

CHERRY CREEK ACADEMY

Update and Discussion Clarification
Mill Levy Funding Request

REPORT TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION CHERRY CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT

MARCH 11, 2013



COMPILED BY:
DR. SCOTT SIEGFRIED
ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT



How We Got Here



Mill Levy Funding Request from CCA

Numerous Meetings – *two with CCSD staff*

Public Communications

Open Records Requests

Special Meeting with the Board of Education



WHERE ARE WE TODAY



Agreement...to better understand and communicate with one another, and to continue funding conversations working toward a mutually agreeable resolution in the best interest of all 54,000 students in the Cherry Creek School District.



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



HISTORY OF CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS



- Original CCA Contract July 21, 1995
 - ✓ 5-year term
- Restated and Amended Contract February 14, 2000
 - ✓ 5-year term
 - ✓ Included specific waivers from statutory and policy obligations
 - 1. Teacher Employment, Compensation and Dismissal Act
- Amended Contract February 12, 2001
 - ✓ Altered the term of the contract from 5 to thirty (30) years
 - ✓ Assisted CCA in financing the purchase of the current facility



HISTORY OF CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS



- Amended Contract August 1, 2007
 - ✓ Provision of Powerschool
 - ✓ Fees are paid by CCA on an annual basis
- Amended Contract June 22, 2011
 - ✓ Language modification standardized testing requirements
 - ✓ Supported the request by CCA of an increase in enrollment by 10 students
- Amended Contract May 10, 2012
 - ✓ Provided an increase in enrollment from 460 to 588 students



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPIC

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



FUNDING RELATIONSHIP



Per the Restated and Amended Contract

1. Per Pupil Funding

CCA receives on average, approximately 98.66% of PPR funding annually \$3,012,956 annually

2. Purchased services paid to CCSD, on average by CCA on an annual basis

Special Education \$129,829 Insurance \$27,341 PowerSchool \$679

157,849 Total



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



CHARTER FLEXIBILITY / WAIVERS & IMPACT



As an Example – of how funding must be different:

	CCSD	<u>CCA</u>
Transportation	Transports 23,000 students Round-trip per day	No transportation Services
	expenditure = \$18,000,000	expenditure = \$0
Special Education	Full range of FAPE services	Full range of FAPE services
	LD, OT, Autism, Medically Fragile/DNR, Behavioral	LD and OT services
	Disorders, Hearing Impaired, Assistive Technology, Day & Residential Treatment, etc	expenditure = \$161,492
	expenditure = \$52,746,829	



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps





Many documents were created and publicized through CCA to numerous district stakeholders that are not factually accurate.

This information was created and distributed...

- → by a professional Public Relations firm hired by CCA
- → included teacher calls during "professional development" time
- → utilized external paid services
- → involved active lobbying of current legislators against CCSD
- → "FACT" sheet distribution & a metro-wide news story by 9News



PROFESSIONAL PR FIRM HIRED BY CCA



the kenney group

December 7, 2012

Context:

First meeting with CCSD – December 5, 2012 Second meeting with CCSD - January 23, 2013

Scope of Work

Our understanding is that you are looking to exert maximum public pressure on the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and senior management to provide fair funding levels to the Academy. This advocacy program will include:

- Grasstops advocacy directly to school board members
- Grassroots advocacy from parents and other Cherry Creek School District residents and taxpayers
- Sympathetic media coverage in Denver-regional media

 Review past communications with board members and key district staff to determine who is persuadable and who is immovably hostile



PROFESSIONAL PR FIRM HIRED BY CCA



Message Development & Materials

We will work with you and your team to develop a message matrix and talking points that will be most effective in moving board members and key staff. Among the materials we will develop using the message matrix are:

- One-page fact sheet on the problem & consequences of under-funding
- One-page fact sheet on Academy statistics, including academic successes, faculty salary levels, current budget and capital expenditures. The message here is that Academy has succeeded despite burdens not placed on conventional district schools and what can happen for our students in the future with parity in funding.
- Sample letters/phone scripts for contact to school board members

Estimated Fees

We will bill Cherry Creek Academy for the performance of our services at our regular hourly rates, and provide WEP with monthly, detailed invoices. While Sean Duffy will serve as your primary consultant, we are including the rates of all of TKG's consultants who may be involved in this project:

David Kenney: \$350/hour Sean Duffy \$250/hour



PROFESSIONAL PR FIRM HIRED BY CCA





Cherry Creek Academy Parent Senate Agenda

6260 South Dayton, Englewood, CO 80111 303-779-9899 • Fax 303-779-8817 website: www.cherrycreekacademy.org

Motivated children and responsible p together with dedicated teachers for excel

Date: Tuesday, December 11, 2012 Time: 7:00 pm OPEN SESSION

Location: Cherry Creek Academy

6260 South Dayton, Library Englewood, CO 80111

Call to order Roll Call C Pledge of Allegiance

Teacher Presentations

5th/6th Grade - Gunning; Koenig; Mahan; Nichols

E Comment from the CCA Community

Note: The meeting is open for comment/questions from the CCA Community during this meeting. For the benefit of all attending the meeting, please give your name with your c

