# (1) NANOSREPORT 

## Federal Liberals Trend Up

## METHODOLOGY

To follow is a review of the latest Nanos national random telephone survey of 1,002 Canadians 18 years of age and older. It was completed between March 13 and March 18,2009 . The statistics of a random sample of 1,002 respondents are accurate to within $3.1 \%$, for 893 committed voters, it is accurate to within $3.3 \%$, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

Results for 200902 are from a random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians conducted between January $30^{\text {th }}$ and February $3^{\text {rid }}, 2009$.

The research was registered with the Marketing Research and Intelligence Association of which Nanos is a Gold Seal Corporate member.

QUESTION: For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences? (Committed voters only - First Preference)


0020020,0 ,

## REGIONAL BALLOT

| Committed <br> Voters (\%)** | Canada |  | Atlantic Canada* |  | Quebec |  | Ontario |  | Western Canada |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{n}=881$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=893$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=81$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=88$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=221$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=250$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=268$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=256$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=311$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=300$ ) |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Liberal | 33 | 36 | 47 | 46 | 28 | 32 | 43 | 44 | 26 | 30 |
| Conservative | 34 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 16 | 19 | 34 | 31 | 49 | 46 |
| NDP | 16 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 14 | 7 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 15 |
| BQ | 10 | 10 | - | - | 38 | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Green | 7 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Accuracy | $\pm 3.3$ | $\pm 3.3$ | $\pm 11.1$ | $\pm 10.6$ | $\pm 6.7$ | $\pm 6.3$ | $\pm 6.1$ | $\pm 6.2$ | $\pm 5.6$ | $\pm 5.7$ |
| Undecided | 12 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 14 |

* small sample - readers should exercise caution.
** due to rounding percentages may not add up to 100
Media inquiries should be directed to: Nik Nanos at nnanos@nanosresearch.com or (613) 234-4666 x237. Visit the Nanos website to sign up for instant email updates at www.nanosrearch.com.


## (1) NANOSREPORT

## Harper ahead by six as Best PM

## METHODOLOGY

Between March $13^{\text {th }}$ and March $18^{\text {th }}$, 2009,
Nanos Research conducted a random telephone survey of 1,002 Canadians 18 years and older. A random telephone survey of 1,002 Canadians is accurate plus or minus 3.1\%, 19 times out of 20 . Margins of accuracy are wider for subgroup samples. Readers should note that the data was weighted for gender and age to match the Canadian census results. Results should be considered representative of the Canadian population. Results may not add up to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

The research was registered with the Marketing Research and Intelligence Association of which Nanos is a Gold Seal Corporate Member.

QUESTION: Of the following individuals, who do you think would make the best Prime Minister?
[Rotate]


| Responses (\%) ** | Canada |  | Atlantic* |  | Quebec |  | Ontario |  | West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 | 200902 | 200903 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{n}=1000$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1002$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=98$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=99$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=263$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=253$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=298$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=301$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=342$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=350$ ) |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Stephen Harper | 32 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 15 | 14 | 37 | 31 | 41 | 48 |
| Michael Ignatieff | 28 | 27 | 38 | 28 | 32 | 26 | 28 | 33 | 23 | 21 |
| Jack Layton | 14 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| Gilles Duceppe | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Elizabeth May | 5 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| None of them | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Unsure | 9 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| Accuracy | $\pm 3.1$ | $\pm 3.1$ | $\pm 10.1$ | $\pm 10.0$ | $\pm 6.1$ | $\pm 6.2$ | $\pm 5.7$ | $\pm 5.7$ | $\pm 5.4$ | $\pm 5.3$ |

[^0]Media inquiries should be directed to: Nik Nanos at nnanos@nanosresearch.com or (613) 234-4666 x237. Visit the Nanos website to sign up for instant email updates at www.nanosrearch.com.


[^0]:    *Note: Small sample—Readers should exercise caution
    ** Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

