The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

May 11, 2008.

This is a short collection of already published reports and essays of Project Humanbeingsfirst which expands upon the simplification of modernity as expressed on the **project's website**:

What is all this verbiage by Project HumanbeingsfirstTM really all about? Is there a reductionist and simplified explanation in terms of first principles for all this complexity of modernity that gives birth to such urgent matters of human concern in the first place?

Yes. In the simplest terms: "Might defines right" leads to "Hegemony is as old as mankind", which in turn leads to "If we don't have real enemies then we have to create or imagine some and yell <u>we are being attacked</u>" in order to a) justify one's hegemonic barbarianism upon others; and b) motivate an unwilling plebeian peoples into sacrificing for the conquests of the ruling elite. Euphemistically: "imperial mobilization". Grotesquely: "quest for Lebensraum". That's all there is to it.

This omnibus, comprising a total of 23304 words, merely elaborates on the above single sentence, in any of its variations. Others have written volumes, from modern day doctrinal state-craft such as Zbigniew Brzezinski in 'the Grand Chessboard', to tens of hundreds of historians and scholars since time immemorial who have documented what is already the faits accomplis of imperial histories. From Alexander, through the Roman and British Empires, to the French, Russian, and now the American – all of it mostly a staid exposition of *"Might defines right"* in varying glorified acts of the *"white mans burden"*. What is different in this specifically culled, minimalist, six reports set of Project Humanbeingsfirst is that these take up the forensic analysis of "**contemporaneously unfolding history**" to preempt new faits acommplis of the future. The letter to America prefacing the six reports situates the complete context for modernity. If you benefit from these humble writings, please do feel free to let us know how you benefitted; email: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com. Thank you for reading.

The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus May 11 2008

A letter to the American Peoples

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

May 11, 2008.

© Project Humanbeingsfirst[™]. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE200805011 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

The 'what can I do for my country' bit!

"Good morning [America]. At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison." (President of the United States, George W. Bush, Feb. 13, 2008)



What can possibly "pale" this anti-gravity exploding building "by comparison"?

Project HumanbeingsfirstTM would certainly like to assist the President of the United States in preventing any *"new attacks on our country"* and bringing any *"destruction to our shores"* whatsoever, whether or not it will *"make September the 11th pale by comparison."*!

This must indeed be the duty of all men and women of uncommon courage, to rise-up to the age old challenge of *"ask not what my country can do for me, but what I can do for my country."*

As tax-paying denizens of this land, and also the first victims of all *"terrorists"*, be they 'pirates', or 'emperors', it behooves upon even the lowly to rise up to that cliché by taking the dire warnings of the President of the United States of America very very seriously.

"Obviously, the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective", as Bernard Lewis puts it. The first step of course, is to identify the *"terrorists"* correctly. And in *"devising means to fight [these] terrorists, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them."*

The "Latest Reports" from Project Humanbeingsfirst is a tiny and humble contribution towards this vainglorious *"what I can do for my country"* bit in the context of the astute recipe expressed by Bernard Lewis.

Project Humanbeingsfirst hopes that it is not too presumptuous to expect that the President of the United States will use any new insights gleaned from its humble reports with his own powers of *"infinite justice"* and genuine statesmanship to steer his great nation away from the brink of crossing the nuclear Rubicon and in getting rid of all terrorists starting with the most significant ones among them – as per the touchstone laid out by St. Augustine, the founding theological political philosopher of the modern *"West"* itself, from whom the President can derive much moral ascendancy and wisdom for his own *"what I can do for my country"* bit (even at this late stage):

"When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.'"

Project Humanbeingsfirst also humbly presumes that after reading its forensic reports, the worthy President of the United States and the American and Western peoples whom he leads, like the rest of the six billion peoples on the planet Earth already do, will also trivially recognize that the *"pirate"* of St. Augustine's metaphor is indeed a very useful device for any modern day *"emperor"*!

When the "pirate" does not exist naturally, it is created or imagined. In all cases, under the rubric of "they are attacking us", it enables the "emperor" to "infest the whole world" in the guise of fighting both the real and imaginary threats from the "pirates"!

This threat, at times, indeed appears to be real, and may in fact be very real, just as the President of the United States warned: "At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison."

The blatant full spectrum public recognition however, primarily among the Western peoples, that this threat is also very necessary for the *"emperor"* for *"catalyzing"* its own *"imperial mobilization"* against the wishes of its own peoples – even at the expense of some small or big sacrifice as its unwitting or unprepared victim – can entirely eliminate the most significant raison d'être for the threat altogether.

The remaining largely irritant nuisance of any real *"pirates"* at sea who have likely existed in every epoch, stripped of its demonizing auras, most assuredly will not require an annual defence spending bill which today is in excess of a trillion dollars for achieving *"full spectrum dominance"* over them.

This will enable the substantial monies left over from needlessly bombing the "*pirates*" thinking that their threat is much larger than it actually is, and in that process of "shock and awe" also needlessly creating more new "*pirates*" who must now avenge their blown to smithereens family members, villages, cities, nations, to be fruitfully spent for the greater common welfare of the American peoples themselves.

Thank you.

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <u>http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

A letter to the American Peoples 3/3 from Project Humanbeingsfirst

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror

on the Grand Chessboard!

Zahir Ebrahim

April 26, 2008.

Revised May 2, 2008.

 ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ Project Humanbeingsfirst ${\ensuremath{^{\text{TM}}}}$. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080426 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

Douglas J. Feith, Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, in his Hearing before the Senate Armed Services Committee on February 14, 2002 in the aftermath of 911, explaining the rationale for the Department of Defence 2002 Nuclear Posture Review, summarized the overarching reasons for *"new thinking"* by the United States as the unchallenged sole superpower in the following words:

'A half a century ago, in the midst of the Cold War, Prime Minister Winston Churchill noted in the House of Commons the "sublime irony" that in the nuclear age, "safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation." The Cold War is long over and new approaches to defense are overdue. As President Bush has stated, "We are no longer divided into armed camps, locked in a careful balance of terror....Our times call for new thinking." '

In essence, and as has been empirically evident over the past seven years, what Douglas Feith was arguing before the United States Congress in the euphemistically disguised verbiage of *"new thinking"*, was that the *"careful balance of terror"* must now be replaced by unfettered and uninhibited unilateral terror!

As his intellectual mentor Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously argued during the Clinton era in 1997, the goals for American primacy and its geostrategic imperatives had to be to "perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer" such that "no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America".

For it was indeed the first time in the history of civilizations and its conquistadors that America had become "*the first, only, and last truly global superpower*". It was deemed a terrible waste of

hegemonic bank balance to not capitalize on it while there was still time to do so, before other nations of the East and Eurasia eventually caught up to America's lead in about a generation and forced another Détente.

The Project for the New American Century (PNAC), that had seeded the majority of Bush Administration officials beginning in 2000 – from Vice President to Secretary of Defence to a majority of Under Secretaries – too had argued in its report on *Rebuilding America's Defences* that seeking *"full spectrum dominance"* to maintain *"America's preeminence"* and *"American Peace"* in the world by forcing other nations to accept *"America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles"*, was a natural American imperative as per the Brzezinski truism *"Hegemony is as old as mankind."*

And if achieving *"full spectrum"* primacy meant inflicting *"shock and awe"* of unilateral terror on contrived mobilizing pretexts, so be it – as which nation could now stand in the path of the mighty **'hectoring hegemons'**?

But there was indeed an impediment to the realization of this *"new thinking"*, and to the enormous increase in the defence budget needed to support it, as explained by Zbigniew Brzezinski. It was the darn *"populist democracy"* of the sole superpower!

Fighting in far-away lands is quite "uncongenial to democratic instincts" noted Brzezinski, as the "economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort" makes "democracy ... inimical to imperial mobilization."

Therefore, a commensurate lifetime of "*War on Terrorism*" was architected against a well formulated never-ending enemy – the "*islamofascists*" – in a Machiavellianly seeded doctrine of the "*Clash of Civilizations*". Bernard Lewis had artfully constructed that bit of magic in Foreign Affairs magazine in 1990 as the "*irrational ... roots of Muslim rage ... [which] is no less than a clash of civilizations*". In the aftermath of 9/11/2001, it became the self-fulfilling policy of the overtly exuded foreign policy calculus of the United States, and was continually replenished by more priceless doctrinal state-craft from the mighty pen of Bernard Lewis, like '*Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror*! And of course, the doctrinal flames are still fanned periodically by the various circus clowns of empire, like the printing of offensive cartoons by a confrere of Daniel Pipes. It egregiously insulted the Prophet of Islam to further stimulate a reaction among the Muslims that could only be classified as "*[not even] a Clash of Civilizations [but a] Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians*".

In order to get the ball rolling ab initio, to both seed the *"military transformation"* necessary to capitalize on America being *"the first, only, and last truly global superpower"*, and to embark on the *"American peace"* mission that called for *"new thinking"* of forcing other nations to accept *"America's unique role"*, the *"populist democracy"* needed a shocker: a *"catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor"*.

Well, 911 was that "new Pearl Harbor"!

Whether 19 "islamofascist" jihadis on their flying carpets controlled by a mind-melding yoda master

sitting on his bare rump armed with laptops and cell phones in a cave in the Hindu-Kush did it, or whether it was an expertly orchestrated, precision planned and executed controlled demolition, is a moot point. For it was an 'operation canned goods' all the way in terms of providing the enabling pretext for *"imperial mobilization"* on the proverbial screen of Plato's *Mythical Cave*! Whoever did it was, and still is, a resourceful confrere and great benefactor of the conquistadors!

But that shocking event in itself could have easily been still-born, as it could have just as easily been dealt with as a great crime and not a cause célèbre for massive invasion of other nations. The crime scene could have been secured and a forensic analysis of the evidence performed to understand how did three tall towers miraculously collapse within the span of a few hours in a single day into exactly their own footprints at almost free-fall speed.

Zbigniew Brzezinski had astutely observed of this "populist democracy", that:

"as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification."

Yes indeed, along with 911, the secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, was, and still continues to be, *"a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification"*!

In other words, without fully conditioned '**Prisoners of the Cave**', no amount of pyrotechnics would have worked because a free public and its democratic institutions, the law makers in Congress and the influential newsmedia, could have easily demanded a forensic analysis of the crime scene rather than trivially acquiesce to a rush to passing oppressive domestic laws like the Patriot Acts without any discussion and hasty recourse to "Infinite Justice" with even less evidence.

The United States public had to be so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the *"sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being"*, that today, seven years later, its successes can be measured all the way to several Nobel Prizes in Profound Political Machinations (**PPM** – if such an accolade for hegemonic conquest was to be split off from the Nobel Peace Prize). The latest evidence for such a prize accumulating to the Bush Administration in spades is in the public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, which states: *"Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,"*. And most Americans, the vast majority, and certainly most of the 25 percent in the above survey, have likely never met an Iranian person, or anyone from any of the exotic regions from where the ubiquitous boogiemen are being fashioned!

This criminal priming of the *"populist democracy"* now naturally enables *"the first, only, and last truly global superpower"* to be fully *"autocratic abroad"* in its unlimited *"capacity for military intimidation"*. Note how Brzezinski concludes the primacy aspirations of the truly global superpower:

"Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower."

And it is precisely in that overarching "Geostrategic ... cause", that Iran and Pakistan are today imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block to seed the "Geostrategic success", while some American city is made expendable to create the next "catalyzing event" for continuing "imperial mobilization" that "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down the sole superpower and its Western allies to their knees forcing the leader to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and the Western peoples to also lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties to end up living in police-states, then the 'Bin Laden' mantra is surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, it makes a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story.

So participate in the story telling of 'Ali Baba' all you want dear Pakistanis – because the endgame of Pakistan's ruling elite in their **fictional of** *"war on terror"* appears to be the same as the hectoring hegemons! As the latter destroys the United States to craft a borderless New World Order laying many a million victims in its path, the new amorphous empire's gratitude await many a servile clients and native informants for services rendered! Or perhaps the hangman's noose!

Indeed, this "**new thinking**", it turns out, isn't terribly new after all. It was discovered, for those still in possession of memory, to already have been defined as the "**supreme international crime**" at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals by the United States' own Chief Prosecutor, Justice Robert H. Jackson. A crime so stupendous and monumental "**differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole**", that the Nazi war machine was held responsible for "**all the evil that follows**" in the aftermath of the first primal aggression! The Nazis had invaded Poland on the heels of their own *Operation Canned Goods* too, in the pretext of 'self-defense' against 'terrorists'! And in handing their leadership death sentences, the American Chief Prosecutor had asserted:

"If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us."

And that, as they say, is all there is to this *"new thinking"*. It isn't exactly clear why the Americans and much of the world appear so confused about something so palpably obvious.

Indeed, this unilateral terror ideology of *"new thinking"* was even aptly summed up by Vladimir Putin, President of Russia, in these laconic but penetrating words in an unusual moment of candor in 2007:

"what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within

this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within."

