



Round 3 Irish Sea Zone Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited Environmental Impact Assessment Offshore Scoping Report – July 2012

The first Celtic Array offshore wind farm project within the Irish Sea Zone www.celticarray.com

Disclaimer

The opinions and interpretations given in this scoping report represent Celtic Array Limited's best technical interpretation of the data made available to Celtic Array Limited. However, Celtic Array Limited cannot guarantee the accuracy of any interpretation and shall not, except in the case of gross or wilful negligence on Celtic Array Limited's part, be liable or responsible for any loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred or sustained by anyone resulting from any interpretation made by any of Celtic Array Limited's officers, agents or employees.



CONTENTS

EXE	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	1	
CRY	YNODEB GWEITHREDOL	3	
1	INTRODUCTION	5	
	The development team	7	
2	PLANNING POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT		
	UK energy policy and the need for renewable energy	10	
	The Planning Act	10	
	The Marine and Coastal Access Act	12	
	Associated infrastructure	13	
	Welsh language impact assessment	13	
	Habitats regulations	13	
3	SITE SELECTION		
	Zone Appraisal and Planning	16	
	Potential Development Areas	17	
4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION		
	Project objective	18	
	Site location and layout	18	
	Meteorological mast	19	
	Turbine options	20	
	Foundation options	21	
	Offshore infrastructure	24	
	Onshore infrastructure	25	
	Typical offshore construction activities	25	
	Typical operational activities	26	
	Indicative programme	26	
	Repowering/decommissioning	26	
	Health and safety	26	
5	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY		
	General approach	27	
	Alternatives	27	
	Engineering Envelope	28	
	Assessing significance	28	
	Mitigation measures	29	

	Inter-relationships	29
	Cumulative impact	31
	Transboundary effects	34
	Export cable corridor	34
	Scoping of environmental impacts	35
6	PHYSICAL PROCESSES	
	Introduction	36
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	36
	Description of current environment	37
	Identification of key issues	45
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	48
7	BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT	50
7-1	Biological environment – benthic ecology	50
	Introduction	50
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	50
	Description of the current environment	52
	Identification of key issues	59
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	61
7-2	Biological environment – fish ecology	62
	Introduction	62
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	62
	Description of current environment	64
	Identification of key issues	70
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	73
7-3	Biological environment - marine mammals, turtles and basking shark	74
	Introduction	74
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	74
	Description of current environment	76
	Identification of key issues	83
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	87
	European protected species	89
	Habitats regulations assessment	90
7-4	Biological environment – ornithology	90
	Introduction	90
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	90
	Description of the current environment	92
	Identification of key issues	101

	Proposed project level surveys and studies	108
	Habitats regulations assessment	110
7-5	Biological environment - nature conservation designations	110
	Introduction	110
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	110
	Description of current environment	110
	Identification of key issues	121
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	123
8	HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	124
8-1	Human environment – commercial fisheries	124
	Introduction	124
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	124
	Description of current environment	126
	Identification of key issues	151
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	155
8-2	Human environment – shipping and navigation	157
	Introduction	157
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	157
	Description of current environment	158
	Identification of key issues	175
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	178
8-3	Human environment – aviation	181
	Introduction	181
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	181
	Description of current environment	181
	Identification of key issues	183
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	185
8-4	Human environment – seascape, landscape and visual amenity	186
	Introduction	186
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	186
	Description of current environment	187
	Identification of key issues	204
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	207
8-5	Human environment – other users of the sea	208
	Introduction	208
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	208
	Description of the current environment	209

	Identification of key issues	222
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	224
8-6	Human Environment – Archaeology and cultural heritage	224
	Introduction	224
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	225
	Description of current environment	227
	Identification of key issues	232
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	234
8-7	Human Environment – Socio-Economics	235
	Introduction	235
	Surveys and studies carried out to date	235
	Description of current environment	236
	Identification of key issues	239
	Proposed project level surveys and studies	241
9	PROPOSED STRUCTURE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT	243
10	TABLE OF ISSUES SCOPED IN/OUT	245
11	REFERENCES	

List of Figures

Figure no.	Title
1.1	Project location and indicative cable corridor
1.2	Centrica's wind farm projects
1.3	DONG Energy's wind farm projects
2.1	Planned approach to pre-application consultation
3.1	Round 3 offshore wind zones
3.2	The Irish Sea Zone
3.3	Amended southern boundary of the South East Potential Development Area
4.1	Project location and indicative cable corridor
4.2	Indicative wind turbine generator structure
4.3	Indicative foundation options
6.1	Bathymetry survey areas
6.2	Bathymetry of the project area
6.3	Monthly average significant wave heights (2001 to 2010)
6.4	Frontal systems in the Irish Sea
6.5	Metocean equipment locations
7.1	Benthic survey locations
7.2	Map of biotopes in the project area
7.3	Modiolus reef near to the Site
7.4	Beam trawl survey site locations
7.5	Proportion by numbers of catch in each trawl of the ten most common species in the autumn 2010 survey
7.6	Location of survey transects within the Irish Sea Zone
7.7	Ornithological transect route across the ISZ and Site
7.8	Special Protected Areas, Sites of Special Scientific Interest and Areas of Special Scientific Interest containing bird species with the potential to interact with the Site
7.9	Seasonal variation of bird species group population size in the ISZ from data collected in the first 21 boat based surveys (March 2010 to August 2011)
7.10	Location of all designated breeding colonies for Manx Shearwater in range of the Site
7.11	Nature conservation sites in the vicinity of the project
7.12	MCZs recommended by ISCZ to the UK Government in 2011
8.1	Landings values by species in the regional study area (average 2001-2010)
8.2	Landings values by species (average 2001-2010) in the regional study area

Figure no.	Title	
8.3	Landings values by method (average 2001-2010) in the regional study area	
8.4	Satellite (VMS) density of all UK over-15 metre vessels by landings values in the regional study area (total value 2007-2010)	
8.5	Satellite (VMS) density of all UK over-15 metre mobile gear vessels by landings values in the regional study area (Total Value 2007-2010)	
8.6	Surveillance sightings by nationality in the regional study area	
8.7	Surveillance sightings by method in the regional study area	
8.8	Average annual landings values (average 2001-2010) by species and method in ICES rectangle 36E5	
8.9	King scallop grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea	
8.10	Queen Scallop grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea	
8.11	Beam Trawl Grounds in the Irish Sea identified through consultation and data analysis	
8.12	Whelk potting grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea	
8.13	Nephrops fishing grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea	
8.14	Herring fishing grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea	
8.15	Crab and lobster potting grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea	
8.16	Whitefish grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea	
8.17	Key navigational features around the Irish Sea Zone	
8.18	Ports in the vicinity of the Site	
8.19	Ship arrivals to principal ports 2000-2009	
8.20	Overview of AIS tracks recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)	
8.21	Vessel type distributions	
8.22	Cargo vessels recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)	
8.23	Passenger vessels recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)	
8.24	Tankers recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)	
8.25	Main destination ports of vessels passing through ISZ and buffer (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)	
8.26	Ship density grids	

Figure no.	Title	
8.27	90th percentiles for the main routes identified in the Irish Sea	
8.28	Adverse weather routes (4/7 February 2011 and 23/24 May 2011)	
8.29	Adverse weather routes (September and December 2011)	
8.30	Recreational user information around Irish Sea Zone	
8.31	Key airports, radar installations and helicopter routes that could be affected by wind farm development of the Site	
8.32	35km study area for visual impact of the Site	
8.33	Designated landscape features identified within 60km of the Site	
8.34	Landscape character areas identified within the Site study area	
8.35	Other users of the marine environment	
8.36	Oil and gas licensing blocks	
8.37	Borehole locations	
8.38	Wreck locations in the vicinity of the project	

List of Tables

Table no.	Title
4.1	Indicative turbine options and maximum potential dimensions
5.1	Matrix to determine impact significance
5.2	Inter-relationships to be considered in the Environmental Statement
5.3	Other projects to be considered as part of cumulative impact assessment
6.1	ZAP physical process surveys
7.1	Main seabed communities mapped within the Site and the ISZ with summary information on extent calculated
7.2	Spawning areas as defined from Cefas egg surveys (Cefas 2011) for the main commercial fish species likely to spawn in the zone
7.3	Spawning periods for the main commercial species in the Irish Sea
7.4	Protection measures afforded particularly to Irish Sea species (data from Pawson and Robson 1996, Pinnegar et al. 2010)
7.5	Conservation status and occurrence of marine mammals, basking shark and turtle species encountered regularly within the Irish Sea region
7.6	Summary of marine mammals recorded during visual and acoustic surveys of the ISZ carried out from March 2010 to September 2011
7.7	Special Areas of Conservation within, and adjacent to, the Irish Sea where marine mammals are grade A-C qualifying features
7.8	Numbers seen, pattern of occurrence and estimated density and population

Table no.	Title	
	sizes of important bird species recorded in the ISZ	
7.9	Details of key bird species at other wind farm projects in the Irish Sea area	
7.10	Identification of potential for cumulative impact for SPA and other species (based on guidelines in King <i>et al.</i> 2009)	
7.11	UK SACs and their proximity to the Site	
7.12	UK SAC features and potential effects likely to be considered in the Environmental Statement (subject to HRA screening)	
7.13	SSSIs within the export cable route corridor	
7.14	SPAs in the Irish Sea area where identified key species from ZAP surveys are present as a qualifying feature	
8.1	Landings values by year in the national, regional and local study areas of all species	
8.2	Percentage of sightings within the ISZ by nationality and method	
8.3	Ports into which vessels targeting king and queen scallops will land their catch	
8.4	Ports into which vessels beam trawling for sole will land their catch	
8.5	Visiting vessels seasonally beam trawling for sole in the Irish Sea	
8.6	Ports into which vessels targeting whelks will land their catch	
8.7	Ports into which vessels targeting nephrops will land their catch	
8.8	Ports into which vessels targeting crabs and lobster will land their catch	
8.9	Description of main routes in the ISZ	
8.10	Irish Sea submarine cables	
8.11	Oil and gas fields in the vicinity of the Site	
8.12	Oil and Gas Licence areas	
8.13	Offshore wind farm projects in the Irish Sea	
8.14	Dates of loss of documented wrecks	
8.15	Vessel types of documented wrecks	
8.16	Selected gazetteer on main features of archaeological interest (A1 archaeological discrimination)	
10.1	Summary of issues included in, or scoped out of, the Environmental Statement	

Glossary of key terms

Abundance	Number of animals present per unit area.
Acoustic wave and current profiler	Survey equipment to measure current profiles and wave measurements.
Anthropogenic	Made by people or resulting from human activity.
Appropriate Assessment	Formal assessment by the Competent Authority of the impacts of a project on the integrity of a Natura 2000 site.
Baseline	Description of the existing conditions.
Bathymetry	The measurement of the depth of a water body.
Benthic	Relating to the deepest part of the ocean or sea bed.
Benthos	Animals living in the deepest part of the ocean or sea-bed.
Biogeographic region	Area of flora and fauna distribution having similar or shared characteristics throughout.
Biotope	Habitat and component species.
Cetacean	Whales, dolphins and porpoises.
Creel	A type of pot used in fishing for catching crab or lobster.
Crown Dependency	The Crown Dependencies are possessions of The Crown in Right of the United Kingdom, as opposed to overseas territories of the United Kingdom. They comprise the Channel Island Bailwicks of Jersey and Guernsey in the English Channel, and the Isle of Man in the Irish Sea.
Cumulative and in combination impact assessment	Designed to address cumulative and in combination impacts at a suitable scale e.g. zone or project specific. Actual study area will depend on nature of receptor and the extent of its interaction with the environment. If done at a zonal scale, it will support EIA and HRA obligations to undertake cumulative and in combination impacts assessment.
Cumulative effects	The effects of one type of development (e.g. offshore wind) with other developments of the same type.
Development Consent Order	A legal order which provides consent for the project. It combines the grant of planning permission with a range of other consents.
Effect	An impact upon the receptor (individual, species or ecological system). Effects can be positive and negative.
Engineering Envelope	A series of worst realistic cases for which significant effects are assessed (see section 5.9 for more information).
EIA Regulations	Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Amendment) Regulations (2012).
Elasmobranchs	Cartilaginous fish that comprise sharks, rays and skates.
Environmental Impact Assessment	A procedure for ensuring that the likely significant effects of new development on the environment are fully understood and taken into account before the development is allowed to go ahead.

Environmental Management Plan	This is a plan that ensures the project meets the requirements established by legislation, legal consents and environmental commitments.
Environmental Statement	This is the written record of an EIA study submitted to decision makers with project documentation.
Epibenthic	Relating to the surface of the seabed.
EU Renewable Energy Directive	This EU Directive sets targets for all Member States, such that the EU will reach a 20% share of energy from renewable sources by 2020.
European Protected Species	Animals and plants that receive protection under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.
Fetch	The distance over which a wind of nearly constant direction has blown.
Food and Environmental Protection Act	Food and Environment Protection Act 1985 concerns the licensing and control of activities that could impact the environment. Part 2 of the act requires a marine licence to be granted for the deposit or removal of a substance or object below mean high water springs. It has been superseded by the Marine and Coastal Access Act.
Fluvial	Of or relating to or happening in a river.
Front	Transition zone between water masses with different physical characteristics.
Gyre	Ring-like system of currents.
Habitats Directive	The EC Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora). The aim of which is to promote the EU's biodiversity. Requiring Member States to maintain or restore natural habitats and wild species at a favourable conservation status.
Habitats Regulation Assessment	An assessment of the impacts of implementing a plan or policy on a Natura 2000 site.
Health and Safety at Work etc. Act	The Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 is an Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom that defines the fundamental structure and authority for the encouragement, regulation and enforcement of workplace health, safety and welfare within the United Kingdom.
In combination effects	The effects of one type of development (e.g. offshore wind) with different types of projects and activities (e.g. shipping, oil and gas).
Infauna	Benthic organisms that live within the sedimentary environment.
Intertidal	Shoreline areas between the high water spring tide mark and the low water spring tide mark.
Intra-array	Inter turbine (array) cables.
Impact	Response of the receptor (biophysical and socio economic) to the effect, be it adverse or beneficial effect.

Irish Sea Zone	Zone 9 of the third competitive leasing round for offshore wind in English and Welsh territorial seas and UK international waters.
Local Biodiversity Action Plan	Local Biodiversity Action Plan works on the basis of partnership to identify local priorities and to determine the contribution they can make to the delivery of the national Species and Habitat Action Plan targets.
Localism Act	The Localism Act 2011 contains a wide range of measures to devolve more powers to councils and neighbourhoods and give local communities greater control over local decisions like housing and planning.
Low Carbon Transition Plan	The 2009 White Paper 'UK Low Carbon Transition Plan – National Strategy for Climate and Energy' sets out the UK's comprehensive, low carbon transition plan to 2020.
Lowest Astronomical Tide	The lowest sea level that can be predicted under normal meteorological and astronomical conditions. LAT is not an extreme level, as meteorological conditions can cause a lower level referred to as a storm surge.
Magnetometer	Survey equipment towed behind the vessel for the detection of ferrous objects.
Marine and Coastal Access Act	The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 introduces a new system of marine management. This includes a new marine planning system, marine plans, changes to the system for marine licensing and the designation of marine conservation zones. It also changes the way marine fisheries are managed and enables recreational access to the English and Welsh coast.
Marine License	The provision of licensing for the carrying on of activities in the marine environment.
Multibeam echo sounder	Survey equipment for acquiring bathymetry data in a swath with a width of up to ten times the water depth.
Mean High Water Springs	The highest level that spring tides reach on the average over a period of time.
Natura 2000 Network	A network of European sites protecting vulnerable habitats and species (Special Areas of Conservation) and birds (Special Protection Areas).
NERC Act	The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 is primarily intended to implement key aspects of the Government's Rural Strategy published in July 2004. It also addresses a wider range of issues relating broadly to the natural environment.
Ornithology	The study of birds, including their physiology, classification, ecology, and behaviour.
Planning Act	The Planning Act 2008 created a new system of development consent for nationally significant infrastructure projects.
Photomontage	Computer generated images of wind farm accurately located and overlaid onto scanned photographs of existing view, used to illustrate predicted view of proposed development.

Plankton/planktonic Floating in the water column – the movements of planktonic plants/animals are almost entirely dictated by water currents.

Project The offshore wind farm (Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited) to be located at the Site including intra array and export power cables, offshore substation(s) and onshore infrastructure.

Ramsar Convention The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (1971).

- Realistic Worst Case A scenario of the likely area, technology or process that would give rise to the maximum potential adverse impact of a project or projects. This scenario is intended to aid assessment of the maximum impacts as part of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Zonal Appraisal and Planning process. It includes consideration of cumulative and inter-related impacts.
- Rochdale Envelope Another name for an Engineering Envelope (see sections 4.2 and 5.9 for more information).
- Roll On Roll Off Vessels designed to carry wheeled cargo such as automobiles, trucks, semi-trailer trucks, trailers or railroad cars that are driven on and off the ship on their own wheels.
- Scoping The process of identifying the content and extent of information to be submitted to the competent authority.
- Scour Erosion holes around the foundations of wind turbines created by tidal currents.
- Side-scan sonar Survey equipment towed behind the vessel, which acoustically images the seabed.
- Significance Is the significance of an impact on a specific receptor and is derived in part from an analysis of the sensitivity and also considers timing, scale, size and duration of the specific impact.
- Site The offshore area encompassing Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited located approximately 19km from Anglesey, 34km from the Isle of Man and 60km from the Cumbrian coast in the Irish Sea Zone. The Site does not include export cable, offshore substation(s) and onshore infrastructure.

Strategic A system of incorporating environmental considerations into policies, plans, programmes and strategies. Assessment

- Substation A facility that steps up or steps down the voltage in power cables/lines.
- Territorial Seas Territorial seas are defined by the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and cover an area of sea extending 12 nautical miles from the coast, where a country or a region have rights.

Traffic Separation Scheme	A system of traffic management ruled by the International Maritime Organization.
Wake loss	As a turbine extracts energy from the wind, it leaves behind it a wake characterized by reduced wind speeds and increased levels of turbulence. Another turbine operating in this wake, or deep inside a wind farm where the effects of a number of wakes may be felt simultaneously, will therefore produce less energy and suffer greater structural loading than a turbine operating in the free stream.
Wireframe	Computer generated perspectives of the topography and proposed development to illustrate the predicted views from each viewpoint.
ZAP Report	The 2012 report commissioned by Celtic Array as part of the Zonal Appraisal and Planning process.
Zone Development Agreement	A contractual arrangement for Round 3 wind farm development between an offshore wind developer and The Crown Estate.
Zonal Appraisal and Planning	A non-statutory planning process assessing a zone established for potential offshore wind farm development as a whole.

Abbreviations

A/S	Aktieselskab, the Danish name for a stock-based company
AA	Appropriate Assessment
ABP	Associated British Ports
AC	Alternating Current
ADD	Acoustic Deterrent Device
AIS	Automatic Identification System
ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable
ALB	All Weather Lifeboat
AMSL	Above Mean Sea Level
ARPA	Automatic Radar Plotting Aid
AOD	Above Ordnance Datum
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
ASMS	Active Safety Management System
ASCOBANS	Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic and North Seas
ASSI	Areas of Specific Scientific Interest
ATC	Air Traffic Control
ATRS	Air Traffic Radar Services
AtoN	Aids to Navigation
AWAC	Acoustic wave and current profiler
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan
BGS	British Geological Survey
BMAPA	British Marine Aggregate Producers Association
BSI	British Standards Institution
BT	British Telecoms
BTO	British Trust for Ornithology
BWEA	British Wind Energy Association (now renamed as RenewableUK)
CA	Cruising Association
CAA	Civil Aviation Authority
CAP	Civil Aviation Publication
CCS	Carbon Capture and Storage
CCW	Countryside Council for Wales
CDM	Construction (Design and Management)
Cefas	Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science
CEH	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
CFP	Common Fisheries Policy
CIA	Cumulative Impact Assessment
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species
CMACS	Centre for Marine and Coastal Studies
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide

COLREGS	International Regulations for the Prevention of Collisions at Sea
CoS	Chamber of Shipping
COWRIE	Collaborative Offshore Wind Research into the Environment
CPA	Coastal Protection Act
CPRE	Campaign for the Protection of Rural England
CREL	Centrica Renewable Energy Ltd
cSAC	Candidate Special Area of Conservation
CHS	Committee for Health and Safety
СТА	Controlled Traffic Area
CTD	Conductivity Temperature Depth
DARDNI	Department of Agriculture and Rural Development Northern Ireland
DC	Direct Current
DCLG	Department of Communities and Local Government
DCO	Development Consent Order
DDV	Drop Down Video
DECC	Department of Energy and Climate Change
DEFA	Department of Environment Food and Agriculture (Isle of Man)
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (UK)
DETI	Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment (Northern Ireland)
DfT	Department for Transport (UK)
DIO	Defence Infrastructure Organisation (UK) (formerly Defence Estates)
DTLR	Department for Transport, Local Government and the Regions (Republic of Ireland)
DoE	Department of Environment (Northern Ireland)
DPPA	Drilling and Production Platform
DRDNI	Department of Regional Development Northern Ireland
DTI	Department of Trade and Industry (UK)
DVZ	Department voor Zeevisserij (Belgium)
EA	Environment Agency (England and Wales)
EC	European Commission
EEA	European Economic Area
EEC	European Economic Community
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EH	English Heritage
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EPS	European Protected Species
ERCoP	Emergency Response Cooperation Plan
ES	Environmental Statement
EU	European Union
FAD	Fish Aggregating Device
FEPA	Food and Environmental Protection Act

FIR	Fishing Industry Representative
FLO	Fisheries Liaison Officer
FLOWW	Fishing Liaison with Offshore Wind and Wet Renewables
FPO	Fish Producers' Organisation
FSA	Formal Safety Assessment
GIS	Geographic Information System
GRT	Gross Tonnage
GW	Gigawatts
HAT	Highest Astronomical Tide
HMNB	Her Majesty's Naval Base
HPMCZ	Highly Protected Marine Conservation Zone
HRA	Habitats Regulations Assessment
HSWA	Health and Safety at Work etc. Act
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IACC	Isle of Anglesey County Council
IALA	International Association of Lighthouse Authorities
ICES	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
ICZM	International Coastal Zone Management
IDB	Internal Drainage Board
IEEM	Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management
ILB	Inshore Lifeboat
IMO	International Maritime Organisation
IOMSPC	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company
IPC	Infrastructure Planning Commission
IPR	Infrastructure Planning Regulations
ISCZ	Irish Sea Conservation Zone
ISZ	Irish Sea Zone
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
JNAPC	Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee
JNCC	Joint Nature Conservation Committee
km	Kilometres
kWh	Kilo Watt hour
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
LBAP	Local Biodiversity Action Plan
LGM	Last Glacial Maximum
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LNR	Local Nature Reserve
LOS	Line of Sight
m	Metres
MAGIC	Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside
MAIB	Marine Accident Investigation Branch

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
MCCA	Marine and Coastal Access Act
MCU	Marine Consents Unit
MCZ	Marine Conservation Zone
MEHRA	Marine Environmental High Risk Area
MGN	Marine Guidance Notes
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol
ММО	Marine Management Organisation
MOD	Ministry of Defence
mph	Miles per Hour
MRCC	Maritime Rescue Coordination Centre
MW	Megawatts
NA	Navigation Assessment
NATS	National Air Traffic Services Ltd
NE	Natural England
NERL	NATS (En Route) Limited
NFFO	National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations
NHS	National Health Service
NIEA	Northern Ireland Environment Agency
nm	Nautical Miles
NMR	National Monuments Register
NNR	National Nature Reserve
NPS	National Policy Statement
NPWS	National Parks and Wildlife Service
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects
NTM	Notice to Mariners
NUI	Normally Unattended Installation
NUC	Not Under Command (as per COLREGS)
NVQ	National Vocational Qualification
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
Ofgem	Office of the Gas and Electricity Markets
OREI	Offshore Renewable Energy Installation
OSPAR	Oslo/Paris convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic
PAM	Passive Acoustic Monitoring
PEXA	Practice and Exercise Areas
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PSA	Particle Size Analysis
pSPA	Potential SPA
PTS	Permanent Threshold Shift

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

PVA	Population Viability Analysis
QHSE	Quality, Health, Safety and Environment
RAF	Royal Air Force
RCAHMW	Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales
REZ	Renewable Energy Zone
rMCZ	Recommended Marine Conservation Zone
RNLI	Royal National Lifeboat Institution
ROFI	Region of Freshwater Influence
ROI	Republic of Ireland
Ro-Ro	Roll On Roll Off
RSL	Relative Sea Level
RWCS	Realistic Worst Case Scenario
RYA	Royal Yachting Association
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SAM	Scheduled Ancient Monument
SAR	Search and Rescue
SBL	Safe Biological Limits
SCI	Sites of Community Importance
SCOS	Special Committee on Seals
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
SEAI	Sustainable Energy Authority of Ireland
SFF	Scottish Fisherman's Federations
SFPA	Sea Fisheries Protection Agency
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SLVIA	Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
SMAA	Surveillance Minimum Altitude Area
SMP	Shoreline Management Plan
SMPe	Seabird Monitoring Programme
SMRU	Sea Mammal Research Unit
SNH	Scottish National Heritage
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSC	Suspended Sediment Concentration
SSSI	Sites of Special Scientific Interest
TAC	Total Allowable Catch
TAN 20	Technical Advice Note 20
TCE	The Crown Estate
TCPA	Town and Country Planning Act
TCPR	Town and Country Planning (EIA) Regulations
TEU	Twenty Foot Equivalent Unit
THLS	Trinity House Lighthouse Service
TSS	Traffic Separation Scheme
TTS	Temporary Threshold Shift

ПК	United Kingdom
UKCP	UK Climate Projections
UKCPC	UK Cable Protection Committee (now renamed Subsea Cables UK)
UKCS	United Kingdom Continental Shelf
UKHO	United Kingdom Hydrographic Office
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
VTS	Vessel Traffic Services
VMS	Vessel Monitoring System
WCA	Wildlife and Countryside Act
WDCS	Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator
WWT	Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust
7AP	Zonal Appraisal and Planning
ZDA	Zone Development Agreement
ZTV	Zones of Theoretical Visibility



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Celtic Array Limited (Celtic Array) is a joint venture between Centrica Renewable Energy Limited (CREL) and DONG Energy Power A/S (DONG Energy).

Celtic Array is proposing to develop an offshore wind farm, called Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited (RWFL), in the Irish Sea and bring electricity to shore. At its closest point, RWFL would be located approximately 19km from Anglesey, 34km from the Isle of Man and 60km from the Cumbrian coast. It could have a total generating capacity of up to 2.2 Gigawatts, which would comprise between 147 and 440 wind turbines. In this report, where 'Project 1' is referred to, please read Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited.

What is being consulted on now and why?

Celtic Array intends to submit an application for the offshore infrastructure, such as the wind turbines, offshore substation and marine cables, to the Planning Inspectorate. The offshore infrastructure will extend up to the point where the export cables come ashore, as far as the tidal limit at Mean High Water Springs. The application is expected to be made by the end of 2013 with the aim of starting offshore construction in 2017.

This document is a Scoping Report submitted to the Planning Inspectorate to obtain its opinion on the potential impacts that should be addressed in the Environmental Impact Assessment, which will be a key part of the application.

The Planning Inspectorate has a duty to consult widely with statutory consultees before adopting its Scoping Opinion.

What has happened so far?

Celtic Array has completed a process of data collection, consultation and assessment known as Zonal Appraisal and Planning (ZAP). The ZAP process enabled Celtic Array to gain a better understanding of the unique physical, human and environmental constraints in the Irish Sea.

The ZAP process identified three Potential Development Areas. Celtic Array decided to develop RWFL in the south-east part of the Irish Sea Zone. The ZAP surveys, report and consultation responses informed this Scoping Report.

What remains to be decided?

The location of the onshore infrastructure, such as the substation and onshore cable route(s), is yet to be determined. Celtic Array is in discussion with National Grid about potential connection points to the existing UK electricity transmission network on the UK mainland. The connection is anticipated to be on Anglesey.

The onshore infrastructure will be subject to public consultation and a planning application to the Isle of Anglesey County Council.

The offshore export cable route is described in a corridor, which will be further refined as more information is known. The section of the export cable that is within Welsh territorial seas will require a marine license from the Welsh Government Marine Consents Unit.

What happens next?

The Planning Inspectorate has 42 days to consult with statutory bodies and adopt a Scoping Opinion. The Scoping Opinion will be publicly available on the Planning Inspectorate's website: <u>http://infrastructure.planningportal.gov.uk/projects/</u>

When can I have my say and how?

The purpose of this Scoping Report is to request a Scoping Opinion from the Planning Inspectorate. Public participation will be encouraged in a number of ways. Starting in the

autumn 2012, two stages of formal consultation are expected as well as a number of public events and information updates. Details will be available on the website <u>www.celticarray.com</u>.

If you have any queries about this Scoping Report or this project, please contact Celtic Array at <u>info@celticarray.com</u>.



CRYNODEB GWEITHREDOL

Mae Celtic Array Limited (Celtic Array) yn fenter ar y cyd rhwng Centrica Renewable Energy Limited (CREL) a DONG Energy Power A/S (DONG Energy).

Mae Celtic Array yn cynnig datblygu fferm wynt alltraeth ym Môr Iwerddon, o'r enw Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited, (RWFL), a throsglwyddo trydan i'r Ian. Ar ei bwynt agosaf, byddai'r RWFL yn cael ei leoli oddeutu 19km o Ynys Môn, 34km o Ynys Manaw a 60km o arfordir Cumbria. Gallai cyfanswm ei allu cynhyrchu fod yn hyd at 2.2 Gigawat, a fyddai'n cynnwys rhwng 147 a 440 o dyrbinau gwynt.

Beth yw testun yr ymgynghoriad hwn, a pham?

Mae Celtic Array yn bwriadu cyflwyno cais ar gyfer y seilwaith alltraeth, megis y tyrbinau gwynt, yr is-orsaf alltraeth a'r ceblau môr, i'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio. Bydd y seilwaith alltraeth yn ymestyn i'r pwynt lle y bydd y ceblau allforio yn cyrraedd y tir, mor bell â therfyn y Penllanw Cymedrig. Disgwylir cyflwyno'r cais erbyn diwedd 2013, gyda'r nod o gychwyn ar y gwaith adeiladu alltraeth yn 2017.

Adroddiad Cwmpasu yw'r ddogfen hon, a gyflwynwyd i'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio i gael eu barn ynghylch yr effeithiau posibl y dylid rhoi sylw iddynt yn yr Asesiad o'r Effaith Amgylcheddol, a fydd yn rhan allweddol o'r cais.

Mae gan yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio ddyletswydd i ymgynghori'n eang gydag ymgyngoreion statudol cyn mabwysiadu ei Barn Gwmpasu.

Beth sydd wedi digwydd hyd yn hyn?

Mae Celtic Array wedi cwblhau proses o gasglu data, ymgynghori ac asesu o'r enw Cynllunio ac Arfarnu Parthol (ZAP). Mae'r broses ZAP wedi galluogi Celtic Array i gael gwell dealltwriaeth o'r cyfyngiadau ffisegol, dynol ac amgylcheddol unigryw ym Môr Iwerddon.

Nodwyd tair Ardal Ddatblygu Bosibl yn y broses ZAP. Penderfynodd Celtic Array ddatblygu'r RWFL yn rhan de ddwyreiniol Parth Môr Iwerddon. Mae'r arolygon ZAP, yr adroddiad a'r ymatebion i'r ymgynghoriad wedi cyfrannu at yr Adroddiad Cwmpasu hwn.

Beth arall sydd angen ei benderfynu?

Ni phenderfynwyd ar leoliad y seilwaith ar y tir eto, megis lleoliad yr is-orsaf a llwybr(au) y ceblau ar y tir. Mae Celtic Array yn cynnal trafodaethau gyda'r Grid Cenedlaethol ynghylch pwyntiau cysylltu posibl i'r rhwydwaith trosglwyddo trydan presennol yn y Deyrnas Unedig ar dir mawr y Deyrnas Unedig. Disgwylir y bydd y cysylltiad yn cael ei leoli ar Ynys Môn.

Bydd y seilwaith ar y tir yn destun gweithgarwch ymgynghori cyhoeddus a chais cynllunio i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn.

Disgrifir y llwybr ceblau allforio alltraeth mewn coridor, a fydd yn cael ei fireinio ymhellach wrth i ragor o wybodaeth ddod yn hysbys. Bydd gofyn sicrhau trwydded forol gan Uned Caniatadau Morol Llywodraeth Cymru ar gyfer y darn o'r cebl trosglwyddo a leolir ym môr tiriogaethol Cymru.

Beth fydd yn digwydd nesaf?

Mae gan yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio 42 diwrnod i ymgynghori gyda chyrff statudol a mabwysiadu Barn Gwmpasu. Bydd y Farn Gwmpasu ar gael i'r cyhoedd ar wefan yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio: <u>http://infrastructure.planningportal.gov.uk/projects/</u>

Pryd fydd modd i mi fynegi barn a sut?

Diben yr Adroddiad Cwmpasu hwn yw gofyn am Farn Gwmpasu gan yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio. Anogir y cyhoedd i gymryd rhan mewn nifer o ffyrdd. Gan gychwyn yn ystod yr hydref 2012,



disgwylir y bydd dau gam o weithgaredd ymgynghori ffurfiol, yn ogystal â nifer o ddigwyddiadau cyhoeddus a diweddariadau gwybodaeth. Bydd y manylion ar gael ar y wefan, sef <u>www.celticarray.com</u>.

