'Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization"

(and the first nuclear attack since 1945, on Iran-Pakistan)

Zahir Ebrahim

April 17, 2008.

Updated April 22, 2008.

© Project HumanbeingsfirstTM. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080417 URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.org. | Print | PDF | Comment.

The abominable shared fates that unite Iran ("Bush and Iran, again", WSJ April 15, 2008), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan "Terror Central" in 2007, to this week, April 13, 2008, 'clairvoyantly' asserting that "If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan". And as already noted in its March 29, 2008 heads-up warning to America by Project HumanbeingsfirstTM ("Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!"), when such a "planned" attack transpires, it "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008). That heads-up warning also suggested creating a new peoples institutional barrier against the wanton pursuit of "imperial mobilization" that is otherwise almost trivially enabled by any "new Pearl Harbor" ("How to derail 'imperial mobilization"). Simultaneously, a rational preemptive self-defense strategy to further deter such Machiavellianly orchestrated "'defensive' US military action" (Brzezinski, Feb. 1, 2007) was also spelled out for the Pakistani ruling elite by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its December 21, 2007 wakeup call ("Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!").

Neither heads-up warnings are very un-obvious, and both set-ups have been long time in the making, from even before "Inside Iran's Secret War for Iraq", <u>Time August 15, 2005</u>, which followed on the heels of the famous "all options are on the table", to Bush speech, <u>Jan 10</u>, 2007, to "Iran's secret plan", Guardian, <u>May 22 2007</u>, to Petraeus' finally holding up the 'smoking gun' on <u>April 09, 2008</u>. And yet, remarkably, no visible rational self-defense is transpiring. With the American President's now exact statements on Pakistan, which seem to be the culmination of systematically built-up statements on both Iran and Pakistan from

American military commanders and intelligence chiefs over the past years, it should be obvious to even the biggest dunces in Pakistan that another nuclear terrorist event anywhere in the world, will directly be blamed upon Pakistan (and Iran). With NATO and American troops already amassed at its borders in significant numbers to take the plunge into Pakistan en flying-route to Tehran at a moments notice from the White House, there will be absolutely no time after crossing the tipping point in America which "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".

And yet, it is necessary to emphasize again, no effective self-defense by any victim is transpiring, as beleaguered Iran is left standing alone by the world to become the next meal of "primacy" of the 'Hectoring Hegemons'.

It is evident that the Pakistani leadership is entirely co-opted into <u>systematically suiciding that nation</u> by its prancing about at the "unbirthday party" with the "Mad Hatter" for the past eight years. Today it appears entirely natural that the United States should just walk right in to 'save' the Pakistanis from the 'radical islamists'. This was quite unimaginable just a few years ago, but every grotesque bomb blast, every few dozen peoples killed in it, every act of extreme violence, political violence, demonstrations, and uncertainty created by 'loose nukes' mantras, culminating in the grotesque assassination of <u>Benazir Bhutto</u>, now makes it the most natural next step for the "truly global superpower". A deliberate orchestration of "revolutionary times" in Pakistan to make "what is inconceivable in normal times possible in revolutionary times".

'Why' is rather obvious once again. But for those who do not bother to study the "primacy" craftsmanship of the 'hectoring hegemons', it is about the repartitioning of Pakistan to primarily create an independent and geostrategically significant 'Baluchistan' nation – much like Kosovo's orchestrated independence from Serbia – while finally de-nuking Pakistan! This is only the phase-1 of the repartition plan through violently induced "birth pangs of a new Middle East", whereby, "whatever we do we have to be certain that we're pushing forward to the new Middle East not going back to the old one." (Rice, July 21, 2006)

See for instance, the <u>Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East</u>, or the similar <u>Lieutenant-Colonel Ralph Peters' map for the New Middle East</u>, in order to understand what "pushing forward" looks like in the minds of the 'hectoring hegemons'. The key point to note is not the precise new boundary lines, or its merits, but that there should be any new boundary lines forcibly drawn by anyone other than willingly by the peoples who live in these regions!

