Ports of MA Strategic Plan

Recommendation Summary Table

Recommendation Summary Table					
Recommendation	Responsible Party (Agency or party who would lead)	Cost	Funding	Timeline	
COMPACT-WIDE					
Statewide Policy & Governance Structure. A permanent, cabinet-level, maritime-specific council to focus on statewide policy, with the following features: (1) Chaired by Secretary of MassDOT, with Secretaries of Energy & Environmental Affairs and Housing & Economic Development as members; (2) Massport and local port representation; (3) consolidates Ports Compact, Seaport Advisory Council, and Ferry Compact; (4) a mission statement aligned with permanent, statewide ports policy issues.	MassDOT, with EOEEA and EOHED	N/A	N/A	Near-term	This reflects an over-arching findir Ports Compact represents the five with potential Seaport Bond proje Compact to address ferry services report; in addition to the three Ser likely include other coastal munici
Jurisdictional Transition. Focus on addressing need for steady funding sources and consistent port management, including: (1) ownership of state piers transferred to MassDOT, with individual operating agreements determined on a port by port basis (MassDevelopment contract for Gloucester would stay; could be replicated in Fall River and New Bedford or contract could be with City and/or HDC); (2) Seaport Bond and other maritime funding moves into MassDOT overall bond cap/authorization.		N/A	N/A	Near-term	This reflects the view, articulated difficult for communities and Com City of Fall River with respect to St reviewed.
DPA Policy. DPA Program is an underpinning of maritime planning and development in the Commonwealth and should be retained. New cabinet level maritime council can advance collaborative discussions about ports policy. EOEEA, CZM and DEP are committed to working directly with municipalities to address specific local objectives and increase DPA functionality and flexibility for supporting and compatible uses (see Notes column.)	EOEEA, CZM, and DEP with affected cities and MassDOT/EOEEA/ EOHED.	N/A (other than costs associated with individual Harbor/DPA Plans	Seaport Bond as applicable	Near-term	 Fall River: expanded uses on St and visitor activities. Gloucester: DPA Master Plan ra ensuring pfrotection of current an New Bedford: reinstate DPA "E DPA Uses) in the New Bedford por Salem: revise DPA Master Plan Power Station site to allow marine
Areas of recommended focus for cabinet-level maritime council					
Groundfishery recovery . In addition to supporting fair and reasonable regulatory outcomes, develop specific strategies including: (1) research on economic value of the NE groundfishery; (2) research, communications, and economic tools; (3) marketing strategy for under-utilized species.		#1 and #2 TBD; #3 \$250- 300,000	Seaport Bond, NOAA/NEFMC, and Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant	Near-term	This set of groundfishery recomme being pursued by the New Bedford emphasis on groundfishery crisis,
Dredging. All four ports other than Boston share the problem of significant dredging needs but little or no chance for Corps of Engineers funding (because they are primarily recreational, or because their cargo activities are too small to generate Harbor Maintenance Tax revenues or a positive cost-benefit ratio). Seaport Bond (along with private berth owner contributions) is the primary funding source. Small port dreding should be consolidated as a comprehensive, coordinated, system-wide programmatic issue by the new maritime council and could include ports state-wide (not only compact-wide).	Commonwealth with affected port cities		Seaport Bond (as part of MassDOT bond cap), cost- sharing private berth owners; explore EDA	Near-term & continual	Individual projects are described in available in Seaport/MassDOT bor (as in federal dredge projects); (3)
Development of new funding sources and cost savings. State and municipal leaders must continue to examine potential for innovative structures for cost savings statewide, as well as identification of new funding sources on the local, state, federal and private levels.	Commonwealth with affected port cities	N/A	N/A	Near-term & continual	While Ports Strategic Plan outlines to ensure that ports are utilizing th
Cruise marketing strategy. A shared multi-port destination marketing strategy expanding on Historic Ports of Massachusetts and utilizing Massport's assets (Cruiseport Boston and Massport's industry footprint) to share itineraries with the smaller Compact Ports.	MA Office of Travel & Tourism (MOTT), Cities of FR, NB, Gloucester, Salem	TBD	MOTT, Seaport Bond	Near-term	A unified strategy with roles, response Salem and Gloucester cruiseports,
Commercial excursion & passenger transport sector strategy . A comprehensive assessment of market demand, vessel dockage/capacity/parking, related landside improvements.	MassDOT, Ferry Compact, MOTT, and affected cities	\$150-200,000 (study)	MOTT, Seaport Bond	Near-term	Commercial excursion sector has s from, and complementary to, sma multiple operators and trip types; current market demand and econo hub, Salem recommendation re Sa