Os oes gennych unrhyw ymholiadau am yr Adroddiad Cwmpasu hwn neu am y prosiect hwn, cysylltwch â Celtic Array, <u>info@celticarray.com</u>.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Celtic Array Limited (Celtic Array) is a joint venture between Centrica Renewable Energy Limited (CREL) and DONG Energy Power A/S (DONG Energy). Celtic Array is proposing to develop an offshore wind farm, called Rhiannon Wind Farm Limited (RWFL), in the Irish Sea together with associated offshore infrastructure to bring electricity to shore.
- 1.2 RWFL is the first offshore wind farm to be proposed in the Irish Sea Zone (ISZ) and it is located approximately 19km north east from Anglesey, 34km south east from the Isle of Man and 60km south west of the Cumbrian coast. RWFL will have a capacity of up to 2.2 Gigawatts (GW) and will comprise offshore wind turbines, foundations, intraarray cables and offshore transmission assets such as offshore substation(s) and the sub-sea export cables which will bring power to shore. Celtic Array is in discussion with National Grid about potential connection on Anglesey although the exact location of the onshore grid connection is yet to be determined. Figure 1.1 below shows the Site and an indicative cable corridor for the project. Chapter 4 describes the project in more detail.
- 1.3 RWFL is an offshore electricity generation station of more than 100 Megawatts (MW) in capacity and is therefore defined as a 'Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project' (NSIP) by the Planning Act.
- 1.4 Celtic Array intends to submit applications for:
 - A Development Consent Order (DCO) for the electricity generation station and export cables outside Welsh territorial seas to the Planning Inspectorate which will include an application for a deemed Marine Licence (in consultation with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO));
 - A Marine Licence for export cables which are inside the Welsh territorial seas to the Welsh Government (Marine Consents Unit); and
 - Planning permission, for onshore infrastructure associated with RWFL, in Wales, from the Isle of Anglesey County Council, once the location for the onshore grid connection is determined.
- 1.5 The DCO application will be accompanied by one Environmental Statement (ES) prepared in accordance with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Amendment) Regulations 2012 (the EIA Regulations) and supporting documents. The EIA Regulations enable an applicant to ask the Planning Inspectorate to state in writing its formal opinion (a Scoping Opinion) on the information required to be provided in an ES. In accordance with Regulation 8 of the EIA Regulations, Celtic Array is requesting a Scoping Opinion from the Planning Inspectorate. As discussed in Chapter 2, a deemed Marine Licence will also be sought as part of the DCO application.
- 1.6 The purpose of this Scoping Report is to support Celtic Array's request for a Scoping Opinion from the Planning Inspectorate. The Planning Inspectorate has a duty under Regulation 8(6) of the EIA Regulations to consult widely with the consultation bodies described in the regulations before adopting a Scoping Opinion. It is intended that this Scoping Report will support such consultation with statutory consultees and other stakeholders on the scope of the EIA required for RWFL as well as the proposed studies and surveys to inform the EIA. This report focuses on the offshore elements of RWFL and the approach to the onshore elements is discussed in Chapter 2.

- 1.7 RWFL falls within 'Schedule 2 development' under the EIA Regulations as an installation which harnesses wind power for energy production because it will be a wind farm. An EIA is not mandatory for a Schedule 2 development but its requirement depends upon the sensitivity of the receiving environment, the likelihood of significant environmental effects and the scale of the proposal. In submitting the information included in this Scoping Report, Celtic Array can be deemed to have notified the Planning Inspectorate under Regulation 6(1)(b) of the EIA Regulations that it proposes to provide an ES in respect of RWFL. Therefore, RWFL can be determined to be an EIA development in accordance with Regulation 4. Celtic Array has not requested a Screening Opinion from either the Planning Inspectorate or its predecessor, the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC).
- 1.8 This Scoping Report sets out the proposed content, key issues and methodologies for the EIA, the results of which will be included in the ES to be submitted with the application for a DCO. This report is broken down into the following sections:

Chapters 1, 2, and 3 introduce the development team, RWFL, how it was selected and the consenting strategy;

Chapter 4 describes RWFL and the likely methods of construction, operation and decommissioning;

Chapter 5 outlines the EIA methodology;

Chapters 6, 7 and 8 describe the physical, biological and human environment in which RWFL is located; identify the likely significant effects of the project; and explain how it is intended to analyse any significant effects during EIA; and

Chapter 9 outlines the contents of the ES that will be submitted alongside the DCO.



Figure 1.1 Project location and indicative cable corridor

The development team

- 1.9 RWFL is being developed by Celtic Array which is a joint venture between CREL and DONG Energy.
- 1.10 CREL is a subsidiary of Centrica plc (Centrica), which is better known to customers through its market leading British Gas operations. Centrica supplies gas and electricity to millions of consumers across Britain and provides a wide range of energy-related services to homes and businesses. As part of a broader gas production and electricity generation portfolio, Centrica has a growing number of renewable assets. Centrica believes that wind power will deliver the majority of the required growth in renewable energy to enable the UK Government's current carbon reduction targets to be met by 2020.
- 1.11 Centrica's primary focus regarding renewable energy has been on the development of offshore wind farms. Centrica has developed, built and operated a number of offshore and onshore wind farms (Figure 1.2).
- 1.12 DONG Energy is one of the leading energy groups in Northern Europe specialising in procuring, producing, distributing and trading energy. The company employs approximately 6,000 employees across Northern Europe and the UK.
- 1.13 DONG Energy is the market leader in offshore wind and has more than 30 years experience in wind power and more than 20 years experience in developing, building

and running wind farms. The majority of DONG Energy's wind power capacity is located in north west Europe, with an increasing amount being derived from offshore wind farms in Great Britain (Figure 1.3).

- 1.14 Renewable Energy Systems Ltd (RES) has been engaged by Celtic Array to develop projects in the ISZ.
- 1.15 RES is a member of the Sir Robert McAlpine Group and is one of the leading and broadest based companies in the wind energy industry worldwide. RES has been at the forefront of wind energy development in the UK since 1980 and has developed projects in America, Europe and worldwide. RES has a total portfolio of more than 5GW of installed wind capacity.



Figure 1.2 Centrica's wind farm projects

DONG

energy

Capacity: 240 MW DONG Energy Share: 100% Status: Consented

Westermost Rough

Lincs

35 km2

35 km2 Capacity: 250 MW DONG Energy Share: 25% Partners: Centrica & Siemens Status: Under Construction Hornsea

4735 km2

Capacity: Potential of 4GW DONG Energy Share: 33.3% of first two projects, up to 1GW in total Partners: Mainstream & Siemens Status: Planning

Gunfleet Sands I

Capacity: 108 MW DONG Energy Share: 50.1% Partners: Marubeni

Status: Operational Gunfleet Sands II

5 km2 Capacity: 64.8 MW DONG Energy Share: 50.1% Partners: Marubeni Status: Operational

Gunfleet Sands Demo

2.5 km2 Capacity: 20 MW DONG Energy Share: 100% Status: Consented

London Array

245 km2 Capacity: 1 GW / 630 MW (London Array I) and 370 MW (London Array II) DONG Energy Share: 50% Partner: E.ON, مصدر (Masdar UAE) Status: Under Construction (Phase1)

Barrow 10 km2 Capacity: 90 MW

Capacity: 90 MW DONG Energy Share: 50% Partners: Centrica Status: Operational

Walney (1 & 2) 73 km2

Capacity: 367 MW DONG Energy Share: 50.1% Partners: PGGM, Ampere & SSE Status: Operational

Walney Extension

149 km2 Capacity: 750 MW DONG Energy Share: 100% Status: Agreement for Lease

West of Duddon Sands

67 km2 Capacity: 389 MW DONG Energy Share: 50% Partners: Scottish Power Status: Under Construction

Irish Sea 2207 km2 Capacity: 4.2 GW

DONG Energy Share: 50% Partners: Centrica Status: Zonal Appraisal and Planning

Burbo Bank 10 km2 Capacity: 90 MW

DONG Energy Share: 100% Status: Operational

Burbo Bank Extension

Capacity: 234 MW DONG Energy Share: 100% Status: Agreement for Lease

Figure 1.3 DONG Energy's wind farm projects

2 PLANNING POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

UK energy policy and the need for renewable energy

- 2.1 The energy demand of the UK has historically been met by fossil fuels and nuclear energy. Increasing international scientific concerns over climate change and other environmental impacts of burning fossil fuels, together with a desire to minimise dependence on overseas energy sources has led the UK Government to pursue energy policies which increase the amount of electricity generated by renewable sources.
- 2.2 The UK Government's policy was set out in the first Annual Energy Statement made to the UK Parliament in July 2010. UK energy policy aims to:
 - Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to tackle climate change;
 - Increase security of supply; and
 - Reduce fuel poverty.
- 2.3 The UK Government is committed, through the Climate Change Act (2008), to reducing UK carbon dioxide emissions by at least 34% by 2020 and at least 80% by 2050 (as compared with 1990 levels).
- 2.4 Under the EU Renewable Energy Directive, there is a requirement for the UK to produce 15% of all its energy from renewable sources by 2020. In July 2009, the UK Government published the UK Renewable Energy Strategy, setting out the means by which it intended to meet this target. Given the difficulties of increasing the proportion of heating and transportation fuel that is made up from renewable sources, the 'lead scenario' identified in this strategy is for over 30% of the UK's electricity to come from renewable sources by 2020, over two-thirds of which is expected to come from wind power.
- 2.5 The 2009 White Paper 'UK Low Carbon Transition Plan National Strategy for Climate and Energy' sets out the UK's first ever, comprehensive, low carbon transition plan to 2020. The plan sets out the UK's approach to becoming a low carbon country: cutting emissions; maintaining secure energy supplies; maximising economic opportunities; and protecting the most vulnerable. The Low Carbon Transition Plan is expected to deliver carbon dioxide emission cuts of 18% on 2008 levels by 2020 (and over a one-third reduction on 1990 levels).
- 2.6 The targets for the lead scenario within the UK Renewable Energy Strategy have effect within Wales since they reflect UK energy policy. The Welsh Government issued an energy policy statement in March 2010 which aims to promote the optimum use of offshore wind around the coast of Wales in order to deliver a further 15kWh of capacity per day and per person, by 2016. The Welsh Government has outlined their approach to energy and climate change in a number of policy documents, including the Energy Strategy published in March 2012.

The Planning Act

2.7 The Planning Act (2008) introduced a new consenting regime for NSIPs in England and Wales. Under the Planning Act, applications for development consent to build NSIPs were originally dealt with by the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC). However, under the Localism Act, the IPC was abolished on 1 April 2012 and the Planning Inspectorate took over its work.

- 2.8 The Planning Inspectorate is responsible for examining applications for development consent and applies the provisions of the Planning Act relating to pre-application procedures. At the end of the examination of an application, which will still be completed within a maximum of six months, the Planning Inspectorate will have three months to make a recommendation to the Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change. The Secretary of State will make the decision whether to grant or refuse a Development Consent. This decision is expected within three months of receipt of a recommendation from the Planning Inspectorate.
- 2.9 The Planning Act placed a duty on the UK Government to create a series of National Policy Statements (NPSs) that set out national policy in relation to NSIP. The UK Government published six energy NPSs in July 2011, following two periods of public consultation. The Planning Act requires that the Planning Inspectorate must decide an application for an NSIP in accordance with the relevant NPS. The energy NPSs relevant to RWFL are listed below. These energy NPSs establish and confirm the need for energy infrastructure in the UK, including the development of offshore wind farms:
 - Overarching NPS for Energy (EN-1);
 - NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3); and
 - NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5).
- 2.10 The need for all types of electricity generation is outlined in EN-1. EN-1 notes that large scale deployment of renewable energy will help the UK tackle climate change, reducing the UK's emissions of carbon dioxide by over 750 million tonnes by 2030. Such deployment is estimated to bring business opportunities and provide around £100 billion of new investment with the potential to create 500,000 new jobs in the UK. EN-1 states that the Planning Inspectorate should examine all applications for infrastructure covered by the energy NPSs on the basis that the need for NSIP has been demonstrated by the UK Government and that this need is urgent. EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5 set out the assessment principles for the Planning Inspectorate including the assessment of relevant environmental impacts for each project.
- 2.11 Section 33 of the Planning Act enables certain other consents to be granted within the DCO in addition to the granting of consent to construct and operate a generating station, such as a deemed Marine Licence. A DCO can also confer 'statutory authority' for carrying out development and has the scope to apply, modify or exclude legislation, where necessary.
- 2.12 Special provisions apply in Wales, where devolved powers exist relating to development that is associated with an NSIP. For example, guidance issued by the Department of Communities and Local Government describes onshore works relating to an offshore wind farm proposal as an illustration of associated development. These devolved provisions are set out in paragraphs 2.18 and 2.19 below.

Consultation milestones

- 2.13 Under sections 42, 47 and 48 of Part 5 of the Planning Act, there are statutory requirements for promoters of a DCO application to engage in pre-application consultation with local communities, local authorities and those who would be directly affected by the proposals.
- 2.14 The Infrastructure Planning (Applications and Procedure) Regulations (2009) set out the detailed procedures which must be followed for submitting, publicising and consulting on NSIPs.

- 2.15 Pre-application, consultation and engagement occurs before and during the preparation of the ES, before the DCO application is submitted. Relevant local authorities with coastal and landward jurisdictions within which the potential development footprint falls will also be included. Celtic Array is planning on carrying out formal pre-application consultation in two stages in addition to ongoing engagement with relevant stakeholders.
- 2.16 Figure 2.1 below summarises Celtic Array's planned approach to pre-application consultation.



Figure 2.1 Planned approach to pre-application consultation

The Marine and Coastal Access Act

- 2.17 Under the Marine and Coastal Access Act (2009), a Marine Licence is required for the construction and operation of all parts of RWFL below MHWS. In cases where applications are made to the Planning Inspectorate for an offshore wind farm (projects over 100MW), a deemed Marine Licence is granted as part of the DCO. The Planning Inspectorate retains responsibility for the review of the application and the MMO acts as a statutory consultee in defining the conditions relating to the deemed Marine Licence. This regime will apply to all works outside the Welsh Territorial Seas.
- 2.18 In Welsh Territorial Seas, an application for a Marine Licence will be made to the Welsh Government (through the Marine Consents Unit (MCU)). It is anticipated that applications for Marine Licences will be aligned with the DCO application and onshore consents as much as possible, both in timing and consultation with the MCU and the MMO.

Associated infrastructure

- 2.19 This Scoping Report covers all offshore aspects of RWFL up to the MHWS on the coast. This includes the offshore wind farm, offshore substations and export cables to the shore.
- 2.20 Celtic Array is in discussion with National Grid about potential connection points for RWFL to the existing UK electricity transmission network on the UK mainland. The connection is anticipated to be on Anglesey, though the exact location is yet to be determined.
- 2.21 As mentioned above in paragraph 2.12, project development in Wales (i.e. the onshore infrastructure) will be outside the scope of the DCO application and will be determined by the relevant local planning authority by way of an application for planning permission under the Town and Country Planning Act (TCPA) 1990. Onshore infrastructure is expected to include cables and a substation.
- 2.22 Planning permission for the onshore cable route and substation will be sought from the IACC under Section 57 of the TCPA. This application will be accompanied by an EIA under the Town and Country Planning (EIA) Regulations for the onshore infrastructure. The offshore ES which is the subject of this Scoping Report will include sufficient detail on the onshore infrastructure to allow the Planning Inspectorate and stakeholders to understand the relationship between the offshore and onshore elements of RWFL, including any potential cumulative effects and relevant onshore planning considerations.
- 2.23 The offshore export cable route is described in a corridor, which will be further refined as more information is known. The section of the export cable that is within Welsh territorial seas will require a marine license from the Welsh Government Marine Consents Unit.

Welsh language impact assessment

2.24 Technical Advice Note 20 (TAN 20) emphasises that the Welsh language is part of the social fabric of Wales. In recognising the importance of language to people and communities, Celtic Array will conduct a language impact assessment as part of the planning process. The language impact assessment will examine whether RWFL could cause any changes to the language patterns of the surrounding communities. Celtic Array will continue to work with the relevant local planning authorities and follow any guidance such authorities have produced on how best to perform the language assessment.

Habitats regulations

- 2.25 There is a network of protected sites which aim to conserve natural habitats and species that are rare, endangered, vulnerable or endemic within the EU. This network, known as 'Natura 2000', includes Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) designated under the Habitats Directive for their habitats and/or species of European importance and Special Protection Areas (SPA) classified under the Birds Directive for rare, vulnerable and regularly occurring migratory bird species and internationally important wetlands.
- 2.26 The requirements of the Habitats Directive are transposed in England and Wales, and their territorial seas, by means of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) (the Habitats Regulations). The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2010 transpose the Habitats Directive in the UK offshore marine area (beyond 12 nautical miles).

Candidate SACs (cSACs), potential SPAs (pSPAs) and Sites of Community Importance (SCIs) should be subject to the same considerations. In addition, sites designated under the 1971 Ramsar Convention for their internationally important wetlands should also be addressed. While Ramsar sites are not European sites for the purposes of the Habitats Directive, they will nonetheless be considered as a matter of policy in any subsequent Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) for RWFL.

- 2.27 Under the Habitats Regulations, development that is considered by a Competent Authority to have the potential to have a likely significant effect on a European site cannot be consented until an Appropriate Assessment undertaken by the Competent Authority has ascertained that RWFL will have no adverse effect on the integrity of those sites.
- 2.28 For the purposes of the DCO, the Competent Authority will be the Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change. For the purposes of the application for a Marine Licence for works within the Welsh Territorial Seas, the Competent Authority will be the Welsh Ministers. However, the Habitats Regulations recognise the need to avoid duplication where more than one Competent Authority is involved. This legal duty can be discharged through a single Appropriate Assessment, made by the most appropriate Competent Authority. No decision has yet been reached on whether the Secretary of State or the Welsh Ministers would be the most appropriate competent authority.
- 2.29 The NPS (EN-1) states that, before recommending development consent, the Planning Inspectorate must consider the application of the Habitats Regulations to it. Information is provided to developers on where the requirements of the Habitats Regulations can be found, which statutory bodies should be consulted and what developers must provide to the Planning Inspectorate, including avoidance and/or mitigation measures.
- 2.30 Under the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedures) Regulations 2009 (Regulation 5(2) (g)), the applicant must submit a report considering the effect of the proposed development, alone or in combination with other plans or projects, on the integrity of any relevant European site.
- 2.31 The Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note 10 in the HRA explains the obligations placed on both the decision maker and developer under the Habitats Regulations, clarifies the information to be provided with an application for a DCO and highlights the relevant bodies that should be consulted throughout the HRA process.
- 2.32 The ES will be accompanied by a separate HRA document. The outcome of any Appropriate Assessment would be determined by the Competent Authority and would be produced once a DCO has been granted.
- 2.33 The HRA will be screened independently from this Scoping Report when more information from surveys and further analysis is available.

3 SITE SELECTION

The Crown Estate leasing process

- 3.1 The Crown Estate (TCE) owns the seabed in UK territorial waters (out to 12 nautical miles (nm)) and manages the rights to renewable energy resources for the continental shelf out to a maximum distance of 200nm. In 2008, TCE launched a third leasing round of offshore wind (Round 3). Round 3 was a competitive tender performed for nine zones around the UK coast (Figure 3.1).
- 3.2 CREL was successful in obtaining the development rights to the Irish Sea Zone (ISZ) in January 2010 (the ISZ is sometimes also referred to as Zone 9, which was the title used during The Crown Estate's tender exercise). These development rights allow the holder to identify and seek consent for offshore wind projects within the ISZ. When CREL and DONG Energy formed Celtic Array in March 2012, and following approval by The Crown Estate, the development rights to the ISZ were transferred to Celtic Array.
- 3.3 The ISZ covers an area of 2,200km² and is approximately 15km from Anglesey, 20km from the Isle of Man and over 40km to the Cumbrian coast. Celtic Array expects the ISZ to deliver up to 4.2GW of capacity of offshore wind¹. The boundary of the ISZ is shown in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.1 Round 3 offshore wind zones

¹ 4.2GW is equivalent to the power needed for about three million homes.


Figure 3.2 The Irish Sea Zone

Zone Appraisal and Planning

- 3.4 In order to improve the consultation process for offshore wind, TCE suggested that each zone should go through the ZAP process. Celtic Array completed the ZAP process to gain a better understanding of the unique physical, human and environmental constraints and opportunities in the ISZ. The ISZ ZAP process was a non-statutory, strategic programme extending over two years, involving data collection, consultation and assessment.
- 3.5 The ZAP process represents a new approach to project development and allows RWFL to be scoped on the basis of a large body of data and views collected specifically to inform any proposals. This reduces the need to rely on desk-based studies only and forms a strong foundation for project specific consultation and assessment. Where issues have been scoped out or focused on specific areas, it has been achieved through the assessments performed as part of the ZAP process and interpretation of the specific situation of RWFL.
- 3.6 The ZAP process culminated in the Celtic Array ZAP report that identified three Potential Development Areas in the ISZ which may host offshore wind farms. While the ZAP process is not provided for in any regulations, the data collection, consultation and assessment can be viewed as preliminary work informing and, to some extent, underpinning the EIA.
- 3.7 Celtic Array published the ZAP Report on its website on the 5 April 2012 and invited comments, via email, from more than 700 stakeholders. Stakeholder responses to the

ZAP Report have informed this Scoping Report and will be considered as the EIA progresses. The ZAP Report is available for download at: <u>www.celticarray.com</u>

Potential Development Areas

- 3.8 The ZAP process identified three Potential Development Areas (Figure 3.3) on the basis of water depth, ground conditions, shipping routes and stakeholder responses. Consultation during the ZAP process helped identify strategic corridors which will be left undeveloped to assist other sea users and manage the environmental impacts associated with multiple offshore wind farms.
- 3.9 The ZAP process also recommended that the South East Potential Development Area should be amended to include a buffer of 5nm from the entrance / exit to the Anglesey Traffic Separation Scheme (TSS) and a buffer of 1nm from a line drawn between northern most limit of the Anglesey and Liverpool Bay TSS (Figure 3.3 below).
- 3.10 The two years of data collection and consultation collated via ZAP has informed this Scoping Report by outlining key issues which will need to be addressed as part of the project level EIA.
- 3.11 Celtic Array decided to develop its first project, RWFL, in the South East Potential Development Area because of its proximity to grid connection(s) on Anglesey.
- 3.12 The North East Potential Development Area and South West Potential Development Area will separately be examined to identify future projects. Any future projects will go through their own process of consultation and assessment, including the consideration of cumulative and in combination effects.



Figure 3.3 Amended southern boundary of the South East Potential Development Area

4 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

- 4.1 RWFL is the first offshore wind farm to be proposed in the ISZ. The key offshore and onshore components are outlined below. At the current scoping stage, the RWFL description remains indicative but it will be refined following ongoing surveys, engineering studies and discussions with stakeholders as part of the EIA process. However, it is essential that a range of engineering and construction options remain available to Celtic Array following the issue of a DCO and a Marine Licence.
- 4.2 As discussed in Chapter 5 of this Scoping Report, the RWFL description in the ES will include a clearly defined Engineering Envelope (also known as a Rochdale Envelope²) upon which the assessment of environmental impacts will be based. This topic is further explored in paragraphs 5.9 to 5.11.

Project objective

4.3 The principal objective of the project is to secure domestic supplies of renewable electricity from offshore wind, in line with the UK Government's energy policy (see Chapter 2 of this Scoping Report).

Site location and layout

- 4.4 The Site is located in the ISZ approximately 60km south west of the Cumbrian coast, 19km north east of Anglesey and 34km south east of the Isle of Man at its closest boundaries to shore. The maximum area of the Site is about 497km². The Site and indicative cable corridor is shown in Figure 4.1 which includes the co-ordinates of the vertexes of the Site's boundary.
- 4.5 The offshore export cable route falls within a wide corridor (Figure 4.1 below) which will be refined once a grid connection is finalised, landfalls have been defined and geophysical surveys have identified potential constraints within the export cable corridor. The DCO application and ES will be focussed on a significantly narrower cable corridor. Further details will be provided when the grid connection point is agreed and the relevant technical studies have been completed. The connection is anticipated to be on Anglesey, though the exact location is yet to be determined.
- 4.6 The main components of the project are likely to include:
 - Offshore wind turbines and associated foundations;
 - Offshore substations (High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) and/or High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC));
 - Offshore platforms for operation, maintenance and accommodation;
 - Intra-array subsea cables between the turbines and offshore substations;
 - Export subsea cables to shore; and

² Case law (for example Rochdale MBC Ex. Parte C Tew 1999) has affirmed the legal principle that the content of any consent for development requiring EIA cannot exceed the scope of EIA. However, an enduring difficulty for the promoters of complex infrastructure projects such as offshore wind farms is that it is not possible to be precise about each element of a development at the time of the submission of a consent application. As recognised by the Planning Inspectorate in its Advice Note 9, a valid approach to this issue is to define an engineering envelope (known as a Rochdale envelope) comprising a series of realistic worst cases for individual environmental or technical disciplines, which will define the scope of EIA and in turn the scope of a consent.





• Scour protection for turbine foundations and cable protection.

Figure 4.1 Project location and indicative cable corridor

4.7 The onshore infrastructure associated with RWFL will be the subject of a separate application for planning permission to the IACC under the Town and Country Planning Act (1990). Although the onshore infrastructure associated with RWFL will be outside the scope of the DCO application, the ES will include sufficient detail on the onshore infrastructure to allow the Planning Inspectorate and stakeholders to understand the relationship between the offshore and onshore elements of the project, including any potential cumulative effects and relevant onshore planning considerations.

Meteorological mast

4.8 The MMO have granted a Marine Licence³ to install a meteorological mast within the Site. The meteorological mast is scheduled to be installed at the Site in 2013 and will provide detailed information on the wind resource helping to define the most efficient turbine layout for RWFL.

³ Marine Licence Number: L/2012/00020. Date of issue 12 January 2012.



Turbine options

4.9 Offshore wind turbines ranging in size from about 5MW to 15MW will be considered for RWFL. Figure 4.2 below shows an indicative wind turbine generator structure.



Figure 4.2 Indicative wind turbine generator structure (Celtic Array 2012. Drawing No: 02221 D2209-01)

4.10 Table 4.1 provides indicative turbine numbers and dimensions for RWFL.

Rating	Max. no. of turbines	Max. rotor diameter (m)	Max. hub height (m LAT)	Max. rotor tip height (m LAT)
5MW	440	142	109	180
6MW	367	155	117	195
7MW	314	172	127	213
12MW	184	220	156	266
15MW	147	250	175	300

 Table 4.1 Indicative turbine options and maximum potential dimensions

- 4.11 The average spacing of the turbines in the final layout for RWFL could range between 7 and 10 rotor diameters (about 994m and 2,500m). The spacing between turbines within the array may also vary with direction because of factors such as wind climate, micro-siting and navigational safety requirements. The layout of turbines across the Site is yet to be confirmed and, depending on the outcome of wind resource studies and wake modelling, could be a regular grid, a radial array or an irregular arrangement of turbines.
- 4.12 It should be noted that the exact wind turbine specifications for RWFL have yet to be determined. The chosen wind turbines are likely to be of proven technology, likely to incorporate tapered tubular or steel lattice towers and two or three blades attached to a nacelle which will contain equipment such as the generator, gearbox and other operating equipment.
- 4.13 In summary, RWFL would have an installed capacity of up to 2.2GW. A range of turbine models could be used for the project.

Foundation options

- 4.14 Water depths within the Site range from approximately 36m LAT in the east to 83m LAT in the west, with a tidal range of between about 6m and 8.5m. The mean water depth across the Site is about 46m LAT.
- 4.15 Piled steel jacket structures, gravity base foundations may suit these conditions but alternative foundation options, such as but not limited to a 'hybrid' concept, monopile foundations or suction cassion foundations, may be specified and assessed in the ES. The final engineering solution will be determined following the completion of the detailed geotechnical campaign and in response to environmental constraints identified during the consultation and EIA process. It is possible that more than one type of foundation may be used across the Site. Figure 4.3 outlines some of the potential foundation options.
- 4.16 It may be possible to deploy monopiles in the shallower parts of the Site, although it is considered unlikely that simple monopile foundation concept would be technically viable across the whole area. In addition, monopiles are unlikely to be feasible for larger MW capacity turbines. Variants of the monopile, for example braced or guyed monopiles, could extend the range of conditions for which such foundations could be utilised. Further studies shall confirm the spatial extent of the South East area over which monopile foundations may be deployed.



Figure 4.3 Indicative foundation options (Celtic Array 2012. Drawing No: 02221 D2210-03)

Monopile

- 4.17 Monopile foundations consist of a steel tubular foundation that is piled or drilled into the seabed and have been deployed extensively within UK Round 1 and Round 2 projects to date. The tubular diameter may be up to, or potentially greater than, 10m in diameter. Variants on a simple monopile design will also be considered.
- 4.18 Generally, there is little or no requirement for seabed preparation. Installation of the monopile involves the transportation of the prefabricated foundation to the site for positioning on the seabed, before being piled or drilled into position. A 'transition piece' is then lifted and grouted or fixed by other means onto the installed monopile and then the tower and wind turbine generator can be installed onto the transition piece.

Jacket

- 4.19 Steel jacket structures typically consist of three or four main legs, supported by crossbracing. Indicative dimensions for large multi-pile foundations include main tubular diameters of up to, or potentially greater than, 3m and a width of base at seabed of about 40m, depending on water depth and ground conditions.
- 4.20 Generally, there is little or no requirement for seabed preparation. Installation of the steel jacket involves the transportation of the prefabricated foundation to the Site for positioning on the seabed. Each of the main legs is usually secured by pin piles (typically one pile per leg, but two or more piles per leg shall be considered). The piles would be up to approximately 3m in diameter, depending on water depth and seabed conditions at the Site. The pin piles would be driven or drilled into the seabed and grouted or swaged into a sleeve, but this can also be achieved using other techniques, such as suction caissons as described below.

Gravity base

- 4.21 Gravity base foundations typically consist of heavy steel, concrete or a combination of concrete and steel, sometimes including additional ballast materials which sit on the seabed. The structure is constructed such that it protrudes well above the sea level to support the turbine tower. Gravity bases vary in shape and include conical, as well as cylindrical, hexagonal or cruciform sections, with indicative base diameters of approximately 50m, depending on water depths and ground conditions.
- 4.22 In most cases, the gravity base structure is placed on a pre-prepared area of seabed. Seabed preparation may involve dredging (to remove soft material) and/or backfilling to provide a flat surface. Dredged material may be disposed of on site, or off-site at a licensed disposal area. Any seabed preparation and/or dredge disposal would be subject to assessment and licensing, as appropriate.

Hybrid (jacket and gravity base)

- 4.23 A hybrid structure would consist of a flat base, typically constructed of heavy steel, concrete, or a combination of concrete and steel, sometimes including additional ballast materials which sit on the seabed. A steel jacket structure would be attached to the base and protrude well above the sea level to support the turbine tower.
- 4.24 In most cases, the base is placed on a pre-prepared area of seabed. Seabed preparation may involve dredging (to remove soft material) and/or backfilling to provide a flat surface. Dredged material may be disposed of on site or off-site at a licensed disposal area. Any seabed preparation and/or dredge disposal would be subject to assessment and licensing, as appropriate. Installation of the steel jacket involves the

transportation of the prefabricated foundation to the Site for positioning and securing to the base. The steel jacket structure would be lifted into position using a heavy-lift vessel, and secured to base structure by grouting or swaging.

Suction cassion

4.25 The suction cassion is comparable to an upturned bucket lowered to penetrate into a pre-prepared (levelled) seabed. For larger turbine classes, the use of suction caisson foundations may form part of a jacket structure and may be considered in conjunction with the options above. The use of such structures is highly dependent on the seabed conditions at the Site.

Scour protection

- 4.26 Scour protection may be required around offshore structures and marine cables. The options available depend on the final foundation or structural design, ground conditions, scour assessments and environmental assessment. Typical options include:
 - Protective aprons;
 - Mattresses;
 - Flow energy dissipation devices (such as frond mattresses); and
 - Rock placement.

Offshore infrastructure

- 4.27 The offshore infrastructure for RWFL is likely to comprise five key components:
 - Multiple offshore HVAC substations;
 - One or more offshore HVDC converter stations (if DC voltage is selected for the offshore transmission);
 - Intra-array, sub-sea cables to collect energy from the turbines and transmit it to the offshore substations;
 - Export sub-sea cables linking the offshore substations to the onshore electricity system, allowing the energy generated by the turbines to be used onshore; and
 - One or more operations and maintenance platform which may include accommodation.
- 4.28 The wind turbines will be connected to multiple offshore substations, potentially with a minimum capacity of 250MW, via a network of intra-array cables. The total length of this network will depend on the chosen capacity of the wind turbines, their location and the outcomes of an intra-array cable optimisation study, based on minimisation of costs and transmission losses. It is common practice to use sea-armoured, three-core copper cables for this installation.
- 4.29 Up to sixteen subsea export cables will connect the offshore platform(s) to the onshore substation(s). The length of each export cable is dependent on the location of the onshore grid connection, which will be determined with National Grid.
- 4.30 The offshore export cable routes themselves will fall within a wide corridor (as shown in Figure 4.1) in which the cables may be located. The cable corridor will be refined once the surveys are completed and a grid connection agreed. It is likely that consent will be required for a smaller cable corridor than that shown in Figure 4.1 above.

4.31 An operations and maintenance platform potentially including accommodation platforms may be included within the turbine array. This could be temporary or permanent and either attached to the sea-bed or a fixed floating structure.

Onshore infrastructure

- 4.32 Celtic Array is in discussion with National Grid about potential connection points for RWFL to the existing UK electricity transmission network on the UK mainland. The connection is anticipated to be on Anglesey, though the exact location is yet to be determined.
- 4.33 We are currently identifying connection options by combining the landfall and onshore system requirements. The selection of landfall locations and onshore infrastructure associated with the development will be carefully assessed and evaluated against a wide range of engineering, commercial and environmental constraints and in discussions with National Grid, IACC and stakeholders.

Typical offshore construction activities

- 4.34 Potential construction activities for RWFL will fall into the following generalised categories (note that some of these activities will happen in parallel):
 - Seabed preparation;
 - Transport of foundations to the Site;
 - Foundation installation by installation vessel;
 - Installation of tower, nacelle, hub and blades of the wind turbine generators;
 - Transport of offshore substation module(s), as well as O&M structures, to site and installed from an installation vessel or by self installation techniques;
 - Installation of subsea intra-array cables;
 - Installation of export cable(s);
 - Testing and commissioning of systems; and
 - Demobilisation of vessels and personnel.
- 4.35 Foundation installation will be one of the first offshore construction activities to take place. Foundation installation methods vary depending upon the foundation selected. Techniques typically employed for foundation installation include:
 - Pile driving, drilling, via suction or grouting into the seabed;
 - Grouted connections (e.g. connecting piles to jacket);
 - Sea bed levelling (for gravity base structures); and
 - Ballasting (for gravity base structures).
- 4.36 Following foundation installation, offshore wind turbines will be installed. Commonly, towers and nacelles are pre-erected or erected individually at the Site typically using a jack-up barge with a mounted crane. Blades are subsequently fitted to the tower/nacelle structure as individual components or in a part assembled state.
- 4.37 Prior to or aligned with the turbine installation process, the onshore works, offshore substation and sub-sea cables will be installed. This will be followed by the connection of the cables to all the turbines and performing electrical commissioning to ensure RWFL is ready to generate.

4.38 The environmental management of construction activities will be carried out under the provisions of an environmental management plan (EMP) which will be agreed with key stakeholders before construction begins. The provisions of an EMP usually include issues such as fuel and chemical handling, pollution prevention and control and storage of waste and effluent.

Typical operational activities

- 4.39 Once operational, RWFL will require regular inspections, servicing and maintenance throughout its lifetime. This will require a dedicated team of technicians and support staff. Given the distance of the project from shore, it is assumed that one or more offshore operations hubs will also be required. The offshore hub may be either a fixed platform at the Site or a vessel which steams between port and the project.
- 4.40 Operations and maintenance activities will be defined within the Engineering Envelope and addressed in the relevant technical sections of the ES.

