

ANNUAL ENDOWMENT REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

University of California, Berkeley Foundation

UC Davis Foundation

University of California, Irvine Foundation

The UCLA Foundation

University of California, Merced Foundation

UC Riverside Foundation

UC San Diego Foundation

The University of California, San Francisco Foundation

UC Santa Barbara Foundation

UC Santa Cruz Foundation



Content

1	Introduction	1
2	Data Sources and Responsibilities	2
3	Consolidated Endowment Review	3
3.1	Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation	4
3.2	Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation.....	5
3.3	Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class	6
3.4	Endowment Fund Investment Performance	7
3.5	Gift and Recurring Charges	8
3.6	Endowment Spending Policies	9
3.7	Total Foundation Gift Assets.....	10
4	Individual Foundation Reports	11
	University of California, Berkeley Foundation.....	12
	UC Davis Foundation	17
	University of California, Irvine Foundation	22
	The UCLA Foundation	26
	University of California, Merced Foundation.....	30
	UC Riverside Foundation.....	34
	UC San Diego Foundation.....	38
	The University of California, San Francisco Foundation.....	42
	UC Santa Barbara Foundation.....	46
	UC Santa Cruz Foundation.....	50
5	Consultant of The Regents Report	54
5.1	Investment Performance Summary	55
5.2	Policy Compliance	60
5.3	Analysis and Recommendations.....	63
6	Appendix	64
6.1	10-Year Foundation Investment Performance.....	65
6.2	10-Year Benchmark and Active Performance	66
6.3	Investment Policies	67
6.4	Glossary	68

1 Introduction

BACKGROUND

The history of reporting total University and Foundation endowments dates back to October 1978 when The Regents' Committee on Educational Policy adopted a policy for University Support Groups. In subsequent years, The Regents charged the Treasurer's Office with obtaining pertinent information regarding the UC Foundations' investments and presenting an annual report to The Regents. The annual report includes the investment philosophy, policies and performance of each Foundation's endowment assets, as well as the performance of The Regents' endowment assets. In compliance with The Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations, this report incorporates the investment review by the General Investment Consultant to The Regents.

PURPOSE

The Regents' policy on Support Groups articulates the permissible activities by affiliated fund-raising organizations to be:

"Gifts to a University Support Group for the benefit of the University may be accepted and administered only in accordance with University policies and, except as otherwise specified in this policy, may not be invested as endowments and shall be transferred to the University in an expeditious manner to fulfill the intentions of the donor for allocation and disbursement by the University."

The Treasurer's Office is the designated recipient and investment manager of The Regents' endowment assets.

However, in October 1978, The Regents included an exception in this policy for Foundations only that states:

"A Campus Foundation may hold and invest endowments and funds functioning as endowments on a long-term basis. Such investments must be consistent with the terms of the gift instrument. Investment operations shall be conducted in accordance with prudent, sound practices to insure that gift assets are protected and enhanced and that a reasonable return is achieved, and with due regard for the fiduciary responsibilities of the Foundation's governing board...."

As a result of the above exception, donors can designate either The Regents or the Campus Foundations as the recipient of their gift assets. Foundations may then choose The Regents (the Treasurer's Office) and/or external investment managers to manage their endowment investments. The Treasurer's Office acts as a resource to the Foundations and offers its investment management services and counsel on endowment fund management issues. The goal of this report is to provide The Regents with a comprehensive overview of the University's endowment assets.

2 Data Sources and Responsibilities

This report was prepared by Mercer Investment Consulting, Inc. (Mercer). Information contained herein has been obtained from a range of third party sources. While the information is believed to be reliable, Mercer has not sought to verify it. As such, Mercer makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented and takes no responsibility or liability (including for indirect, consequential or incidental damages), for any error, omission or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party. Performance information was provided by State Street Bank. Certain information such as investment policies and gift policies was provided by the individual campus foundations.

DATA SOURCES and RESPONSIBILITIES

State Street Bank is the University's official "book of record" and calculates performance net of fees using the same methodology across all the Foundations. Annual Foundation performance results in this document prior to 2006 were provided by each Foundation and were neither audited nor calculated by the Treasurer's Office or State Street Bank. Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank. On a quarterly basis, State Street Bank reports Foundation performance to The Regents and those quarterly reports serve as official "book of record" to The Regents. Policy benchmark composition was provided by the Foundations.

Mercer, as the General Investment Consultant to The Regents, has been directed by the Committee on Investments to review the Foundations' investments to ensure that they are consistent with industry best practices and in compliance with The Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations. In this role, Mercer assesses performance and investment policies based on the performance information provided by State Street and the investment policies submitted by the Foundations. Findings are reported quarterly to The Regents.

For the purpose of reporting investment performance, each Foundation received the same exhibit formats and guidance, and any inconsistencies in definition and reporting are noted in the charts, tables and discussion. The Mercer Trust – Foundation & Endowment Universe data cannot be reproduced or redistributed without the express written consent of Mercer.

PERFORMANCE PRESENTATION

This report focuses primarily on the Foundations' endowment assets and their investment performance. Totals for non-endowed assets and pledges are provided in Section 3 – Total Foundation Gift Assets. Section 4 – Individual Foundation Reports – displays the analysis of endowed assets. The consolidated review by the General Consultant to The Regents is provided in Section 5. The glossary at the end of this report includes definitions for these asset categories.

PERFORMANCE COMPARISONS

Mercer Trust – Foundation & Endowment Universe: measures returns on 239 endowment and foundation pools.

Benchmark: For details regarding each individual Foundation's benchmark, see Section 6.

3 Consolidated Endowment Review

The following section contains a summary of the consolidated endowment review.

Performance, assets under management and fee information were provided by State Street Bank which is the University's official "book of record."

Information on assets not included in State Streets performance reporting was provided by The Regents and individual Foundations.

Information about gifts and recurring charges, endowment spending and investment statements are based on the Foundations' specific policies.

3.1 Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation.....	4
3.2 Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation	5
3.3 Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class	6
3.4 Endowment Fund Investment Performance	7
3.5 Gift and Recurring Charges	8
3.6 Endowment Spending Policies	9
3.7 Total Foundation Gift Assets.....	10

3.1 Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation

This table outlines the University's total endowment assets by donor designation to either The Regents for the benefit of the campus or to the campus Foundation.

Overall, total endowment assets increased by 21.1% over the last fiscal year, with The Regents' endowment assets increasing by 16.6% and Foundation endowment assets increasing by 28.4%. These changes incorporate both new gifts accepted during the fiscal year and the return on total endowed assets.

The Treasurer's Office also manages endowment assets of about \$1.14 billion for the benefit of systemwide programs and administration, including education and research programs, support services and administration. These assets appear in the table as part of The Regents' endowment assets.

Total University Endowment Assets by Donor Designation to Regents and Foundation						
<i>(Excluding Pledges)</i>						
<i>(Market Value \$000)</i>						
Campus	June 30, 2011			June 30, 2010		
	Regents*	Foundation	Total	Regents*	Foundation	Total
Berkeley	\$1,991,932	\$1,091,985	\$3,083,917	\$1,704,527	\$895,456	\$2,599,983
Davis	523,502	207,782	731,284	435,081	162,569	597,650
Irvine	58,985	235,880	294,865	50,213	191,147	241,360
Los Angeles	1,309,302	1,473,388	2,782,690	1,102,732	1,058,679	2,161,411
Merced	21,717	6,034	27,751	17,791	5,080	22,871
Riverside	43,884	96,644	140,528	37,261	72,771	110,032
San Diego	187,145	381,553	568,698	161,026	316,728	477,754
San Francisco	906,148	643,785	1,549,933	743,411	510,030	1,253,441
Santa Barbara	93,227	118,216	211,443	79,166	98,929	178,095
Santa Cruz	63,250	55,725	118,975	54,987	46,968	101,955
Total Campus Endowments	5,199,092	4,310,991	9,510,083	4,386,195	3,358,357	7,744,552
Systemwide Programs and Administration	1,143,125	0	1,143,125	1,055,030	0	1,055,030
Total Endowment Assets	\$6,342,217	\$4,310,991	\$10,653,208	\$5,441,225	\$3,358,357	\$8,799,582

* Includes General Endowment Pool (GEP), Short Term Investment Pool (STIP), and separately invested assets, as well as annuity and life income funds. Excludes security lending balances and other accounts receivable and payable.

3.2 Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation

This report focuses on the managed endowment assets held by The Regents and the Foundations, which include assets managed by The Regents (Treasurer's Office) or external managers. Managed endowment assets do not include assets categorized as "other endowment assets," such as separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, and receivables.

Total Managed Endowment Assets by Foundation						
(Excluding Pledges)						
(Market Value \$000)						
Campus	June 30, 2011			June 30, 2010		
	Managed*	Other**	Total	Managed	Other**	Total
Berkeley	\$1,053,661	\$38,324	\$1,091,985	\$875,764	\$19,692	\$895,456
Davis	201,135	6,647	207,782	162,399	170	162,569
Irvine	235,332	548	235,880	192,331	(1,184)	191,147
Los Angeles	1,466,154	7,234	1,473,388	1,051,401	7,278	1,058,679
Merced	6,034	0	6,034	5,080	0	5,080
Riverside	96,623	21	96,644	72,749	22	72,771
San Diego	378,506	3,047	381,553	315,376	1,352	316,728
San Francisco	642,781	1,004	643,785	510,030	0	510,030
Santa Barbara	116,048	2,168	118,216	98,929	0	98,929
Santa Cruz	55,031	694	55,725	46,968	0	46,968
Regents***	6,006,541	335,676	6,342,217	4,976,985	464,240	5,441,225
Totals	\$10,257,846	\$395,362	\$10,653,208	\$8,308,012	\$491,570	\$8,799,582

* As reflected in State Street's performance reporting.

** Includes separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, operational accounts, receivables, and other.

*** Includes GEP, STIP, and separately invested assets, as well as annuity and life income funds. Excludes security lending balances and other accounts receivable and payable.

3.3 Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class

Because asset allocation is the primary driver of a portfolio's total return over the long run – with sector and individual security selection the primary drivers over the short term – any comparison of endowment fund performance should be viewed in the context of the portfolio's asset class holdings.

As of June 30, 2011, the asset allocations of managed endowment funds for endowment investment portfolios held by each Foundation are shown below. Investments in the General Endowment Pool (GEP) are mapped into the specific sub asset classes. This table also includes an overall weighted average allocation of all endowment funds by asset class, as well as the net fiscal year total returns by campus.

Section 4, beginning on page 11, contains detailed information for each Foundation. These exhibits include a detailed list of the Foundation's investment managers and the type of funds they manage.

Allocation of Managed Endowment Funds by Asset Class												
As of June 30, 2011												
Asset Class Allocation												
Campus	U.S. Equity	Non-U.S. Equity	Global Equity	U.S. Fixed Income	Non-U.S. Fixed Income	Absolute Return*	Real Estate	Private Equity	Com-modities	Cash Equiv.	Total	Net Total Return
Berkeley	17.5%	22.8%	0.0%	12.6%	1.2%	22.1%	1.1%	12.8%	2.6%	7.3%	100.0%	18.8%
Davis	19.4%	22.6%	0.4%	14.5%	0.6%	23.9%	4.7%	7.2%	6.2%	0.6%	100.0%	18.7%
Irvine	23.9%	18.4%	0.7%	12.1%	2.7%	22.2%	5.5%	10.9%	3.0%	0.6%	100.0%	19.5%
Los Angeles	14.8%	15.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	28.5%	7.0%	13.1%	9.4%	1.0%	100.0%	16.7%
Merced **	19.9%	23.6%	2.0%	13.1%	2.7%	24.6%	5.6%	7.6%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%	20.2%
Riverside***	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	26.2%	2.9%	3.2%	1.5%	0.0%	10.5%	10.8%	100.0%	21.5%
San Diego **	19.6%	22.9%	1.4%	12.2%	1.9%	23.8%	8.7%	7.7%	0.0%	1.8%	100.0%	21.7%
San Francisco	19.9%	21.8%	0.0%	12.2%	3.3%	25.2%	1.5%	5.2%	6.7%	4.2%	100.0%	18.3%
Santa Barbara	16.4%	14.4%	22.5%	16.5%	0.0%	22.4%	2.2%	5.0%	0.4%	0.2%	100.0%	20.1%
Santa Cruz **	19.9%	23.6%	2.0%	13.1%	2.7%	24.6%	5.6%	7.6%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%	20.2%
Weighted Avg.	17.8%	19.4%	0.8%	12.4%	1.2%	24.6%	4.4%	10.4%	5.6%	3.3%	100.0%	18.4%

* Absolute return consists of hedge funds and other asset types.