Approval of Minutes

Nov 13, 201 Anne Harne

Sarah Bowl

Sarah Bowl

Lisa DeBolt

Lisa DeBol

PTO Report Senate President Report Update on CCSD Mill Levy

Director's Report

Jay Cerny

Assistant Director's Report

Dave Loom

Committee Reports

Finance Committee Robert Sunker, Ch

Action Item: Saxon Math Textbook/Consumable

Action Item: Wordly Wise Consumable Action Item: SharpSchool Website Action Plan: November Financials

Action Plan: PR Firm

Action Item: PR Consultant Fee, \$10,000

Document Produced by CCA

Strategic Planning - Sarah Bowling

Next Strategic Planning meeting to be held January 26 or February 2, please email Lisa DeBolt with

Human Resources - Meredith Quarles

Item for approval: Revised guidelines

Motion filed - Meredith Quarles, second by Georgia Phillips. Vote unanimous.

Move to adjorn to Executive Session made at 8:15 pm by Karen Secor, second by Jill Hillbrich. Approval was unanimous

Executive Session items, Per C.R.S. 24-6-401(4)

Return from Executive session at 9:21 pm. Sarah Bowling called the meeting to order.

Finance - Robert Sunker

Item for approval: Consulting fees

Motion filed - Robert Sunker, second by Georgia Philips. Vote unanimous.

Unfinished business

Dates to Remember:

Next CCA Senate Meeting – January 8, 2013

Meeting Adjourned at 9:23 PM - initiated by Karen Secor, seconded by Lisa DeBolt. Vote unanimous.

Attendance:

- Senators mentioned above
- Jay Cerny
- Dave Loomis
- Jean Chen
- Hethyr Fouse
- Chaz Gunning
- Cindy Hall
- Anne Harnett
- Tricia Kilzer
- Jan Koenig Annemarie Mahan
- Kari Nichols
- Andrea Shafer
- Suzanne Smith
- Krishna Vaidyanathan



Document Produced by CCA



THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- 1) Bond Funding: In 2002 a state law was passed that required districts such as CCSD to include their charter schools in bond funding. CCA was not included in payouts from bond elections in 2003 and 2008, which put CCSD in violation of the law.
 - CCA went to CCSD and asked why they failed to notify us of the change in the law and why they failed to comply with it. After several months and much pressure from CCA, CCSD came into legal compliance resulting in \$2.87 million in funding for seriously needed CCA building improvements and maintenance.
 - The CCSD did comply with the law in 2012 and CCA will receive \$498,000 to improve school safety, improve the gym floor, re-roof Kindergarten and gym, and improve heating and air conditioning service.
 - STATUS: The refusal of CCSD to remain in legal compliance has cost CCA \$3.3 million since 2003.
- 2) Kindergarten Funding: In 2011, CCA discovered a 2003 law that increased kindergarten funding by 8%, which CCSD did not give to CCA.
 - . For nearly eight years, CCSD avoided compliance with this state law.
 - Continuing to avoid legal compliance, CCSD said the law was unclear and required CCA to go to the legislature to
 clarify the law before providing the funding. CCA went to the state and in two weeks the law was clarified.
 - STATUS: Going forward, CCA currently receives this much-needed additional 8%.
- 3) Student Cap: In 2006 a law was passed that allowed charter schools to determine how many children should be educated within their walls. It specifically prohibited districts such as CCSD to force charter schools to operate under the burden of a maximum capped number of students (called a "cap").
 - Over the course of several months and a series of meetings in 2011-2012, CCSD forced CCA to still comply with a slightly higher "cap" number under the guise that our building could support only a limited number of children.
 - STATUS: While this "Cap" is still prohibited, Cherry Creek Academy's board agreed to it in an effort to show good faith in working together with the CCSD.
- 4) Mill Levy: Each and every year, the CCSD collects Mill Levy taxes from Cherry Creek School District taxpayers (this means you!) totaling nearly 85 Million dollars! In the ballot language, this money is to be used for "maintaining class sizes... curriculum and instruction... providing an educational program of the highest quality... and utilizing technology and computer applications." Even though CCA is part of the CCSD, this money is divided up among all of the CCSD schools except for CCA. Calculated after the latest 2012 3A election, in which CCA parents were again encouraged to vote for the 3A Mill Levy initiative by the CCSD, this now totals nearly \$1680 that is being denied every year to every CCA student. In a broader perspective, this totals nearly \$800,000 per year for CCA's annual budget. Other Colorado school districts pay their mill levy money to their charter schools. The CCSD still refuses to do so. This does not "establish a thorough and uniform system throughout the state," which was mandated by the Colorado State Constitution in 1876.
 - Through a series of negotiations in late 2012 and into 2013, CCA has requested to receive an equitable and proportionate share of this mill levy money, raised by CCSD from taxpayers such as you.
 - STATUS: The CCSD Superintendent and the CCSD School Board continue to deny CCA children access to their proportionate share of this taxpayer money for an equitable and uniformly funded education.

