Nuciruptor rubricae, a New Pitheciin Seed Predator From the Miocene of Colombia

D.J. MELDRUM,^{1*} and R.F. KAY² ¹Departments of Biological Sciences and Anthropology, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209-8007 ²Departments of Biological Anthropology and Anatomy, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina 27710

KEY WORDS Nuciruptor rubricae; Cebupithecia sarmientoi; New World monkeys; Pitheciinae; Platyrrhini; Anthropoidea

ABSTRACT A new genus and species of platyrrhine primate, Nuciruptor rubricae, are added to the increasingly diverse primate fauna from the middle Miocene of La Venta, Colombia. This species displays a number of dental and gnathic features indicating that it is related to living and extinct Pitheciinae (extant Callicebus, Pithecia, Chiropotes, Cacajao, and the Colombian middle Miocene *Cebupithecia sarmientoi*). *Nuciruptor* is markedly more derived than Callicebus but possesses a less derived mandibular form and incisor-canine complex than extant and extinct pitheciins (Cebupithecia, Pithecia, Chiropotes, and Cacajao), suggesting that it is a primitive member of the tribe Pitheciini within the larger monophyletic Pitheciinae. Nuciruptor has procumbent and moderately elongate lower incisors and low-crowned molars, suggesting that it was a seed predator, as are living pitheciins. Its estimated body size of approximately 2.0 kg places it within the size range of extant pitheciines. The dental and gnathic morphology of Nuciruptor clarifies several aspects of dental character evolution in Pitheciinae and makes it less likely that the enigmatic Mohanamico hershkovitzi (m. Miocene, Colombia) is a pitheciin. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 102:407-427, 1997. © 1997 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

The monophyly of the modern Pitheciinae, Pithecia (the saki), Chiropotes (the bearded saki), and Cacajao (the uakari), as proposed by Mivart and many times since, seems well established (reviewed in Kay, 1990). Rosenberger suggested a special relationship of Callicebus (the titi) and Aotus (the owl monkey) to the pitheciines and has advocated their inclusion in the Pitheciinae (Rosenberger, 1979, 1981). Rosenberger's allocation of Callicebus to the Pitheciinae is strongly supported by recent analyses of DNA nucleotide sequences (Meldrum, 1995: Schneider et al., 1993, 1995), but molecular studies indicate that Aotus is not related to pitheciines, but rather is placed with the callitrichine clade. The classification of Schneider et al. (1993) reflects these cladogenetic events and is followed here. The subfamily Pitheciinae represents a clade including the monophyletic tribe Pitheciini with the extant genera *Pithecia, Chiropotes* and *Cacajao* and the tribe Callicebini Pocock, 1925, *Callicebus* alone. (Should *Homunculus* [early Miocene, Argentina] prove to be the sister taxon to *Callicebus*, as Rosenberger et al. [1990] contends, the correct

Abbreviations: IGM, Instituto Nacional de Investigacions Geologica Mineras; UCMP, University of California Museum of Paleontology.

Contract grant sponsor: National Science Foundation; Contract grant sponsor: Duke University Research Council; Contract grant sponsor: Idaho State University Office of Graduate Studies and Research; Contract grant sponsor: Faculty Research Committee; Contract grant number 00635558.

^{*}Correspondence to: D.J. Meldrum, Department of Biological Sciences, Campus Box 8007, Idaho State University Pocatello, ID 83209-8007. e-mail: meldd@fs.isu.edu.

Received 13 September 1995; revised 14 November 1996; accepted 30 November 1996.

name for the Callicebini would be Homunculini Ameghino, 1894.)

To avoid confusion, we call attention here to the use of formal and informal taxonomic terms in the text. The taxon Pitheciinae as we use it refers to a "closed descent community" or stem-based clade (Fig. 1; Ax, 1985; Williams and Kay, 1995). Pitheciinae is the clade of all species, living or extinct, that are more closely related to living pitheciines than to the next most closely related taxon (the clade consisting of Alouatta, Ateles, Lagothrix and Brachyteles; Rosenberger, 1979, 1981; Schneider, et al., 1993). The informal term "pitheciine" is used for members of the subfamily Pitheciinae, including the extant Callicebus, Pithecia, Cacajao, and Chiropotes. The informal terms "callicebin" and "pitheciin" are used for the tribes of the subfamily Pitheciinae. They should include Callicebus in the first case, and Pithecia, Cacajao and Chiropotes (as well as several extinct taxa discussed below) in the second case.

In this paper, we describe a new species of fossil pitheciin from the Miocene of Colombia, review its adaptations, and place it into the context of pitheciine phylogeny and character evolution. Special attention is paid to several extinct taxa that are often considered pitheciines. Like the new taxon described here, Cebupithecia sarmientoi is a species that comes from middle Miocene rocks of the Honda Group cropping out in the Tatacoa Desert north of the village of Villavieja, in the Magdalena valley of central Colombia (Kay et al., 1996). This region is also called La Venta and its mammalian fauna forms the basis for the Laventan Stage/Age (Madden et al., 1996). The holotype (UCMP 38762) was found in the Monkey Beds at the base of the Villavieja Formation (Guerrero, 1996; Stirton, 1951; Stirton and Savage, 1951). Other specimens provisionally referred to Cebupithecia have been recovered from the Monkey Beds nearby in the El Dinde area (Setoguchi et al., 1987) and elsewhere in the Tatacoa Desert from older deposits lying below the Chunchullo Sandstone, in the La Victoria Formation of the Honda Group (Meldrum et al., 1990; Meldrum and Kay, 1996).

Another middle Miocene species possibly relevant for understanding pitheciine evolu-



Fig. 1. Phylogeny of Pitheciinae. **a:** Phylogenetic tree illustrating the terms crown group, closed descent community (=stem-based clade) and stem lineage (after Williams and Kay, 1995). The crown group contains only living taxa and their last common ancestor. A stem-based definition (used here) includes all species, living or extinct that are more closely related to the living members of that group than to any other living taxon. **b:** Cladogram of Pitheciinae recognizing two stem-based tribes Callicebini and Pitheciini. The stem-based tribe Pitheciini includes *Cebupithecia*.

tion is Mohanamico hershkovitzi. This species also comes from the Tatacoa Desert. The type mandible (IGM 181500) comes from the Monkey Beds near El Dinde (Luchterhand et al., 1986). A second specimen of a primate (IGM-KU 8601) of similar size and morphology to Mohanamico and from the same stratigraphic level was allocated to a new species of Aotus, A. dindensis (Setoguchi and Rosenberger, 1987). Kay argues that IGM KU-8601 should be allocated to Mohanamico, whereas Rosenberger and colleagues recognize the distinctness of the two specimens as separate genera and even assign the two specimens to two different families (Kay, 1990; Rosenberger et al., 1990).

A third taxon, *Soriacebus*, with two species, *S. ameghinorum* and *S. adrianae*, comes from a suite of Patagonian localities collectively referred to as the Pinturas fauna

408

(Fleagle, 1990). The material is of early Miocene age, older than the Colombian material just described, and thought to pertain to the Santacrucian Land Mammal Age (Mc-Fadden, 1990). Considerable material of *Soriacebus* is known including mandibles, maxillae, and some postcranial bones.

We discuss the merits of allocation of *Cebupithecia, Mohamamico* and *Soriacebus* to the Pitheciinae. We demonstrate that *Cebupithecia* (middle Miocene, Colombia) is certainly a pitheciin, but that *Mohanamico* (middle Miocene, Colombia) and *Soriacebus* (early Miocene, Argentina) are less likely pitheciin candidates.

SYSTEMATICS

Order Primates

Subfamily Pitheciinae Mivart, 1865

Tribe Pitheciini Gray, 1849

Nuciruptor, gen. nov.

Type species. Nuciruptor rubricae, sp. nov.

Included species. The type species only.

Distribution. As for the type and only species.

Diagnosis. As for the type and only species.

Etymology. Derived from the Latin *nuci*-, combining form denoting "nut" and *ruptor* denoting "break," suggesting the apparent adaptation for seed predation or nut-breaking.

