 (10.8)	0.8mg/kg/day 0.8mg/kg/day	0 0							
*Median rather than mean.															

			S	STUDY DETAILS	S					VERTEBRAL FRACTURE	L FRACT	URE	N O N . V	ERTEBR	NON-VERTEBRAL FRACTURE	TURE
Ref Author(s) no	Year	Entry criteria	Diseases	Treatment	Dose/route (daily po unless specified)	Time (mo)	Age (yrs) mean (SD)	Initial GC dose No. mean (SD) pts		I2 mo β btwn new	n 24 mo new	ρ btwn	I2 mo new	p btwn 24	24 mo	p btwn
20. Fluoride/control	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed respiratory	MFP + Ca	200mg/0.5g	24	47.7 (12.2)	15.9 (9.3)mg	15		2# of 15	0.56				
123 Rickers et al	1982	Early GCs	Mixed medical	Ca NaF+CaP+vit D	0.5g 50mg/4.5g/ 45000 II 1526/21/2	24	45.0 (9.6) 59 (21–81)	19.1 (11.7)mg 28.6mg	13		0 of 13					
128 Lems et al	1997	Variable from zero Mixed medical	Mixed medical	Control NaF + Ca ± DHT	50mg/0.5-1.0g/	6 24	63.2 (47–76) 49 (17)	24.6mg 14.6 (10.5)mg	15		l of 18	s Z		0	0 of 18	
125 Lippuner et al	9661	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	Ca ± DHT MFP + Ca + 250HD	0.1mg EOD 0.5-1.0g/0.1mg EOD 100mg/0.5g/50µg		53 (15) 41.7 (4.4)	D0	7 4	0 of 7	3# of 22			0	0 of 22	
124 Rizzoli et al	1995	>12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	MFP + Ca + 230HD	0.3g/30µg 200mg/1g		48.9 (3.9) 50.6 (16)			0 ol &				0	l of 21	
129 von Tirpitz et al	2000	GCs in previous yr Grohn's disease	Crohn's disease	Placebo or control + Ca NaF + Ca + vit D Ca + vit D	lg 75mg/1g/1000 IU 1g/1000 IU	2 2 8	51.6 (14.4) 43.7 (13.1) 36.7 (9.67)	12.1 (5.28)mg 9.9 (14.4)mg 17.9 (18.1)mg	23 18 15					_	l of 21	
21. Fluoride/other agent	1997	ΣΖ	Mixed medical	NaF+etidronate+Ca±DHT	50mg/400mgcyc/	24	56 (17)	10.6 (4.2)mg	23		7 of 23	SZ		8	of 23 NS	
				Placebo+etidronate+ Ca±DHT	0.5-1g/0.1mg EOD 400mgcyc/0.5-1g/ 0.1mg EOD	24	(71) 09	16.9 (19.8)mg	24		4 of 24			50	5 of 24	
22. PTH/control 136 Lane et <i>al</i>	98/200	98/2000 >12 mo GCs	Mixed medical	PTH+HRT+Ca+vit D HRT + Ca + vit D	40 µg sc/1gm/ 800 IU 1g/800 IU	12	65.1 (9.6) 59.9 (10.2)	8.0 (3.8)mg 9.4 (4.5)mg	28 (0 of 26 1 of 18			2 of 26 2 of 18			
23. HRT/control 131 Hall et al	1994	ΣΖ	RA RA	HRT	Oestradiol 50µg/d td	24	58.7 (4.2)	7.5 (2.7)mg	21							
132 Coombes et al	2000	18 yrs GCs	RA	Ca Tibolone + Ca Placebo + Ca	400mg 2.5mg +0.8–1g 0.8–1g	24 24 24			zı Total=37							
24. HRT/other 131 Hall et <i>al</i>	1994	ΣΖ	\$	HRT	Oestradiol 50 µg/d td	24	58.7 (4.2)	7.5 (2.7)mg	21							
133 Kung et al	6661	Mean 130 mo GCs	SLE	Ca HRT + Ca Calcitriol + Ca	0.4g CEE 0.625mg/5mgMPA/1g 0.5.1g/1g	⁷ 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			- 2 - 2							
134 Choi & Lee	6661	≥12 mo GCs	\$	Calcitriol HRT	o.5 µg 0.5 µg CEE 0.625mg/MPA 2.5mg		(a) 09> 760 860		Total=53							
25. Testosterone/control 135 Reid et <i>al</i>	9661	Mean 8 yrs GCs	Asthma	Testosterone + Ca Ca	250mg im/mo/1g 1g	12	61 (11)	9.2mg (6.8) 11.6mg (6.7)	15							
26. Anabolic/control 140 Adami et <i>al</i>	1661	Most > lyr GCs	Most > 1yr GCs Mixed rheumatic	Nandrolone + Ca + vit D	50mg im every 3 wk/	*8	57*	10mg*	81	0 of 18						
				, a s	1.2g/600–800 IU	* * *	54*	I5mg*								

*Median rather than mean.