Indicative programme

4.41 The offshore construction of RWFL is likely to begin in 2017 and the completed project will contribute to the UK Government's 2020 targets. To enable this programme to be met, pre-application stages are anticipated through 2012 and 2013 with an aim to submit relevant consent applications at the end of 2013. Approximate dates are provided in Figure 2.1.

Repowering/decommissioning

- 4.42 TCE Lease(s) for RWFL, which will be signed after RWFL has achieved consent, is anticipated to last for fifty years. The design life of the turbines and other components of the project are likely to be twenty to twenty-five years and therefore it is possible that re-powering (the replacement of turbines and, potentially, foundations) may occur. The relevant consents or licences required to re-power the Site would be applied for at that time.
- 4.43 It is a condition of TCE Leases that projects are decommissioned at the end of the lease period. In addition, the Energy Act (2004) requires Celtic Array to provide a decommissioning plan, supported by appropriate financial security, prior to constructing RWFL.

Health and safety

4.44 Development, construction, operation, re-powering and decommissioning of RWFL will be undertaken within the framework of CREL's health and safety policies and in accordance with the requirements of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act (1974) and subordinate legislation. Health, safety and environmental risks will be identified and arrangements implemented throughout the project's lifecycle to ensure that all potential health, safety and environmental issues are managed, as required by legislation and in accordance with the principle of ALARP (as low as reasonably practicable).



5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

General approach

- 5.1 An ES will be prepared in accordance with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Amendment) Regulations 2012 (the EIA Regulations) and, in particular, the requirements of Schedule 4, parts 1 and 2 of the EIA Regulations.
- 5.2 The development teams at Celtic Array have gained substantial experience of EIA from previous projects as described in Chapter 1 of this Scoping Report. Celtic Array will continue to apply best practice in EIA and will, in particular, take into account to the following guidance:
 - The Planning Inspectorate guidance on the EIA process associated with the Planning Act 2008 including:
 - Advice note three: Consultation and notification undertaken by the Planning Inspectorate explaining the approach to identifying parties to be consulted on the scope of the environmental statement under regulation 8 of the EIA Regulations;
 - Advice note six: Preparation and submission of application documents;
 - Advice note nine: Rochdale Envelope;
 - Advice note ten: Habitat Regulations Assessment; and
 - Advice note twelve: Development with significant transboundary impacts consultation.
 - Centre of Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas) guidance note for EIA in respect of FEPA and CPA requirements (2005);
 - Nature conservation guidance on offshore wind farm development (Defra 2005); and
 - Guidance on the Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2000 (BERR 2000).
- 5.3 Additional topic specific, technical guidance will also be followed where applicable following consultation with statutory bodies, for example CAA guidance, MCA and DECC guidelines for the assessment of shipping traffic and Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) guidance on European Protected Species (EPS) licensing.
- 5.4 As discussed below, EIA will also be carried out to inform HRA, if required, under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 and/or The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2010.

Alternatives

5.5 The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations require for inclusion in an ES:

"An outline of the main alternatives studied by the applicant or appellant and an indication of the main reasons for his choice, taking into account environmental effects"

5.6 The ISZ was defined through the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) process undertaken by the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) to determine the location of the most appropriate sites for offshore wind farm development.

- 5.7 As detailed in Chapter 3 of this Scoping Report, the ZAP process has aided the strategic decision to choose the South East Potential Development Area to host RWFL. The ZAP process considered alternatives within the ISZ.
- 5.8 It will not be the purpose of the alternatives section to justify Celtic Array's decision to bring forward RWFL because the conditions which have led Celtic Array to do so have been established by the UK Government, in the Overarching NPS for Energy (EN-1).

Engineering Envelope

- 5.9 As noted in the footnote to paragraph 4.2, it is not possible to define the precise configuration and content of an offshore wind farm at the time that an application for consent is made. For example, full foundation designs or turbine types for RWFL may not be available until after the project is consented, new products may enter the market or there may be legal requirements for competitive tendering for key components.
- 5.10 Notwithstanding this uncertainty, the EIA Regulations require a project's potential impact to be assessed. Within the EIA, this requirement can be addressed by adopting an Engineering Envelope approach, as discussed in paragraph 4.2. The Engineering Envelope (also known as Rochdale Envelope) approach has been adopted in most environmental assessments of Round 2 offshore wind farms, and other major infrastructure projects. Where multiple options exist for any element of RWFL, the Engineering Envelope provides a 'realistic worst case scenario' for the EIA process to consider. An Engineering Envelope approach will be applied to RWFL in respect of a number of the works described in the project's ES, including turbine selection, an indicative export cable corridor and turbine foundation design.
- 5.11 The Planning Inspectorate's 'Advice note 9: Rochdale Envelope' will be taken into account in respect of the drafting of the ES and the Engineering Envelope will be clearly defined in each relevant chapter to ensure that specialist and non-specialist readers are able to understand the parameters under assessment. Those parameters will also be clearly captured in the draft DCO accompanying the application for consent, so as to ensure the scope of the EIA matches the scope of the draft DCO and Marine Licence.

Assessing significance

- 5.12 Impact assessments can be complex, requiring a variety of different approaches to handle data limitations, spatial and temporal scales and differences associated with receptor sensitivities. For this reason, a number of analytical methods will be used in the ES to support decisions made on the assessment. In particular, in the application to determine and quantify 'magnitude of effect' and 'sensitivity of receptor'. These will include professional judgement, consultation, matrices, historical analysis, GIS spatial analysis, modelling, field data and observations. In any case, clear, unambiguous measures of significance for each technical chapter will be developed in consultation with the relevant statutory agencies. Such criteria for significance will be clearly 'signposted' at the start of each relevant chapter in the ES.
- 5.13 In general, the sensitivity and magnitude of potential impacts of RWFL will be determined to establish significance. For the EIA, it is normal practice to state what the threshold of significance is such as 'no impact/negligible', 'minor', 'moderate', and 'major', which are defined by how acceptable the impact is judged to be. Table 5.1 below sets out a matrix to determine impact significance.

		Degree of change (Magnitude)				
		Very low	Low	Medium	High	
of ty to ∍ lty)	High	Minor significance	Moderate significance	Major significance	Major significance	
Jegree nerabili change ensitivi	Medium	Not significant	Minor significance	Moderate significance	Major significance	
vuli (s	Low	Not significant	Not significant	Minor significance	Moderate significance	

Table 5.1 Matrix to determine impact significance

Mitigation measures

- 5.14 Mitigation measures for which there is a firm commitment and which can be delivered, will be identified within each chapter in the ES. The mitigation measures proposed will be cross-referred to any relevant provisions of the DCO that are dependent on those measures.
- 5.15 In keeping with good environmental practice, outline environmental management plans will be discussed in the ES. However, in keeping with the Engineering Envelope approach, full details may not be available for inclusion in the ES.

Inter-relationships

- 5.16 Celtic Array acknowledges that an ES cannot be regarded as a collection of unrelated topic chapters. The inter-relationships between relevant receptors will be considered in the ES where potential pathways exist between topic areas. The key inter-relationships during the construction and operation of RWFL that will be considered in the ES are summarised in Table 5.2 below. Going forward into the EIA, further inter-relationships will be identified and clearly stated in each chapter of the ES.
- 5.17 Where indicated by yellow or red shading, the chapters listed in the top row of the Table 5.2 below will draw upon information and impact assessment conclusions provided in the chapters listed in the first column. Red shading indicates the requirement for significant cross referencing because of the nature of the relationships and dependencies between the receptors in question, with the yellow shading indicating that only limited cross-referencing will be required. These cross referencing requirements are discussed in greater detail in each of the chapters below.



Table 5.2 Inter-relationships to be considered in the Environmental Statement

	Physical processes	Benthic ecology	Fish ecology	Ornithology	Marine mammals, basking sharks and turtles	Nature Conservation	Commercial Fisheries	Shipping and navigation	Aviation	Other marine users	Marine archaeology and cultural heritage	Landscape, seascape and visual impacts	Socio-economics
Physical processes													
Benthic ecology													
Fish Ecology													
Ornithology													
Marine mammals													
Nature conservation													
Commercial fisheries													
Shipping and navigation													
Aviation													
Other marine users													
Marine archaeology and cultural heritage													
Landscape, seascape and visual impacts													
Socioeconomics													
Significant cross-referencing required Limited cross-referencing required													

Cumulative impact

- 5.18 The potential for cumulative impacts will be assessed during the EIA process. The EIA will consider the effects of the construction, operation and decommissioning of RWFL cumulatively with other offshore wind farm projects as well as with other non-wind farm related activities and onshore projects. Consideration will be given to existing or reasonably foreseeable future developments.
- 5.19 The ES will use the term 'cumulative effects' to describe effects of RWFL that have the potential to overlap with similar effects arising from any existing, planned and reasonably foreseeable plan or project (other wind farms or non-related human activity). Within the ES such cumulative effects may either arise solely from within RWFL (effects occurring between different elements of the project) or externally (effects arising from the project and another plan or project).
- 5.20 The term 'in combination effect' will be used solely to describe the effects of RWFL in the context of a Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) (i.e. the effects of the project, in combination with any other plans or projects, on European sites).
- 5.21 The identities of relevant projects to be taken into consideration as part of the cumulative impact assessment (CIA) process will vary from receptor to receptor and are therefore considered within each of the relevant chapters of this report. The projects in Table 5.3 below are indicative of the type of projects that will be included within the scope of the cumulative impact assessment for at least one receptor. Celtic Array will continue to consult with local planning authorities and other stakeholders to discuss other major developments which should be considered in the EIA.

Project	Type of development and status	Primary receptors
Onshore infrastructure	Substation and onshore cable connection	Land/seascape and visual, intertidal ecology
Wylfa Nuclear Power Station	New nuclear power station. Decommissioning of existing plant	Intertidal ecology, socio- economics, land/seascape, visual, physical environment, shipping and navigation (marine elements only), seascape
Onshore wind farms	Twenty-eight undetermined planning applications for onshore wind turbines on Anglesey	Land/seascape and visual
Other foreseeable ISZ projects	Other offshore wind farm projects in the ISZ, in planning (i.e. those for which a Scoping Opinion has been requested at the time of EIA submission)	Physical environment, birds, marine mammals, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries, benthic environment, fish and shellfish ecology

Table 5.3 Other projects to be considered as part of cumulative impact assessment

Project	Type of development and status	Primary receptors
Walney Extension	Offshore wind farm, in planning	Physical environment, birds, marine mammals, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries, benthic environment, fish and shellfish ecology
Walney I	Offshore wind farm, operational	Physical environment, birds, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries
Walney II	Offshore wind farm, operational	Physical environment, birds, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries
West of Duddon Sands	Offshore wind farm, consented	Physical environment, birds, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries
Ormonde	Offshore wind farm, constructed	Birds
Barrow	Offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Burbo Bank	Offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Burbo Bank Extension	Offshore wind farm, in planning	Birds, marine mammals
North Hoyle	Offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Gwynt y Môr	Offshore wind farm, in construction	Birds
Rhyl Flats	Offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Atlantic Array	Offshore wind farm, Round 3 development, in planning	Manx Shearwater only
Robin Rigg	Scottish offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Oriel Wind Farm	Irish offshore wind farm, in planning	Birds, marine mammals
Dublin Array	Irish offshore wind farm, in planning	Birds, marine mammals
Codling Bank	Irish offshore wind farm, consented	Birds, marine mammals
Codling Wind Park extension	Irish offshore wind farm, in planning	Birds, marine mammals
Arklow Bank	Irish offshore wind farm, operational	Birds
Seagen Skerries Tidal Array	Tidal energy scheme, in planning	Marine mammals, shipping, commercial fisheries, seascape, socio-economics
Licence Area 331	Aggregate / sand extraction	Physical environment, benthic ecology

Project	Type of development and status	Primary receptors
Licence Area 457	Aggregate / sand extraction	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Licence Area 392	Aggregate / sand extraction	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Licence Area 393	Aggregate / sand extraction	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Conwy Bay (IS055)	Dredge disposal sites	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Holyhead Deep (IS040)	Dredge disposal sites	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Site Y (IS150)	Dredge disposal sites	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Barrow D (IS205)	Dredge disposal sites	Physical environment, benthic ecology
Hilbre Swash dredging area	Licensed area for disposal of dredging of Mersey	Physical environment
SIRIUS South	Blackpool-Dublin telecoms cable, operational	Commercial fisheries
EirGrid East West Interconnector	Electricity interconnector – Co. Dublin to North Wales, under construction	Commercial fisheries
Port Meridian	Offshore Liquefied Natural Gas port facility	Shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries
Gateway gas storage	Offshore gas storage in salt caverns	Shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries
Douglas field	Oil and gas field with platforms and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
Hamilton	Oil field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
Hamilton North	Gas field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
Lennox	Gas field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
North Morecambe	Gas field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
South Morecambe	Gas field with platforms and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
Bains	Gas field, no platform, pipeline	Commercial fisheries
Millom	Gas field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation, commercial fisheries
Dalton	Gas field, no platform, pipeline	Commercial fisheries
Calder	Gas field with platform and associated pipelines	Shipping and navigation, aviation
Darwen, Crossens, Asland	Consented gas field, not developed. No surface infrastructure, tied back to Calder	Commercial fisheries

5.22 Previous IPC advice identified the requirement to consider projects "*identified in the relevant development plan*" and "*identified in other plans and programmes*" as being "*reasonably foreseeable*". At present it is considered that there is no other project likely to fall within this definition although a competitive tender for a future wind farm in Northern Irish waters is underway. Celtic Array will continue to monitor developments in this respect.

Transboundary effects

- 5.23 The Planning Inspectorate 'Advice note 12: Development with significant transboundary impacts consultation' describes issues for developers to take into account in respect of consultation on potential transboundary effects.
- 5.24 While most environmental effects arising from RWFL are unlikely to cross international boundaries (i.e. outside UK waters), there is the potential for effects to occur on receptors within areas administered by the Republic of Ireland and the IoM. Additionally a protocol between the UK and Belgium determines the transboundary consultation that will take place with Belgium. Potential transboundary impacts are expected to include those associated with the following receptors:
 - Birds (primarily Manx Shearwater);
 - Marine Mammals (primarily seal species);
 - European sites;
 - Commercial fisheries;
 - Shipping; and
 - Civil aviation.
- 5.25 It is not proposed that other transboundary issues will be scoped into the ES. As discussed in the ZAP Report, impacts on physical processes, fish ecology, benthic ecology and marine archaeology are unlikely to occur outside of the ISZ boundary and, in many cases, will only occur within the Site boundary.
- 5.26 In addition, the ES will consider potential effects on relevant receptors in those parts of the UK (Scotland and Northern Ireland) not subject to the Planning Act (2008) and the Planning Inspectorate processes. The ES will consider potential effects on relevant receptors on the Isle of Man and in the waters surrounding the Crown Dependency.
- 5.27 Celtic Array will continue to consult extensively with relevant stakeholders in the Republic of Ireland, the Isle of Man and (as a matter of protocol) Belgium, although potential transboundary effects are anticipated to be limited to Belgian commercial fishing interests.

Export cable corridor

5.28 As discussed in Chapter 3 of this Scoping Report, Celtic Array is in discussion with National Grid about potential connection points to the UK electricity transmission system on the UK mainland. The connection is likely to be on Anglesey although the exact location is yet to be determined. It has not yet been possible to characterise the environment of the cable route corridor. Additional surveys along the cable route will be required and, where relevant, these are discussed in the relevant technical chapter below.

- 5.29 Additionally, surveys and studies will be required to inform the EIA of the landfall locations up to MHWS including the intertidal environment. These surveys will include consideration of beach topography, sensitive intertidal habitats, sites designated for nature conservation and temporary construction impacts on local amenity.
- 5.30 Consultation with key stakeholders to determine the scope of the EIA in respect of the export cable corridor will be required once the grid connection has been progressed further.

Scoping of environmental impacts

- 5.31 The following chapters of this Scoping Report provide information on the scope in respect of:
 - The physical environment (Chapter 6);
 - The biological environment (Chapter 7); and
 - The human environment (Chapter 8).
- 5.32 In these Chapters, the following structure has been adopted:
 - Studies and surveys carried out to date;
 - Description of the offshore environment relevant to that topic;
 - Overview of potential effects which might arise should RWFL be developed; and
 - Proposed surveys and studies.
- 5.33 The identification of potentially significant impacts is discussed within each of the chapters. As suggested by the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (IEEM) guidelines (IEEM 2009), it is based on consideration of relevant literature, the findings of a desk study (the ZAP Report) and specialist consultants' understanding of the environmental conditions likely to be encountered at the Site. Additionally, it draws on the collective experience of the development teams within Celtic Array and the lessons learned during the development of Round 1 and Round 2 offshore wind farms. Where significant impacts are not expected to arise during the construction, operation or decommissioning phase of the development it is proposed that such issues be 'scoped out' of the ES.
- 5.34 As discussed above, consultation with key stakeholders to determine the scope of the EIA in respect of the export cable corridor will be required once the grid connection has been progressed further. For this reason, consideration of issues associated with the export cable and landfall in this report is limited. In particular there is no dedicated chapter dealing with intertidal ecology, though it is intended that this topic will be consulted on with stakeholders and addressed in a dedicated chapter of the ES.



6 PHYSICAL PROCESSES

Introduction

- 6.1 This chapter briefly characterises the physical environment in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on that environment and outlines the issues that will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees (e.g. Cefas and the Countryside Council for Wales (CCW)) which will inform the EIA.
- 6.2 The physical environment is defined as the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes that operate within the Site and the broader area. Not only are these important receptors in their own right, they also affect the distribution and behaviour of other potential receptors such as birds and commercial fisheries.
- 6.3 Offshore wind farm development has the potential to affect the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regime in a number of ways. These effects may be temporary, such as those potentially occurring during the construction phase, or longer-term, such as a response to the presence of foundations.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

6.4 The ZAP Report (see Chapter 3 of this Scoping Report) included a full zonal characterisation of the physical processes and developed a regional physical processes model. This work, which has informed the contents of this chapter, included characterisation of the hydrodynamic, morphological and sedimentary regimes as well as frontal behaviour in the Irish Sea (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report drew upon the survey data collected by Celtic Array as part of zone-wide surveys listed in Table 6.1 below.

Survey/study	Date of survey	Description
		High-resolution multibeam bathymetric data (100% coverage of ISZ)
Geophysical surveys	February to June 2010	High-resolution sidescan sonar data (100% coverage of ISZ) used to characterise seabed morphology
		Seismic data utilising Chirp and Sparkler systems to identify shallow geology
Benthic survey	August to September 2010	Baseline information on the benthic communities in and adjacent to the proposed wind farm application site has been collected. 109 grab samples are available from the ISZ, which have been used for particle size analysis, providing a good indication of the surficial sediment distribution across the ISZ

Table 6.1 ZAP Report physical process surveys

Survey/study	Date of survey	Description
Metocean surveys	October 2010 to October 2011	A twelve month survey campaign comprising twelve deployment locations across the ISZ. Dataset includes current speed, water levels, wave heights/directions, surface temperature, salinity and turbidity
Prince Madog surface water sampling (during boat based bird and mammal surveys)	July 2010 to September 2010	Surface water samples collected during three months of the 24 month bird and mammal survey campaign have been used to derive surface temperature and surface salinity distributions. A larger surface water dataset (i.e. >three months) will be available for EIA.

Description of current environment

6.5 This section briefly describes the current physical environment in the vicinity of the Site and draws upon the zone-level assessment carried out in the ZAP Report.

Bathymetry and morphology

6.6 The Site has been surveyed as part of a zone-wide geophysical investigation. To facilitate survey logistics and data processing the ISZ was divided into six segments (A-F), as shown in Figure 6.1 below. The Site is located predominantly over segments B and D with some overlap with segments C and E.



Figure 6.1 Bathymetry survey areas

6.7 In general, water depths increase from east to west across the Site. Water depths range between 36m and 83m Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT) with an average depth of 46m (LAT). Figure 6.2 shows the approximate bathymetry across the Site.



Figure 6.2 Bathymetry of the project area

- 6.8 A more complex seabed morphology in the western part of the Site, consisting of glacial features which include drumlins, iceberg scars and meandering channels, contributes to the deeper water experienced here.
- 6.9 Mobile bedforms are prevalent in the eastern part of the ISZ. These include barchan (arc shaped) dunes and sandwaves of up to 10m high, with wavelengths of between 500m and 1km and smaller scale megaripples.
- 6.10 The bathymetry in the eastern part of the Site is fairly flat with a gently undulating bed that increases in depth towards the south of the Site. Minimum depths are observed on dune features which occur regularly throughout the central and eastern parts of the Site.

Seabed sediments

- 6.11 The Site and its vicinity is characterised by outcrops of glacial till, sand and gravel and gravel deposits. The general direction of suspended sediment transport is aligned with the dominant flood tide which is north east and east across the Site.
- 6.12 In the Irish Sea, the combination of topographic, hydrographic and meteorological conditions, along with abundant sediment sources makes suspended particulate matter an integral and important part of the marine ecosystem. Its distribution in the water column influences the plankton primary production by regulating the light penetration depth in seawater (Reid *et al.* 1990).

- 6.13 The influence of tidal current on sediment movement was assessed as part of the ZAP Report (see below). Current speeds suggest that coarse sand is mobilised during all but the lowest flow periods experienced during neap tides.
- 6.14 The ability of wave conditions to mobilise sediments was also assessed as part of the ZAP Report. In the Site none of the waves recorded during the deployment periods were sufficient to mobilise the bed sediments.
- 6.15 The ZAP Report found that:
 - Sediment suspension occurs mainly due to tidal energy with studies indicating a strong correlation between turbidity and tidal stirring. There are lower suspended sediment levels in summer;
 - Consideration of seasonal surface suspended sediment maps indicate that surface suspended sediment concentrations within the ISZ are typically low with winter surface Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) values in the range 3 to 8mg/l; and summer surface SSC values generally between 0.5 and 2mg/l;
 - There is a clear north south gradient in surface SSC across the ISZ, both in winter and summer, with higher concentrations in the south of the ISZ where the Site is located;
 - Analysis of optical backscatter data from the metocean studies indicates that tidal currents are the predominant mechanism driving suspended sediment transport although there are a number of occasions where large wave events are shown to coincide with increased SSC concentrations;
 - From the limited data, there is little evidence of spatial variability in vertical SSC in the ISZ;
 - The general direction of suspended sediment transport will be towards the north east and east across the ISZ; and
 - In respect of bedload sediment transport, progressive vector analysis and study of wave crests is indicative of a net north easterly and easterly transport pathway across the ISZ and into Liverpool Bay. For the most part, the bedforms are aligned with the flood dominant flow pathway.

Hydrodynamic regime

- 6.16 The ZAP Report characterised the baseline hydrodynamics in the ISZ in terms of:
 - Water levels (due to the astronomical tidal regime, non-tidal influences and sealevel rise);
 - Currents (due to both tidal and non-tidal influences); and
 - Waves.

Water levels

6.17 The Site is subject to tidal influences from both the north and the south with two tidal waves entering the Irish Sea through the North Channel and St George's Channel and converging in the vicinity of the Isle of Man. Propagation into the Irish Sea by both channels is virtually simultaneous and this creates a standing wave that travels in an easterly direction into Liverpool Bay (Myres 1993). The tidal range increases with distance from west to east across the ISZ with the mean spring tidal range across the Site varying between 5m and >6.5m.

- 6.18 Measurement data from the ZAP Report metocean survey shows a clear spatial variability with the tidal range increasing from west to east across the ISZ, largely as a result of an increase in high water levels at the eastern-most deployment locations.
- 6.19 Surges can cause water levels to fluctuate considerably above or below the predicted tidal level. Positive surges may have implications for structural design and the assessment of impacts on coastal processes.
- 6.20 The ZAP Report considered six surge events (three positive and three negative) with analysis suggesting that, in common with water levels, surge severity is likely to increase from west to east. Within the ISZ, an estimate of the one in fifty year storm surge height is given as 1.5m above the expected tidal level (HSE 2001).
- 6.21 Changes in sea level arising from climate change and land movement were also considered in the ZAP Report which applied the medium emission scenario provided in the UK Climate Projections (UKCIP) resource as defined in UKCP09 (Lowe *et al.* 2009). This scenario predicts an exponential increase in the changes to sea level over the 21st century with a maximum increase of about 0.65m by the end of the century. UKCP09 also predicts a 0.40mm and 0.73mm a year increase in the fifty year return period surge level within the ISZ.

Currents

- 6.22 The ZAP Report derived tidal ellipses from measured and modelled current data, indicating a strongly rectilinear current both to the west of and within the ISZ. Currents across the Site were shown to be orientated along a 90°N to 270°N axis roughly parallel to the North Wales coast.
- 6.23 The tidal current data collected as part of the metocean survey campaign shows a marked asymmetry in the tidal flows. There is also recognisable rotation in the dominant direction from survey sites in the west to those in the east of the ISZ. In the vicinity of the Site the currents are strongly east northeast (flood tide) to west southwest (ebb tide).
- 6.24 The ZAP Report found that the flood tide propagates across the ISZ in a north easterly direction and the ebb flows travel in a south westerly direction with a degree of asymmetry between the flood and ebb tide. Peak flood flows exceed 1m/s over much of the ISZ while the ebb speeds are typically lower, indicating a flood dominant tidal regime. This apparent tidal asymmetry has important implications for bedload sediment transport across the ISZ.

Waves

- 6.25 The Irish Sea is sheltered in the main from long period Atlantic swell seas and is mostly influenced by locally generated wind seas. Exposure to swell seas is limited to waves moving through the narrow northerly entrance (North Channel) and the wider southerly entrance (St George's Channel). The proximity of adjacent coastlines relative to the ISZ provides some shelter and leads to locally fetch limited conditions over which wind-seas can develop. Fetches typically increase over the western part of the ISZ, which is also most exposed to swell from either the North Channel or St George's Channel. This variability in exposure conditions to both swell and local winds is the basis of spatial variability in waves across the ISZ.
- 6.26 Since waves originate from meteorological forcing, the wave regime can be described as highly episodic but also with a degree of anticipated seasonal variation. Typically, larger waves are expected during winter periods and smaller waves during summer periods. The magnitude and frequency of waves will also tend to exhibit year to year

variations, a phenomenon which is typically linked to the North Atlantic Oscillation. In general, wave conditions for the semi-enclosed area of sea will correlate to the direction and magnitude of the local winds and their associated fetch distances.

- 6.27 Wave data were collected as part of the ZAP process. A comparison between westerly and easterly sites within the ISZ suggests waves to the west of the ISZ are likely to have a slightly longer period (more exposure to swell) and higher wave height (longer fetches to the south) relative to those at recorded in the vicinity of the Site.
- 6.28 Figure 6.3 below presents monthly average significant wave heights over the period 2001 to 2010. Variance around the monthly mean wave height is shown as single standard deviation around the mean for each year. The monthly mean wave heights clearly demonstrate a seasonal pattern and the scale of the standard deviation provides an indication of the inter-annual variation of the mean value. Across the full year, the standard deviation around the monthly mean varies in value between ±0.17 and 0.43m.



Figure 6.3 Monthly average significant wave heights (2001 to 2010)

- 6.29 As discussed above, as a consequence of the water depth across the ISZ, it is likely that the majority of waves will not exert any influence on the local seabed and the orbital motion of the waves will expire higher in the water column or be at a magnitude too small to stir local seabed sediments.
- 6.30 For waves arriving along the coastlines of Ireland, the Isle of Man, Anglesey, North Wales and the East Coast of England it can be assumed that the (upstream) pathway for these waves involves crossing the ISZ. Hence, potential developments within the

42

ISZ have the potential to interfere with the passage of these waves before they reach the coast.

Coastline

- 6.31 The ZAP Report presented a high level description of the coastlines listed below and identified the coastal morphology and local hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes in order to determine their potential sensitivity to changes in physical processes. These coastlines are either in sufficiently close proximity to the ISZ, or their prevailing hydrodynamic influences cross the ISZ, and are therefore potential receptors for development at the Site. These coastlines are:
 - Anglesey;
 - North Wales;
 - Point of Ayr to Morecambe Bay;
 - Morecambe Bay to the Solway Firth; and
 - The Isle of Man.
- 6.32 The initial characterisation of the physical environment demonstrates that there are no hydrodynamic or sedimentary pathways between the ISZ and the east coast of Ireland (ABPmer 2010). Equally, the distance between this coast and the large water depths to the west of the ISZ provide a further indication that there are no direct links between the two locations. Consequently, it was concluded that the east coast of Ireland is not a receptor for development within the ISZ and it was not included in the baseline characterisation or the subsequent assessment in the ZAP Report.
- 6.33 Modelling of changes to the wave and tidal regime on the coastlines above, concluded that impacts are considered to be insignificant.

Frontal systems

- 6.34 Tidal mixing fronts form the boundary between vertically mixed and summer-stratified waters in shelf seas. It is necessary to consider the potential effects of the proposed development upon these systems to ensure that the development and maintenance (both seasonally and permanently) of these features are not compromised. Lateral fronts (known as tidal fronts) can also develop, separating bodies of water with differing vertical thermohaline properties and stratification.
- 6.35 Since their discovery, tidal fronts have been the focus of considerable attention for their potential role as sites of enhanced biomass production (Hill *et al.* 1993). Indeed, the frontal features greatly influence the availability of light and nutrients to plankton, driving both primary and secondary productivity which in turn attract fish, birds and cetaceans. Figure 6.4 below depicts the location of frontal systems in the Irish Sea.



Figure 6.4 Frontal systems in the Irish Sea

- 6.36 There are two distinct frontal systems that could potentially be affected by developments in the ISZ. These are:
 - A permanent haloclinic frontal system located within Liverpool Bay. This feature is also known as a Region of Freshwater Influence (ROFI). The ROFI is a permanent feature within Liverpool Bay and has developed as a result of the large freshwater inputs that are derived principally from the Dee, Mersey and Ribble; and
 - A seasonal area of vertical thermoclinic stratification to the west of the ISZ that forms as a result of deep water and small tidal currents.
- 6.37 Based on the regional characterisation of the hydrodynamic regime, it was considered that development in the ISZ would be less likely to affect the seasonal temperature front to the west than the ROFI to the east. This was based on an initial assessment which looked at changes in flow speeds and then residual flow patterns. It showed that there was no change to flow patterns and combined with the no change to tidal currents it was concluded that there would be no change in tidal mixing and hence seasonal stratification. Consequently, only the salinity frontal system was subject to dedicated modelling as part of the ZAP Report.
- 6.38 The ZAP Report concluded that, at a zonal level, there was little potential for a significant alteration of the existing hydrodynamic, wave or sediment regimes or to



frontal systems. However, the ES will also need to draw upon project level assessment as well as the zone-level modelling.

Water and sediment quality

6.39 In general, water quality in the Irish Sea is good. Most designated testing sites in the North West of England and North Wales regularly pass 'bathing water' quality requirements with many achieving compliance with more stringent standards. Sediment contaminant analysis undertaken for the ZAP process showed that all heavy metals analysed were below Cefas Action level 1. However, three of the samples were just over the action level for arsenic. The higher levels of arsenic may be caused by a number of anthropogenic or natural sources. Radionuclides will be investigated as part of the EIA.

Identification of key issues

6.40 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential imp	acts during construction	
Geology	Project construction will not change the geology of the Site other than to a shallow depth in the localised areas directly affected by the foundations. It is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA process because the receptor (geology) is not sensitive and no significant effect is likely to occur.	Scoped Out
Wave and tidal climate	Construction activities, most notably the presence of vessels and the installation of foundations may give rise to small localised short term changes in the prevailing hydrodynamic conditions. These are not considered to be likely to have any significant effect on the current wave and tide climate. It is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA process.	Scoped Out
Morphology	Short term increases in suspended sediment levels may occur as a result of ground preparation, cable laying and foundation installation with the quantities and type of sediment brought into suspension being dependent on the construction methods used.	Scoped In
	It is anticipated that increased levels of suspended sediments would remain localised.	
	Localised morphology may be directly affected by construction vessel activity; for example through anchoring or positioning of jack-up vessels.	
	Dredging and seabed preparation associated with gravity bases may also give rise to localised impacts on seabed morphology.	

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

Water quality	Water quality may be affected by the suspension of sediment, including the re-suspension of contaminated sediments. Inadvertent release of chemicals used in the construction process into the water column may occur although this risk can be managed by the adoption of good environmental working practices. It is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA process.	Scoped Out
Sediment quality	Heavy metal concentrations were shown to be below Cefas action levels. The radionuclide contamination levels of sediments will be considered as part of EIA.	Scoped In
Potential imp	acts during operation	
Wave and tidal climate	Studies carried out by Cefas (2005) and site specific modelling at many wind farm sites have shown that wave diffraction associated with foundations is not likely to give rise to a significant effect on wave regime. Similarly, wave driven effects on sediment transport are also considered to be insignificant, with only a small and highly localised reduction in sediment transport being likely (Cefas 2005). This was also confirmed by the results of the ZAP assessment (Celtic Array 2012). As a result of these studies developers are no longer required to monitor waves for such effects under current FEPA licences. The results of the ZAP assessment also support this and it is therefore proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA	Scoped Out
	process.	
Hydro- dynamic regime	The presence of foundations may give rise to effects on the hydrodynamic regime although numerical modelling studies carried out for the ZAP Report indicate there is little potential, at the zonal-level, for significant effects to occur. Effects on the frontal systems were deemed to be insignificant in the ZAP Report.	Scoped Out
Morphology	Tidal currents may give rise to scour impacts around foundation structures, although studies indicate the impacts of scour pits are generally localised (e.g. Cefas 2006).	Scoped In
	Any impact on seabed morphology outside of the Site will be considered as part of the assessment of potential changes in the sediment transport regime (see below).	

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

Sediment transport regime	A number of studies on changes to sediment transport, e.g. Cefas (2006), have concluded that near and far field impacts on sediment transport can be expected to be minimal provided that foundations are adequately spaced so that scour pits do not interact with each other. These findings are supported by the conclusions of the ZAP Report. Appropriate consideration will be given in the ES to the issue of scour protection.	Scoped In		
Potential impacts during decommissioning				
The potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction, i.e. localised minimal changes to seabed morphology and sediment quality.		As construction section		
Potential cumulative impacts				
Hydrodynamic regime	 The ZAP Report concluded that cumulative effects on the hydrodynamic regime may occur with Walney, Walney extension and West of Duddon Sands although these are unlikely to be significant. This conclusion was also reached in respect of other studies (e.g. Cefas 2004). The ZAP Report concluded that interaction between RWFL and the offshore wind farms along the North Wales coast (Gwynt y Môr, Rhyl Flats, North Hoyle, Burbo Bank and Burbo Bank extension) was unlikely to give rise to an effect and therefore it is proposed that consideration of these projects will be scoped out of the ES. Given the distance of proposed wind farm projects in the territorial waters of Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland it is similarly proposed that, in respect of physical processes, consideration of these projects will be scoped out of the ES. 	Scoped Out		
Aggregate and outfall interactions	The ZAP Report identified a potential interaction between the ISZ and Hilbre Swash aggregate dredging area and Wylfa power station outfall and recommended further consideration at the project EIA stage. Whilst this potential effect has been scoped in, the findings of the ZAP Report were that the effects would be either 'insignificant' or 'potentially insignificant' and so, the likelihood of environmental effects is low and this potential effect is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	Scoped In		



Suspended sediment levels	Given the findings of the ZAP Report that suspended sediment levels were unlikely to be significantly raised other than in respect of short term and localised (within the Site boundary) impacts it is proposed that consideration of cumulative effects on suspended sediment levels	Scoped Out
	be scoped out of the ES.	