Notes

nding of the Ports Strategic Plan: statewide port policy lacks a Cabinet-level home. The five "Compact Ports", while the Seaport Advisory Council represents all cities and towns rojects. The proposed *Maritime Council* would combine the two, along with the Ferry ces statewide. A detailed recommendation as to membership will be included in the final Secretariats, Massport, and the five Compact Port municipalities, membership would nicipalities on a rotating basis and the chairs of the Joint Committee on Transportation.

ed by New Bedford and Fall River, that current state pier management is inconsistent and commonwealth. The roles of the New Bedford Harbor Development Commission and the o State Pier management and other points of intersection with state ownership would be

State Pier and the backland area along Water Street to accommodate passenger, cargo

In revisions to provide greater flexibility to activate water's edge and watersheet while and future marine industrial uses.

A "Eligibility Credit Program" (similar to Transfer of Development Rights for Supporting portion of the Harbor Plan.

lan as City works with Footprint Energy on the future redevelopment of the former Salem rine-industrial and Supporting Uses for area beyond the new proposed peaking plant.

nmendations is applicable to the ports of Gloucester, New Bedford and Boston. Item (3) is ford Harbor Development Commission as a Saltonstall-Kennedy initiative. Along with sis, continue support of the scallop fishery, critical to New Bedford.

ed in the Gloucester, New Bedford, and Salem sections. Key issues are: (1) overall funding bond cap; (2) a more developed mechanism for cost-sharing with private berth owners (3) technical as well as funding support for PE/permitting stage.

ines the current existing potential funding sources, further and sustained effort is needed of the best available information, and developing cost-sharing structures.

esponsibilities, and content building on Historic Ports of Massachusetts, targeting new rts, Fall River and New Bedford State Piers.

as significant current and/or potential economic impact in each of the five ports; distinct small cruise and ferry sectors (in Boston, includes Harbor Island services). In each port, les; fragmented ownership of docks and landside facilities; no central coordination or conomic impact data resource exists. See also New Bedford recommendation re excursion e Salem Wharf.