Distributed by CCA to....

...parents

...student

...alumni

...friend

...and news media

Because of its wide-spread distribution, it is important for this conversation to move forward that we are all working off of an accurate Record.

THIS LAST ISSUE IS THE ONE WE NEED YOUR HELP WITH AS A CCA PARENT, STUDENT, ALUMNI, FRIEND!





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)



- 1) Bond Funding: In 2002 a state law was passed that required districts such as CCSD to include their charter schools in bond funding. CCA was not included in payouts from bond elections in 2003 and 2008, which put CCSD in violation of the law.
 - CCA went to CCSD and asked why they failed to notify us of the change in the law and why they failed to comply with it. After several months and much pressure from CCA, CCSD came into legal compliance resulting in \$2.87 million in funding for seriously needed CCA building improvements and maintenance.
 - The CCSD did comply with the law in 2012 and CCA will receive \$498,000 to improve school safety, improve the gym floor, re-roof Kindergarten and gym, and improve heating and air conditioning service.
 - **STATUS**: The refusal of CCSD to remain in legal compliance has cost CCA \$3.3 million since 2003.

THE ACTUAL FACTS...

C.R.S. 22-30.5-404(3)

- Requires school districts to INVITE <u>not</u> include charters schools in bond questions.
- Each school district is encouraged to voluntarily include funding for charter schools.
- If charter schools are not included they can submit a ballot question of their own.

Violation of Law?

- CCA did receive funding for projects in 2003, 2008 and 2012 bond questions.
- Even if CCA did not receive funding of projects from each of these years it would <u>not</u> be a violation of law, as statute provides for other options to charters if a district refuses, for some reason, to not allocated bond funds.





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- 1) Bond Funding: In 2002 a state law was passed that required districts such as CCSD to include their charter schools in bond funding. CCA was not included in payouts from bond elections in 2003 and 2008, which put CCSD in violation of the law.
 - CCA went to CCSD and asked why they failed to notify us of the change in the law and why they failed to comply with it. After several months and much pressure from CCA, CCSD came into legal compliance resulting in \$2.87 million in funding for seriously needed CCA building improvements and maintenance.
 - The CCSD did comply with the law in 2012 and CCA will receive \$498,000 to improve school safety, improve the gym floor, re-roof Kindergarten and gym, and improve heating and air conditioning service.
 - **STATUS**: The refusal of CCSD to remain in legal compliance has cost CCA \$3.3 million since 2003.

THE ACTUAL FACTS... Resolution 240-08

CCA was invited by CCSD

CCA requested \rightarrow \$14.22 million

CCA estimated building worth → \$4.635 million

CCA building encumbered in an amount of \rightarrow \$3.72 million

CCA received \rightarrow \$2.8 million from CCSD

CCA utilized \rightarrow \$500,000+ in reserves



Monte C. Moses, Ph.D.

Superintendent Educational Services Center 4700 South Yosemite Street Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111 720.554.4262

January 27, 2003

Dean L. Titterington President, Cherry Creek Academy Senate Cherry Creek Academy 6260 South Dayton Street Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

RE: Notice of Proposed Bond Election

Dear Mr. Titterington:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Cherry Creek Academy with notice that the Cherry Creek School District anticipates submitting to the electors within the District a bonded indebtedness ballot issues, and in accordance with the provisions of C.R.S. §22-30.5-404 to invite you to participate in discussions regarding the possible submission of such a ballot question.

We are obviously aware of your existing capital construction financing and in view of the limitations imposed by the Charter School Capital Facilities Finance Act, we would not anticipate the Cherry Creek Academy submitting a ballot question at this time.

We do wish for you to be aware that the proposed School District bond election anticipates the inclusion of \$2,500,000 for technology. In the event you are not seeking additional capital financing at this time, the School District is willing to consider providing the Cherry Creek Academy an opportunity to participate in a portion of the technology funds for its administrative and instructional computers based upon a consideration of demonstrated need.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, or wish to further discuss the same, please contact Mr. Guy Bellville, the District's Chief Financial Officer at 720-554-4344.

Very Truly yours,

Dr. Monte C. Moses Superintendent

cc: Dr. Nola Wellman, Assistant Superintendent Guy G. Bellville, Chief Financial Officer

Monte C. Moses

Superintendent Educational Services Center 4700 South Yosemite Street Greenwood Village, CO 80111 (720) 554-4262



April 22, 2008

Sherrie Akin
President, Cherry Creek Academy Senate
Cherry Creek Academy
6260 S. Dayton St.
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Dear Mrs. Akin:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Cherry Creek Academy with notice that the Cherry Creek School District will submit to the electors within the district a bonded indebtedness ballot issue. In accordance with the provisions of C.R.S. 22-30.5-404, I invite you to participate in discussions regarding the submission of such a ballot question. The school district is willing to consider providing the Cherry Creek Academy an opportunity to participate in a portion of the funds based upon evidence of a demonstrated need.