Yes sir, give us ordinary peoples – those who are continually made to suffer this "**new thinking**" – the "**sublime irony**" of being "**locked in a careful balance of terror**" any day!

And that, is also the only rational way out from crossing the nuclear Rubicon!

Unless Russia and China get their act together, unless Pakistan wakes up from its suicidal slumber, and they unite to safeguard the Asian portion of Eurasia in a mutual defense treaty similar to NATO, nuclear holocaust awaits the 'lesser' humanity. Perhaps this is how population reduction is ultimately planned amidst orchestrated food shortages as per some secret clauses that haven't yet been declassified in NSSM 200 and NSDM 314 (or in some other undisclosed National Security Strategy calculus derivatives).

If these resource and population rich Asian nations don't band together now like the EU is banding together into a unified federation of Europe with common laws and common security, and like the North American Union that is clandestinely transpiring under the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), after the nuclear genie is unleashed and the United States is under martial law, the barbarians will be knocking at each of the other doors one after another – for total perpetual war is the blatantly obvious global agenda to *"birth-pang"* the New World Order out of the ashes of humanity!

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org.

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror 3/6 on the Grand Chessboard!

'Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization"

(and the first nuclear attack since 1945, on Iran–Pakistan)

Zahir Ebrahim

April 17, 2008.

Updated April 22, 2008.

A condensed version for print-media (1924 words) archived at http://tinyurl.com/5s474x

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Project Humanbeingsfirst $\ensuremath{^{\text{TM}}}$. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080417 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

The abominable shared fates that unite Iran ("Bush and Iran, again", <u>WSJ April 15, 2008</u>), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan *"Terror Central"* in 2007, to this week, <u>April 13, 2008</u>, 'clairvoyantly' asserting that *"If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan"*. And as already noted in its <u>March 29, 2008</u> heads-up warning to America by <u>Project Humanbeingsfirst</u>TM ("Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!"), when such a *"planned"* attack transpires, it *"will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"* (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008). That heads-up warning also suggested creating a new peoples institutional barrier against the wanton pursuit of *"imperial mobilization"* that is otherwise almost trivially enabled by any *"new Pearl Harbor"* ("How to derail 'imperial mobilization"). Simultaneously, a rational preemptive self-defense strategy to further deter such Machiavellianly orchestrated *"defensive' US military action"* (Brzezinski, Feb. 1, 2007) was also spelled out for the Pakistani ruling elite by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its <u>December 21, 2007</u> wakeup call ("Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!").

Neither heads-up warnings are very un-obvious, and both set-ups have been long time in the making, from even before "Inside Iran's Secret War for Iraq", <u>Time August 15, 2005</u>, which followed on the heels of the famous "<u>all options are on the table</u>", to Bush speech, <u>Jan 10, 2007</u>, to "Iran's secret plan", Guardian, <u>May 22 2007</u>, to Petraeus' finally holding up the 'smoking gun' on <u>April 09, 2008</u>. And yet,

remarkably, no visible rational self-defense is transpiring. With the American President's now exact statements on Pakistan, which seem to be the culmination of systematically built-up statements on both Iran and Pakistan from American military commanders and intelligence chiefs over the past years, it should be obvious to even the biggest dunces in Pakistan that another nuclear terrorist event anywhere in the world, will directly be blamed upon Pakistan (and Iran). With NATO and American troops already amassed at its borders in significant numbers to take the plunge into Pakistan en flying-route to Tehran at a moments notice from the White House, there will be absolutely no time after crossing the tipping point in America which *"will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".*

And yet, it is necessary to emphasize again, no effective self-defense by any victim is transpiring, as beleaguered Iran is left standing alone by the world to become the next meal of *"primacy"* of the '<u>Hectoring Hegemons</u>'.

It is evident that the Pakistani leadership is entirely co-opted into <u>systematically suiciding that nation</u> by its prancing about at the *"unbirthday party"* with the *"Mad Hatter"* for the past eight years. Today it appears entirely natural that the United States should just walk right in to 'save' the Pakistanis from the 'radical islamists'. This was quite unimaginable just a few years ago, but every grotesque bomb blast, every few dozen peoples killed in it, every act of extreme violence, political violence, demonstrations, and uncertainty created by 'loose nukes' mantras, culminating in the grotesque assassination of <u>Benazir</u> <u>Bhutto</u>, now makes it the most natural next step for the *"truly global superpower"*. A deliberate orchestration of *"revolutionary times"* in Pakistan to make *"what is inconceivable in normal times possible in revolutionary times"*.

'Why' is rather obvious once again. But for those who do not bother to study the "primacy" craftsmanship of the 'hectoring hegemons', it is about the repartitioning of Pakistan to primarily create an independent and geostrategically significant 'Baluchistan' nation – much like Kosovo's orchestrated independence from Serbia – while finally de-nuking Pakistan! This is only the phase-1 of the repartition plan through violently induced "birth pangs of a new Middle East", whereby, "whatever we do we have to be certain that we're pushing forward to the new Middle East not going back to the old one." (Rice, July 21, 2006)

See for instance, the <u>Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East</u>, or the similar <u>Lieutenant-Colonel</u> <u>Ralph Peters' map for the New Middle East</u>, in order to understand what *"pushing forward"* looks like in the minds of the 'hectoring hegemons'. The key point to note is not the precise new boundary lines, or its merits, **but that there should be any new boundary lines forcibly drawn by anyone other than willingly by the peoples who live in these regions!**

And today, the superpower is increasingly making higher levels of intrusive demands as a prelude to complete take over, as in Iraq, from allowing its citizen-staff to enter Pakistan at anytime on merely the American driver's license as identification (dispensing with visa and passport), to the point of now having a military liaison into Pakistan's Nuclear Command Authority from the American Embassy in Islamabad (See "US goes for the jugular in Pakistan", The Times of India, April 15, 2008). The invasion of both Iran and Pakistan are to be lock-step, as presciently outlined in the December 21, 2007 Wakeup call to the Pakistani ruling elite, which followed on the heels of an earlier very detailed Open Letter to a Pakistani General by Project Humanbeingsfirst (see "Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses", November 30, 2007). But nothing. No newsmedia in Pakistan picked any of these warnings to print, nor did any of the famed

columnists who fill the pages of Pakistani newspapers dared to comment on it publicly in their own columns.

The United States public too has been so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the *"sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being"*, that the latest public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, shows *"Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,"*. And this *"enemy"* is going to spring Martial law in America, sooner rather than later. Needless to say, none of the American newsmedia, including both NYT and LAT, have bothered to print any of Project Humanbeingsfirst's analyses. It is crucially important to state this now because soon, after the tipping point is crossed, or perhaps after the nuclear Rubicon is crossed, both nations' newsmedia will come screaming *"eureka"*, ex post facto! Or perhaps it will be the historians!

In order to continually remind the deftly primed American and Western public that all this is indeed premeditated Orwellian orchestration of *"imperial mobilization"* and not just mere happenstance in reaction to chaotically unfolding global events due to <u>GWOT</u> against some super-resourceful 'Islamist pirates' <u>hiding in the Hindu Kush mountains</u> in Pakistan's border regions from whence, the absurdity of the immanent threat to the armed to the teeth superpower is today, April 17, 2008, noted as "<u>Elevated</u>", it is educational to revisit Zbigniew Brzezinski and thank him for explaining the imperial insights and superpower aspirations so unabashedly in 'the Grand Chessboard'. If only the people in America would read their own '<u>Mein Kampfs</u>' and try to appreciate the *"full spectrum"* institutional frameworks of the mighty state under which it is being deployed:

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization."

"Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties."

And thus all can see just how effectively "Mass communications have been playing a particularly

important role in that regard"; and based on the sociological insights into power and the *"populist"* impediments to its supreme flaunting, just how effectively mass communications have been utilized to co-opt the *"democratic instincts"* of the American public (see "Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'"). And as this LA Times oped "Target: Bin Laden" of <u>April 13, 2008</u>, shows, the mainstream American news organizations continue to provide ample space for perpetuating the mythology of Bin Laden as the quintessential boogieman enabler of *"imperial mobilization"* – from 911 to WMD, and now to Pakistan and Iran – while the FBI itself does not put that name on its own most wanted list in that connection, noting:

"The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on <u>Usama Bin Laden's Most Wanted page</u> is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11. Bin Laden has not been formally charged in connection to 9/11." (Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for the FBI, speaking to the Muckraker Report on <u>June 5, 2006</u>.)

The courageous Muckraker, as no more than just an ordinary member of the American civil society, then shows the minimum indignation that is expected from any decent conscionable human being irrespective of their indoctrination level and their belief in the *"United we Stand"* with the State at the 'unbirthday party':

"Through the talking heads in the mainstream media, the Bush Administration told the American people that Usama Bin Laden was Public Enemy Number One and responsible for the deaths of nearly 3000 people on September 11, 2001. Yet nearly five years later, the FBI says that it has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11."

"Remember, on June 5, 2006, FBI spokesman, Chief of Investigative Publicity Rex Tomb said, "The FBI has no hard evidence connecting Usama Bin Laden to 9/11." This should be headline news worldwide. The challenge to the reader is to find out why it is not. Why has the U.S. media blindly read the government-provided 9/11 scripts, rather than investigate without passion, prejudice, or bias, the events of September 11, 2001? Why has the U.S. media blacklisted any guest that might speak of a government sponsored 9/11 cover-up, rather than seeking out those people who have something to say about 9/11 that is contrary to the government's account?"

To add to the narrative, the New York Times, rather surprisingly, ran a front-page exposé on <u>Sunday</u>, <u>April 20, 2008</u>, headlined: "Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand". It has to be read in full in order to appreciate its import – after the fact of course, the invasion of Iraq now being fait accompli. And that is precisely the *"important role"* of not just the *"mass communications"*, but also *"the doctrinal motivations, intellectual commitment"* in pursuing the mantra of 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeeda', for on the heels of a *"catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new pearl harbor"* (<u>PNAC</u>, page 51), it provides the much needed *"patriotic gratification"* to enable *"imperial mobilization"* in a *"populist democracy"*, as is now evident.

One cannot ignore the fact that the New York Times itself played its own role dutifully at the time pushing the mantra of WMDs in Iraq, which followed upon the heels of the mantra of 'Bin Laden' doing 9/11, and continues to do so even today pushing the new mantra of 'loose nukes' that 'Bin Laden' and

'Al Qaeeda' will hijack, and the mantra of 'Iranian WMDs', in order to help synthesize public opinion for the new wars on Iran, Pakistan, and Syria. Ex post facto, even George Bush will write a book detailing his masterful role in constructing the New World Order.

Indeed, Project HumanbeingsfirstTM 'clairvoyantly' predicts that the <u>scientific study</u> finally published in a peer-reviewed <u>Civil Engineering online Journal</u> only this past week, on how the three WTC towers (WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7) collapsed so suddenly at almost free fall speed as if it were controlled demolition, titled *"Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the World Trade Center Destruction"*, ever gains mainstream traction before the tipping point, it will be attempted to be blamed upon 'Bin Laden'. It will be asserted by newsmedia, by the Pentagon, the White House, and by the scholars and technicians of 'empire', that the only obvious candidate who had the capability to pull off such an outrageous controlled demolition on America, is 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeeda'! When that transpires, I hope that these bastions of 'ubermensch' intellect will at least have the sense to get the FBI to first update their <u>most wanted poster of Usama Bin Laden</u>! Its snapshot, taken on April 22, 2008, is archived for the disingenuous "eureka" moment of posterity similar to the one displayed by the afore-stated <u>Sunday NYT</u>, and by the 2005 <u>Iraq Study group</u>, at: <u>http://tinyurl.com/5swdoq</u>.

It has today become necessary to state blatant obviousness explicitly: All those pushing the mantras of the Pentagon and the White House, regardless of its 'well argued' rationales at the time, are active and complicit participants in synthesizing *"doctrinal motivations"* which span the gamut of manufactured consent, to manufactured dissent.

This criminal priming of the *"populist democracy"* now naturally enables *"the first, only, and last truly global superpower"* to be fully *"autocratic abroad"* in its unlimited *"capacity for military intimidation"*. Please note how Brzezinski concludes the aspirations of the truly global superpower:

"Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower."

And it is precisely in that overarching "Geostrategic ... cause", that Iran and Pakistan are imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block, while some American city is made expendable to create the new "catalyzing event" for "imperial mobilization" that "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down a superpower to its knees, forcing it to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and its peoples to lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties, then they are surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story. I used to invent such tales while putting my kids to bed when they were younger, and in my stories, the 'Ali Baba' was a Herculean Mr. Good-deeds and Mother Teresa rolled into one – flying off to far away places on his magic carpet with his band of 40 (along with his wife and children who were, only co-incidentally, named after my own), and with his awesome powers of Superman, solving all the problems of the world. But I think the 'empire's' rendition of 'Ali Baba' is more effective for *"imperial mobilization"*.