Recommendation or party w BOSTON Key site specific issues:	e Party (Agency ho would lead) Cost Army Corps of \$305 million (breakdown in next column)		Timeline	
Key site specific issues: Boston Harbor Deep Draft Navigation Improvement Project. Deepening of Main Ship Channel, Reserve Channel, North Entrance Channel, Chelsea Creek and Medford Street Terminal. Recommended, subject to				
Boston Harbor Deep Draft Navigation Improvement Project. Deepening of Main Ship Channel, ReserveMassport andChannel, North Entrance Channel, Chelsea Creek and Medford Street Terminal. Recommended, subject toEngineers				
Channel, North Entrance Channel, Chelsea Creek and Medford Street Terminal. Recommended, subject to Engineers				
		(\$212 million); Non-federal share (Massport and others \$93 million)	NEPA concluding, beginning design	Strategically important to Conley below). Directed not to post-2012 of existing Mediterranean, Suez, B loss of position. Port of Boston ha analysis is 7.2:1; would divert 30% congestion and highway maintena for Chelsea Creek liquid bulk ship bridge replacement is complete.
Preserve truck access to port facilities. Completion of truck network gaps; long-term policy for shared use of Massport, Cit roadway network	y of Boston, \$750,000 So. Boston Trans study); design and construction of identified gap connections TBD	p. Massport, others TBD	TBD	New South Boston transportation traffic, parking, truck routes, and Street connector, etc. Conley Ded recommendation.
Conley Improvements, Coastal Oil Site Expansion, and Dedicated Freight Corridor. Long-planned phased build- out of Coastal Oil parcel (acquired by Massport in 2008). The project includes a new truck road from Conley Massport Terminal to Summer Street, connecting to Bypass and Haul roads. Massport	\$30 million (initial phases of Coastal site, other Conley improvements); \$34 millio (Freight Corridor)		Near-term	In approved Massport Capital Pla term extension of berth westward connection to Haul Road/Bypass I remediation, plus purchase of 4 c
Improvements to primary BMIP Maritime Assets. Improvements to East and South Jetty wharves, and the upgrade and reactivation of Drydock 4.	BRA \$34 million (est. in 2009 TIGER application)	Jetties: TBD, EDIC, TIGER grant candidate (unsuccessful 2009 application). Drydock 4: private user, EDIC	Mid-term	The jetties are deep water piers a Terminal leasehold area. The East western end of BMIP (behind con
Track 61 improvements. Reactivate and extend Track 61 to support cold storage/fish processing/general cargo at Massport Marine Terminal and BMIP. Massport cold storage/fish processing/general cargo	ston EDIC/BRA \$14 million (2009)	TBD, TIGER grant candidate (unsuccessful 2009 TIGER application)	TBD	Next phase of bulk cargo and cold growth while adding little truck do EDIC. Would avoid up to 24,000 to
Long-term planning issues to be addressed in Massport Strategic Plan (see also Compact-wide recommendations on g	roundfishery recovery):			
Evaluate and pursue long-term use of Medford Street properties. Long-term best use(s) of Medford Street Massport Terminal (old Revere Sugar and Somerville Lumber wharves) and flex capacity at Boston Autoport; future potential freight corridor on Mystic Wharf Branch alignment.	Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Long-term	These are DPA properties which N flexible, deep water terminal capa Deep Draft Navigation project (se
Cruiseport Boston/Black Falcon Terminal future capacity. Potential need for second terminal and/or additional Massport (ED parking.	IC/BRA for Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Mid- or long-term	Recent Black Falcon improvemen will determine need for major exp mega-ships or multiple large ship
Future viability of Fish Pier. Physical improvements and economic viability of fleet berthing and on-pier Massport processing. Image: Constraint of the second	Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Part of Massport Strategic Plan	Mid-term	Fish Pier is in DPA. 18-vessel fleet leases expire 2029, significant im Supporting Commercial Uses on s

ley Terminal; linked to Coastal expansion, new cranes, and dedicated truck corridor (see 2015 neo-Panamax (in which Boston is not competitive) but to preservation and expansion ez, Europe, and post-Panamax markets, which indicate that not dredging could result in a has \$2.4 billion annual economic impact, 34,000 jobs. Project's cost-benefit ratio in Corps 30% of Eastern New England-bound cargo from trucks to Port, significantly relieving enance costs on I-95 and I-84 corridors. Deep Draft project is also the key improvement hipments (40% of all New England petroleum consumption) now that Chelsea Street e.

ion study jointly by Massport, MCCA, ABC; will look comprehensively at development nd transit. Near-term: Conley corridor (see below); other gap projects such as E/Cypher Dedicated Freight Corridor (next recommendation) is integral to this larger

Plan; key to throughput expansion at Conley, ensuring efficient landside box handling; longvard, allowing higher cranes. Dedicated Freight Corridor provides efficient truck ss Road/Interstates and compatibility with residential neighbors. Coastal ascquisition and 4 cranes, already represents \$35+ million investment.