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 6.41 ES surveys will build upon the extensive survey data already collected (Table 6.1). There are currently two wave buoys and an acoustic wave and current profiler (AWAC) deployed on the Site.
- 6.42 One AWAC and one wave buoy will be deployed for periods of three months at one of the locations shown in Figure 6.5, while the other wave buoy will remain in one location until the end of December 2012.



Figure 6.5 Metocean equipment locations

6.43 The assessment and analysis will build on the ZAP Report modelling and surveys already performed, with the Zone scale model being used as a framework to support the RWFL ES.

- 6.44 A combined geophysical and environmental survey will be undertaken in the indicative cable corridor area as shown in Figure 1.1. The results of this survey will help inform potential impacts on physical/coastal processes in this area.
- 6.45 Information collected as part of the geotechnical survey in the Site and potential sediment grabs collected as part of further benthic habitat baseline surveys will also be made available to the appointed physical process consultants.

Consultation

6.46 It is intended that discussion with JNCC, MMO, Cefas, CCW, Natural England, the Environment Agency and the Isle of Man Department of Environment Food and Agriculture (DEFA) on the scope of the surveys and studies will take place either before or shortly after the submission of this Scoping Report.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

6.47 The regional scale modelling performed as part of the ZAP Report indicated that changes to the hydrodynamic regime would be confined to within the ISZ or where they are further afield they would be insufficient to significantly impact coastal processes. Cumulative assessments in the ZAP Report identified a potential interaction between the ISZ and the Walney offshore wind farm, Hilbre Swash aggregate dredging area and the Wylfa power station outfall. However, the ZAP Report concluded that the predicted size of the changes is likely to be either insignificant or potentially insignificant. As a result of the ZAP findings, the potential impacts scoped into the EIA are generally restricted to those operating on seabed morphology and sediments.



7 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

7-1 Biological environment – benthic ecology

Introduction

7.1 This chapter characterises the benthos (the flora and fauna of the seabed and its sediments) in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on that environment and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform the EIA.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

7.2 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 3 of this Scoping Report, Celtic Array commissioned a marine ecology study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation of the benthic environment based around the collection of survey data and consultation.

Benthic survey

7.3 The primary source of data informing this chapter was derived from around six months of sidescan and multibeam surveys. This survey data was ground truthed during August and September 2010 using still and video camera footage and day grab samples. Figure 7.1 below shows the location of the video, drop down camera and sediment grabs. The dedicated 4m beam trawl surveys carried out in November 2010 and March 2011 also provided some additional information on the main epibenthos. Figure 7.4 below shows the location of the demersal fish surveys where information on epibenthic communities was collected.



Figure 7.1 Benthic survey locations

7.4 Several months of data analysis were then undertaken resulting in a comprehensive description of the main seabed habitats and communities. Seabed communities were identified to biotope level where possible, or alternatively to biotope complex level or habitat complex level, after the JNCC biotope classification (Connor *et al.* 2004).

Other sources of information

- 7.5 Other sources of data informing this chapter included that from the HabMap and UKSeamap projects, and third party survey data from the Irish Sea.
- 7.6 HabMap represents the most up to date and comprehensive data source and largely confirms the outputs of the benthic survey described above. The data were supplied by CCW to Celtic Array under license. HabMap uses a combination of survey and modelled data, applying physical parameters to predict what biotopes are most likely to be present in areas where there is no existing biotope data.
- 7.7 UKSeamap (JNCC 2010) also provides broadscale habitat mapping information but this differs from HabMap in that it does not incorporate biological records. HabMap data has been used in preference to UKSeamap data to inform the baseline.
- 7.8 For selected communities, notably *Modiolus* beds and reefs, additional survey data provided by CCW has also been collated.
- 7.9 For context, data from offshore areas off the coast of the Isle of Man have been sourced from a draft report summarising a broadscale camera and grab survey of Manx waters (Hinz *et al.* 2009).
7.10 Other data sources include general descriptions of the seabed, including *Modiolus* communities, around the south of the Isle of Man (Jones 1951), and more recent historical surveys in connection with oil and gas exploration off the north and east coasts of the island (Holt *et al.* 1997a, Holt *et al.* 1997b, Holt and Shalla 1996).

Stakeholder consultation

7.11 As part of the ZAP Report consultation has taken place with CCW, the JNCC, Natural England (NE), the MMO, Cefas, TCE, Northern Ireland Environment Agency (NIEA) and Isle of Man DEFA. Consultation with these parties will continue as the EIA progresses.

Description of the current environment

7.12 An outline biotope map can be found at Figure 7.2 below.



Figure 7.2 Map of biotopes in the project area

- 7.13 A number of sedimentary biotope complexes were found in the Site. Of these complexes, two were particularly dominant; Circalittoral coarse sediments (SS.SCS.CCS) and a mosaic of Circalittoral mixed sediment and *Ophiothrix fragilis* and/or *Ophiocomina nigra* brittlestar beds on sublittoral mixed sediment (SS.SMx.CMx-SS.SMx.CMx.OphMx). Further information on these communities is provided below:
 - SS.SCS.CCS Circalittoral coarse sediments

Tide-swept circalittoral coarse sands, gravel and shingle generally in depths of over 15-20m. This habitat, as with shallower coarse sediments, may be characterised by robust infaunal polychaetes, mobile crustacea and bivalves, often forming a rich and diverse community.

- Mosaic habitat of SS.SMx.CMx Circalittoral mixed sediment and SS.SMx.CMx.OphMx Ophiothrix fragilis and/or Ophiocomina nigra brittlestar beds on sublittoral mixed sediment.
- 7.14 SS.SMx.CMx are mixed (heterogeneous) sediment habitats in the circalittoral zone (generally below 15-20m), including well mixed muddy gravelly sands or very poorly sorted mosaics of shell, cobbles and pebbles embedded in or lying upon mud, sand or gravel. Because of the variable nature of the seabed a variety of communities can develop which are often very diverse. The combination of epifauna and infauna can lead to species rich communities. SS.SMx.CMx.OphMx is a component biotope of SS.SMx.CMx. and consists of circalittoral sediments dominated by brittlestars (hundreds or thousands m2) forming dense beds, living epifaunally on boulder, gravel or sedimentary substrata. Such beds can act as important feeding grounds for benthic feeding fish such as cod. This was classified as a mosaic habitat due to the complexity of the habitat which did not allow areas of seabed to be unequivocally assigned to a single biotope or biotope complex.
- 7.15 In addition, the following biotope complexes/biotopes were shown to be present in the Site:
 - SS.SMx.CMx Circalittoral mixed sediment;
 - SS.SMx.CMx.OphMx *Ophiothrix fragilis* and/or *Ophiocomina nigra* brittlestar beds on sublittoral mixed sediment;
 - SS.SCS.CCS.Blan Branchiostoma lanceolatum in circalittoral coarse sand with shell gravel
 - SS.SSa.CfiSa Circalittoral fine sand; and
 - SS.SBR.Smus Sublittoral mussel beds (discussed further under *Modiolus modiolus* below).

Potential Annex I communities

7.16 The following communities have the potential, under appropriate circumstances, to qualify as features listed within Annex I of the Habitats Directive. Habitats listed in this Annex are those which EU member states are required to protect, for example by the designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs).

Modiolus reefs

7.17 *Modiolus modiolus* beds may qualify as biogenic reef under Annex I of the Habitats Directive where reef features are pronounced.

7.18 *Modiolus* reef was found in only one location within the Site (Figure 7.3) which appears to be sparse in comparison to good examples of *Modiolus* reefs. According to a single grab sample densities of *Modiolus* themselves were up to around 40 per m² but lower in evidence from camera survey, at typically around 1 to 12 per m², with the animals almost completely buried in the sediment and difficult to spot amongst the large amount of sediment and dead shell that was also present. Mounds typical of many offshore *Modiolus* reefs were seen on acoustic images of this area while on board the survey vessel boat, although these were not wholly distinct.



Figure 7.3 Modiolus reef near to the Site

- 7.19 One other *Modiolus* reef area has been historically recorded within the Site. This was mapped during benthic surveys for a proposed subsea cable project but it was not detected during the ZAP survey.
- 7.20 Additionally, large numbers of *Modiolus* were found in two of the 4m beam trawls carried out as part of the surveys for the ZAP Report described above. Because the trawls were several kilometres long and hence covered large areas of seabed, it is impossible to know whether these finds represent significant areas of potential reef, and if so where exactly these would be.
- 7.21 Historically, there have frequently been other reports of *Modiolus* dominated communities between Anglesey and the Isle of Man, although many are anecdotal and have not been documented in published reports.

Rocky reef

- 7.22 An area of potential Annex I of the Habitats Directive rocky reef composed of bedrock occurs within the Site. The survey suggests that it forms a bathymetric high approximately 2,500m in diameter and 10m above the surrounding seabed level, and would therefore appear to be of high 'reefiness'. The associated community appears to have relatively sparse epifauna dominated by starfish, with some dense patches of brittle stars *O. fragilis*, and to be broadly similar to much of the stony (boulder) reef (see below). The community appears to match well with the biotope complex CR.MCR.EcCr Echinoderms and crustose communities on moderately exposed circalittoral rock.
- 7.23 The majority of the areas described in the ZAP Report as potential Annex I of the Habitats Directive reef areas are composed of a very mixed seabed with variable amounts of stones and boulders of differing sizes. They are mostly circalittoral mixed sediment, including mosaic with brittle star beds, but also in some places circalittoral coarse sediment, presumably reflecting the low proportion of rock habitat occurring.
- 7.24 The protocol for the survey required the interpretation of any potential Annex I of the Habitats Directive rocky reef against the reefiness index described in Irving (2009) and redescribed for cobble reef in Limpenny *et al.* (2010). Both authors note that, in relation to Annex 1 definitions, such reefs can include both bedrock and stony areas including cobble and boulders. However, in the case of such patchy and widespread habitats, such an interpretation is largely unfeasible except to say that in at least some areas where large boulders are present, the habitat clearly reaches a medium level of reefiness. The ZAP Report concludes that the majority of the Annex I of the Habitats Directive stony reef areas are of low or medium reefiness.
- 7.25 There are likely to be additional, possibly very numerous, smaller areas of boulder and stones that may technically qualify as Annex I of the Habitats Directive rocky reef elsewhere in the Site. This seems most likely in those areas adjacent to the mapped stony reefs that are mapped as being predominantly coarser, such as the circalittoral mixed sediment areas (SS.SMx.CMx and SS.SMx.CMx–SS.SMx.CMx.OphMx mosaic) and offshore mixed sediment areas, both within the Site and outside it, particularly to the north.

Authogenic carbonate communities

- 7.26 Authogenic carbonate communities are based on unusual solid carbonate deposits that can occur as a result of natural methane seepage through seabed sediments. No authogenic carbonate communities were found within the Site or the ISZ during the survey. However, there are extensive areas in the Northern Irish Sea that represent the majority of the known resource of this habitat in UK waters, notably the Croker Carbonate slabs well to the south west of the ISZ, some 30km to the west of Anglesey, which are part of both a proposed SAC (JNCC 2011f) and a proposed MCZ area (ISCZ 2011). The slabs are described as 'low relief' (elevation of up to 20cm above the surrounding seabed) or 'high relief' (elevation over 20cm, and often up to 2m). A cliff feature up to 8m in elevation and 500m long has also been recorded (Whomersley *et al.* 2010, Judd 2005).
- 7.27 With the exception of the potential Annex 1 communities discussed above, the ZAP Report noted that sedimentary seabed communities mapped within the ISZ are mostly common and widespread communities, with abundant areas both within the ISZ but outside of the Potential Development Areas, and in most cases a strong likelihood of existing widely outside the ISZ. The ZAP Report further noted that none of these habitats are considered likely to be sufficiently rare, important or sensitive enough to

warrant protection from the direct loss of a small percentage of seabed habitats. It should be noted however that the ZAP assessment was restricted to the potential impact associated with the direct loss of habitat from operation of wind farms in the ISZ.

7.28 Table 7.1 below sets out the extent of the main seabed communities within the Site. It describes the total area of each biotope mapped within the ISZ and states the percentage of that biotope area found within the Site.

Table 7.1 Main seabed communities mapped within the Site and the ISZ with summary information on extent calculated

Biotope or habitat code	Biotope or habitat name	Total extent within the ISZ (km²)	Proportion of total extent within the Site (%)	Likely extent outside ISZ
CR.MCR	Moderately exposed circalittoral rock	1.72	100.0	Area not known but extensive to south and west
SS.SBR.Smus	Sublittoral mussel beds	2.40	100.0	Area not known – widespread but probably few areas of high quality
SS.SCS.CCS	Circalittoral coarse sediment	435.53	56.2	Area not known but extensive
SS.SCS.CCS.BI an	<i>Branchiostoma lanceolatum</i> in circalittoral coarse sand with shell gravel	1.19	100.0	Unknown – <i>B lanceolata</i> may be widespread in low numbers but the biotope is likely to be limited in extent
SS.SMx.CMx	Circalittoral mixed sediment	903.25	10.6	Area not known but probably extensive
SS.SMx.CMx - SS.SMx.CMx.Op hMx	A mosaic of: Circalittoral mixed sediment and <i>Ophiothrix fragilis</i> and/or <i>Ophiocomina</i> <i>nigra</i> brittlestar beds on sublittoral mixed sediment	314.04	85.0	Area not known but both constituents probably extensive
SS.SMx.CMx.Op hMx	<i>Ophiothrix fragilis</i> and/or <i>Ophiocomina</i> <i>nigra</i> brittlestar beds on sublittoral mixed sediment	6.38	67.5	Area not known but probably extensive at least to north of ISZ
SS.SSa.CFiSa	Circalittoral fine sand	206.24	0.1	Area not known but probably extensive

Biotope or habitat code	Biotope or habitat name	Total extent within the ISZ (km²)	Proportion of total extent within the Site (%)	Likely extent outside ISZ
Stony Reef	Stony reef areas mapped by the benthic survey (MMT 2011) as an additional layer on top of all of the above biotopes, see text for descriptions (excludes the CR.MCR which was mapped separately as an area of bedrock). Reefs are very patchy and only occupy part of this measured area.	89.20	30.1	Area not known but appears extensive to south and west of ISZ

Export cable route

7.29 The ZAP Report did not characterise the benthic ecology of the cable route corridor. For the reasons discussed in the introductory chapters of this report it is not yet possible to identify a grid connection point for RWFL. Following consultation with stakeholders, surveys to inform EIA of the cable route will be commissioned once the connection point is known.

Identification of key issues

7.30 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction			
Physical disturbance to sedimentary communities	The primary impacts on the benthic environment from construction is likely to arise from direct and indirect physical disturbance from jack-up legs, anchor placement, piling and intra-array and export cable installation. These activities are likely to result in short-term localised changes to the marine environment such as increased turbidity, changes to suspended sediment levels and direct disturbance.	Scoped in	
	For this reason, the potential effect has been scoped in. However, it should be noted from the findings of the ZAP Report that none of these habitats are considered likely to be sufficiently rare, important or sensitive enough to warrant protection from the direct loss of a small percentage of seabed habitat (with the exception of Annex 1 habitats, discussed below), and so, the likelihood of environmental effects is low and this potential effect is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.		
Loss or alteration of habitat	Habitat loss or alteration may occur during construction from a number of sources including the installation of foundations and intra-array and export cables. However, this potential impact is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the same reasons given for the 'potential disturbance to sedimentary communities' above.	Scoped in	
Smothering	Benthic communities may be affected by smothering when sediment is mobilised by construction activities such as the laying of intra-array and export cables. The level of sediment mobilised during construction will be dependent on the sediment characteristics with finer sediments (such as silts and clays) likely to remain in suspension for a greater period of time than coarser sands. However, this potential effect is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the same reasons given for the 'potential	Scoped in	

	disturbance to sedimentary communities' above.	
Re- mobilisation of contaminated sediments	As discussed in Chapter 6 of this Scoping Report, the likelihood of environmental effects arising from contaminated sediment disturbance is extremely low and this potential effect is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	Scoped in
Annex 1 Habitats	<i>Modiolus</i> reef structures and their attendant fauna are sensitive to physical damage, and may take very long periods to recover. The limited known areas of <i>Modiolus</i> reef can be relatively easily avoided by careful siting of turbines and routeing of cables. The likelihood of environmental effects is low and this potential effect is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	Scoped in
	In respect of rocky reef, the worst case permanent losses would amount to approximately 0.33% of the total amount of this habitat in the ISZ with significant larger areas of similar habitat outside the zone, including within proposed areas for MCZs. It is therefore considered that this issue is not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	
Potential impac	cts during operation	
Loss or alteration of habitat	Loss of habitat during operation is most likely to occur from indirect effects such as scour or from changes to physical processes (direct loss resulting from installation of turbine foundations and cables is classed as a 'construction phase' impact). As discussed in Chapter 6 of this Scoping Report, such impacts are likely to be limited in extent and magnitude, and would only occur within small areas of the wind farm footprint and not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	Scoped in
Change in benthic communities	Changes to the composition of benthic communities within the Site may occur, either from the colonisation of hard foundation and scour protection surface or through changes in fishing activity arising from the use of safety zones around turbines.	Scoped in
Potential impac	cts during decommissioning	
Potential impact to be similar Following remo the former loca	ts arising from decommissioning phase are expected to those arising during the construction phase. oval of structures opportunities for habitat recovery in tion of foundations may arise.	Scoped in

Potential cumulative impacts

Chapter 5 discusses the projects and activities which may act cumulatively or in-combination with RWFL. Based on the results of the marine ecology and physical processes assessments presented in the ZAP report, the potential for cumulative and, or in-combination impacts with benthic communities in RWFL is not anticipated. However, this position will be reconsidered should this consultation or future consultations identify additional activities that Celtic Array is not aware of.

However, there is the potential for cumulative impacts and/or incombination to arise within the export cable corridor(s), which are therefore, scoped in.

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 7.31 Project specific survey requirements will be developed following appointment of specialist marine ecological consultants and in consultation and agreement with key stakeholders, namely MMO, MCU, JNCC, CCW and Cefas.
- 7.32 Any additional surveys will be designed to build on the extensive dataset collected during the ZAP process and seek to maximise the value of that dataset; for all future benthic surveys it is anticipated that the existing very detailed acoustic data (including bathymetry and side scan sonar) will be used, but that additional groundtruthing in the form of targeted grabs/drop-down video may be required.
- 7.33 It is also anticipated that there may be a requirement for further work focusing on the potential Annex I habitats identified within RWFL. The aim of these surveys would be to further investigate the quality and extent of these habitats.
- 7.34 Surveys will also be used to characterise the benthic ecology of the export cable corridor. The scope and extent of these studies will be agreed with relevant stakeholders when there is more certainty over the grid connection location.
- 7.35 Any surveys proposed will be designed in line with the approach described in the DTLR publication Guidelines for the Conduct of Benthic Studies at Marine Aggregate Extraction Sites, 2nd Edition, March 2011.
- 7.36 Epibenthic and macrobenthic community data and sedimentary data will be collected. Information on species diversity, numbers, habitat classification and community structure will be used to characterise the area in terms of the local marine ecology, with a focus on identifying potential Annex 1 habitats. Additionally, species and habitats of importance listed under Section 42 of the NERC Act 2006, or OSPAR will also be considered.
- 7.37 Sediments samples will be collected for analysis of particle size distribution and contaminant concentrations, as well as providing information on the spatial distribution of sediments.
- 7.38 The ES will include
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information. This description

will include analysis of the survey data described above;

- A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
- Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
- A review and summary of physical processes surveys and studies incorporating any identified key issues specifically regarding benthic ecology, such as any identified smothering or sediment regime change implications. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
- Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

7.39 The geophysical survey and associated groundtruthing undertaken as part of the ZAP assessment provides a detailed map of benthic communities across RWFL. The ZAP Report notes that the majority of these communities are mostly common and widespread, with abundant areas both within the ISZ but outside of the Potential Development Areas, and in most cases with a strong likelihood of existing widely outside the ISZ. The ZAP Report further noted that none of these habitats are considered likely to be sufficiently rare, important or sensitive enough to warrant protection from the direct loss of a small percentage of seabed habitats. However, the ZAP Report also highlighted a number of discrete area supporting potential Annex I habitats that are likely to be focal issues in the EIA.

7-2 Biological environment – fish ecology

Introduction

- 7.40 This chapter characterises the fish ecology in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on the relevant fish ecology receptors and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform the project level EIA process.
- 7.41 Commercial fisheries are considered separately in Chapter 8-1. However, the ES will cross-refer to relevant issues in each of these chapters.
- 7.42 For the purposes of this report, Basking sharks *Cetorhinus maximus* are considered to have more in common with other large marine megafauna such as marine mammals than with the fish ecology issues dealt with here. They are therefore considered in Chapter 7-3 on marine mammals, turtles and basking shark.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 7.43 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 3 of this Scoping Report, Celtic Array commissioned a marine ecology study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation of the fish ecology of the ISZ, based around the collection of survey data and consultation.
- 7.44 The primary data sources for fish communities within the Site used in this report are the dedicated 4m beam trawl surveys carried out in autumn 2010 (November) and spring

2011 (March) by CMACS Ltd (CMACS Ltd 2010, CMACS Ltd 2011) to inform the ZAP Report.

7.45 These surveys were designed to provide information on fish and epifauna abundance and distribution and in order to allow direct comparability with the Cefas autumn fish surveys. Sampling was carried out using a 4m commercial beam trawls with a 40mm mesh cod-end insert at the locations shown in Figure 7.4. A full methodology and results of these two trawl surveys are available within reports CMACS (2010) and CMACS (2011).



Figure 7.4 Beam trawl survey site locations

7.46 Since 1992, Cefas has maintained a series of trawl surveys undertaken during autumn throughout the greater part of the Irish Sea (Parker-Humpreys 2004), with sampling carried out from the Cefas research vessel 'Corystes' towing a commercial-pattern 4m beam trawl fitted with a fine mesh cod-end liner (Ellis *et al.* 2000, Parker-Humphreys 2004). Analyses of these data have been published by Ellis *et al.* (2000) and Parker-Humphreys (2004) with further analysis by Ellis and Parker-Humphreys (2004).

Stakeholder consultation

7.47 As part of the ZAP Report, consultation has taken place with the CCW, JNCC, NE, MMO, Cefas and the Isle of Man DEFA. Consultation with these parties will continue as the EIA progresses.



Description of current environment

Summary

7.48 No unusual fish communities or rare fish species were found during the ZAP surveys. In the main, fish communities and individual fish species are wide ranging within and around the Site and the ISZ and there is no indication of especially important areas, either for individual species or for communities. Fish spawning and nursery areas occur in proximity to the Site for a number of species but in all cases the areas involved are part of much wider spawning/nursery areas that also include large areas outside of the ISZ. Spawning areas for herring, *Clupea harengus*, which are likely to be more sensitive to disturbance by noise than most fish species, are thought to occur exclusively outside the ISZ, the nearest area being well to the north of the Site, off the east coast of the Isle of Man, where according to Bowers (1969) they were found to spawn around 5-10 miles from the coast.

Fish communities

- 7.49 The most abundant species recorded in the ZAP surveys differed for the two seasons in which the surveys were conducted. It was found that there was marginally higher species diversity in spring (47 species) than the autumn (43 species), with the autumn fish community being dominated by Poor cod, *Trisopterus minutus*, and spring recording the Thickback Sole, *Microchirus variegatus* as the most abundant fish species across the ISZ as a whole. Total abundance of fish was very similar between autumn and spring.
- 7.50 The proportion in each catch of the ten most common species, sampled as part of the autumn 2010 survey, is displayed in Figure 7.5. Numbers in black denote site numbers. The most common species recorded across the ISZ was Poor cod *Trisopterus minutus* (838 individuals from 20 trawls). The largest single catch of Poor cod (121 individuals) was at site 8, to the north of the Site, where the species comprised 73% of the haul. Poor cod are found mainly on muddy or sandy sea beds. Although they may be commercially harvested for fish meal, they are not actively fished in this area of the Irish Sea.



Figure 7.5 Proportion by numbers of catch in each trawl of the ten most common species in the autumn 2010 survey

7.51 The results from the site specific surveys showed a similarity to the assemblages identified in the central Irish Sea by Ellis *et al.* (2000).

Shellfish

- 7.52 The Cefas beam-trawl survey is not designed to sample commercial shellfish but each of the principal species for which there are fisheries in the Irish Sea were recorded in the catches. These are: king scallop, *Pecten maximus* and queen scallop, *Chlamys opercularis*, whelks, *Buccinum undatum*, brown crab, *Cancer pagurus*, lobster, *Homarus gammarus* and brown shrimp, *Crangon crangon*. Brown shrimps are most abundant in very shallow water, particularly adjacent to the major estuaries in the eastern Irish Sea such as Dee and Morecambe Bay. The relatively few brown crab and lobsters that were recorded were widespread. Nephrops, *Nephrops norvegicus*, were not recorded in the trawl survey but they are an important shellfish resource within the Irish Sea between the Isle of Man and the Cumbria coast.
- 7.53 None of the shellfish species recorded from the Cefas surveys are classified as being 'rare' or 'endangered' and none are subject to non-fishery management conservation measures.

Spawning and nursery ground usage

- 7.54 Spawning and nursery areas within the central and eastern Irish Sea and within and around the ISZ have been identified using Coull *et al.* (1998) 'Fisheries sensitivity maps in British waters'. The data from these maps are compiled from surveys conducted over a number of years (1991-1996) and are taken as a recent representation of the present fish population distributions, which are likely to vary spatially and temporarily in both the short term (seasonally) and longer term (over several years).
- 7.55 More recently, Cefas scientists have undertaken additional analyses to complement and update the Coull *et al.* data. GIS information from the results regarding spatial and intensity of use of the different areas has also been referred to here.
- 7.56 Table 7.2 shows species which spawn within the ISZ. Table 7.2 is based on the more recent Cefas (2011) data. The spawning periods for the area are shown in Table 7.3
- 7.57 Information from Coull *et al.* (1998) also shows that herring utilise the east coast of the Isle of Man as a spawning ground over August to September (well to the north of the Site). This is a well-known and important historical spawning area and its continued use was confirmed by consultation with Isle of Man DEFA as part of the ZAP Report (Celtic Array 2012). Herring require areas of clean gravel into which they lay their eggs to spawn (Haegele and Schweigert 1985), the provision of which can be largely determined by changing environmental conditions. Therefore, the precise location and timing of herring spawning is considered to be highly variable, and possible smaller scale use of areas of gravel within the ISZ cannot be ruled out.

Table 7.2 Spawning areas as defined from Cefas egg surveys (Cefas 2011) for the main commercial fish species likely to spawn in the zone

Species	Area and Intensity
Cod	Spawn at low intensity throughout the eastern Irish Sea with a high intensity in the east of the ISZ which is part of an area of high intensity spawning which runs from the mouth of the Solway Firth down to the North Wales coastline.
Hake	Low intensity spawning area around the Isle of Man with the southern part of this area including the western part of the ISZ.
Ling	Ubiquitous low intensity spawning throughout the central Irish Sea (including most of the ISZ).
Horse Mackerel	Low intensity spawning ground includes most of the ISZ and extends across the central part of the Irish Sea.
Mackerel	Low intensity spawning across all of the central and eastern Irish Sea (to include the ISZ).
Plaice	High intensity spawning occurs off the east coast of Ireland and in an area extending from the Solway Firth down to the Welsh coastline (to include the eastern edge of the ISZ). Low intensity spawning occurs throughout the eastern and central Irish Sea.
Sole	Low intensity spawning occurs throughout the Irish Sea and therefore includes the ISZ. High intensity spawning occurs from the Solway Firth down to the North Wales coastline but occurs inshore of the Site.

Species	Area and Intensity
Sand eel	Spawns at low intensity throughout the eastern and central Irish Sea. High intensity spawning area located inshore from the Site within Liverpool Bay and stretching along the North Wales coastline and the Fylde coast.

Species	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Cod		*	*									
Whiting												
Plaice	*	*										
Sprat					*	*						
Lemon sole												
Sole				*								
Nephrops				*	*	*						

Fable 7.3 Spawning peric	ds for the main com	mercial species in the Iris	sh Sea
--------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	--------

* = peak spawning intensity

Nursery grounds

- 7.58 As summarised in the ZAP Report, in addition to spawning areas, the Irish Sea also provides important nursery ground habitat for a variety of fish (including commercial) species. The majority of the main nursery grounds are found in the shallower sandier coastal areas inshore from the Site. However, lemon sole, nephrops and cod all have nursery grounds within the ISZ and a herring and whiting nursery area are also present in close proximity to the zone (Coull *et al.* 1998).
- 7.59 A number of factors affect the suitability of benthic habitats as nursery grounds such as water depth; coastal or deeper offshore areas, food abundance, habitat type, i.e. rocky reef or sandbank and the prevailing water conditions, i.e. salinity and water temperature (Pawson and Robson 1996, Coull *et al.* 1998). For these reasons, it is expected that the exact boundaries of nursery grounds will vary much in the same way as for spawning grounds, resulting in the locations to be indications only. Spawning and nursery ground areas for the same species are not always in the same geographical areas.
- 7.60 Nursery ground information for the Irish Sea has also been updated by Cefas. GIS information related to this update has been considered in this report.
- 7.61 From this data, it appears that cod, whiting and mackerel use the ISZ (as part of much wider areas across the Irish Sea) for nursery areas, and the usage is assessed as being low. Spurdog and tope have a high usage of the ISZ for a nursery area but this high usage area includes much of the northern Irish Sea and extends across from the Solway Firth to the Irish coast. Thornback ray (*Raja clavata*), sole, spotted ray (*Raja montagui*), sand eel and plaice all use inshore sandier areas in other parts of the Irish Sea located outside the Site boundary.

Elasmobranch species

- 7.62 Elasmobranchs are a potentially vulnerable group as many of the species in this group have lifestyle traits characterised by slow maturation, small brood numbers and low recruitment rates. Such traits can make them vulnerable to the negative effects of habitat destruction and removal, direct overexploitation and high mortality from by-catch and, as a result, elasmobranch abundance in the Irish Sea has declined in recent years. In order to combat this, IUCN enforced protection measures of zero total allowable catch (TAC) have been applied to some key UK species to prevent potential localised extinctions. This restriction aims to allow population numbers to recover through prohibiting landings of these species and also gather data in the way of location of catch, size, species, sex and physical state of the returned fish (Cefas 1999).
- 7.63 In excess of thirty species of elasmobranch have been recorded in the Irish Sea (Irish Sea Conservations Zones 2011). During the ISZ trawl surveys (2010/11); seven species of elasmobranch were recorded from across the ISZ. From these surveys, the small-spotted Catshark (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) was found to be the most abundant across the ISZ and in the Site, followed by spotted ray (*Raja montagui*), cuckoo ray (*Raja naevus*), nursehound (*Scyliorhinus stellaris*), thornback ray (*Raja clavata*), blonde ray (*Raja brachyuran*) and smoothhound (*Mustelus asterias*).
- 7.64 No rare or endangered elasmobranch species were recorded, although some such as the Thornback ray and Nursehound are designated as near threatened in UK waters (Ellis 2005). This designation means that the species does not currently qualify for a threatened category, but is close to qualifying as one in the future should current population trends continue (IUCN 2001).
- 7.65 The overall number of elasmobranchs within the ISZ appears to be lower in spring than in autumn, suggesting a seasonal variation in population abundance.

Migratory species

- 7.66 The migratory species considered here are diadromous fish. Either they spawn in freshwater and feed at sea (anadromous) or feed in freshwater and spawn at sea (catadromous). As a result of the high number of major rivers terminating into the Irish Sea, a number of diadromous fish species would be expected to traverse the Site or the ISZ area.
- 7.67 Fish species which adopt a diadromous lifecycle are often more vulnerable to environmental impacts along their migratory routes (McDowall 1999). The increased vulnerability may arise from species being affected at a crucial stage in their reproductive cycle. This can have a negative knock-on effect to later population abundance as the spawning population becomes reduced.
- 7.68 Both commercially and non-commercially fished species have been recorded including in the Site or ISZ some which are of conservation concern.
- 7.69 Commercially or recreationally fished species include the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), sea trout (*Salmo trutta*) and European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). All three are found in virtually all the rivers draining into the Irish Sea.
- 7.70 Non-commercial anadromous species recorded from rivers and estuaries (Dee, Morecambe Bay, Conwy and Solway Firth) in the eastern Irish Sea include Allis Shad (*Alosa alosa*), Twaite Shad (*Alosa fallax*) and the Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*), and the catadromous River lamprey (*Lampetra fluivatilis*). Each of these species is listed in Annex II of the EC Habitats Directive (1992) as negative human impacts from pollution, overfishing and river obstructions to migration have led to large reductions in

numbers making them uncommon in UK waters (JNCC 2011a, JNCC 2011b, JNCC 2011c, JNCC 2011d).

Species of nature conservation interest

- 7.71 None of the fish species recorded from the ZAP surveys are protected individually under any national or international legislation although commercial marine fish are listed under a grouped species biodiversity action plan (<u>www.ukbap.org.uk</u>). The priority species listed under this action plan are those for which the International Council for the Exploration of the Seas (ICES) scientists' assessment is that they are below Safe Biological Limits (SBL). These include species such as cod, plaice and sole. These fish taxa are protected under the regulations underpinning the Common Fisheries Policy.
- 7.72 In addition to the European and national legislation that covers the exploitation of marine fish (e.g. Common Fisheries Policy) and migratory species (e.g. UK *Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Act* 1975), a number of fish species are also subject to a range of national and international conservation measures. Species afforded protection under such national or international conventions which have been previously recorded within the Irish Sea are listed in Table 7.4 alongside the relevant legislative protection.
- 7.73 Local Biodiversity Action Plans (LBAPs), which are based on administrative counties are applicable to coastal inshore waters, are in place for all skate and ray species in the North Wales counties of Flintshire, Denbighshire, Conwy, Gwynedd and Anglesey and specifically highlight thornback ray, blonde ray and skate, as being particularly vulnerable (or in the case of skate, extinct in Irish Sea).

