

EASTVALE

13.1 sq.miles

Incorporated in 2010

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

Population		2010 Racial & Ethnic Population (*1)					2010 Population by Age (*1)			
1970	-				Number	Percent		Number	Percent	
1980	-	White			12,713	23.7%	< 5	5,230	9.7%	
1990	-	African	American		4,914	9.2%	5-9	5,033	9.4%	
1995	-	Asian			12,770	23.8%	10-14	4,802	8.9%	
1996	-		dian/Alas	ka Native	103	0.2%	15-19	4,226	7.9%	
1997	-				156	0.3%	20-24	3,011	5.6%	
1998	-	Hawaiian and Pac Islander Some Other Races			110	0.3%	25-34	8,511	15.9%	
1999	-						35-44	10,148	19.0%	
2000	-		More Race	es	1,459	2.7%	45-54	6,426	12.0%	
2001	-	Hispanio)*		21,445	40.0%	55-59	2,208	4.1%	
2002	-	Total			53,670	100.0%	60-64	1,569	2.9%	
2003	-	* Hispanic	can be of any	race			65-74	1,509	2.970 3.1%	
2004	-		Vita	l Statistic	S (*4)					
2005	-		Total	Birth	Total	Death	75-84	637	1.2%	
2006	-	Year	Births	Rate*	Death	Rate*	85+	194	0.4%	
2007	-	2007	-	-	-	-	Total	53,670	100.0%	
2008	-	2008	-	-	-	-			20.0	
2009	-	2009	899	-	130	-	Median A	-	30.9	
2010	53,670 (*1)	* Rates per 1,000 population					% of Co	unty:	2.5%	
2011	54,303 (*2)	2011 Voter Registration (*5)					2010 Donulation by Sov (*1)			
Pro	Projections Number Percent				2010 1 0	2010 Population by Sex (*1)				
2020	61,461 (*3)	Democra			6,680	38.8%		Number	Percent	
2025	63,701 (*3)	Republic	an		6,049 683	35.2% 4.0%	Male	26,578	49.5%	
2030	66,176 (*3)	Other No Party	Preferenc	e	3,787	4.0%	Female	27,092	50.5%	
2035	68,250 (*3)	Total Reg		-	17,199	100.0%	Total	53,670	100.0%	

Sources: (*1) Decennial Census, US Census Bureau

(*2) January Estimate, CA State Dept. of Finance

(*3) Riverside County Projections (RCP10)

(*4) Riverside County Department of Public Health

(*5) California Secretary of State, February 2011

Note: Totals might not add up due to rounding.

Comparing data between years may be problematic because of incorporations & annexations.

Projections are based on January 1, 2008 boundary; therefore current or future dwelling units in the annexed area may not be reflected in these projections.

EASTVALE

HOUSING & HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Housing Units					Housing Units	by Type			
1070					2000	Percent	2010 (*6)	Percent	
1970	-		Single Deta	iched	-	-	12,924	89.2%	
1980	-		Single Atta	ched	-	-	273	1.9%	
			Multi-Fami	ly: 2 to 4	-	-	77	0.5%	
1990	-		Multi-Fami	ly: 5 Plus	-	-	658	4.5%	
2000			Mobile Hor	mes	-	-	563	3.9%	
2000 -			Total Units		-	-	14,495	100.0%	
2001	-								
			Occupancy		-	-	13,641	94.1%	
2002	-		Vacancy		-	-	854	5.9%	
2003									
2003	-		Median Ho	Median Home Price (*4) Housing Unit Building Pern					
2004	-		2001	\$256,500		Single-	All Multi-		
2005					Year	Family Structure	Family Structure	Total Units	
2005	-		2002	\$290,500	1991	Structure	Suucture	Units	
2006	-		2003	\$344,500	1991	_	_	_	
			2004	\$478,000	1992	-	-	-	
2007	-		2005	· · ·	1994	-	-	-	
2008				\$551,500	1995	-	-	-	
2008	-		2006	\$614,000	1996	-	-	-	
2009	-		2007	\$566,500	1997	-	-	-	
			2008	\$412,000	1998	-	-	-	
2010	14,495	(*1)			1999	-	-	-	
2011	14,555	555 (*2)	2009	\$367,000	2000	-	-	-	
2011	17,333	(*2)	2010	\$376,000	2001 2002	-	-	-	
P	Projections		2011*	\$360,000	2002 2003	-	-	-	
	U			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2003	-	-	-	
2020	16,728	(*3)	*Jan-Sept 2011		2004	-	-	_	
2025	17,323	(*3)	Porcons Do	r Accunied	2005	-	-	-	
	2020 17,525 (3) 2030 18,007 (*3)		Persons Per Occupied Housing Unit (*1)		2007	-	-	-	
2030			2000	Oni (*1)	2008	-	-	-	
2025	10.045	(*2)		-	2009	-	-	-	
2035	18,845	(*3)	2010	3.93	2010	-	-	-	