It is pointless debating and dissecting the ever changing 'leaves of the tree' in the battlefields of

conquests (as in this battlefield analysis '<u>Iran should be "Set Up for an Attack</u>") when the matters are plainly manifest in its DNA, and in the illegality, by international law, of "goosestep[ping] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers" (see "<u>The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes</u>" and "<u>Nuremberg: The grotesquely dancing trumpeting elephant in the newlywed's bed!</u>"). It would do well for the few remaining sane and conscionable peoples in America, its handful of un co-opted media persons, politicians, thinkers, peace activists and others who care about their nation and seek to effectively overturn the hydra of "full spectrum dominance", to stay focussed on the DNA of the 'tree': the "supreme international crime" that is the alpha and omega of the matter.

It is the prima facie all encompassing harbinger of *"all the evil that follows"*, as defined at Nuremberg, and so must remain the necessary and sufficient first focus for stopping *"imperial mobilization"* by unequivocally charging it as *"the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole"*. Further analysis of its vagaries in various battlefields of conquest is superfluous when the highest order bit is to stop it before the nuclear Rubicon is crossed. If the conscionable peoples in the world and their national leaderships cannot even unite in the definition of what is crime, and what is the *"supreme"* crime, and cannot channel their collective energies to a single point of focus on deterring the *"supreme international crime"*, matters are already fait accompli.

Links updated April 29, 2008.

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org.

'Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization" 3/6 and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan

The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'

A peek into the creation and execution of mantras as pretexts for War of 'Imperial Mobilization' – and the basis for its legal containment.

Zahir Ebrahim

April 12, 2008.

PDF of a condensed version (1836 words) for Op-Ed print syndication is archived at: <u>http://tinyurl.com/67rqka</u>

© Project HumanbeingsfirstTM. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080412 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

It is beyond any reasonable comprehension how blatantly obvious the grotesquely unfolding reality in Pakistan is ("Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?"), and yet the Pakistani rulers and the majority of Pakistani ruling elite and its newsmedia still manifestly react as if they are all sitting at the 'unbirthday' tea-party with the 'Mad Hatter' boisterously chanting the imperial 'war on terror' song as they deliberately suicide the nation into oblivion. There isn't much time.

This ominous report "2 die in Pakistan nuclear plant accident" (AP April 08, 2008) will shortly be blamed by the West upon the ubiquitous 'al qaeeda' as having attempted, and perhaps even succeeded in, getting their hands on the Pakistani 'loose nukes'. Unlike the Benazir Bhutto assassination that the Government of Pakistan had immediately blamed upon the 'al qaeeda' ("Who Killed Benazir Bhutto? In her own words!") with copious help from the Pakistani newsmedia ("Letters to Editors"), this time around, both will surely deny it (irrespective of the facts of the matter which will remain top-secret and continually lied about, subjecting the peoples and the environment in its vicinity to possible radioactive harm; even widespread harm).

If (rather when) this diabolical 'Al-Qaeeda' spin ever materializes in the Western press, be forewarned that the crossing of the nuclear Rubicon which already appears imminent ("<u>Nuclear Attack on Iran</u> <u>appears imminent!</u>") despite what President George Bush might state publicly, is very close at hand!

For, of course, the immense premeditated build-up for invasion and subsequent "defensive' US military *action*" (Brzezinski), must all be made to look un-premeditated. This will of course get little assistance from the ever fertile <u>Straussian minds</u> of the <u>editorial writers who get no free hand in the American press</u> and the newsmedia (sic!), all of which follow the New York Times construction of "all the news that's fit to print".

And just as abhorrently, this would surely indicate that the new '911', which according to President Bush, *"will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"* (<u>NYT Feb. 14, 2008</u>) and that *"it would be the work of militants hiding inside Pakistan"* (<u>The News April 12, 2008</u>), is also imminent.

But multiple diabolical catalyst provocations such as the <u>loss of the 5th fleet in the Persian Gulf</u>, justifying full spectrum *"defensive' US military action against Iran"* and Pakistan as forewarned by <u>Project HumanbeingsfirstTM</u> in its wakeup call of December 21, 2007 ("<u>Wakeup to the grotesque reality</u> <u>of the Grand Chessboard</u>") aren't precluded in this calculus of the <u>Fourth Reich</u> for *"imperial mobilization"*.

And unfortunately, today, there is no <u>Justice Robert H. Jackson</u> to re-assert the definition of "<u>aggressor</u>" to the apathetically spectating world:

'An "aggressor," Jackson proposed to the [Nuremberg] tribunal, is a state that is the first to commit such actions as "invasion of its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, of the territory of another State. ...

If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us." '

Indeed, it is further pertinent to refresh one's imperial memory that the United States Chief Prosecutor at Nuremberg Tribunals had gone on to establish that the overt-acts of aggression, and not the tortuous justifications behind these acts, is what hung the Nazis:

"The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes."

And that these overt-acts of aggression, as argued by Justice Jackson, contained within them the accumulation of *"all the evil that follows"*. That, the first primal aggression was:

"the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole".

Thusly, all of the murderous turmoil unleashed in Pakistan since the macho 'commando' President General Musharraf <u>committed the client-state</u> to America's *"goosestep[ping] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers"*, and the concomitant apportioning of commensurate *"supreme"* blame for the horrendous toll upon its 'wretched' society, as elsewhere, including Afghanistan and Iraq, Lebanon, and soon in Iran, accordingly, accrues to the account of the sole superpower.

It manifestly constructed its own <u>synthetic</u> '<u>operation canned goods</u>' as its *"new pearl harbor"* for the premeditated *"supreme international crime"* of *"imperial mobilization"*.

And it will so <u>construct another more devastating "new pearl harbor</u>" once again as the grotesque recharging of the worn-out "catalyst" for continuing on with its premeditated nuclear plan to "Birth pang" the "<u>Project for a New Middle East</u>".

This time around, it's destination Tehran via Islamabad. And quite un-cleverly disguised as *"defensive US military action"*. But at the 'unbirthday' party, everything absurd becomes reality!

The Pakistani 'loose nukes' hijacked by the cave-dwelling, stick-wielding, suicide-bombing, 'Al-Qaeeda' mantra is among the multiple facile absurdities being deftly primed (and black-ops synthesized) as already outlined in the afore-stated wakeup call. It is one thing for the press and politicians in the pockets of the 'Hectoring Hegemons' (PNAC) to be rehearsing this silly as part of their *"intellectual commitment"* to seeding *"doctrinal motivation"* for the 'retaliatory' *"patriotic gratification"* (Brzezinski). It is quite another for the victims themselves to be bizarrely echoing it. This is yet to be observed in primal nature: lambs arguing for their own slaughter.

Those who naively think that these complicated matters can't be pre-meditated or pre-planned precisely because of the insanity of it, or the incomprehensibility of it in being pulled off in any kind of military precision that's needed, delude themselves for their prized mental acuity, for the hectoring hegemons' is exponentially greater.

This 'ubermensch' breed clearly perceives that *"what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times"*. It is only in the pain of *"Birth-Pangs"*, as for any new birth in nature, that the 'New World Order' can be born. The Third Reich wanted it – and failed. The Fourth Reich wants it just as bad, and are indeed playing their game well.

The "Mission Accomplished" sign actually meant what it stated. While most in the mainstream, as well as in the so called 'dissent-stream', derided it in the aftermath of Iraq, little do these gentle-minds perceive the diabolical agenda that was accomplished quite successfully indeed – to set the "*Birth-pangs*" and labor pains in motion by raping the fertile womb.

That was surely successfully accomplished! One might even wager that it was William Kristol who likely came up with that sign – he seems to be just the type to relish in such devilish play on words (judging from his previous forays into Presidential speech-writing). It can be quite educational to back-read all the Presidential speeches since 2000 and study up on their craftsmen. The Goebbelian craftsmanship

creates a Kafkaesque hypnotism over the American masses and its newsmedia.

It is precisely that 'ubermenschen' power that eludes normal peoples who simply can't perceive the depth of evil incarnate which once again besieges mankind. This is why, Leo Strauss, the legatee of the Austrio-Hungarian empire that had seeded Hitler, also only seeded the new 'ubermenschen' into the privileged Nietzscheian class of being 'beyond good and evil' after assurance of their higher intelligence and superiority. As per Plato's philosophy of the philosopher-king wisely shepherding the flock, Straussians merely drop the 'wisely' part. They, in effect, replace it with 'predatorily'. Thus the self-ascribed appellation '<u>Hectoring Hegemons</u>'.

<u>Such tortuous minds</u> only make tortuous plans that just the sheer boldness of which boggles some moral mind.

It certainly boggles Noam Chomsky's mind – supposedly, the *"arguably most important intellectual alive"* in all of Western Hemisphere according to the New York Times – that to this day he cannot believe the evidence of his own eyes that the '911' was controlled demolition, and hence by corollary, must have been self-inflicted. He continues to accept the Pentagon's narrative that a guy in the Hindu Kush mountains with cell-phones and box-cutters took down the entire trillion dollar defense system of the world's sole superpower. A fabricated war that is no more abstruse than the Star Wars Hollywood movie episode "Revenge of the Sith", eludes him as he continually expands on the 'Al qaeeda' and 'OBL' merely seeking revenge for all the horrible crimes of the 'empire'. And he does so after writing almost a million words in a zillion books over half a century about the ex post facto secretive crimes of 'empire', the various magical incantations of its power, and the unraveling of its deception games. It was in the greatest honor of my esteemed professor that I wrote "Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux" on the 40th anniversary last year of the original's seminal publication. I wonder that if Noam Chomsky had been a non-Jewish German Professor of linguistics living in the Third Reich, would he also have accepted the Reich's explanation of its self-inflicted Operation Canned Goods and the Reichstag fire?

Indeed, if the U.S. Chief Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals Prosecutor Justice Robert Jackson were alive today, and he hadn't merely been handing out a 'victor's justice' to the despicable harbingers of the Third Reich when he stated the blatant obviousness of their premeditated intent to commit *"supreme international crime"* of aggression:

"The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany",

he would blanketly also re-assert to the equally despicable harbingers of the <u>Fourth Reich</u> the equally blatant obviousness of their premeditated intent to re-commit the same *"supreme international crime"* of aggression:

The plans of the aggressor for aggression were just as secret as the 'PNAC' and the 'NPR' on the 'Grand Chessboard' that were freely available for download on the Internet, and in major bookstores.

The only difference between the two, as far as anyone can un-emotionally ascertain, and which is <u>amply</u> <u>documented by this scribe</u>, is that the Nazis didn't get the opportunity to re-write history as the present

day 'ubermenschen' are <u>busily engaged in doing</u>, with willing connivance of their intellectuals, newspaper editorial writers, various and sundry lower order newsmedia 'circus clowns', even expert '<u>native informants</u>'.

Furthermore, most obviously, this time around, the vast majority of the Jews and the handful of mastermind Judeofascists among them, are the Reich's best friends, aiders, supporters, sympathizers, and even its overarching master strategists, instead of the object of its wrath! The handful of courageous and inspiring exceptions, gadflies, and men and women of uncommon chutzpah, duly noted.

And finally, the Third Reich never enjoyed the *"full spectrum dominance"* and un-opposed uni-polar supremacy that enables the present Reich to exert its *"Primacy and Geostrategic Imperatives"* unfettered and unchallenged as *"one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making, … one master, one sovereign."* (Putin)

With this blatant reality in their faces, the Pakistani rulers can no more claim the 'I didn't know' defense, that, 'oops, we were fooled that this fabricated "war on terror" was real, and we only accidentally wrecked havoc upon our nation – we really only had good intentions as we bombed all those whom we thought were nasty "terrorists" ', any more than the Nazis could for their crimes upon their own disfavored citizenry.

Eichmann in Jerusalem, in his trial, even lent new meaning to the *"I was only following orders"* mantra, which subsequently became enshrined worldwide as not an excuse to commit crimes against humanity. Most of the former, as well as the newly elected senior Pakistani government leaders and their respective political party senior leadership, not to forget the uniform, will equally hang someday for all the innocent red blood of their own peoples that they have already shed by supporting the American fiction of 'war on terror'.

But they can certainly stop now before more blood apportions to their soul!

Surely in the 'land of the pure' – all believe in a 'soul'? Even Hitler did ("<u>Gott Mit Uns</u>"). And President George Bush surely does too – for by his own admission:

"God told me to strike at al-Qaida and I struck them, and then he instructed me to strike at Saddam, which I did, and now I am determined to solve the problem in the Middle East"!

SO WAKE THE HELL UP AND ACT before his god tells him to 'nookular' strike Pakistan and Iran to solve our problems too. His god loves *"defensive' US military action"*.