rs at the eastern end of BMIP, adjoining the North Jetty which is within Massport Marine cast and South Jetty wharves are in badly deteriorated condition. Drydock 4, at the concert pavilion), needs a private user/developer.

old storage development by Cargo Ventures, Inc., is imminent. Track 61 will allow cargo k demand to roadway system. Track acquired by MassDOT; will benefit both Massport and 0 truck trips / 2.4 million VMT per year.

th Massport acquired and has preserved for long-term maritime use. They can provide apacity, without South Boston traffic issues, if I-93 and/or rail connections are viable. The (see above) includes deepening of the Medford Street berth to 40 feet.

ents were completed 2011; adequate for near term demand. Mid- or long-term: demand expansion within Black Falcon building (in effect, a second terminal) to process largest hips at once.

eet based there; recent EPA grant funded vessel on-shore power. Fresh fish processor improvements needed to achieve code compliane and modern efficiencies. DPA in second floor would subsidize processing.

Responsible Party (Agency or party who would lead)	Cost	Funding	Timeline	
recommendations on cruise (and excursion sector strateg	ies):		
MA DCR (would be MassDOT under recommendation #1)	\$15-20 million	Seaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)	Near- and mid-term	Near- and mid-term improvement apron, upper-floor passenger facil
City of Fall River	TBD	Seaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)	Mid-term	\$2 MM remediation almost comp Redevelopment envisioned by Cit
City of Fall River	\$42,000 (study, already committed)	Seaport Bond, City of Fall River; vessels or terminal could use FTA Ferry Program	Near-term	Interim seasonal service from Stat costs, vessel specifications, econo
MassDOT with City of Fall River	TBD depending on alternative selected	MassDOT bond funds (study); FHWA and other TBD if implemented	Mid-term	Would enhance waterfront and cr City Pier, South Coast Rail. Suppor
City of Fall River with MassDOT	TBD	MassWorks, TIGER grant candidate, Fall River DIF	Mid-term	Streetscape, wayfinding, shuttles
City of Fall River with MassDOT	TBD (cost of local connections and enhancements)	MassWorks; TIGER grant candidate	Mid- and long-term	Integrating Depot and Battleship (improve former CSX Fall River Line
City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHED	TBD	Seaport Bond, City, Mass Development (for study)	Near-term (study)	Major deep-water DPA site with e river from central waterfront. A pr mixed-use.
City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHED	TBD	Seaport Bond, Town, Mass Development (for study)	Mid-term (study)	Major deep-water DPA site with e announced 2017 closure.
	or party who would lead) recommendations on cruise of MA DCR (would be MassDOT under recommendation #1) City of Fall River City of Fall River MassDOT with City of Fall River City of Fall River with MassDOT City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHED City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, City o	or party who would lead) Cost recommendations on cruise and excursion sector stratege MA DCR (would be MassDOT under recommendation #1) \$15-20 million City of Fall River TBD City of Fall River TBD MassDOT with City of Fall River TBD depending on alternative selected City of Fall River with MassDOT TBD depending on alternative selected City of Fall River with MassDOT TBD (cost of local connections and enhancements) City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHED TBD City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, TBD	or party who would lead)CostFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingFundingSeaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)City of Fall RiverTBDSeaport Bond, City of Fall River; vessels or terminal could use FTA Ferry ProgramMassDOT with City of FallRiverTBD depending on alternative selectedMassDOT bond funds (study); FHWA and other TBD if implementedCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBDMassWorks, TIGER grant candidate, Fall River DIFCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBD (cost of local connections and enhancements)City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDTBDSeaport Bond, City, Mass Development (for study)City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDCity of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDColspan="2">TBDSeaport Bond, City, Mass Development (for study) </td <td>or party who would lead)CostFundingTimelinerecommendations on cruise and excursion sector strategies):MA DCR (would be MassDOT under recommendation #1)\$15-20 millionSeaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)Near- and mid-termCity of Fall RiverTBDSeaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)Mid-termCity of Fall River\$42,000 (study, already committed)Seaport Bond, City of Fall River; vessels or terminal could use FTA Ferry ProgramMid-termMassDOT with City of Fall RiverTBD depending on alternative selectedMassDOT bond funds (study); FHWA and other TBD if implementedMid-termCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBDMassWorks; TIGER grant candidate, Fall River DIFMid-termCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBD (cost of local connections and enfancements)MassWorks; TIGER grant candidateMid- and long-termCity of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDTBDSeaport Bond, City, Mass Development (for study)Near-term (study)City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDTBDSeaport Bond, Town, Mass Development (for study)Near-term (study)</td>	or party who would lead)CostFundingTimelinerecommendations on cruise and excursion sector strategies):MA DCR (would be MassDOT under recommendation #1)\$15-20 millionSeaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)Near- and mid-termCity of Fall RiverTBDSeaport Bond, potential federal grants (TIGER grant candidate)Mid-termCity of Fall River\$42,000 (study, already committed)Seaport Bond, City of Fall River; vessels or terminal could use FTA Ferry ProgramMid-termMassDOT with City of Fall RiverTBD depending on alternative selectedMassDOT bond funds (study); FHWA and other TBD if implementedMid-termCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBDMassWorks; TIGER grant candidate, Fall River DIFMid-termCity of Fall River with MassDOTTBD (cost of local connections and enfancements)MassWorks; TIGER grant candidateMid- and long-termCity of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDTBDSeaport Bond, City, Mass Development (for study)Near-term (study)City of Fall River with EOEEA/CZM, MassDOT, EOHEDTBDSeaport Bond, Town, Mass Development (for study)Near-term (study)

