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## Random Topics to be Covered

- Astronomical reference frames
- Units
- Angles: Arcseconds
- Brightness: Magnitudes (and star density)
- Moving from the optical into the infrared


## Astrometry: What is it? Why do it?

- What:

The science of measuring the positions and motions of celestial objects and interpreting the results

- Why:
- Many practical applications, involving navigation (broadly interpreted) and timekeeping
- Sets the fundamental distance scale of the universe
- Established the universality of gravitational law
- Provides information on the evolution of the solar system and galaxy


## DoD Uses for Astrometric Data

- Astro-inertial navigation systems ICBM guidance
- Azimuth calibration
- Deflection of the vertical determination
- Satellite attitude control / sensor orientation
- Ground-based satellite tracking, orbit determination
- Near Earth object (NEO) detection
- Standard celestial navigation
- Determining astronomical time and Earth orientation for GPS

These applications generally involve* measuring something against a

- background of stars. . .
$\therefore$ that is, motions of objects are measured within a celestial reference frame


## What is an Astronomical Reference Frame?

An ensemble of coordinate values (and their rates of change) assigned to specific astronomical objects for a given epoch

For example, the data in a star catalog
This is completely analogous to the establishment of a geodetic reference system using an ensemble of Earth-fixed benchmarks whose coordinates are have been determined
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## Types of Astronomical Reference Frames

- Extragalactic

Fiducial points are quasars or nuclei of galaxies

- Constructed from radio $\lambda$ observations (VLBI)
- No assumed angular motions - too far away
- But ...radio sources often variable
- Galactic (Stellar)

Fiducial points are stars

- Lots of energy
- Energy in $\lambda$ bands of practical use
- But ... stars move, sometimes in complex ways
- Dynamical

Fiducial points are planets or other orbiting bodies in the solar system (natural or artificial)

## Complications

- Problem is over-determined: really only need two stars (3 coordinates) to define a reference frame
- Therefore, for N stars in a catalog, $\sim \mathrm{N}^{2} / 2$ independent reference frame definitions - which will not, in general, be consistent due to errors in coordinate values
- Not a bad problem as long as errors are random
- If errors are a function of position on the sky, the reference frame is warped (systematic distortions)
- Also problematic if errors are a function of magnitude or color
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Why star positions, and the reference frames they define, degrade with time


## You are here

Stars are part of an inherently non-inertial system!

## Desirable Features of Astronomical Reference Frames

- Should define a local inertial reference system (no rotations)
- Should be isotropic (no distortions)
- Should be accurate
- Should have a suitable density of fiducial points
- Should have fiducial points detectable by relevant sensors (sufficient flux in sensor bandpass)


## Issues in Constructing Reference Frames

- Stars part of galaxy, inherently a non-inertial system
- Stars often part of binary or multiple systems
- If resolved, orbital motions of components must be determined
- If unresolved, photocenter may move or be $f(\lambda)$
- Parallax (distance) of stars must be determined
- Quasars and AGNs have time-variable flux and structure
- Aligning reference frames from different $\lambda$ regimes difficult - objects bright in one regime faint in the other


## Units! The Secret Code

- Arcseconds
- Magnitudes


## Angular Units: Arcseconds

| Application: | Surface Nav | LEO | Geosync |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance: | $1 \mathrm{R}_{\oplus}$ | 500 km | 35k km |
| Angle |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{arcsec}=4.8$ rad | 31 m | 2.4 m | 170 m |
| $0.1 \mathrm{arcsec}=0.48 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ | 3.1 m | 24 cm | 17 m |
| $1 \mathrm{mas}=4.8 \mathrm{nrad}$ | 3.1 cm | 2.4 mm | 17 cm |
| $1 \mu \mathrm{as}=4.8$ prad | $31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.17 mm |

## The Magnitude Scale

- Goes back to Hipparcus (~150 BC), who divided naked eye stars into 6 categories of brightness 1 to 6 , from brightest to faintest
- Quantified in the 19th century:

5 magnitudes $=$ factor of 100 in brightness
$\Rightarrow 1$ magnitude $=$ factor of 2.512 in brightness

- Now calibrated to absolute measures of energy received within a given wavelength band:

$$
\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{y} \text {, etc. }
$$

- Most common band $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{V}}=$ visual magnitude


## UBVRIJHKL Photometric Bands



The passbands of the UBVRI/HKL system, plotted as functions of the wavelength in mm.

## Scale of Visual Magnitude

-4 Venus
-1.5 Sirius
0 to 6 most naked-eye stars
5
~8
9-10 faintest stars in binoculars
12
12
14
19.5 Palomar
19.5 Palomar Sky Survey V limit (Palomar QV, 1980s)
~24 old photo plate limit with 200" telescope
29 current limit?

## Density of Astronomical Objects on the Sky

- The volume of space enclosed by a radius d goes up as d ${ }^{3}$
- The apparent brightness $L$ of an object at distance $d$ falls off as d2
$\Rightarrow$ The total number of objects brighter than apparent brightness $L$ is proportional to $L^{-3 / 2}$
$\Rightarrow$ The total number of objects brighter than magnitude $m$ is 3.98 times the number brighter than $\mathrm{m}-1$


## Star Numbers vs. Magnitude

Star Counts from Tycho-2

| $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}$ limit | no. stars |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5.0 | 1,658 |  |
| 6.0 | 5,713 | 3.44 |
| 7.0 | 18,183 | 3.18 |
| 8.0 | 54,192 | 2.98 |
| 9.0 | 154,656 | 2.85 |
| 10.0 | 417,769 | 2.70 |
| 11.0 | $1,083,253$ | 1.59 |
| 12.0 | $2,158,589$ |  |



Data courtesy Rob Olling

## Moving from the Optical into the Infrared (IR)

- Why do it?
- Issues


## Moving into the IR — Why?

- Provides sensitivity to objects cooler than the surface of the Sun ( $\sim 5800 \mathrm{~K}$ ). For example, peak radiation at:

| $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 4000 K | $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 2900 K |
| :--- | ---: |
| $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 1900 K | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 300 K |

- For astronomy, provides info on cool stars, brown dwarfs, "hot Jupiters", star formation, interstellar dust, and highly redshifted galaxies
- For DoD applications, provides sensitivity to rocket plumes, detonations of various kinds, and, at very long $\lambda(\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, to ordinary objects in equilibrium with ambient sunlight


## Moving into the IR — Why Not?

- Detectors less well developed - can't use CCDs (silicon) beyond above $1.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Less resolution for given aperture size
- Atmosphere opaque to IR except in certain windows Observations best from space
- Bright background:
- 1-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ atmospheric emissivity, mainly due to OH
- >2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ emissivity of everything else - telescope, optics


## Atmospheric Transmittance



## Catalog Issues

- More stars!
- Interstellar absorption less as $\lambda$ increases - see more stars
- Galaxy contains more cool stars than hot
- Can use optical data for stars in near IR, but ...
- Extrapolating IR magnitudes from visual very tricky
- Completeness in visual to a certain magnitude in no way implies completeness in IR to similar magnitude
- At magnitudes > 20, see many more galaxies very distant ones redshifted into the IR