** Foundation endowment assets invested primarily in The Regents' GEP and/or STIP funds.

*** The liquidity allocation reflects cash held by the managers.

Note that the method of rounding may produce the appearance of minor inconsistencies in various totals and percentages; however, the differences do not affect the accuracy of the data.

3.4 Endowment Fund Investment Performance

Investment performance for University endowment assets is presented on a net basis, defined as total return after investment management fees but before any gift fees and administrative charges. While investment management fees vary across managers and asset classes, the net total return represents the annual experience of a donor's gift. This table displays net total returns for fiscal year 2011 for each Foundation, and the median of the Mercer Trust – Foundations & Endowments Universe, reported for periods of one, three, five and 10 years. For comparison, the table also includes the overall one-, three-, five-, and 10-year weighted average returns for all University endowment assets.

Average Annualized Total Returns *					
Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2011					
Campus	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	
Berkeley	18.8%	1.8%	4.8%	6.5%	
Davis	18.7%	2.7%	5.1%	5.7%	
Irvine	19.5%	1.7%	3.9%	5.2%	
Los Angeles	16.7%	1.0%	4.0%	5.2%	
Merced	20.2%	3.1%	5.2%	N/A	
Riverside	21.5%	3.1%	6.5%	7.5%	
San Diego	21.7%	2.9%	5.1%	5.6%	
San Francisco	18.3%	4.2%	4.3%	5.3%	
Santa Barbara	20.1%	3.2%	3.6%	4.8%	
Santa Cruz	20.2%	3.2%	5.3%	5.7%	
Weighted Average	18.4%	2.1%	4.5%	5.6%	
Mercer Median	19.5%	3.0%	4.8%	5.8%	

* Returns prior to 2006 were provided by the individual Foundations. Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank, except in extraordinary circumstances.

3.5 Gift and Recurring Charges

A synopsis of The Regents' and each campus' practices for assessing fees on new gifts, as well as any recurring administrative charges, are provided in the table below. As noted in the table, gift fees apply to endowment gifts whether given to the Foundation or The Regents and to current fund gifts (for use by the campus in the near term). At most campuses, gift fees and recurring charges support discretionary funds available to the Chancellor, for such efforts as fund-raising, communications, government and community relations and administrative services associated with these efforts.

In March 1998, The Regents adopted a policy to allow the campuses to recover the reasonable and actual costs related to campus administration of The Regents' endowments, with the amount to be determined by historical cost data. As noted on the following chart, each campus listed below collected endowment cost recovery fees on The Regents' gifts of 45 basis points* of the 60-month rolling average market value of funds invested in GEP. The Campus Foundations may also assess an administrative endowment cost recovery fee on funds they administer.

Gifts Fees and Recurring Charges **		
	Fees	Recurring Charges
Berkeley	One-time charge of 2.5% of the initial value of all non-research gifts to the Regents and Foundation (including endowments); fee is taken from the gift principal unless the specified department elects to pay the fee from another allowable source.	STIP income on non-endowed gifts is 100% to an unrestricted fund administered by the Chancellor. STIP income earned on new endowment gifts kept in suspense for six months is paid to the Chancellor's fund to benefit campus development. An annual administrative fee of 50 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation.
Davis	The campus assesses a 6% gift fee on all gifts pledged to UC Davis whether through the UCOP Regents or the Foundation.	The campus and Foundation charge up to 100% of STIP income on current fund balances. Endowment funds and funds functioning as endowments are charged an annual administration fee of 30 basis points (0.30%).
Irvine	5% of initial value of all gifts.	There is also a recurring charge of 0.5% of the market value of Foundation endowment funds used to offset the operating costs of managing the endowment for the Foundation.
Los Angeles	6.5% of initial value of all gifts.	Recurring fees include 100% of all short-term interest on campus current fund balances, with some exceptions and annual endowment cost recovery fee of 0.5% of the fair market value, calculated monthly.
Merced	5% of initial value of all gifts.	100% of STIP income on current fund balances.
Riverside	5% of initial value of all gifts.	Annual charge of 0.5% against all existing endowments and endowment-related gift funds; the campus charges 100% of short-term ordinary income on campus and Foundation current fund balances.
San Diego	6% of the initial value of all gifts.	100% assessment of all short-term investment earnings on current use gift and private grant balances held in both the campus and the Foundation. Annual recurring fee of 0.40% on the market value of the Foundation's endowment funds.
San Francisco	4% of initial value of all gifts. No fees are charged on gifts for student aid and capital.	100% of interest income earned on current funds (STIP income). Annual fee of 0.4% on all endowment fund assets. A 1% spending fee is charged when funds are spent for purpose.
Santa Barbara	2% of initial value of all gifts, as of June 30, 2010.	For FYE June 30, 2011 the annual endowment cost recovery fee is 45 basis points of the fair market value as of December 31, 2009.
Santa Cruz	6% of initial value of all gifts.	Annual endowment administrative fee of 0.45% times the 3-year average market value of the Foundation's endowment.

* 55 basis points after July 1, 2011, as approved by the Committee on Finance on May 18, 2011.

** For more information on Foundation policies on gift fees and recurring charges, see the individual Foundation reports in Section 4.

3.6 Endowment Spending Policies

A summary of the endowment spending policies for each university Foundation is shown in the table below. It is important to note that a Foundation choosing to invest in The Regents' GEP, Private Equity Vintage Year Program, Real Estate Vintage Year Program and/or Absolute Return Unitized Program funds may apply its Foundation spending policy to those gift assets.

Endowment Spending Policies by Foundation*	
Berkeley	The UC Berkeley Foundation payout policy is 5% of the twelve-quarter moving average market value of the endowment. The Trustees at their discretion, may approve an alternative payout percentage within a range of 4.75% - 5.50% for a specific payout year. In fiscal year 2010-11, the actual payout rate is 4.75%.
Davis	The primary objective of the UC Davis Foundation's endowment spending policy is to achieve a proper balance between present and future needs of UC Davis in order to maximize long-term total return. A secondary objective is to achieve a reasonable degree of stability and predictability of current income available to the endowed university operating units. The Foundation has adopted a spending formula consistent with the UC Regents' General Endowment Pool spending policy. The Foundation's current approved spending rate is 4.25% of a 60-month rolling average of market value.
Irvine	The endowment fund spending policy allows for allocation of income equivalent to 4.5% of the moving average market value of the endowment portfolio. This average market value is computed using the previous 36 months of portfolio activity. Income earned in excess of the spending rate may be reinvested in endowment principal. Income available for expenditure is calculated according to a predetermined formula.
Los Angeles	The UCLA Foundation Endowment Pool made available for expenditure by fund holders an amount equal to 5.2% of a rolling 36-month average market value in fiscal year 2011, calculated monthly. The spending policy for fiscal 2012 is 5.30% of a rolling 36-month average market value, calculated monthly.
Merced	Long-term total return target spending rate of 4.75% of a 60-month (5-year) rolling average market value. A spending rate of 4.75% was adopted in May 2006 for expenditures in fiscal year 2006/2007, 2007/2008, 2008/2009, 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.
Riverside	The endowment fund payout policy for FY 2010-11 is 4.50% of the average endowment fund market value computed using the previous 60 months of portfolio activity the last of which ended on the December 31 of such fiscal year.
San Diego	Endowment spending during fiscal year 2010-11 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.25% of the five-year average unit market value of the endowment portfolio. Spending is allocated to fund holders monthly.
San Francisco	The Foundation policy is to distribute 5% of the market value of the endowment pool calculated on a 36-month rolling average of the market value, subject to a 6% cap and a 3.5% floor as a percent of the end of year endowment pool market value. The payout rate is reviewed annually, which may result in modification. The payout is distributed once a year following the close of the fiscal year.
Santa Barbara	The formula for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year is 4.75% of the 60-month rolling average value of a share of the UC Santa Barbara Foundation LTIP and the UC Regents General Endowment Pool, divided by the UC Santa Barbara Long Term Investment Pool (LTIP) share value as of December 31, 2009 and then multiplied by the total Fair Market Value of each Endowment Fund/Fund Functioning as an Endowment as of December 31, 2009.
Santa Cruz	The UC Santa Cruz Foundation endowment expenditure rate approved June, 2011, is 4.65% times a three-year moving average of December 31 market values. The endowment expenditure formula is reviewed annually and adjusted accordingly with respect to prudent concern for campus needs, donor expectations, and current market conditions. In no event will the corpus be reduced below the amount of the original gift, adjusted by the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) price index, unless specific language of the endowment agreement so allows.

* For more information on Foundation spending policies, see the individual Foundation reports in Section 4.

3.7 Total Foundation Gift Assets

This table provides total Foundation gift assets by campus, split into endowed, non-endowed assets and pledges, for fiscal year 2011 and fiscal year 2010. Endowed assets include current gift assets (i.e., assets for use in the current year). Non-endowed assets include trust/life income assets, but exclude pledges. Note, pledges introduce potential volatility to the asset growth rates.

The Foundations held \$4.31 billion in endowment gift assets at June 30, 2011, a 28.4% increase from the \$3.36 billion held at the end of fiscal year 2010. Including all assets (endowed, non-endowed, and pledges), the Foundations' total assets were \$5.88 billion, a 28.2% increase over the total of \$4.58 billion for fiscal year 2010.

Total Foundation Gift Assets by Campus (Market Value \$000; totals rounded)								
Campus	June 30, 2011				June 30, 2010			
	Endowed	Non-Endowed	Pledges	Total	Endowed	Non-Endowed	Pledges	Total
Berkeley	\$1,091,985	\$186,811	\$95,411	\$1,374,207	\$895,456	\$170,097	\$13,110	\$1,078,664
Davis	207,782	52,170	5,735	265,687	162,569	49,880	8,726	\$221,175
Irvine	235,880	23,649	42,967	302,496	191,147	12,417	42,390	\$245,954
Los Angeles	1,473,388	327,674	221,657	2,022,719	1,058,679	291,989	204,546	\$1,555,214
Merced	6,034	140	2,392	8,566	5,080	174	1,818	\$7,073
Riverside	96,644	16,411	1,508	114,563	72,771	16,345	1,283	\$90,399
San Diego	381,553	133,505	105,856	620,914	316,728	116,037	40,860	\$473,625
San Francisco	643,785	260,815	67,855	972,455	510,030	170,540	59,325	\$739,895
Santa Barbara	118,216	9,316	8,660	136,191	98,929	9,402	13,116	\$121,447
Santa Cruz	55,725	2,680	1,860	60,265	46,968	2,302	1,734	\$51,004
Total Foundation Assets	\$4,310,991	\$1,013,171	\$553,901	\$5,878,063	\$3,358,358	\$839,184	\$386,908	\$4,584,450

4 Individual Foundation Reports

BENCHMARKS

- The most important determinant of fund performance and risk is the asset allocation policy established by Fund fiduciaries.
- A fund performance benchmark is usually stated in terms of percentages (adding to 100%) of a number of market indexes, such as the Russell 3000 Index for U.S. stocks or the Barclays Capital Index for U.S. bonds. A performance benchmark may change over time as policy changes both the asset mix and the performance objectives, or benchmarks, for each asset class.
- The Foundations provided the asset allocation and benchmark information summarized in Section 6.3. Annual and cumulative benchmark returns were computed based on the Foundations' reported policy. They are shown in Section 6.1, along with the Foundations' annual and cumulative returns. In some cases, detailed information on investment policy in earlier years was not available, and the Treasurer approximated benchmark composition based on the available information supplied by the Foundations.
- In addition, the Active Return, or difference between Fund and benchmark return, is shown in Section 6.2. Active return is a measure of the value added by Fund fiduciaries and managers over the policy objective.

The following section of the report contains a summary for each Foundation which includes:

- Endowment Investment Objective
- Endowment Spending Policy
- Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses
- Actual vs. Target Allocation and Policy Benchmark
- Graph showing ten-year of annual fiscal net total returns for each foundation and benchmark (Merced only has 9 years)
- Graph showing ten-year performance of a hypothetical \$10,000 gift invested with the Foundation and the Benchmark (Merced only has 9 years)
- Graph showing managed endowment funds by asset class for the last 5 fiscal years
- Graph showing the rolling 1-year excess net return of the foundation versus benchmark for the last 5 years

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

There are three intersecting goals for the UC Berkeley Foundation endowment pool:

- 1) Inflation - Adjusted Spending. Generate returns sufficient to meet UCBF's desired payout target over rolling ten year periods, while maintaining real purchasing power, sufficient liquidity and acceptable volatility.
- 2) Market Returns - Generate results after all relevant expenses, that match or exceed the returns of a representative mix of investable assets over rolling ten year periods.
- 3) Manager Selection - Demonstrate success in selecting investments, as measured by comparing performance after all relevant expenses versus the return and volatility measures of other investable options at the manager and asset class level, over rolling five year periods.

