

The Prized Negroes of Pakistan

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

March 26, 2009

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20090326 URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org>. | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (Martin Luther King).

I cannot but help completely agree with the greatest Pakistani physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy whose unsurpassed political brilliance routinely penetrates all that ails Pakistan. He is once again quoted in the foreign press, in this instance in the New York Times of [March 25, 2009](http://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/25), in their Mighty Wurlitzer's neurolinguistic programming piece **“U.S. Weighs Sharif as Possible Partner”**, astutely observing:

“Nawaz Sharif is a reflection of Pakistani society,” said Pervez Hoodbhoy, a physicist and a critic of current government policies. *“He is silent on what matters most: the insurgency. What we need is a leader.”*

The fantastic points of agreements I have with prodigy scientist Pervez Hoodbhoy are entirely captured in that one short passage. It is quite an honor for me to have such a distinguished Pakistani physicist reflect my own humble contentions which no instrument of the patricians will

otherwise ever bother to quote or cite, for a lowly plebeian can seldom find a place-setting at their high Tea Parties:

1: “Nawaz Sharif is a reflection of Pakistani society,”

Undoubtedly, the Pakistani society was galvanized by Nawaz Sharif's catalyzing chutzpah to finally overcome their years of apathy to courageously assert: ***I have had it up to my neck and am not going to take it anymore.*** Felicitations to the Pakistani society were noted in the [Open Letter to Aitzaz Ahsan of March 16, 2009](#) [1].

2: “He is silent on what matters most: the insurgency.”

Indubitably, ***“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.”***

Pervez Hoodbhoy's insightful lament on silence about *“what matters most”* I am sure, principally stems only from his idealistic concerns of its propagandistic impact on social discourse as expressed by Aldous Huxley above, and therefore, must also include all pertinent omissions of crucial significance, including those noted in the [OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD March 17, 2009](#) [2]:

- a) never asserting that stopping the drone attacks from Pakistani territories is your mandate;
- b) never asserting that Pakistan military's job is to defend the nation and its peoples from external threats and not to wage war upon its own innocent peoples, men, women, and children, at the behest of, and payment from, those threatening the country's very existence;
- c) never asserting that the 'war on terror' is a manufactured fiction that is sinking Pakistan into oblivion;
- d) never asserting that 911 was a false-flag operation to create the pretext for a “New Pearl Harbor” to enable pre-planned “imperial mobilization” enroute to 'one-world' government;
- e) and most importantly, never unequivocally asserting that this is NOT PAKISTAN'S WAR and that we must DISENGAGE NOW!

3. “What we need is a leader.”

Agreeing with Pervez Hoodbhoy once again in what is palpably a universal truth, the dire urgency for unco-opted national leadership before the existential question of **“To be or not to be”** is unequivocally answered by the hectoring hegemon on their terms, is unapologetically spelled out in [The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan March 21, 2009](#) [3].

The fact that these remarkable three points of agreement are not insignificant for the future of Pakistan, is demonstrated by the same New York Times article which further elaborated on how Machiavelli is to be employed by the native-informants to harness the public's sentiments in order to credibly foster the American Agenda:

'Maleeha Lodhi, a former Pakistani ambassador to the United States, said Washington's suspicions of Mr. Sharif might actually be helpful. *“He is sufficiently distanced from the United States to be a credible partner in the eyes of Pakistanis,”* she said.'

Not to have the emerging leader be outshined by a mere former ambassador, the New York Times also cites Mr. Nawaz Sharif as voluntarily being part of the American equation provided all his buttons are pressed in the right order:

'Mr. Sharif, in a recent interview, emphasized the similarities between the approach he would take toward militancy and that currently being discussed in Washington, including separating the Taliban, whose members can be talked to, from Al Qaeda, whose adherents cannot.'

And to doubly ensure that any newly installed legal maestro wouldn't be an impediment to the American Agenda in Pakistan, the New York Times further notes what those right buttons might be:

'Aitzaz Ahsan, the leader of the lawyers' movement, said it would not be difficult for the United States to work with Mr. Sharif. On March 15, the Sunday of the protest, Mr. Ahsan accompanied Mr. Sharif in a two-and-a-half-ton, bulletproof Land Cruiser, as the men were swamped by crowds.

Their time together, Mr. Ahsan said, revealed an important characteristic about Mr. Sharif that Washington should know. *“He's about personal relationships,”* he said. *“If you befriend him, you can get him to move mountains.”*

Indeed, **“If you befriend him, you can get him to move mountains”** to foster the white man's agenda.

Meanwhile, the illustrious Pakistani press, itself guilty of Pervez Hoodbhoy's lament on silence on what matters most, unforensically report in their usual stenographer style on the two pathetic sides of the same counterfeit coin [here](#) [4] and [here](#) [5], irredeemably proving once again, what colour the imperial god of Pakistan's rulers really is. Little is left to the imagination, and in no less measure than in the construction of [White House puppets](#) [6] in the United States, to congenitally join at the hip, the unglamorous fate as well as possible redemption, of both nations' peoples.

[Lord Macaulay](#) [7] would be immensely proud of his creation for surely he could not have possibly imagined his enduring colonizing success when he hath proclaimed:

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect.” (Thomas Babington Macaulay)

This 'brown Negro' has now permanently metamorphosed ***“from [being] the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro.”***

The only way to prove this observation obsolete is to stand up to the white man's burden, you prized Negroes of Pakistan!

Footnotes

[1] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-aitzaz-ahsan-mar162009.html>

[2] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-nawaz-sharif-mar172009.html>

[3] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/day-after-dawn-mar212009.html>

[4] The News, March 26, 2009, US weighs Nawaz as partner in Pakistan, http://thenews.jang.com.pk/top_story_detail.asp?Id=21149

[5] The News, March 25, 2009, Zardari may meet Holbrooke as Haqqani dashes to Dubai http://thenews.jang.com.pk/top_story_detail.asp?Id=21142

[6] <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html>

[7] Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute of 2 February 1835 'On Indian Education'
<http://shelterbelt.com/ARCHIVE/macaulayminutes.html>

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

032620091157351393