Open Letter to Steve McIntyre : The 'Highest Order Bit' of Climategate is being ignored by Scientists – WHY?

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Sunday, December 06, 2009, 9:00 AM. | Revised Monday Dec. 07, 2009, 6:00 PM.

Dear Mr. Steve McIntyre,

Hello,

I would like to begin by thanking you for your good forensic science work, and for your innate commonsense in ab initio sensing what naturally didn't sound right about climate-science's proclamations. But I would also like to express my disappointment that you have stopped a tad short of unraveling the social engineering that lies just behind the thin facade of this megafunded science of Global Warming. Proving to the mainstream science skeptics that it was fictitious may have been deemed an important first step in unraveling the science of its deception for those of scientific acumen. But stopping there is unforgivable as is the absence of any demonstrated political acumen.

Therefore, I write this provocative open letter to you Mr. McIntyre in the hope of soliciting a response from the 'uber alles' science community who appear to me to be so enamored by their own brilliance to want to pay any attention to the Zen of Climategate. The debate among the learned men and women of science on your website, as on others', as in the mainstream newsmedia when it shows up on rare occasion, and in the hallways of the academe, is entirely concentrated "on the finger pointing away at the moon" instead of looking at the moon, thus missing all that imperial glory of science in the service of empire.

The urgency of paying immediate heed to the Zen of Science as primarily a hegemonic instrument of empire is also underscored in the <u>Open Letter to Congress</u> written by institutional Climate Experts on empire's payroll, stating, interalia:

"As U.S. scientists with substantial expertise on climate change and its impacts on natural ecosystems, our built environment and human well-being, we want to assure policy makers and the public of the integrity of

the underlying scientific research and the need for urgent action to reduce heat-trapping emissions. In the last few weeks, opponents of taking action on climate change have misrepresented both the content and the significance of stolen emails to obscure public understanding of climate science and the scientific process.

We would like to set the record straight.

The body of evidence that human activity is the dominant cause of global warming is overwhelming.

The content of the stolen emails has no impact whatsoever on our overall understanding that human activity is driving dangerous levels of global warming. The scientific process depends on open access to methodology, data, and a rigorous peer-review process. The robust exchange of ideas in the peer-reviewed literature regarding climate science is evidence of the high degree of integrity in this process.

... We urge you to take account of this as you make decisions on climate policy."

There is an undeniable imperial agenda at play here just as there has always been in all empires of yore. After all: "Hegemony is as old as mankind." To ignore that bit of grotesque truism of Zbigniew Brzezinski from his book "The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives" is to be incredibly naïve in a modernity that is entirely ruled by sophisticated political science and Machiavelli. The presumption that scientists today are somehow aboveboard with respect to this New World Order's "la mission civilisatrice" – the diabolical orchestrations for world government – is both pernicious as well as self-serving. This is also why the urging of establishment's own self-proclaimed brilliant scientists to the U.S. Congress: "We, As U.S. scientists with substantial expertise on climate change, urge you to take account of this as you make decisions on climate policy", cannot be blithely taken at face value devoid of the examination of forces and motivations which drive them. As should be patently obvious, the letter is nothing but an Edward Bernays special (http://tinyurl.com/EdwardBernays) for continuing on with the molding of public opinion. It works in manufacturing consent because there is an implied equivalence vicariously nurtured for the public's feeble imagination between intellect and morality. There is of course none.

I remain un-impressed by scientists who tend to accord themselves a neuronal stature of no less 'uber alles' than the intellectual who speciously waxes "It is the responsibility of intellectuals to speak the truth and to expose lies" by pretentiously assuming that the intellectual – one with presumably more neuronal states – is necessarily more moral by virtue of having a higher intellect than any other ordinary member of the society. All of that is fleshed out here (http://tinyurl.com/IntellectualsNewspeak) and is just as applicable to 'uber' scientists as to 'uber' intellectuals. How are either all that much different from the paltry politician who opens wide to serve imperial masters has always escaped my plebeian imagination. Therefore, I wish to re-anchor the debate on climate-science and climategate away from all 'ubermensch' politicians' penchants, urgings, and recommendations, to what is the 'highest order bit' of the political matter.

Science impacts me; the work of scientists impacts me; their bombs impact me; their pandemics impact me; and so do their vaccines; their NIST report impacts me; the technetronic* revolution which they have wrought impacts me; their silence impacts me; their endorsement of prevailing wisdoms impact me; their Nobel Prizes impact me; and most assuredly, their mantra of Global Warming impacts me to control my 'carbon credits', including perhaps even my farts as my farming.

As an ordinary commonman, I'll be damned if I let scientists and politicians continue to dictate to me what is right and what isn't about Climategate, about my unquestioningly funding their science and their self-delusions, when it entirely impacts my very existence, my very survival. So, I wish to have my say in their midst and I do so humbly demand by way of this Open Letter to you Mr. Steve McIntyre – one who has boldly dared to go against the very grain of establishment's science with a Galilean and Herculean courage which has been vindicated by Climategate itself – that since all their 'toys and things' impact me directly, that they also pay attention to my gripe. Refute it if they disagree, educate it if it is naive, flesh it out further if it is merely skeletal, or agree with it if it is properly formulated. But damn it don't ignore it in incestuous arrogance because I don't have establishment's 'Expert' stamped on my forehead.