Endowment Spending Policy

The UC Berkeley Foundation payout policy is 5% of the twelve-quarter moving average market value of the endowment. The Trustees at their discretion, may approve an alternative payout percentage within a range of 4.75% - 5.50% for a specific payout year. In fiscal year 2010-11, the actual payout rate is 4.75%.



Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

- 1) One time charge of 2.5% of the initial value of all non-research gifts to the Regents and Foundation (including endowments). The fee is taken from the gift principal unless the specified department elects to pay the fee from another allowable source.
- 2) STIP income on non-endowed gifts is 100% to an unrestricted fund administered by the Chancellor.
- 3) STIP income earned on new endowment gifts kept in suspense for six months is paid to the Chancellor's fund to benefit campus development.
- 4) An annual administrative fee of 50 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation.



University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio							
Asset Allocation							
June 30, 2011							
	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Target Policy Allocation	Variance from Target Policy Allocation	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Global Equities	\$498	47.2%	39.5%	7.7%	30.0%	50.0%	Yes
Diversifying Assets	\$179	17.0%	28.0%	-11.0%	20.0%	35.0%	-3.0%
Excess Return	\$154	14.6%	15.0%	-0.4%	0.0%	20.0%	Yes
Defensive	\$222	21.1%	17.5%	3.6%	15.0%	35.0%	Yes
Total Assets	\$1,054	100.0%	100.0%				

As of March 1, 2011 UCB implemented a new Investment Policy moving to a multi-asset class portfolio approach. The policy targets and ranges are reported on the level of the employed asset categories. UCB is in the process of implementing the new investment structure.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio								
June 30, 2011								
	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCB Foundation Aggregate	\$1,053,661,210	100%	1.20%	18.85%	4.56%	1.76%	4.79%	6.47%
Policy Benchmark			0.79%	17.22%	3.31%	2.92%	4.72%	5.64%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+0.41%	+1.63%	+1.25%	-1.16%	+0.07%	+0.83%
U.S. Equity	\$184,710,580	18%	0.13%	32.88%	6.27%	5.38%	3.45%	
RUSSELL 3000			-0.03%	32.37%	6.35%	4.00%	3.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.16%	+0.51%	-0.08%	+1.38%	+0.10%	
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$163,988,543	16%	0.74%	30.95%	4.25%	0.47%	4.82%	
MSCI EAFE (GROSS)			1.83%	30.93%	5.35%	-1.30%	1.96%	
Variance to Benchmark			-1.09%	+0.02%	-1.10%	+1.77%	+2.86%	
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	\$76,296,182	7%	-1.47%	30.68%	0.85%	-0.26%	9.01%	
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)			-1.15%	27.80%	0.88%	4.22%	11.42%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.32%	+2.88%	-0.03%	-4.48%	-2.41%	
Core Fixed Income	\$132,281,695	13%	2.08%	2.98%	2.19%	5.25%	6.29%	
BC AGGREGATE			2.29%	3.90%	2.72%	6.46%	6.52%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.21%	-0.92%	-0.53%	-1.21%	-0.23%	
TIPS	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt ¹⁾	\$0	0%						
N/A								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	\$12,521,610	1%	3.32%	12.72%	5.22%	8.70%		
Citigroup WGBI (All Maturities)			3.32%	10.54%	4.00%	5.80%		
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+2.18%	+1.22%	+2.90%		
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	\$135,310,748	13%	6.15%	20.42%	11.28%	5.15%	7.15%	
TOTAL NON-MARKETABLE ALTERNATIVES			6.15%	20.42%	11.28%	5.15%	7.15%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	\$232,534,845	22%	0.03%	10.01%	2.21%	2.42%	5.05%	
91 DAY TBILL+4%			1.02%	4.17%	2.07%	4.44%	6.08%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.99%	+5.84%	+0.14%	-2.02%	-1.03%	
Marketable Energy/Comm/Nat Res	\$10,867,045	1%	-8.58%	36.65%	1.67%	-5.97%	5.56%	
MARKETABLE ENERGY/COMM/OTHER BENCHMARK			-5.25%	37.69%	2.17%	-3.78%	5.24%	
Variance to Benchmark			-3.33%	-1.04%	-0.50%	-2.19%	+0.32%	
Private Energy/Comm/Nat Res	\$16,062,513	2%	12.54%	20.36%	14.27%	7.63%	5.23%	
TOTAL PRIVATE ENERGY COMM./OTHER			12.54%	20.36%	14.27%	7.63%	5.23%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
Marketable Real Estate	\$7,971	0%						
MARKETABLE REAL ESTATE BENCHMARK								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Real Estate	\$11,727,053	1%	1.00%	3.63%	3.38%	-18.98%	-14.05%	
TOTAL PRIVATE REAL ESTATE			1.00%	3.63%	3.38%	-18.98%	-14.05%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
Liquidity Accounts²⁾	\$77,352,423	7%	0.28%	1.83%	0.72%	2.14%	3.59%	
91 DAY T-BILL			0.04%	0.16%	0.09%	0.42%	2.00%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.24%	+1.67%	+0.63%	+1.72%	+1.59%	
Reserve Account³⁾	\$0	0%						
UC Regents Mgd Funds								
Regents STIP	\$43,523,122	4%	0.58%	2.52%	1.12%			

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days

Private asset benchmarks use actual returns

¹⁾ UCBF Investment Committee approved their HY Debt investment as part of their 'Global Equity' strategy, therefore no HY benchmark has been designated²⁾ The Liquidity Accounts asset class performance and market value includes Regents STIP, which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those funds managed by the UC Regents³⁾ This fund was set up to receive the proceeds from class actions

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY FOUNDATION

University of California, Berkeley Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCB Foundation Aggregate	\$1,053,661,210	100%	1.20%	18.85%	4.56%	1.76%	4.79%	6.47%
Policy Benchmark			0.79%	17.22%	3.31%	2.92%	4.72%	5.64%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+0.41%	+1.63%	+1.25%	-1.16%	+0.07%	+0.83%
Global Equity	\$497,853,452	47%	-0.23%					
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Diversifying Assets	\$179,337,404	17%	0.43%					
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Excess Return	\$154,314,625	15%	6.14%					
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Defensive	\$222,155,729	21%	1.70%					
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days

Private asset benchmarks use actual returns

UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

The Endowment Fund seeks future long-term growth of investments, at an acceptable risk level, sufficient to offset reasonable spending plus normal inflation, thereby preserving the purchasing power of the Fund for future generations. It also seeks partial protection from potentially severe adverse effects of extended deflationary environments.

Endowment Spending Policy

The primary objective of the UC Davis Foundation's endowment spending policy is to achieve a proper balance between present and future needs of UC Davis in order to maximize long-term total return. A secondary objective is to achieve a reasonable degree of stability and predictability of current income available to the endowed university operating units. The Foundation has adopted a spending formula consistent with the UC Regents' General Endowment Pool spending policy. The Foundation's current approved spending rate is 4.25% of a 60-month rolling average of market value.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The campus assesses a 6% gift fee on all gifts pledged to UC Davis whether through the UCOP Regents or the Foundation. The gift fee will be assessed and collected at the time the gift or pledge payment is received in cash or converted to cash. The gift fee may be paid by

- 1) deducting the fee from the gift principal
- 2) deducting the fee from funds provided by the donor specifically for purposes of paying the fee
- 3) deducting the fee from the campus account designated for this purpose by the benefitting unit, school, or
- 4) depositing and holding the complete gift in an interest earning account until sufficient earnings have accrued to pay the fee if the gift is \$100,000 or more.


The ECRF will be deducted from the amount calculated as described under the spending policy.

University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio							
Asset Allocation							
June 30, 2011							
	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Core Endowment	\$92	45.6%	47.0%	-1.4%	37.0%	57.0%	Yes
Risk Focus	\$40	19.9%	21.0%	-1.1%	15.0%	27.0%	Yes
Broad Mkt Exposure	\$44	22.0%	19.0%	3.0%	7.0%	31.0%	Yes
L/T US Eq Growth	\$13	6.3%	6.5%	-0.2%	3.0%	10.0%	Yes
L/T non-US Growth	\$13	6.3%	6.5%	-0.2%	3.0%	10.0%	Yes
Total Assets	\$201	100.0%	100.0%				


As of January 1, 2011, UCD implemented a new Investment Policy moving to a multi-asset class portfolio approach. The policy targets and ranges are reported on the level of the employed asset categories.

UC DAVIS FOUNDATION



Annual Endowment Returns for Years Ending June 30
UC Davis - Portfolio and Benchmark



Ten-Year Performance of a \$10,000 Gift
UC Davis - Portfolio and Benchmark



UC DAVIS FOUNDATION



UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio									
June 30, 2011									
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return			
		Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCD Foundation Aggregate									
Benchmark	\$201,134,860	100.00%	1.27%	18.67%	4.32%	2.73%	5.07%	5.69%	
Variance to Benchmark			0.76%	19.55%	5.05%	4.26%	6.00%	6.09%	
			+0.51%	-0.88%	-0.73%	-1.53%	-0.93%	-0.40%	
U.S. Equity	\$30,151,533	14.99%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$27,205,555	13.53%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Global Equity	N/A								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Emerging Market Equity	\$7,769,730	3.86%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Core Fixed Income	\$13,542,825	6.73%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
TIPS	\$1,199,354	0.60%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
High Yield Debt	\$1,079,569	0.54%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Emerging Market Debt	N/A								
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Private Equity	\$11,184,067	5.56%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	\$37,176,944	18.48%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	\$12,394,484	6.16%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Opportunistic Distressed Credit	\$7,488,849	3.72%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Real Estate	\$7,025,785	3.49%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Liquidity Accounts	\$712,964	0.35%							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
UC Regents Mgd Funds									
Regents GEP ¹⁾	\$44,203,199	21.98%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%	

Note:

Returns are net of all fees.

¹⁾The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

UC DAVIS FOUNDATION

University of California, Davis Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCD Foundation Aggregate	\$201,134,860	100.00%	1.27%	18.67%	4.32%	2.73%	5.07%	5.69%
UCD TOTAL POLICY BENCHMARK			0.76%	19.55%	5.05%	4.26%	6.00%	6.09%
Variance to Benchmark			+0.51%	-0.88%	-0.73%	-1.53%	-0.93%	-0.40%
Core Endowment	\$91,618,402	45.55%	1.54%		4.11%			
70% S&P 500/30% BC Agg			0.76%		5.05%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.78%		-0.94%			
Risk Focus	\$39,978,651	19.88%	0.91%		2.51%			
60% S&P 500/40% BC Agg			0.98%		4.72%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.07%		-2.21%			
Broad Market Exposure¹⁾	\$44,203,199	21.98%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%
LINKED BENCHMARK			0.76%	19.55%	5.05%	4.26%	6.00%	5.94%
Variance to Benchmark			+0.79%	+0.62%	+0.58%	-1.20%	-0.75%	-0.26%
L/T US Eq Growth	\$12,754,089	6.34%	-0.08%		6.28%			
RUSSELL 3000			-0.03%		6.35%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.05%		-0.07%			
L/T non-US Growth	\$12,580,518	6.25%	0.95%		4.84%			
MSCI WORLD EX US (NET)			0.86%		4.72%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.09%		+0.12%			

Note:

Returns are net of all fees.

¹⁾ The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

The Irvine Foundation's investment objective for its endowment portfolio is to maximize long-term total return, with a total return objective (net of fees), measured over a full market cycle, of not less than the rate of inflation as measured by the CPI, plus 500 basis points. Ideally, total return should exceed market performance. The investment policy is 22% US Equity, 22% Non-US Equity, 20% Hedge Funds, 15% Fixed Income, 8% Private Equity and Venture Capital, 8% Real Estate, 5% Commodities, and 0% Cash.

Endowment Spending Policy

The endowment fund spending policy allows for allocation of income equivalent to 4.5% of the moving average market value of the endowment portfolio. This average market value is computed using the previous 36 months of portfolio activity. Income earned in excess of the spending rate may be reinvested in endowment principal. Income available for expenditure is calculated according to a predetermined formula.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The Irvine Campus charges a one-time fee of 5% of the initial value of all Foundation and Regents' gifts. The fee may be taken

- (1) from the principal if specified by the donors
- (2) by holding gift in a suspense account until fee is earned, or
- (3) from another acceptable fund source.

There is also a recurring charge of 0.5% of the market value of Foundation endowment funds used to offset the operating costs of managing the endowment for the Foundation.

All interest earned on the balances of current use private gifts is credited to the Chancellor's Discretionary Fund and used to support ongoing fundraising efforts at UC Irvine.