Species	Protection
Allis Shad, <i>Alosa alosa</i>	Appendix II and Appendix III of the Bern Convention Annexes II and V of the Habitats Directive UK BAP species
Twaite Shad, Alosa fallax	Appendix III of the Bern Convention Recommended for addition to Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 under section 9-(4) (a). Annexes II and V of the Habitats Directive UK BAP species
Sea Lamprey, <i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	Appendix III of the Bern Convention Annex II of the Habitats Directive
River Lamprey, <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>	Appendix III of the Bern Convention Annex II of the Habitats Directive
Sturgeon, <i>Acipenser</i> <i>sturio</i> (records from the Dee Estuary)	Appendix III of the Bern Convention CITES species Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 Annex II of the Habitats Directive
Smelt, Osmerus eperlanus	Appendix III of the Bern Convention Annexes II and V of the Habitats Directive

Table 7.4 Protection measures afforded particularly to Irish Sea species (data from Pawson and Robson 1996, Pinnegar *et al.* 2010)

Species	Protection
Salmon, Salmo salar	Appendix III of the Bern Convention but only protected under Annex II of the Habitats Directive when in freshwater.

Export cable route

7.74 The ZAP Report did not characterise the fish and shellfish ecology of the cable route corridor. For the reasons discussed in the introductory chapters of this report it is not yet possible to identify a grid connection for RWFL. Surveys to inform EIA of the cable route will be discussed with stakeholders once the connection point is known.

Identification of key issues

7.75 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction					
Loss of, or disturbance to, fish and shellfish habitat	Direct disturbance to fish and shellfish habitat may occur during construction from foundation installation, anchoring (if used) by installation vessels, and cable laying activities. The area affected is likely to be very small compared to the available habitat in the Site and the ISZ, and so, whilst it is not possible to confidently scope out this potential issue, the likelihood of environmental effects is low and not anticipated to be a focal issue for the EIA.	Scoped in			
Noise disturbance	Noise from underwater piling has the potential to affect noise sensitive fish species such as herring. While injury to individuals is highly unlikely to arise (Nedwell <i>et al.</i> 2007) potential disturbance behaviour may arise which may disrupt spawning activity.	Scoped in			
	Although some distance away, potential impacts on herring spawning grounds in Manx territorial seas will be considered and this is likely to be a focal issue for natural fish communities in the EIA. However it is likely that this will be more of an issue for future projects that may be developed.				
	The significance of noise impacts and the extent to which species will be affected will be dependent on a large number of factors including foundation type and installation method, local conditions and their effect on noise attenuation and fish distribution.				

Suspended sediments	Wind farm construction activities, including cable installation, have the potential to generate suspended sediments. High suspended sediment levels may lead to impacts on fish such as the impairment of respiratory or reproductive functions or the disruption of migration/spawning activity. Juvenile and larval stages may be likely to be more susceptible to these effects due to their lower mobility and higher sensitivity to such effects. Given the relatively coarse nature of the sediments and the relatively high background suspended sediment concentration levels associated with the Site it is not anticipated that adverse effects will occur. This issue is also discussed in respect of benthic communities (Chapter 7.1) and physical and coastal processes (Chapter 6).	Scoped in
Potential impacts	during operation	
Effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF)	Intra-array and export cables create electromagnetic fields. Elasmobranchs are considered to be sensitive to the effects of EMF, although research undertaken to date has not been conclusive as to the nature of potential effects. Recent mesocosm studies (Gill <i>et al.</i> 2009) showed little conclusive evidence to suggest any effect on elasmobranch species. The impacts associated with EMF at the Site and on the export cable route on elasmobranch species are not anticipated to be significant, particularly given that cables will be buried (thereby reducing potential effects) and that EMF only extend to very low distances (a few metres) from cables.	Scoped in
Changes in community composition or biomass	The presence of foundations and associated scour protection is likely to lead to colonisation by benthic invertebrates. This may increase fish and shellfish diversity. Increased biomass and diversity has been associated with offshore wind farm development although, to date, the effect of the structures' role as fish aggregation devices (the 'Reef Effect') has not been distinguished from the possible effect of safety zones around structures reducing fishing effort within wind farm footprints.	Scoped in

Operational noise	Operational noise impacts are considered highly unlikely to cause physical damage to fish species (Thomsen <i>et al.</i> 2006). Studies in the UK in operating wind farms (Nedwell <i>et al.</i> 2007) suggest that operational noise is higher than background noise levels within the wind farm footprint but is not discernible further afield. Studies at Nysted and Horns Rev offshore wind farms do not show diminished fish or shellfish diversity or biomass suggesting that any effects of operational noise or vibration is unlikely to be biologically significant. It is proposed that the impact of operational noise is scoped out of the ES for RWFL.	Scoped out
Potential impacts	during decommissioning	
Potential effects a to those described impacts are likely activities.	rising from decommissioning are likely to be similar d above in respect of construction although noise / to be lower given the absence of pile driving	Scoped in
Potential cumulati	ive impacts	
Cumulative impac	ts may arise with many of the projects discussed in C	Chapter 5.
Construction noise	The offshore construction programme for RWFL commences in 2017 (see Chapter 4). It is anticipated that construction of Gwynt y Môr, Walney extension and Burbo Bank extension will all be completed by 2016, and so there is no potential for cumulative construction noise impacts with those projects and it is proposed that this potential impact is scoped out.	Scoped out
Electromagnetic Fields (EMF)	As discussed above, the potential impacts resulting from electromagnetic fields (EMF) are currently poorly understood with studies having been largely inconclusive. The intra-array and export cables associated with other wind farms may, subject to the findings of ongoing monitoring studies, have the potential to give rise to cumulative impacts on elasmobranch species.	Scoped in
Suspended sediments	Based on the results of the marine ecology and physical processes assessments presented in the ZAP report, the potential for cumulative and, or in-combination impacts on natural fish communities in RWFL is not anticipated. However, this position will be reconsidered should this consultation or future consultations	Scoped in

identify additional activities that Celtic Array is not aware of.	
However, there is the potential for cumulative and, or in-combination impacts to arise within the export cable corridor(s), which are therefore, scoped in.	

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 7.76 The EIA for RWFL will build on the extensive desk-based and demersal trawl survey data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary. This will include, for example, review of the latest Cefas Ground Fish survey data for the Irish Sea. However, Celtic Array does not anticipate a need to collect further data on demersal fish communities within the RWFL area. There may be a need, however, to collect data on the natural fish community of the export cable corridors once there is more certainty over the grid connection location.
- 7.77 The scope and extent of studies will be agreed with relevant stakeholders, including MMO, MCU, JNCC, CCW, Cefas and Isle of Man DEFA. This will include assessment of the cable route when there is more certainty over the grid connection location.

Noise modelling

- 7.78 The potential for impacts from noise on noise-sensitive fish species will be addressed through modelling of the noise propagation associated with the construction of RWFL via the Engineering Envelope described in Chapter 5 above. The scope of this modelling, and relevant fish species to be included for assessment of noise impacts, will be agreed with relevant stakeholders.
- 7.79 The noise modelling work will be supplemented by onsite noise measurements from the met mast installation, currently anticipated to be spring 2013.

Fish spawning survey

- 7.80 The requirement for fish spawning surveys will be established and agreed with the relevant statutory organisations. Importantly, the need for spawning surveys will also be informed by noise modelling undertaken as part of the EIA.
- 7.81 The EIA will also draw on information obtained from the benthic surveys (see Chapter 7.1) and consultation with the fishing industry (see Chapter 8.1).
- 7.82 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information. This description will include analysis of the survey data described above;
 - A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of physical processes surveys and studies incorporating any

identified key issues specifically regarding fish and shellfish habitat, such as any identified smothering or sediment regime change implications. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included (see Chapter 5);

- A review and summary of other relevant information contained in ES chapters including cross-referencing to commercial fisheries (see Chapter 8.1) and benthic ecology (see Chapter 7.1) issues; and
- Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

- 7.83 Extensive demersal fishing surveys were undertaken across the ISZ in autumn 2010 and spring 2011 and combined with desk-based data and the results of other survey programmes to provide a comprehensive description of the natural fish communities of the ISZ and wider Irish Sea.
- 7.84 No unusual fish communities or rare fish species were found during the ZAP surveys. In the main, fish communities and individual fish species are wide ranging within and around the Site and the ISZ and there is no indication of especially important areas, either for individual species or for communities. Fish spawning and nursery areas occur in proximity to RWFL and the ISZ for a number of species but in all cases the areas involved are part of much wider spawning/nursery areas that also include large areas outside of the ISZ. Spawning areas for herring, which are likely to be more sensitive to disturbance by noise than most fish species, are thought to occur exclusively outside the ISZ, the nearest area being well to the north of the Site, off the east coast of the Isle of Man.
- 7.85 As a result of the extensive data collected as part of the ZAP process, Celtic Array does not anticipate a need to collect further data on demersal fish communities for the purposes of EIA.

7-3 Biological environment - marine mammals, turtles and basking shark Introduction

- 7.86 This chapter describes the distribution and abundance of marine mammals, turtles and basking sharks in and around the Site and the potential effects of wind farm development on those species, and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform the RWFL EIA.
- 7.87 For the purposes of this report, basking sharks, by reason of their size and reproductive ecology, are considered to have more in common with other large marine megafauna such as marine mammals and turtles than with other fish, which are considered in Chapter 7.2, Fish and Shellfish Ecology.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 7.88 As described in Chapter 4, Celtic Array commissioned monthly boat based surveys over a two year period (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation using the marine mammal and basking shark survey data and consultation responses.
- 7.89 Data informing the ZAP Report and this Scoping Report included:
 - Aerial survey data (The Crown Estate 2009);

- Atlas of cetacean distribution in Northwest European waters (Reid et al. 2003) ;
- Marine Conservation Society basking shark watch 20-year report (1987-2006), (Bloomfield and Solandt 2008);
- Atlas of the marine mammals of Wales (Baines and Evans 2009);
- Irish Cetacean Review (2000-2009) (Berrow et al. 2010);
- Manx Wildlife Trust database;
- Manx Basking Shark Watch website sightings collated around the Isle of Man from 2004 to date (www.manxbaskingsharkwatch.com);
- National Biodiversity Network, NBN (2011) accessed for information on turtles to determine presence and utilisation of the Irish Sea waters;
- Small cetacean abundance in the North Sea (SCANS I) (Hammond *et al.* 1995, 2002);
- Small cetaceans in the European Atlantic and the North Sea (SCANS II)(SCANSII 2008);
- Special Committee on Seals (SCOS) reports(SCOS 2010);
- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) technical report (Hammond *et al.* 2005);
- Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU) telemetry data (Matthiopoulos *et al.* 2004, Hammond *et al.* 2005, Thompson *et al.* 2011);
- The West Wales grey seal census (Baines et al. 1995); and
- TURTLE database, (Pierpoint 2000), TURTLE database (2011) records (published and unpublished) of turtle strandings and sightings around the UK and the Republic of Ireland.
- 7.90 Boat-based surveys were carried out on a monthly basis between March 2010 and April 2012 according to a methodology agreed with CCW, NE and JNCC. The objective of the survey programme was to collect data on the distribution, activity and behaviour of marine mammals (and other large marine megafauna) throughout the ISZ. The surveys comprised both visual surveys using Marine Mammal Observers and passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) using a towed hydrophone array.
- 7.91 A total of seventeen transects, orientated north east/south west across the ISZ were traversed during twenty-seven surveys between March 2010 and April 2012 (Figure 7.6).



Figure 7.6 Location of survey transects within the Irish Sea Zone

Stakeholder consultation

7.92 As part of the ZAP Report consultation has taken place with CCW, JNCC, NE, MMO, Cefas, and Isle of Man DEFA and a number of non-statutory conservation organisations such as the Manx Wildlife Trust, Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society (WDCS) and Sea Watch Foundation. Consultation with these parties will continue as the EIA progresses.

Description of current environment

- 7.93 Published data has identified a total of twenty cetacean and two pinniped species which have been recorded in the Irish Sea. Of these, many are considered to be only rare, scarce or occasional visitors, or are documented only from strandings (especially deepwater species such as beaked whales). Generally, the northern half of the Irish Sea in which the ISZ is located, is not considered to be a particularly important area for marine mammals compared to other British waters or compared with the southern Irish Sea (Evans and Shepherd 2001, Hammond *et al.* 2002). This is likely a result of its shallow depth and its location away from migration routes and the deeper waters off the shelf edge.
- 7.94 Seven marine mammal species are known to occur regularly and on a year round basis (or on an annual seasonal basis) in Irish Sea waters, comprising two species of pinniped (common and grey seal) and five cetacean species (common minke whale, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*; Risso's dolphin, *Grampus griseus*; bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus;* short-beaked common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis* and harbour

porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*) (Reid *et al.* 2003, Hammond *et al.* 2005, Baines and Evans 2009, Berrow *et al.* 2010).

- 7.95 The basking shark is regularly recorded around the Isle of Man, with the highest densities to the south and south west around the Calf of Man, and along the western coast. The north east coast is the area of lowest density, while scattered records occur in the ISZ.
- 7.96 Of the seven marine turtle species in the world five have been recorded in UK. Of these only one is frequently reported in UK waters, the leatherback turtle *Dermochelys coriacea*, with other recorded species likely to be vagrants. Leatherback turtles are known to frequent the Irish Sea with significant numbers of sightings recorded off Anglesey and the Isle of Man (TURTLE database).
- 7.97 All of these species may occur within the Site. A summary of the conservation status and occurrence of these species is provided in Table 7.5.

Table 7.5 Conservation status and occurrence of marine mammals, basking shark and turtle species encountered regularly within the Irish Sea region

Common name	Scientific name	Habitats Directive Annex⁴	UK BAP species	Seasonality in Irish Sea	Distribution	Irish Sea population (derived from SCANS II unless stated otherwise)	European population (unless stated otherwise)
Common minke whale	Balaenoptera acutorostrata	IV	Yes	Seasonal	Coastal and offshore	1,073	SCANS II: 18,614 [95% CI = 10,445- 33,171] CODA: 6,765 [95% CI = 1,239- 36,925]
Risso's dolphin	Grampus griseus	IV	Yes	Year round	Offshore	No estimate	JNCC <i>et al</i> (2010): Estimated at 100s, 1000s
Bottlenose dolphin	Tursiops truncatus	II & IV	Yes	Year round	Coastal	235	SCANS II: 12,645 [95% CI = 7,504- 21,307] CODA: 19,295 [95% CI = 11,842- 31,440]

⁴ II: Species requiring designation of Special Areas of Conservation; IV: Species in need of strict protection; V: Species whose taking from the wild can be restricted by European law.



Common name	Scientific name	Habitats Directive Annex⁴	UK BAP species	Seasonality in Irish Sea	Distribution	Irish Sea population (derived from SCANS II unless stated otherwise)	European population (unless stated otherwise)
Common dolphin	Delphinus delphis	IV	Yes	Year round	Coastal and offshore	366	SCANS II: 63,366 [95% CI = 26,973- 148,865] CODA: 162,266 [95% CI = 65,990- 399,001]
Harbour porpoise	Phocoena phocoena	II & IV	Yes	Year round	Coastal and offshore	15,230	SCANS II: 385,617 [95% CI = 261,266- 569,153]
Grey seal	Halichoerus grypus	& ∨	n/a	Year round	Coastal and offshore	2009 pup production (SCOS 2010): Wales: 1,650 Northern Ireland: 100 Population estimates: 5,198-6,976 (Irish and Celtic Seas) (Kiely <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2000) ~ 5,000 (Baines <i>et al.</i> 1995)	2009 UK pup production (SCOS 2010): 47,540 2009 UK population estimate (SCOS 2010): 106,200 [95% CI= 82,00 – 138,700]



Common name	Scientific name	Habitats Directive Annex⁴	UK BAP species	Seasonality in Irish Sea	Distribution	Irish Sea population (derived from SCANS II unless stated otherwise)	European population (unless stated otherwise)
Common (harbour) seal	Phoca vitulina	II & V	Yes	Year round	Coastal and offshore	~1,300 (Duck 2006)	UK population estimate (2009): 40,000 – 46,000 (SCOS 2010)
Basking shark	Cetorhinus maximus	Not relevant⁵	Yes	Seasonal	Coastal and offshore	No estimate	No estimate
Leatherback turtle	Dermochelys coriacea	IV	Yes	Largely seasonal	Coastal and offshore	No estimate	No estimate

⁵ Protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 out to 12nm

- 7.98 Field data gathered during the boat transect surveys of the ISZ indicate that harbour porpoise and grey seal are the most frequently encountered marine mammal species in the ISZ. Three dolphin species were recorded in low numbers.
- 7.99 Boat-based visual surveys recorded a total of 298 cetacean and 66 pinniped sightings within the ISZ (Table 7.6). A single basking shark sighting was also recorded. Five species of cetacean and one pinniped species were identified, all of which are known to occur in the wider Irish Sea region regularly. The harbour porpoise dominated the marine mammal observations, with 265 sightings recorded. The minke whale was the only baleen whale species recorded, with 17 sightings (one other baleen sighting was unidentified). Only three dolphin species were recorded. The grey seal was the only pinniped species recorded, and the many unidentified seals were also most likely to have been this species. No marine turtles were recorded.
- 7.100 There were 310 acoustic detection events recorded during acoustic surveys. The vast majority of these detections comprised harbour porpoise click trains. However, there were also five detections of dolphins.

Table 7.6 Summary of marine mammals recorded during visual and acoustic surveys of the ISZ carried out from March 2010 to September 2011

Species	Total visual sightings	Total visual individuals	Total acoustic detections [†]
Harbour porpoise	265	467	305
Bottlenose dolphin	4	13	
Common dolphin	1	8	
Risso's dolphin	3	18	
Dolphin species	6	10	5
All dolphins	14	49	5
Minke whale	17	19	
Baleen species	1	1	
All baleen whales	18	20	
Cetacean species	1	1	
All cetaceans	298	537	310
Grey seal	53	53	
Seal species	13	13	
All seals	66	66	
All marine mammals	364	603	
Leatherback turtles	0	0	
Hardback turtle species	0	0	
Turtle species	0	0	
All turtles	0	0	
Sunfish	0	0	

Species	Total visual sightings	Total visual individuals	Total acoustic detections [†]
Basking shark	1	1	
Other sharks	0	0	
All large fish	1	1	

† Few porpoise detections could be extracted from click files recorded between March and July 2010 (surveys 1-6) due to technical problems with the vessel's high-frequency echo-sounder (all detections are included in this Table).

- 7.101 Harbour porpoise occur in the ISZ throughout the year but particularly during the period from spring to autumn. They are widely distributed across the entire ISZ but densities appear to be highest in the west, where there is an offshore bank and bathymetry is more variable. Both visual and acoustic data suggested that the Site (and the south east area of the ISZ in general) was generally the lowest used area by porpoises in the ISZ. The data also indicate that during the winter, as well as the summer, relatively high proportions of calves/juveniles may be present within the ISZ.
- 7.102 Grey seals are numerous within the Irish Sea, with Welsh waters holding 90% of the breeding population for the region. Haul-out counts at sites adjacent to the ISZ, such as the Isle of Man and West Hoyle sandbank, sometimes number over 400 and 500 animals respectively. Seasonal fluctuations in the peak haul-out counts at these sites may be suggestive of movements of animals between sites. At least some of the large number of animals using these haul-out sites would certainly be expected to forage within the Site at times. The ZAP surveys suggest that these animals' use of the Site as a foraging ground is likely to be year round, but with peak densities during April and May following the moulting season. Telemetry data confirm the wide-ranging nature of seal foraging although the identity of prey species, and their presence or absence in different areas, could not be ascertained by the fish ecology surveys described in Chapter 7.2.
- 7.103 The importance of the Irish Sea region for basking sharks remains unclear, although it is certainly apparent that significant numbers of sharks occur locally in the waters around the Isle of Man during the summer (MWDW 2011). Seasonal data from the Isle of Man indicate an expected presence near, if not within, the Site between May and August. Their use of the area during other seasons remains unclear although recent tagging studies (Stéphan *et al.* 2011) suggest that sharks may be present at greater depths than previously understood and therefore detection may be challenging. The same study confirms an association between sharks and areas of sea associated with the Manx West Coast front and the Western Irish Sea front (Stéphan *et al.* 2011).
- 7.104 There are relatively few sightings of marine turtles in the ISZ or broader Irish Sea area (TURTLE Database). None were recorded during surveys associated with the ZAP Report.

Protected areas

7.105 Within the Irish Sea region there are five SACs for which marine mammals are qualifying features (Table 7.7). The most important of these based on their grading are the Cardigan Bay SAC for bottlenose dolphins (one of the primary features for the selection of this site) in Wales and the Lambay Island SAC for grey seals in Ireland.

Additionally, there are two marine mammal SACs located just outside of the Irish Sea region, both of which are of European importance for grey seals.

Table 7.7 Special Areas of Conservation within, and adjacent to, the Irish Sea where marine mammals are grade A-C qualifying features*

SAC site	Country	Species					
Within the Irish Sea	Within the Irish Sea						
Cardigan Bay/Bae Ceredigion	Wales	Bottlenose dolphin, grey seal					
Pen Llŷn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	Wales	Bottlenose dolphin, grey seal					
Lambay Island	Ireland	Grey seal					
Murlough	Northern Ireland	Common seal					
Strangford Lough	Northern Ireland	Common seal					
In close proximity to the Irish Sea							
Pembrokeshire Marine	Wales	Grey seal					
Saltee Islands	Ireland	Grey seal					

*Further details on these sites are included in the nature conservation Chapter 7.5.

Identification of key issues

7.106 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction					
Impacts of construction noise on marine mammals	Many species of marine mammal use sound for prey detection, communication and navigation. High levels of anthropogenic noise which falls within the audible range of a marine mammal has the potential to give rise to the masking of vocalisations used to communicate or forage, to behavioural responses, to auditory injury (either permanent or temporary) and, in extreme cases, severe injury or even death. In recent years, the potential ecological impacts of underwater noise associated with the construction of offshore wind farms has been a subject of substantial research (e.g. Bailey <i>et al.</i> 2010, Nedwell <i>et al.</i> 2004, Nedwell <i>et al.</i> 2007a and 2007b, Thomsen <i>et al.</i> 2006 and Tougaard <i>et al.</i> 2003a and 2003b). It is widely accepted that impact piling operations can give rise to levels of noise with the potential to	Scoped in			

	affect marine mammals within and close to offshore wind farm development areas.	
	Lethal effects may arise in close proximity (tens of metres) to piling operations although such risks can be effectively managed through mitigation involving postponement of the commencement of piling operations until a monitored area is clear of marine mammals. Upon commencement of piling, 'soft start' procedures are also likely to provide effective mitigation.	
	At greater distances, effects may include permanent damage to hearing (permanent threshold shift (PTS)), temporary effects on hearing (temporary threshold shifts (TTS)) and behavioural effects which may include aversion to high noise levels resulting in displacement from an area. Mitigation measures such as engineering solutions and acoustic deterrent devices can be used to minimise any effects.	
Indirect effect of construction noise on prey species of marine mammals	As discussed in Chapter 7.2, the prey species of marine mammals (fish) can also be affected by high levels of underwater noise, particularly 'hearing specialists' such as herring. Noise modelling and measurements at a number of wind farm projects has suggested that displacement of noise sensitive fish species is likely to occur over smaller distances than analogous effects on marine mammals (Thomsen <i>et al</i> 2006), suggesting that prey species in the vicinity of displaced marine mammals will be less affected by underwater noise. However, longer term impacts associated with spawning etc will be discussed as part of the fish ecology chapter of the ES and cross-referenced appropriately.	Scoped in
Impacts of construction noise on basking shark and turtles	Noise impacts on basking shark and turtle are poorly understood. It is not thought that they rely significantly on sound for prey detection, communication or navigation. However, the ES will consider the potential for impacts.	Scoped in
Risk of collision with vessels	There is the risk that vessels associated with construction activities, and particularly faster moving crew transfer vessels, may collide with marine mammals, basking shark or turtles. Such impacts, if assessed to be likely, can be mitigated through appropriate safeguards in environmental management plans associated with the construction activities.	Scoped in

	The incidence of 'cork screw' injuries on seals has been linked by some parties to ducted propeller systems on vessels (SMRU 2010). However, there is currently no conclusive scientific evidence on this matter. As part of the ongoing consultation process, Celtic Array will discuss this issue with MMO, CCW, NE and JNCC to ensure that, if necessary, it is appropriately addressed in the ES.	
Potential impacts	during operation	
Effects of turbine on physical processes – basking shark and tidal fronts	Analysis of the distributional and behavioural information on basking shark, together with advice from stakeholder consultation, suggests that consideration may be required in respect of potential impacts on tidal fronts and associated effects on the feeding and migration patterns of individuals.	Scoped out
	A recent study involving the tagging of basking shark confirms an association between sharks and areas of sea associated with the Manx West Coast front and the Western Irish Sea front (Stéphan <i>et al.</i> 2011).	
	Any changes affecting tidal fronts could give rise to alteration in mixing and primary productivity with resulting changes in levels of the plankton on which the sharks depend. Studies associated with offshore wind farms (e.g. Cefas 2005) and project environmental statements have concluded that impacts associated with marine processes (currents and tides) are generally only minor in scale and 'near-field' (i.e. occurring within or close to individual wind farm footprints). The ZAP physical process studies concluded that any effects on the frontal systems would be insignificant and for this reason the issue is scoped out.	
Risk of collision with vessels	There is the risk that vessels associated with operation and maintenance (O&M) activities, and particularly faster moving crew transfer vessels, may collide with marine mammals, basking shark or turtles. Such impacts, if assessed to be likely, can be mitigated through appropriate safeguards in environmental management plans associated with the construction activities.	Scoped in

Effects of operational noise	Studies in the UK in operating wind farms (Nedwell <i>et al.</i> 2006) suggest that operational noise is higher than background noise levels within the wind farm footprint but is not discernible further afield. Studies at Nysted and Horns Rev offshore wind farms and monitoring at other projects suggest that marine mammals are not inhibited from entering a wind farm footprint, either by reason of operational noise or otherwise. In respect of seals, studies did not indicate a difference in the use of the wind farm area when compared to surrounding areas at Horns Rev (Teilmann <i>et al.</i> 2006). Similarly at Horns Rev, no effects were observed for harbour porpoise during normal operation, although at Nysted the picture is more complicated with porpoise abundance at a lower level after two years than before construction, possibly as a result of the strong negative reactions to construction (Teilmann <i>et al.</i> 2006). For these reasons, it is proposed that the impact of operational noise is scoped out of the ES for RWFL.	Scoped out		
Effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF);	As discussed in Chapter 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology), EMF may affect certain sensitive species. The sensitivity of basking shark to EMF is not well understood but will be considered in the ES.	Scoped in		
Potential impacts	during decommissioning			
Potential effects a to those described impacts are likely activities.	Scoped in			
Potential cumulative impacts				
Potential cumulative impactsCumulative impacts may arise with all of the projects discussed in Chapter 5.Scoped inThese may arise in respect of other wind farm developments where there is the potential for cumulative underwater noise impacts to affect marine mammals, basking shark and turtles. The most likely significant impact will, if driven piles are utilised, relate to potential behavioural responses in marine mammals. Such effects could arise as a result of two or more projects undertaking piling simultaneously (spatial cumulative impacts) or piling on different projects taking place over consecutive spawning periods (temporal cumulative				

As discussed above and in Chapter 7.2, the potential impacts resulting from EMF are currently poorly understood with studies having been largely inconclusive. The intra-array and export cables associated with other wind farms may, subject to the findings of ongoing monitoring studies, have the potential to give rise to cumulative impacts on basking shark.	
Other relevant activities may include increases in vessel traffic, and increased collision risk with marine mammals, basking shark and turtles, associated with activities in the Irish Sea and Liverpool Bay area including crew transfer vessels from wind farms and oil and gas facilities.	

Proposed project level surveys and studies

7.107 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary. In particular, it is currently proposed that, following consultation with the MMO, CCW, NE, the Manx Wildlife Trust and JNCC on technical scopes, a number of surveys or studies will be commissioned as described below.

Project specific aerial surveys

7.108 It is intended that further distribution and abundance data on marine mammals will be recorded as part of the high-definition camera aerial surveys proposed for the Site (see Chapter 7-4 Ornithology). Aerial surveys have achieved a good detection rate for marine mammals (Scheidat *et al.* 2012) and have been used to collect data to inform designation of protected areas (e.g. ASCOBANS 2012).

Noise modelling

- 7.109 The potential for impacts from noise will be addressed through modelling the noise propagation associated with the construction of the project 'Engineering envelope' described in Chapter 5 above. The scope of this modelling will be agreed with relevant stakeholders but is likely to include calculation of thresholds for injury, PTS, TTS and behavioural responses for harbour porpoise and grey seal. The appropriate metrics to be applied when establishing thresholds will be agreed with relevant statutory consultees.
- 7.110 Celtic Array intends to measure underwater noise levels generated by the installation of a meteorological mast in the ISZ in spring 2013. The measured noise levels will provide data to assess noise attenuation thereby informing predictions of potential noise impacts.

Further studies and surveys

- 7.111 As concluded by the ZAP Report following the outcome of the noise modelling further data on how marine mammals, basking shark (and turtles) use the Site may be required. Such matters would need to be discussed in more detail with consultees. This may be particularly relevant to HRA issues where assessment of effects on designated sites and their features is required.
- 7.112 As discussed above, marine mammal surveys of the export cable corridor have not taken place. Given that (from experience of other wind farm projects) other than in respect of EMF impacts on basking shark, any effects are likely to be temporary and unlikely to give rise to a significant effect on marine mammals, turtles and basking
shark, it is proposed that assessment of such impacts in the ES will be based on currently available distribution data and, therefore, that further surveys will not be required.

- 7.113 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information. This description will include analysis of the survey data described above;
 - A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of fish ecology surveys and studies incorporating any identified issues regarding underwater noise impacts on the fish prey of marine mammals. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included;
 - A review and summary of physical processes surveys and studies incorporating any identified issues which may adversely affect basking shark distribution. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.
- 7.114 The following guidance documents will be used to inform the impact assessment for marine mammals:
 - Guidance on the Assessment of Effects on the Environment and Cultural Heritage from Marine Renewable Developments. Produced by: MMO, JNCC, NE, CCW and the Cefas (In draft, 2011);
 - Approaches to Marine Mammal Monitoring at Marine Renewable Energy Developments Final Report. Report by The Sea Mammal Research Unit on behalf of TCE. August 2010;
 - The Protection of Marine European Protected Species (EPS) From Injury and Disturbance: Guidance for the Marine Area in England and Wales and the UK Offshore Marine Area, draft (JNCC *et al.* 2010);
 - Guidelines for Data Acquisition to Support Marine Environmental Assessments of Offshore Renewable Energy Projects. Draft for Consultation. (Cefas 2011); and
 - Statutory Nature Conservation Agency Protocol for Minimising the Risk of Injury to Marine Mammals from Piling Noise (JNCC 2010).

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

- 7.115 Information on the density and use of the Site and the ISZ by marine mammals and other large megafauna was collected through an extensive monthly boat-based survey programme over a period of two years. The surveys comprised visual and acoustic survey techniques and were supplemented with available desk-based data.
- 7.116 Published data identified a total of 20 cetacean and two pinniped species in the Irish Sea although only five species of cetacean, one pinniped and a single basking shark individual was sampled as part of the dedicated ISZ surveys. Harbour porpoise was by far the most numerically dominant cetacean species and grey seal the most

numerically dominant pinniped. The results of the ZAP surveys therefore, provide a clear focus for the RWFL EIA. Due to the conservation importance and protection afforded to these species, including the basking shark, surveys are proposed to continue to inform the EIA. However, the existing data provides a sound baseline for comparison and will allow for better consideration and identification of temporal and spatial trends.

European protected species

- 7.117 Under Article 12 of the EU Habitats Directive, Member States are required to take the requisite measures to establish a system of strict protection for EPS in their natural range prohibiting (a) all forms of deliberate capture or killing of specimens of these species in the wild, (b) deliberate disturbance of these species, particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration and (c) deterioration or destruction of breeding sites or resting places.
- 7.118 EPS are species which are listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive, and include all cetaceans (such as harbour porpoise).
- 7.119 The JNCC, NE and CCW have produced draft guidance (JNCC *et al.* 2010) concerning the protection of marine EPS from injury and disturbance, which provide an interpretation of requirements under the Habitats Directive and associated UK regulations, particularly in respect of the potential effects of underwater noise.
- 7.120 The guidance proposes that:
 - "a permanent shift in the hearing thresholds (PTS) of an EPS would constitute an injury offence. The Southall et al. (2007) precautionary criteria for injury are based on quantitative sound level and exposure thresholds over which PTS-onset could occur. If it is likely that an EPS could become exposed to sound at or above the levels proposed by Southall et al. (2007) then there is a risk that an injury offence could occur. The risk of an injury offence will be higher in areas where EPS occur frequently and/or in high densities."; and
 - "The disturbance offence catches disturbance which is significant in that it is likely to be detrimental to the animals of an EPS or significantly affect their local abundance or distribution. Such disturbance could therefore be likely to increase the risk of a negative impact to a population of an EPS at Favourable Conservation Status (FCS) in their natural range. Sporadic disturbances without any likely negative impact on the species, i.e. trivial disturbances such as that resulting in short term behavioural reactions, are not likely to result in an offence being committed...The risk of a disturbance offence being committed will therefore exist if there is sustained noise in an area and/or chronic noise exposure, as a result of an activity. The risk is likely to be higher in regions where there are semi-resident populations or where animals of a species occur frequently and in high densities."
- 7.121 The marine EPS guidance (JNCC *et al.* 2010) states: *"for most populations of marine EPS in UK waters, the removal of tens, hundreds, and even thousands of animals for the most abundant species (e.g. harbour porpoise), would not result in detriment to the population at FCS".*
- 7.122 Potential implications for EPS licensing (primarily in respect of harbour porpoise) will be discussed in the Environmental Statement.

Habitats regulations assessment

- 7.123 The ZAP Report concluded that HRA may be required in respect of grey seals associated with a number of SACs, most notably from the Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau SAC. Individuals from the Site are likely to be found within the Site. The modelling carried out for the ZAP Report suggested that individuals in foraging areas may be affected by piling noise although the number of individuals affected and the biological significance of such impacts cannot be assessed at this time. Further study may be required in this respect and HRA screening will assist with this process. This is discussed further in Chapter 7.5, Nature Conservation Designations.
- 7.124 It is not anticipated that HRA will be required in respect of the Harbour porpoise. Although individuals that frequent the locality of SACs in Cardigan Bay and Pembrokeshire may visit the Site, the species is categorised by the JNCC in respect of those SACs as "*non-qualifying features (non-significant presence)*". Potential impacts on the porpoise population of the wider Irish Sea area will be considered as part of the EIA process, following consultation with CCW and JNCC.
- 7.125 Given the small number of bottlenose dolphin recorded during visual surveys and acoustic dolphin detections, it is not anticipated that HRA will be required in respect of this species status as qualifying features of the Cardigan Bay and Pen Llŷn a'r Sarnau SACs (Table 7.6). Bottlenose dolphins have a largely coastal distribution (Reid *et al.* SCANS II) suggest that offshore areas such as the Site are not of particular importance for the species. It is proposed that this issue be further discussed with CCW as part of the scoping process.