As a point of reference, the Cherry Creek School District provided approximately \$25,000 to CCA from the 2003 bond election. A similar share from the possible 2008 election would yield \$30,000. Mrs. Mary Terch, Assistant Superintendent, will contact you to determine CCA's interest in this prospect.

Sincerely,

Monte C. Moses



CORRECTING THE RECORD



٠.

STATUS: The refusal of CCSD to remain in legal compliance has cost CCA \$3.3 million since 2003.

Cherry Creek Academy; Proportionate Share – Bond Analysis Since 2002

	School Dist FTE Students	Academy FTE Students	CCA student number (as a % of CCSD)	2002-2003 Bonds	Series 2004 Bond \$179,750,000	Series 2005 A&B Bonds \$199,715,000	Series 2009 Bonds \$101,775,000	Series 2010 A&B Bonds \$101,775,000	Series 2012 & 2013 Bonds \$125,000,000	Total Bonds	CCA Proportionate Share	CCA Amount Received	Difference
2002-03	43316	425.00	0.98116170	?						\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -
2003-04	44055	421.00	0.95562365	?						\$ -	\$ -	Ġ	Ċ
2004-05	45056	427.50	0.94881925		\$ 179,750,000	\$ 199,715,000				\$ 379,465,000	\$ 3,600,437	\$ -	\$ (3,600,43
2005-06	46043	433.00	0.94042525							\$	\$ 3,000,437	÷ -	\$ 13,000,43
2006-07	47033	435.00	0.92488253						AND THE RESERVE	\$ -	ė -	\$ -	\$ -
2007-08	47752	430.00	0.90048584						Section College College	ć	÷ -	\$ -	\$ -
2008-09	48402	436.50	0.90182224	16 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2009-10	48979	448.50	0.91569856				\$ 101,775,000	\$ 101,775,000	- Page 1813 S. 1827 - 1947	\$ 203,550,000	\$ 1,863,904	\$ 2,866,600	\$ 1,002.00
2010-11	49396	450.50	0.91201717					ψ ±0±). τ 5)000		4	\$ 1,003,304	6	\$ 1,002,69
2011-12	49693	465.16	0.93606745			sa a dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia				\$ -	÷ -	\$ -	\$ -
2012-13	50029	470.16	0.93977493		Property 1				\$ 125,000,000	\$ 125,000,000	\$ 1,174,719	\$ 498,000	\$ (676,71

 CCA ANALYSIS
 ACTUAL

 2004/5
 \$379,465,000
 \$169,975,000

 2008
 \$203,550,000
 \$203,550,000

 2012
 \$125,000,000
 \$125,000,000

 \$708,015,000
 \$498,795,000





STATUS: The refusal of CCSD to remain in legal compliance has cost CCA \$3.3 million since 2003.

This is a completely inaccurate and misleading statement

BOND MONEY ALLOCATION

- Determined by the Long Range Facility Planning Committee
- Project based and outlined in the 5-year facility needs booklet
- Publicized prior to the election
- CCA was invited to participate in the 2012 Bond through the office of Educational Support Services and agreed to the \$498,000 project
- The CCA project was included with all other sites





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- 2) Kindergarten Funding: In 2011, CCA discovered a 2003 law that increased kindergarten funding by 8%, which CCSD did not give to CCA.
 - ❖ For nearly eight years, CCSD avoided compliance with this state law.
 - Continuing to avoid legal compliance, CCSD said the law was unclear and required CCA to go to the legislature to clarify the law before providing the funding. CCA went to the state and in two weeks the law was clarified.
 - **STATUS:** Going forward, CCA currently receives this much-needed additional 8%.

THE ACTUAL FACTS...

This statement is True and False...

- The law, C.R.S. 22-32-119.5, was enacted May 9, 2007 not in 2003
- CCSD distributed the funds per guidance in the law which did not include CCA

Legal Guidance

• In 2008, C.R.S. 22-54-131 provided implementation guidelines prescribing that districts should prioritize children who are homeless when enrolling students into such programs, as well as students who lack learning readiness due to significant family risk factors, etc.

CCSD utilized Free & Reduced lunch percentage to determine which schools would receive the funding.





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- **2) Kindergarten Funding:** In 2011, CCA discovered a 2003 law that increased kindergarten funding by 8%, which CCSD did not give to CCA.
 - ❖ For nearly eight years, CCSD avoided compliance with this state law.
 - Continuing to avoid legal compliance, CCSD said the law was unclear and required CCA to go to the legislature to clarify the law before providing the funding. CCA went to the state and in two weeks the law was clarified.
 - * STATUS: Going forward, CCA currently receives this much-needed additional 8%.

THE ACTUAL FACTS...

Joint Budget Committee Hearing, December 16, 2011

• School districts are not required to allocate the supplemental kindergarten funding or the hold-harmless full-day kindergarten funding to charter schools. Districts allocate this funding to charter schools based on local control decisions.