And as per the Muslim's God – one may yet have a reprieve of one's soul if one paid 'blood money' and fair compensation for inflicting cataclysmic pain and suffering, to the victims' families. It is most assuredly a win-win situation before the inevitable *'oops, too late!'* In fact, not urgently acting in accordance with the grotesque reality that is unfortunately only visible on the 'Grand Chessboard' when 'Alice' is wide awake, is a sure lose-lose proposition.

THE SOLUTION-SPACE: The only mechanism outside of the United States of America to arrest its *"supreme international crime"* of *"imperial mobilization"* in its tracks*, is either: the SCO immediately transforms into a NATO-like **'attack on one, attack on all'** military alliance that includes Iran and Pakistan – which is of course not in the control of the Pakistanis – to construct a détente on the 'Grand Chessboard'; or, the Pakistani rulers urgently implement the strategy outlined in the afore-stated wakeup call. The latter is eminently under the control of the Pakistani ruling elite – to take back this 'wretched' nation from the blood-soaked hands of the 'hectoring hegemons'. And to do it with such astute political ascendance that it inevitably ends up forcing the much needed stalemate on the 'Grand Chessboard'. A task for which Creation itself bestowed the instincts of collective self-defense.

It is the only effective antidote to Zbigniew Brzezinski's 'ubermensch' truism: *"Hegemony is as old as mankind."* But the mastermind has certainly also understood that predatory instincts for *"primacy"* are far older, and so is its <u>full spectrum deterrence</u>, as once again amply reminded by nature itself in this immensely popular 8-minute internet video "<u>Battle at Kruger</u>".

Let history be witness, if there is anyone left to write it (accurately), that <u>none of this was un-obvious at</u> <u>the time</u>.

* For 'checkmate' by a peoples within the United States, see "How to derail 'imperial mobilization""

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <u>http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' 6/6 and Pakistani 'loose nukes'

How to derail 'imperial mobilization'

and preempt the crossing of the Nuclear Rubicon

Zahir Ebrahim

April 09, 2008.

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$ Project Humanbeingsfirst $\textcircled{\sc t}$. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: <u>PHBFZE20080409</u> URL: <u>http://humanbeingsfirst.org</u>. | <u>Print</u> | <u>PDF</u> | <u>Comment</u>.

It appears that a majority of conscionable peoples opposed to their nation's war mongering for *"imperial mobilization"* in the guise of fighting the 'war on terrorism', have actually given up on the idea that they can preemptively prevent wars.

The experience of the dismal failure of anti-war demonstrations since 911, and other inefficacious symbolic protests has taught many of us once again that this isn't the Vietnam era of the 1960s. The social control is enormous, the attachments to the pursuit of the elusive 'American Dream' even stronger, and hence all consuming, and despite tethering at the brink of financial bankruptcy, the nation still persists in participating in the 'war on terror', still persists in paying its taxes to fund the war, and still persists in shedding its own blood. But mainly the 'lesser' blood of economic conscription.

Thus in a sense, with rising disparity in wealth and increasing unemployment in the American nation, there is a concomitant supply of recruits right out of high school from among the lesser privileged class, who, for the lure of a signup bonus, or the promise of an education and good living, are not shy of shedding other peoples' blood to get 'ahead' in life. It matters little that if they even come back in one piece physically, they are usually shattered mentally – for then, these 'rejects' of economic conscription and battle fatigue are as dispensable as those whom they had earlier made dispensable. A self sustaining system of recruiting soldiers is being constructed domestically within the United States that parallels the self sustaining system of creating the 'terrorists' to fight the perpetual 'war on terror' against, for the entire slated lifetime of "World War IV".

It is amazing how politically astute President George Bush was when he dismissed the anemic protests throughout the United States of America before the buildup of war on Iraq in February 2003, as simply a "focus group", <u>stating</u>:

"First of all, you know, size of protest, it's like deciding, well, I'm going to decide policy based upon a focus group. The role of a leader is to decide policy based upon the security -- in this case, the security of the people."

Most conscionable peoples who abhorred the idea of war mongering, at the time made fun of President George Bush – without appreciating the treadmill that had been constructed for them to keep them inefficaciously occupied while the 'war on terror' made incremental baby-step progress through small faits accomplis of continually creating more *"revolutionary times*" in the *"Global Zone of Percolating Violence*" which made, what was otherwise *"inconceivable in normal times*", entirely *"possible*". It spanned the gamut of peoples willingly giving up their *"essential liberties for a bit of temporary safety"* from the ubiquitous terrorists hiding under their beds, to acquiescing to invade other unarmed, disarmed, and sitting-duck nations with the barbarianism of unparalleled *"shock and awe"*. With all newsmedia cheerleading the war effort, most peoples in America were initially not impacted by the wars being fought 20,000 miles away in some exotic lands of the unknown 'barbarians' who had dared to attack America!

But seven years have now passed, and most Americans are now indeed being impacted even in their daily lives due to the far away battles. With diminishing social spending, as a major chunk of the national budget is going into *"imperial mobilization"*, which in FY 2009 is slated to be 3.2 trillion dollars, the soaring gas prices that is now past \$4.0 a gallon, and the cost of living shooting skywards in sync with rising bankruptcies and housing crises, most peoples have had enough.

And yet, the same handful of conscientious rabble rousers are no where near doing anything different from what they were doing 7 years ago. Most are still clamoring for *"impeachment"*, still clamoring for mindless protests in streets, and still merely paying lip service to the proverbial dissent on the treadmill of inefficacy. Instead of trying to understand the reasons for their inefficacy and failure, instead of conducting post-mortems to understand the nature of the beast that is driving the train of *"imperial mobilization"*, or indeed, what *"imperial mobilization"* really means in practice apart from its bombastic sounding import, and instead of studying its methods and tactics, instead of using Jujitsu and Judo on the opponents in astute gamesmanship on the 'Grand Chessboard', the conscionable peoples have continually worked outside the pale of the very institutional frameworks which the 'hectoring hegemons' have figured out how to exploit effectively to seize the many instruments of 'empire' in order to realize their own objectives of *"full spectrum dominance"*. At best, some sit as <u>spectators in imposing</u> testimonies by various 'hectoring hegemons' before the Congress, holding merely their placards or courageously shouting *"Bring them home! Bring them home!"* and *"promptly [being] escorted out of the committee room and arrested."* How effective!

<u>Vladimir Putin</u> summed up the achievements of the 'hectoring hegemons' so aptly last year that it is worth repeating to the Americans over and over again:

"what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it

refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within. And this certainly has nothing in common with democracy. Because, as you know, democracy is the power of the majority in light of the interests and opinions of the minority."

And yet the dissent space and its glorified dissenting priests still wander-on aimlessly, or perhaps deliberately, condemned for the entire lifetime of World War IV to remain on the treadmill of inefficacy, lost, so to speak, searching for the 'land of Canaan'.

For the past seven years, since 911, not a single institutional frame-work construction was pursued by the dissent space. Some of these ideas were outlined in <u>Chapter 7 of this 2003 book "Prisoners of the</u> <u>Cave."</u> Not a single post-mortem was conducted to understand why dissent has failed. Yet hundreds, or perhaps thousands of public speeches and gatherings have been enacted, and hundreds of books have been written to make lots of big bucks for a handful of dissenting priests. A majority of these books contain no prescriptions, only rehearsed histories or narratives of various crimes in progress. Yet, not a single institution has been constructed that could become an effective counterpoint to the 'hectoring hegemons', nor any institutional changes vigorously pursued that could efficaciously 'check' the hectoring hegemons' attempts to further their diabolical craftsmanship of *"imperial mobilization"*.

Only proclamations, platitudes, and bravados is all that is on record from the famed American dissent space and its dissenting priests. As a mere plebeian, I too am equally guilty of failing to stop *"imperial mobilization"* despite much vigorous 'jihad' on the 'treadmill'. It's high time to end that losing streak on this treadmill of inefficacy that has worked great in favor of the hectoring hegemons don't you think? Indeed, if I was one of them, I'd just love this dissent space! For it simultaneously achieves two purposes: one, it evidently makes little domestic impact on *"imperial mobilization"*; and two, it gives a convenient illusion to the conscionable peoples who are sure to arise in any community, that they are engaged in serious battle for their 'Civil Rights', for 'World Peace', for 'Justice', for motherhood, and apple pie.

Well let's pull the plug from that treadmill shall we?

The impending Nuclear Attack on Iran is looming ahead, as noted in this ominous analysis: <u>Heads-up</u> warning to the American Peoples – Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!

And some 'activist' members of the Congress who oppose the war mongering and invading of other nations on false pretexts, have already resigned themselves to the idea that there is nothing they can do about the imminent attack, and instead, have become content in only issuing what they will do afterwards, even gaining some traction among the <u>dissent stream</u>:

"He [John Conyers] is circulating a letter among his colleagues for signatures, a letter addressed to Bush letting him know that an attack on Iran will result in impeachment hearings. LET'S ASK EVERY MEMBER OF CONGRESS TO SIGN ON! I know it seems bassackwards and we want impeachment before a new war, not after, but this is a way

for us to show Conyers the support that will be there any time he moves forward."

Surely the lives and tabula rasa of 70 million peoples in that densely populated region of humanity – the cradle itself of the Western civilization, and among the oldest on earth – cannot be a foregone conclusion?

Surely this isn't merely a game? A dog thrown on the freeway in Los Angeles gets more sympathy from the entire American nation than the 'lesser' peoples who experienced, are experiencing, and surely will experience – unless the courageous peoples of America and the world put a stop to it – <u>the imperial</u> *"algebra of infinite justice"*.

There must be a better way than just empty and entirely vacuous threats of what one will do afterwards, ex post facto, such as *"impeachment"*.

So what, even if this 'magic bullet' was magically unsheathed and lodged into a single instance of monumental criminals after more than 2000 'targets' are obliterated, some with nuclear weapons, in a defenseless nation that possesses no effective deterrence against predatory high-tech nuclear invasion? And these *"impeached"* criminals will be replaced by another of the same genre of 'hectoring hegemons' soon enough. What one does after the nuclear 'Rubicon' is crossed becomes rather irrelevant to the victims don't you think? Surely it mattered much to the cataclysmic destruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, and the entire *"Global Zone of Percolating Violence"* from Kosovo to Lebanon, how hard the antiwar protesters yelled in the streets of America? Or that it mattered greatly to the dead Vietnamese, or the forgottened Cambodians, and the even lesser known Laotians, that Nixon left office in disgrace! I can imagine someone suggesting that surely, we ended up saving several millions of the 'lesser' humanity by ending the American killing spree sooner! It would be interesting to see if a restitution court ordered an 'eye for an eye' restitution plus fair compensation for pain and suffering by the standards of the aggressor civilization itself, that whether anyone from the aggressor civilization might still think so!

The entire point must be that the nuclear Rubicon is NEVER ever crossed.

That *"imperial mobilization"* is itself derailed as the DNA imprint of America's foreign policy abuses upon the 'lesser' humanity. It is perhaps easy to imagine the gravity of the matter if the roles were reversed, and the Iranians, now the new sole superpower, sought regime change in 'the Great Satan' with preemptive 'Deathstar' like 'Phaser' strikes that had no defense known to man? (oops – I just gave away the secret for the next mantra)

As is expressed in this humble letter by Project Humanbeingsfirst to the <u>Journal of 911 studies</u> courageous scientists still busily engaged in figuring out how the towers fell:

"I hope you would also permit me to share this one final lament. I sometimes sense that even well intentioned peoples in the United States often tend to forget that other's dead and destroyed are not mere statistics to their loved ones. Sitting 20,000 miles away from the murderous war zone, it is easy to become engrossed in solving the riddles of 911, or rehearsing interesting histories in conference halls that are already fait accompli. Can the conscionable peoples in this nation at least make it a point to also sometimes imagine, as a shocking thought experiment, that with every falling American bomb upon a 'lesser' peoples, paid for from their own tax dollars, their mom, dad, sister, brother, kids, wife, and the family dog is killed? What courses of action, and with what urgency, would one take then?"

let's together renew focus on how to efficaciously prevent further *"imperial mobilization"* under any pretexts. We have already seen that what worked in the 1960s era as a peoples' mass antiwar movement, has absolutely no traction in the modernity du jour. There are no masses to move.

The following seed idea from the <u>heads-up warning</u> cited earlier is based on one key insight: *"Imperial mobilization"* is institutional, and all encompassing. Yes a truism of course. But it leads to the following corollary: Any real resistance to *"imperial mobilization"*, in order to be effective, also has to be institutional, and under institutional frame-works.

If astutely and vigilantly pursued – with 'moves' look-ahead, employing both 'pawn' tactics and strategies as in a geopolitical chess game played on the 'Grand Chessboard' against 'ubermensch' Grandmasters – then it can surely create real impediments to new *"imperial mobilizations"*. And certainly at this stage of the domestic 'game' when the American peoples are already war-weary, deception-weary, and precariously perched at the brink of financial insolvency. In other words, the conditions are ripe in America for the following to become an efficacious measure, if it can be brought to fruition through proper 'political' planning and resource mobilization before the inevitable "oops, too late!"

"Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated "imperial mobilization" by their nation's rulers."

The quoted paragraph above is obviously where the outstanding work already done by many conscionable peoples and forensic detectives over the past several years on analyzing false pretexts for the previous phase of *"imperial mobilization"* to *"birth-pang the new Middle East"* based upon the *"catalyzing event like a new pearl harbor"* of 911 and the various *"doctrinal motivation"* based upon the fictions of WMDs and 'radical Islamism', can be useful.

However, previous expositions of history is not sufficient, even though perhaps a necessary part of the bigger picture, because, the antagonist is not uni-dimensional – but rather 'uber' sophisticated, and diabolically imaginative.

For instance, see the following <u>letter to Messrs. Matthew Bunn of Harvard</u> on his testimony before the Committee on Homeland Security on Nuclear Terrorism in April 2008, and the following re-assessment of the so-called <u>'shocking' whistleblowing disclosures by the genuinely courageous Ms. Sibel Edmonds</u> that is also occupying the attention-span of the 'dissent space' but the significance of which, in the humble opinion of this scribe, is really that of a political red-herring.

Thus, the new mantras being deftly spun, starting from the 'loose nukes' of Pakistan that will

miraculously be hijacked by the 'al-qaeeda', to the presciently proclaimed 'The Day After' as prognosticated by President George Bush himself *"that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"*, are the obvious setups to be blamed upon Iran. Zbigniew Brzezinski had already laid out a plausible scenario in February 2007 while testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. See a further outlandishly plausible scenario that can culminate in drawing both Iran and Pakistan into *"shock and awe"* as the culprit nations, in the following <u>wakeup call to the Pakistani peoples</u>.

There are many analyses available from Project HumanbeingsfirstTM at <u>http://humanbeingsfirst.org</u> that already go into sufficient analytical depth to warrant reproducing any further evidence here that the 'war on terror' is a total fabrication diabolically crafted for *"imperial mobilization"* to achieve *"full spectrum dominance"* in the guise of fighting 'synthetic terror' that is easily synthesizeable, especially among the Muslims today by "shock and awing" them, maligning their religion, and ensuring that both sides or all sides are kept armed and financed in the ensuing 'Muslim on Muslim' violence. For that constructs the much needed *"revolutionary times"* further enabling all the necessary pretexts, mantras, and phobias to keep the American and Western peoples scared silly that these stick-wielding antediluvians are their biggest nemesis since Hitler. And these same guys in the Hindu Kush mountains will now perpetrate the next new 911.

This new "catalyzing event" will surely be so shocking – for what else can "make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" – that the Congress, which has a history of almost trivially being arm-wrestled by the Executive branch into 'United we Stand' with them, will authorize a nuclear 'retaliatory' attack as "defensive' US military action".

This is where there is an immediate institutional opportunity for astute intervention by the *"populist democracy"* which is projected to be *"inimical to imperial mobilization"* – the hectoring hegemons' worst nightmare:

"Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great "populist democracy" speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!"

The quoted paragraph above is what Project Humanbeingsfirst humbly proposes become the new focus of all conscionable peoples on how to institutionally derail *"imperial mobilization"* from within America by its genuine American patriots. Externally, on the 'Grand Chessboard', it will only be derailed in a détente which will only come about when new *"full spectrum alliances"* are constructed among the Asian nations for the *"full spectrum deterrence"* from all foreign marauders. An example of that being the SCO (Shanghai Cooperation Organization) overnight turning into a NATO like alliance – the Asian Treaty Organization – of all the Asian countries. The ruling elite in these nations has so shrewdly been co-opted by the world's sole superpower that even in their own genuine self-defense against foreign invaders, they are unable to unite – when even the lowly buffalos are able to come together to defend their own against any <u>'hectoring hegemons' of nature</u>.

Thus, staying focussed on the topic at hand, for domestic resistance to imperial barbarianism from within the United States, the idea is that enormous public pressure is immediately put on the Congress to create public hearings to examine the devolution of their powers to declare war, and to seek the pulse of the nation through direct public ratification in a public referendum, before using their own Constitutional powers to declare (or not declare) war. Please do examine this approach.

This is eminently within the existing Constitutional framework and does not require any Constitutional Amendment, as the Congress is merely creating another 'gating-input' to its decision making. Such a law would also mandate, in order to be practicable, creating credible 'systems' under which such public voting under any proposed 'declaration of war' by the Executive Branch under any circumstance can be affected within 24 to 72 hours - or that order of time-frame. It is the same time-frame under which the Congress itself labors, and thus, seeking external ratification will not hamper its own deliberation when done in parallel, but it will eventually be controlled by external public ratification – by a public whom they purportedly represent anyway under the Constitution.

Today, technologically speaking, such rapid public referendum is not only feasible, but quite practical, whereas it wasn't quite the case in the previous decades. Of course, we will not get into how 'such systems' can also be subverted-type red herring conversations here, except to note that by law, these 'systems' can also be mandated to be open-sourced, with 'legal' and public bodies mandated to oversee the systems, the processes, and the deployment and execution.

Furthermore, while the following may be thought by some as excessive polemics, it can be the real impetus for the 'next move' on the domestic 'Grand Chessboard', so to speak:

"Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes' vote is void!"

The solution-space outlined in the preceding paragraphs is but one institutional approach. There may be others. But whatever the case, the resistance to *"imperial mobilization"* must be elevated to the national institutional-level framework somehow.

And the Congress compelled to setup public hearings on the very subject matter of 'prevention' before the fact, rather than 'reaction' ex post facto, in blanket recognition of their dastardly proclivity to be bulldozed by the Executive, and co-opted by special-interest lobby groups.

Such public hearings can be used to further explore the solution space of how Congress can temper their non-independence from the Executive. And as in the case of the overarching suggestion made here, examine devolving some of their powers to create a limited checks and balance upon their own decision making powers for certain important national decisions through direct public referendum.

This idea also creates a more participative democracy, and without requiring any Constitutional changes (and that is an important consideration for the response times needed here). Additionally, since law

making at some point involves the Executive to sign-off, the Congress can examine the devolution as part of their own deliberation process, thus side-stepping the Executive. An outsider can only speculate on the modalities of implementation, and peoples more capable must look at the issues involved – but not be deterred by roadblocks that are surely to be thrown ones' way by sophisticated Straussian 'hectoring hegemons' who can construct any 'Noble Lie' and any 'technique of infamy' on the fly. One must be prepared to effectively counter the various and sundry circus clowns and patsies who will incessantly bleat nay-saying on the air.

There may still be time before the cataclysmic nuclear Rubicon is crossed. Although the attack on Iran appears imminent, it is not yet a fait accompli. There is many a slip between the cup and lip – and until that tipping point has rewritten history, the operative principle for the conscionable peoples in the United States of America must be to work on its prevention. Perhaps prominent peoples, prominent scholars and lawyers, and prominent (former) statesmen can be enlisted in this cause with an immediacy and urgency as if the lives of one's own family, and one's own loved pets, depended on it! There are supposedly 46 Congresspersons who are seeking impeachment. Perhaps they can be the beginning.

I long for that day when all nations' foreign policies, trade relations, and all international laws and interfaces are built upon the principle espoused in the Biblical Golden Rule – for what a reign of justice and peace of a thousand years would that be! Truly a *"Zion that will light up all the world"*. Why not?

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <u>http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

How to derail 9/9 'imperial mobilization'

Heads-up warning to the American Peoples -

Nuclear Attack on Iran appears imminent!

Zahir Ebrahim

March 29, 2008.

Updated March 31, 2008.

[Addendum April 06, 2008: This heads up warning is made even more ominous and abhorrently prescient by the report of April 05, 2008 in the <u>UK Telegraph</u>:

"British officials gave warning yesterday that America's commander in Iraq will declare that Iran is waging war against the US-backed Baghdad government.

A strong statement from General David Petraeus about Iran's intervention in Iraq could set the stage for a US attack on Iranian military facilities, according to a Whitehall assessment. In closely watched testimony in Washington next week, Gen Petraeus will state that the Iranian threat has risen as Tehran has supplied and directed attacks by militia fighters against the Iraqi state and its US allies."

Paul Craig Roberts, the former Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury and former associate editor of the Wall Street Journal, <u>immediately noted</u>:

'The neocon lacky Petraeus has had his script written for him by Cheney, and Petraeus together with neocon warmonger Ryan Crocker, the US governor of the Green Zone in Baghdad, will present Congress next Tuesday and Wednesday with the lies, for which the road has been well paved by neocon propagandists such as Kimberly Kagan, that "the US must recognize that Iran is engaged in a full-up proxy war against it in Iraq." Don't expect Congress to do anything except to egg on the attack.'

It is the earnest and most profound hope of Project HumanbeingsfirstTM that this warning is entirely wrong – that the last nuclear attack on any peoples, and upon any country, under any pretexts, was in 1945! But if hopes were horses – beggars would ride, and there would have been no 911, and no invasion of Afghanistan, Iraq, and Lebanon, to *"Birth-pang a New Middle East"*. Therefore, a complacent but *"populist democracy"* is shown how to rise to the challenge of the 'hectoring hegemons' – demand a public referendum before going to war on Iran!

Both NY Times and LA Times showed no interest in this oped submission. Surely, newsmedia: *"either you are with us, or with the [super]terrorists."*]

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Project Humanbeingsfirst $\ensuremath{^{\text{TM}}}$. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080329 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

Heads-up warning to the American Peoples – Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!

<u>Project Humanbeingsfirst</u> cannot humbly emphasize enough the first order most crucial question that must concern all conscionable 'United States persons' today, including courageous genuinely patriotic whistleblowers. The impending nuclear attack on Iran, under all kinds of contrived pretexts, and especially disguised as a "*'defensive' U.S. military action*" (Brzezinski) must be prevented if this is indeed a genuine "*populist democracy*" for which the erstwhile Brzezinski had observed: "*Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization*"!

To present a more extensive and coherent analysis than is possible in these Op-Ed pages, the following essay is available from Project <u>Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>: "<u>Beware of Red Herrings on Nuclear Security</u> spun by Hectoring Hegemons and their patsies!"

There must be only one immediate, near term, and long term goal for the equitable security (and prosperity) of all peoples on the planet Earth so long as there remains an imbalance of power among us, so long as there remain 'hectoring hegemons' seeking "*full spectrum dominance*" among us, and so long as there remains an absence of 'full spectrum deterrence' to "the pursuit of power", for indeed, "*hegemony is as old as mankind*": prevent new "*'defensive' U.S. military action*". Especially one in response to a horrendous 'nuclear terrorist act' transpiring in the United States of America "*that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison*" – as President George Bush himself prognosticated on February 13, 2008, in full anticipation of precisely another catalyzing 911. For what else, other than a nuclear terrorist act in America can be described as making "*Sept. 11 pale by comparison*"?

A major indication that something is imminently afoot is that the sudden 'Civil War' now raging in Iraq, is about to be directly blamed upon Iran! A weapons cache allegedly of Iranian origin has just been uncovered, as briefly reported on March 29, 2008, in the Pakistani newspaper <u>The News</u>: "Col. Dominic Caraccilo, commander of the 3rd Brigade, 101st Airborne Division, said the rockets were marked with manufacture dates as recent as 2007, and were believed to have been brought into the country from Iran during a buildup of U.S. troops last year. The U.S. military accuses Iran of arming and funding Shiite

extremists in Iraq, although Tehran denies the charges."

While that war of words and the concomitant Iraqi-fodder of 'Muslim on Muslim' violence in itself is not a sufficient pretext to nuclear bomb Iran, it is consistent with the rapidly developing pattern of escalating warnings of another terrorist act "*that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison*". The 'setup' is in accelerated progress to most assuredly lay the blame for "*Iraqi failure to meet [American] benchmarks*" upon Iran, and as 'presciently' noted by Zbigniew Brzezinski before the <u>Senate Foreign Relations</u> <u>Committee in 2007</u>, it will be followed by "accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran. culminating in a 'defensive' US military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan."

Well, the 'unequivocal evidence' fingering Iran for <u>Iraqi Army's easy to predict defeat at the hands of</u> <u>Iranian backed militias</u> in this American backed 'Muslim on Muslim' violence was just found. Even President George Bush expressed his great satisfaction at this turn of events by noting that it was a <u>"positive moment</u>", gleefully adding:

"I understand people here want us to leave, regardless of the situation, but that will not happen so long as I'm Commander-In-Chief."

And this <u>unverified report</u> points to the following ominous headline: <u>Saudis Prepare for Sudden Nuclear</u> <u>Hazards'' After Cheney Visit</u>', which if true, requires no further elaboration.

In isolation and without context, all these rapidly breaking events are mere unrelated point events. However, in the light of the none-too-secret White House and Pentagon's overarching agenda, these are not isolated events. They are now culminating in the build-up of pretexts for nuclear attack on Iran!