Nuciruptor rubricae, species nov. (Figs. 2–8)

Type specimen. IGM 251074 (Duke University field no. 90-23), a mandible, preserving the fused symphysis, right corpus and portions of the ascending ramus, left I_1 , and right C_1 - M_2 . Dental and gnathic dimensions are provided in Table 1.

Horizon and locality. The type is from Duke locality 32, in the El Cardón Red Beds, Cerro Colorado Member, Villavieja Formation, Honda Group, Colombia (following geological terms of Guerrero, 1996). The fossiliferous horizon lies immediately below the

TABLE 1.	Selected dem	tal metrics	of the type s	specimen
of Nuciru	ptor rubricae,	gen. et sp.	nov. (ľĜM .	251074)

Crown	Length (md)	Width (bl)	Height ¹	
I ₁	1.85	3.19	5.92	
$\hat{C_1}$	3.18	4.60	6.46	
P ₂	2.66	3.48	3.82	
P ₃	2.93	3.49	3.04	
P4	3.10	3.43	2.78	
$\dot{M_1}$	3.94	3.93	2.60	
M_2	4.09	3.80	2.50	

 $^{\rm 1}$ Measured from the buccal cervical margin. md + mesiodistal; bl, buccolingual. All measurements in mm.

San Francisco Sandstone, dated to 12.8 (\pm 0.2) Mya by the Argon⁴⁰/Argon³⁹ method (Flynn et al., 1996; Guerrero, 1996). The type specimen of *Nuciruptor* is therefore approximately 0.5 myr younger than the holotype of *Cebupithecia*, which comes from the Monkey Beds of the Villavieja Formation. Locality 32 also has produced a mandible and associated talus, many dental specimens, and an isolated tibia of *Neosaimiri fieldsi* (=*Laventiana annectens*) as well as an isolated talus referred to *Aotus dindensis* (Gebo et al., 1990; Meldrum and Kay, 1996; Rosenberger et al., 1991; Takai, 1994).

Diagnosis. A Pithecia-sized platyrrhine sharing with the living Pitheciini styliform, moderately high-crowned mandibular incisors; molars having trigonids and talonids of similar height, shallow hypoflexids and reduced posterior trigonid walls. Distinct from, and more primitive than, living Pitheciini in lacking an enlarged P₂; lacking molarization of P_{3-4} ; in having weak hypoconids on P_{3-4} (versus strong, large hypoconids), and in lacking premolar and molar enamel crenulation. In these features, Nuciruptor compares favorably with Callicebus. Distinct from living pitheciins and Cebupithecia in having no incisor-canine diastema, in possessing a canine with a rounded lingual crest and a well-developed heel, and in having molars lacking buccal cingulum, and mandible with a more acute symphyseal angle and rudimentary genial fossa. Nuciruptor is also distinguished from Cebupithecia by having a much larger alveolus for M_{3} , implying reduction of the third mandibular molar in Cebupithecia, but not in *Nuciruptor*.

Nuciruptor differs from *Callicebus* by having higher-crowned incisors, a more project-

ing canine, and less trenchant premolar and molar cresting. *Nuciruptor* differs from *Mohanamico* in having a posteriorly deepening mandible, more compressed and highercrowned incisors, and more bunodont molars. *Nuciruptor* is distinguished from *Soriacebus* in having a proportionately much smaller I₁, canine, and P₂, compared with molar size.

Etymology. From the Latin *rubrica*, meaning "red earth," with reference to the red sediments of the El Cardón Red Beds.

DESCRIPTION

Comparisons and contrasts are emphasized between *Nuciruptor* and the roughly contemporary *Cebupithecia*, establishing the distinctness of the two, and are generally restricted to the extant pitheciins in this section. Further comparisons with *Callicebus* and additional selected taxa (*Soriacebus, Mohanamico*) are relegated to subsequent sections.

Mandible

(Figs. 2–4)

The specimen preserves the symphysis, right mandibular corpus and a portion of the ascending ramus. The corpus is less robust than that of Cebupithecia. It is both longer, measuring 23% longer from I_1 to M_3 , and shallower, approximately 13.0 mm at the level of P₂ compared to 16.6 mm in Cebupithecia. The 13.0 mm value for the Nuciruptor reconstruction should be taken as a minimum. Distally, the corpus deepens moderately, and the inferior border has a slight sinusoidal curve. The symphysis is preserved, as well as most of the alveolus for the left central incisor. The external profile of the symphysis is more inclined than in Cebupithecia, forming an angle with the estimated basal mandibular plane of approximately 35° compared with an estimated value of 50° for Cebupithecia. Both of these estimated values fall within the extreme upper and lower limits for a large sample of Pithecia species (range 30°-57°, n = 205, Hershkovitz, 1987). The genial fossa and digastric grooves are rudimentary, in contrast to Cebupithecia where they are well developed. The ascending ramus arises distal to the alveolus for M₃ in contrast to Cebupithecia where the M₃ alveolus is nearly

and cepupitieeld surmentor					
Trait	Nuciruptor rubricae	Cebupithecia sarmientoi			
Corpus robusticity	slight	moderate			
Depth at P ₂	13.0 mm	16.6 mm			
Toothrow length	28.6 mm	26.3 mm			
Symphyseal angle	35°	50°			
Genial fossa	rudimentary	well-developed			
Incisor row	more transverse	I ₂ more set back from I ₁			
Incisor canine dia- stema	none	weak			
Ascending ramus	distal to M ₃	lateral to M ₃			

TABLE 2. Contrasts in mandibular form distinguishing Nuciruptor rubricae

hidden by the ascending ramus. Table 2 summarizes the traits discussed above.

Incisors

(Figs. 2, 4, 5)

A pattern of derived features of the anterior dentition distinguish the living pitheciins from other extant platyrrhines. The lower incisors are procumbent, styliform and high crowned (elongate), with indistinct lingual cingula and a reduced or absent lingual heel. The lower incisor roots and crowns are compressed mesiodistally. In *Cacajao* and *Chiropotes*, the central incisors are much smaller than the lateral incisors, whereas in *Pithecia*, the disparity in the size of the central and lateral incisors is less. In *Callicebus*, the incisors are nearly subequal.

In *Nuciruptor*, the crown of the left central incisor is very styliform, and of a height comparable to *Pithecia*, and less elongated than those of *Chiropotes* or *Cacajao*. There is no lingual cingulum and only slight development of the lingual heel, comparable to *Callicebus*. The alveoli of the central and lateral incisors are nearly equal in size, another similarity to *Pithecia* and *Callicebus*, as distinct from *Chiropotes* and *Cacajao*. Only the roots of the incisors are preserved in *Cebupithecia*: the alveoli of the central incisors are considerably smaller than those of the lateral incisors.

Canine

(Figs. 2–4, 6)

In living pitheciins, a diastema separates the mandibular canine from the procumbent incisor battery. Additionally, in *Cacajao* and *Chiropotes*, the maxillary and the mandibu-



Fig. 2. Reconstructed mandible and dentition of *Nuciruptor rubricae* (IGM 251074) as seen in (**a**) occlusal, (**b**) buccal, (**c**) lingual, and (**d**) occlusolingual views. Scale bar equals 5.0 mm.

lar canines are exceptionally large, splayed and chisel-like in both sexes. Sexual dimorphism in the linear occlusal dimensions of the mandibular canines, however, ranges up to 22% in *Cacajao* to a low of 5% to 6% in *Chiropotes.* The lingual crest (entocristid) is very prominent and sharp, producing a triangular cross-sectional shape to the canine crown. *Pithecia*, and specifically the *Pithecia pithecia* species group (*P. p. pithecia* and *P.*



Fig. 3. Reconstructed mandibular profiles of (a) *Nuciruptor* and (b) *Cebupithecia*, based on the type specimens IGM 251074 and UCMP 38762, respectively. Reconstructed portions are indicated by stippling. Scale bar equals 5.0 mm.

p. chrysocephala, after Hershkovitz, 1987), presents the most primitive morphology in that the entocristid tends to be concave in profile and end at a noticeable distostylid, supported on a small lingual heel of the canine crown. In the *P. monachus* species group (*P. monachus*, *P. irrorata*, *P. aequatorialis*, and *P. albicans*, after Hershkovitz, 1987) as also in *Chiropotes*, and *Cacajao*, the entocristid is sharper, convex in profile and passes mesial to a small distal cusp. There is no crown heel due to expanded convex entocristid.