References

- 1 Guideline Development Group for the Royal College of Physicians. *Osteoporosis: Clinical Guidelines for prevention and treatment.* London: Royal College of Physicians, 1999.
- 2 Writing Group for the Bone and Tooth Society and Royal College of Physicians. *Osteoporosis: Clinical guidelines for prevention and treatment.* Update on pharmacological interventions and an algorithm for management. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2000.
- 3 Eastell R, Reid DM, Compston JE, Cooper C *et al.* A UK consensus group on management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: an update. *J Intern Med* 1998;244:271–92.
- 4 Osteoporosis Advisory Group. Report to the DoH. London: Department of Health, 1994.
- 5 van Staa TP, Leufkens HGM, Abenhaim L, Begaud B *et al.* Use of oral corticosteroids in the United Kingdom. *Q J Med* 2000;93:105–11.
- 6 Walsh LJ, Wong CA, Pringle M, Tattersfield AE. Use of oral corticosteroids in the community and the prevention of secondary osteoporosis: A cross sectional study. *BMJ* 1996;313:344–6.
- 7 Hooyman JR, Melton LJ, Nelson AM, O'Fallon WM, Riggs BL. Fractures after rheumatoid arthritis a population based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1984;27:1353–61.
- 8 Cooper C, Coupland C, Mitchell M. Rheumatoid arthritis, corticosteroid therapy and the risk of hip fracture. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1995;54:49–52.
- 9 Van Staa T, Leufkens HGM, Abenhaim L, Zhang B, Cooper C. Use of oral corticosteroids and risk of fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:993–1000.
- 10 Beavan SR, Horner A, Bord S, Compston JE. Co-localisation of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors in human bone. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16:1496–504.
- 11 Patschan D, Loddenkemper K, Buttgereit F. Molecular mechanisms of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Bone* 2001;29:498–505.
- 12 Lane NE. An update on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2001;27:235–3.
- 13 Weinstein RS, Jilka RL, Parfitt AM, Manolagas SC. Inhibition of osteoblastogenesis and promotion of apoptosis of osteoblasts and osteocytes by glucocorticoids potential mechanisms of their deleterious effects on bone. *J Clin Invest* 1998;102:274–82.
- 14 Weinstein RS, Nicholas RW, Manolagas SC. Apoptosis of osteocytes in glucocorticoid-induced osteonecrosis of the hip. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:2907–12.
- 15 Weinstein RS, Chen JR, Powers CC, Stewart SA *et al.* Promotion of osteoclast survival and antagonism of bisphosphonate-induced osteoclast apoptosis by glucocorticoids. *J Clin Invest* 2002;109:1041–8.
- 16 Plotkin LI, Weinstein RS, Parfitt AM, Roberson PK *et al.* Prevention of osteocyte and osteoblast apoptosis by bisphosphonates and calcitonin. *J Clin Invest* 1999;**104**:1363–74.
- 17 Reid IR, Ibbertson HK. Evidence for decreased tubular reabsorption of calcium in glucocorticoid-treated asthmatics. *Hormone Metab Res* 1987;27:200–204.
- 18 Morris HA, Need AG, O'Loughlin PD, Horowitz M, Bridges A, Nordin BEC. Malabsorption of calcium in corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1990;46:305–8.
- 19 Cosman F, Nieves J, Herbert J, Shen V, Lindsay R. High-dose glucocorticoids in multiple sclerosis patients exert direct effects on the kidney and skeleton. *J Bone Miner Res* 1994;9:1097–105.
- 20 Sambrook P, Birmingham J, Kelly P, Kempler S *et al.* Prevention of corticosteroid osteoporosis a comparison of calcium, calcitriol and calcitonin. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1747–52.

