

The effectiveness of electroconvulsive therapy: A literature review

JOHN READ¹ and RICHARD BENTALL²

¹*Department of Psychology, University of Auckland (New Zealand)*

²*Department of Psychology, Bangor University, Wales (United Kingdom)*

SUMMARY. **Aim** – To review the literature on the efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy [ECT], with a particular focus on depression, its primary target group. **Methods** – PsycINFO, Medline, previous reviews and meta-analyses were searched in an attempt to identify all studies comparing ECT with simulated-ECT [SECT]. **Results** – These placebo controlled studies show minimal support for effectiveness with either depression or ‘schizophrenia’ during the course of treatment (i.e. only for some patients, on some measures, sometimes perceived only by psychiatrists but not by other raters), and no evidence, for either diagnostic group, of any benefits beyond the treatment period. There are no placebo-controlled studies evaluating the hypothesis that ECT prevents suicide, and no robust evidence from other kinds of studies to support the hypothesis. **Conclusions** – Given the strong evidence (summarised here) of persistent and, for some, permanent brain dysfunction, primarily evidenced in the form of retrograde and anterograde amnesia, and the evidence of a slight but significant increased risk of death, the cost-benefit analysis for ECT is so poor that its use cannot be scientifically justified.

Declaration of Interest: Neither author has any financial conflicts of interest in relation to this paper.

KEY WORDS: ECT, evidence-based medicine, literature review, cost-benefit analysis.

Received 22.12.2009 – Final version received 11.03.2010 – Accepted 14.03.2010.

INTRODUCTION

The use of electricity to cause convulsions, in the hope of improving a person’s mental health, is one of the most controversial issues in the mental health field. Paralleling the diverse and often strongly held beliefs about ECT, there are wide variations between and within countries in terms of usage, indications, modality, and degree of governmental or professional regulation (Asioli & Fioritti, 2000).

A recent editorial in the *British Journal of Psychiatry* celebrates 75 years of convulsive therapy, beginning with the work of Hungarian psychiatrist Laszlo Meduna. It reports that “despite the lack of evidence at this stage of therapeutic benefits, Meduna carried on with convulsive

therapy”, and that his “persistence was admirable” (Gazdag *et al.*, 2009). The authors conclude that “ECT has saved and significantly improved the lives of tens of thousands of patients since the 1930s”.

Since Meduna’s day, however, it has been recognised that medical ineffectiveness is often the consequence of poor scientific research (Cochrane, 1972). There has been a global movement towards evidence-based medicine, defined as “the conscientious, explicit and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients” (Sackett *et al.*, 1996). Advocates of this approach assume that clinical decision-making should be informed by a hierarchy of knowledge, at the top of which stands data from placebo-controlled randomized controlled trials (Devereux & Yusuf, 2003; Cipriani *et al.*, 2009). In keeping with this now well-established approach, this review of the effectiveness of ECT pays particular attention to comparisons of ECT and simulated-ECT [SECT], in which the usual general anaesthesia is administered but the electric shock is not.

Address for correspondence: Professor J. Read, Department of Psychology, University of Auckland, Room: 721.305, Tamaki Campus, Auckland 1142, (New Zealand).

E-mail: j.read@auckland.ac.nz

The effectiveness of electroconvulsive therapy: A literature review

- Strensrud P. (1958). Cerebral complications following 24,562 convolution treatments in 893 patients. *Acta Psychiatrica Neurologica Scandinavica* 33, 115-126.
- Taylor P. & Fleminger J. (1980). ECT for schizophrenia. *Lancet* i, 380-382.
- Tecoul E. & Nathan N. (2001). Morbidity in ECT. *European Journal of Anaesthesiaiology* 18, 511-518.
- Tharyan P. & Adams C. (2005). Electroconvulsive therapy for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* Issue2, CD000076.
- Tillotson K. & Sulzbach W. (1945). A comparative study and evaluation of electric shock therapy in depressive states. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 101, 455-459.
- UK ECT Review Group (Carney S., et al.) (2003). Efficacy and safety of ECT in depressive disorders. *Lancet* 361, 799-808.
- Ukpong D., Makanjuola R. & Morakinyo O. (2002). A controlled trial of modified electroconvulsive therapy in schizophrenia in a Nigerian teaching hospital. *West African Journal of Medicine* 21, 237-240.
- Ulett G., Smith K. & Gleser G. (1956). Evaluation of convulsive and subconvulsive shock therapies utilizing a control group. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 112, 795-802.
- van der Wurff F., Stek M., Hooogendijk W. & Beekman A. (2003). Electroconvulsive therapy for the depressed elderly. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* Issue 2, CD003593.
- West E. (1981). ECT in depression. *British Medical Journal* 282, 355-357.
- Ziskind E., Somerfeld-Ziskind E. & Ziskind L. (1945). Metrazol and ECT of the affective psychoses. *AMA Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry* 53, 212-217.