In *Nuciruptor*, there appears to have been only a very small (or no) incisor-canine diastema as occurs in *Cebupithecia* and modern pitheciins. The mandibular canine is vertically projecting, not splayed as in *Cebupithecia* and the modern pitheciines. It is smaller and much less chisel-like than *Ce*-



Fig. 4. Comparison of the occlusal views of the mandibular dentitions of (a) *Cebupithecia* and (b) *Nuciruptor*. Scale bar equals 5.0 mm.

bupithecia, or most living pitheciins, excepting some *Pithecia* and *Callicebus*, having a rounded concave entocristid ending in a distostylid on the lingual heel. The lingual cingulum is complete. A well-marked honing facet for the upper canine is present. This tooth most approaches the condition seen in some *Pithecia pithecia*, as described above, whereas *Cebupithecia* resembles the condition seen in *P. monachus, Chiropotes*, and *Cacajao*.

Given the lack of any apparent sexual dimorphism in shape (though not in size) in the highly specialized mandibular canines of extant pitheciins, the marked distinctions in canine morphology between *Nuciruptor* and *Cebupithecia*, together with the numerous distinctions in mandibular morphology, it seems reasonable to rule out the possibility that these represent male and female specimens of a single pitheciin taxon.

Premolars

(Figs. 3, 7–9)

The combined features of the premolar row of *Nuciruptor* is distinct from the living pitheciin clade. The P_2s are massive in both sexes and project above the level of the other premolars. The paracristid is elongated and may be inflated to form a modified honing platform for the large splayed triangular upper canine. The P_2 has a sharply raised protocristid that usually supports a small but distinct metaconid. The P_{3-4} are molariform in that the talonid is longer than the trigonid and is bounded bucally by a large hypoconid. The premolar enamel often is crenulated.

Nuciruptor does not conform to this pattern in that the P_2 protoconid is not massive, nor does it project appreciably above the level of the other premolars. The preprotocristid is not elongate, nor is that part of the tooth inflated as in living pitheciins. There is very little evidence of honing wear by the upper canine, suggesting an only moderately projecting, or splayed upper canine. In the P_2 of *Nuciruptor*, the protocristid is weak and a metaconid is absent. The trigonid is steep and open lingually as in *Pithecia monachus* and *Chiropotes satanas*; in *Cacajao* NEW FOSSIL PITHECIIN PRIMATE



Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of the left central mandibular incisor of *Nuciruptor rubricae* (IGM 251074) seen in (**a**) mesiodistal and (**b**) buccolingual view. Scale bar equals 1.0 mm.

there is a strong lingual cingulum on the trigonid. A discrete entoconid is present. The P_2 of *Cebupithecia* is not preserved and therefore no direct comparisons can be made with *Nuciruptor*. However, the small alveolus for P_2 in *Cebupithecia* resembles that of *Nuciruptor*, as distinct from the large P_2 s of extent pithecins.

The P_3 metaconid of *Nuciruptor* is smaller and not as widely spaced from the protoconid compared with living pithecins and more closely resembles the condition in *Cebupithecia*. The trigonid basin is steeply sloped lingually, but enclosed lingually by a slight marginal crest. The talonid is short mesiodistally, and buccolingually compressed. The hypoconid is small. The entoconid is present, but does not stand out on the lingual talonid marginal crest. The P_3 of *Nuciruptor* differs markedly from that of *Cebupithecia* in that the posterior trigonid wall of the former is deeply notched. *Nucir-uptor* also displays slightly more buccal flare.

The P₄ metaconid of *Nuciruptor* is larger and more widely spaced than on the P_3 , but still not to the extent seen in living pitheciins. In Cebupithecia, the cusps are more closely approximated. The trigonid is more horizontal and enclosed by a more prominent marginal crest, as in living pitheciines. The posterior trigonid wall is deeply notched (Figs. 7-9). The talonid is wider mesiodistally than the trigonid and bounded by a discrete entoconid, small hypoconid and a weak hypocristid. This is a resemblance to Cebupithecia, but contrasts with the condition in living pitheciins in which the talonid is very expanded, leaving a molarized tooth. While buccal inflation of the crown is evident on all premolars, no cingulum is present. Although the P4 talonid is slightly enlarged, the occlusal area of the premolar

D.J. MELDRUM AND R.F. KAY



Fig. 6. SEM of the right mandibular canine of (**a**, **b**) *Nuciruptor*; (**c**, **d**) *Cebupithecia* and (**e**, **f**) *Mohanamico*. Top row (a, c, e) depict buccal views and bottom row (b, d, f) depict lingual views. Scale equals 1.0 mm.

row of *Nuciruptor*; as also *Cebupithecia*, remains quite small relative to the molar occlusal area, and displays little molarization so characteristic of the extant pitheciins.

Molars

(Figs. 3, 7–9)

The molars of living pitheciins are characterized by virtually no disparity of height between the trigonid and talonid, with the intervening protocristid represented by a low, often indistinct, ridge. The trigonid is very short mesiodistally, but just as broad buccolingually as the talonid. The principal molar cusps (except the paraconid and hypoconulid, which are absent) are marginalized and blended with the margins of the crown leaving the crown generally flat and steep sided. The buccal crests are aligned mesiodistally so that the hypoflexid is very shallow. The crown surface of the enamel is often crenulated. $M_{3}s$ show little or no reduction in occlusal area relative to M_{1-2} (Fig. 4 in Kay, 1994).

In *Nuciruptor*, only M_{1-2} are preserved. The molar crown relief is low, but not as flat as in living pitheciins, while more so than in *Callicebus*. The enamel lacks any crenulation. As in living pitheciines, paraconids are lacking, but the trigonids are very narrow mesiodistally (vs. broad). The metaconids stand taller than the protoconids and are only slightly distal to the protoconid. Protoconids are more bulbous than in living



Fig. 7. SEM of the postcanine dentition seen in lingual view of (**a**) *Nuciruptor*; (**b**) *Cebupithecia*, and (**c**) *Mohanamico*. Scale equals 1.0 mm.

pitheciin. The posterior trigonid walls and protocristids are very low, but intermediate to the condition seen in living pitheciins and *Callicebus*, and are deeply notched by a distinct protoconid/metaconid sulcus. The talonids are raised and shallow. The entoconids are large and more discrete than in living pitheciins and *Cebupithecia*, but less so than in *Callicebus*. As in living pitheciins, the cristid obliqua are straight and rounded and reach the trigonid walls distal to the protoconids, but are more oblique than in living pitheciins. The hypoflexid is shallower than in *Callicebus*, but not to the extent seen in living pitheciins. No buccal cingulum is present.



Fig. 8. SEM of the postcanine dentition seen in occlusal view, of (a) *Nuciruptor*; (b) *Cebupithecia* (reversed), and (c) *Mohanamico*. Scale equals 1.0 mm.

Compared with *Nuciruptor*, the M_1 of *Cebupithecia* (the only molar preserved in that taxon) more closely resembles extant pitheciin M_1 s in that the trigonid is quite broad, the protocristid is low and the buccal crests are aligned mesiodistally so that the hypoflexid is extremely shallow. On the other

hand, *Cebupithecia* resembles *Nuciruptor*, but not living pitheciins, in having some disparity in height between the trigonid and talonid, a mesiodistally slightly longer trigonid (compared with the talonid), the principal molar cusps not so marginalized nor blended with the margins of the crown leaving the





Fig. 9. SEM of the postcanine dentition seen in lingual view, of (**a**) *Nuciruptor* and (**b**) *Mohanamico*. Scale equals 1.0 mm.

crown margins more sloping. Further, the crown surface of the enamel is not crenulated.