- 21 Bikle DD, Halloran BP, Fong L, Steinbach L, Shellito J. Elevated 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin-D levels in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with prednisone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993; 76:456–61.
- 22 Pearce G, Tabensky DA, Delmas PD, Baker HW, Seeman E. Corticosteroid-induced bone loss in men. *I Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;83:801–6.
- 23 Mateo L, Nolla JM, Bonnin MA, Navarro MA, Roid-Escofet D. Sex hormone status and bone mineral density in men with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1995;22:1455–60.
- 24 Reid IR, Ibbertson HK, France JT, Pybus J. Plasma testosterone concentrations in asthmatic men treated with glucocorticoids. *BMJ* 1985;**291**:574.
- 25 Dempster DW. Bone histomorphometry in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1989;4:137–47.
- 26 Dalle Carbonare L, Arlot ME, Chavassieux PM, Roux JP *et al.* Comparison of trabecular bone micro-architecture and remodeling in glucocorticoid-induced and postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16:97–103.
- 27 Vedi S, Greer S, Skingle SJ, Garrahan NJ *et al.* Mechanism of bone loss after liver transplantation: a histomorphometric analysis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:281–7.
- 28 Tomlinson JW, Bujalska I, Stewart PM, Cooper MS. The role of 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in central obesity and osteoporosis. *Endocr Res* 2000;**26**:711–22.
- 29 Gennari C, Imbimbo B. Effects of prednisone and deflazacort on vertebral bone mass. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1985;37:592–3.
- 30 Lukert BP, Johnson BE, Robinson RG. Estrogen and progesterone replacement therapy reduces glucocorticoid-induced bone loss. *J Bone Miner Res* 1992;7:1063–9.
- 31 Laan RFJM, van Riel PLCM, van de Putte LBA, van Erning LJTO *et al.* Low-dose prednisone induces rapid reversible axial bone loss in patients with rheumatoid-arthritis a randomized, controlled-study. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;119:963–8.
- 32 Rizzato G, Tosi G, Schiraldi G, Montemurro L, Sisti DS. Bone protection with salmon calcitonin (sCT) in the long-term steroid therapy of chronic sarcoidosis. *Sarcoidosis* 1988;5:99–103.
- 33 Laan RFJM, Buijs WCAM, van Erning LJTO, Lemmens JAM *et al.* Differential effects of glucocorticoids on cortical appendicular and cortical vertebral bone mineral content. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1993;52:5–9.
- 34 van Staa TP, Leufkens HGM, Cooper C. The epidemiology of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Osteopros Int* 2002;13:777–87.
- 35 Luengo M, Picado C, Delrio L, Guanabens N *et al.* Vertebral fractures in steroid dependent asthma and involutional osteoporosis a comparative study. *Thorax* 1991;**46**:803–6.
- 36 Selby PL, Halsey JP, Adams KRH, Klimiuk P *et al.* Corticosteroids do not alter the threshold for vertebral fracture. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:952–6.
- 37 Harris ST, Watts NB, Genant HK, McKeever CD *et al.* Effects of risedronate treatment on vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1999;282:1344–52.
- 38 Reginster J-Y, Minne HW, Sorensen OH, Hooper M *et al.* Randomized trial of the effects of risedronate on vertebral fractures in women with established postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:83–91.
- 39 Wallach S, Cohen S, Reid DM, Hughes RA *et al.* Effects of risedronate treatment on bone density and vertebral fracture in patients on corticosteroid therapy. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2000;67:277–85.
- 40 McClung MR, Geusens P, Miller PD, Zippel H *et al.* Effect of risedronate on the risk of hip fracture in elderly women. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:333–40.
- 41 Marshall D, Johnell O, Wedel H. Meta-analysis of how well measures of bone mineral density predict occurrence of osteoporotic fractures. *Lancet* 1996;312:1254–9.

- 42 Johnell O, de Laet C, Johansson H, Melton LJ *et al.* Oral corticosteroids increase fracture risk independently of BMD. *Osteoporos Int* 2002;13 (suppl 1):S14.
- 43 Godschalk MF, Downs RW. Effect of short-term glucocorticoids on serum osteocalcin in healthy young men. *I Bone Miner Res* 1988:3:113–15.