ents consistent with enhanced cargo and passenger activity. Ro-ro, south pier, cargo acilities and amenities, etc. Berth dredging

mplete; funded by Seaport Bond. Next step is seawall repair (design underway). City as marina with land-side hotel.

State Pier announced 2013. City consultants now investigating market demand, operating onomic feasibility of permanent seasonal ferry service.

d create improved waterfront/neighborhood/downtown connections for Battleship Cove, ported by MPO.

es to integrate central waterfront and downtown once overhead ramps are removed.

ip Cove stations into a waterfront, passenger and visitor environment. Project will also Line (now MassDOT) for freight.

h extensive back land, regional highway access (Rt. 79 to 24), on-site rail; two miles up-A portion designated as South Coast Rail layover site; City's 2012 Task Force recommends

h extensive back land, regional highway access (I-195). Power plant owners just

Recommendation	Responsible Party (Agency or party who would lead)	Cost	Funding	Timeline	
GLOUCESTER					
Port business development strategies (see also Compact-wide recommendations on groundfishery recov	very, cruise and excursion sector	or strategies):			
New Maritime Economy business development. Support City/MassDevelopment program of multifaceted business, market, R&D, and workforce development.	City of Gloucester with Mass Development	TBD	Seaport Bond, MassDevelopment, federal grants (e.g., Saltonstall- Kennedy)	Near-term	New Maritime Economy spans sev physical infrastructure to advance expanding MassDevelopment's Se by MassDevelopment and Seaport Intended to complement rather th
Redevelopment of I4-C2 Parcel. Key parcel, in core of DPA, acquired by City to control redevelopment. City envisions an RFP procurement based on DPA compatibility, combining maritime industrial use and DPA Supporting Use.	City of Gloucester	TBD	Private sector, with MassDevelopment	Near to mid-term	Assess whether an anchor could be C2. Need for physical presence of
Inner Harbor Private Property Improvements. Capital and operational improvement strategy for private DPA property, including waterside and landside infrastructure and facilities	City of Gloucester with Mass Development	TBD	Private sector with MassDevelopment, SBA financing and tech assistance; MARAD Small Shipyards Program	Near-term	Dredging of private berths; repair/ fishing and other DPA uses. Key pr access most public grant programs
Recreational vessel dockage and service study. Assessment of market demand, berthing sites, feasibility of needed investments, implementation plan	City of Gloucester	Portion of committed \$125,000 Harbor Economic Development Study	Seaport Bond	Near-term	Assess the significant demand for i large recreational vessels. 2013 fea connections to downtown is not fe economic development strategy (\$ potential DPA use conflicts.
Dredging:					
Inner Harbor Dredging. Advance needed maintenance dredging in the North Channel/State Pier area and related berthing areas.	City of Gloucester, with CZM, DEP	TBD	Seaport Bond, private berth owners	Near-term	Inner Harbor (specifically North Ch crucial for large fish cargo vessels, National Grid dredging/remediatio
Annisquam River Dredging. Advance needed maintenance dredging in the Annisquam River.	City of Gloucester, with CZM, DEP	TBD	Seaport Bond	Mid-term	Silting and shoaling restricts Coast silting in Annisquam channel has b because use is recreational.