The M₃ of *Nuciruptor* is missing, but its single-rooted alveolus is preserved for comparison with that of M₂. Cebupithecia also had a single-rooted M₃. Hershkovitz (1987) reports that the third molar of Pithecia can be either single- or double-rooted, as is also the case in Callicebus. In Nuciruptor, the ratio of M₃ to M₂ alveolar area (greatest m-d length times greatest b-l length) is 0.67, compared with 0.31 for *Cebupithecia*. The M₃ alveolus in *Nuciruptor* is approximately 95% of the m-d length of the M₂ alveolus. It lies nearly in line with the tooth row, with only a slight lingual inclination. This contrasts with the condition in Cebupithecia, where the M₃ alveolus has a strong lingual angulation (Fig. 4).

Table 3 summarizes the principal distinctions in dental morphology and serves to emphasize the contrasts between *Nuciruptor* and *Cebupithecia*.

Anomalies

(Figs. 1, 8)

A localized enamel hypoplasia is seen as a pitted line, or crease, across the buccal surface of the canine and premolars. This probably occurred as the result of a systemic disturbance during the time of crown formation. The position of the crease on the crown surfaces indicates the relative state of development of the crowns when the disturbance occurred: P_4 was the most developed, followed by P_3 , P_2 and finally C_1 . However, without the lateral incisor and third molar

Trait	Callicebus torquatus	Nuciruptor rubricae	Cebupithecia sarmientoi	Pithecia pithecia
Relative size of I_{1-2} Canine shape	$I_1 < I_2$ gracile; rounded crest; lingual heel	$I_1 = \leq I_2$ gracile; rounded crest; lingual heel	$I_1 \ll I_2$ robust; triangular; chisel-like	$I_1 < I_2$ robust; triangular; chisel-like
P ₃ metaconid	small	small	moderate	large
P ₃₋₄ posterior trigonid wall	notched	deeply notched	not notched	not notched
P ₃ hypoconid	absent	absent	present/doesn't stand	large
P ₄ entoconid	small discrete cusp	small discrete cusp	present/doesn't stand out	large
M ₁ posterior trigonid wall	notched	notched	not notched	not notched
M ₁ postentoconid sulcus	absent	absent	present	present
M ₁ buccal cingulum	absent	absent	present/faint	absent
Ratio M _{3/2} alveolar area	moderate	0.67	0.31	high
M ₃ alveolus orienta- tion	in line with toothrow	in line with toothrow	strong lingual angula- tion	slight angulation

TABLE 3. Summary of dental contrasts distinguishing Nuciruptor rubricae from Cebupithecia sarmientoi, with comparisons to other selected pitheciines

little can be deduced about the relative eruption sequence.

cf. *NUCIRUPTOR* IGM-KU 8602

This is a maxilla with right C^1 and P^2 recovered from the El Dinde area of the Monkey Unit (Setoguchi et al., 1987) and referred to Cebupithecia sarmientoi on the basis of similarities in size and morphology between its canine and that of the holotype specimen of Cebupithecia (UCMP 38762, Fig. 10) but the presence of another pitheciin of similar size leaves open the possibility that IGM KU-8602 could belong to Nuciruptor. Setoguchi et al. (1987) acknowledge subtle differences between IGM-KU 8602 and UCMP 38762 that leave open the possibility that these specimens represent two taxa. Such a possibility remains conjectural, however, without an associated upper and lower dentition of Nuciruptor.

IGM 184074

This is an isolated left talus recovered from Duke locality 43, El Cardón Red Beds, Cerro Colorado Member, Villavieja Formation (Ford et al., 1991). This locality is immediately adjacent to, and penecontemporaneous with, Duke locality 32, where the type of *Nuciruptor* was recovered. The talus is well preserved with superficial erosion of the head, posterior tubercles and calcaneal facets. It measures approximately 14.0 mm in length. An analysis of the correlation of talar length with M1 mesiodistal length for a large sample of platyrrhines (Meldrum, 1990) indicates that this talus is of an appropriate size to belong to Nuciruptor. In general form, it is very similar to the talus of the type specimen of Cebupithecia, differing by having a slightly narrower head and neck and a slightly more rounded lateral trochlear crest. These differences could well be accommodated within intragroup variation. Therefore, on the basis of provenience, appropriate size, and comparable morphology, it is tempting to associate this talus with the closely related pitheciin, Nuciruptor. However, allocation of this specimen and inferences about its functional morphology must await complete analysis (Ford et al., in prep.).

IGM 184667

This is a partial pelvis and pelvic limbs recovered from Duke locality 79, in the Perico Member, below the Chunchullo Sandstone, La Victoria Formation (Meldrum et al., 1990; Meldrum and Kay, 1996). IGM 184667 has been referred to *Cebupithecia* on the basis of similarities to the holotype UCMP 38762. However, owing to the lack of associated dental material, and the presence of a second pitheciine in the La Ventan NEW FOSSIL PITHECIIN PRIMATE



Fig. 10. SEM of the maxillary canine and P² attributed to *Cebupithecia* (IGM KU 8602) seen in (**a**) occlusal and (**b**) lingual views. Maxillary canine of type of *Cebupithecia* (UCMP 38762) seen in (**c**) occlusal and (**d**) lingual views.

primate fauna, the possibility exists that this specimen represents *Nuciruptor*.

CHARACTER EVOLUTION IN PITHECIINAE

In the following discussion of character evolution in Pitheciinae, we first describe the dentition in the living taxa. Next we take up the phyletic position of *Nuciruptor*, and *Cebupithecia*. Several nodes and lineage segments are numbered in reference to cladogenesis of Pitheciinae (Fig. 11). Cladogenesis and character evolution of living pitheciines are described elsewhere (Kay, 1990).

Node 1

The hypothetical last common ancestor of Pitheciinae and its closest living outgroup (*Alouatta, Ateles, Lagothrix,* and *Brachyteles*) had vertically implanted, low-crowned, and broadly spatulate incisors with prominent lingual heels. I_1 was smaller than I_2 . There was no diastema separating the lower incisors from the moderately large, vertically projecting lower canine. The latter tooth had a well-developed paracristid and a rounded, indistinct protocristid.

The cheek teeth were set in a moderately deep jaw that did not deepen appreciably



Fig. 11. Cladogenesis within Pitheciinae in which *Nuciruptor* figures as the sister taxon to the clade comprising *Cebupithecia* and the living pitheciine genera. Nodes 1–3 represent branch points on a cladogram for the last common ancestors of (1) Pitheciidae and Atelidae; (2) Pitheciidae; and (3) Pithecini. Features defining nodes and lineage segments are described in the text.

posteriorly. P_2 was a simple tooth that lacked a prominent protocristid and had no metaconid; it was a nonprojecting tooth that blended smoothly in size relative to the other premolars. P_3 and P_4 had metaconids of increasing size distally. The trigonids dominated these teeth, whereas the talonids were small. None of the premolars bulge bucally towards their cemento-enamel junctions.

The molars had low crowns with trigonids slightly higher than talonids. Molar enamel was smooth rather than crenulated. Molar trigonids were narrow buccolingually and short mesiodistally compared with the talonids. Trigonids are separated from talonids by a distinct raised protocristid. Molar cusps were inset from the buccal and lingual margins of the teeth and shearing features were moderately developed with moderately deep hypoflexids. Molar entoconids were well developed and stood out on the distolingual crown margin. The posterior marginal crest of the molar crowns was not disrupted by a postentoconid sulcus. A hypoconulid, if present, was small.

The upper incisors were vertically implanted. The first upper incisor was slightly larger than the second. The second upper incisor flared from cervix to crown apex. Canines were not laterally splayed. Upper incisors, canines, and premolars had moderate lingual cingula. A moderately large hypocone was present on the low distolingual cingulum of P^4 .