University of California, Irvine Foundation Portfolio


Asset Allocation

June 30, 2011


	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$101	43%	44%	-1%	34%	54%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$35	15%	15%	0%	10%	20%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$98	42%	41%	1%	21%	61%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$1	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	Yes
Total Assets	\$235	100%	100%				

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION

Annual Endowment Returns for Years Ending June 30
UC Irvine - Portfolio and Benchmark



Ten-Year Performance of a \$10,000 Gift
UC Irvine - Portfolio and Benchmark





Ending Values 2011

Irvine	Benchmark
\$16,528	\$16,574

Annualized Returns 2002-2011

Irvine	Benchmark
5.2%	5.2%

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE FOUNDATION

University of California, Irvine Foundation Portfolio									
June 30, 2011									
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return			
		Market Value	Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year	
UCI Foundation Aggregate		\$235,331,769	100%	1.29%	19.49%	5.61%	1.72%	3.93%	5.16%
Policy Benchmark				0.47%	19.59%	4.28%	3.11%	4.38%	5.19%
Variance to Policy Benchmark				+0.82%	-0.10%	+1.33%	-1.39%	-0.45%	-0.03%
U.S. Equity		\$40,666,451	17%	-0.28%	30.96%	5.73%	3.24%	3.06%	
RUSSELL 3000				-0.03%	32.37%	6.35%	4.00%	3.35%	
Variance to Benchmark				-0.25%	-1.41%	-0.62%	-0.76%	-0.29%	
Non-U.S. Developed Equity		\$22,393,802	10%	1.54%	25.72%	2.88%	-2.01%	1.32%	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)				0.38%	29.73%	3.80%	-0.35%	3.67%	
Variance to Benchmark				+1.16%	-4.01%	-0.92%	-1.66%	-2.35%	
Global Equity		N/A							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
Emerging Market Equity		\$2,493,371	1%	0.51%	26.84%	-0.28%			
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS-NET (EUR)				-3.24%	7.97%	-6.66%			
Variance to Benchmark				+3.75%	+18.87%	+6.38%			
Core Fixed Income		\$16,849,237	7%	1.79%	5.60%	2.93%	8.13%	7.74%	
BC AGGREGATE				2.29%	3.90%	2.72%	6.46%	6.52%	
Variance to Benchmark				-0.50%	+1.70%	+0.21%	+1.67%	+1.22%	
TIPS		N/A							
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
High Yield Debt		\$1,535,094	1%	0.99%	17.31%	4.34%	11.33%	8.66%	
BofAML HYCP BB/B				1.14%	14.71%	4.82%	10.53%	8.26%	
Variance to Benchmark				-0.15%	+2.60%	-0.48%	+0.80%	+0.40%	
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.		\$2,966,775	1%	4.34%	15.24%	5.52%	8.88%	8.83%	
CITIGROUP NON-US WGBI				3.68%	13.94%	4.69%	6.20%	7.76%	
Variance to Benchmark				+0.66%	+1.30%	+0.83%	+2.68%	+1.07%	
Emerging Market Debt		\$1,228,111	1%	4.17%	17.89%	6.16%			
JP Morgan GBI-EM (GD Composite)				3.95%	19.67%	6.93%			
Variance to Benchmark				+0.22%	-1.78%	-0.77%			
Private Equity		\$15,075,407	6%	3.52%	20.69%	15.14%	4.27%	3.53%	
UCIF- PRIVATE EQUITIES				3.52%	20.69%	15.14%	4.27%	5.04%	
Variance to Benchmark				+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	-1.51%	
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.		\$18,254,935	8%	-0.97%	19.27%	5.04%	2.00%	4.42%	
HFRI FUND OF FUNDS INDEX				-1.18%	6.68%	-0.30%	-1.81%	1.54%	
Variance to Benchmark				+0.21%	+12.59%	+5.34%	+3.81%	+2.88%	
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy		\$7,098,021	3%	-2.39%	9.03%	-1.09%	-7.86%		
Dow Jones-UBS Commodity Index TR				-6.73%	25.91%	-2.58%	-11.87%		
Variance to Benchmark				+4.34%	-16.88%	+1.49%	+4.01%		
Real Estate		\$8,635,617	4%	4.79%	16.46%	9.57%	-12.64%	-2.98%	
NCREIF PROPERTY INDEX				3.94%	16.73%	7.43%	-2.57%	3.44%	
Variance to Benchmark				+0.85%	-0.27%	+2.14%	-10.07%	-6.42%	
Liquidity Accounts		\$30	0%						
Benchmark									
Variance to Benchmark									
UC Regents Mgd Funds									
Regents GEP ¹⁾		\$77,888,088	33%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%
Regents STIP ¹⁾		\$707,805	0%	0.58%	2.52%	1.12%	2.93%	3.63%	3.82%
Regents Absolute Return		\$14,811,570	6%	0.87%	12.21%	5.71%	2.00%		
Regents Vintage Real Estate		\$0	0%						
Regents Vintage Private Equity		\$4,727,457	2%	7.61%	22.53%	15.13%	5.16%	11.26%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Prior to July 1, 2007, Core Fixed Income included Common fund Multi-Strategy and Absolute Return.

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days.

¹⁾The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP/STIP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

THE UCLA FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

The Foundation's investment objective is to maximize long-term total returns consistent with prudent levels of risk. Investment returns are expected to preserve or enhance the real value of the endowment to provide adequate funds to sufficiently support designated University activities. The endowment's portfolio is expected to generate a total annualized rate of return, net of fees and spending, greater than the rate of inflation over a rolling five-year period. The investment policy consists of: 13% U.S. Equity, 14% Non-U.S. Equity, 8% Real Estate, 27% Marketable Alternative Investments, 15% Non-marketable Alternative Investments, 12% Natural Resources and 11% Fixed Income.

Endowment Spending Policy

The UCLA Foundation Endowment Pool made available for expenditure by fund holders an amount equal to 5.2% of a rolling 36-month average market value in fiscal year 2011, calculated monthly. The spending policy for fiscal 2012 is 5.30% of a rolling 36-month average market value, calculated monthly.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The UCLA Foundation charges a one-time fee of 6.5% of the initial value of all gifts. Recurring fees include 100% of all short-term interest on campus current fund balances, with some exceptions and annual endowment cost recovery fee of 0.5% of the fair market value, calculated monthly.

University of California, Los Angeles Foundation Portfolio

Asset Allocation



June 30, 2011

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$438	30%	27%	3%	15%	41%	Yes
Public Fixed	\$162	11%	11%	0%	9%	21%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$851	58%	62%	-4%	33%	88%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$15	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1.0%
Total Assets	\$1,466	100%	100%				



The calculation of the UCLA Foundation Policy Benchmark reflects actual weights which deviate from their long-term target weights. The asset class weights utilized in the calculation of the benchmark for quarter-end are as follows: 30.0% Public Equities, 12.0% Public Fixed Income and 58.0% Alternative Investments.

UCLA's policy has a target of 0% to cash and has no delimitative range.

THE UCLA FOUNDATION



THE UCLA FOUNDATION



THE UCLA FOUNDATION

University of California, Los Angeles Foundation Portfolio								
June 30, 2011								
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCLA Foundation Aggregate	\$1,466,154,363	100%	0.02%	16.70%	3.84%	0.99%	3.96%	5.18%
Policy Benchmark			-0.09%	15.67%	3.42%	1.01%	3.78%	4.47%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+0.11%	+1.03%	+0.42%	-0.02%	+0.18%	+0.71%
U.S. Equity	\$216,386,696	15%	0.17%	34.22%	6.98%	7.84%	5.64%	
RUSSELL 3000			-0.03%	32.37%	6.35%	4.00%	3.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.20%	+1.85%	+0.63%	+3.84%	+2.29%	
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$128,263,355	9%	0.25%	32.22%	3.83%	-0.02%	3.57%	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			0.38%	29.73%	3.80%	-0.35%	3.67%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.13%	+2.49%	+0.03%	+0.33%	-0.10%	
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	\$91,330,776	6%	-1.80%	28.83%	-0.63%	7.13%	13.72%	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			0.38%	29.73%	3.80%	-0.35%	3.67%	
Variance to Benchmark			-2.18%	-0.90%	-4.43%	+7.48%	+10.05%	
Core Fixed Income	\$161,517,102	11%	1.78%	5.68%	2.90%	8.24%	7.58%	
BC AGGREGATE			2.29%	3.90%	2.72%	6.46%	6.52%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.51%	+1.78%	+0.18%	+1.78%	+1.06%	
TIPS	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity ¹⁾	\$192,176,355	13%	5.08%	16.45%	11.83%	1.55%	4.47%	
TOTAL NON-MARKETABLE ACTUAL RETURN			5.08%	16.45%	11.83%	1.55%	4.47%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds. ²⁾	\$416,967,396	28%	-2.15%	5.61%	-0.62%	-0.62%	2.82%	
HFRX ABSOLUTE MKT BLENDED INDEX			-3.46%	2.50%	-2.90%	-2.79%	0.40%	
Variance to Benchmark			+1.31%	+3.11%	+2.28%	+2.17%	+2.42%	
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	\$137,730,176	9%	-4.41%	17.95%	2.42%	-3.76%	3.34%	
TOTAL INFLATION HEDGE ACTUAL RETURN			-4.41%	17.95%	2.42%	-3.76%	3.34%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	+0.00%	
Real Estate ³⁾	\$102,918,758	7%	5.54%	17.23%	9.13%	-13.57%	-5.06%	
REAL ESTATE COMPOSITE BENCHMARK			5.47%	18.13%	8.09%	-4.35%	2.30%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.07%	-0.90%	+1.04%	-9.22%	-7.36%	
Liquidity Accounts⁴⁾	\$14,936,035	1%	-10.33%	-24.40%	-16.57%			
91 DAY T-BILL			0.04%	0.16%	0.09%			
Variance to Benchmark			-10.37%	-24.56%	-16.66%			
UC Regents Mgd Funds								
Regents GEP ⁵⁾	\$3,927,714	0%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%
Regents Private Real Estate	\$4,968,924	0%	7.17%	24.12%	13.11%	-39.68%		
Regents Vintage Private Equity	\$6,148,620	0%	7.91%	16.78%	14.09%	1.62%	9.49%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Private asset valuations typically lag between 60 and 90 days.

¹⁾ The 'Misc Assets' line item was moved from Liquidity to Private Equity for the period Q2 2009 and before. The Private Equity asset class performance and market value includes the Regents Vintage Private Equity which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those funds managed by the UC Regents.

²⁾ The HFRX Absolute Return Marketable Blended Index is a 50/50 blend of the HFRX Absolute Return Strategies Index and the HFRX Market Directional Index.

³⁾ The Real Estate asset class performance and market value includes the Regents Private Real Estate which is also broken out separately under UC Regents Managed Funds to reflect those funds managed by the UC Regents.

⁴⁾ State Street consistently uses an Internal Rate of Return (IRR) methodology to calculate monthly performance for all UC Foundation Endowments. IRR is an industry accepted approximation of a true Time Weighted Return (TWR), but can be significantly impacted by excessive cash flows (>10% of the fund). In the case of UCLA's Liquidity portfolio, there are several months where Net Cash Flows (NCF) exceeded the composite's assets by 100% and more. This significant flow activity is impacting the IRR of the composite and being reflected as a substantial negative return, not indicative of typical short-term performance. Due to a lack of daily valuation data, a TWR calculation is not possible.

⁵⁾ The GEP is not broken out by investments; therefore, the subclass information is not shown above. Also, the 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

UC Merced Foundation's investment objectives are: (1) provide investment earnings adequate to secure the benefits promised and the financial obligations created by the endowment, and (2) secure, preserve, and increase the inflation-adjusted value of the Fund.

Endowment Spending Policy

Long-term total return target spending rate of 4.75% of a 60-month (5-year) rolling average market value. A spending rate of 4.75% was adopted in May 2006 for expenditures in fiscal year 2006/2007, 2007/2008, 2008/2009, 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The University expects that funds privately raised shall support the development activities of the Chancellor and his staff. Currently the University policy to effectuate this principle is the following: Upon the receipt of all gifts to UCM or the UCM Foundation, a fee of 5% of the initial value of the gift shall be assessed and deposited in the

- (1) from the principal if specified by the donor,
- (2) by holding the gift in a suspense account until fee is earned or
- (3) from another acceptable fund source.

Additionally, all of the STIP income earned on endowments, funds functioning as endowments and non-endowment gifts shall also be deposited into the Chancellor's Discretionary Fund. The Vice President for Administration shall provide for a scheduled annual distribution of income and shall assess initial fees on gifts for deposit in the Chancellor's Discretionary Fund. The Chancellor shall waive the assessment of the off-the-top fee on ongoing interest earnings only in the event that a donor organization has a written policy which prohibits such fees.