Change in the Law

• C.R.S. 22-30.5-112 (c)(III) and C.R.S. 22-30.5-112.1(3)(c) in the Charter Schools Act were revised in June 2012 to provide that if a charter school provided full-day kindergarten, a school district would allot the additional full-day kindergarten monies.

Status: CCA received the state funding for full-day kindergarten and charges \$3,250 for tuition





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)



- **3) Student Cap:** In 2006 a law was passed that allowed charter schools to determine how many children should be educated within their walls. It specifically prohibited districts such as CCSD to force charter schools to operate under the burden of a maximum capped number of students (called a "cap").
 - Over the course of several months and a series of meetings in 2011-2012, CCSD forced CCA to still comply with a slightly higher "cap" number under the guise that our building could support only a limited number of children.
 - STATUS: While this "Cap" is still prohibited, Cherry Creek Academy's board agreed to it in an effort to show good faith in working together with the CCSD.

THE ACTUAL FACTS...

C.R.S. 22-30.5-110(7) was enacted June 7, 2002

• "...A chartering authority may not restrict the number of pupils a charter school may enroll; except that a charter school and its chartering authority may negotiate and agree to limitations on the number of students the charter school may enroll as necessary" (e.g. a contract)

Our understanding from CCA...the 2nd five-year charter renewal documentation

• "...CCA has no plans to grow in the future. This is due to several reasons, but it is also a requirement of Arapahoe County that the school will have no more that 450 students on the site. Enrollment should remain stable into the future as there is a maturing of the school and there is a significant waiting list to address the typical turnover in the student population...the goal of CCA is to maintain class sizes of 25 or less..."





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- **3) Student Cap:** In 2006 a law was passed that allowed charter schools to determine how many children should be educated within their walls. It specifically prohibited districts such as CCSD to force charter schools to operate under the burden of a maximum capped number of students (called a "cap").
 - Over the course of several months and a series of meetings in 2011-2012, CCSD forced CCA to still comply with a slightly higher "cap" number under the guise that our building could support only a limited number of children.
 - STATUS: While this "Cap" is still prohibited, Cherry Creek Academy's board agreed to it in an effort to show good faith in working together with the CCSD.

THE ACTUAL FACTS...

- Amended Contract June 22, 2011
 - ✓ Language modification standardized testing requirements
 - ✓ Supported the request by CCA of an increase in enrollment by 10 students
- Amended Contract May 10, 2012
 - ✓ Provided an increase in enrollment from 460 to 588 students





THE FACTS.... of funding inconsistencies by Cherry Creek School District (CCSD) to Cherry Creek Academy (CCA)

- 4) Mill Levy: Each and every year, the CCSD collects Mill Levy taxes from Cherry Creek School District taxpayers (this means you!) totaling nearly 85 Million dollars! In the ballot language, this money is to be used for "maintaining class sizes... curriculum and instruction... providing an educational program of the highest quality... and utilizing technology and computer applications." Even though CCA is part of the CCSD, this money is divided up among all of the CCSD schools except for CCA.

 Calculated after the latest 2012 3A election, in which CCA parents were again encouraged to vote for the 3A Mill Levy initiative by the CCSD, this now totals nearly \$1680 that is being denied every year to every CCA student. In a broader perspective, this totals nearly \$800,000 per year for CCA's annual budget. Other Colorado school districts pay their mill levy money to their charter schools. The CCSD still refuses to do so. This does not "establish a thorough and uniform system throughout the state," which was mandated by the Colorado State Constitution in 1876.

 Through a series of negotiations in late 2012 and into 2013, CCA has requested to receive an equitable and proportionate share or this mill levy money, raised by CCSD from taxpayers such as you.

 - STATUS: The CCSD Superintendent and the CCSD School Board continue to deny CCA children access to their proportionate share of this taxpayer money for an equitable and uniformly funded education.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION...

- Contract Language agreed to by CCA does not include Mill Levy funding
- No school receives pro-rata distribution of funds
- From a review of the record, CCA, as an organization, had knowledge of this and had not requested a change until December 5, 2012
- Not clear if we are in "negotiations" contradictory communications



MILL LEVY FUNDING



September 15, 2004

- CCA supported CCSD's application for exclusive chartering authority with the CDE.
- CCA had received Resolution #180-04.
- CCA "is aware of the state legislation (HB 04-1362) regarding this matter and the senate has been apprised of it as well.
- "It was discussed at our September 14 board meeting and was met with support and consensus."



Cherry Creek Academy

A Public School Chartered by Cherry Creek School District

6260 S. Dayton Street Englewood, Colorado 80111 (303) 779-8988 FAX (303) 779-8817

September 15, 2004

Dr. Monte Moses
CCSD Superintendent
4700 S. Yosemite St.
Greenwood Village, CO 80111

RE: Resolution to receive grant of exclusive authority to authorize charter schools

Dear Dr. Moses:

This is to inform you that Cherry Creek Academy has received your letter and a copy of Resolution #180-04 informing us that the Cherry Creek School District No. 5 Board of Education has requested that it be allowed to retain authority to be the exclusive authorizer of charter schools within its district boundaries. We are aware of the state legislation (HB 04-1362) regarding this matter and the CCA Parent Senate has been apprised of it as well. It was discussed at our September 14 board meeting and was met with support and consensus.