- 44 Morrison D, Ali NJ, Routledge PA, Capewell S. Bone turnover during short course prednisolone treatment in patients with chronic obstructive airways disease. *Thorax* 1992;47:418–20.
- 45 Lane SJ, Vaja S, Swaminathan R, Lee TH. Effects of prednisolone on bone turnover in patients with corticosteroid resistant asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1996;26:1197–201.
- 46 Lems WF, Gerrits MI, Jacobs JWG, van Rugt RM *et al.* Changes in (markers of) bone metabolism during high dose corticosteroid pulse treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996;55:288–93.
- 47 Gonnelli S, Rottoli P, Cepollaro C, Pondrelli C *et al.* Prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis with alendronate in sarcoid patients. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1997;61:382–5.
- 48 Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM, Lips P, Sanders GT, Sauerwein HP. The course of biochemical parameters of bone turnover during treatment with corticosteroids. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1991;72:382–6.
- 49 Gluck OS, Murphy WA, Hahn TJ, Hahn B. Bone loss in adults receiving alternate day glucocorticoid therapy. A comparison with daily therapy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1981;24:892–8.
- 50 Ruegsegger P, Medici TC, Anliker M. Corticosteroid-induced bone loss. A longitudinal study of alternate day therapy in patients with bronchial asthma using quantitative computed tomography. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1983;25:615–20.
- 51 Cave A, Arlett P, Lee E. Inhaled and nasal corticosteroids: factors affecting the risks of systemic adverse effects. *Pharmacol Therapeut* 1999;83:153–79.
- 52 Wong CA, Walsh LJ, Smith CJ, Wisniewski AF *et al.* Inhaled corticosteroid use and bone mineral density in patients with asthma. *Lancet* 2000;355:1399–403.
- Jones A, Fay JK, Stone M, Hood K, Roberts G. Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Systemat Rev* 2002;1; CD003537.
- 54 Boulet LP, Milot J, Gagnon L, Poubelle PE, Brown J. Long-term influence of inhaled corticosteroids on bone metabolism and density. Are biological markers predictors of bone loss? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;159:838–44.
- 55 Tattersfield AE, Town GI, Johnell O, Picado C *et al.* Bone mineral density in subjects with mild asthma randomised to treatment with inhaled corticosteroids or non-corticosteroid treatment for two years. *Thorax* 2001;56:272–8.
- Israel E, Banerjee TR, Fitzmaurice GM, Kotlov TV *et al.* Effects of inhaled glucocorticoids on bone density in premenopausal women. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:941–7.
- 57 Lung Health Study Research Group. Effect of inhaled triamcinolone on the decline in pulmonary function in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:1902–9.
- 58 Johnell O, Pauwels R, Lofdahl CG, Laitinen LA *et al.* Bone mineral density in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with budesonide turbuhaler. *Eur Respir J* 2002;19:1058–63.
- 59 Van Staa T, Leufkens HGM, Cooper C. Use of inhaled corticosteroids and risk of fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16:581–8.
- 60 American College of Rheumatology Taskforce on Osteoporosis Guidelines. Recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1791–801.
- 61 Kanis JA, Glüer C-C. An update on the diagnosis and assessment of osteoporosis with densitometry. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:192–202.
- 62 Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Dawson A *et al.* Ten year probabilities of osteoporotic fractures according to BMD and diagnostic thresholds. *Osteoporos Int* 2001;12:989–95.

- 63 Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, de Laet C *et al.* Ten year risk of osteoporotic fracture and the effect of risk factors on screening strategies. *Bone* 2002;**30**:251–8.
- 64 Saag KG, Emkey R, Schnitzer TJ, Brown JP *et al.* Alendronate for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:292–9.
- 65 Adachi JD, Saag KG, Delmas PD, Liberman UA *et al.* Two-year effects of alendronate on bone mineral density and vertebral fracture in patients receiving glucocorticoids: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled extension trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:202–11.
- 66 Yilmaz L, Ozpran K, Gündüz OH, Ucan H, Yücel M. Alendronate in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with methotrexate and glucocorticoids. *Rheumatol Int* 2001;20:65–9.
- 67 Nordborg E, Schaufelberger C, Andersson R, Bosaeus I, Bengtsson B-Ä. The ineffectiveness of cyclical oral clodronate on bone mineral density in glucocorticoid-treated patients with giant-cell arteritis. *J Intern Med* 1997;242:367–71.