Any waiver shall be communicated in writing from the Chancellor to the Vice Chancellor for Administration. It is the responsibility of the Vice Chancellor for Advancement to establish an appropriate method for notifying donors to the University and the University Foundation of the fee assessment and its purposes.

University of California, Merced Foundation Portfolio



Asset Allocation

June 30, 2011



	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$3	46%	46%	0%	36%	56%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$1	16%	18%	-2%	13%	23%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$2	38%	37%	1%	27%	47%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$0	1%	0%	1%	0%	10%	Yes
Total Assets	\$6	100%	100%				

The UC Merced Foundation utilizes the GEP Policy Benchmark. Policy Benchmark Allocations shown are the GEP Current Policy Allocation.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, MERCED FOUNDATION

University of California, Merced Foundation Portfolio								
June 30, 2011								
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCM Foundation Aggregate	\$6,033,988	100%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	
Policy Benchmark			0.52%	17.90%	3.60%	3.78%	5.71%	
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.03%	+2.27%	+2.03%	-0.72%	-0.46%	
U.S. Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Core Fixed Income	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
TIPS	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Real Estate	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Liquidity Accounts	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
UC Regents Mgd Funds								
Regents GEP	\$6,033,988	100%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

Riverside Foundation's long-term investment objectives of the endowment fund are to produce a relatively predictable and stable payout stream each year and to grow both the payout stream and the corpus over time at least as fast as the general rate of inflation, as measured by the Consumer Price Index. The investment policy consists of allowable ranges: 50-90% Global Equity and 10-50% Global Bonds.

Endowment Spending Policy

The endowment fund payout policy for FY 2010-11 is 4.50% of the average endowment fund market value computed using the previous 60 months of portfolio activity the last of which ended on the December 31 of such fiscal year.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

A one-time Gift Service Fee of 5% is charged on all cash gifts received by the University based on the principal value of the gift. The fee is collected either directly from the gift principal, from the initial income earned by the gift, or the recipient of the gift may provide the fee from another acceptable fund source. An Administration Cost Recovery Fee is charged against all existing endowments and endowment-related gift funds at an annual rate of 0.50% (50 basis points) of the average rolling market value as calculated per the UCR Foundation spending policy. Campus collects 100% of short term ordinary income on campus and Foundation current fund balances. All proceeds from fees become a Chancellorial resource intended to help defray development and gift administration costs.

University of California, Riverside Foundation Portfolio

Asset Allocation

June 30, 2011

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$43	45%	50%	-5%	5%	100%	Yes
Public Fixed	\$28	29%	31%	-2%	3%	61%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$15	15%	20%	-5%	2%	49%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$10	11%	0%	11%	0%	25%	Yes
Total Assets	\$97	100%	100%				



Notes:

The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark.



The total fund benchmark reflects 71% MSCI AC World and 29% Barclays Capital Aggregate.

The Liquidity allocation reflects cash held by the managers.

UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION



UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION



UC RIVERSIDE FOUNDATION

University of California, Riverside Foundation Portfolio								
June 30, 2011								
	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCR Foundation Aggregate	\$96,622,650	100%	-0.01%	21.53%	3.32%	3.09%	6.53%	7.47%
Policy Benchmark ¹⁾			0.86%	22.24%	4.14%	3.18%	4.75%	5.80%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-0.87%	-0.71%	-0.82%	-0.09%	+1.78%	+1.67%
U.S. Equity	\$7,572,661	8%	-0.40%					
S&P Midcap 400 Pure Growth Index			2.84%					
Variance to Benchmark			-3.24%					
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$1,175,943	1%	-8.25%	15.00%	-7.06%			
MSCI EAFE (NET)			1.56%	30.36%	4.98%			
Variance to Benchmark			-9.81%	-15.36%	-12.04%			
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	\$3,023,115	3%	2.64%	19.70%	1.00%			
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)			-1.15%	27.80%	0.88%			
Variance to Benchmark			+3.79%	-8.10%	+0.12%			
Global Balanced	\$56,300,988	58%	1.21%	20.92%	4.32%	2.70%	5.56%	
GLOBAL BALANCED INDEX			0.68%	23.57%	3.98%	2.20%	4.89%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.53%	-2.65%	+0.34%	+0.50%	+0.67%	
Core Fixed Income	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
TIPS	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt	\$21,248,017	22%	-0.19%	19.31%	4.66%			
BofAML HIGH YIELD MASTER II			0.99%	15.40%	4.93%			
Variance to Benchmark			-1.18%	+3.91%	-0.27%			
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	\$1,834,258	2%	3.70%		6.28%			
JPM EMBI GLOBAL DIVERSIFIED			3.86%		4.76%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.16%		+1.52%			
Private Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	\$5,156,743	5%	-6.44%	43.00%	-0.48%	5.95%	10.81%	
WILSHIRE ENERGY SECTOR (DAILY LINKED)			-9.15%	43.37%	5.44%	-4.13%	7.14%	
Variance to Benchmark			+2.71%	-0.37%	-5.92%	+10.08%	+3.67%	
Real Estate	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Liquidity Accounts ²⁾	\$310,924	0%	0.48%	2.17%	0.91%	1.58%	2.66%	
91 DAY T-BILL			0.04%	0.16%	0.09%	0.42%	2.00%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.44%	+2.01%	+0.82%	+1.16%	+0.66%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

¹⁾ The UC Riverside policy benchmark is a blend of the MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI) and Barclays Aggregate. The MSCI ACWI is an appropriate component of the policy benchmark because of its exposure to developed and emerging markets, as well as natural resources. The asset class benchmarks do not roll up into the policy benchmark. The Total Plan Aggregate market value excludes "other" endowed assets.

²⁾ UC Riverside Foundation has a substantial portion of its cash exposure within the Global Balanced fund. As a result, the 0% reflected in the Liquidity Accounts above is considerably less than the 11% Actual Allocation to Liquidity Portfolio reflected in the Asset Allocation table.

UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

The U.C. San Diego Foundation's primary investment goal for its endowment is to maximize long-term total return, utilizing a diversified portfolio consistent with prudent levels of risk. Endowment portfolio performance is expected to preserve or enhance the real value of the endowment and the purchasing power of the spending. The portfolio return goal is to achieve an annualized total net return at least equivalent to, and preferably exceeding, the endowment spending rate plus inflation, over rolling five and ten year periods. The investment policy target asset allocation is 19% U.S. Equity, 24% Non-U.S. Equity, 7.5% Private Equity, 25% Absolute Return, 7.5% Real Estate, 3% Opportunistic, and 14% Fixed Income.

Endowment Spending Policy

Endowment spending during fiscal year 2010-11 was calculated using a predetermined formula at an amount equal to 4.25% of the five-year average unit market value of the endowment portfolio. Spending is allocated to fund holders monthly.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

During fiscal year 2010-11, the San Diego campus assessed a one-time fee of 6% on the initial value of all gifts made to either the Foundation or The Regents. There was also a recurring charge of 0.40% annually on the market value of the Foundation's endowment funds. All of the gift fees and the endowment fees were used to provide funding for the centrally managed fundraising and related operations of the campus. During fiscal 2010-11, the campus assessed all interest earned on the balances of current use gift and private grant funds held by both the Foundation and the campus, which became a chancellorial resource.



University of California, San Diego Foundation Portfolio

Asset Allocation



June 30, 2011

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$166	44%	43%	1%	35%	55%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$53	14%	14%	0%	5%	20%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$152	40%	43%	-3%	30%	55%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$7	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	Yes
Total Assets	\$379	100%	100%				

UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION



UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION



UC SAN DIEGO FOUNDATION

University of California, San Diego Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCSD Foundation Aggregate	\$378,506,156	100%	1.80%	21.66%	6.20%	2.95%	5.07%	5.56%
Policy Benchmark			0.45%	19.63%	3.36%	2.66%	5.03%	5.60%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.35%	+2.03%	+2.84%	+0.29%	+0.04%	-0.04%
U.S. Equity	\$21,198,924	6%	-0.28%	33.97%	6.59%	7.40%	4.65%	
RUSSELL 3000			-0.03%	32.37%	6.35%	4.00%	3.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.25%	+1.60%	+0.24%	+3.40%	+1.30%	
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$15,112,026	4%	1.54%	30.17%	4.94%			
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			0.38%	29.73%	3.80%			
Variance to Benchmark			+1.16%	+0.44%	+1.14%			
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	\$8,795,747	2%	-0.67%	30.20%	0.98%	3.99%		
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)			-1.15%	27.80%	0.88%	4.22%		
Variance to Benchmark			+0.48%	+2.40%	+0.10%	-0.23%		
Core Fixed Income	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
TIPS	\$11,526,365	3%	3.37%	7.26%	5.34%			
BARCLAYS US TIPS INDEX			3.71%	7.78%	5.84%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.34%	-0.52%	-0.50%			
High Yield Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Real Estate	\$12,113,167	3%	5.02%	28.65%	11.56%	-2.44%	-1.30%	
NCREIF PROPERTY INDEX			3.94%	16.73%	7.43%	-2.57%	3.44%	
Variance to Benchmark			+1.08%	+11.92%	+4.13%	+0.13%	-4.74%	
Liquidity Accounts	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
UC Regents Mgd Funds								
Regents STIP ¹⁾	\$4,433,008	1%	0.58%	2.52%	1.12%	2.93%	3.63%	3.82%
Regents GEP ¹⁾	\$265,638,966	70%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%
Regents Real Estate	\$5,842,902	2%	7.96%	27.90%	14.08%	-15.79%		
Regents Absolute Return	\$24,820,123	7%	0.87%	12.21%	5.71%	2.01%		
Regents Vintage Private Equity	\$9,024,928	2%	8.94%	17.38%	13.72%	2.06%	9.03%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

Aggregate market value excludes "other" endowed assets.

Private asset valuations are typically lagged between 60 and 90 days.

¹⁾The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP/STIP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

San Francisco Foundation's primary investment objective for its endowment portfolio is growth of principal sufficient to preserve purchasing power and to provide income to support current and future University activities. Over the long term, it is the goal of the Foundation that the total return on investment assets should equal the rate of inflation, plus the payout rate (which is used to support current activities), plus an amount reinvested to support future activities.

Endowment Spending Policy

A portion of the endowment pool will be expended annually. The amount of the distribution will be determined by the payout policy of the Foundation, modified by donors' wishes where applicable. The Foundation policy is to distribute 5% of the market value of the endowment pool calculated on a 36-month rolling average of the market value, subject to a 6% cap and a 3.5% floor as a percent of the end of year endowment pool market value. The payout rate is reviewed annually, which may result in modification. The payout is distributed once a year following the close of the fiscal year. Undistributed income and gains from investment activities are reinvested in the Endowment Pool.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The University of California, San Francisco Foundation assesses a one-time fee of 4% of the initial value of gifts. The University also assesses a 1% spending fee at the time that funds are spent for purpose. Gifts for student aid and capital gifts are exempted from these fees. An annual administrative fee of 40 basis points is charged on endowment funds administered by the Foundation. In addition, the University assesses a recurring charge of 100% of interest income earned on current funds (STIP income). Fees are used by the University to fund central infrastructure costs, including development and gift and endowment administration.