We have worked very diligently in our efforts as a Core Knowledge charter school to meet not only our own goals and mission ("motivated children and responsible parents working together with dedicated teachers for excellent education") but those we share with the district as well. As CCA enters its 10th year as a 'school of choice' we are proud of our continuing status as a "John Irwin School of Excellence" as well as the individual accomplishments of our students and our financial stability.

The positive relationship, communication, and respect, that has developed between the CCSD administration and CCA enables us to support this resolution in the best interests of both Cherry Creek Academy and the Cherry Creek School District. It is our sincere desire that, regardless of what school-a student might choose to attend within the district, we continue to work together as proponents of his/her education.

Sincerely,

Donna Fitzgerald

Silvio DeBartolomeis President, Parent Senate



WHAT CCA SUPPORTED...HB 04-1363



HB 04-1362 C.R.S. 22-30.5-504 (5)(a)(II)

...that the local school board provides fair and equitable treatment of its charter schools through the demonstration of <u>any combination</u> of the following:

- Compliance with full and accurate accounting practices and charges for central administrative overhead costs; and
- Permits a charter school to purchase, at its discretion, certain services or a combination of services;
- II. Distribution of a pro rata share of mill levy overrides
- III. Assistance to charter schools to meet their facility needs
- IV. Pro rata share of federal and state grants received by the district, except for any grant received for a particular purpose that by its express terms is intended to benefit a student population not able to be served



WHAT CCA SUPPORTED...HB 04-1363



HB 04-1362 C.R.S. 22-30.5-504 (5)(a)(II)

...that the local school board provides fair and equitable treatment of its charter schools through the demonstration of <u>any combination</u> of the following:

The provision of adequate staff and other resources...provided by the school district at a cost to the charter schools that does not exceed their actual cost

VI. The lack of a moratorium on new charter school approvals

VII. The provision of an adequate number of educational choice programs pursuant... to the "No Child Left Behind Act of 2011" PL 107-110



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



HISTORICAL CAPITAL FUNDING SUPPORT



<u>2003</u>

• Technology Funding \$25,000

2008

- Capital Improvement of \$2,800,000
- Technology Funding of \$30,000
- Traffic Lane Funding of \$49,903

2012

- Capital Improvement of \$498,000
- Offered...
 - ✓ Technology Funding
 - ✓ Safety and Security Upgrade Funding
 - ✓ Integrated Monitoring and District Night Security
 - ✓ Staff Development



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



ORIGINAL MILL LEVY FUNDING REQUEST FROM CCA



Pro rata share of all Mill Levy overrides = \$1,680 per student/per year Total of approximately \$800,000 per year