7-4 Biological environment – ornithology

Introduction

7.126 This chapter characterises the ornithology of the Site and surrounding area, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on birds and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform the RWFL EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 7.127 As part of the ZAP Report, issues associated with the features of nature conservation sites listed below were considered. The main designations considered were Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs), Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), or, in Northern Ireland, Areas of Special Scientific Interest (ASSIs) and Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs).
 - Benthic ecology SACs, SSSIs, MCZs;
 - Fish ecology SACs;
 - Ornithology SPAs, SSSIs/ASSIs; and
 - Marine mammals SACs.
- 7.128 Potentially significant impacts on features afforded protection by these designations are considered in the relevant chapters, namely those relating to benthic ecology (Chapter 7.1), fish and shellfish ecology (Chapter 7.2), marine mammals (Chapter 7.3) and birds (this chapter).

7.129 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 4, Celtic Array commissioned an ornithological study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation of the main bird species in the ISZ based around the collection of survey data and consultation.

Boat based survey programme

- 7.130 The primary data source used to inform this report and the ZAP Report is the boat based survey programme commissioned by Celtic Array to characterise the ornithology of the ISZ.
- 7.131 These surveys commenced in March 2010 and finished in April 2012, with a survey frequency of broadly one survey per month. Additional surveys were undertaken in key periods in the summer months.
- 7.132 The survey methodology, which was agreed with the statutory advisors the JNCC, CCW and NE in April 2011, was based on COWRIE recommendations (Camphuysen *et al.* 2004). As shown in Figure 7.7, the sampling design for the ISZ incorporated seventeen line transects orientated from north east to south west, with a line spacing of 3.7km (i.e. within the 2nm recommended by COWRIE).
- 7.133 Six out of 28 surveys (25%) were not fully completed due to poor weather conditions. Other than one instance in May 2011, such conditions were encountered in the late autumn and winter. This means that the focus of the ZAP Report on summer visiting Manx shearwater has not been adversely affected.



Figure 7.7 Ornithological transect route across the ISZ and Site

Additional survey data and sources of information

- 7.134 In addition to the boat-based, surveys the following sources of information have also been considered in this report:
 - Aerial surveys undertaken by WWT Consulting (2009) commissioned by DECC. Five surveys of blocks covering the ISZ area were undertaken from November 2007 to July 2008 covering mid-winter (pre New Year), mid-winter (post New Year), late winter, breeding-incubation and breeding-chick rearing periods;
 - A further five surveys were commissioned by TCE in 2009 in relation to the development of the ISZ;
 - An Atlas of Seabird Distribution in north west European Waters (Stone *et al.* 1995);
 - Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland: Results of the Seabird 2000 Census 1998-2002. (Mitchell *et al.* 2004);
 - An Atlas of Breeding and Wintering Birds on the Isle of Man (Sharpe *et al.* 2007);
 - The Birds of Lancashire and North Merseyside (White et al. 2008);
 - Seabird Monitoring Programme (SMP) Online Database (<u>http://www.jncc.gov.uk/smp/</u>);
 - Information on SPAs from JNCC for UK (including Northern Ireland) (<u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk</u>) and from the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) for Ireland (<u>http://www.npws</u>);
 - Information on SSSIs from NE for England (<u>http://naturalengland.org.uk</u>), CCW for Wales (<u>http://ccw.gov.uk</u>), Northern Ireland Environment Agency in Northern Ireland (<u>http://www.ni-environment.gov.uk</u>) and Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) in Scotland (<u>http://snh.gov.uk</u>);
 - Information on ASSIs in Ireland from the NPWS;
 - Information on seabird foraging range undertaken by Thaxter et al. (2012);
 - The tracking studies of Manx Shearwater breeding at Skomer, Pembrokeshire by Guilford *et al.* (2008); and
 - Votier *et al.* (2010, 2011) on the foraging movements of immature and adult Gannets associated with Grassholm.

Stakeholder consultation

7.135 As part of the ZAP Report consultation has taken place with CCW, JNCC, NE, RSPB, NIEA, Cefas, Isle of Man DEFA and MMO. Consultation with these parties, as well as other stakeholders such as the Manx Wildlife Trust, will continue as the EIA progresses.

Description of the current environment

Introduction

7.136 The Irish Sea and bordering coastlines of England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, Isle of Man and the Republic of Ireland are known to be nationally and internationally important for a variety of breeding and wintering seabirds, as well as for migrant and wintering wildfowl and wading birds associated with a number of large estuaries and

embayments (e.g. River Ribble and Morecambe Bay, Rivers Mersey and Dee and Liverpool Bay). As a consequence of large numbers of birds, there are numerous localities around the Irish Sea basin that are designated as SPAs of international importance and SSSIs or ASSIs of national importance in the UK and Ireland respectively for their ornithological interest (Figure 7.8). In addition, Liverpool Bay is one of the few offshore SPAs in the UK, the designation of which was partly informed by extensive seabird surveys associated with the Round 2 offshore wind farm developments.

Breeding populations of seabirds

- 7.137 A number of colonies of breeding seabirds border the Irish Sea. These include colonies on the coasts of North Wales, Cumbria, Lancashire, the Isle of Man and the eastern coast of Ireland (incorporating County Wexford, Wicklow, Dublin, Louth and Down). Colonies in closest proximity to the Site include those on the Isle of Man which support breeding northern fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*, Manx shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*, common guillemot *Uria aalge*, razorbill *Alca torda* and black-legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* amongst five other species of gulls, with herring gull *Larus argentatus* and great black-backed gull *Larus marinus* the most numerous (Sharpe *et al.* 2007).
- 7.138 Seabird breeding colonies along the North Wales, East of Ireland and North West England coasts are also likely to be easily within reach of the Site for certain species due to the long distance foraging trips undertaken by many seabirds. Manx shearwater, northern gannet *Morus bassanus* and fulmar in particular are known to forage over distances of several hundred kilometres. For example, a review of seabird foraging ranges suggests that the mean maximum foraging range for Manx shearwater is 330km (Thaxter *et al.* 2012). Tracking studies at the University of Oxford (Guildford *et al.* 2008) have shown that Manx shearwaters from the super colony of the islands of Skomer (101,800 pairs⁶), Skokholm (46,200 pairs) and Middleholm (3,000 pairs) off the western tip of South Wales (over 200km from the Site), are known to forage within or pass through the Irish Sea. The colonies constituting the largest breeding aggregation of this species in the world (Mitchell *et al.* 2004) are collectively embraced within the Skokholm and Skomer SPA.
- 7.139 The potential for birds from this SPA to use the Site highlights the consideration of Manx shearwater as the focus of the ornithological elements of the ZAP Report (Celtic Array 2012).

⁶ Numbers of breeding shearwaters are measured in terms of apparently occupied sites or AOS, which equates to pairs.



Overwintering and passage seabirds

- 7.140 Seabirds breeding outside of foraging range from the Site may also traverse the area to and from breeding colonies and wintering grounds, or even spend some time within the area of the Site outside of the breeding season. This may include species such as gannet, kittiwake and auks (mostly guillemot and razorbill) that breed in large numbers to the north and north west of the Site along the Scottish west coast and associated islands and in Northern Ireland. For example Rathlin Island in Northern Ireland is one of the most important sites for common guillemot and razorbill in the UK with 63,728 and 13,976 pairs respectively (Mitchell *et al.* 2004). The Site also supports the largest colony of kittiwake in the whole of Ireland (9,917 pairs). In relation to gannet, Ailsa Craig in south Ayrshire was recorded as the third largest colony in the UK and Ireland with 35,825 pairs in the Seabird 2000 surveys (Mitchell *et al.* 2004).
- 7.141 The Irish Sea also contains one of the few marine SPAs in the UK, the Liverpool Bay SPA which stretches from the coast of Anglesey in North Wales to the Lancashire coast in NW England. The 1,702km² area supports 5.4% of the UK overwintering population of red-throated diver, *Gavia stellata*, and 3.4% of the European population of overwintering common scoter (Webb *et al.* 2006, Natural England and Countryside Council for Wales 2009). However, the Site (and the whole of the ISZ) lies outside the SPA and lies in deeper water (over 25m) than is suitable for divers and scoters. It was therefore expected that these species would not be a feature of surveys.

Migratory wetland and terrestrial birds

- 7.142 A whole suite of passerines, waders and wildfowl may potentially cross the Irish Sea during the autumn and spring migration. Some birds will traverse the Irish Sea on their annual migration route. For example, barn swallows *Hirundo rustica* breeding in Northern Ireland are known to pass through the Irish Sea on their way to their wintering grounds in South Africa (Wernham *et al.* 2002).
- 7.143 The saltmarshes and intertidal sand and mud flats of Morecambe Bay and Ribble Estuary SPAs in Merseyside and Lancashire support internationally important concentrations of waders and wildfowl (White *et al.* 2008). The habitat provides vital overwintering or stopover feeding grounds for thousands of waders such as oystercatcher *Haematopus ostralegus*, dunlin *Calidris alpina*, sanderling *Calidris alba*, knot *Calidris canutus*, curlew *Numenius arquata*, redshank *Tringa totanus*; and wildfowl such as pink-footed goose *Anser brachyrhynchus*, shelduck *Tadorna tadorna* and wigeon *Anas penelope*. Whooper *Cygnus cygnus* and Bewick's swan *C. columbianus bewickii* are species for which the nearby Martin Mere SPA is designated.
- 7.144 Many of these birds may cross the Irish Sea once or twice per year on annual migration, although more frequent exchange of waterfowl and waders between the east and west coast of the Irish Sea may also occur, perhaps in response to short-term environmental conditions. Birds may migrate over a broad front (Wernham *et al.* 2002), but may also tend to take a more typical narrow flight path, perhaps structured by landforms. In either case, the principal routes may be rather direct from their source. Tracking studies on whooper swans to and from Martin Mere and Iceland by the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust (WWT) showed that swans typically and successfully crossed one or more offshore and/or onshore wind farm sites in the north west of the UK (Figure 7.8), but that this did not include the Site which lies to the west of the typical routes (Griffin *et al.* 2011).



Survey results

7.145 A total of 77,265 individual birds from 71 species and 14 unidentified taxa were recorded in the 21 boat-based surveys between March 2010 and August 2011. The species assemblage was primarily composed of a range of seabirds such as petrels, shearwaters, gannet, skuas, gulls, terns and auks. Additionally, a few individuals of species that spend part of their life cycle at sea (e.g. divers and seaduck) were recorded alongside a variety of migrant species such as waders, waterfowl, raptors and passerines that were encountered in spring and autumn passage (Figure 7.9). The ZAP Report (Celtic Array 2012) describes how the population estimates depicted in Figure 7.9 were calculated. The dominance of seabirds is in keeping with the location of the ISZ, extending to a considerable distance from shore (42km) from relatively close to shore (16km from the coast of North Wales).



Figure 7.9 Seasonal variation of bird species group population size in the ISZ from data collected in the first 21 boat based surveys (March 2010 to August 2011)

- 7.146 Manx shearwater dominated the assemblage present with 44.8% of all the ISZ records. The auk species guillemot and razorbill were the second and third most common encountered species with 16.5% and 8.2% respectively. Together, these three species comprised 68.7% of all records clearly illustrating the dominance of the assemblage by just a few species of seabirds. Other taxa supplying approximately 6% of records were kittiwake (6.1%) and gannet (5.9%), followed by fulmar (4.5%). Puffin and herring gull accounted for approximately 2% of all records, with 2.1% and 1.6% respectively. Lesser black-backed gull *Larus fuscus* and great black-backed gull both accounted for 0.9% of all records, of which many of these birds will have been over wintering in the Irish Sea.
- 7.147 The ISZ exhibits seasonal variation in the abundance of particular bird species or groups, with many of the more numerous species present in higher numbers throughout the spring and summer months according to the occupancy of breeding colonies (Figure 7.9). For example, the summer-visiting Manx shearwater was present

from March through to September, alongside other important groups such as the auks, comprised of guillemot, razorbill and puffin. Around 30,000 to 40,000 birds were estimated to be present in the ISZ during the summer months equating to a density of around 20 birds km⁻². At peak however, just after the breeding season and before dispersal, up to around 100,000 birds were estimated at a density of 50 birds km⁻². During autumn and winter, many birds disperse widely; for example, puffins spend the winter months hundreds of miles offshore in the Atlantic but with different individuals exhibiting different patterns (Guilford *et al.* 2011).

7.148 Much lower numbers of birds were present during the winter months with around 10,000 birds at a density of ~5 birds km⁻². At this time, auks were generally the most numerous group, although the contribution of large gulls including herring gull, lesser black-backed gull and great black-backed gull increased as their numbers reached a peak. Common gull *Larus canus* was solely recorded in the winter months, between November and February.

Potentially sensitive species

7.149 The ES will consider all relevant species recorded during surveys. It should be noted that the ZAP Report highlights 11 bird species that may occur in important numbers within the ISZ (see Table 7.8 below). Comparison with known populations suggests that Manx shearwater and great black-backed gull occur within the ISZ in what appear to be internationally important numbers, with razorbill occurring in what appears to be nationally important numbers (highlighted in red and amber respectively in Table 7.8). It should be noted that these numbers relate to the ISZ, rather than the Site, and other species may be regionally important; however, some combination of these species is likely to constitute the sensitive receptors to be considered in the RWFL ES, although not all may occur in important numbers within the Site.

Table 7.8 Numbers seen, pattern of occurrence and estimated density and population sizes of important bird species recorded in the ISZ

Species	Number seen	Peak numbers present	Maximum density (ind. km ⁻²)	Maximum population size	1% criterion international population ¹	1% criterion of national population ^{2,3}
Manx shearwater	33,904	May – August	34.38	74,672	7,400	5,902 ²
Guillemot	12,781	March – October	4.89	10,619	47,000	13,224 ²
Razorbill	6,363	March – August	3.89	8,443	12,000	1,645 ²
Kittiwake	4,693	All year	0.98	2,137	51,000	7,337 ²
Gannet	4,538	April – October	0.97	2,104	6,100	4,371 ²
Fulmar	3,460	All year	2.33	5,065	72,000	9,975 ²
Puffin	1,634	April – August	1.96	4,260	130,000	11,584 ²

Species	Number seen	Peak numbers present	Maximum density (ind. km ⁻²)	Maximum population size	1% criterion international population ¹	1% criterion of national population ^{2,3}
Herring gull	893	December – February	0.69	1,494	8,000	7,300 ³
Lesser black- backed gull	747	March – August	0.48	1,043	6,500	2,202 ²
Great black- backed gull	674	January – February	0.92	1,997	1,500	760 ³
Arctic tern	425	May – August	0.23	492	14,000	1,052 ²

¹ Birdlife International 2004 ² Baker *et al.* 2006 ³ Musgrove *et al.* 2011



Population appears to be in internationally important

Population appears to be in nationally important numbers

Manx shearwater

- 7.150 Manx shearwaters were present in internationally important numbers in the Site as well as the ISZ as a whole. Many shearwater population estimates were highest in the Site compared with other the Potential Development Areas discussed in the ZAP Report. A relatively high proportion of feeding/foraging birds (>30%) was strongly indicative of the Site being an important foraging area, presumably as it attracts fish, although it is not yet clear which species these may be.
- 7.151 Begg and Reid (1997) have previously shown that Manx shearwater (among other species) was associated with the Western Irish Sea Front. The transition from stratified cooler, deeper, waters to shallower and warmer mixed waters may prove to be especially important in determining the distribution of the species.
- 7.152 The consistent presence of Manx shearwater in the Site and ISZ in large numbers throughout the breeding season suggests that these originate from nearby colonies. However, the closest colony on the Calf of Man is very small and many birds must come from further afield. Manx shearwater has one of the longest foraging ranges of UK breeding seabirds, with a mean maximum foraging range of 330km (Thaxter et al. 2012). The Site is therefore well within reach of the super-colony of Skomer, Skokholm and Middleholm (within the Skokholm and Skomer SPA) as shown in Figure 7.10.



- 7.153 Other colonies within reach of the Site include Bardsey Island, Ramsey Island and Offshore Islets of Pembrokeshire in Wales; Lighthouse Island and Big Copeland in the Copeland Islands in Northern Ireland; the islands of Lambay, Great Saltee and Little Saltee in the Republic of Ireland; the Sanda Islands in Scotland; and Lundy (1,081 pairs by 2008 from 297 pairs in 2001 after rat eradication Brown *et al.* 2011) in the Bristol Channel off the coast of Devon, England.
- 7.154 Although birds recorded in the Site could originate from any of these colonies supporting a total of 352,728 individuals (176,364 pairs) given the relative size of the different colonies and the number of birds involved in observations, it would appear the majority of birds seen in the Irish Sea originate from the super-colony of Skokholm and Skomer SPA, perhaps supplemented by numbers of birds from Bardsey Island (within the Aberdaron Coast and Bardsey Island/Glannau Aberdaron and Ynys Enlli SPA) and Aberdaron SPA and the Copeland Islands SPA. Evidence that birds from Skomer reach the ISZ was provided by Guildford *et al.* (2008) who discovered that tagged Manx shearwaters from Skomer were utilising foraging grounds as far north as the Mull of Galloway, traversing the length of the Irish Sea to do so.

Great black-backed gull

- 7.155 Population estimates indicated that great black-backed gull occurred in internationally important numbers in the ISZ in the winter months, although this was just on one occasion in late winter in January 2011. The recorded density generating this peak was 0.92 individuals km⁻², which is higher than the maximum value of 0.34 individuals km⁻² recorded by Stone *et al.* (1995) for the Irish Sea. Other densities in the rest of the winter were, however, similar and the presence of fishing boats from which this species regularly scavenges (Mitchell *et al.* 2004) may be an important factor. Apart from this peak, great black-backed gull did not otherwise occur in even nationally important numbers.
- 7.156 Additionally, great black-backed gulls were observed throughout the breeding season, with birds potentially originating from breeding colonies in relative close proximity to the ISZ, most notably on the Isle of Man (405 pairs Sharpe *et al.* 2007) and Gwynedd on the coast of North Wales (101 pairs Mitchell *et al.* 2004).

Razorbills

- 7.157 There are at least 20 breeding colonies situated on the Welsh, Irish, English and Isle of Man coasts, consisting of over 17,000 breeding pairs (Mitchell *et al.* 2004). Around 1,021 pairs breed on the Isle of Man alone (Mitchell *et al.* 2004). The occurrence of razorbill in nationally important numbers in the ISZ was therefore not unexpected.
- 7.158 Higher numbers were consistently present early in the breeding season (April and May) as colonies were occupied and eggs laid. Thereafter, numbers decreased, presumably as adults provisioned chicks from waters closer to the colonies. This is a typical pattern for many seabirds (see Ojowski *et al.* 2001). Razorbill is a relatively short ranging species with a mean maximum foraging range of 58km (Thaxter *et al.* 2012) and thus in the latter season, only birds from the Isle of Man and North Wales would be expected to reach the Site.

Identification of key issues

- 7.159 The NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) issued by DECC (2011), lists five possible impacts of offshore wind farms upon birds, which are considered below in further detail below: collisions with rotating blades, direct habitat loss, disturbance from construction activities, displacement during the operational phase and impacts on bird flight lines (i.e. barrier effects).
- 7.160 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effect	s during construction				
Disturbance	The presence of construction vessels and associated activities, including the creation of noise, may disturb and displace birds using the Site for feeding, resting and passage. Such effects may occur for the duration of installation activities with most species likely to return thereafter (NERI 2004). Sensitivities of individual species to disturbance will vary, with species being most sensitive to the presence of vessels more likely to be displaced (Garthe and Hüppop 2004, NERI 2004).	Scoped in			
Indirect effects - prey species	As discussed in Chapter 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology) noise associated with construction activities (most notably pile driving) may cause temporary, localised displacement of prey species, such as fish.	Scoped in			
Potential impacts during operation					
Disturbance and displacement	Certain species may be disturbed by operational wind farms, either by operation and maintenance vessels or by the presence of turbines themselves. This disturbance may give rise to displacement from an area of former use.	Scoped in			
	Displacement will affect different species in different ways, and its biological consequences will largely be dependent upon the availability of suitable alternative feeding habitat in the wider area to which species are displaced. Species with specific habitat requirements may be more vulnerable to the effects of displacement than habitat generalists such as gulls, auks, skuas, and fulmar (Garthe and Hüppop 2004, Maclean <i>et al.</i> 2009).				
Collision	Different species vary in their behaviour around wind turbines, thereby affecting their susceptibility to collision. Many of the species recorded in the ISZ surveys and discussed in the ZAP Report were observed flying below blade height and are therefore	Scoped in			

	considered to be at low risk of fatality through collision.	
	Manx shearwater, gannet, kittiwake, lesser black- backed gull, herring gull and great black-backed gull were evaluated in the ZAP Report in relation to collision. For most species the zone level assessment concluded there was negligible risk of an impact at the national population scale apart from the national wintering population of great black- backed gull.	
Barrier effect	Birds may change their flight path to avoid flight through an operating wind farm. In such cases the wind farm may act as a barrier to movement, either to migrants or to individuals' diurnal movements, for examples between colonies and foraging areas.	Scoped in
	This can result in increased energetic costs of daily movements and migration (DECC 2009). Any impact arising from any barrier effect will be both species and movement specific. Large bulky species with high wing loadings, which have to repeatedly avoid the wind farm, will be the most affected.	
	In relation to the latter, research has shown that the energetic costs of minor deviations of even a few kilometres as a result of barrier effects of offshore wind farms were inconsequential compared to the overall distance travelled for migrating waterfowl (Masden <i>et al.</i> 2009). Moreover, while there is potential for barrier effects to be important for birds regularly commuting from colonies for example, the costs of any deviation were anticipated to be lower than those imposed by low food abundance or adverse weather (Masden <i>et al.</i> 2010). Overall, there is a general sense that barrier effects are less important than initially thought.	
Changes in habitat or prey supply	As discussed in Chapters 7.1 (benthic ecology) and 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology), the presence of turbines may give rise to changes in habitat or local marine ecology.	Scoped in
	There is increasing recognition of the possibility of indirect effects upon habitat and prey resources such as fish following construction and during operation, which subsequently impact upon individual birds and thence perhaps to a population scale (Perrow <i>et al.</i> 2011). While indirect effects may have a negative impact, positive impacts may also accrue through the reef effect (Linley <i>et al.</i> 2007), whereby turbine bases are colonised by flora and fauna that form a resource for fish and thereby birds.	

	Centrica energy ene	DNG rgy		
	Certain species, such as gulls, which are not prone to displacement, may feed within the Site preferentially, such as recorded during monitoring studies of the operational Horns Rev offshore wind farm (NERI 2005).			
Potential impa	cts during decommissioning			
Potential effect to those descril impacts are like activities.	s arising from decommissioning are likely to be similar bed above in respect of construction, although noise ely to be lower given the absence of pile driving	Scoped in		
Potential cumu	lative impacts			
As discussed I COWRIE guida et al. 2009) has	Scoped in			
The potential impacts described above may arise cumulatively with the wind farm projects listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). Table 7.9 provides a summary of ornithological issues considered in the environmental statements available for current UK and Irish projects.				

- 7.161 Potential cumulative impacts could be caused by other wind farms in the region. Table 7.9 lists other wind farm projects in the Irish Sea that could potentially have cumulative impacts and key species that may be affected by each wind farm. This list of bird species was compared to the species identified in the ISZ as part of the ZAP surveys, to determine if there is the potential for cumulative impact.
- 7.162 The analysis of species that may be affected by potential cumulative impacts was collated into a table adapted from those provided in the COWRIE guidance (King *et al.* 2009). Table 7.10 lists the species that were sighted ten or more times during the ZAP surveys and the numbers seen. Those species that may be affected by potential cumulative impacts as they are also key species at other wind farms in the area are indicated. Table 7.10 also shows which species benefit from protection from SPAs in the region and lists the SPAs for each species in the region.
- 7.163 This initial analysis will be used to inform the RWFL ES and HRA. Detailed cumulative and in combination assessment will be undertaken as part of the RWFL ES and HRA following the COWRIE guidance (King et al. 2009). Further discussion on the methodology applied to Table 7.10 in respect of SPAs is provided at Chapter 7.5 (Nature conservation designations).

Table 7.9 Details of key bird species at other wind farm projects in the Irish Sea area

Wind farm project	Location	Region	Bird monitoring activities	Important bird species
Robin Rigg	9.5km off Maryport/ 8.5km off Rock Cliffe	North West England	Twice monthly boat-based surveys (pre- construction) and aerial surveys.	Red-throated diver (plus 'divers'), Manx shearwater, storm petrel, gannet, cormorant, scaup, common scoter, kittiwake, guillemot and razorbill (plus 'auks').
Barrow	7km off Walney Island	North West England	Pre-construction surveys: ferry based surveys, two aerial surveys and one site specific boat-based surveys, during and post-construction boat-based, aerial and land-based surveys.	Gannet, auks, Manx shearwater, lesser black- backed gull, common scoter, red-throated diver, whooper swan and pink-footed goose.
Burbo Bank	5.2km off Crosby	North West England	Ornithological surveys were conducted pre-construction. Land, boat and aerial surveys.	Common scoter, red-throated diver, common tern, cormorant, red-breasted merganser, guillemot, razorbill and little gull.
North Hoyle	7.5km off Prestatyn and Rhyl	North Wales	Monthly boat-based surveys (Pre- construction). Aerial surveys used to assess site usage by common scoter and red-throated diver.	Common scoter, red-throated diver with suggestions that other bird species may also use the Site.
Rhyl Flats	8km off Abergele	North Wales	Monthly boat-based surveys pre- construction, during construction and operation. Use of radar in March 2006. Aerial surveys.	Red-throated diver, fulmar, cormorant, shag, common scoter, kittiwake, common tern, sandwich tern, little tern, guillemot and razorbill.
Ormonde	Off Walney Island	North West England	Ornithological surveys were conducted pre-construction. Land, boat and aerial surveys.	No specific detail in the non-tech EIA, apart from pink-footed goose and general mention of gulls and migratory wildfowl.

Wind farm project	Location	Region	Bird monitoring activities	Important bird species
Walney Phase 1 & 2	14 -15km off Walney Island	North West England	Ornithological surveys were conducted pre-construction. Land, boat, radar and aerial surveys.	Common scoter, herring gull, lesser black- backed gull, manx shearwater, pink-footed goose, red-throated diver, sandwich tern and whooper swan.
West of Duddon Sands	North Irish Sea	North West England	Ornithological surveys were conducted pre-construction. Land, boat, radar and aerial surveys.	Herring gull, lesser black-backed gull, guillemot, Manx shearwater, gannet, pink-footed goose and whooper swan.
Gwynt y Môr	13km off North Wales coast	North Wales	Boat-based surveys; aerial surveys. One boat-based radar survey in Feb 2005 for dawn and dusk movements of common scoter.	Red-throated diver, Manx shearwater, fulmar, gannet, cormorant, shag, common scoter, kittiwake, 'other gulls', sandwich tern, common tern, guillemot, razorbill. Note common scoter and red-throated diver.
Arklow Bank	10km off Wicklow coast, Ireland	East Ireland	Boat-based surveys twice per month July- September, once per month from October.	Red-throated diver, fulmar, Manx shearwater, gannet, shag, little gull, kittiwake, common tern, Arctic tern, guillemot and razorbill.
Codling Wind Park	13km off Wicklow coast, Ireland	East Ireland	Monthly boat-based surveys from April 2001 - ongoing aerial surveys.	Manx shearwater, guillemot, razorbill, shag, gannet, kittiwake.
Oriel Windfarm	5.5km off Cooley Point, Ireland	East Ireland	Boat-based surveys within the Site and a 5km buffer.	Red-throated diver, great northern diver, Manx shearwater, gannet, kittiwake, sandwich, common and roseate terns, guillemot and razorbill. Wildfowl, waders and passerines selected as 'key groups'.

Table 7.10 Identification of potential for cumulative impact for SPA and other species (based on guidelines in King *et al.* 2009)

Species	Number seen	Potential for cumulative impact?	SPA feature?	SPA sites for species within the region with potential cumulative impact
Manx shearwater	33904	Y	Y	Skokholm & Skomer Copeland Islands Glannau Aberdaron and Ynys Enlli/Aberdaron Coast and Bardsey Island
Guillemot - corrected	12781	Y	Y	Rathlin Island Lambay Island Saltee Islands Ireland's Eye
Razorbill - corrected	6363	Y	Y	Saltee Islands Lambay Island Ireland's Eye Skokholm & Skomer Rathlin Island
Kittiwake	4693	Y	Y	Rathlin Island Saltee Islands Lambay Island Ireland's Eye Howth Head Coast Helvick Head to Ballyquin Wicklow Head
Gannet	4538	Y	Y	Ailsa Craig Grassholm Saltee Islands
Fulmar	3460	Y	Y	Lambay Island Saltee Islands
Puffin - corrected	1634	Y	Y	Rathlin Island Lambay Island Saltee Islands Skokholm & Skomer
Herring gull	893	Y	Y	Skerries Island Saltee Islands Lambay Island Ireland's Eye Helvick Head to Ballyquin Mid -Waterford Coast
Lesser black- backed gull	747	Y	Y	Ailsa Craig Bowland Fells Ribble and Alt Estuaries Saltee Islands Lambay Island
Great black- backed gull	674	Y	N	
Arctic tern	425	Y	Y	Ynys Feurig, Cemlyn Bay & the Skerries Outer Ards Strangford Lough Copeland Islands

Species	Number seen	Potential for cumulative impact?	SPA feature?	SPA sites for species within the region with potential cumulative impact
Dunlin	81	Ν	Y	
Common scoter	70	Y	Y	Rinns of Islay Ribble and Alt Estuaries Liverpool Bay / Bae Lerpwl Bae Caerfyrddin/ Carmarthen Bay
Black-tailed godwit	70	N	Y	
Great skua	68	Ν	Ν	
Storm petrel	55	N	Y	
Common tern	51	Y	Y	Ribble and Alt Estuaries Dee Estuary Ynys Feurig, Cemlyn Bay & the Skerries Lough Neagh & Loch Beg Larne Lough Strangford Lough Carlingford Lough
Curlew	40	N	Y	
Common gull	28	Ν	Y	
Black-headed gull	23	N	Y	
Whooper swan	19	Y	Y	Rinns of Islay Upper Solway Flats & Marshes Ribble and Alt Estuaries Martin Mere Lough Neagh & Loch Beg Lough Foyle Black Cart
Whimbrel	18	Ν	Y	
Oystercatcher	16	Ν	Y	
Golden plover	13	N	Y	
Leach's storm petrel	12	N	N	

Species with potential cumulative impact Species is an SPA feature

7.164 This analysis suggests that 14 species may require further consideration in respect of cumulative impact, 13 of them are species found in SPAs in the region.

7.165 Most notably, the wide range of Manx shearwater introduces potential for cumulative impacts with a number of other wind farms. The close proximity of the Atlantic Array (Round 3, zone 8) to the Skokholm and Skomer SPA may mean that potential effects

upon this population from wind farms may be largely shared between the Site, other projects in the ISZ and the Atlantic Array. Many of the environmental statements for Round 1 and Round 2 sites in the Irish Sea have generally not raised Manx Shearwater as a particular issue. The proximity of these sites to the coast outside the offshore pelagic realm of Manx shearwater when away from breeding colonies may be the fundamental reason for this difference. The exception appears to be Walney, with particular consideration of the possible impact upon Manx shearwater within scoping of the Walney Extension (DONG Energy 2010). The likely origin of birds on this site, however, currently remains unknown.

7.166 Additionally a number of other human activities occur within or in close proximity to the Site, which could result in cumulative impacts on birds. These are detailed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology) and include aggregate extraction areas and oil and gas projects.

Proposed project level surveys and studies

7.167 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary. In particular, it is currently proposed that, following consultation with CCW, NE, RSPB, the Manx Wildlife Trust, JNCC and Isle of Man DEFA on technical scopes, the following surveys or studies will be commissioned:

Project specific aerial surveys

- 7.168 It is intended that further distribution and abundance data on birds will be recorded as part of the high-definition camera aerial surveys proposed for the Site. Such surveys would conform to standards approved by JNCC through a series of COWRIE workshops (Thaxter and Burton 2009) and be of a sufficiently high resolution to record Manx shearwater numbers.
- 7.169 Given the temporary nature of export cable installation effects and the absence of any pathway to give rise to a significant impact on birds during export cable operation, it is proposed that the export cable corridor will not be included within the aerial survey programme. Instead, the assessment of impact in the ES will be based on currently available distribution data for the area.

Collision risk modelling

- 7.170 The selection of species for which collision risk modelling will take place will take place in consultation with the main stakeholders.
- 7.171 The level of impact calculated through the modelling of collision risk is highly dependent upon the selection of relevant notional avoidance rates. It is proposed that avoidance rates will also be agreed with key stakeholders.
- 7.172 The following guidance documents will be used to inform the impact assessment for ornithology:
 - Nature conservation guidance on offshore wind farm development: A Guidance Note on the implications of the EC Wild Birds and Habitats Directives for Developers (DEFRA 2005);
 - Developing Guidance on Ornithological Cumulative Impact Assessment for Offshore Wind Farm Developers (King *et al.* 2009);
 - A review of methods to monitor collisions or micro-avoidance of birds with offshore wind turbines. Strategic Ornithological Support Services Project SOSS-03A (Collier *et al.* 2011);

- Using a collision risk model to assess bird collision risks for offshore wind farms. Strategic Ornithological Support Services Project SOSS-02 (Band 2011);
- Report: Developing guidelines on the use of Population Viability Analysis for investigating bird impacts due to offshore wind farms (SOSS 2012);
- 7.173 In addition, ongoing work being carried out by other parties in relation to Manx shearwater will be considered as part of the EIA process and will be discussed with statutory consultees.
- 7.174 As discussed in the introductory chapters of this report, intertidal surveys will be required in respect of the landfall site for the export cables. Such surveys will also include consideration of important coastal habitats for birds, including protected sites, foraging areas etc. The scope of these surveys will be agreed with statutory nature conservation bodies and the RSPB.
- 7.175 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information. This description will include analysis of the survey data described above;
 - A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of fish ecology surveys and studies incorporating any identified issues regarding underwater noise impacts on the fish prey of birds. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included;
 - A review and summary of benthic and fish ecology surveys and studies incorporating any identified issues regarding potential effects habitat change which may positively or adversely affect bird species. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

- 7.176 Information on bird distribution and use of RWFL and the ISZ was collected through an extensive monthly boat-based survey programme over a period of two years. The surveys were supplemented with existing aerial survey data and desk-based data.
- 7.177 The results of these surveys have shown that 12 species occur in regional, national and international important numbers within the ISZ. Of these species, Manx Shearwater was shown to be the most sensitive receptor as a result of its occurrence in internationally important numbers in the ISZ. The results of the ZAP surveys therefore, provide a clear focus for the RWFL EIA. Due to the conservation importance and protection afforded to a number of the species recorded, surveys are proposed to continue to inform the EIA. However, the existing data provides a sound baseline for comparison and will allow for better consideration and identification of temporal and spatial trends to be extracted from the data.