And today, the superpower is increasingly making higher levels of intrusive demands as a prelude to complete take over, as in Iraq, from allowing its citizen-staff to enter Pakistan at anytime on merely the American driver's license as identification (dispensing with visa and passport), to the point of now having a military liaison into Pakistan's Nuclear Command Authority from the American Embassy in Islamabad (See "US goes for the jugular in Pakistan", The Times of India, April 15, 2008). The invasion of both Iran and Pakistan are to be lock-step, as presciently outlined in the December 21, 2007 Wakeup call to the Pakistani ruling elite, which followed on the heels of an earlier very detailed Open Letter to a Pakistani General by Project Humanbeingsfirst (see "Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses", November 30, 2007). But nothing. No newsmedia in Pakistan picked any of these warnings to print, nor did any of the famed columnists who fill the pages of Pakistani newspapers dared to comment on it publicly

in their own columns.

The United States public too has been so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the "sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being", that the latest public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, shows "Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,". And this "enemy" is going to spring Martial law in America, sooner rather than later. Needless to say, none of the American newsmedia, including both NYT and LAT, have bothered to print any of Project Humanbeingsfirst's analyses. It is crucially important to state this now because soon, after the tipping point is crossed, or perhaps after the nuclear Rubicon is crossed, both nations' newsmedia will come screaming "eureka", ex post facto! Or perhaps it will be the historians!

In order to continually remind the deftly primed American and Western public that all this is indeed pre-meditated Orwellian orchestration of "imperial mobilization" and not just mere happenstance in reaction to chaotically unfolding global events due to GWOT against some super-resourceful 'Islamist pirates' hiding in the Hindu Kush mountains in Pakistan's border regions from whence, the absurdity of the immanent threat to the armed to the teeth superpower is today, April 17, 2008, noted as "Elevated", it is educational to revisit Zbigniew Brzezinski and thank him for explaining the imperial insights and superpower aspirations so unabashedly in 'the Grand Chessboard'. If only the people in America would read their own 'Mein Kampfs' and try to appreciate the "full spectrum" institutional frameworks of the mighty state under which it is being deployed:

"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization."

"Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties."

And thus all can see just how effectively "Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard"; and based on the sociological insights into power and the "populist" impediments to its supreme flaunting, just how effectively mass communications have been utilized to co-opt the "democratic instincts" of the American public (see "Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization"). And as this LA Times oped "Target: Bin Laden" of April 13, 2008, shows, the mainstream American news organizations continue to provide ample space for perpetuating the mythology of Bin Laden as the quintessential boogieman enabler of "imperial mobilization" – from 911 to WMD, and now to Pakistan and Iran – while the FBI itself does not put that name on its own most wanted list in that connection, noting:

"The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on <u>Usama Bin Laden's Most Wanted page</u> is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11. Bin Laden has not been formally charged in connection to 9/11." (Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for the FBI, speaking to the Muckraker Report on <u>June 5, 2006</u>.)

The courageous Muckraker, as no more than just an ordinary member of the American civil society, then shows the minimum indignation that is expected from any decent conscionable human being irrespective of their indoctrination level and their belief in the "United we Stand" with the State at the 'unbirthday party':

"Through the talking heads in the mainstream media, the Bush Administration told the American people that Usama Bin Laden was Public Enemy Number One and responsible for the deaths of nearly 3000 people on September 11, 2001. Yet nearly five years later, the FBI says that it has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11."

"Remember, on June 5, 2006, FBI spokesman, Chief of Investigative Publicity Rex Tomb said, "The FBI has no hard evidence connecting Usama Bin Laden to 9/11." This should be headline news worldwide. The challenge to the reader is to find out why it is not. Why has the U.S. media blindly read the government-provided 9/11 scripts, rather than investigate without passion, prejudice, or bias, the events of September 11, 2001? Why has the U.S. media blacklisted any guest that might speak of a government sponsored 9/11 coverup, rather than seeking out those people who have something to say about 9/11 that is contrary to the government's account?"

To add to the narrative, the New York Times, rather surprisingly, ran a front-page exposé on Sunday, April 20, 2008, headlined: "Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand". It has to be read in full in order to appreciate its import – after the fact of course, the invasion of Iraq now being fait accompli. And that is precisely the "important role" of not just the "mass communications", but also "the doctrinal motivations, intellectual commitment" in pursuing the mantra of 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeeda', for on the heels of a "catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new pearl harbor" (PNAC, page 51), it provides the much needed "patriotic gratification" to enable "imperial mobilization" in a "populist democracy", as is now evident.