Do the American peoples want to wait until the matters are a fait accompli?

As William Arkin had reported in the Washington Post three years ago, America can mobilize <u>CONPLAN-8022</u> in less than 12 hours notice. Quoting Lt. Gen. Bruce Carlson, commander of the 8th Air Force: "We're now at the point where we are essentially on alert ... We have the capacity to plan and execute global strikes ... in half a day or less."

And from all accounts of the enormous mobilization-buildup to attack Iran already in place, as noted in this 2007 study which concluded "the US has made military preparations to destroy Iran's WMD, nuclear energy, regime, armed forces, state apparatus and economic infrastructure within days if not hours of President George W. Bush giving the order", and which today, in 2008, 'includes not only a vast array of weapons, including nuclear weapons, cruise and other missiles and hundreds of aircraft but also "insertion" (invasion) forces and equipment' as noted by one observer, that "half a day or less" timeperiod is today almost near real-time. And the moment a nuclear terrorist '911' in an American or European city, or a provocation by Israel taking out Iran's nuclear reactor sites, or a 'Gulf-of-Tonkin' or 'USS Cole' or 'Lavon Affair' event that sinks America's entire 5th fleet in the Persian Gulf transpires, the timeperiod will likely be instantaneous!

The American public will be rendered as bewildered at that time as a fawn caught in headlights, and as

enraged to go nuclear-bomb the entire planet as any 'ubermenschen' simply because they can get away with it! But interestingly, they won't pick on Russia or China who can fight back. No – they will only pick on a defenseless peoples who dare to standup to "*full spectrum*" hegemony of the 'hectoring hegemons'. Only the Iranians (and Pakistanis) will bear the brunt of <u>America's wrath next</u>!

Therefore, knowing how the future is likely to unfold in extremely rapid, fast-breaking developments leaving no time to wisely-reflect or to react-sanely at that time by peoples opposed to America's endless wars, time to act is now.

Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated *"imperial mobilization"* by their nation's rulers.

Let the American peoples not be 'surprised' once again by facile disingenuous conclusions like this one in 2005 by the Iraq Study Group in the aftermath of the premeditated invasion of Iraq under false WMD pretexts:

"We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure". (Iraq Study Group report, March 31, 2005)

Or even by un-surprising exposés like this one in 2008, titled: "*FBI says, 'No Hard Evidence Connecting Bin Laden to 9/11*", being among the top 25 most censored stories of 2008. It quotes Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for the FBI:

"The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on Osama bin Laden's Most Wanted page is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting bin Laden to 9/11. Bin Laden has not been formally charged in connection to 9/11."

Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great "*populist democracy*" speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!

Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes' vote is void!

A commonsensical and calculated demand such as this publicly made today, right now, to be adjudicated upon in Congressional public hearings and converted into law, is infinitely more sensible for assuring America's national security given the hundred+ year history of deception for "*imperial*

mobilization" by this nation's Executive branch with willing complicity from its entire ruling elite from legislature to newsmedia – as evidenced from the fiction of USS Maine to the fiction of WMDs – and which today rings the entire globe with more than <u>700 of its military bases</u> eagerly paid for by Congress year after year in the pretense of keeping an "*American peace*". FY 2009 budget is to cost the American tax payers <u>\$3.1 trillion</u>! Both the Congress and the Executive effectively comprise the same pool of 'hectoring hegemons', with the Judiciary, not espousing the tradition of 'suo moto' action, preferring to remain silent on any and all matters projected as being related to foreign policy matters, politics, or executive privilege under times of 'war'!

And to preemptively understand how such a demand for public referendum – if it were to gain a threatening traction – will surely be co-opted by the Executive branch and willingly aided and abetted by the Congress and the Courts, recall that the '<u>Continuity of Government</u>' Executive Order can now 'legally' disable this "*populist democracy*" and its entire democratically elected legislature under emergency powers already appropriated by the Executive. A Martial Law will be declared with the American soldiers (or the privatized profit-making wing of it which goes by the name 'Blackwater') retraining their blood soaked guns from the 'lesser humanity' in the Middle East and South Asia onto the American peoples themselves.

One can already see that particular 'setup' in active construction even as we speak. Now the domestic threat is no longer perceived to be primarily from 'Arab' looking 'jihadi' men out to terrorize America, but from "Western" looking ones as ominously <u>noted by the CIA</u>:

"[Al Qaeda] were training "operatives who look western" and "would be able to come into this country without attracting the attention others might ... making it easier for them to get past security at US airports, according to CIA Director Michael Hayden. If there is another terrorist attack, it will originate there, [along the border with Afghanistan]." ' (Pakistani newspaper Dawn, March 31, 2008)

Do you "look Western"? Now it's your turn buddy!

The moment George Bush's, and the CIA's, and the American military's prognostications of another '911' come true, all you 'United We Stand' "western" looking Americans better run and hide quickly under your beds – for who knows which one of you flag-waving 'white-boys' is secretly 'al Qaeeda'! Perhaps you all are!

This scribe takes no pleasure in pointing out the 'I warned you so' in his 2003 narrative "<u>They dared to</u> <u>knock on my door</u>" available at <u>http://humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

It is of course hastily presumed that "*look western*" means 'white'. It could also possibly mean 'Black', 'Hispanic', 'Chinese', and of course, also 'Arab'. The only thing it surely doesn't mean is 'Pakistani' looking! Great! Should all 'brown-skinned' peoples in America finally breathe a sigh of relief? No – we will be the first ones interned as the already known 'old enemy' under any Martial Law!

It is the free-willed Americans who must now be afraid the most as the new 'enemy combatants', for most of us lowly immigrants escaping from our respective 'hell-holes' to make a better lives for ourselves have already shown <u>our true cowardly 'native-informant' and 'uncle tom' sides to the authorities</u>! The

fascists have genuinely nothing to fear from us 'brownies', and they know it ever since Sept. 11 when our mosques to our wedding halls began crawling with FBI agents. It is mainly the free-willed peoples who "*look western*", and who are also armed under their 2th Amendment Rights and who might also insist upon their 4th Amendment Rights, that the fascists genuinely fear the most! It would be a pleasure to observe how FBI agents fare among their 'western' churches, synagogues, weddings, and wine parties!

Welcome to the Fourth Reich in America where the new enemy is those who "look western"!

Shrewdly anticipating what the 'hectoring hegemons' will do to thwart a conscionable peoples' imperatives to stay a free peoples, the American peoples must make their own next moves now as outlined above while they still possibly can, and with much alertness, in order to prevent the premeditated orchestration of nuclear "*revolutionary times*" which will surely become the harbinger of many "*inconceivable*" operations in the world, including many changes right here in the US of A. Once the nuclear 'Rubicon' is crossed, the "*revolutionary times*" intended to "*birth-pang a new Middle East*" for the "*New World Order*" will predictably acquire an uncontrollable dynamics of its own right here in the American homeland itself.

Demanding a public referendum before any act of 'retaliation' as a matter of law is the only peacefullyefficacious American peoples' immediate protocol for arresting the cancer of "*imperial mobilization*" in its tracks with all its concomitant benefits directly accruing to the ordinary peoples of this nation in the longer run – from increased social spending to a safer world for them to vacation in, to proudly disclose in far away places that they are American without the fear of being gunned down. Other collective protocols by the rest of the planet's peoples may not be so benign.

It is the profound and most earnest plea of Project HumanbeingsfirstTM to the American newsmedia to not be co-opted by another 'shocking' USS Maine, another 'Gulf of Tonkin', another '911', and another 'mantra of WMDs' into misleading their own peoples to mobilize for war. Even if, as a leading Pakistani English newspaper's editor once explained to this scribe, *'freedom of the press, in effect, actually means freedom of the owners of the press - worldwide'*, please exercise at least that freedom to not go to war on the American peoples themselves!

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

email: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other

responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org.

Warning to the American Peoples 7/7 Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!

Beware of Red Herrings on Nuclear Security

spun by Hectoring Hegemons and their patsies!

Zahir Ebrahim

March 27, 2008.

Also see Letter to Sibel Edmonds on 'Pakistan's Bomb, U.S. Cover-up'

© Project HumanbeingsfirstTM. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080327 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

The following letter was sent to Ms. Sibel Edmonds in continuation of the theme from Project Humanbeingsfirst's letter to her of March 18, 2008, on <u>'Pakistan's Bomb, U.S. Cover-up'</u>.

March 21, 2008.

Dear Ms. Sibel Edmonds,

Hi. FYI. A copy of <u>letter to editor of Foreign Policy In Focus</u>, citing your work among other matters. I bring it to your kind attention mainly to point out that there are many urgent and pressing matters which can today lead to the nuclear holocaust of an innocent nation at the hands of 'hectoring hegemons' in your own nation. There is far greater imminent threat to humanity by the imperial 'hectoring hegemons' in your own nation right now, than some fictitious 'pirates' and 'rogue' states half way across the world that may have been sold nuclear secrets 10 years ago. The latter is already fait accompli, the former will soon be unless courageous and prominent activists, whistleblowers, and men and women of conscience stop chasing down cultivated red herrings and focus on the highest order, most significant bits of the matter as it unfolds today. This letter to FPIF references a <u>rebuttal</u> essay by Project Humanbeingsfirst that disassembles one such deftly cultivated red herring by a prominent Princeton University nuclear non-proliferation expert. I strongly suspect that you are, quite inadvertently, pushing another red herring. Both have the theme in common, that they entirely ignore the premeditated

diabolical intent by your own nation to use nuclear weapons on Iran under one pretext or another. There is no bigger threat to nuclear security than a rogue cabal within the United States Government hijacking the American nukes, or contriving their deployment and use, under pretexts! As men and women of conscience and uncommon courage, let's also "call a spade a spade" shall we? I would not be writing you had you not become such a prominent person among the dissent-stream and whistleblowers. Please channel this prominence and visibility upon matters that have a current high import. If you are doing it already, that's great, and thank you.

The following letter was emailed to you a few days ago; just in case it ended up in the junk mail bit bucket, here is a pointer to it for your reference: <u>http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/03/letter-sibeledmonds-nuclear-security.html</u>.

I would appreciate an acknowledgment that you have received it.

Kind Regards,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Project Humanbeingsfirst cannot humbly emphasize enough the first order most crucial question that must concern all conscionable 'United States persons' today, including courageous genuinely patriotic whistleblowers. How do these revelations of FBI recordings about supposedly 'treasonous' matters that are already fait accompli from ten years ago, and the incessant demands by Ms. Edmonds and her supporters to hold a (surely to be sensationalized) Congressional public inquiry to air them out, today deter Presidents Bush/Cheney or Israel from their devilishly crafted premeditated plans for launching nuclear attacks on Iran disguised as a "defensive U.S. military action" (Brzezinski)? Or, how will it prevent future such attacks, or derail the ongoing systematic destabilization of that entire Eurasian continent identified by 'Dr. Strangelove' mastermind du jour as the "Global Zone of Percolating Violence", in the next Administration to occupy the White House which will most assuredly be composed of merely new 'water carriers' for the same genre of 'hectoring hegemons'* continuing forth with the enduring legacy of George F. Kennan's unabashed 1948 memo PPS23:

'Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming; and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and worldbenefaction.'

That refrain from *"altruism and world-benefaction"* was in a bipolar world that was emerging from the ashes of World War II, for which Kennan emphasized the necessity of dealing *"in straight power concepts"* as the very corner stone of America's foreign policy going forward:

'We should dispense with the aspiration to "be liked" or to be regarded as the repository of a high-minded international altruism. We should stop putting ourselves in the position of being our brothers' keeper and refrain from offering moral and ideological advice. We should cease to talk about vague and--for the Far East--unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of the living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.'

In the unipolar world of the sole superpower's hectoring hegemony today, where the term "unipolar" was rather bluntly defined in 2007 by the Russian President <u>Wladimir Putin</u> thusly:

"what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within. And this certainly has nothing in common with democracy. Because, as you know, democracy is the power of the majority in light of the interests and opinions of the minority."

we have empirically seen the barbaric lengths to which the United States has gone to introduce its "Grande baille of the New World Order" from Iraq 1990 to Iraq 2008, to the point of it now actively planning preemptive nuclear attacks on developing nations unwilling to follow the diktats of "one master, one sovereign." Only an <u>adversely indoctrinated person</u> would deny this empirical reality today, however colorfully it might be embellished as the "war on terrorism".

Please think before acting – please analyze why, systematically, and consistently, all efforts by well intentioned peoples have been inefficacious in preventing new wars? That, if any attack on Iran was postponed due to the <u>American 'loose nukes'</u>, it was likely entirely because courageous commanders within the American military establishment refused to follow immoral orders?

Could it be because the real patriots of America have been cleverly co-opted by endless red herrings, and by deliberate focus on the 'leaves' instead of the 'roots' and the 'DNA' of the disease leading to new leaves continually being created by the same <u>diseased tree of infamy</u>?