Habitats regulations assessment

7.178 As discussed above, birds likely to have originated from protected areas have been recorded within the Site. Further study may be required in this respect and a HRA screening will assist with this process. HRA is discussed further in sections 2.24 to 2.31. Summaries of relevant sites and species to which HRA screening may apply have been provided in Chapter 7.5 in the format similar to that provided by King *et al.* (2009).

7-5 Biological environment - nature conservation designations

Introduction

- 7.179 This chapter considers sites designated for their nature conservation importance which may be affected by the development of RWFL.
- 7.180 Chapters 2 (legislation and policy) and 5 (EIA methodology) provide outline details of the treatment of these sites within the development consent order process.
- 7.181 It should be noted that this chapter does not constitute screening for the purposes of HRA. A separate screening exercise for HRA will be carried out following consultation with key stakeholders.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 7.182 As part of the ZAP Report (Celtic Array 2012), issues associated with the features of nature conservation sites listed below were considered. The main designations considered were SACs, SPAs, SSSIs and MCZs.
 - Benthic ecology SACs, SSSIs, MCZs;
 - Fish ecology SACs;
 - Ornithology SPAs, SSSIs; and
 - Marine mammals SACs.
- 7.183 Potentially significant impacts on features afforded protection by these designations are considered in the relevant chapters, namely those relating to benthic ecology (Chapter 7.1), fish and shellfish ecology (Chapter 7.2), marine mammals (Chapter 7.3) and birds (Chapter 7.4).

Description of current environment

- 7.184 This chapter considers sites of nature conservation interest in the UK. Potential effects on sites in Ireland are discussed briefly below (see transboundary issues).
- 7.185 A large number of nature conservation sites are located in or around the Irish Sea region. UK sites are shown in Figure 7.11.





Figure 7.11 Nature conservation sites in the vicinity of the project

Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)

7.186 There are a number of SACs in the vicinity of the Site; these are listed in Table 7.11.

Table 7.11 UK SACs and their proximity to the Site

Site name	Site number	Qualifying feature	Distance from site (km)
Scotland			
Luce Bay and Sands	UK0013039	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time Reefs Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	96.7
Solway Firth	UK0013025	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide Reefs Sea lamprey River lamprey	126.2
River Bladnoch	UK0030249	Atlantic salmon	120.1
England			
River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake	UK0030032	Sea lamprey River lamprey Atlantic salmon	103.7
River Ehen	UK0030057	Atlantic salmon	92.4
River Eden	UK0012643	Sea lamprey River lamprey Atlantic salmon	112.7
Drigg Coast	UK0013031	Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	77.4

Site name	Site number	Qualifying feature	Distance from site (km)
Morecambe Bay	UK0013027	Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	62.5
Shell Flat and Lune Deep	UK0030376	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time Reefs Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	45.0
River Dee and Bala Lake/Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid	UK0030252	Atlantic salmon Sea lamprey River lamprey	74.0
Northern Ireland			
Murlough	UK0016612	Common seal	95.0
Strangford Lough	UK0016618	Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide Reefs Common seal	93.8
Wales	1		
Afon Eden – Cors Goch Trawsfynydd	UK0030075	Atlantic salmon	78.0
Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn	UK0030046	Atlantic salmon	54.7
Bae Cemlyn / Cemlyn Bay	UK0030114	Coastal lagoons	21.6

Site name	Site number	Qualifying feature	Distance from site (km)
Y Fenai a Bae Conwy / Menai Strait and Conwy Bay	UK0030202	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide Reefs Large shallow inlets and bays Submerged or partially submerged sea caves	25.8
Pen Llŷn a`r Sarnau / Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	UK0013117	Bottlenose dolphin Grey seal	71.3
Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion	UK0012712	Bottlenose dolphin Grey seal	132.2



- 7.187 It is anticipated that many of the sites listed in Table 7.11 will not be affected by the development of RWFL because impact pathways for the qualifying features are not present.
- 7.188 For example, given the findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6 of this report) impacts on the Annex I features of coastal SACs are unlikely to arise, other than in respect of works within the export cable corridor. All the sites in Table 7.11 will be the subject of HRA screening at a later date; however, it is presently anticipated that only the sites listed in Table 7.12 may require consideration in the ES as they may be at potential risk of effects from construction, operation and decommissioning.

Table 7.12 UK SAC features and potential effects likely to be considered in the Environmental Statement (subject to HRA screening)

Site name	Qualifying feature
River Bladnoch	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
River Ehen	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
River Eden	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
River Dee and Bala Lake / Afon Dyfrdwy a Llyn Tegid	Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i> Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>
Murlough	Common seal Phoca vitulina
Strangford Lough	Common seal Phoca vitulina
Afon Eden – Cors Goch Trawsfynydd	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn	Atlantic salmon Salmo salar
Bae Cemlyn / Cemlyn Bay	Coastal lagoons
Y Fenai a Bae Conwy/ Menai Strait and Conwy Bay	Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide, including eelgrass Reefs Large shallow inlets and bays Submerged or partially submerged sea caves
Pen Llŷn a`r Sarnau / Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	Bottlenose dolphin <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> Grey seal <i>Halichoerus grypus</i>
Cardigan Bay / Bae Ceredigion	Bottlenose dolphin <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> Grey seal <i>Halichoerus grypus</i>

Special Protection Areas (SPA)

- 7.189 Chapter 7.4 (ornithology) identifies at Table 7.10 those bird species for which SPAs are designated in the Irish Sea area. During surveys, 22 SPA species were identified as having been observed within the ISZ in sufficient numbers (greater than ten individuals in total) to require further consideration. Three species, great black-backed gull, great skua and Leach's storm petrel are not qualifying species for any of the SPAs within the Irish Sea or wider area.
- 7.190 Table 7.14 lists the SPAs within the Irish Sea and wider area that have these species listed in the designation order, including if they are mentioned as part of the assemblages. However, many of the SPAs are situated beyond the mean maximum foraging range for each species (Thaxter *et al.* 2012). The ZAP Report identified Manx shearwater as the focus for assessment because of its occurrence in the ISZ at internationally important numbers, with great black-backed gull the only other species found in the ISZ at internationally important numbers. For most species assessed there was a negligible risk of a collision impact at the national population scale apart from the great black-backed gull. The great black-backed gull is predominantly a wintering species in the Irish Sea and there are no SPAs where the species is a designated feature within the ISZ. The HRA screening is likely to include (but not necessarily be limited to) the following species as they are located within the mean maximum foraging range and listed as a qualifying species of an SPA:
 - Manx shearwater;
 - Gannet;
 - Fulmar;
 - Lesser black-backed gull; and
 - Arctic tern.
- 7.191 As with the SACs outlined above, all relevant sites will be the subject of HRA screening at a later date. However, Table 7.10 and Table 7.14 suggest that 22 bird species at 31 SPA sites may require consideration within the ES.

Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)/Areas of Special Scientific Interest (ASSI)

- 7.192 As shown in Figure 7.11 above, there are a large number of SSSIs/ASSIs in the Irish Sea area. The vast majority relate to terrestrial features above the high water mark and therefore, as discussed in Chapter 5, will be considered as part of the EIA of the onshore infrastructure associated with RWFL.
- 7.193 A number of SSSIs/ASSIs also benefit from designation as SPAs or SACs and these are subject to the HRA considerations outlined above.
- 7.194 A smaller number of SSSIs designated for coastal features such as dunes and wetlands are present along the Welsh and English coasts. Given the findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6 of this report), impacts on such sites are unlikely to arise other than in respect of works within the export cable corridor.
- 7.195 The export cable corridor encompasses the eight SSSIs listed in Table 7.13 below. These include designations for geological features as well as nature conservation interests.



Site name	Reason for SSSI designation
Carmel Head	Geological features
Henborth	Geological features
Cemlyn Bay	Coastal lagoon, shingle bank
Llanbadrig-Dinas Gynfor	Geological features
Traeth Lligwy	Geological features
Trwyn Dwlban	Geological features
Arfordir Gogleddol Penmon	Geological, botanical, ornithological and marine biological features.
Puffin Island	Seabirds (cormorant)

Table 7.13 SSSIs within the export cable route corridor

7.196 Additionally, as shown in Figure 7.11 in Chapter 7.4 (ornithology), there are a number of other SSSIs/ASSIs which incorporate ornithological interests in their designations.

Table 7.14 SPAs in the Irish Sea area where identified key species from ZAP surveys are present as a qualifying feature⁷

Site name	Site number	Distance from Project Site (km)
Rinns of Islay	UK9003057	250.4
Ailsa Craig	UK9003091	167.0
Upper Solway Flats and Marshes	UK9005012	126.2
Duddon Estuary	UK9005031	65.2
Bowland Fells	UK9005151	88.3
Morecambe Bay	UK9005081	66.4
Ribble and Alt Estuaries	UK9005103	62.4
Martin Mere	UK9005111	73.7
Mersey Estuary	UK9005131	71.0
Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore	UK9020287	68.5
Dee Estuary	UK9013011	52.7
Traeth Lafan / Lavan Sands, Conway Bay	UK9013031	35.2
Ynys Feurig, Cemlyn Bay and the Skerries	UK9013061	22.1
Glannau Aberdaron and Ynys Enlli	UK9013121	87.6

⁷ Includes species listed as forming part of an SPA assemblage.

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

Site name	Site number	Distance from Project Site (km)
Liverpool Bay / Bae Lerpwl	UK9020294	20.4
Grassholm	UK9014041	216.1
Skokholm and Skomer	UK9014051	216.1
Rathlin Island	UK9020011	199.2
Belfast Lough	UK9020101	132.0
Lough Neag and Loch Beg	UK9020091	148.7
Lame Lough	UK9020042	139.2
Outer Ards	UK9020271	94.3
Strangford Lough	UK9020111	93.5
Carlingford Lough	UK9020161	114.4
Copeland Islands	UK9020291	122.5
Saltee Islands	004002	132.0
Lambay Island	004069	114.4
Ireland's Eye	004117	122.5
Skerries Island	004122	94.5
Howth Head Coast	004113	139.2
Helvick Head to Ballyquin	004192	148.7

7.197 Additionally, as shown in Figure 7.11 in Chapter 7.4 (ornithology), there are a number of SSSIs which incorporate ornithological interests in their designations.

Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs)

- 7.198 The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 provides the framework for the establishment of a network of marine protected areas, known as MCZs in English, Welsh (0-12nm) and UK waters (12-200nm).
- 7.199 A body known as Irish Sea Conservation Zones (ISCZ) was formed as one of four regional projects set up to recommend MCZs to the UK Government. ISCZ has, informed by a regional stakeholder group, made recommendations in 2011 to UK Government on the establishment of the 13 MCZs, three estuarine MCZs and associated 'reference' areas shown in Figure 7.12. The UK Government is currently considering these recommendations with the aim of establishing some or all of the recommended areas in late 2012.



Figure 7.12 MCZs recommended by ISCZ to the UK Government in 2011

- 7.200 Three of the recommended MCZ (rMCZ) are in relatively close proximity to the Site, although none overlap with the Site or the potential export cable corridor.
- 7.201 Alongside the four projects looking at English and offshore waters, the Welsh Government is currently undertaking consultation on a suite of potential highly protected Marine Conservation Zones (HPMCZs) in Welsh waters, with the aim of designating 3-4 sites in 2014. Out of the 10 potential site options put forward (all located along the west of Wales and Anglesey coastline), the potential HPMCZs Puffin Island and North East Menai Strait are closest to the Site.

Transboundary issues

7.202 As discussed above, there are a number of designated sites in the Republic of Ireland which will require consideration in the ES. The findings of the chapter on physical processes (Chapter 6) suggest that coastal sites are unlikely to be directly affected by the development of the Site. However, such designations may cover bird and marine mammal species which have been recorded within the ISZ, and so may have to be considered further in RWFL's EIA. This is particularly relevant in respect of Manx shearwater.

Other protected area designations

7.203 Other nature conservation designations such as National Nature Reserves (NNR) and Local Nature Reserves (LNR) may be affected by the development of onshore infrastructure. As described in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology), potential impacts on these

designations will be considered in the onshore ES produced in support of the application for planning permission for onshore infrastructure.

Identification of key issues

7.204 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction		
Installation of export cables	The installation of the export cables within the export cable corridor has the potential to affect features protected by SSSI and SAC designations. Such impacts are considered in section 7.1 (benthic ecology). Such impacts can largely be mitigated through careful route selection and micro-siting.	Scoped in
Construction noise impacts on fish and marine mammals – SAC species	As discussed in Chapter 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology) and 7.3 (marine mammals) construction noise, and particularly the use of driven piles, has the potential to affect marine mammals (seals and cetaceans) and fish (Atlantic salmon, river and sea lamprey) which may be qualifying features of SACs.	Scoped in
Displacement of SPA bird species	As discussed in Chapter 7.4 birds may be disturbed or displaced by construction activity at the Site. Such birds may be qualifying species of SPAs.	Scoped in
Impacts on MCZs and coastal SSSIs and SACs	The findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6) concludes that significant indirect effects arising from construction (suspended sediments, changes to tidal regime) are unlikely to arise. Therefore, it is proposed that impacts on MCZs, coastal SSSIs and SACs (other than those arising from export cables, construction noise and on birds) are scoped out of the ES.	Scoped out
Potential impacts during operation		
Collision risk, displacement and barrier effect - SPA species	As discussed in Chapter 7.4, birds may be disturbed or displaced by the operation of a wind farm either through the presence of turbines or though maintenance vessel traffic. The presence of the turbines may give rise to the risk of collision between birds and rotating blades. The wind farm may act as a barrier to daily or seasonal movements of birds. In all these cases, such birds may be qualifying species of SPAs.	Scoped in

Operational noise – SAC species	As discussed in Chapters 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology) and 7.3 (marine mammals), it is proposed, that the effects of operational noise be scoped out of the ES.	Scoped out
Physical processes – impacts on MCZs and coastal SACs and SSSIs	The findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6) concluded that significant indirect effects arising from the operation or presence of the turbines (suspended sediments, changes to tidal or wave regime) are unlikely to occur outside of the near-field. Given that the relevant MCZs, SACs and SSSIs are at some distance from the Site (see Figures 7.11 and 7.12 above), it is proposed that impacts on MCZs, coastal SSSIs and SACs arising from changes to physical processes during the operation of RWFL be scoped out of the ES.	Scoped out
Potential impacts during decommissioning		
Potential impactor to be similar to	ets arising from decommissioning phase are expected those arising during the construction phase.	Scoped in
Potential cumulative impacts		
Installation of export cables	The installation of multiple export cables from different wind farms (either within the ISZ or over a wider area) has the potential to cumulatively affect features protected by SSSI and SAC designations. Such impacts are considered in section 7.1 (benthic ecology).	Scoped in
Construction noise impacts on SAC species	As discussed in Chapter 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology) and 7.3 (marine mammals), construction noise from multiple projects (either simultaneously or consecutively) has the potential to affect qualifying features of SACs.	Scoped in
Collision risk, displacement and barrier effect - SPA species	As discussed in Chapter 7.4, birds may be disturbed or displaced by the construction and operation of multiple wind farms either through the presence of turbines or though maintenance vessel traffic. The presence of the turbines in multiple projects may give rise to an increased risk of collision between birds and rotating blades. A group of wind farms may collectively act as barriers to daily or seasonal movements of birds. In all these cases, such birds may be qualifying species of SPAs. Table 7.10 identifies SPA species for which cumulative impact risks may arise.	Scoped in

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 7.205 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary. In particular, it is currently proposed that, following consultation with NE, CCW and JNCC on technical scopes, the surveys outlined in Chapters 7.1 (benthic ecology), 7.2 (fish and shellfish ecology), 7.3 (marine mammals) and 7.4 (ornithology) will be used to inform assessment of impacts on areas of nature conservation importance.
- 7.206 As discussed in Chapter 5, further surveys in respect of the intertidal zone may be required to assess the impacts of the installation of export cables. Such surveys will be particularly relevant in respect of the Y Fenai a Bae Conwy SAC and the eight SSSIs identified in Table 7.13 above.
- 7.207 The ES will include:
 - A description of the nature conservation designations outlined above, including their current status and the relevant populations or features they support. Reference will be made to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information;
 - This description will include analysis of the survey data described above;
 - A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts. Much of this assessment will draw upon specialist technical chapters in the ES relating to benthic ecology, fish and shellfish ecology, marine mammals and birds. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included;
 - A review and summary of physical processes surveys and studies incorporating any identified key issues specifically regarding benthic, intertidal and beach/dune ecology. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.


8 HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

8-1 Human environment – commercial fisheries

Introduction

8.1 This chapter characterises commercial fishing activities in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on those activities and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform RWFL's EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.2 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 3, Celtic Array commissioned a commercial fisheries study. The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation based around the collection of fisheries data and consultation.
- 8.3 The principal sources of data and information used for the production of the ZAP Report and this report are:
 - Results of consultation with fishermen and their representatives;
 - Round 3 ISZ Commercial Fisheries Consultation Report (RSS Marine Ltd);
 - International Council for the Exploration of the Seas (ICES);
 - MMO;
 - The Scallop Association;
 - Sea Fisheries Protection Agency (SFPA) (Republic of Ireland);
 - Vlaamse Overheid Fisheries Department (Belgium); and
 - Isle of Man DEFA.
- 8.4 As part of the ZAP Report and the preparation of this chapter, the following reports were reviewed and relevant information included in the description of the current environment:
 - ICES Stock Assessment Reports and other ICES publications of relevance;
 - EC/National and Local Fisheries Legislation;
 - Oil and Gas UK publications;
 - Cefas publications; and
 - Other relevant publications.
- 8.5 The following statistical datasets were utilised in preparing the ZAP Report and this chapter:
 - MMO fisheries statistics;
 - MMO UK satellite tracking (VMS) data;
 - MMO surveillance sightings;
 - Vlaamse Overheid Fisheries Department fisheries statistics (supplied by the Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research ILVO);

- Vlaamse Overheid Fisheries Department Belgian satellite tracking (VMS) data (supplied by ILVO);
- SFPA fisheries statistics;
- SFPA Irish satellite tracking (VMS) data;
- Isle of Man DEFA fisheries statistics;
- Isle of Man DEFA satellite tracking (VMS) data; and
- Fishery specific information (information provided by fishermen and their representatives).
- 8.6 Additional survey data was collected by Celtic Array as follows:
 - Radar data on fishing vessel movement was collected from a geophysical survey vessel across the ISZ between March and August 2010; and
 - Fish community surveys to characterise the ground fish assemblage of the zone. Using 4m beam trawls, a survey of 25 locations across the zone was undertaken in two surveys in late autumn 2010 and March 2011. The methodology adopted allows for comparability with autumn surveys of the wider Irish Sea by Cefas.
- 8.7 Celtic Array has consulted a number of organisations and individuals to date, namely:
 - The MMO District fisheries Officer Blackpool and Whitehaven;
 - The relevant IFCAs;
 - The National federation of Fisheries Organisations (NFFO);
 - The Scottish Fishermen's Federation (SFF);
 - Redercentrale (Belgian Fishermen's Federation);
 - Manx Fish Producers Organisation;
 - New Under Ten Fishermen's Association;
 - The Scallop Association;
 - Relevant UK Producer Organisations;
 - Regional and Local Fishermen's Associations; and
 - Individual skippers and vessel owners with vessels fishing in the area of the ISZ.
- 8.8 A meeting was held on 9 August 2011 with the main UK fishing industry bodies including the NFFO, ANIFPO, NIFPO, SFF and representatives of The Scallop Association. A subsequent meeting was held on 30 September with the Fisheries Industry Representatives (FIRs) described below.
- 8.9 In addition to meetings with the industry bodies, statutory regulators have also been consulted and updated on Celtic Array's overall progress, approach to consultation and communication with the industry. The ZAP Scoping Report was sent out to all relevant statutory regulators in August 2010 and all relevant statutory regulators we consulted on the approach to the ZAP process commercial fisheries assessment process.
- 8.10 Fisheries newsletters and Notice to Mariners (NTMs) have been distributed by RSS Marine Ltd (formerly Danbrit) to keep the industry updated on the overall ZAP process and informing the industry about survey timings.

- 8.11 RSS Marine Ltd, in its capacity as Celtic Array's Fisheries Liaison Officer (FLO), prepared and distributed a standard questionnaire to obtain further information from individual stakeholders. 172 questionnaires have been issued and 18 questionnaires had been returned representing 63 vessels. Consultation has also been undertaken with a large number of site-based operators as part of RSS Marine's fisheries liaison role. Consultation is ongoing and further meetings will be held between Celtic Array's FIRs, the ISZ working group and statutory stakeholders throughout EIA process.
- 8.12 A fisheries working group has been established, the members of which represent the various fisheries sectors which could be potentially impacted by developments within the ISZ. The aim of the working group is to provide a forum for:
 - Discussion of issues, concerns and clarification of facts relating to Celtic Array's offshore wind farm activities in the ISZ, including development of RWFL;
 - Providing a means by which the FLO and Celtic Array can address and discuss issues and concerns raised directly with representatives of the local fishing community;
 - Consideration of mitigation measures; and
 - An alternative means of liaising and communicating with Celtic Array.
- 8.13 In addition, four FIRs from the principal categories of fishing vessels operating within the ISZ area have been appointed to:
 - Act as a principal point of contact within the fishing community;
 - Liaise with fishermen with a view to informing Celtic Array and the FLO of any particular issues;
 - Disseminate information; and
 - Provide Celtic Array and its consultants with specialised fishing advice.

Description of current environment

- 8.14 The description of the current environment is based on the findings of the ZAP Report. Due to the relatively coarse nature of much of the fisheries data references are made predominantly to the ISZ rather than the Site itself.
- 8.15 The ZAP Report described the regional study area as the area that encompasses those ICES rectangles in the immediate surrounding area of the ISZ. The local study area is defined by the ICES rectangles in which the Potential Development Areas are located (36E5 and to a lesser extent 37E5), ICES rectangles being the smallest spatial units currently used for the collation of fisheries statistics.
- 8.16 The Site is located in an area which sustains comparatively low levels of activity in the national and regional contexts. The activity which does occur is predominantly by UK vessels.
- 8.17 In terms of landings values, trawling for nephrops is the most important fishery within the Irish Sea. It is worth noting, however, that the main nephrops grounds are located some distance from the ISZ, off the Irish and Cumbrian coasts, with comparatively low levels of fishing occurring within the ISZ.
- 8.18 Beam trawling for flatfish, predominantly sole, is also an important fishery, with the highest levels of activity recorded by Belgian vessels. As with the nephrops fishery, however, the ISZ sustains only low levels of beam trawling activity as the main grounds are located to the east and west of the ISZ.

- 8.19 Potting for whelks, crab and lobster have become increasingly important fisheries in the Irish Sea with some limited activity occurring within the ISZ.
- 8.20 In terms of value and potential impacts, scallop fishing is the most significant activity occurring within the ISZ. The data and information obtained to date suggest that the highest concentration of scallop dredging activity occurs in the north east of the ISZ.
- 8.21 King scallop fishing is cyclical with vessels generally targeting grounds intensively for a period after which they are then left to recover, often for a number of years. King scallop grounds are extensive, being located in the Irish Sea, off the Scottish east and west coasts and in the English Channel.
- 8.22 The queen scallop fishery in the Irish Sea is one of the largest in the UK and mainly targeted in the waters around the Isle of Man, but some activity occurs within the ISZ. On a national scale, queen scallop fisheries are declining, although the Isle of Man fishery is considered to be environmentally sustainable, possibly in part because of the management measures introduced in 2010.

National and regional fisheries statistics

National MMO fisheries statistics

8.23 The regional study area considered in this report comprises the 12 ICES rectangles outlined in Figure 8.1. Figure 8.1 shows the average landings values by species in the regional study area (2001 to 2010) which records significant landings values for nephrops, king scallops, sole, queen scallops and whelks (MMO 2012).



Figure 8.1 Landings values by species in the regional study area (average 2001-2010)

8.24 The total national landings values by year in comparison to the total landings values in the regional and local study areas (for UK registered vessels only) are shown in Table 8.1. It can be seen that the regional study area records landings values which represent between 4% and 6% of the national value. The local study area records landings values which represent approximately 1% of the national value and this has increased slightly over the ten year period. Regional and local landings weights are broadly commensurate with landings values, indicating that values are directly proportional to weights landed.

Table 8.1 Landings values by year in the national, regional and local study areas of all species

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Total national landings values* (£ million)	574.4	545.6	528.3	513.0	574.6	614.3	646.3	635.6	674.4	719.3
Total regional landings values (£ million)	31.6	28.1	32.7	21.6	22.2	28.1	29.6	32.2	27.5	31.1
Percentage of the national area values that the regional study area values represent	5.5%	5.2%	6.2%	4.2%	3.9%	4.6%	4.6%	5.1%	4.1%	4.3%
Total local landings values (£ million)	5.4	4.4	4.4	3.2	5.8	5.9	6.1	7.0	8.3	9.8
Percentage of the national area values that the local study area values represent	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%	1.4%

*Source: Summary of UK fishing industry: 2001 to 2010 (MMO statistics).

8.25 Trawling for nephrops comprises the majority of the landings values in the regional study area. ICES rectangles to the west of the regional study area record the highest landings values which are of national importance as they are comparable to nephrops

128

landings values recorded elsewhere in the UK. Nephrops landings values in the local area are considerably lower. The key nephrops grounds are located in the Irish Sea, off the east and west coasts of Scotland and off the north east coast of England.

- 8.26 Fishing for king and queen scallops are important fisheries in the Irish Sea with landings values that are important on a national scale. King scallop fisheries are located around the UK, with key grounds found in the English Channel, Irish Sea and west and east coasts of Scotland. Queen scallops are principally targeted in the Irish Sea, which records the highest landings values for this species. There are also grounds off the coasts of Northern Ireland and Wales.
- 8.27 Beam trawling for sole is also an important fishery in the Irish Sea targeted by both UK and foreign vessels. The grounds in the local area are of low to moderate importance on a national scale, although grounds in the east of the regional study area record moderate to high landings values. The main sole grounds targeted by beam trawlers are located off the coasts of Cornwall and Devon as well as in the English Channel and in the southern North Sea.
- 8.28 Whelks have become an increasingly important fishery in the Irish Sea, targeted in the main by vessels setting pots. ICES rectangles in the north and south of the regional study area record landings values of national importance whereas the local study area records landings of moderate importance on a national scale. The principal UK whelk grounds are located in the Irish Sea, around the coast of Wales, off the southern coast of England and off the Yorkshire coast. There are also smaller whelk fisheries targeted in northern Scotland and the Orkneys.

Regional MMO fisheries statistics

- 8.29 The local study area considered in the ZAP Report is comprised of two rectangles, 36E5 and 37E5, shown edged orange in Figures 8.2 and 8.3. The Site is situated within 36E5. Figures 8.2 and 8.3 show the landings values recorded in the regional study area, by species and method, respectively (MMO 2012). It can be seen that rectangles 36E5 and 37E5 record landings values of moderate importance in the regional study area.
- 8.30 Dredging for king and queen scallops occurs in the central rectangles, with beam trawling for sole in the west and south east of the regional study area. Landings values for nephrops are high in the south west of the regional study area as well as in grounds inshore to the north east, targeted by nephrops trawlers and bottom otter trawlers (the same method under different categorisation). Potting for whelks occurs in inshore areas to the south of the study area. Herring comprises a significant proportion of the landings values of ICES rectangle 37E5 to the north, targeted in the main by mid-water pair trawlers. Vessels operating otter trawls also record significant proportions of landings values in the regional area, targeting species such as cod, haddock and spurdog.
- 8.31 The majority of activity in the regional study area is undertaken by vessels of over 15 metres in length. Activity by non-UK vessels is recorded to the south west and east of the study area. A moderate amount of activity is recorded by the under 10 metre fleet in inshore areas, with the 10 to 15 metre fleet recording lower levels.
- 8.32 The regional statistics record Irish, Isle of Man and Belgian landings weights in the regional study area.
- 8.33 The main species targeted by the Irish fleet is nephrops, recording high landings weights in ICES rectangle 36E4 to the west of the regional study area. There is also some potting for whelks and dredging for mussels in areas to the south west of the regional study area. Landings weights in the local study area are considerably lower

with scallops the principal species targeted in ICES rectangle 36E5 and herring in 37E5.

- 8.34 Manx landings weights records show that queen and king scallops record the highest landings weights in the central rectangles, including the local study area. Rectangles inside the local study area record the highest weights with rectangles outside the local study area record considerably lower landings weights.
- 8.35 For Belgian landings the main species targeted is sole, followed by plaice and rays. The largest landings weights are recorded in the east and south west of the regional study area, with landings in the local study area recording relatively lower weights.



Figure 8.2 Landings values by species (average 2001-2010) in the regional study area



Figure 8.3 Landings values by method (average 2001-2010) in the regional study area

Satellite tracking - regional overview (2007-2010 data)

- 8.36 Figures 8.4 and 8.5 show the satellite density of all UK vessels over-15 metres by landings values in the regional study area by mobile and static gears, respectively (total value 2007 to 2010) (MMO 2012). Relatively high densities of mobile gear values are recorded in the west, east and central areas of the regional study area. High levels of mobile values are recorded in the north east of the ISZ, with moderate values recorded in the south east and negligible mobile values recorded in the south west.
- 8.37 There are two relatively high value areas for static gear in the Irish Sea: one to the north of the Isle of Man and another small area to the west of Anglesey. Areas in the north east and south west of the ISZ record low static gear values.

Belgian VMS data

8.38 Belgian VMS data from 2009 have been considered which show Belgian beam trawling activity in the east, outside of the ISZ, and to a lesser extent in the west, including areas of the south western ISZ. The remainder of the ISZ records negligible activity. Consultation with Redercentrale supports this assessment of the distribution of Belgian beam trawling activity (BMM November 2011). The data suggest that negligible levels of demersal otter trawling occur from Belgian vessels within the ISZ.

Irish VMS data

8.39 Irish VMS data (averaged from 2005 to 2007) shows that the majority of Irish activity occurs in the west of the Irish Sea, with moderate activity occurring in the east outside the ISZ. Very low levels of activity are recorded in the north east and south west of the zone.



Figure 8.4 Satellite (VMS) density of all UK over-15 metre vessels by landings values in the regional study area (total value 2007-2010)



Figure 8.5 Satellite (VMS) density of all UK over - 15 metre mobile gear vessels by landings values in the regional study area (Total Value 2007-2010)

Fisheries surveillance sightings

- 8.40 Figures 8.6 and 8.7 show the positions of vessels identified by fisheries surveillance officers in the regional study area by nationality and method respectively (2001 to 2010) (MMO 2012). Table 8.2 gives the percentage of the total sightings within the ISZ by nationality and method.
- 8.41 It can be seen that vessels using trawl gear to target nephrops in the Irish Sea are the most abundant, with sightings broadly corroborating the analysis of the MMO fisheries statistics and satellite (VMS) density data, with the highest densities located in the west and north east of the regional study area. Trawl activity is, however, comparatively low to moderate in the local study area and low in the ISZ, including the Site, with the highest sightings located in the north east of the ISZ, followed by the areas to the south east of the zone. Very low numbers are recorded in the south west of the ISZ. Over the ten year period, 215 vessels using trawl gear were recorded in the ISZ, 1.4% of the total trawl vessels recorded in the regional area.
- 8.42 Vessels from the UK account for 69.0% of the recorded sightings in the ISZ for the period 2001 to 2010. Over one third (34.7%) of the sightings within the ISZ are beam trawlers. Scallop dredgers have the second highest numbers of sightings in the zone (28.9%).



Figure 8.6 Surveillance sightings by nationality in the regional study area



Figure 8.7 Surveillance sightings by method in the regional study area



Nationality	Method	Percentage
	Scallop Dredgers	24.5%
	Trawler	20.3%
	Beam Trawler	10.4%
	Potter/Whelker	8.9%
E	Pair Trawler	1.4%
Jgde	Stern Trawler	1.1%
Kir	Demersal Stern Trawler	0.8%
nitec	Demersal Side Trawler	0.6%
5	Long Liner	0.4%
	Gill Netter	0.3%
	Side Trawler	0.3%
	Unknown	0.1%
	United Kingdom Total	69.0%
	Beam Trawler	16.1%
E S	Scallop Dredger	0.2%
selgi	Demersal Side Trawler	0.2%
ш	Belgium Total	16.5%
	Beam Trawler	8.2%
σ	Scallop Dredger	4.1%
elan	Trawler	1.2%
<u> </u>	Potter/Whelker	0.5%
	Ireland Total	14.0%
rlands	Beam Trawler	0.1%
	Trawler	0.1%
ethe	Scallop Dredger	0.1%
S	Netherlands Total	0.3%
France	Stern Trawler	0.2%
	France Total	0.2%

Table 8.2 Percentage of sightings within the ISZ by nationality and method (MMO 2012)



Fishing methods in the local study area

- 8.43 Figure 8.8 highlights the key fisheries in ICES rectangle 36E5 (within which the Site is located) and the methods which are used to target those species (MMO 2012). It can be seen that the main fisheries in the ICES rectangle 36E5 are, in decreasing order of magnitude:
 - Dredging for king and queen scallops;
 - Beam trawling for sole;
 - Potting for whelks; and
 - Long-lining for spurdog (not considered in this report as a current fishery. A directed fishery for spurdog was effectively prohibited in 2010 as no quota was issued due to declining populations. Recorded landings values are post 2007).



Figure 8.8 Average annual landings values (average 2001-2010) by species and method in ICES rectangle 36E5

Scallop dredging

8.44 Both king and queen scallops are targeted by vessels in rectangle 36E5 operating dredges.

King scallops

8.45 By virtue of their activity, a number of scallop vessels are nomadic, fishing one location before moving to another and returning to grounds when they have recovered. In this way, most of the suitable grounds around the UK are fished. Visiting vessels from Scotland, Ireland and Belgium periodically fish scallop grounds in the Irish Sea, and in

addition there are locally based vessels which tend to concentrate their scallop dredging activities in the regional area.

Queen scallops

- 8.46 Queen scallop fisheries are mainly concentrated in the Irish Sea and off the west coast of Scotland. Visiting vessels from Belgium, Ireland and Scotland will seasonally target the Irish Sea fishery, generally landing their catch into Liverpool. There are also a number of locally based vessels, especially based on the Isle of Man, who target queen scallops.
- 8.47 Vessels targeting king and queen scallops in the Irish Sea are either local or visiting vessels. Local vessels are based at ports within the Irish Sea area and will generally undertake day trips, landing their catch each day. Visiting vessels are vessels based at ports outside of the regional study area (generally Ireland, Scotland or Belgium) which will seasonally visit the area to target the species, landing their catch into local ports.
- 8.48 The ports and number of scallopers operating out of each port are identified below. Consultation with fishermen has also identified the general grounds in the Irish Sea where these vessels will target scallops.
- 8.49 Table 8.3 lists the ports in the regional area into which vessels that are targeting king and queen scallops in the vicinity of the ISZ will land their catch.

