



Project Humanbeingsfirst <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the WTC Destruction

5 messages

Zahir Ebrahim

Mon, Apr 21, 2008 at 11:01 PM

To: Frank Legge <flegge@inet.net.au>, Ken Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>, Steve Jones <hardevidence@gmail.com>, Anthony Szamboti <tonyszamboti@comcast.net>
Cc: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Dear Authors,

I read through your paper carefully. I had already been familiar with your work on this topic, having read and cited [13] many times (for instance see: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/03/whytalksfail-letters-and-replies.html>), so I did not learn anything new here, except for one thing. I had not read the FEMA and NIST reports. Thus I was only familiar with them superficially from public reporting. The way you have constructed this paper is simply outstanding, for it has brought within its ambit, almost the entire inconsistency-space of these gigantic reports in a mere 6 very readable pages while forcefully making your own points. The overarching way your report is written, it ought to be mostly understandable by America's mainstream as well as its news media. Not to mention the rest of the world. I call this 'pulling a Feynman' on a complex topic. Good work guys! And Thank you. (If one were asked to name the most crucial point among the 14, mine would surely be number 5 - conservation of momentum - for that is the least controversial and the most 'defining').

As for the matter of how this work can be harvested in a timely manner before the nuclear Rubicon is crossed and millions more lose their tabula rasa - starting right here in the USA with another possible "catalyzing event" (PNAC) that will make "Sept. 11 pale in comparison" (George Bush) - I strongly encourage you to most urgently convene a strategy-planning think-meet retreat of some sort where next steps can be analyzed rationally among like-minded politically astute thinkers. The disparate group of 'lonely scientists' cannot impact policies, nor mobilize much resources on their own to make any political impact. But impact in preventing another "new pearl harbor" in order to deter and arrest the "supreme international crime" (Justice Robert Jackson at Nuremberg) of "imperial mobilization" (Brzezinski) must remain the goal of every conscionable peoples.

Conversely, you can easily imagine powerful interference if this paper gains any mainstream traction (such as being picked up by NYT or CNN), for the implication of controlled demolition are more profound than almost anyone is prepared for in America. That in itself will be a shocking "new pearl harbor" event for the mainstream America to get them mobilized against status quo. Indeed, I fear that if anything, controlled demolition gaining any legitimacy will only hasten the tipping point.

Thus, firstly, it is in the interest of status quo to drag it out until fait accompli of a nuclear terrorist event is handed to America, and Iran, Syria, Pakistan, and the Levant have been put on the irreversible path of being reconstituted as per the Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East. Once the NATO and American troops are in, you can imagine a 'Kosovo' transpiring in each of those nations sooner or later (but only after an 'Iraq' has transpired first). Then talk all you want about controlled demolition. Secondly, it may be a moot point if instead of a synthetic terrorist event in America, an orchestrated 'Gulf-of-Tonkin' event becomes the catalyst for war. Thirdly, it may also be a moot point, following a terrorist event in America, if Martial Law is declared and troops are patrolling the mainstreet USA. Thus the window of opportunity is very very narrow, and NOW, if there at all. But one must continually assume it is there, and continually act on that premise of a very narrow

window which is rapidly shrinking with each passing day!

Therefore, recognizing all of this preemptively, if you want your work to have any relevance to preventing new crimes against humanity, as opposed to just a glorified science-fair project of hypothesis, experiments, and conclusions (which I am all too familiar with having put my own kids through many science fairs and teaching them that 'mantra of science'), you must start thinking and executing politically immediately. And in order to do so efficaciously, you need to almost constitute a 'Rand'! The "butterfly effect" will surely take care of the rest (in the preferred direction).

Kind regards,

Zahir Ebrahim
An ordinary plebeian.