School Year		Cherry Creek Academy FTE Students	CCA student number (as a % of CCSD)	1991 Mill Levy (1/92) \$10,263,000	1998 Mill Levy (1/99) \$10,500,000	2003 Mill Levy (1/04) \$14,000,000	2008 Mill Levy (1/09) \$18,000,000	2012 Mill Levy {1/13} \$25,000,000	TOTAL 1991 - 201 Mill Levy	Annual TOTAL Owed CCA		\$ each CCSD student currently receives	\$ each CCSD student should receive	Differenc (extra \$ each CCSI student receives)
1995-96	34438	328.50	0.95388815	\$ 10,263,000					\$ 10,263,0			\$ 300.88	\$ 298.01	-
1996-97	35766	362.50	1.01353241	\$ 10,263,000	No. of Contract of				\$ 10,263,000	\$ 104 019			\$ 296.01	\$ 2.87
1997-98	37425	411.00	1.098196											2.00
1998-99	38826	424.00	1.092051	Annua	$1 \text{ T} \Omega$	$\Gamma\Lambda$ Γ	haved	CCA"	, -> 1/	Ticlas	dina	ctata	mant	3.05 4.41
1999-00	39808	411.00	1.032455	Amnuc	$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{U}$	IAL	weu	CCA	/ IV	1151Ca	umg	State		5.44
2000-01	40869	422.00	1.032567								•			
		110000000000000000000000000000000000000	21002007											5 30
2001-02	42145	417.50	0.990627											5.30
2002-03	42145 43316	425.00	0.990627	[mnlie	c a co	ntracti	ual or	16021	hacie	Aviet	c It	does	not	4.93
			0.990627	[mplie	s a co	ntract	ual or	legal	basis	exist	sIt	does	not	4.93
2002-03	43316	425.00	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819	Implie	s a co	ntract	ual or	legal			s…It			4.93 4.75 6.08
2002-03 2003-04	43316 44055	425.00 421.00	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623	[mplie: \$ 10,263,000	\$ a co:	,000,000	ual or	legal	پان ده ۱,7 و چ	3 329,838	sIt	\$ //8.94	\$ //1.55	4.93 4.75 6.08 7.39
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05	43316 44055 45056	425.00 421.00 427.50	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819	<u> </u>	y 20,500,000	\$ 14,000,000	ual or	legal	\$ 34,763,000	\$ 329,838	sIt	\$ 778.94 \$ 762.18	\$ 771.55 \$ 755.01	4.93 4.75 6.08 \$ 7.39 \$ 7.17
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06	43316 44055 45056 46043	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00	0.990627(0.981161 0.955623(0.948819) 0.94042525	\$ 10,263,000	\$ 10,500,000	\$ 14,000,000	ual or	legal	\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000	\$ 329,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517	sIt	\$ 7/8.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02	\$ 7/1.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12	4.93 4.75 6.08 \$ 7.39 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07	43316 44055 45056 46043 47033	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00 435.00	0.990627 0.981161 0.9556236 0.948819 0.94042525 0.92488253	\$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000	\$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000	\$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000		legal	\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000	\$ 329,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517 \$ 313,036		\$ 7/8.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02 \$ 734.61	\$ 7/1.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12 \$ 727.99	4.93 4.75 6.08 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90 \$ 6.62
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07 2007-08	43316 44055 45056 46043 47033 47752	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00 435.00 430.00	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819 0.94042525 0.92488253 0.90048584	\$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000	\$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000	\$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000	s 9,000,000 \$ 18,000,000	legal	\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 43,763,000	\$ 325,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517 \$ 313,036 \$ 394,664	Total Lost	\$ 778.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02 \$ 734.61 \$ 912.38	\$ 7/1.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12 \$ 727.99 \$ 904.16	4.93 4.75 6.08 \$ 7.39 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90 \$ 6.62 \$ 8.23
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07 2007-08 2008-09	43316 44055 45056 46043 47033 47752 48402	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00 435.00 430.00 436.50	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819 0.94042525 0.92488253 0.90048584 0.90182224	\$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000	\$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000	\$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000 \$ 14,000,000	\$ 9,000,000	legal	\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 43,763,000 \$ 52,763,000	\$ 329,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517 \$ 313,036 \$ 394,664 \$ 483,150	Total Lost Years 1 thru	\$ 778.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02 \$ 734.61 \$ 912.38 \$ 1,087.21	\$ 771.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12 \$ 727.99 \$ 904.16 \$ 1,077.26	4.93 4.79 6.08 \$ 7.39 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90 \$ 6.62 \$ 8.23 \$ 9.96
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10	43316 44055 45056 46043 47033 47752 48402 48979	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00 435.00 430.00 436.50 448.50	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819 0.94042525 0.92488253 0.90048584 0.90182224 0.91569856	\$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000	\$10,500,000 \$10,500,000 \$10,500,000 \$10,500,000 \$10,500,000 \$10,500,000	\$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000	\$ 9,000,000 \$ 18,000,000		\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 43,763,000 \$ 52,763,000 \$ 52,763,000	\$ 329,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517 \$ 313,036 \$ 394,664 \$ 483,150 \$ 481,208	Total Lost Years 1 thru 2011-12	\$ 7/8.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02 \$ 734.61 \$ 912.38 \$ 1,087.21 \$ 1,077.99	\$ 771.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12 \$ 727.99 \$ 904.16 \$ 1,077.26 \$ 1,068.16	\$ 7.39 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90 \$ 6.62 \$ 8.23 \$ 9.96 \$ 9.83
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11	43316 44055 45056 46043 47033 47752 48402 48979 49396	425.00 421.00 427.50 433.00 435.00 430.00 436.50 448.50 450.50	0.990627 0.981161 0.955623 0.948819 0.94042525 0.92488253 0.90048584 0.90182224 0.91569856 0.91201717	\$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000 \$ 10,263,000	\$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000 \$ 10,500,000	\$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000 \$14,000,000	\$ 9,000,000 \$ 18,000,000 \$ 18,000,000		\$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 34,763,000 \$ 43,763,000 \$ 52,763,000 \$ 52,763,000 \$ 52,763,000	\$ 329,838 \$ 326,920 \$ 321,517 \$ 313,036 \$ 394,664 \$ 483,150 \$ 481,208	Total Lost Years 1 thru 2011-12	\$ 778.94 \$ 762.18 \$ 746.02 \$ 734.61 \$ 912.38 \$ 1,087.21	\$ 7/1.55 \$ 755.01 \$ 739.12 \$ 727.99 \$ 904.16 \$ 1,077.26 \$ 1,068.16 \$ 1,061.78	\$ 7.39 \$ 7.17 \$ 6.90 \$ 6.62 \$ 8.23 \$ 9.96 \$ 9.83



ORIGINAL MILL LEVY FUNDING REQUEST FROM CCA



Per documentation created by CCA, & not knowing how wide-spread it was distributed, it is important to reiterate that funds are not distributed in this manner.

However, if accurate, fulfilling the request would...

annually take...