Lineage segment 1

This is the lineage segment leading to node 2, the last common ancestor of living Pitheciinae, i.e., of *Callicebus* and the pitheciins. In this lineage segment the lower incisors became more high crowned and more narrowly spatulate. Jaw depth increased markedly posteriorly under the molars. A basal tubercle evolved on the cingulum of the upper central incisors. (This tubercle is also developed in *Lagothrix, Brachyteles* and *Ateles,* so it may have characterized a more inclusive clade of platyrrhines.)

Lineage segment 2

This is the stem lineage leading to *Callice*bus the posterior marginal crest of the lower molar crowns was disrupted by a strong postentoconid sulcus and the M_{1-2} hypoconulids, are expanded in size. I_{1-2} , canine, and premolar lingual cingulum became much stronger.

Lineage segment 3

In this lineage segment leading to the last common ancestor of living pitheciins, the lower incisors became very high-crowned, procumbently implanted, and mesiodistally compressed, and lost their lingual heels. A diastema separated the lower incisors from the larger vertically projecting lower canine. The canine protocristid developed into a strong sharp lingually oriented crest.

 P_2 developed a small metaconid; it became a massive tooth that projects above the other premolars. P_3 and P_4 talonids became much larger, with well-developed hypoconids and entoconids. The premolar slopes bulge buccally towards their cemento-enamel junctions. The molar trigonids were reduced in height to become the same height as the talonids. Molar enamel developed crenulation. Molar trigonids were broadened. Trigonid-talonid separation became less distinct and the protocristid was weakened. Molar cusps became marginalized and shearing features became indistinct, with hypoflexids very shallow. Molar entoconids were reduced in size and blended with the distolingual crown margin. Hypoconulids were lost.

The upper incisors became more procumbent. I^1 is much larger than I^2 . The lateral margins of I^2 became straight-sided; that is, the crown was not much wider than the root at the cervix. The canines developed a lateral splaying. P^4 hypocones were lost.

Phyletic position of Nuciruptor

The mandibular and lower dental characters of *Nuciruptor* give a clear indication that this taxon fits along lineage segment 3 in the above scenario (Fig. 11); that is, that it possesses a combination of features indicating a closer relationship with living pitheciins than to *Callicebus*. For example, *Nuciruptor* resembles living pitheciins in having elongate, procumbent, and styliform lower incisors with very weak lingual heels. Moreover, as in living pitheciins, the incisors are set in a procumbently oriented mandibular symphysis, and its mandibular corpus deepens appreciably under the molars.

At the same time, Nuciruptor does not possess several of the distinctive synapomorphies of extant pitheciins. Nuciruptor remains more primitive than living pitheciins in that no diastema separates its lower incisors from the canine. Its lower canines retain the primitive structure in not having a sharply defined protocristid. P2 is not a robust or high-crowned tooth and does not have a metaconid. Nor are the other premolars molarized by the addition of large talonids. The molars of Nuciruptor retain the structures evolved in lineage segment 1 but lack the progressive modifications that must have evolved along lineage segment 3: Nuciruptor has smooth rather than crenulate enamel, its trigonids are set off from the talonids by the protocristids, the trigonids are relatively narrower and the molar cusps are situated in from the margins of the

crowns. *Nuciruptor* does show some progressive molar features resembling living pitheciines such as some diminution of molar shearing (see below) and shallowing of the molar hypoflexids.

Phyletic position of Cebupithecia

As already widely reported in the literature, Cebupithecia sarmientoi displays a mosaic of derived features of extant pitheciins and derived features of the pitheciines (including Callicebus), indicating that this taxon fits along lineage segment 3 in the above scenario (Fig. 11). The extraordinarily complete postcranial remains bear further phenetic resemblances to Pithecia, but lack many of the derived characters of the limbs that characterize extant pitheciins (Davis, 1987, 1988; Fleagle and Meldrum, 1988; Ford, 1986, 1990; Meldrum, 1993; Meldrum and Fleagle, 1988; Meldrum and Kay, 1996; Meldrum and Lemelin, 1991). The preserved portions of the dentition clearly display pitheciin synapomorphies in the incisorcanine complex, and mandibular morphology, but to a lesser degree in the postcanine teeth (Kay, 1990; Orlosky, 1973; Rosenberger, 1979).

In several ways, *Cebupithecia* is more derived than *Nuciruptor* in the direction of living pitheciins. The lower canines are more enlarged and splay laterally. They possess the distinctive sharp, raised protocristid of extant pitheciins, lacking in *Nuciruptor*, making the tooth chisel-like and triangular in cross-section. The molars are further specialized in the direction of living pitheciins having reduced trigonid heights and even more shallow hypocristids. Taken together, this dental evidence suggests that *Nuciruptor* is the sister taxon to the clade of *Cebupithecia* and the extant pitheciins (Fig. 11).

Several instances are noted where some characters do not agree completely with this interpretation. For example, I_1 is much smaller than I_2 in *Cebupithecia* (as judged from the size of the root cross-section), *Cacajao*, and *Chiropotes*, but not in *Pithecia*. This suggests either that I_2 has reenlarged in *Pithecia* or been reduced in size independently in *Cebupithecia* and the common ancestor of *Cacajao* and *Chiropotes*. Similarly, *Cebupithecia* apparently had more re-

duced M_3 s than either *Nuciruptor* or extant pitheciines. We interpret these resemblances as parallel "crossing-specializations" indicating that Cebupithecia is not a suitable direct ancestor for the living pitheciins.

Status of Soriacebus and Mohanamico

Two genera of primates, Soriacebus and Mohanamico, are considered by some writers to belong in the pitheciin clade. In each case, allocation to this clade is far more doubtful than for either Nuciruptor or Cebupithecia. In the case of Soriacebus, while the anterior dentition greatly resembles that of living pitheciins, the cheek teeth show an odd combination of autapomorphies and primitive platyrrhine or callitrichine traits. The proposed pitheciin resemblences of Mohanamico are far less striking, and it also shows other apparent primitive platyrrhine and callitrichine traits. While not ruling out the possibility that either or both taxa ultimately may be assignable to Pitheciini, a broader reassessment of fossil recent platyrrhines will be needed to make such a phylogenetic allocations more convincing.

Soriacebus ameghinorum. The phylogenetic position of the Patagonian fossil primate, Soriacebus ameghinorum (early Miocene, Pinturas, Santacrucian Land Mammal Age) is widely debated. Fleagle et al. (1987) draw attention to similarities in the mandible and dentition of Soriacebus to several platyrrhines and consider several possible interpretations of these similarities: (1) that Soriacebus is an oddly specialized callitrichine; (2) that it is a pitheciin; or (3) that it is an early offshoot of all living platyrrhines. Fleagle (1990) and Fleagle et al. (1987) withhold an opinion as to the relative merits of these alternatives; Rosenberger et al. (1990) and Theodor (1995) hold the view that Soriacebus is a pitheciin, whereas Kay (1990) has argues that Soriacebus is a primitive platyrrhine with pitheciine convergences. Each of these points of view has merit and each entails substantial homoplasy.

In *Soriacebus*, a suite of mandibular and dental features bear considerable similarity to callitrichines (Fleagle, 1990; Fleagle et al., 1987). The mandible is V-shaped; the premolars have reduced metaconids, large trigonids, and relatively small talonids. The molars have mesiodistally elongate trigonids and very small cingulum-based hypocones.

Soriacebus resembles pitheciines especially in the anterior dentition and the lateral profile of the mandible (Fleagle, 1990; Fleagle et al., 1987; Kay, 1990; Rosenberger et al., 1990). Pitheciine resemblances include the possession of a deep mandibular corpus that deepens posteriorly, having mesiodistally compressed lower incisor roots, and procumbently arranged in a robust mandibular symphysis. A specimen of Soriacebus adrianae shows the incisors to have been styliform and lacking a heel, as in living pitheciins (Fleagle, 1990). Also, as in living pitheciins, P₂ is a robust tooth of only slightly smaller caliber than the canine, having bulging buccal enamel, and a protoconid that projects well above the other cheek teeth. In the molars, the entoconid is reduced, approaching the condition in pitheciins (but also as in callitrichines). *Soriacebus* is more primitive than living pitheciins (as is Nuciruptor) in lacking a diastema between the lower incisors and canine and in having a more vertically implanted, not splayed, canine root.