I sense that scientists in general are often the most challenged when it comes to comprehending social engineering, and/or employing moral commonsense. And I say that with the deepest of humility as it is an empirical statement. And I offer as evidence, since it is often hard to see the mote in one's own eyes, the undeniable fact of the Third Reich.

Would Nazi scientists, while enjoying the fruits and 'ubermensch' aura of the Third Reich, have

ever condemned themselves for putting science in the service of their Führer's quest for Lebensraum? Or even perceived of their own natural indoctrination and/or co-option despite their sheer brilliance? And what amoral brilliance did they possess, exhibiting such bold blind-passion for their sciences to be immediately re-hired through Operation Paperclip to continue furthering their narrow interests in service to the new masters without even batting an eyelid. One of them even famously remarked: "Once the rockets are up, who cares where they come down?"! (Dr. Wernher von Braun)

So on what basis are those in the Fourth expected to be able to escape its "Banality of Evil"? Or to proclaim themselves more moral and conscionable than the rest of society which "United We Stand" in seeking "full spectrum dominance" as its own 'ubermensch' divineright to its 'Lebensraum'? Is science in the full service of empire a novelty for the Western mind? Well, they are rather late in their rude awakening if they are to learn of it now from a lowly plebeian as 'new born babies'!

Virtually all of modernity is constructed by science and scientists blindly serving their paymasters who entirely fund them. And all can see where that has perched humanity today. As Bertrand Russell argued in his misanthropic but amorally insightful glimpse into the 'ubermensch' mind devoid of moral leanings, in his 1952 book "Impact of Science on Society", that the technetronic revolution would logically culminate in "World government [which] could only be kept in being by force" (especially see chapter 7: "Can a Scientific Society be Stable?").

The global warming 'science' is an undeniable part of that impetus for achieving that 'stable society' of the whiteman worldwide. The amorality exhibited by "military-style objectivity" and "avoidance of preconceived value assumptions" in recommendations like the 1966 "Report from Iron Mountain" only underscores the necessity of putting science diabolically in the service of empire (especially see "Ecological" in Section 6: "SUBSTITUTES FOR THE FUNCTIONS OF WAR"). The population control agenda for the "stable society" continually keeps rearing its head in the plethora of writings from philosophers to state-craftsmen. Witness the National Security Study Memorandum 200, the once classified NSC report written by Henry Kissinger in 1974, and obligingly signed off by President Gerald Ford as the National Security Decision Memorandum 314 in 1975 (especially see the section in NSSM 200 titled "An Alternative View").

Statements like "It is the destiny of the pure Aryan Anglo-Saxon race to dominate the

world and kill off or else reduce to a servile status all other inferior races." made by Internationalists' frontman as reported in E. C. Knuth's 1945 compilation of arcane books research titled *Empire of "The City"*, always appear shocking to the humble mind un-attuned "to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility" (see Report from Iron Mountain). However, it is not just a tortuous mindset among the idle misanthropic elite who, as those unfamiliar with massive works like Professor Carroll Quigley's 1966 "Tragedy and Hope" might naïvely perceive, only philosophically daydream of world government in their 'Richie-Rich' bankvaults and private central banks. It appears entirely empirical to anyone willing to take a look at the grotesquely trumpeting elephant begging to be noticed just outside the ivory towers of science and academe.

The fact that some scientists were abhorrently "cooking that science" of climate-change to manufacture the pretext for forcibly constricting global economic production as a diabolical means of curtailing world's population growth (see David Rockefeller's speech in the letter noted below), as opposed to the mystical notion of 'un-cooked' science of nuclear weapons design, bioweapons, pandemics, and injectable RFIDs to tag the rest of humanity which escapes destruction of the 'good sciences', is some how being more virtuous, is tortuously self-delusional. The obsession of some with the 'pristine process of science' when science is entirely in the service of empire is a red herring. That term, 'red herring', is perhaps unfamiliar to the modern scientific mind un-attuned to Machiavelli, so I'll also venture to define it: "a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail."

Both cooked and un-cooked sciences only serve the interests of hegemony in accordance with the empire's own primacy agendas and its consequent funding of science and technology through its vast military-industrial-academe complex. Devoid of that context, that climatologists are in fact in deep service to empire no differently than rocket-scientists, physicists, biologists, chemists, pandemicologists, et. al., climategate will itself become a facile mantra to speciously occupy the 'uber' intellectual minds for many more decades of how *rogue science gone wild!*

It is the *empire gone wild,* not science, which only serves the interests of those who ultimately foot its bill. Only moral paymasters will beget moral science. Not the pursuit of hegemony and world government!

Therefore, I humbly offer the following two letters to seed the highest priority discussions which I hope will gain considerably in insight when far greater minds than a mere plebeian's start

examining the real ethical dilemmas of science in the service of empire that is hell bent on "Full Spectrum Dominance" by hook or by crook. And I further hope that you will join an unknown plebeian in echoing these concerns by bringing them into a wider discourse through the 'notoriety' of your good name in climate-science, rather than permit the asinine flogging of the 'lone-gunman' theory of the rogue assassination of climate-science.

http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate.html

http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/letter-sppi-climategate.html

Thank you for your time.

Best Wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

* neologism of Zbigniew Brzezinski, 1970 Viking Press: *Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era*

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/openletter-stevemcintyre-climategate.html

PDF: http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2009/12/openletter-stevemcintyre-climategate-dec062009a.pdf