(from the feeder schools where CCA is located)

\$50,000 from Cherry Creek High School

\$20,911 from Campus Middle School

\$7,099 from High Plains Elementary School

Cherry Creek Academy Mill Levy Losses to Other CCSD Schools

School Name	School Enrollment		Extra student	Total Extra \$/School		
CHERRY CREEK HIGH SCHOOL	3453	<	14.74	Ś	50.885	
GRANDVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	2610	S	14.74	\$	38,462	
CHEROKEE TRAIL HIGH SCHOOL	2451	\$	14.74	\$	36,119	
EAGLECREST HIGH SCHOOL	2323	S	14.74	\$	34,233	
OVERLAND HIGH SCHOOL	2248	\$	14.74	\$	33,128	
SMOKY HILL HIGH SCHOOL	2192	\$	14.74	\$	32,302	
PRAIRIE MIDDLE SCHOOL	1715	\$	14.74	\$	25,273	
CAMPUS MIDDLE SCHOOL	1419	\$	14.74	\$	20,911	
THUNDER RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL	1234	\$	14.74	\$	18,18	
LAREDO MIDDLE SCHOOL	1176	\$	14.74	\$		
WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL	1112	\$	14.74	\$	17,330	
		\$	14.74	\$	16,387	
LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHOOL	1075			-	15,842	
FALCON CREEK MIDDLE SCHOOL	1032	\$	14.74	\$	15,20	
HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL	969	\$	14.74	\$	14,280	
FOX RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL	914	\$	14.74	\$	13,469	
SKY VISTA MIDDLE SCHOOL	840	\$	14.74	\$	12,379	
EASTRIDGE COMMUNITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	875	\$	14.74	\$	11,99	
DAKOTA VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	869	\$	14.74	\$	11,91	
FOX HOLLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	867	\$	14.74	\$	11,88	
COYOTE HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	856	\$	14.74	\$	11,73	
RED HAWK RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	812	\$	14.74	\$	11,12	
VILLAGE EAST COMMUNITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	810	\$	14.74	\$	11,10	
CREEKSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	739	\$	14.74	\$	10,128	
ANTELOPE RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	725	\$	14.74	\$	9,93	
SUNRISE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	717	\$	14.74	\$	9,820	
PONDEROSA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	714	\$	14.74	\$	9,78	
MISSION VIEJO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	686	\$	14.74	\$	9,402	
PINE RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	676	\$	14.74	\$	9,26	
ROLLING HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	667	\$	14.74	\$	9,14:	
TIMBERLINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	666	\$	14.74	\$	9,12	
TRAILS WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	662	\$	14.74	\$	9,073	
CANYON CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	661	\$	14.74	\$	9,059	
COTTONWOOD CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	640	\$	14.74	\$	8,77	
ARROWHEAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	625	\$	14.74	\$	8,56	
HIGHLINE COMMUNITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	619	\$	14.74	\$	8,48	
BUFFALO TRAIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	617	\$	14.74	\$	8,45	
CHERRY HILLS VILLAGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	614	\$	14.74	\$	8,41	
PEAKVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	614	\$	14.74	\$	8,41	
HOLLY HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	597	\$	14.74	\$	8,18	
INDIAN RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	587	\$	14.74	\$	8,04	
BELLEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	581	\$	14.74	\$	7,96	
SAGEBRUSH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	570	\$	14.74	\$	7,81	
ASPEN CROSSING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	546	\$	14.74	\$	7,48	
CIMARRON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	540	\$	14.74	\$	7,40	
WILLOW CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	540	\$	14.74	\$	7,40	
HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	537	\$	14.74	\$	7,36	
CHALLENGE SCHOOL	534	\$	14.74	\$	7,31	
HIGH PLAINS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	518	\$	14.74	\$	7,09	
SUMMIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	518	\$	14.74	\$	7,09	
		\$		\$		
POLTON COMMUNITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	502	>	14.74		6,88	
CHERRY CREEK ACADEMY	487		0	-		
INDEPENDENCE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	472		14.74		6,46	
MEADOW POINT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	466	\$	14.74	\$	6,38	
DRY CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	423	\$	14.74	\$	5,79	
GREENWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	421	\$	14.74	\$	5,77	
WALNUT HILLS COMMUNITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	415	\$	14.74	\$	5,68	
HERITAGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	364	\$	14.74	5	4,98	



PRESENTATION TOPICS



As a result of the March 7, 2013 meeting between the Cherry Creek School District Board of Education and Cherry Creek Academy, the CCSD Board of Education requested a public presentation.

PRESENTATION TOPICS

- History of Contractual Relations
- Contractual Funding Relationship
- Charter Flexibility / Waivers & Impact
- Correcting the Public Record
- Historical Capital Funding Support
- CCA Mill Levy Funding Request
- Next Steps



NEXT STEPS



End where we started...

A commitment from CCSD...to better understand and communicate with CCA, and to continue funding conversations reaching a mutually agreeable resolution in the best interest of all 54,000 students in the Cherry Creek School District.