One cannot ignore the fact that the New York Times itself played its own role dutifully at the time pushing the mantra of WMDs in Iraq, which followed upon the heels of the mantra of 'Bin Laden' doing 9/11, and continues to do so even today pushing the new mantra of 'loose nukes' that 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeeda' will hijack, and the mantra of 'Iranian WMDs', in order to help synthesize public opinion for the new wars on Iran, Pakistan, and Syria. Ex post facto, even George Bush will write a book detailing his masterful role in constructing the New World Order.

Indeed, Project HumanbeingsfirstTM 'clairvoyantly' predicts that the scientific study finally published in a peer-reviewed Civil Engineering online Journal only this past week, on how the three WTC towers (WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7) collapsed so suddenly at almost free fall speed as if it were controlled demolition, titled "Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the World Trade Center Destruction", ever gains mainstream traction before the tipping point, it will be attempted to be blamed upon 'Bin Laden'. It will be asserted by newsmedia, by the Pentagon, the White House, and by the scholars and technicians of 'empire', that the only obvious candidate who had the capability to pull off such an outrageous controlled demolition on America, is 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeeda'! When that transpires, I hope that these bastions of 'ubermensch' intellect will at least have the sense to get the FBI to first update their most wanted poster of Usama Bin Laden! Its snapshot, taken on April 22, 2008, is archived for the disingenuous "eureka" moment of posterity similar to the one displayed by the afore-stated Sunday NYT, and by the 2005 Iraq Study group, at: http://tinyurl.com/5swdoq.

It has today become necessary to state blatant obviousness explicitly: All those pushing the mantras of the Pentagon and the White House, regardless of its 'well argued' rationales at the time, are active and complicit participants in synthesizing "doctrinal motivations" which span the gamut of manufactured consent, to manufactured dissent.

This criminal priming of the "populist democracy" now naturally enables "the first, only, and last truly global superpower" to be fully "autocratic abroad" in its unlimited "capacity for military intimidation". Please note how Brzezinski concludes the aspirations of the truly global superpower:

"Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower."

And it is precisely in that overarching "Geostrategic ... cause", that Iran and Pakistan are imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block, while some American city is made expendable to create the new "catalyzing event" for "imperial mobilization" that "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison".

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down a superpower to its knees, forcing it to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and its peoples to lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties, then they are surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story. I used to invent such tales while putting my kids to bed when they were younger, and in my stories, the 'Ali Baba' was a Herculean Mr. Good-

deeds and Mother Teresa rolled into one – flying off to far away places on his magic carpet with his band of 40 (along with his wife and children who were, only co-incidentally, named after my own), and with his awesome powers of Superman, solving all the problems of the world. But I think the 'empire's' rendition of 'Ali Baba' is more effective for *"imperial mobilization"*.

It is pointless debating and dissecting the ever changing 'leaves of the tree' in the battlefields of conquests (as in this battlefield analysis '<u>Iran should be "Set Up for an Attack"</u>) when the matters are plainly manifest in its DNA, and in the illegality, by international law, of "goosestep [ping] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers" (see "The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'" and "Nuremberg: The grotesquely dancing trumpeting elephant in the newlywed's bed!"). It would do well for the few remaining sane and conscionable peoples in America, its handful of un co-opted media persons, politicians, thinkers, peace activists and others who care about their nation and seek to effectively overturn the hydra of "full spectrum dominance", to stay focussed on the DNA of the 'tree': the "supreme international crime" that is the alpha and omega of the matter.

It is the prima facie all encompassing harbinger of "all the evil that follows", as defined at Nuremberg, and so must remain the necessary and sufficient first focus for stopping "imperial mobilization" by unequivocally charging it as "the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole". Further analysis of its vagaries in various battlefields of conquest is superfluous when the highest order bit is to stop it before the nuclear Rubicon is crossed. If the conscionable peoples in the world and their national leaderships cannot even unite in the definition of what is crime, and what is the "supreme" crime, and cannot channel their collective energies to a single point of focus on deterring the "supreme international crime", matters are already fait accompli.

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents here), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at http://PrisonersoftheCave.org. He may be reached at http://Humanbeingsfirst.org.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project HumanbeingsfirstTM, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org.