- 68 Herrala J, Puolijoki H, Liippo K, Raitio M *et al.* Clodronate is effective in preventing corticosteroid-induced bone loss among asthmatic patients. *Bone* 1998;22:577–82.
- 69 Grotz WH, Rump LC, Niessen A, Schmidt-Gayk H *et al.* Treatment of osteopenia and osteoporosis after kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 1998;66:1004–8.
- 70 Mulder H, Struys A. Intermittent cyclical etidronate in the prevention of corticosteroid-induced bone loss. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994;33:348–50.
- 71 Skingle SJ, Crisp AJ. Increased bone density in patients on steroids with etidronate. *Lancet* 1994;344:543–4.
- 72 Skingle SJ, Moore DJ, Crisp AJ. Cyclical etidronate increases lumbar spine bone density in patients on long-term glucocorticoid therapy. *Int J Clin Pract* 1997;51:364–7.
- 73 Cortet B, Hachulla E, Barton I, Bonvoisin B, Roux C. Evaluation of the efficacy of etidronate therapy in preventing glucocorticoid-induced bone loss in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Rev Rheumatol* 1999;66:214–19.
- 74 Jenkins EA, Walker-Bone KE, Wood A, McCrae FC *et al.* The prevention of corticosteroid-induced bone loss with intermittent cyclical etidronate. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1999;**28**:152–6.
- 75 Struys A, Snelder AA, Mulder H. Cyclical etidronate reverses bone loss of the spine and proximal femur in patients with established corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Am J Med* 1995;**99**:235–42.
- 76 Jinnouchi Y. Efficacy of intermittent etidronate therapy for corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis in patients with diffuse connective tissue disease. *Kurume Med J* 2000;47:219–24.
- 77 Adachi JD, Bensen WG, Brown J, Hanley D *et al.* Intermittent etidronate therapy to prevent corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:382–7.
- 78 Disla E, Tamayo B, Fahmy A. Intermittent etidronate and corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1921 (letter).
- 79 Adachi JD, Pack S, Chines AA. Intermittent etidronate and corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1921 (reply).
- 80 Roux C, Oriente P, Laan R, Hughes RA *et al.* Randomized trial of effect of cyclical etidronate in the prevention of corticosteroid-induced bone loss. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;83:1128–33.
- 81 Wolfhagen FH, van-Buuren HR, den Ouden JW, Hop WC *et al.* Cyclical etidronate in the prevention of bone loss in corticosteroid-treated primary biliary cirrhosis. A prospective, controlled pilot study. *J Hepatol* 1997;26:325–30.
- 82 Van Cleemput J, Daenen W, Geusens P, Dequecker J *et al.* Prevention of bone loss in cardiac transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 1996;61:1495–9.
- 83 Henderson K, Eisman J, Keogh A, Macdonald P *et al.* Protective effect of short-term calcitriol or cyclical etidronate on bone loss after cardiac or lung transplantation. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;**16**:565–71.
- 84 Garcia-Delgado I, Prieto S, Gil-Fraguas L, Robles E *et al.* Calcitonin, etidronate and calcidiol treatment in bone loss after cardiac transplantation. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1997;**60**:155–9.

- 85 Geusens P, Dequecker J, Vanhoof J, Stalmans R *et al.* Cyclical etidronate increases bone density in the spine and hip of postmenopausal women receiving long term corticosteroid treatment. A double blind, randomised placebo controlled study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998;57:7247.
- 86 Pitt P, Li F, Todd P, Webber D, Pack S, Moniz C. A double blind placebo controlled study to determine the effects of intermittent cyclical etidronate on bone mineral density in patients on long term oral corticosteroid treatment. *Thorax* 1998;53:351–6.
- 87 Reid IR, Alexander CJ, King AR, Ibbertson HK. Prevention of steroid-induced osteoporosis with (3-amino-1-hydroxypropylidene)-1,1-bisphosphonate (APD). *Lancet* 1988;1:143–6.
- 88 Boutsen Y, Jamart J, Esselinckx W, Stoffel M, Devogelaer J-P. Primary prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with intermittent intravenous pamidronate: a randomized trial. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1997;61:266–71.
- 89 Boutsen Y, Jamart J, Esselinckx W, Devogelaer J-P. Primary prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with intravenous pamidronate and calcium: a prospective controlled 1-year study comparing a single infusion, an infusion given once every 3 months, and calcium alone. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16:104–12.