Yet inexplicably, we observe courageous whistleblowers like Ms. Edmonds entirely silent on matters of *"imperial mobilization"* of her own adopted nation, or that 911 could have been an inside job because the *"imperial mobilization"* and dramatic increase in defense spending to affect the military transformation that immediately followed was precisely predicated on such a shockingly catalyzing event – the "<u>new</u> <u>pearl harbor</u>"!

She is also silent on how 911 could possibly have occurred in an armed to the teeth superpower without treasonous peoples on the inside aiding and abetting in its operational planning, logistics, and execution. And not just aiding and abetting inadvertently through their incompetence, or aiding and abetting thugishly by being in the pay of foreign governments, both of which Ms. Edmonds asserts likely caused an otherwise preventable 911 from occurring, but aiding and abetting in actually making 911 happen on purpose as a deadly military covert-ops by a rogue cabal inside the United States upon the American nation like Hitler's 'Operation Canned Goods' and the 'Reichstag fire'! For the latter definition of aiding and abetting, the ultimate in treason, she is silent. One imagines that like Noam Chomsky and

Robert Fisk, <u>she too believes that 19 Muslim jihadis, controlled by a man on a dialysis machine in the</u> <u>Hindu Kush and armed with laptops and cell phones</u>, trumped the world's largest most sophisticated superpower into <u>lowering its air defenses</u>, into not following routine operational procedures, and <u>suspended the laws of physics</u> by demolishing the towers at <u>free fall speed</u>.

Ms. Edmonds is bizarrely mute on the empirical observation – which requires no interpreters or translators or intermediaries – that the towers collapsing into their own footprints is suspiciously similar to how buildings collapse under expert controlled demolition. Nor is she willing to opine on the logical implication of the highest levels of treason that would be involved in such a case if the empirical evidence of the eyes, years of engineering experience, and the rational mind are taken as the starting point of forensic analysis instead of the facile unproven theoretical expositions from the Pentagon and 'Popular Mechanics'. Indeed, she seems entirely fixated in her untiring efforts, to draw attention to, and elevate the threat from, the boogiemen 'pirates', who are now, in effect, made out to appear more real and less fictitious because real identifiable 'treasonous thugs' from within the US Government themselves sold the bad guys America's nuclear secrets for a song! (Sibel Edmonds' words, non-utterances, and omissions gleaned in only these references: <u>A B C D E F G H I J K L M N</u> as of 03/27/08)

Therefore, it is legitimate, it may be tortuously argued under certain ripe conditions, to nuclear decimate both Pakistan and Iran, in order to claim back America's stolen nuclear secrets that Ms. Edmonds is drawing attention to as an insider whistleblower. Especially if it's carried out in the guise of retaliatoryresponse to another even more horrendous 911 as President Bush himself prognosticated on February 13, 2008 is imminent: "terrorists are planning new attacks on our country ... that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison". Or even in response to another Gulf of Tonkin scenario that Congressman Ron Paul had prognosticated on January 15, 2007: "I am concerned, however, that a contrived Gulf of Tonkintype incident may occur to gain popular support for an attack on Iran". Or in response to perhaps an entirely different scenario that will appear so publicly shocking at the time of its occurrence that it would necessitate Martial Law in the United States, and hurriedly lead to nuclear 'retaliatory' "shock and awe" upon all pre-planned targets. That such reasons for nuclear retaliation and preemptive nuclear strikes are not far fetched is ominously foreshadowed in build-up statements from American military commanders such as this one reported in Pakistani newspaper Dawn on February 7, 2008: 'Defence officials told Congress on Wednesday that AI Qaeda is operating from havens in "under-governed regions" of Pakistan, which they said pose direct threats to Europe, the United States and the Pakistan government itself. ... Adm. Michael Mullen, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, predicted in written testimony that the next attack on the United States probably would be launched by terrorists in that region.' More of such ominous statements building up the boogleman in the Hindu Kush can be gleaned in this open letter to a Pakistani General warning Pakistan's leaders that they are unwittingly (or perhaps deliberately) suiciding that country by continuing to engage in the American fiction of the 'War on Terror' which has made Pakistan "Terror Central", the "very petri dish of international terrorism".

But it suffices to examine the above cited Adm. Michael Mullen's clairvoyance even with a modicum of one's own commonsense and a bit of un-indoctrinated rationalism.

"Next attack on the United States"? Is it so easy to attack a Goliath superpower isolated on a

continent with a natural coastline from sea to shining sea and which spends trillions of dollars on its hightech defense? Some barefoot mullahs with sticks and box cutters can so outwit the sole superpower and reduce it to such shambles that it has to enact draconian laws and deny its own civilians their own Constitutionally mandated Bill of Rights and Democratic existence? Then what's the point of all this defense spending if some "under-governed regions" of Pakistan can "pose direct threats to Europe, the United States"? Why not just take all that monies and put a roof over every man woman and child on the planet, provide everyone with clean drinking water, primary medical care, K-16 education, and lifetime livable-pension after retirement? And still have monies left over to build libraries, roads, and social services for all? That would most assuredly take the wind out of the sails of all terrorism - unless of course Daniel Pipes is to be believed, that it's not the peoples, but radical Islam and Islamism which is the enemy! That, it's "Not [even] a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians". Even there, such genuine altruism and world-benefaction would surely eliminate the fertile recruiting grounds that are harvested through economic conscription and dead bodies created by the imperial bombings itself! Thus if despite this enormous defense spending, the American militaryindustrial complex failed to protect the Americans that they now cannot even disclose their true identities in most countries of the world, and often have to pretend that they are Canadians, shouldn't some peoples be demanding that the Pentagon be dismantled and its enormous kitchen-sink budget used for the good of the majority of the ordinary peoples of the United States?

Because these statements from the American President and his military commander can only be true at the 'unbirthday party' with the 'Mad Hatter' and the 'Dormouse' boisterously singing the war song *"United We Stand"*, it is not difficult to imagine the purpose of this facile charade of governmental secrecy behind Ms. Edmonds' travails. Indeed, these FBI recordings may become a tortuous setup to authenticate the supposed '<u>nuclear signature</u>' of the fateful tipping point, wherein, nuclear attacks on targeted countries would finally be deemed acceptable by the public – when once, just contemplating its use was unimaginable because of the MAD deterrence that had kept the world precariously perched on the edge of Armageddon for 40 years. When the conditions are ripe, all of a sudden, Ms. Edmonds' wishes for Congressional inquiry may get granted! It will be determined that the nuclear signature of the terrorist event matched that of 'loose nukes' based on stolen American technology, hijacked from Pakistan by the Taliban and al-qaeeda, and squirreled into Iran and used by them to inflict shocking harm to the peoples and interests of the United States! For an outlandish scenario where this could plausibly be sold to the gullible American public no differently than the facile pretexts of WMDs to invade Iraq, see "Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard".

Beware of both, diabolically crafted, as well as inadvertently spun, endless trail of red herrings and dupes and patsies being made the pied pipers to hell. The 'technique of infamy' in its many variations is all pervasive in times of war, and this is, after all, 'World War IV', slated to last an entire lifetime! It will surely require highly inventive minds from 'Hollywood's script writers guild' to continually come up with increasingly compelling 'Alice in Wonderland' sequels to continue the pretexts for perpetual war!

There is, apparently, one <u>American nuclear bomb missing</u>! How will it be used? How many ways can another 'new pearl harbor' *"make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"*? If there is any terrorist or accidental nuclear event anywhere in the world, remember that the world's largest national security state had trivially misplaced or miscounted some nukes recently!

And lastly, Ms. Sibel Edmonds' conception of whistleblowing on national security matters is also greatly troubling. It is, by her own admission, entirely encapsulated in the envelop of 'legality' and 'officialese' with no obvious moral or international-law dimensions. She has apparently never heard of the penalty for "goosestep[ing] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers." In response to a question in this video speech (at minute 66:43) to the ALA in 2007, she elaborated that she felt that if it's a really genuine state-matter, like a secretive "very important covert operation", then it is okay to apply the 'state-secrets' privilege' to gag whistleblowers. She is only against it for its whimsical arbitrariness - not because of some moral principles. Thus if Iran, Pakistan, Syria, Lebanon et. al., are set up with deadly very important covert-ops – like the Israeli 'Lavon Affair' of not too distant past – to create pretexts to lay blame for crimes one did not commit and go nuclear bomb them to smithereens, or to destabilize nations because they sit on the vast quantities of natural resources that 'hectoring hegemons' covet in the name of 'national security', or because of some geostrategic significance in the calculus of American foreign policy interests (for a sampling of covert-ops warfare on Iran as American foreign policy interests, see <u>1</u>, <u>2</u>, <u>3</u>, <u>4</u>, <u>5</u>, <u>6</u>, <u>7</u>, <u>8</u>, <u>9</u>, <u>10</u>, <u>11</u>, <u>12</u>, <u>13</u>, <u>14</u>, <u>15</u>), then it is just dandy to apply 'state-secrets privilege'. And by implication, whatever she has unfortunately experienced as an institutionalized gagging and bi-partisan stonewalling to her whistleblowing, would be entirely legitimate in such international covert-ops premature disclosure cases! By further implication, just so long as America's own national security is not threatened, the world can go to hell!

Thus if a whistleblower, for instance, were to discover official receipts for the American covert footprints in the <u>destabilization of Tibet</u> as a proxy covert engagement of China in the on-going game of hegemony on the 'Grand Chessboard' – like its predecessor <u>Covert CIA Intervention in Afghanistan</u> – that would be fair game to squelch hard. If such disclosures can prevent officially sanctioned criminal wars and the death and destruction of the 'lesser humanity', they are still entitled to be gagged, because they might disclose a very secretive *"important covert operation"*! By her exposition, one can only conclude that gagging Daniel Ellsberg would also have been entirely legitimate because he did after all, reveal official state-secrets as the 'Pentagon Papers'. Thus while she justifiably, and very articulately, offers her narrative of how arbitrary draconian measures have curbed whistleblowing, *"there is no [effective] 911 for whistleblowers to call"* as she puts it, even if there was, so what? It does not enable covert-ops to be 'legally' disclosed before they lead to horrendous faits accomplis! Thus a courageous whistleblower has to approach the public directly in order to expose monumental crimes against humanity in any case at considerable risk of prosecution. So what is the fuss all about?

There is really no principled stance, that predatory covert-ops performed upon other nations to destabilize them, as precursors to prosecute wars of conquest and hegemony upon them, or to strangulate them, are not only illegal by international law, but also criminally immoral – even when done in the pretext of *"defensive" US military action'*, or as preemption in the name of *"national security"*! Lessons of Nuremberg are obviously only a victor's justice continually applied to the vanquished and the demonized, never to the saintly victors themselves!

Just the fact that Edmonds specifically uttered the words 'covert-ops' as an illustrative example of where all branches and offices of the American juggernaut can draw their line of national security and 'state-secrets privilege' boundaries, suggests that she is, in essence, just as much a 'hectoring hegemon' as any other who fits that definition. There is no condemnation from her, for instance, that can be gleaned

in any of the afore-cited references to her statements and websites, of the euphemism that has been used to construct the blood-drenched American empire: **'American foreign policy interests abroad'**!

Surely this is not what Ms. Sibel Edmonds intended, for she is most certainly a morally courageous and a genuinely patriotic person who dared to speak out. However, in the intelligence game of conquest and hegemony, a game that is as old as empire, a game in which Ms. Edmonds only accidentally stumbled into as a language translator, patsies and dupes are as essential to <u>imperial mobilization</u> as <u>foot soldiers</u> and <u>F16s</u>; as necessary as <u>NATO's Grand Strategies</u> for the <u>preemptive use of nuclear weapons to</u> <u>preserve the American way of life</u>; and as indispensable as <u>the World Bank and the IMF to harvest the global South of its resources</u>.

None of this information is particularly secret. In fact, all of it is a matter of <u>record</u>. Why Ms. Edmonds does not betray any sense of awareness of these blatantly obvious matters – and yet, she has endorsements from such erstwhile pioneers of whistleblowing as the respected <u>Daniel Ellsberg</u> *"I'd say what she has is far more explosive than the Pentagon Papers"*, and also the rapturous attention of almost the entire so called dissent-space which does not often agree on anything – is greatly troubling!

How does what she supposedly has, at all bring the impending nuclear attacks upon defenseless nations to an end even before they are launched? Can there be anything of higher importance and greater significance today than preemptive (or 'retaliatory') nuclear strikes by the United States, Israel, and/or NATO? How is anything that Sibel Edmonds has disclosed thus far (see reference A-N cited above) *"more explosive than the Pentagon Papers"* which catalyzed the ending of a barbaric and immoral war that had needlessly caused more than 50,000 Americans dead, and several million Vietnamese killed who still remain only a statistic in the West? What does Ellsberg know about it that hasn't been publicly disclosed? The prima facie impact of the focus on 'house-cleaning' due to 'incompetence' and 'lower-grade treasonous behavior' of supposedly 'selling nuclear secrets' ten years ago is to effectively keep the attention of the world sensationally distracted on Sibel Edmonds until the impending nuclear *"imperial mobilization"* which is only awaiting go-ahead orders from the White House is fait accompli!