A third set of structural details of the premolars and molars of Soriacebus appears to be primitive for platyrrhines (Fleagle, 1990; Kay, 1990), or at least atelids (sensu Rosenberger, 1981). In Soriacebus, the P2 lacks a metaconid and the P₃ metaconid is small and close to the protoconid; P₄ metaconid is larger but still compressed against the protoconid. The M₁ metaconid is placed distolingually relative to the protoconid. Also, Soriacebus molars have hypoconulids and distinct postentoconid sulci running lingually to the entoconids. P^{2-4} have hypocones and strong upper premolar lingual cingula. As noted by Fleagle et al. (1987), the upper premolars of Soriacebus are threerooted.

Obviously, *Soriacebus* cannot at once be a primitive platyrrhine, a pitheciin, and a callitrichine. With *Callicebus* securely allocated to Pitheciinae (Meldrum, 1995; Schneider et al., 1993, 1995) several apparently primitive features of the molars of *Soriacebus* might now be regarded as having been present in primitive pitheciines and thus could strengthen the case for its pithecine placement. However, pending a broader phylogenetic analysis including the relevant fossils, it is unclear whether the apparent synapomorphies *Soriacebus* shares with *Callicebus* actually are synapomorphies of a more inclusive clade with *Alouatta* and atelins. Pending such analysis, we will continue to interpret *Soriacebus* as an early offshoot of platyrrhines.

Mohanamico hershkovitzi. Represented by a mandible (Luchterhand et al., 1986), the type specimen (IGM 181500; Figs. 6-9) comes from the Monkey Beds near El Dinde. It was assigned with hesitance to the Pitheciinae, while recognizing that it was not as specialized as Cebupithecia or the extant pitheciins. In addition, some similarities to callitrichines and particularly Callimico were noted. A second specimen of a primate (IGM-KU 8601) of similar size and morphology to Mohanamico and from the same stratigraphic level and locality was described by Setoguchi and Rosenberger (1987) and allocated to a new species of Aotus, A. dindensis. Rosenberger et al. (1990) argue that the phyletic affinities of IGM KU-8601 are (as with other Aotus) within the Pitheciinae, whereas IGM 186500 should be allied with the callitrichines. Kay (1990) argue that IGM KU-8601 should be allocated to Mohanamico and emphasized the pitheciin affinities of both. We still maintain that the two specimens belong to the same species.

Reconsidered in light of the character evolution described above, a number of features cited by Kay (1990) to support an affinity of *Mohanamico* to the pitheciins seem less likely. In the referred specimen of Mohanamico (i.e., the type of "A. dindensis") the ventral surface of the orbit closely approximates the maxillary alveolar bone such that only a very shallow maxillary sinus extends posterior to the zygomatic arch. Living pitheciins and Callicebus have welldeveloped maxillary sinuses (Hershkovitz, 1977) and personal examination of the type of *Cebupithecia* shows it to have had a large maxillary sinus as well. Both the type and referred specimen of Mohanamico have jaws that do not increase in depth posteriorly as in *Callicebus, Nuciruptor, Cebupithecia,* and living pitheciins. While the canine of the type of *Mohanamico* is worn in such a way as to suggest the presence of a sharp transverse lower canine crest, as in living pitheciins, the less-worn referred specimen has a more rounded crest. While the lower incisors of the type of *Mohanamico* are fairly elongate, although not as mesiodistally compressed as in *Callicebus*, the referred specimen has a slightly more low-crowned incisors. While *Mohanamico* possesses a projecting P_2 , this tooth is not massive and more closely approximates the condition seen in tamarins than in pitheciins.

In summary of the above, the addition of IGM KU-8601 to the hypodigm of *Mohanamico* adds information about the orbital structure and intraspecific variability in the structure of the incisors and canines. Each bit of this new data, considered in light of what we know about character evolution in Pitheciinae, especially with the inclusion of *Callicebus* and the newly described *Nuciruptor*, diminishes the likelihood that *Mohanamico* belongs with the Pitheciinae. Other possibilities, including allocation to Callitrichinae, should be reconsidered.

ADAPTATIONS OF *NUCIRUPTOR* Body size

Determination of body weight for *Nucirup*tor is based on its molar occlusal area. From body weights of 15 species of female platyrrhines and molar areas of the same species measured by Plavcan and Kay (unpublished data), the following least-squares regression was derived, with an r^2 of 0.935:

In female body weight =

In M_1 area (1.565) + 3.272.

Based on this equation, the estimated weight of IGM 251074 was 2,044 grams. This is somewhat larger than the estimated weight for *Cebupithecia* of 1,792 grams, but both fall within the reported size ranges of male and female *Pithecia pithecia* and female *P. monachus* (Hershkovitz, 1987).

Dietary patterns

The functional design of the primate dentition is selectively modified to best deal

Taxon	Ν	M1 length	Sum, M_1 shear	Expected shear	Shear quotient	Major dietary feature
Callimico goeldii	3	2.60	5.48	4.72	16.14	Insects
Brachyteles arachnoides	9	7.22	15.19	3.10	15.93	Leaves
Alouatta palliata	10	6.92	13.91	12.56	10.76	Leaves
Alouatta caraya	6	6.72	13.09	12.20	7.33	Leaves
Alouatta fusca	6	6.70	12.94	12.16	6.42	Leaves
Aotus trivirgatus	10	3.06	6.16	5.55	10.92	Fruit/Leaves
Saimiri sciureus	5	2.87	5.54	5.21	6.36	Insect/Fruit
Lagothrix lagotricha	8	5.47	10.12	9.93	1.94	Fruit/Leaves
Leontopithecus rosalia	5	3.09	5.62	5.61	0.22	Fruit/Insects
Ateles geoffroyi	10	5.26	9.31	9.55	-2.47	Fruit
Callicebus moloch	10	3.18	5.50	5.77	-4.70	Fruit
Saguinas mystax	5	2.52	4.03	4.57	-11.88	Fruit/Insects
Callithrix argentata	4	2.22	4.08	4.03	1.27	Fruit/Gum
Cebuella pygmaea	4	1.78	3.26	3.23	0.92	Gum/Fruit
Pithecia monachus	4	4.00	6.78	7.26	-6.60	Fruit/Seeds
Cebus apella	5	4.79	7.71	8.69	-11.31	Fruit/Seeds
Chiropotes satanas	5	3.64	5.50	6.61	-15.53	Seeds/Fruit
Cacajao melanocephalus	2	3.97	5.90	7.20	-17.70	Seeds/Fruit
Soriacebus ameghinorum	1	3.60	5.87	6.53	-10.15	Fruit/Seeds
Soriacebus adriane	1	3.23	4.67	5.86	-20.33	Seeds/Fruit
"Aotus dindensis"	1	3.23	6.14	5.86	4.74	Insects/Fruit
Mohanamico hershkovitzi	1	3.20	4.96	5.81	-14.59	Fruit/Seeds
Cebupithecia sarmientoi	1	3.52	5.15	6.39	-19.38	Seeds/Fruit
Nuciruptor rubricae	1	4.00	5.47	7.26	-24.65	Seeds/Fruit

TABLE 4. The relative development of shearing crests on M_1 in platyrrhines¹

 1 The estimate of shearing development is based on measurements of six lower molar crests (see Kay, 1975 for further details). A line was assigned to a bivariate cluster of the natural log of M₁ length (InML) versus the natural log of the sum of the measured shearing crests (InSH). The line was assigned a slope of 1.0 (slope of isometry) and passed through the mean InML and mean InSH for extant taxa. The equation expressing this line is:

$\ln SH = 1.0(\ln ML) + 0.596$

For each taxon, the expected lnSH was calculated from this equation. The observed (measured) lnSH for each species was compared with the expected and expressed as a residual (Shear Quotient, or SQ):

SQ = 100 * (observed-expected)/(expected)