Sources: (*1) Decennial Census, US Census Bureau

(*2) January Estimate, CA State Dept. of Finance. DOF will further benchmark and adjust the data with 2010 Census.

(*3) Riverside County Projections (RCP10)

(*4) DataQuick Reports

(*5) US Department of Housing & Urban Development, State of the Cities Data Systems

(*6) 2005-2009 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates and 2010 Decennial Census

Note: Totals might not add up due to rounding.

Comparing data between years may be problematic because of incorporations & annexations. Projections are based on January 1, 2008 boundary; therefore current or future dwelling units in the annexed area may not be reflected in these projections.

EASTVALE

ECONOMIC AND LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS

Labor Force Participation (*1)							Employment/Jobs		
Year	r Labor Employed Unemploye			Unemploy Rate	Ducing tions (to)				
2006	-	-	-		-	2020		5,403	
2007	-	-	-		-	2020		0,100	
2008 2009	-	-	-		-	2035	1	0,124	
2009	-	-		-	* Jobs within city boundary				
2011*	-	-	-		-				
* Preliminar	ry October 2011	data				Mea	lian House	hold	
2009 Employment/Jobs by Industry Sector* (*2)							Income		
Agricult	ure, Forestr	y, Fishing and	Hunting		20	2000			
Mining,	Quarrying,	and Oil and G	as Extraction		4	2000		- (*4)	
Utilities					15	2010	\$ 10	4,758 (*5)	
Construc	ction			2	38	L			
Manufac	Manufacturing					Taxable Sales in 1,000s			
Wholesa	le Trade		5	34	of Dollars (*6)				
Retail Tr	ade			1,2	.86			% of	
Transpor	rtation and '	Warehousing		8	316	Year	Total	County	
Informat	Information				39				
Finance	Finance and Insurance				40	2003	-	-	
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing					35				
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services					99	2004	-	-	
Management of Companies and Enterprises					24				
Administration & Support, Waste Mngt and Remediation				ediation 1	70	2005	-	-	
Educatio	Educational Services				.49				
Health C	Health Care and Social Assistance			1	61	2006	-	-	
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation					33	2007			
Accommodation and Food Services			2	.95	2007	-	-		
Other Services (excluding Public Administration)				1	64	2009			
Public Administration					20	2008	-	-	
Total All Jobs					530	2009	_	_	
*Jobs within	n city boundary					2009	-	-	

Sources: (*1) CA Employment Development Department (City residents working anywhere. Data are not seasonally adjusted)

(*2) U.S. Census Bureau Local Employment Dynamics

(*3) Riverside County Projections (RCP10)

(*4) Decennial Census, US Census Bureau (in 1999 inflation-adjusted dollars).

(*5) 2008-2010 American Community Survey 3-Year Estimates (in 2010 inflation-adjusted dollars).

(*6) State Board of Equalization

Note: Totals might not add up due to rounding.

Comparing data between years may be problematic because of incorporations & annexations. Projections are based on January 1, 2008 boundary; therefore, current or future employment in the annexed area may not be reflected in these projections.