Her obvious charm, and laudable exclusive focus (from the American public's perspective) on America's national security and its treasonous subversion as the cause célèbre of her whistleblowing, is a fantastic red herring when all attention ought to be focussed on preventing the impending immoral war on Iran and the destruction of new nations who refuse to <u>kowtow to Western imperialism</u>! Yes it <u>exists today</u>! Ask those who suffer it.

After going through all of the afore-cited references about her, Project Humanbeingsfirst is greatly concerned about the new red herring that has been crafted in the guise of Ms. Sibel Edmonds that is attracting the attention of the already tiny dissent-space! Another who partly tells the truth, but judiciously refrains from forensically examining the prime-mover behind this present *"imperial mobilization":* 911 being an inside job (the highest treason imaginable)! Or even recognizing that *"imperial mobilization"* is what's going on in the guise of *"War on Terrorism"* employing false and fabricated pretexts! Why such myopia?

It would be a different matter to reach the conclusion that 911 couldn't have been an inside job but only

an evil jihadi invasion from abroad after a forensic investigation. But to religiously proclaim, based on new found faith in the U.S. Government, which on the one hand, one accuses of being an 'Outlaw state' or full of treasonous peoples under the influence of foreign governments who have hijacked the American nation (as the case might be), and on the other, unquestioningly believes in their veracity of who did 911 and how it was done, despite the evidence of history and first hand confessional disclosures of governmental lies and deceit such as "Secrets – a Memoir of Vietnam and the Pentagon Papers", is a blatant nonsequitur.

An endorsement by the author of this memoir to its disproportional significance given the Armageddon that the world is perched on today, *"the major American media have been guilty of ignoring entirely the allegations of the courageous and highly credible source Sibel Edmonds"*, is an even greater nonsequitur.

While <u>Ellsberg</u> does disclose that it may in fact be *"a sensitive covert operation [which] appear to be [as] if it were truly presidentially authorized*", he, and surely inadvertently, re-spins the famous 'blowback' theory *"giving us the Pakistani bomb"* as a convenient pre-explanation of any future nuclear terrorist act that is attributed to Pakistani 'loose nukes' by the United States and its forensic agencies but whose nuclear signature as determined by the Russians unfortunately matches American technology:

'In support of the official cover-up, various American journalists in the last weeks have reportedly received calls from "intelligence sources" hinting that "what Sibel Edmonds stumbled onto" is not a rogue operation by American officials and congressmen working to their own advantage -- as believed by Edmonds and some other former or active FBI officials -- but a sensitive covert operation authorized at high levels.

If there is any truth to that, we clearly have another prize candidate -- giving us as blowback the Pakistani Bomb and nuclear sales -- in the category of "worst covert operation in U.S. history": rivaling such contenders as the Bay of Pigs, Iran-Contra, and the secret CIA torture camps abroad. '

Perhaps there are real compelling secrets that Ellsberg and Edmonds are privy to that have yet to be disclosed to the public! If there are, please don't wait in the name of 'national security' until another civilization is criminally laid to nuclear rubbles at the hands of your own 'hectoring hegemons'! <u>Ellsberg</u> however is indeed on the mark when he notes covert-ops as being *"criminal"*:

' "Sensitive" and "covert" are often synonyms for "half-assed" 'or "idiotic," as well as for "criminal," as the pattern of activities revealed by Edmonds would appear to be if it were truly presidentially authorized.'

And thus Sibel Edmonds eagerly accepting *"very important covert-ops"* to be silenced under 'statesecrets privilege' is incredibly telling of the red herring nature of her disclosures. Almost as if, perhaps unwittingly, she has become one of the 'good-cop bad-cop' team members. Can't tell which one. But it matters little, for both have the pursuit of the same goals as their overarching mission statement. In a tortuous way, she is echoing the policy of the Pentagon and its DIA, and its children the CIA, the NSA, the ABC and the XYZ – keep criminal covert-ops a secret, until at least they are fait accompli! Such is the case with <u>Brzezinski's and Gates'</u> confessions regarding CIA's covert interventions in Afghanistan. President Jimmy Carter even won a Nobel Peace Prize – despite this blood-drenched covert operation having been initiated during his watch, and upon his approval – after an entire civilization was decimated to hand the *"USSR its Vietnam War"*!

Not uncharacteristic of new immigrants escaping from their native hell-holes, it is also almost as if yet another new 'native informant' has been created. This one successively <u>escaping</u> from oppression first in native Iran, and then in first adopted country Turkey. One whose family was victimized and tortured, by her own <u>admission</u> of these atrocities, and who so aspires for the Western freedoms that her second adopted homeland promised to offer but let her down, that its single minded tenacious pursuit almost blinds her to the glaring, shitting, trumpeting elephant in the newlywed's bed! After listening to her speech on video and learning of her imposing educational credentials and <u>multicultural-multilingual</u> background, while a rational mind is unwilling to accept that she is like a typical indoctrinated American who has bought into the mantra of "war on terrorism", it is also unable to accept her as entirely objective. The only inescapable conclusion that remains in the light of this essay, and which certainly begs a critical examination by the reader, is that she is unwittingly being made a patsy due to her natural proclivities. It could be just an overactive imagination, but effectively, a rebel has been 'constructed' who is focussing the attention of the world on irrelevance in the calculus of on-going moves on the 'Grand Chessboard'.

Without a congenial psychological profile, especially for a foreigner and naturalized citizen that Ms. Edmonds is, no one can surely get to work for the FBI, or for any intelligence agency to begin with, let alone be given top security clearance for translation work from Middle Eastern languages. I doubt very much if there are any turban wearing mullahs translating Pushto or Dari into English for the FBI at this time – but surely they were enlisted during the CIA's Intervention in Afghanistan because then, they were ideologically congenial as *"moral equivalent of our founding fathers"*! And I also doubt very much that the FBI will ever ask me to translate my native language Urdu for them, or give me top security clearance even if I was willing, regardless of how short-handed they were, while knowing that I have not been fooled by the fiction of "war on terror". And while Ms. Edmonds has indeed courageously dissented, the bottom line is that she has also very willingly retained several very congenial religious axioms of "911" and the "war on terror" upon which premise she has constructed all of her whistleblowing and interpretations. At least for everything that has been publicly reported, and due to which, she makes an ideal manufactured dissent. It is great to have such self-controlled and self-policing dissenters in America – from the viewpoint of 'empire'!

Some 'hectoring hegemons' somewhere surely must have un-gentle smiles slowly uncurling upon their 'ubermensch' <u>Straussian lips</u> at the prospect of tortuously reaping multiple harvests from a single seed!

Manufacturing consent to keep the masses acquiesced to *"imperial mobilization"* is plenty understood. Manufacturing dissent to keep the handful of courageous gadflies, who invariably rise to oppose it, endlessly busy pursuing the various lies, red herrings, and the 'low order bits' which do not penetrate to the core that matters, in the 'technique of infamy', has been least examined by the so called profound intellectuals of modernity. See its superficial first order examination by a mere plebeian: "<u>Responsibility</u> of Intellectuals – Redux"! The overarching first principles of imperial mobilization that, if we are wise, should become the touchstone of all forensic unraveling of secrets and interpretation of 'contemporary history', were brilliantly captured by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his book 'The Grand Chessboard' thusly:

"the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization."

And also additionally elaborated upon by Noam Chomsky in his book "<u>Rogue States</u>" by highlighting comments from a 1995 study by the U.S. Strategic Command:

'A secret 1995 study of the Strategic Command, which is responsible for the strategic nuclear arsenal, outlines the basic thinking. Released through the Freedom of Information Act, the study, Essentials of Post-Cold War Deterrence, "shows how the United States shifted its deterrent strategy from the defunct Soviet Union to so-called rogue states such as Iraq, Libya, Cuba and North Korea," AP reports. The study advocates that the US exploit its nuclear arsenal to portray itself as "irrational and vindictive if its vital interests are attacked." That "should be a part of the national persona we project to all adversaries," in particular the "rogue states." "It hurts to portray ourselves as too fully rational and cool-headed," let alone committed to such silliness as international law and treaty obligations. "The fact that some elements" of the US government "may appear to be potentially 'out of control' can be beneficial to creating and reinforcing fears and doubts within the minds of an adversary's decision makers." The report resurrects Nixon's "madman theory": our enemies should recognize that we are crazed and unpredictable,...'

Indeed, deliberately cultivated 'crazed and unpredictable', and 'out of control' persona explains the facile 'selling' of America's nuclear secrets as an authorized and sanctioned official covert-ops at the highest levels far more convincingly than any rogue foreign spies running rings around the <u>world's largest</u> <u>national security state</u> as Ms. Edmond's own interpretation of her disclosures would have the world believe! Its possible geostrategic significance on the 'Grand Chessboard' was briefly examined from the calculus of 'checking' China and India in <u>this letter to Sibel Edmonds</u>. And with that psyops persona as the backdrop, the crazed superpower actually acting that way as a wounded elephant in response to 911 works great for *"imperial mobilization"*. And for deflection purposes in case public criticism of official foreign policy becomes too loud, *'blowback'* theories and 'incompetence' make wonderful red herrings to explain away premeditated execution of international monumental crimes for which adverse consequences to the national security of the hectoring state were inadvertently not anticipated! Right, as if brilliant-morons are building empire! Only in a 3-stooges Hollywood spoof, or in Alice's Wonderland, mes amis!

The veritable proof of the empirical validity of this assessment is in the many ex post facto 'we are shocked at the incompetence' type statements of feigned surprise, like this famous one:

"We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure," (Iraq Study Group report, March 31, 2005)

And to forensically relate this bit of disingenuousness of not too distant history from the highest levels of the United States Government, to the present, in order to prevent an abhorrent future, here is the dark meat of the matter:

"As I said last week on the House floor, speculation in Washington focuses on when, not if, either Israel or the U.S. will bomb Iran-- possibly with nuclear weapons. The accusation sounds very familiar: namely, that Iran possesses weapons of mass destruction. Iran has never been found in violation of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and our own Central Intelligence Agency says Iran is more than ten years away from producing any kind of nuclear weapon. Yet we are told we must act immediately while we still can!" (Ron Paul, January 15, 2007)

Caveat lector: the endless red herrings cleverly spun by the hectoring hegemons. There must be only one immediate, near term, and long term goal for the equitable security (and prosperity) of all peoples on the planet Earth so long as there remains an imbalance of power among us, so long as there remains in 'hectoring hegemons' seeking "*full spectrum dominance*" among us, and so long as there remains an absence of "*full spectrum deterrence*" to "*the pursuit of power*", for indeed, "*hegemony is as old as mankind*": **prevent new "defensive U.S. military action"**. Anything, including all acts, revelations, and magical mantras that distract from this goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible public and its <u>intellectual dissenting-chiefs</u> as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated *"imperial mobilization*". As President Bush said it – *either you are with us, or with the terrorists!* And St. Augustine defined that term quite unambiguously 16 centuries ago:

"When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.' "

If there is reason for the United States to bomb any country in 'self-defense', demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great democracy speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire <u>innocent blood on its hands</u>! Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they vote yes to invade other nations, they must be willing to sign up for it themselves first – instead of having a draft of economic conscription!

A commonsensical and calculated demand such as this made today, right now, to be adjudicated upon in Congressional public hearings and converted into law, is infinitely more sensible for assuring America's national security given the hundred+ year history of deception for "*imperial mobilization*" by this nation's Executive branch with willing complicity from its entire ruling elite from legislature to newsmedia – as evidenced from the USS Maine to the WMDs – and which today rings the entire globe with more than <u>700 of its military bases</u>.

It is the only efficacious American peoples' protocol for arresting the cancer of "*imperial mobilization*" in its tracks with all its concomitant benefits directly accruing to the ordinary peoples of this nation – from increased social spending to a safer world for them to vacation in, to proudly disclose in far away places that they are American without the fear of being gunned down. Other collective protocols by the rest of the planet's peoples may not be so benign.

* To glimpse an instance of this genre of would be 'world conquerers', see the list of contributors at the back page of this <u>document</u>. To learn how to unmask them publicly leaving them no place to hide except in a 'Spandau', and before they can inflict their monumental crimes upon a 'lesser humanity', see the Project Humanbeingsfirst's Dialog Algorithm in this <u>document</u>.

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents <u>here</u>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <u>http://PrisonersoftheCave.org</u>. He may be reached at <u>http://Humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <u>http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html</u>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <u>http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html</u>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <u>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html</u>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <u>http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org</u>.

Beware of Red Herrings on Nuclear Security 13 / 13 spun by Hectoring Hegemons