Extant and extinct taxa are listed separately according to dietary categories (Fleagle et al., 1996). Diet is inferred for extinct taxa by comparison to a modern analog with a similar SQ. All measurements are in millimeters.

with the physical properties of the foods the species eats (Anthony and Kay, 1993; Fleagle et al., 1996; Kay, 1975; Kay and Anthony, 1991; Kay and Covert, 1984; Strait, 1991). One method of quantifying dietary adaptation is to measure the relative development of the shearing crests on molars, after molar size has been taken into account. Table 4 illustrates the development of molar shearing in selected living platyrrhines as a "shearing quotient." (Anthony and Kay, 1993; Fleagle et al., 1996). In Figure 12, the shearing quotients for living platyrrhine species are broken down by dietary preference. Species that eat considerable amounts of fibrous foods such as leaves high in cellulose (Alouatta) or chitinous insects (Callimico), have well-developed shearing edges on their molars (large SQs). In contrast, species that feed on less fibrous, soft fruits (Ateles) and tree gum (Callithrix) tend to have relatively flatter teeth, with shorter more rounded

shearing crests. The teeth of species that specialize in eating hard seeds or in splitting open tough, hard fruits (*Cebus* and the pitheciins *Pithecia, Cacajao* and *Chiropotes*) tend to have even less shearing than the soft-fruit eaters.

Nuciruptor and Cebupithecia both have a suite of dental traits suggesting that they were specializing in seed predation (Fig. 12). It must be considered, however, that the interpretation of the dietary adaptations of these extinct taxa is each based on single specimens and further material is required to assess the intragroup variability of this trait. Both Nuciruptor and Cebupithecia have very poorly developed shearing on their molars, with lower shearing quotients than any of the living pitheciines. This strongly suggests that they were masticating hard seeds. However, they lack other of the extreme morphological specializations of the postcanine dentition seen in the living



Fig. 12. Estimated shearing-crest development on the lower first molars of species of eighteen species of living platyrrhines. A shearing quotient is calculated from measurements of six lower molar crests (crests 1–6; for anatomical details see Kay, 1975, 1977). A line was assigned to a bivariate cluster of the natural log of M_1 length (InML) versus the natural log of the sum of the measured shearing crests (InSH). The line is assigned a slope of 1.0 (slope of isometry) and passed through the mean InML and mean InSH for extant taxa. The equation expressing this line is:

ln SH - 1.0 (ln ML) + 0.596.

For each taxon, the expected InSH is calculated from this equation. The observed (measured) InSH for each species is compared with the expected and expressed as a residual (Shear Quotient, or SQ):

SQ = 100 * (observed-expected)/(expected).

Extant taxa used are: Callimico goeldii, Brachyteles arachnoides, Alouatta palliata, Alouatta caraya, Alouatta fusca, Aotus trivirgatus, Saimiri sciureus, Lagothrix lagotricha, Leontopithecus rosalia, Ateles geoffroyi, Callicebus moloch, Saguinas mystax, Callithrix argentata, Cebuella pygmaea, Pithecia monachus, Cebus apella, Chiropotes satanas, Cacajao melanocephalus. Data are abstracted from Fleagle et al. (1996).

pitheciins, e.g., crenulated enamel and molarization of the premolar row.

The differences in dietary patterns also are reflected in the structure of the anterior dentition. In the case of living pitheciins, these teeth are highly modified for opening the hard or tough pericarp preceding mastication of the pliable seed (Kay, 1990; Kinzey,

1987; Kinzey and Norconk, 1990). The incisors are elongated and mesiodistally compressed to form a gouge, while the canines are enlarged, splayed and chisel-shaped. The extinct pitheciins Cebupithecia and Nuciruptor show more similarities to the living pitheciins in these features of the anterior dentition than in the less-specialized morphology of their molars. Although the incisors of Cebupithecia are not preserved, it is clear from the alveoli that they were compressed mesiodistally and the central were narrower and set slightly forward relative to the lateral incisors. Furthermore, it can be reasonably deduced from the orientation of the preserved portions of the upper central incisor that the mandibular incisors had high crowns. While the mandibular canine of Cebupithecia closely resembles the strongly crested chisel-like form of the living pitheciines, the preserved maxillary canine lacks the distinctive triangular cross-section found in the upper canines of living pitheciins.

Nuciruptor also shows the pitheciine incisal structure of laterally compressed styliform incisors, although the crowns are not as elongate and the alveoli of the central and lateral mandibular incisors appear to have been approximately subequal. The canines of *Nuciruptor* are not as specialized, i.e., chisel-like, as are those of *Cebupithecia* or living pitheciins. Instead the entocristid is weaker and rounded, leaving a well developed lingual heel.

From this combination of dental traits a number of inferences can be made about the evolution of pitheciin dental adaptations. First, dental specializations of extant pitheciins may have evolved in a mosaic fashion. As in Cebupithecia, the anterior dentition of *Nuciruptor* shows specializations for opening tough seed husks but not the characteristic pitheciine features of the postcanine dentition associated with seed crushing. As Kinzey (1992; p. 505) has suggested, "the more developed shared features in the Pitheciinae are found in the anterior dentition; it is the anterior dentition that is expected to evolve sclerocarpic foraging features first." Second, the dental morphology of Nuciruptor further supports the scenario set forth by Kinzey (1992) that the compression and raised crown height of the incisor battery together with increased canine robusticty preceded specialization of the chisellike canine morphology. Third, the combination of a more blade-like maxillary canine with a more triangular chisel-like mandibular canine in *Cebupithecia*, and possibly to a lesser extent *Nuciruptor*, indicates that the highly derived features of the pitheciin canines first evolved in the mandibular dentition.

We concur with Kinzey (1992) that *Callicebus-Pithecia-Chiropotes-Cacajao* represent a morphocline of increasingly specialized dental features for sclerocarpic foraging. In fact, the mosaic of features displayed by *Nuciruptor* would seem to bear out Kinzey's prediction that specializations of the anterior dentition would precede those of the postcanine dentition in the evolution of sclerocarpy.

CONCLUSIONS

The array of taxa comprising the living Pitheciinae, *Callicebus-Pithecia-Chiropotes-Cacajao*, represents a morphocline of increasingly specialized dental adaptations for sclerocarpy.

Nuciruptor rubricae represents a new genus and species of pitheciin, being the sister taxon to the clade consisting of *Cebupithecia* and the extant pitheciin genera, *Pithecia, Chiropotes* and *Cacajao.*

The unique combinations of dental traits displayed by *Nuciruptor* and *Cebupithecia* indicate that the dental specializations for seed predation, as seen in the extant pitheciins, evolved in a mosaic fashion.