several industries, requiring primarily a business development approach rather than ince the new economy sector, while also supporting existing maritime uses. Recommend is Seafood Revolving Loan Fund into a New Maritime Economy Strategic Fund capitalized port Bond. Would provide credit, direct loans, and TA support for other funding/financing. r than conflict with traditional DPA uses.

d be established in the DPA for the New Maritime Economy, potentially at or including I4of New Maritime Econonomy is key.

air/ modernization/expansion of docks, wharves, infrastructure to support commercial y problem in Gloucester, where a larger share of DPA properties are private and cannot ams.

for increased berthing and support services for transient boats, mega-yachts and other B feasibility study concluded that a DPA-compatible floating marina with launch ot feasible, leaving question of underutilized wharves. City has hired consultant for harbor sy (\$125K), recommend this issue be addressed in that study. Must be sensitive to

n Channel and related berths) requires dredging to federally approved depth of 26 feet; els, cruise vessels. Permitted, not funded; cannot proceed until completion of related iation (to start 2014).

past Guard navigation to Ipswich Bay, constrains commercial excursion sector. Worst as been dredged, but recurrent problem areas remain. Not a Corps of Engineers candidate

			Γ		
Recommendation	Responsible Party (Agency or party who would lead)	Cost	Funding	Timeline	
NEW BEDFORD					
Primary port infrastructure (see also Compact-wide recommendation on cruise marketing strategy, related	ive to State Pier; dredging):				
State Pier improvements. Short- and mid-term improvements to accommodate expanded cargo and passenger use.	DCR (under Recommendation #1, would be MassDOT), with New Bedford Harbor Development Commission	Short-term (underway): \$xx million; mid-term: TBD	Seaport Bond	Near- and mid-term	Urgent improvements to accommo improvements to support diversifi Latin American and MARAD Marin
Route 6 Bridge Replacement Study. Determine whether to replace bridge to open Upper Harbor to wider vessels. This could be crucial in maximizing value of the port infrastructure.	MassDOT, SE Mass MPO	TBD (study); implementation TBD	MassDOT bond funds;	Study: near and mid- term; implementation long-term	Would expand lateral clearance to issue for long-term use of Upper F Terminal, Inc., cold storage comple to establish feasibility and cost-be
Offshore Wind Business Development Strategy. A joint City of New Bedford/MCEC/MassDevelopment business development strategy to capitalize on South Terminal capital investment. The City's efforts are now coordinated by the New Bedford Wind Energy Center, created by the Mayor in 2013.	-	TBD	MCEC Trust Funds; MassDevelopment financing for companies	Near- and mid-term	With South Terminal under constri- development strategy (summarize provide business development sup provide its normal menu of financi its existing SE Mass Revolving Loar of wind farms; potentially manufac development/supply chain/manuf
Harbor Manitenance Dredging. Deepen federal channels to authorized depth of 30 feet.	New Bedford HDC, with CZM, DEP	TBD	Seaport Bond, possibly EDA	Near- and mid-term	Authorized channel depth is 30 fee EPA superfund site remediation; S remains; critical to cargo and cruis
South Terminal rail connection. Extend MassDOT (former CSX) line from State Pier to South Terminal	MassDOT, with City of New Bedford, SEMass MPO	TBD	Study: MassDOT, SEMass MPO, or MCEC; implementation: CMAQ or STP; TIFIA or RRIF; TIGER candidate.	Long-term	Rail extension would improve on- turbine components and other he of 3 NB Line bridges, future South recently improved JFK Boulevard) take "last mile" (from North Termi