University of California, San Francisco Foundation Portfolio


Asset Allocation

June 30, 2011


	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$268	42%	40%	2%	20%	60%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$100	16%	20%	-4%	10%	30%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$248	39%	40%	-1%	15%	75%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$27	4%	0%	4%	0%	10%	Yes
Total Assets	\$643	100%	100%				

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION



**Annual Endowment Returns for Years Ending June 30
UC San Francisco - Portfolio and Benchmark**



**Ten-Year Performance of a \$10,000 Gift
UC San Francisco - Portfolio and Benchmark**



THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION



THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDATION

University of California, San Francisco Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
			Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
	Market Value		Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCSF Foundation Aggregate	\$642,780,867	100%	1.16%	18.26%	4.44%	4.15%	4.33%	5.25%
Policy Benchmark			1.45%	19.06%	4.85%	1.07%	2.54%	4.56%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-0.29%	-0.80%	-0.41%	+3.08%	+1.79%	+0.69%
U.S. Equity	\$128,169,398	20%	3.10%	35.83%	10.52%	5.76%	3.50%	
RUSSELL 3000			-0.03%	32.37%	6.35%	4.00%	3.35%	
Variance to Benchmark			+3.13%	+3.46%	+4.17%	+1.76%	+0.15%	
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$100,092,133	16%	2.20%	29.81%	5.26%	5.59%	5.71%	
MSCI AC WORLD ex US (NET)			0.38%	29.73%	3.80%	-0.35%	3.67%	
Variance to Benchmark			+1.82%	+0.08%	+1.46%	+5.94%	+2.04%	
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	\$39,972,256	6%	1.10%	27.80%	2.29%	4.75%	10.55%	
S&P/IFC EM INVESTABLE COMPOSITE			-0.98%	28.61%	0.70%	5.06%	12.06%	
Variance to Benchmark			+2.08%	-0.81%	+1.59%	-0.31%	-1.51%	
Core Fixed Income	\$56,753,853	9%	2.09%	6.79%	3.55%	8.87%	7.51%	
BC AGGREGATE			2.29%	3.90%	2.72%	6.46%	6.52%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.20%	+2.89%	+0.83%	+2.41%	+0.99%	
TIPS	\$21,691,184	3%	3.37%	7.28%	5.34%			
BC GLOBAL INFLATION LINKED: U.S. TIPS			3.66%	7.74%	5.81%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.29%	-0.46%	-0.47%			
High Yield Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt. ¹⁾	\$21,480,894	3%	3.37%	9.17%	3.67%			
Citigroup WGBI (All Maturities)			3.32%	10.54%	4.00%			
Variance to Benchmark			+0.05%	-1.37%	-0.33%			
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	\$33,576,645	5%	2.73%	14.52%	8.92%	3.06%	7.64%	
S&P 500 + 7%			1.82%	39.85%	9.68%	10.63%	10.18%	
Variance to Benchmark			+0.91%	-25.33%	-0.76%	-7.57%	-2.54%	
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds. ²⁾	\$161,669,065	25%	0.52%	11.15%	3.79%	2.30%	4.57%	
HEDGE FUND 8% ANNUM			1.94%	8.00%	3.92%	8.00%	8.00%	
Variance to Benchmark			-1.42%	+3.15%	-0.13%	-5.70%	-3.43%	
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy ³⁾	\$42,759,805	7%	-7.60%	25.01%	-5.36%	2.52%		
REAL ESTATE AND HARD ASSETS BENCHMARK			2.41%	10.00%	4.88%	-17.94%		
Variance to Benchmark			-10.01%	+15.01%	-10.24%	+20.46%		
Real Estate ³⁾	\$9,753,561	2%	4.97%	7.68%	11.09%	-14.83%		
REAL ESTATE AND HARD ASSETS BENCHMARK			2.41%	10.00%	4.88%	-17.94%		
Variance to Benchmark			+2.56%	-2.32%	+6.21%	+3.11%		
Liquidity Accounts	\$26,862,072	4%	-0.12%	-0.44%	-0.20%	-0.21%	1.41%	
91 DAY T-BILL			0.04%	0.16%	0.09%	0.42%	2.00%	
Variance to Benchmark			-0.16%	-0.60%	-0.29%	-0.63%	-0.59%	

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees

¹⁾ UCSF's custom benchmark consists of 60% Barclays Capital US Aggregate, 20% Barclays Capital US Treasury Inflation Protected Index and 20% Citigroup Non-Dollar World Government Bond Index. UCSF's developed market debt is truly global and managed against the Citi WGBI. The Investment Policy Guidelines call out the Citi non-US Dollar WGBI.

²⁾ The Absolute Return/Marketable Alternatives/Hedge Fund asset class includes the sub composite for the Opportunistic asset class.

³⁾ The Commodities/Natural Resources/Energy and Real Estate sub-asset classes comprise the Real Estate and Hard Assets asset class and are benchmarked to a 10% per annum absolute return effective July 1, 2010.

UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

A. The primary long-term financial objective for the Foundation's Long Term Investment Policy (LTIP) is to preserve the purchasing power of the LTIP's principal, while providing a relatively stable and growing source of funding for endowment and trust beneficiaries.

B. The primary long-term investment objective of the LTIP is to earn an average annual real (i.e., after adjusting for inflation) total return on a risk-adjusted basis that is at least equal to the LTIP's total spending rate, net of consultant and management fees, over long time periods (i.e., rolling ten-year periods). Over shorter time periods (i.e., rolling five-year periods), the LTIP will seek to meet or exceed an appropriate composite of market indices reflecting the LTIP's asset allocation policies.

Endowment Spending Policy

The formula for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year is 4.75% of the 60-month rolling average value of a share of the UC Santa Barbara Foundation LTIP and the UC Regents General Endowment Pool, divided by the UC Santa Barbara LTIP share value as of December 31, 2009 and then multiplied by the total Fair Market Value of each Endowment Fund/Fund Functioning as an Endowment as of December 31, 2009.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The UC Santa Barbara Foundation Endowment Cost Recovery Fee for FYE June 30, 2011 was assessed at 45 basis points, applied to the total fund balance as of December 31, 2009 for each fund.

University of California, Santa Barbara Foundation Portfolio

Asset Allocation


June 30, 2011

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$62	53%	40%	13%	35%	55%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$19	17%	18%	-1%	5%	25%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$35	30%	42%	-12%	30%	50%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	Yes
Total Assets	\$116	100%	100%				


The benchmark that is maintained by State Street is the actual target allocation established by Goldman Sachs.

UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION



Annual Endowment Returns for Years Ending June 30
UC Santa Barbara - Portfolio and Benchmark



Ten-Year Performance of a \$10,000 Gift
UC Santa Barbara - Portfolio and Benchmark



UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION



UC SANTA BARBARA FOUNDATION

University of California, Santa Barbara Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCSB Foundation Aggregate	\$116,047,831	100%	0.39%	20.08%	4.58%	3.17%	3.61%	4.81%
Policy Benchmark			1.17%	22.53%	5.30%	5.79%	6.04%	6.11%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			-0.78%	-2.45%	-0.72%	-2.62%	-2.43%	-1.30%
U.S. Equity	\$18,973,871	16%	-2.46%	31.14%	5.80%	5.16%		
S&P 500			0.10%	30.69%	6.02%	3.34%		
Variance to Benchmark			-2.56%	+0.45%	-0.22%	+1.82%		
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	\$8,849,590	8%	-0.11%	25.38%	3.49%	-3.80%		
MSCI WORLD EX US (NET)			0.86%	30.33%	4.72%	-1.56%		
Variance to Benchmark			-0.97%	-4.95%	-1.23%	-2.24%		
Global Equity ¹⁾	\$26,097,401	22%	1.47%	31.29%	6.47%			
S&P GLOBAL LARGEMIDCAP			0.36%	30.69%	4.86%			
Variance to Benchmark			+1.11%	+0.60%	+1.61%			
Emerging Market Equity	\$7,827,820	7%	-0.67%	29.97%	0.98%	1.40%		
MSCI EMERGING MARKETS (NET)			-1.15%	27.80%	0.88%	4.22%		
Variance to Benchmark			+0.48%	+2.17%	+0.10%	-2.82%		
Core Fixed Income	\$16,926,914	15%	1.86%	5.93%	2.99%			
BC AGGREGATE			2.29%	3.90%	2.72%			
Variance to Benchmark			-0.43%	+2.03%	+0.27%			
TIPS	NA							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt	\$2,267,954	2%	0.88%	14.29%	4.69%	9.73%		
BC CORP HIGH YIELD			1.05%	15.63%	4.97%	12.68%		
Variance to Benchmark			-0.17%	-1.34%	-0.28%	-2.95%		
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	NA							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	NA							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	\$5,780,433	5%	9.12%	25.60%	14.30%	11.00%		
Russell 3000 + 3%			0.71%	36.34%	7.94%	7.12%		
Variance to Benchmark			+8.41%	-10.74%	+6.36%	+3.88%		
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	\$26,028,840	22%	-0.62%	9.67%	2.42%	1.55%		
LIBOR PLUS 450 BPS			1.16%	4.76%	2.34%	5.13%		
Variance to Benchmark			-1.78%	+4.91%	+0.08%	-3.58%		
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	\$492,320	0%						
Russell 3000 + 3%								
Variance to Benchmark								
Real Estate	\$2,550,389	2%	2.42%	32.49%	9.63%	0.79%		
NAREIT ALL SHARE PRICE INDEX			2.93%	32.86%	9.93%	5.84%		
Variance to Benchmark			-0.51%	-0.37%	-0.30%	-5.05%		
Liquidity Accounts	\$252,300	0%	-0.27%	-0.18%	-0.24%	0.59%		
BofAML 3-MONTH US T-BILL			0.04%	0.16%	0.09%	0.42%		
Variance to Benchmark			-0.31%	-0.34%	-0.33%	+0.17%		

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

¹⁾ The Global Equity strategy has been implemented within the overall context of the total plans US/non-US Equity policy weights, which fall within their respective guidelines. As a result, the inclusion of the Global Equity asset class benchmark within the Policy Benchmark is not necessary.

UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION

Endowment Investment Objective

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation's investment objective for its endowment portfolio is to maximize long-term total return with a prudent level of risk, to provide inflation protection through reinvestment of an appropriate level of realized and unrealized earnings, and to maximize the real rate of return over the long term. The investment policy matches that of the General Endowment Pool.

Endowment Spending Policy

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation endowment expenditure rate approved June, 2011, is 4.65% times a three-year moving average of December 31 market values. The endowment expenditure formula is reviewed annually and adjusted accordingly with respect to prudent concern for campus needs, donor expectations, and current market conditions. In no event will the corpus be reduced below the amount of the original gift, adjusted by the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) price index, unless specific language of the endowment agreement so allows.

Policy for Gift Fees and Administrative Expenses

The UC Santa Cruz Foundation allocates STIP earnings to uninvested endowment balances when it is earned. The UCSC campus assesses a gift fee of 6% of initial value of gifts. Of the 4.65% endowment expenditures, .45% is allocated for administrative expenses of the Foundation. No additional annual recurring fee (0%) on the market value of the Foundation's endowments.

University of California, Santa Cruz Foundation Portfolio

Asset Allocation


June 30, 2011

	Assets (\$M)	Actual Allocation	Benchmark Policy Allocation	Variance from Benchmark Policy	Policy Range		Policy Range Compliance (Actual Allocation)
Public Equity	\$25	46%	46%	0%	36%	56%	Yes
Public Fixed Income	\$9	16%	18%	-2%	13%	23%	Yes
All Alternative Inv.	\$21	38%	37%	1%	27%	47%	Yes
Liquidity Portfolio	\$1	1%	0%	1%	0%	10%	Yes
Total Assets	\$55	100%	100%				


The UC Santa Cruz Foundation utilizes the GEP Policy Benchmark. Policy Benchmark Allocations shown are the GEP Current Policy Allocation.

UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION



Annual Endowment Returns for Years Ending June 30
UC Santa Cruz - Portfolio and Benchmark



Ten-Year Performance of a \$10,000 Gift
UC Santa Cruz - Portfolio and Benchmark



UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION



UC SANTA CRUZ FOUNDATION

University of California, Santa Cruz Foundation Portfolio June 30, 2011								
	Market Value		Total Return			Annualized Total Return		
			Recent Quarter	Fiscal YTD	Calendar YTD	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
UCSC Foundation Aggregate	\$55,031,193	100%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.24%	5.34%	5.74%
Policy Benchmark			0.52%	17.90%	3.60%	3.78%	5.71%	5.94%
Variance to Policy Benchmark			+1.03%	+2.27%	+2.03%	-0.54%	-0.37%	-0.20%
U.S. Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S. Developed Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Global Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Core Fixed Income	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
TIPS	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
High Yield Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Non-U.S./Global Fixed - Dev. Mkt.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Emerging Market Debt	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Private Equity	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Abs. Ret./Mktable Alts./Hedge Fds.	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Commodities/Natural Res./Energy	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Real Estate	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
Liquidity Accounts	N/A							
Benchmark								
Variance to Benchmark								
UC Regents Mgd Funds								
Regents GEP ¹⁾	\$55,031,193	100%	1.55%	20.17%	5.63%	3.06%	5.25%	5.68%

Notes:

Returns are net of all fees.

¹⁾The 10-Year return reflects the actual GEP unitized return as reported by the UC Regents.

5 Consultant of The Regents Report


This section presents Mercer’s review of the investment performance and asset allocation of the University of California Campus Foundations for the periods ending June 30, 2011. The report is based on the investment information provided by State Street and reflects the reconciled and signed-off results of each Foundation.