- 90 Charlwood C, Manning EMC, Robinson J, Fraser WD. Comparison of pamidronate, calcitonin and cyclical etidronate in the treatment of osteoporosis associated with steroid therapy. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997;12 (suppl 1):S510.
- 91 Bianda T, Linka A, Junga G, Brunner H *et al.* Prevention of osteoporosis in heart transplant recipients: a comparison of calcitriol with calcitonin and pamidronate. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2000;67:116–21.
- 92 Aris RM, Lester GE, Renner JB, Winders A *et al.* Efficacy of pamidronate for osteoporosis in patients with cystic fibrosis following lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**162**:941–6.
- 93 Fan S, Almond MK, Ball E, Evans K, Cunningham J. Randomised prospective study demonstrating prevention of bone loss by pamidronate during the first year after renal transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1996;7:A2714.
- 94 Eastell R, Devogelaer J-P, Peel NFA, Chines AA *et al.* Prevention of bone loss with risedronate in gluco-corticoid-treated rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:331–7.
- 95 Cohen S, Levy RM, Keller M, Boling E *et al.* Risedronate therapy prevents corticosteroid-induced bone loss. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:2309–18.
- 96 Reid DM, Hughes RA, Laan RFJM, Sacco-Gibson NA *et al.* Efficacy and safety of daily risedronate in the treatment of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis in men and women: a randomised trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:1006–13.
- 97 Välimäki MJ, Kinnunen K, Tähtelä R, Löyttyniemi E *et al.* A prospective study of bone loss and turnover after cardiac transplantation: effect of calcium supplementation with or without calcitonin. *Osteoporos Int* 1999;10:128–36.
- 98 Cremer J, Strüber M, Wagenbreth I, MNischelsky J *et al.* Progression of steroid-associated osteoporosis after heart transplantation. *Ann Thoracic Surg* 1999;**67**:130–33.
- 99 Valero MA, Loinaz C, Larrodena L, Leon M *et al.* Calcitonin and bisphosphonate treatment in bone loss after liver transplantation. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1995;57:15–19.
- 100 Luengo M, Picado C, Del Rio L, Guanabens N *et al.* Treatment of steroid-induced osteopenia with calcitonin in corticosteroid-dependent asthma. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990;142:104–7.
- 101 Luengo M, Pons F, Martinez de Osaba MJ, Picado C. Prevention of further bone mass loss by nasal calcitonin in patients on long term glucocorticoid therapy for asthma: a two year follow up study. *Thorax* 1994;49:1099–1102.
- 102 Adachi JD, Bensen WG, Bell MJ, Bianchi FA *et al.* Salmon calcitonin nasal spray in the prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1997;36:255–9.

- 103 Healey JH, Paget SA, Williams-Russo P, Szatrowski TP *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of salmon calcitonin to prevent bone loss in corticosteroid-treated temporal arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1996;58:73–80.
- 104 Kotaniemi A, Piiraainen H, Paimela L, Leirisalo-Repo M *et al.* Is continuous intranasal salmon calcitonin effective in treating axial bone loss in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis receiving low dose glucocorticoid therapy? *J Rheumatol* 1996;23:1875–9.
- 105 Reginster J-Y, Kuntz D, Verdickt W, Wouters M *et al.* Prophylactic use of alfacalcidol in corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1999;**9**:75–81.
- 106 Lakatos P, Nagy Z, Kiss L, Horvath CS et al. Prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis by alfacalcidol. Z Rheumatol 2000;59 (suppl 1):48–52.
- 107 Ringe JD, Cöster A, Meng T, Schacht E, Umbach R. Treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with alfacalcidol/calcium versus vitamin D/calcium. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1999;65:337–40.
- 108 Dequeker J, Borghs H, Van Cleemput J, Nevens F *et al.* Transplantation osteoporosis and corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis in autoimmune diseases: experience with alfacalcidol. *Z Rheumatol* 2000;**59** (suppl 1):53–7.
- 109 Yamada H. Long-term effect of 1a-hydroxyvitamin D, calcium and thiazide administration on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Folia Endocrinol Jap* 1989;65:603–14.
- 110 Dykman TR, Haralson KM, Gluck OS, Murphy WA *et al.* Effect of oral 1,25-dhydroxyvitamin D and calcium on glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia in patients with rheumatic diseases. *Arthritis Rheum* 1984:27:1336–43.