Dockage and waterfront usage (see also Compact-wide recommendation on fishery recovery and excursi	ion sector strategy):	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Fishing fleet berthing expansion. Homer's, Leonard, and Steamship wharves fishing fleet berthing improvements: update feasibility and early engineering documents, advance to implementation	New Bedford Harbor Development Commission	update: \$50-100,000; implementation: 25-45 berths; cost per berth \$95,000 to \$184,000 (2008)	Seaport Bond	Near-term	Expand berthing and facilities to re and repair of vessels (per 2010 Ha
Excursion/public access Hub at Fisherman's Wharf/State Pier. In conjunction with preceding recommendation and Compact-wide recommendation on port-specific excursion markets. Of great potential in maximizing the value of the central waterfront and its connection to downtown.	New Bedford Harbor Development Commission	\$50-100,000 (study)	Seaport Bond; MassWorks	Near-term (study)	Plan and implement excursion hub pedestrian landing from downtow Homer's and Leonard's wharves th of on-dock parking. Directly relate
Recreational vessel dockage and service study. Assessment of market demand, berthing sites, feasibility of needed investments, implementation plan	New Bedford Harbor Development Commission	\$50-100,000	Seaport Bond	Near-term	Already a significant sector in NB-I other large recreational vessels; ec engineering, cost estimates for Po
South Coast Rail . Use state investment to transform mixed-use/visitor waterfront and improve freight rail to North Terminal, State Pier, long-term to South Terminal	City of New Bedford with MassDOT	TBD (cost of local connections and enhancements)	MassWorks; TIGER grant candidate	Mid- and long-term	Integrating Whale's Tooth station CSX New Bedford Line (now Massi

modate 2013 Latin America fruit imports underway; a range of longer-term sified cargo operations, expanded passenger operations identified by HDC. Critical to rine Highway cargo development strategies.

to 150', same as hurricane barrier, opening Upper Harbor to larger vessels. A threshold r Harbor: North Terminal (including EPA facility to be taken over by HDC) and Maritime plex (essential to fruit and other perishable cargoes from Latin America); near-term need benefit. MassDOT Highway District 5 is undertaking the initial analysis.

struction, City is pursuing a business development, supply chain, and workforce ized in Tech Memo 4). Critical for Cape Wind and Deepwater Wind. MCEC trust funds can support as well as selected investment in private ventures. MassDevelopment can incing tools to businesses; collaborate with MCEC and City (perhaps expanding mission of oan Fund). South Terminal can support staging of off-shore construction, long-term O&M ufacturing of heavy components for 1 project at a time. Successful busiess nufacturing strategy could influence long-term land use in and near DPA.

feet, current maximum draft allowed is 26. Substantial dredging has occurred as part of ; South Terminal construction; and Seaport Bond-funded Phase III. More dredging uise operations.

on-dock service to State Pier and bring on-dock service to South Terminal, supporting wind heavy-load cargoes. Would take advantage of existing TIGER-funded reconstructruction uth Coast Rail-related upgrade of freight capacity. Engineering and urban design (along rd) are complex. For now, cargoes brought to/from South Terminal by rail will have to rminal) by truck.

o relieve overcrowding, allow future growth, improve vessel and crew safety, provisioning Harbor Plan). Directly related to next recommendation.

hub at Fisherman's Wharf (current visitor center); immediately next to State Pier, main own is right between them. Assumes accommodation of sufficient fishing vessels at s that Fisherman's can spare berthing and ticketing space for excursions, take advantage ated to preceding recommendation.