Kevin Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>

**Tue, Apr 22, 2008
at 4:36 AM**

To: Zahir Ebrahim, Frank Legge <flegge@iinet.net.au>, Steve Jones <hardevidence@gmail.com>, Anthony Szamboti <tonyszamboti@comcast.net>
Cc: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Dear Zahir Ebrahim,

Thank you for your thoughtful messages. Although I agree with the urgent need to stop the next 'terrorist' event, I wonder how you feel about the relevance of our work if that cannot be accomplished. Is it not right to strive for truth and the saving of lives even though we are unsuccessful at stopping the next event? In other words, the reforming alcoholic does want to stop the next drunken binge but that is not the goal. S/he wants to stop drinking for good. And what the truth of 9/11 can do for us is much more than simply stopping the next event. Our goal should be a thousand years of peace. Now that would be something worthy of our every effort. How can we get there?

Kevin

> Date: Tue, 22 Apr 2008 03:01:47 -0400
> From: Zahir Ebrahim
> To: flegge@iinet.net.au; kncryan@msn.com; hardevidence@gmail.com; tonyszamboti@comcast.net
> Subject: Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the WTC Destruction
> CC: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

**Tue, Apr 22,
2008 at 2:29
PM**

To: Kevin Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>

Dear Kevin,

Not being a Cassandra, nor a Polyana, and neither some big-shot scholar, but just as a lowly human being, every time a bomb has fallen, I have died, just a little bit. In response to your rhetorical questions, I am unable to add further clarity to what I have already stated. But I'll give it a try.

You are a very courageous man - a genuine gadfly - as are the rest of your team members. It takes orders of magnitude more courage to do what you handful are doing, of being "irritants" to power and its "incantations", than it takes to be an anonymous marcher in a protest demonstration. Even so, is that effort (and perhaps suffering) equivalent to what it takes to stand up to the hectoring hegemony looking through the muzzle of a gun-turret, or to stand under the open-bay of an F-16 as its victim? What about in the path of a nuclear detonation? Is the "banality of evil" trumped at any point, and is it ever trumpable, in the continuum of life whose indomitable biological-psycho-social instincts are predatory and forever seeking primacy? If so, then the 'never again' of only 60 years ago, the rule of law laid down at Nuremberg by the victors themselves, should have been sufficient to deter the latter day quests for new 'Lebensraums'! Idealism is great - until one loses one's mother, daughter, father, mother, kids, wife, and the family dog under the vaporizing cloud. Keep shooting for that 1000 year peace. You like stand alone in the grotesque reality of life that welcomes 2/3 of humanity outside the shores of these United States. What you can do for the living today, right now, in my humble judgement, is the highest order bit.

Good luck with your pursuits - I don't think I wish to spend more time in this space.

Kind Regards,
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

P.S. if you are interested, my updated oped cites your work: ['Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization" ... and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan](#)

[Quoted text hidden]

Kevin Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>

Wed, Apr 23, 2008 at 4:15 AM

To: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Dear Zahir Ebrahim,

Thanks for the link - I'll read your blog in the next few days. To clarify, I will do whatever I can to prevent the next attack. Just yesterday I pleaded with an Iranian engineer, one who has the power to make a difference, to consider his own people. No reply as yet.

Below is one of my blogs, that may help distinguish the difference between idealism and realism in my eyes.

Take care and keep heart. Kevin

www.americanbuddhist.net/blog/kevin-ryan

Date: Tue, 22 Apr 2008 14:29:01 -0800

From: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

To: kncryan@msn.com

Subject: Re: Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the WTC

Destruction

[Quoted text hidden]

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

**Thu, Apr 24,
2008 at 12:10
PM**

To: Kevin Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>

Dear Kevin,

Thanks for the link to your blog. I visited it today and browsed your top two essays, psychotherapy, and the impact of 911. It's a good theory.

And thank you for the warm wishes. Somehow, some wise peoples need to figure out how to merge the disparate efforts that appear to be working towards the same general purpose to be more efficaciously aligned despite variations in approaches.

Kind regards
Zahir.

P.S. A response by Plato to your approach may look something like this (if you don't mind scrolling down half-way to where Plato speaks, 2500 years ago): <http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/introduction.html>

[Quoted text hidden]