- 111 Sambrook P, Henderson NK, Keogh A, Macdonald P *et al.* Effect of calcitriol on bone loss after cardiac or lung transplantation. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:1818–24.
- 112 Stempfle H-U, Werner C, Echtler S, Wehr U *et al.* Prevention of osteoporosis after cardiac transplantation. *Transplantation* 1999;**68**:523–30.
- 113 Lambrinoudaki A, Chan DTM, Lau CS, Wong RWS *et al.* Effect of calcitriol on bone mineral density in premenopausal Chinese women taking chronic steroid therapy: a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled study. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:1759–65.
- 114 Diamond T, McGuigan I, Schonell M, Levy S, Rae D. A 2 year open randomised controlled trial comparing calcitriol to cyclical etidronate for the treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997;12:S311.
- 115 Stellon AJ, Davies A, Webb A, Williams R. Microcrystalline hydroxyapatite compound in prevention of bone loss in corticosteroid-treated patients with chronic active hepatitis. *Postgrad Med J* 1985;61:791–6.
- 116 Välimäki MJ, Kinnunen K, Tähtelä R, Löyttniemi E *et al.* A prospective study of bone loss and turnover after cardiac transplantation: effect of calcium supplementation with or without calcitonin. *Osteoporos Int* 1999;10:128–36.
- 117 Bijlsma JWJ, Raymakers JA, Mosch C, Hoekstra A *et al.* Effect of oral calcium and vitamin D on glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1988;6:113–19.
- 118 Adachi JD, Bensen WG, Bianchi F, Cividino A *et al.* Vitamin D and calcium in the prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *J Rheumatol* 1996;23:995–1000.
- 119 Bernstein CN, Seeger M, Anton PA, Artinian L *et al.* A randomised placebo-controlled trial of calcium supplementation for decreased bone density in corticosteroid-using patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a pilot study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1996;10:777–86.
- 120 Buckley LM, Leib ES, Cartularo KS, Vacek PM, Cooper SM. Calcium and vitamin D₃ supplementation prevents bone loss in the spine secondary to low-dose corticosteroids in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;125:961–8.
- 121 Di Munno O, Beghe F, Favini P, Di Guiseppe P *et al.* Prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia: effect of oral 25-hydroxyvitamin D and calcium. *Clin Rheumatol* 1989;8:202–7.

- 122 Talalaj M, Gradowska L, Marcinowska-Suchowierska E, Durlik M *et al.* Efficiency of preventive treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis with 25 hydroxyvitamin D₃ and calcium in kidney transplant patients. *Transplantation Proc* 1996;28:3485–7.
- 123 Rickers H, Deding A, Christiansen C, Rødbro P, Naestoft J. Corticosteroid-induced osteopenia and vitamin D metabolism. Effect of vitamin D₂, calcium phosphate and sodium fluoride administration. *Clin Endocrinol* 1982;16:409–15.
- 124 Rizzoli R, Chevalley T, Slosman D, Bonjour JP. Sodium monofluorophosphate increases vertebral bone mineral density in patients with corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1995;5:39–46.
- 125 Lippuner K, Haller B, Casez J-P, Montandon A, Jaeger P. Effect of disodium monofluorophosphate, calcium and vitamin D supplementation on bone mineral density in patients chronically treated with glucocorticoids: a prospective randomised, double-blind study. *Miner Electrolyte Metab* 1996;22:207–13.
- 126 Guaydier-Souquieres G, Kotzki PO, Sabatier JP, Basse-Cathalinat B, Loeb G. In corticosteroid-treated respiratory diseases, monofluorophosphate increases lumbar bone density: a double-masked randomised study. *Osteoporos Int* 1996;6:171–7.
- 127 Lems WF, Jacobs JWG, Bijlsma JWJ, van Veen GJM *et al.* Is addition of sodium fluoride to cyclical etidronate beneficial in the treatment of corticosteroid induced osteoporosis? *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997;56: 357–63.
- 128 Lems WF, Jacobs WG, Bijlsma JWJ, Croone A *et al.* Effect of sodium fluoride on the prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1997;7:575–82.