5.1	Investment Performance Summary	55
5.2	Policy Compliance.....	60
5.3	Analysis and Recommendations.....	62

5.1 Investment Performance Summary

Uncertainty about the general economic direction, Sovereign debt concerns in Europe, the end of QE2, and the debate over the debt ceiling dominated headlines during the fiscal year. The Fed's monetary policies stimulated market returns and made low risk assets extremely unattractive by driving real interest rates to negative levels. The stock market experienced a volatile ride, but managed to post solid returns north of 30% for US and international equities while developing markets outperformed emerging markets. Within the fixed income space, emerging market debt, high-yield bonds and international fixed income outpaced US fixed income investments. Natural resource stocks, commodity futures, private equities and REITs contributed to the performance while hedge funds lagged.

The ranges of the Campus Foundations' total return investment performance for periods ending June 30, 2011 are shown in the following graph:




Positive returns across all asset classes resulted in a solid performance for the fiscal year and continued to improve investment returns, putting the returns for all observed periods back into positive territory. However, long-term performance is still below market return expectations.


On an absolute basis, San Diego and Riverside posted the best result among the Foundations for the fiscal year.

Five of the ten Foundations exhibited positive excess returns relative to their respective policy benchmarks for the fiscal year. The outperformance is generally attributed to an overweight in equities and/or superior manager selection.


The graph below presents the performance detail for the fiscal year ending June 2011:



The following graph shows the performance trend of the Foundations' excess returns over 1-year rolling periods. After a wider divergence of excess returns starting from the early market turmoil in August 2007 through June 2010, the excess returns of the ten foundations are ranging between -2.4% and 2.3% for the 1-year period ending June 2011.



The next graph shows the Foundations' excess returns after adjusting for beta, or level of market exposure (relative to each Foundation's individual benchmark). The results are shown in the beta-adjusted excess return rolling 1-year chart below:




* Beta is calculated by taking the slope coefficient of the linear regression of the prior 12 month returns of the Foundations versus their respective benchmarks. Beta-adjusted excess return (alpha calculated by Mercer's performance analytic system) is the residual additional to the Foundation's return, when the effect of a beta different from one has been removed. A positive alpha would imply that the Foundation was able to add value through sources other than taking on a particular level of market risk. Note that the alpha may vary from historical excess return because it makes an allowance for the historical level of risk. Alpha is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Alpha} = \alpha = 100 * (\bar{r} - \beta \bar{p}) = 100 * \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)$$

Mercer will annualize the alpha by compounding it for the number of periods in a year.

Over the last 3 years, a majority of the Foundations applied an average beta exposure below 1 which resulted in higher risk-adjusted returns due to the heightened volatility experienced during this period.


Next, we analyzed the risk levels taken by the Foundations in achieving their returns. The graphs below are snapshots of each Foundation's risk-return profile for 3 and 5 years calculated on a monthly basis:



* (Annualized) Risk is defined as the annualized standard deviation calculated using monthly observations.

The stellar performance of this fiscal year resulted in a more favorable risk-return profile in comparison with the prior year, with the Foundations achieving returns that ranged from 3.6% to 6.5% and risks that ranged from 9.6% to 13.4% for the 5-year period. The 3-year period returns increased significantly as all Foundations posted strong positive returns for the fiscal year. However, the annualized 3-year returns remained below the long-term market return expectations. We noted that Santa Barbara took on higher risk but placed above the median. In October 2007, Santa Barbara moved away from the GEP and adopted its own investment strategy, including a 42% allocation to alternative investments. The ongoing funding of the private equity, which takes time to fully implement, resulted in higher tracking error for Santa Barbara.

In addition, we evaluated the portfolios' active risk, which measures how closely the portfolio follows a specified benchmark:



The previous graphs reflect the active risk and excess return of the individual portfolios when compared to their respective benchmarks over 3 and 5 years. We found that San Francisco, when compared to other foundations, achieved superior excess returns of 3.1% for 3 years and 1.8% for 5 years. Riverside realized a superior return, however, with a significant higher active risk at 7.5% for 3 years and 6.2% for 5 years. We want to emphasize that Riverside regularly changes its investment policy targets but uses a blended benchmark (71% MSCI ACWI, 29% Barclays Capital Aggregate) for its total fund calculation, resulting in a notably higher tracking error. For Santa Barbara, we observed a higher-than-average tracking error coupled with an unfavorable excess return of -2.6% for the 3-year and -2.4% for the 5-year period. In comparison to the average of the Foundations that are invested in the GEP, San Diego experienced slightly higher risks and higher returns, while UCLA achieved a better return while taking on the less risk.


Santa Barbara (which started to invest outside the GEP in October 2007, and included a significant asset allocation of 42% to alternative investments) has not developed an interim benchmark. Instead, its results are measured against its long-term target benchmark, which is less comparable to institutions that have more realistic policy targets.

5.2 Policy Compliance


Merced and Santa Cruz are invested 100% in GEP. The other 8 Foundations have implemented their own individual investment policies. As of June 30, 2011, San Diego, Irvine and Davis allocate 70%, 33% and 22% respectively to the GEP. The overview of the Foundations' asset allocation versus the individual benchmark is presented below.

We observed the following benchmark anomalies:

- The calculation of the UCLA benchmark reflects actual weights that deviate from its long-term target.
- Riverside uses a secondary blended benchmark (71% MSCI ACWI and 29% Barclays Capital Aggregate) for its total fund benchmark, which is not reflected in the chart.



Berkeley and Davis moved to a strategy-based investment structure and implemented multi-asset class portfolios. The actual asset allocation versus benchmark is presented below:



During the fiscal year, the following Foundations changed their investment policy statements:

- UC San Francisco as of May 1, 2010 – reflected in the reporting as of July 1, 2010
- UC San Diego
 - as of June 11, 2010 – reflected in the reporting as of July 1, 2010
 - as of May 24, 2011 – reflected in the reporting as of June 1, 2011
- UC Los Angeles
 - as of June 8, 2010 – reflected in the reporting as of July 1, 2010
 - as of June 2, 2011 – reflected in the reporting as of June 1, 2011
- UC Irvine as of November 2010 – approved by the Board on February 16, 2011 and retroactively reflected in the reporting as of December 1, 2010
- UC Davis as of January 1, 2011 – approved by the Board on November 19, 2010 – reflected in the reporting as of January 1, 2011
- UC Riverside
 - as of September 1, 2010 – reflected in the reporting as of September 1, 2010
 - as of January 1, 2011 – reflected in the reporting as of January 1, 2011
 - as of February 1, 2011 – reflected in the reporting as of February 1, 2011
 - as of June 1, 2011 – reflected in the reporting as of June 1, 2011
- UC Berkeley as of March 1, 2011 – approved by Berkeley Endowment Management Company on January 4, 2011, ratified by the University of California, Berkeley Foundation on February 25, 2011, and reflected in the reporting as of March 1, 2011

The following table reflects the difference between the asset allocation as of June 30, 2011, and the allocation defined in the investment policy statement for each Foundation. Any exposure outside the individual policy ranges is shaded, and the heat map on the right side shows by how much.

Over-/Underweight to Individual Foundation Policy as of June 30, 2011					
	Public Equity	Alternative Investments	Public Fixed Income	Liquidity	
Irvine	-1.1%	0.6%	-0.2%	0.6%	
UCLA	2.9%	-3.9%	0.1%	1.0%	
Merced	0.1%	0.7%	-1.8%	1.0%	
Riverside	-4.5%	-4.6%	-1.6%	10.8%	
San Diego	0.9%	-2.8%	0.1%	1.8%	
San Francisco	1.7%	-1.5%	-4.5%	4.2%	
Santa Barbara	13.2%	-12.0%	-1.5%	0.2%	
Santa Cruz	0.1%	0.7%	-1.8%	1.0%	
	Global Equities	Excess Return	Diversifying Assets	Defensive	
Berkeley	7.7%	-0.4%	-11.0%	3.6%	
	L/T US Eq Growth	L/T non-US Eq Growth	Core Endowment	Broad Mkt Exposure	Risk Focus
Davis	-0.2%	-0.2%	-1.4%	3.0%	-1.1%

Legend
**% Outside the Individual
Policy Range**

- > 5%
- + 4% to + 5%
- + 3% to + 4%
- + 2% to + 3%
- + 0% to + 2%
- Within policy ranges
- 0% to -2%
- 2% to -3%
- 3% to -4%
- 4% to -5%
- < 5%

UCLA's policy has a target of 0% to cash and has no definitive range. Berkeley employed a new investment strategy as of March 1, 2011, and is in the process of moving to the new structure.

5.3 Analysis and Recommendations

Mercer Investment Consulting, Inc. (Mercer) as the General Investment Consultant to The Regents of the University of California has been directed by the Committee on Investments to review the Campus Foundations' investment policies to ensure that they are consistent with industry best practices.

In compliance with the Regents Policy 6201 Investment Policy for the University of California Campus Foundations, Mercer has reviewed for each Foundation:

- Investment policy and asset allocation relative to its policy
- Performance by asset class and relative to its benchmarks
- Asset allocation target percentages
- Ranges for each asset class
- Policy benchmarks for each asset class and in total
- Investment guidelines for each asset class as applicable

Based on our review of the UC Campus Foundations' investment policies above, MIC has no issues of concern to address as of June 30, 2011.

Mercer supports the Regents' investment policy for the Campus Foundations. This policy satisfies the Regents' fiduciary responsibilities and allows the Campus Foundations to manage their assets in a manner consistent with industry best practices. In light of the recently adopted multi-asset class portfolio approach by two foundations, we would recommend an enhancement of the policy to reflect the strategy-based investment structures.

6 Appendix

6.1	10-Year Foundation Investment Performance..	65
6.2	10-Year Benchmark and Active Performance....	66
6.3	Investment Policies	67
6.4	Glossary	68

6.1 10-Year Foundation Investment Performance

10-Year Foundation Investment Performance											
Periods ending June 30											
Annual Total Returns - Foundations											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	18.8%	18.7%	19.5%	16.7%	20.2%	21.5%	21.7%	18.3%	20.1%	20.2%	20.2%
2010	11.7%	11.3%	11.0%	11.8%	11.3%	15.8%	12.7%	14.4%	15.4%	11.3%	11.3%
2009	-20.6%	-17.9%	-20.6%	-21.1%	-18.2%	-22.1%	-20.5%	-16.5%	-20.7%	-17.7%	-18.2%
2008	-0.3%	-1.4%	-2.9%	0.1%	-1.5%	2.8%	-1.5%	-7.5%	-9.4%	-1.4%	-1.5%
2007	20.3%	19.7%	18.7%	17.8%	19.8%	21.7%	19.3%	18.2%	20.1%	19.5%	19.8%
2006	14.8%	11.3%	10.8%	12.9%	11.5%	14.2%	11.7%	11.3%	11.5%	11.3%	11.5%
2005	11.3%	10.2%	7.7%	10.2%	10.3%	11.3%	10.2%	10.1%	10.1%	10.3%	10.3%
2004	17.7%	14.4%	15.6%	15.2%	14.7%	18.1%	14.5%	17.2%	14.1%	14.5%	14.7%
2003	3.4%	5.5%	6.5%	4.5%	5.4%	4.1%	5.2%	0.9%	5.6%	5.4%	5.4%
2002	-4.8%	-8.3%	-7.2%	-8.9%		-4.1%	-9.5%	-6.8%	-9.4%	-9.1%	-9.5%
Average Annualized Total Returns - Foundations											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	18.8%	18.7%	19.5%	16.7%	20.2%	21.5%	21.7%	18.3%	20.1%	20.2%	20.2%
('10-'11)	15.2%	14.9%	15.1%	14.2%	15.7%	18.6%	17.1%	16.3%	17.7%	15.7%	15.7%
('09-'11)	1.8%	2.7%	1.7%	1.0%	3.1%	3.1%	2.9%	4.2%	3.2%	3.2%	3.1%
('08-'11)	1.2%	1.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.9%	3.0%	1.8%	1.1%	-0.1%	2.1%	1.9%
('07-'11)	4.8%	5.1%	3.9%	4.0%	5.2%	6.5%	5.1%	4.3%	3.6%	5.3%	5.3%
('06-'11)	6.4%	6.1%	5.0%	5.4%	6.3%	7.8%	6.2%	5.5%	4.9%	6.3%	6.3%
('05-'11)	7.1%	6.7%	5.4%	6.1%	6.8%	8.3%	6.7%	6.1%	5.6%	6.9%	6.8%
('04-'11)	8.4%	7.6%	6.6%	7.2%	7.8%	9.4%	7.7%	7.4%	6.6%	7.8%	7.8%
('03-'11)	7.8%	7.4%	6.6%	6.9%	7.5%	8.8%	7.4%	6.7%	6.5%	7.5%	7.5%
('02-'11)	6.5%	5.7%	5.2%	5.2%		7.5%	5.6%	5.3%	4.8%	5.7%	5.7%
Cumulative Total Returns - Foundations											
# Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	18.8%	18.7%	19.5%	16.7%	20.2%	21.5%	21.7%	18.3%	20.1%	20.2%	20.2%
('10-'11)	32.8%	32.1%	32.6%	30.5%	33.8%	40.7%	37.1%	35.3%	38.6%	33.8%	33.8%
('09-'11)	5.4%	8.4%	5.2%	3.0%	9.4%	9.6%	9.1%	13.0%	9.8%	10.1%	9.4%
('08-'11)	5.1%	7.0%	2.1%	3.1%	7.8%	12.7%	7.4%	4.5%	-0.5%	8.6%	7.8%
('07-'11)	26.4%	28.0%	21.3%	21.4%	29.1%	37.2%	28.1%	23.6%	19.4%	29.7%	29.2%
('06-'11)	45.1%	42.6%	34.4%	37.1%	44.0%	56.6%	43.1%	37.5%	33.2%	44.4%	44.0%
('05-'11)	61.5%	57.2%	44.7%	51.1%	58.9%	74.3%	57.7%	51.4%	46.6%	59.2%	58.9%
('04-'11)	90.1%	79.8%	67.3%	74.1%	82.2%	105.8%	80.6%	77.4%	67.3%	82.3%	82.2%
('03-'11)	96.6%	89.7%	78.2%	81.9%	92.1%	114.3%	90.0%	79.0%	76.7%	92.1%	92.1%
('02-'11)	87.1%	73.9%	65.4%	65.7%		105.5%	71.9%	66.8%	60.1%	74.7%	73.8%

Returns shown above prior to 2006 were provided by the individual Foundations.
Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank, except in extraordinary circumstances.