B-Fairhaven. Assess berthing and services demand for transient boats, mega-yachts and ; economic and engineering feasibility analyses to structure investment strategy. Update Pope's Island Marina expansion and Marine Park reconstruction.

on into a waterfront, passenger and visitor environment. Project will also improve former assDOT) for freight.

Recommendation	Responsible Party (Agency or party who would lead)	Cost	Funding	Timeline	
SALEM					
Harbor infrastructure (see also Compact-wide recommendations on cruise and excursion sector strategi	es, dredging program):				
Completion of Salem Wharf. The City's principal near-term port initiative.	City of Salem	Total project ~\$18 million; ~\$9 million funded and built	Seaport Bond, FTA ferry program (already used); TIGER or MassWorks candidate	Near-term	Full capital project has been sized, substantially completed; need to o dock at Power Station coal wharf)
Dredge South River Basin and Integrate Harborwalk with Derby Street	City of Salem, with CZM, DEP	Dredging: \$xxxx; Harborwalk: \$xxxx	Seaport Bond (dredging PE and initial Harborwalk phase already funded)	Mid-term	South River Basin and Entrance Ch combined with Pickering Wharf w edge of downtown, and a continue Street.
Hawthorne Cove Commercial Fishing Dockage. Develop year-round commercial fishing vessel dockage and services.	City of Salem	TBD	City of Salem; Seaport Bond	Mid-term	The embayment between Salem V
Redevelopment of Salem Harbor Power Station:					
Redevelopment Plan for Salem Harbor Power Station DPA. Harbor Plan/DPA Master Plan must be updated to accommodate proposed gas-fired plant and redevelopment of approx. 2/3 of the site. Add Secretary of MassDOT as a member of the Reuse Task Force (see Notes column).	City of Salem, EOEEA/CZM	\$100,000 (Harbor Plan Update)	Seaport Bond	Plan: mid-term; implementation: long- term	Extensive planning process underv City, Sec. of EOHED, MassDevelop Power's permitting for gas-fired pl City's reuse of large coal wharf for multi-use/multi-phase, eventually disallowing supporting commercia
Evaluate Need for Derby and/or Webb Street Access Improvements. Landside access for future redevelopmen of Power Station site.	t City of Salem with MassDOT	TBD (study)	CMAQ; Seaport Bond; MAPC	Plan: mid-term; implementation: long- term	In anticipation of Power Station re along Derby and Webb Streets. Co shipment for steel, concrete, etc.,

Notes

zed, costs estimated, permitted, and initial development phase (ferry/small cruise dock) to conclude funding for Phase II infrastructure and facility construction (full-size cruise arf).

Channel must be dredged to enable small recreational and commercial vessels to enter; would create a major integrated commercial/recreational waterfront destination at nuous pedestrian waterfront encompassing the National Park Service wharves on Derby

n Wharf and Hawthorne Cove marina; would diversify marine uses on north waterfront.

lerway, framed by legislatively established Task Force (chaired by Sec. of EOEEA, including lopment, others). Plant to shut 2014; demolition and remediation by 2016; Footprint d plant on approx. 1/3 of the in process (EOEEA and EFSB approval in October 2013). for Phase II of Salem Wharf in process. Plan for balance of site is long-term, complex, ally requiring a modified or new DPA Master Plan. Current DPA "amplification" rcial uses is likely inconsistent with expanded passenger/visitor activities.

n redevelopment with maritime passenger and related uses, evaluate access alternatives . Construction of new gas-fired plant and future development should utilize special cargo tc., and ferry/shuttle for construction workers.