- 129 von Tirpitz C, Klaus J, Brückel J, Rieber A *et al.* Increase of bone mineral density with sodium fluoride in patients with Crohn's disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;12:19–24.
- 130 Haguenauer D, Welch V, Shea B, Tugwell P *et al.* Fluoride for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporotic fractures: a meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:727–38.
- 131 Hall GM, Daniels M, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Effect of hormone replacement therapy on bone mass in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with and without steroids. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:1499–505.
- 132 Coombes GM, Bax BE, Eastell R, Peel NFA. Treatment of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis using tibolone a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15 (suppl 1):S311.
- 133 Kung AWC, Chan TM, Lau CS, Wong WWS, Yeung SSC. Osteopenia in young hypogonadal women with systemic lupus erythematosus receiving chronic steroid therapy: a randomised controlled trial comparing calcitriol and hormonal replacement therapy. *Rheumatology* 1999;38:1239–44.
- 134 Choi WH, Lee CB. The effect of 1.25(OH)₂D (calcitriol) on glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14 (suppl):S409.
- 135 Reid IR, Wattie DJ, Evans MC, Stapleton JP. Testosterone therapy in glucocorticoid-treated men. *Arch Intern Med* 1996;156:1173–7.
- 136 Lane NE, Sanchez S, Modin GW, Genant HK, Arnaud CD. Parathyroid hormone treatment can reverse corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. Results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Invest* 1998;102:1627–33.
- 137 Lane NE, Sanchez S, Genant HK, Jenkins DK, Arnaud CD. Short-term increases in bone turnover markers predict parathyroid hormone-induced spinal bone mineral density gains in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:434–42.
- 138 Lane NE, Sanchez S, Modin GW, Genant HK *et al.* Bone mass continues to increase at the hip after parathyroid hormone treatment is discontinued in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: results of a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:944–51.
- 139 Yonemura K, Kimura M, Miyaji T, Hishida A. Short-term effect of vitamin K administration on prednisolone-induced loss of bone mineral density in patients with chronic glomerulonephritis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2000;66:123–8.
- 140 Adami S, Fossaluzza V, Rossini M, Bertoldo F *et al.* The prevention of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis with nandrolone decanoate. *Bone Miner* 1991;15:72–81.

- 141 Olgaard K, Storm T, van Wowern N, Daugaard H *et al.* Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in the lumbar spine, forearm and mandible of nephrotic patients. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1992;50:490–97.
- 142 Di Munno O, Imbimbo B, Mazzantini M, Milani S *et al.* Deflazacort versus methylprednisolone in polymyalgia rheumatica: clinical equivalence and relative anti-inflammatory potency of different treatment regimens. *J Rheumatol* 1995;22:1492–8.
- 143 Krogsgaard MR, Thamsborg G, Lund B. Changes in bone mass during low dose corticosteroid treatment in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica: a double-blind, prospective comparison between prednisolone and deflazacort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996;55:143–6.
- 144 Lippuner K, Casez JP, Horber FF, Jaeger P. Effects of deflazacort versus prednisone on bone mass, body composition, and lipid profile: a randomized, double-blind study in kidney transplant patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;83:3795–802.
- 145 Boulet LP, Giguere MC, Milot J, Brown J. Effects of long-term use of high-dose inhaled steroids on bone density and calcium metabolism. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;94:796–803.
- 146 Bar-Meir S, Chowers Y, Lavy A, Abramovitch D *et al.* Budesonide versus prednisone in the treatment of active Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterol* 1998;115:835–40.
- 147 D'Haens G, Verstraete K, Cheyns K, Aerden I *et al.* Bone turnover during short-term therapy with methylprednisolone or budesonide in Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1998;12:410–24.
- 148 Cino M, Greenberg GR. Bone mineral density in Crohn's disease patients receiving long-term budesonide. *Gastroenterol* 1998;114:A952.
- 149 Sambrook P. Corticosteroid osteoporosis: practical implications of recent trials. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:1645–9.
- 150 Gillespie WJ, Henry DA, O, Connell DL, Robertson J. Vitamin D and vitamin D analogues for preventing fractures associated with involutional and postmenopausal osteoporosis (Cochrane Review). *The Cochrane Library*, 1999.
- 151 Worth DS, Keck E. Therapy of steroid-induced bone loss in adult asthmatics with calcium, vitamin D and a diphosphonate. *Am J Respir Care Med* 1994;**150**:394–7.