6.2 10-Year Benchmark and Active Performance

10-Year Benchmark and Active Performance											
Periods ending June 30											
Annual Total Returns - Benchmarks											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	17.2%	19.5%	19.6%	15.7%	17.9%	22.2%	19.6%	19.1%	22.5%	17.9%	17.9%
2010	10.2%	9.1%	9.2%	10.0%	9.1%	11.5%	10.7%	11.0%	18.3%	9.1%	9.1%
2009	-15.6%	-13.1%	-16.1%	-19.0%	-13.1%	-19.4%	-18.3%	-21.9%	-18.3%	-13.1%	-13.1%
2008	-1.2%	0.1%	-5.0%	0.6%	0.1%	-4.5%	0.1%	-6.2%	-4.1%	0.1%	0.1%
2007	16.9%	18.0%	19.0%	17.1%	18.0%	20.2%	18.0%	17.1%	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%
2006	12.7%	11.1%	10.3%	10.3%	11.1%	13.6%	11.1%	10.5%	11.0%	11.1%	11.1%
2005	10.3%	9.5%	9.3%	8.7%	9.5%	10.4%	10.3%	11.1%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%
2004	17.1%	14.6%	15.1%	16.2%	14.6%	18.0%	15.2%	17.2%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%
2003	2.8%	5.0%	3.2%	3.0%	5.0%	2.1%	5.2%	4.3%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
2002	-8.1%	-7.7%	-6.6%	-10.5%		-7.8%	-7.7%	-9.1%	-7.7%	-7.7%	-7.7%
Annual Active Returns (Foundation minus Benchmark) ¹											
Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	1.6%	-0.9%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.3%	-0.7%	2.0%	-0.8%	-2.5%	2.3%	2.3%
2010	1.6%	2.3%	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	4.3%	2.0%	3.3%	-2.9%	2.3%	2.3%
2009	-5.1%	-4.9%	-4.6%	-2.0%	-5.1%	-2.7%	-2.1%	5.4%	-2.4%	-4.7%	-5.1%
2008	0.9%	-1.4%	2.0%	-0.5%	-1.5%	7.3%	-1.6%	-1.3%	-5.4%	-1.4%	-1.5%
2007	3.4%	1.7%	-0.3%	0.7%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%	2.0%	1.5%	1.8%
2006	2.2%	0.3%	0.5%	2.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%
2005	1.0%	0.8%	-1.6%	1.4%	0.9%	0.9%	-0.1%	-1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%
2004	0.6%	-0.2%	0.5%	-1.0%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.7%	0.1%	-0.5%	-0.1%	0.1%
2003	0.6%	0.5%	3.3%	1.5%	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	-3.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
2002	3.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	1.6%		3.7%	-1.8%	2.3%	-1.7%	-1.4%	-1.8%
Average Annualized Active Returns (Foundation minus Benchmark) ²											
# Year	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Merced	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Regents' GEP
2011	1.6%	-0.9%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.3%	-0.7%	2.0%	-0.8%	-2.5%	2.3%	2.3%
('10-'11)	1.6%	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%	2.3%	1.9%	2.0%	1.3%	-2.7%	2.3%	2.3%
('09-'11)	-1.2%	-1.5%	-1.4%	0.0%	-0.7%	-0.1%	0.3%	3.1%	-2.6%	-0.5%	-0.7%
('08-'11)	-0.6%	-1.5%	-0.5%	-0.1%	-0.9%	1.8%	-0.2%	1.9%	-3.4%	-0.8%	-0.9%
('07-'11)	0.1%	-0.9%	-0.4%	0.0%	-0.5%	1.8%	0.1%	1.8%	-2.4%	-0.4%	-0.5%
('06-'11)	0.4%	-0.7%	-0.3%	0.4%	-0.3%	1.6%	0.1%	1.6%	-2.0%	-0.3%	-0.3%
('05-'11)	0.5%	-0.5%	-0.5%	0.6%	-0.2%	1.5%	0.1%	1.3%	-1.6%	-0.1%	-0.2%
('04-'11)	0.5%	-0.5%	-0.4%	0.4%	-0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%	-1.5%	-0.1%	-0.1%
('03-'11)	0.5%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	-0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	-1.2%	-0.1%	-0.1%
('02-'11)	0.8%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.6%		1.7%	-0.2%	0.8%	-1.3%	-0.2%	-0.3%

Returns shown above prior to 2006 were provided by the individual Foundations.
Returns for 2006 and later were provided by State Street Bank, except in extraordinary circumstances.

1) Arithmetic difference
2) Annualized geometric difference

6.3 Investment Policies

Campus	Asset Class	Benchmark Component	Percentage
UC Berkeley	Global Equity	MSCI AC World (gross)	82.5%
	Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Treasury	17.5%
UC Davis	U.S. Equity	S&P 500	70.0%
	Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	30.0%
UC Irvine	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	22.0%
	Non U.S. Equity	MSCI AC World ex U.S. (Net)	22.0%
	US Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	15.0%
	Private Equity	UCIF-Private Equities	8.0%
	Hedge Funds	HFRF Fund of Funds Index	20.0%
	Commodities	Dow Jones-UBS Commodity Index TR	5.0%
	Real Estate	NCREIF Property Index	8.0%
UC Los Angeles *	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	15.0%
	Non-U.S. Equity	MSCI AC World ex U.S. (Net)	8.8%
	Emerging Markets	MSCI AC World ex U.S. (Net)	6.2%
	Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	12.0%
	Private Equity	Total Non-Marketable Actual Return	13.1%
	Real Assets	Total Inflation Hedge Actual Return	9.4%
	Absolute Return	HFRX Absolute Return Market Blended Index	28.4%
	Real Estate	Blended Real Estate Benchmark	7.0%
UC Merced	GEP **	GEP Benchmark	100.0%
UC Riverside	Global Equity	MSCI AC World	71.0%
	Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	29.0%
UC San Diego	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	19.0%
	Non-U.S. Equity	MSCI ACWI World ex U.S. (Net)	24.0%
	Fixed Income	Total Fixed Income Benchmark (UCSF)	14.0%
	Private Equity	S&P 500 + 5%	7.5%
	Absolute Return	HFRX Global Hedge Fund Index	25.0%
	Real Estate	NCREIF Property Index	7.5%
	Other	S&P500 + 5%	3.0%
UC San Francisco	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000	20.0%
	Non-U.S. Equities	MSCI All Country World ex U.S. (Net)	20.0%
	U.S. Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	20.0%
	Private Equity	S&P 500 + 7%	7.5%
	Hedge Funds	Hedge Fund 8% Annual	25.0%
	REITS	Real Estate Benchmark	7.5%
UC Santa Barbara	U.S. Equity	S&P 500	21.0%
	Non-U.S. Equity Developed	MSCI World Ex-U.S. (Net)	13.0%
	Emerging Market Equity	MSCI Emerging Market (Net)	6.0%
	Fixed Income	Barclays Capital Aggregate	13.0%
		Barclays Capital High Yield	5.0%
	Absolute Return	Libor + 4.5%	16.5%
	Inflation Hedge	NAREIT All Share Price Index	16.0%
	Private Equity	Russell 3000 + 3%	9.5%
UC Santa Cruz	GEP **	GEP Benchmark	100.0%

* UCLA's benchmark reflects actual weights which may be different than its long-term target weights

** GEP	U.S. Equity	Russell 3000 TF	19.5%
	Non-U.S. Equity Developed	MSCI World Ex-U.S. (Net) TF	17.8%
	Emerging Market Equity	MSCI Emerging Market (Net)	5.0%
	Global Equity	MSCI All Country World Index (Net) - IMI - TF	2.0%
	U.S. Core Fixed Income	Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregated Bond Index	7.5%
	High Yield Debt	Merrill Lynch High Yield Cash Pay Index	3.0%
	Emerging Market Debt	J.P. Morgan Emerging Market Bond Index Global Diversified (as of 9/1/11)	3.0%
	TIPS	Barclays Capital U.S. TIPS	4.0%
	Private Equity	Actual PE Returns	7.3%
	Absolute Return-Diversified	50% HFRX Absolute Return Index + 50% HFRX Market Directional Index	23.5%
	Absolute Return-Cross Asset Class	Aggregate GEP Policy Benchmark	1.0%
	Real Assets	Commodities: S&P GSCI Reduced Energy Index: All Other: Actual Portfolio Return	1.0%
	Real Estate (Public and Private)	Public: FTSE EPRA NAREIT Global Index and Private: NFI-ODCE Index - NCREIF Funds Index-Open End Diversified Core Equity Index (lagged 3 months)	5.5%

6.4 Glossary

CURRENT ASSETS

Assets for use in the near term to support the overall operations of the Foundation, where the donor may, or may not, have restricted their use. These assets typically include cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, deferred charges, amounts due, prepaid expenses, etc.

In accordance with the Support Group Policy, endowed gifts or restricted assets must be transferred to the University to be spent in accordance with the donors' terms. However, the existing policy does not specify the timing and/or frequency of transfers. Consequently, the timing/frequency decision varies among the Foundations.

ENDOWMENT ASSETS

True endowments, established by donor-restricted gifts to provide a permanent source of income, and Funds Functioning as Endowments (FEEs), established by donor-restricted gifts to provide income but principal may also be expended.

GENERAL ENDOWMENT POOL (GEP)

Established in 1933, and unitized in 1958, the GEP is The Regents' primary investment vehicle for endowed gift funds. The GEP is comprised of over 4,909 individual endowments that support the University's mission. The GEP is a balanced portfolio of equities, fixed-income securities, and alternative investments in which all endowment funds participate, unless payout needs require otherwise.

NON-ENDOWED ASSETS

Current gift and trust/life income assets, excluding pledges.

OTHER ENDOWED ASSETS

Separately invested assets, mortgages, real estate, operated accounts, receivables, and others.

SHORT TERM INVESTMENT POOL (STIP)

The STIP is a cash investment pool established in fiscal 1976 by The Regents, in which all University fund groups participate, including retirement and endowment funds as well as campus endowment funds. Cash to meet payrolls, operating expenses, and construction funds of all the campuses and teaching hospitals of the University are the major funds invested in the STIP until expended. Pension, endowment, and defined contribution funds awaiting permanent investment are also invested in the STIP until transferred. The STIP participants are able to maximize returns on their short-term cash balances by taking advantage of the economies of scale of investing in a large cash pool.

TRUSTS/LIFE ANNUITIES

Assets donated by individuals or organizations, with the institution agreeing to pay a specific level of income to the donor, or designated beneficiary, for his or her lifetime. Subsequent to the beneficiary's death, the institution gains complete ownership of the donated assets. The donor may or may not have restricted the assets' purpose.

This contains confidential and proprietary information of Mercer and is intended for the exclusive use of the parties to whom it was provided by Mercer. Its content may not be modified, sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity, without Mercer's written permission.

The findings, ratings and/or opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of Mercer and are subject to change without notice. They are not intended to convey any guarantees as to the future performance of the investment products, asset classes or capital markets discussed. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

This does not contain investment advice relating to your particular circumstances. No investment decision should be made based on this information without first obtaining appropriate professional advice and considering your circumstances.

© 2011 MERCER LLC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.