The morphology of *Nuciruptor* and recognition of the pitheciine status of *Callicebus* diminishes support to the hypothesis that *Mohanamico* is a primitive pitheciin. We withhold an opinion about the phylogenetic status of *Soriacebus* pending a full analysis of Miocene platyrrhines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the cooperation and support of the Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Geologica-Mineras (INGEOMINAS). We thank Matt Cartmill, Derek Johnson, the editors and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments and discussion.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anthony MRL, and Kay RF (1993) Tooth form and diet in ateline and alouattine primates: reflections on the comparative method. Am. J. Sci. *283A*:356–382.
- Ax P (1985) Stem species and the stem lineage concept. Cladistics 1:279–287.
- Davis LC (1987) Morphological evidence of positional behavior in the hindlimb of *Cebupithecia sarmientoi* (Primates, Platyrrhini). Masters dissertation: Arizona State University.
- Davis LC (1988) Morphological evidence of locomotor behavior in a fossil platyrrhine. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 72:202.
- Fleagle JG (1990) New fossil platyrrhines from the Pinturas Formation, southern Argentina. J. Hum. Evol. 19:61–85.
- Fleagle JG, Kay RF, and Anthony MRL (1996) Fossil New World monkeys. In RF Kay, RH Madden, RL Cifelli, and JJ Flynn (eds.): Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 473–495.
- Fleagle JG, and Meldrum DJ (1988) Locomotor behavior and skeletal morphology of two sympatric Pitheciine monkeys, *Pithecia pithecia* and *Chiropotes satanas*. Am. J. Primatol. *16*:227–249.
- Fleagle JG, Powers DW, Conroy GC, and Watters JP (1987) New fossil platyrrhines from Santa Cruz Province, Argentina. Fol. primat. 48:65–77.
- Flynn JJ, Guerrero J, and Swisher CCI (1996) Geochronology of the Honda Group. In RF Kay, RH Madden, RL Cifelli and JJ Flynn (eds.): Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 44–59. Ford SM (1986) Systematics of the New World monkeys.
- Ford SM (1986) Systematics of the New World monkeys. In D Swindler (eds.): Comparative Primate Biology, Volume 1: Systematics, Evolution and Anatomy. New York: Alan R. Liss: 73–135.
- Ford SM (1990) Locomotor adaptations of fossil platyrrhines. J. Hum. Evol. 19:141–173.
- Ford SM, Davis LC, and Kay RF (1991) New platyrrhine astragalus from the Miocene of Colombia. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. Supplement 12:73–74.
- Gebo DL, Dagosto M, Rosenberger AL, and Setoguchi T (1990) New platyrrhine tali from La Venta, Colombia. J. Hum. Evol. 19737-746.
- Guerrero J (1996) Stratigraphy and sedimentary environments of the mammal bearing middle Miocene Honda Group of Colombia. In RF Kay, RH Madden, RL Cifelli, and JJ Flynn (eds.): Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 000–000.
 Hershkovitz P (1977) Living New World Monkeys (Plat-
- Hershkovitz P (1977) Living New World Monkeys (Platyrrhini) with an Introduction to Primates. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Hershkovitz P (1987) The taxonomy of South American sakis, genus *Pithecia* (Cebidae, Platyrrhini): A preliminary report and critical review with the description of a new species and a new subspecies. Am. J. Primatol. 12:387–468.
- Kay RF (1975) The functional adaptions of primate molar teeth. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 43:195–216.
- Kay RF (1977) The evolution of molar occlusion in Cercopithecidae and early catarrhines. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 46:327–352.
- Kay RF (1990) The phyletic relationships of extant and fossil Pitheciinae (Platyrrhini, Anthropoidea). J. Hum. Evol. 19:175–208.
- Kay RF (1994) Giant" tamarin from the Miocene of Colombia. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 95:333–353.
- Kay RF, and Anthony MRL (1991) Dietary evolution in platyrrhine primates and the comparative method. J. Vert. Paleont. 11:39.

- Kay RF, and Covert H (1984) Anatomy and the behavior of extinct primates. In D Chivers, BA Wood, and A Bilsborough (eds.): Food Acquisition and Processing in Primates. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 467–508.
 Kay RF, Madden RH, Cifelli RL, and Flynn JJ (1996)
- Kay RF, Madden RH, Cifelli RL, and Flynn JJ (1996) Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Kinzey, WG (1987) Comparative functional morphology of the dentition of bearded saki and spider monkeys. Anat. Rec. 218:72a.
- Kinzey, WG (1992) Dietary and dental adaptations in the Pitheciinae. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 88:499–514.
- Kinzey WG, and Norconk MÅ (1990) Hardness as a basis of fruit choice in two sympatric primates. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 81:5–15.
- Luchterhand K, Kay RF, and Madden RH (1986) *Mohanamico hershkovitzi*, gen. et sp. nov., un primate du Miocene moyen d'Amerique du Sud. Compt. Rendus Acad. Sci., Paris *303*(Serie II):1753–1758.
- Madden RH, Guerrero J, Kay RF, Flynn JJ, Swisher CC III, and Walton AH (1996) The Laventan Stage and Laventan Age; New chronostratigraphic and geochronologic units for the Miocene of South America. In RF Kay, RH Madden, RL Cifelli, and JJ Flynn (eds.): Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 499–519.
- Meldrum DJ (1990) New fossil platyrrhine tali from the Early Miocene of Argentina. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. *83*:403–418.
- Meldrum DJ (1993) Postcranial adaptations and positional behavior in fossil platyrrhines. In DL Gebo (eds.): Postcranial Adaptations in Nonhuman Primates. DeKalb, IL.: Northern Illinois University Press, pp. 235–251.
- Meldrum DJ (1995) Phylogeny of the Pitheciinae based on neucleotide sequence of cytochrome b. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. *Suppl 20*:151–152.
- Meldrum DJ, and Fleagle JG (1988) Morphological affinities of the postcranial skeleton of *Cebupithecia sarmientoi*. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 75:249–250. Meldrum DJ, Fleagle JG, and Kay RF (1990) A new
- Meldrum DJ, Fleagle JG, and Kay RF (1990) A new partial skeleton of *Cebupithecia sarmientoi* from the Miocene of Colombia. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 81:267.
- Meldrum DJ, and Kay RF (1996) Postcranial skeletal morphology of the fossil platyrrhines from the Miocene of Colombia. In RF Kay, RH Madden, RL Cifelli, and JJ Flynn (eds.): Vertebrate Paleontology in the Neotropics. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 459–472.
- Meldrum DJ, and Lemelin P (1991) Axial skeleton of Cebupithecia sarmientoi (Pitheciinae, Platyrrhini)

from the middle Miocene of La Venta, Colombia. Am. J. Primatol. *25:*69–90.

- Orlosky FJ (1973) Comparative dental morphology of the extant and extinct Cebidae. Ph. D. Dissertation: University of Washington.
- Rosenberger AL (1979) Phylogeny, evolution and classification of New World monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates). Ph.D. Dissertation: City University of New York.
- Rosenberger AL (1981) Systematics: The higher taxa. In AF Coimbra-Filho and RA Mittermeier (eds.): Ecology and Behavior of Neotropical Primates, Vol. 1. Rio de Janeiro: Academia Brasileira de Ciências, pp. 9–27.
 Rosenberger AL, Setoguchi T, and Hartwig WC (1991)
- Rosenberger AL, Setoguchi T, and Hartwig WC (1991) Laventiana annectens, new genus and species: Fossil evidence for the origins of callitrichine New World monkeys. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. (USA) 88:2137–2140. Rosenberger AL, Setoguchi T, and Shigehara N (1990)
- Rosenberger AL, Setoguchi T, and Shigehara N (1990) The fossil record of callitrichine primates. J. Hum. Evol. 19:209–236.
- Schneider H, Schneider MPC, Sampaio I, Harada ML, Barroso CML, Czelusniak J (1995) DNA evidence from two unlinked nuclear genes. Am. J. Phys. Anthrop. Suppl. 20:191.
- Schneider H, Schneider MPC, Sampaio I, Harada ML, Stanhope M, Czelusniak J (1993) Molecular Phylogeny of the New World monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates). Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 2:225-242.
- Setoguchi T, and Rosenberger AL (1987) A fossil owl monkey from La Venta, Colombia. Nature 326:692– 694.
- Setoguchi T, Takai M, Villarroel C, Shigehara N, and Rosenberger AL (1987) New specimen of *Cebupithecia* from La Venta, Miocene of Colombia, South America. Kyoto University Overseas Research Reports of New World Monkeys.
- Stirton RA (1951) Ceboid monkeys from the Miocene of Colombia. Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol. Sci. 20:315–356.
- Stirton RA, and Savage DE (1951) A new monkey from the La Venta late Miocene of Colombia. Comp. Estud. Geol. Oficiales, Colombia, Bogotá 7:345–346.
- Strait SG (1991) Dietary Reconstruction in Small-Bodied Fossil Primates. Ph.D. dissertation: State University of New York, Stony Brook.
- Takai M (1994) New specimens of *Neosaimiri fieldsi*, a middle Miocene ancestor of the suirrel monkeys from La Venta, Colombia. J. Hum. Evol. 27:329–360.
- Theodor MF (1995) La diversidad de platyrrhinos fosiles en la Patagonia. Neotrop. Primat. 3:1–4.
- Williams BA, and Kay RF (1995) The taxon Anthropoidea and the crown clade concept. Evol. Anthropol. 3:188-190.