Table 8.3 Ports into which vessels targeting king and queen scallops will land their catch

Port	Vessels
England	
Whitehaven	Whitehaven records the second highest landings values for king and queen scallops from ICES rectangles 36E5 and 37E5. These landings are generally made by visiting UK vessels which have home ports elsewhere (MMO statistics).
Liverpool	Although there is no permanently based fishing fleet at Liverpool, a number of Scottish scallop dredgers will land their catch into the port (RSS Marine Consultation Report). Liverpool records the fourth highest landings values of king scallops and seventh highest landings values of queen scallops from the local study area (MMO statistics).
Maryport	There are between eight and ten scallopers identified to be operating out of Maryport and targeting scallops in Manx waters and on the outskirts of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Wales	
Holyhead	There are between five and six scallopers based at Holyhead which are between 10 and 15 metres in length. These vessels target both king and queen scallops, although this is generally in inshore areas outside of the zone. There is one identified scalloper which targets scallop grounds in the vicinity of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Amlwch	There is one identified scallop dredger based at Amlwch targeting both king and queen scallops in inshore areas outwith of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).

Port	Vessels	
Scotland		
Kirkcudbright	There are approximately 30 vessels based in Scottish ports such as	
Isle of Whithorn	Kirkcudbright and Isle of Whithorn which will seasonally target both king and queen scallops throughout the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report). Vessels landing scallops into Kirkcudbright record the highest values from ICES rectangles 36E5 and 37E5 (MMO statistics).	
Northern Ireland		
Kilkeel	There are about five to eight Northern Irish vessels based at ports	
Portavogie	such as Kilkeel, Portavogie and Ardglass, which will target scallops in inshore areas and within the ISZ; however, activity in the ISZ i limited (RSS Marine Consultation Report).	
Ardglass		
Isle of Man		
There are five identified scallopers based on the Isle of Man who target both king and queen scallops within the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).		

Fishing grounds

8.50 Figures 8.9 and 8.10 show, respectively, king and queen scallop grounds identified through direct consultation with fishermen and generic grounds identified through the analysis of the datasets previously mentioned (VMS, surveillance sightings etc). It can be seen that the king scallop grounds are located throughout the ISZ, including within the Site. Queen scallop grounds are generally located outside the ISZ in the waters surrounding the Isle of Man, although some grounds have been identified in the north and south east of the ISZ.



Figure 8.9 King scallop grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea



Figure 8.10 Queen scallop grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea

Beam trawling

- 8.51 Some beam trawling principally for sole occurs in ICES rectangle 36E5, in which the Site is located.
- 8.52 Vessels targeting sole in the Irish Sea are both local and visiting vessels. The highest proportion of vessels targeting sole are Belgian registered which generally land their catches into Liverpool. The numbers of vessels operating out of the main ports are identified below. Consultation with fishermen has also identified the general grounds in the Irish Sea where the vessels target sole.
- 8.53 Table 8.4 lists the ports in the regional area into which vessels that are beam trawling for sole in the vicinity of the ISZ land their catch.

Table 8.4 Ports into which vessels beam trawling for sole will land their catch

Port	Vessels
England	
Liverpool	Liverpool records the highest landings values of sole from the local study area. The vessels landing sole into Liverpool are generally Belgian registered (MMO statistics).
Fleetwood	There are four Fleetwood based vessels identified which are able to target sole in the Irish Sea. These vessels target grounds in inshore and offshore areas, outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Barrow	One full time vessel has been identified as operating from Barrow and targeting sole. The activity of this vessel is confined to near shore areas outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Wales	
Milford Haven	The ports of Milford Haven, Holyhead and Swansea record
Holyhead	significant landings values of sole from ICES rectangle 36E5. The vessels landing sole into these ports are either foreign
Swansea	vessels (Belgian or Irish) or UK visiting vessels that have home ports elsewhere (MMO statistics).

8.54 Table 8.5 lists the visiting vessels that seasonally beam trawl for sole in the Irish Sea.

 Table 8.5 Visiting vessels seasonally beam trawling for sole in the Irish Sea

Country	Vessels
Belgium	There are approximately four to six Belgian beam trawlers targeting sole in inshore areas and along the western edge of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report). These vessels land their catches into Liverpool which records the highest landings values of sole from ICES rectangle 36E5 (MMO statistics).
Ireland	There are estimated to be up to 15 vessels targeting sole using beam trawls in inshore areas and central areas of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).

- 8.55 Figure 8.11 below shows generic beam trawl grounds identified through the analysis of the datasets previously mentioned (VMS, surveillance sightings etc).
- 8.56 It can be seen that the main fishery for sole is located on the eastern side of the Irish Sea in the relatively shallow coastal waters of England and Wales. The largest catches have been recorded in Liverpool Bay, Morecambe Bay, Cardigan Bay and off Anglesey. Belgian beam trawlers are also known to target sole to the south of the Isle of Man (Cefas 2009).



Figure 8.11 Beam trawl grounds in the Irish Sea identified through consultation and data analysis

Potting for whelks

- 8.57 Whelks are targeted in ICES rectangles 36E5 by potting vessels. The majority of these vessels are English and Jersey registered landing their catch into local ports (MMO statistics).
- 8.58 Whelks are targeted by vessels setting baited whelk pots (usually with fish or crab) and left for a period of time. A number of whelk pots are set on a main line which is deployed on the seabed for an average soak time of one to two days, although this can be extended during periods of bad weather.
- 8.59 In addition to full time whelk potting vessels, a number of vessels are part time, including a number of scallop fishermen who fish for whelks to supplement their income (Kaiser *et al.* 2008). The UK market for whelks is relatively small scale and the majority of the catch is exported to South Korea and Japan (Fahy *et al.* 2000).
- 8.60 Vessels targeting whelks in the Irish Sea will generally be local vessels which will land their catch daily at the ports in the local area. The ports and number of whelk fishermen operating out of each port are identified below. Consultation with fishermen has also identified the general grounds in the Irish Sea where these vessels will target whelks.
- 8.61 Table 8.6 lists the ports in the regional area into which vessels that are targeting whelks in the vicinity of the Site will land their catch.



Table 8.6 Ports into which vessels targeting whelks will land their catch

Port	Vessels
England	
Whitehaven	There are two to three potting vessels based at Whitehaven (RSS Marine Consultation Report). Whitehaven records the highest landing of whelks from ICES rectangle 37E5 (MMO statistics).
Wales	
Holyhead	There are about five to six identified potting vessels based at Holyhead, which will target whelks in addition to crustaceans. These vessels generally target grounds outside the ISZ in inshore areas (RSS Marine Consultation Report). Vessels landing into Holyhead record the highest values of whelks from 36E5 (MMO statistics).
Amlwch	There are approximately five to six identified potting vessels based at Amlwch, which will target whelks in addition to crustaceans. The vessels generally target grounds outwith of the ISZ in inshore areas, although there are two static gear vessels which target principal whelk grounds within the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).

8.62 Figure 8.12 below illustrates the Irish Sea whelks grounds identified through consultation with fishermen, showing potting occurring in the south east of the ISZ, including within the Site boundary.



Figure 8.12 Whelk potting grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea

Nephrops trawling

- 8.63 In ICES rectangle 37E5, nephrops are targeted by vessels operating demersal otter trawls. The majority of these vessels are UK registered, although some Irish registered vessels also target the fishery. These vessels generally employ single or twin rig demersal trawl gears.
- 8.64 Vessels targeting nephrops in the Irish Sea will generally be local vessels which will land their catch daily at the ports in the local area. The ports and number of nephrops fishermen operating out of each one are identified below. Consultation with fishermen has also identified the general grounds in the Irish Sea where these vessels will target nephrops.
- 8.65 Table 8.7 lists the ports in the regional area into which vessels that are targeting nephrops in the vicinity of the ISZ will land their catch.

Port	Vessels		
England			
Whitehaven	There are 12 identified trawlers based at Whitehaven which target nephrops in the Irish Sea, however this activity generally occurs outside the ISZ. Northern Irish vessels will also land their catch at Whitehaven when targeting nephrops in fishing grounds off the coast of Barrow (RSS Marine Consultation Report).		
Fleetwood	Four trawlers have been identified as operating from Fleetwood to target nephrops in offshore and inshore areas outside the ISZ. Effort made by these vessels varies, but the vessels are restricted by quota availability and days at sea restrictions (RSS Marine Consultation Report).		
Maryport	There are between eight and ten small trawlers identified to be targeting nephrops in the Irish Sea and landing their catch into Maryport. These vessels will generally target nephrops grounds in close proximity to their home port (RSS Marine Consultation Report).		
Northern Ireland			
Portavogie	Northern Irish ports such as Portavogie, Ardglass and Kilkeel		
Ardglass	record the highest landings values of hephrops from ICES rectangle 37E5 (MMO statistics).		
Kilkeel			

8.66 Figure 8.13 below shows the Irish Sea specific nephrops grounds identified through consultation with fishermen conducted by RSS Marine Itd and generic grounds identified through the analysis of the datasets previously mentioned (VMS, surveillance sightings etc.). It can be seen that the main nephrops grounds are located in the east and west of the Irish Sea, in areas outside of the Site, however consultation has identified one fishing association whose vessels target nephrops within central areas of the ISZ.



Figure 8.13 Nephrops fishing grounds identified through consultation and data analysis in the Irish Sea

Mid-water pair trawling

- 8.67 In ICES rectangle 37E5, herring are targeted by vessels working mid-water pair trawls. These vessels are either Northern Ireland or Scotland registered.
- 8.68 The herring fishery in the Irish Sea is relatively small scale compared with fisheries elsewhere in UK waters. All landings have been by vessels landing into Northern Irish ports (Gibson 2011). Ardglass is the principal port for landing herring, although Londonderry, Rathmullen, Belfast and Portavogie also record landings values for the period 2001 to 2010 (MMO statistics).
- 8.69 Two Northern Irish vessels seasonally target herring in the waters adjacent to the Isle of Man (Gibson 2011). In addition, consultation has identified one fishing association whose vessels target herring within central areas of the ISZ (Figure 8.14), though outside of the Site boundary.



Figure 8.14 Herring fishing grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea

Potting for crabs and lobster

- 8.70 Potting for edible crab and lobster generally occurs in inshore areas, although there is some limited activity occurring in the vicinity of the ISZ. Potting is an increasingly important fishery in the Irish Sea due to the restrictions on other fisheries.
- 8.71 Because of the limited operational range of small, inshore vessels, potting vessels generally deploy their creels closer to the coast and in areas which are unsuitable for trawling.
- 8.72 The majority of potting vessels are under 10 metres in length, but the scale of the activity can range from a 'hobbyist' fisherman setting around 20 pots to a vivier crabber which may set more than 3000 pots at a time. Smaller vessels may keep their catch alive in cages on the seabed, while larger vessels will use purpose-built onboard vivier tanks.
- 8.73 There are a number of potting vessels operating on a part-time basis. Generally, these vessels only operate during the summer months.
- 8.74 All landings are made by vessels operating in close proximity to their home ports. Table 8.8 lists the ports in the regional area into which vessels that are targeting crab and lobster will land their catch.

Table 8.8 Ports into which vessels targeting crabs and lobster will land their catch

Port	Vessels
England	
Barrow	There are two potting vessels based at Barrow who will seasonally target lobster in addition to netting for bass. This activity occurs in inshore areas outwith of the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Ravenglass	There are four to five potting vessels based at Ravenglass which will target crab and lobster in inshore areas. The lobster grounds are found within 1.5 miles of the coast (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Workington	There are 15 potting vessels based at Workington who will target set pots in the summer months and operate gill nets for the remainder of the year. This activity occurs outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Whitehaven	There are two to three potting vessels operating out of Whitehaven which target crab and lobster in areas outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Maryport	There are eight to ten potting vessels based at Maryport which will target crab and lobster (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Wales	
Cemaes Bay	There are two potting vessels based at Cemaes Bay which will target crab and lobster in areas coastal outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Holyhead	There are five to six potting vessels based at Holyhead which will target crab and lobster in coastal areas outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Amlwch	There are five to six potting vessels based at Amlwch which will target crab and lobster in coastal areas outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).
Beaumaris to River Dee	There are up to 35 vessels operating from ports between Beaumaris and the River Dee. These vessels are both full and part time and a number will target lobster in areas inshore areas outside the ISZ (RSS Marine Consultation Report).

8.75 Consultation with potting fishermen has identified fishing grounds in inshore areas (Figure 8.15).



Figure 8.15 Crab and lobster potting grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea

Other methods

- 8.76 In addition to the methods outlined above, there are a number of additional methods used in the Irish Sea which target species of regional importance. These include dredging for cockles and mussels in inshore areas and mid-water otter trawling for whitefish species (cod and haddock).
- 8.77 Dredging for cockles is a relatively recent fishery in the Irish Sea, with landings values recording for 2008 and 2010 only, targeted in October and November in ICES rectangles in the waters around Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. Hand fishing for cockles has occurred in previous years (2001 to 2003) between August and November in coastal areas off the English coast.
- 8.78 Dredging for mussels has occurred in previous years (2001 to 2004) generally in the winter months (October to February) in coastal areas.
- 8.79 Consultation with fishermen has identified some inshore cockling and whelking areas. None of these are close to the Site.
- 8.80 Mid-water otter trawling for whitefish generally occurs throughout the year in the north and west of the regional study area. Whitefish landings values have declined over the ten year period, likely to be as a result of the increasing restrictions on quotas and effort. Figure 8.16 shows whitefish grounds identified through consultation. Fishing for whitefish occurs along the south boundary of the ISZ.



Figure 8.16 Whitefish grounds identified through consultation in the Irish Sea

Identification of key issues

8.81 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction			
Exclusion from established fishing grounds	As implemented at other offshore wind farm sites, Celtic Array will seek to establish 500m safety zones around construction vessels. Additionally, 50m safety zones will be sought around incomplete structures (such as newly installed piles prior to the installation of topsides). This is likely to result in the short-term displacement of any fishing effort occurring in the immediate vicinity.	Scoped in	
	Given the mobile nature of the fishing activity in the area, it is unlikely there would be a significant effect during the initial construction phase. However, as more piles are installed the significance of the impacts on fishing activities could increase. Given that the Site is principally located on scallop		

	 grounds, the potential effect of exclusion from established fishing grounds will mainly focus on the following receptors: Local king scallop dredgers; Nomadic king scallop dredgers; and Queen scallop dredgers. Other receptors such as beam trawling and static gear fisheries will be considered, following consultation with statutory stakeholders and industry representatives, fishery operations not currently taking place in the Site merube consultation 	
Increased conflict over diminished fishing ground	The potential exclusion described above may lead to exclusion of fishing vessels from parts of the Site during construction. This displacement may lead to increased fishing pressures in other areas.	Scoped in
Potential impacts on fish and shellfish resources	There is the potential for a temporary displacement of sensitive fish species from the area of the construction works as a result of increased levels of suspended sediment levels or underwater noise associated with construction activities. This displacement could potentially have an effect on local fishing vessels, which may have to relocate to find the target species. The ES will consider these impacts within the Fish and Shellfish Ecology Chapter which will be cross-referenced in the Commercial Fisheries Chapter.	Scoped in
Potential impa	cts during operation	
Loss or restricted access to historical fishing grounds	It is likely that, as at other offshore wind farm sites, Celtic Array will seek to establish 500m safety zones during periods of maintenance around the offshore structures such as turbines and sub-stations and may consider 50m operational safety zones. This is could result in some displacement of fishing effort occurring in the immediate vicinity of offshore structures. Additionally, although safety zones will not be established around intra-array or export cables, the presence of buried cables may deter certain fishing activities, such as scallop dredging. Separate consideration of cable burial and protection will be carried out which could provide recommendations of cable burial depth in relation to a number of factors including fishing and scallop dredging. Given that the Site is principally located on scallop grounds, the	Scoped in

	centrica De energy ene	DNG ^{rgy}
	potential effect of loss or restricted access to historical fishing ground will mainly focus on the following receptors:	
	 Local king scallop dredgers; 	
	 Nomadic king scallop dredgers; and 	
	Queen scallop dredgers.	
	Other receptors such as beam trawling and static gear fisheries will be considered, following consultation with statutory stakeholders and industry representatives, fishery operations not currently taking place in the Site may be scoped out.	
Displacement of a number of categories of vessel from the Site into other fishing areas	The potential exclusion described above may lead to exclusion of fishing vessels from parts of the Site during operation. This displacement may lead to increased fishing pressures in other areas. Given that the Site is principally located on scallop grounds, the potential effect of displacement of vessels from the Site to other fishing areas will mainly focus on the following receptors:	Scoped in
	 Local king scallop dredgers; 	
	 Nomadic king scallop dredgers; 	
	Queen scallop dredgers; and	
	Mid water trawlers.	
	Other receptors such as beam trawling and static gear fisheries will be considered, following consultation with statutory stakeholders and industry representatives, fishery operations not currently taking place in the Site may be scoped out.	
Increased steaming times to fishing grounds	Longer steaming distances may occur as a result of vessel displacement especially for mobile gears such as beam trawling. In many cases under suitable weather conditions, it is likely vessels will be able to transit through the Site, which is therefore unlikely to function as a barrier <i>per se</i> . While this issue is scoped in, it will not be a focal issue of the EIA.	Scoped in
Damage to gear, vessel safety	As discussed above, safety zones around structures could minimise the risk of snagging etc. on obstacles on the seabed. The potential impact of unintentional debris can be effectively minimised through the application of survey and recovery protocols within the RWFL EMP.	Scoped in

Interference with fishing activities	Operation and maintenance vessel movements will lead to an increase in maritime activity in and around the Site. The increase in the number of vessels transiting to and from site may affect fishing activity. Risks to shipping and navigation are discussed in greater detail in Chapter 8.2 of this report.	Scoped in	
Potential impacts on resource	The presence of turbines and other structures may affect the composition, distribution and abundance of fish and shellfish resources within the Site, giving rise to an effect (negative or positive) on local fisheries.	Scoped in	
	The ES will consider these impacts within the Fish and Shellfish Ecology Chapter which will be cross- referenced in the Commercial Fisheries Chapter.		
	Such effects will include the potential operation of permanent structures as fish aggregating devices (FADs) and the potential for impacts arising from electromagnetic fields (EMF) from the intra-array and export cables.		
	As discussed in the fish and shellfish ecology Chapter of this report, it is proposed that the impact of operational noise be scoped out of the ES.		
Potential impacts during decommissioning			
Potential impac	cts during decommissioning		
Potential impacts a expected to be the construction guidance on of below the level snagging or loo negligible.	associated with the decommissioning of RWFL are e similar to those which are predicted to occur during n phase. Given the requirements of UK Government decommissioning plans to remove all structures to el of the seabed, it is anticipated that the risk of ss of gear following decommissioning is likely to be	Scoped in	
Potential impacts a expected to be the construction guidance on o below the leve snagging or loo negligible. Potential cumu	ets during decommissioning associated with the decommissioning of RWFL are e similar to those which are predicted to occur during in phase. Given the requirements of UK Government decommissioning plans to remove all structures to el of the seabed, it is anticipated that the risk of ss of gear following decommissioning is likely to be	Scoped in	
Potential impacts a expected to be the construction guidance on of below the level snagging or loo negligible. Potential cumu Other projects cumulative imp respect of the a in the ES, thes projects toget associated with	associated with the decommissioning of RWFL are esimilar to those which are predicted to occur during in phase. Given the requirements of UK Government decommissioning plans to remove all structures to el of the seabed, it is anticipated that the risk of ss of gear following decommissioning is likely to be dative impacts and activities with which RWFL might give rise to bacts are listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). In assessment of potential impacts on commercial fishing se will include operational and consented wind farm her with those in planning. The export cables in each project will also be considered.	Scoped in Scoped in	
Potential impacts a expected to be the construction guidance on of below the leve snagging or loo negligible. Potential cumu Other projects cumulative imp respect of the a in the ES, thes projects toget associated with Consideration of wind, receptors	associated with the decommissioning of RWFL are esimilar to those which are predicted to occur during in phase. Given the requirements of UK Government decommissioning plans to remove all structures to el of the seabed, it is anticipated that the risk of ss of gear following decommissioning is likely to be dative impacts and activities with which RWFL might give rise to bacts are listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). In assessment of potential impacts on commercial fishing se will include operational and consented wind farm her with those in planning. The export cables in each project will also be considered. of cumulative impacts with the following, non-offshore is will also be included in the ES:	Scoped in Scoped in	
Potential impacts a expected to be the construction guidance on of below the leve snagging or loo negligible. Potential cumu Other projects cumulative imp respect of the a in the ES, thes projects toget associated with Consideration of wind, receptors	associated with the decommissioning of RWFL are esimilar to those which are predicted to occur during in phase. Given the requirements of UK Government decommissioning plans to remove all structures to el of the seabed, it is anticipated that the risk of ss of gear following decommissioning is likely to be dative impacts and activities with which RWFL might give rise to bacts are listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). In assessment of potential impacts on commercial fishing se will include operational and consented wind farm her with those in planning. The export cables in each project will also be considered. of cumulative impacts with the following, non-offshore is will also be included in the ES: Seagen Wales proposed tidal generation project at the Skerries;	Scoped in Scoped in	

- Relevant oil and gas activities;
- Areas of potential fishing exclusion such as MCZs; and
- Aggregate dredging in the Irish Sea.

The cumulative impact assessment is anticipated to focus on the following issues discussed in greater detail above:

- Loss or restricted access to historical fishing grounds;
- Displacement of a number of categories of vessel from the Site into other fishing areas;
- Increased steaming times to fishing grounds; and
- Potential impacts on resource (from construction and operation as assessed in the Fish Ecology Chapter).

The cumulative assessment will also need to be assessed against a backdrop of decreasing commercial activity as vessels and skippers leave the industry due to increased fuel and quota pressures and decommissioning schemes.

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.82 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the following data as necessary:
 - MMO fisheries statistics;
 - MMO satellite tracking data;
 - MMO surveillance sightings;
 - Belgian fisheries statistics;
 - Belgian satellite tracking data;
 - Republic of Ireland SFPA fisheries statistics;
 - Republic of Ireland SFPA Irish satellite tracking data;
 - Isle of Man fisheries statistics;
 - Isle of Man satellite tracking data;
 - FIR and Working Group Consultation Data;
 - VMS data from vessels operating in the Site; and
 - Any other data as becomes available, for example the UK Fisheries Industry Mapping project produced by The Crown Estate.
- 8.83 Ongoing consultation as detailed above will additionally inform the EIA process, including:
 - Consultation with fisheries regulators and data holders including DEFRA, MMO, Cefas, Marine Scotland, Irish Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Food,



DARDNI, North West Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authority, and the Belgian Fisheries Authority; and

- Consultation with the commercial fisheries industry including ISZ Fishing Industry Representatives, ISZ Working Group Members and relevant fishermen.
- 8.84 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information. This description will include statistics by ICES rectangles, stating which fisheries target these species and during which times of the year and a characterisation of the key fisheries communities and vessel types operating within the RWFL boundary and surrounding areas;
 - A review and summary of the commercial fisheries consultation including an overview of the key concerns gathered from the industry regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of natural fisheries surveys and results incorporating any identified key issues specifically regarding commercial fishery species, such as any identified noise and EMF implications. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included;
 - A review and summary of the shipping and navigation surveys identifying key issues specifically affecting commercial fishery operations. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures (including the consideration of the potential of enhancement of fisheries) and monitoring.
- 8.85 The EIA for RWFL will take account of the following legislation and guidance:
 - Marine Licence requirements (replacing Section 5 Part II of the Food and Environmental Protection Act 1985 and Section 34 of the Coast Protection Act, 1949);
 - British Wind Energy Association 2004 Recommendations;
 - Offshore Wind Farms, Guidance Note for Environmental Impact Assessment in Respect of FEPA and CPA Requirements – Version 2; Cefas, MCU, Defra, DTI, June 2004;
 - UK Offshore Energy Strategic Environmental Assessment; DECC, January 2009;
 - Recommendations for Fisheries Liaison: FLOW, May 2008;
 - Fisheries Liaison Guidelines Issue 5: UK Oil and Gas, 2008;
 - Guidelines to Improve Relations between Oil and Gas Industries and Near-shore Fishermen, UKOOA (renamed UK Oil and Gas), August 2006;
 - Fishing and Submarine Cables Working Together, International Cable Protection Committee (CPC), February 2009;
 - Options and Opportunities for Marine Fisheries Mitigation Associated with Wind



Farms, COWRIE 2010; and

• ZAP and EIA scoping responses and opinion.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

8.86 The ZAP process concluded that a number of impacts were not significant for a number of gear types. Therefore it is expected that the EIA will focus on gear types where potential impacts are more likely, such as scallop dredgers and mid-water trawlers.

8-2 Human environment – shipping and navigation

Introduction

8.87 This chapter characterises shipping and navigation activities in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on those activities and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees, which will be used to inform the project level EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.88 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 4, Celtic Array commissioned a shipping and navigation study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation based around the collection of data and consultation.
- 8.89 The principal sources of data and information used for the production of the ZAP Report and this report were:
 - Automatic Identification System (AIS) data (28 days from 1 to 14 March 2011 and 15 to 28 June 2011);
 - Radar data (1 March to 31 August 2010);
 - UK Coastal Atlas of Recreational Boating (RYA 2009) and 2010 GIS Shape Files;
 - Maritime Incident Data from the Marine Accident Investigation Branch (MAIB) (2001-2010) and the Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI) (2001-2010);
 - Search and Rescue (SAR) areas (as per Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) Definitions);
 - Port Statistics (DfT 2000-2009 and Dublin Port 2004-2010);
 - Oil and Gas Platforms (UK Deal);
 - Location of Round 1 and 2 wind farms (TCE);
 - Marine aggregates dredging data (licence areas and active areas) from TCE and British Marine Aggregates and Producers Association (BMAPA);
 - MOD PEXA areas (Sea Zone Hydro Spatial Data);
 - Relevant Admiralty Charts for the Area 1121, 1411 and 1826; and
 - Admiralty Sailing Directions. West Coasts of England and Wales Pilot. NP 37. Eighteenth Edition 2011 (UKHO 2011).
- 8.90 AIS data for the ISZ has been collected using a combination of survey vessels and shore based stations for the following periods:
 - Franklin survey vessel (1 March 2010 to 31 August 2010);

- Triad survey vessel (22 April 2010 to 23 May 2010);
- Isle of Man shore based station (9 April 2011 to present day);
- Fleetwood shore based station (8 February 2011 to 11 September 2011); and
- Point Lynas shore based station (9 February 2011 to present day);
- 8.91 Radar data is important for tracking those vessels without AIS such as fishing vessels (potters and small trawlers), recreational craft, military vessels and other small vessels (coasters and tugs). Radar data for the Irish Sea was collected by the survey vessel Franklin between March and August 2010.
- 8.92 During the course of the ZAP process consultation has been undertaken (and continues to be undertaken) with a number of organisations and individuals, namely:
 - MCA (including both national representatives and the local Marine Rescue Coordination Centre at Crosby);
 - Trinity House Light Services (THLS);
 - The Chamber of Shipping (CoS);
 - Department for Transport (DfT);
 - Ministry of Defence (MOD);
 - Royal Yachting Association (RYA);
 - Cruising Association (CA);
 - Major port authorities local to the ISZ;
 - Regular vessel operators including commercial fishing and ferry operators identified from the AIS data analysis (regular routes are described in Table 8.9); and
 - Other Irish Sea developers (wind farms, oil and gas).
- 8.93 Transboundary stakeholders were also consulted on the scope of the ZAP Report. These included the:
 - Commissioners of Irish Lights;
 - Republic of Ireland Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport;
 - Northern Ireland Department of Regional Development, Ports and Public Transport Division; and
 - Isle of Man Government.

Description of current environment

Overview

8.94 The description of the current environment is based on the findings of the ZAP Report.

Navigational features

8.95 Figure 8.17 plots the key navigational features associated with the area in the vicinity of the Site and the broader ISZ.


- 8.96 There are a number of licensed marine aggregate dredging areas in the vicinity of the Site. The closest license area is 13nm to the east of the Site. The closest dredge disposal sites are 13nm east and 13nm south of the Site.
- 8.97 There are no charted anchorage areas within the Site or the ISZ. Point Lynas Pilot Boarding Station for deep draught vessels and adverse weather boarding is located to the south of the Site. Although not a chartered anchorage, vessels frequently anchor within the Point Lynas area to await a pilot or to shelter from predominant south westerly gales.
- 8.98 There are two Traffic Separation Schemes (TSSs) in proximity to the Site. The Anglesey TSS intersects about 5nm south west of the Site at its closest point. The Liverpool Bay TSS is around 12nm south east of the Site.
- 8.99 There are three military practice areas in the vicinity of site, none of which lie within the Site or ISZ boundary. The area to the north west of the Site is used for submarine operations based out of Her Majesty's Naval Base (HMNB) Clyde. The remaining two military practice areas are designated firing ranges.
- 8.100 The nearest oil and gas platform to the Site is the Calder platform which is located about 13nm east of the Site. There are numerous other platforms located to the east of the Site. Round 1 and 2 wind farm regions, and the proposed extensions, are also located to the east of the Site. The proposed Walney Extension is about 23nm from the Site and Gwynt y Môr is 14nm from the Site.
- 8.101 In terms of oil and gas installations, planned developments in the vicinity of RWFL include the Conwy platform which will be located about 12nm east of the Site and the Rhyl development which is located about 24nm east of the Site. The Conwy platform will be a Normally Unattended Installation (NUI) and the development will cover both the Conwy and Corfe fields. The scheduled date for completion of this platform is May 2012 with first oil expected in September 2012. The Rhyl development will have a single production subsea well connected to a manifold, which is tied back to a drilling and production platform (DPPA). Currently, this project is at the consenting stage and intends to be operational in mid-2012.
- 8.102 Other planned developments in the vicinity of the ISZ relevant to navigation include Port Meridian, which will establish a deepwater port (buoys) for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) offloading. The two proposed offloading buoys for the deepwater port development are to be located 10nm north east of the Site.

Ports

8.103 The main ports relevant to the development of RWFL and the ISZ are presented in Figure 8.18.



Figure 8.18 Ports in the vicinity of the Site

- 8.104 The nearest port is Holyhead, which is approximately 18nm from the Site. Numerous other ports in England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Ireland also lie within 50nm of the ISZ.
- 8.105 The number of ship arrivals to the principal ports in the vicinity of the ISZ is presented in Figure 8.19. Numbers for UK ports are based on the latest published DfT statistics (DfT 2010). Although these statistics exclude some movements, they provide a good indication of the relative traffic levels and trends. Ship arrivals statistics for Dublin were published in the Dublin Port Company Trade Statistics (2010) report and are available from 2004 onwards.
- 8.106 The port of Douglas on the Isle of Man is also considered to be a principal port. Annual ship arrival statistics are not available for this location but the main arrivals in this port are ferries operated by the Isle of Man Steam Packet Company. Douglas ferries route to Liverpool and Heysham for which arrival statistics are provided in Figure 8.19.



Figure 8.19 Ship arrivals to principal ports 2000-2009

8.107 Plans to build a second container terminal at Liverpool may see the number of ship arrivals at the port increase in the future. The building of this terminal is expected to increase the port's capacity from 700,000 TEUs (Twenty Foot Equivalent Units) to 1,300,000 TEUs and enable the accommodation of new generation post-Panamax size container ships.

AIS shipping survey

- 8.108 As discussed above, Celtic Array has collected AIS data to inform the ZAP process and EIA scoping. This section analyses the vessel tracks recorded by AIS during 28 days in March and June 2011 (1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June).
- 8.109 Vessels tracked within the ISZ and a 10nm buffer around it, are presented in Figure 8.20 and colour-coded by type.



Figure 8.20 Overview of AIS tracks recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June).

8.110 Figure 8.21 presents the distribution of vessel types passing through the ISZ and buffer during the 28 day period. Figure 8.21 excludes the 6% of vessels which were 'unspecified' (i.e. those vessels which did not display any vessel type on their AIS).



Figure 8.21 Vessel type distributions

- 8.111 The most common types of vessels were cargo vessels (48%), passenger vessels (19%) and tankers (18%). 'Other' ships made up 5% of traffic. Vessels in this category include recreational sailing craft, offshore support vessels and crew transfer vessels transiting to and from existing offshore wind farm developments.
- 8.112 The tracks of the cargo vessels, passenger vessels and tankers within the ISZ and 10nm buffer during the 28 day period are shown respectively in Figure 8.22, Figure 8.23 and Figure 8.24.



Figure 8.22 Cargo vessels recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)



Figure 8.23 Passenger vessels recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)



Figure 8.24 Tankers recorded in March and June 2011 (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)

- 8.113 During these periods, there was an average of 48 vessels in the ISZ and buffer per day. The busiest day was 28 June 2011 when a total of 62 ships were recorded while the quietest day was 12 March 2011 when 37 ships were recorded. It should be noted that not all these vessels were within the Site boundary.
- 8.114 The average length of vessels passing through the ISZ and 10nm buffer during the 28 day period was 118m. The longest vessel was the Container/RoRo vessel Atlantic Cartier at 293m, recorded as heading for Halifax, on one day during the 28 day period. This vessel is 33m wide at the beam and broadcast a draught of 11.2m.
- 8.115 The average draught of vessels passing through the ISZ and 10nm buffer during the 28 day period was 6m. The vessel with the deepest draught was the Shuttle Tanker Grena at 14.5m, recorded as heading for Pembroke and the Ross oil field, on two days during the 28 day period. This vessel is 45m wide at the beam and 277m long.
- 8.116 The average speed of vessels passing within the ISZ and 10nm buffer during the 28 day period was 13 knots. The fastest vessel tracked was the high speed catamaran passenger vessel Manannan, which was regularly recorded transiting between Liverpool and Douglas at speeds up to 33.8 knots.
- 8.117 The main destinations for vessels within the ISZ and 10nm buffer are presented in Figure 8.25.



Figure 8.25 Main destination ports of vessels passing through ISZ and buffer (28 days 1 to 14 March and 15 to 28 June)

- 8.118 The main destination was Liverpool, with 36% of vessels heading to this port. Other frequent destinations for vessels were Dublin, Heysham and Belfast.
- 8.119 The 28 days of AIS track data for all vessels have been converted to a vessel density per year grid to show grid-cells where there are higher densities of vessel activity. The results are presented in Figure 8.26. The value ranges are based on indicators of relative national values of ship density within areas of potential future wind farm developments in the UK. The highest value (>600) is indicative of a high density shipping area at a national level.



Figure 8.26 Ship density grids

Radar shipping survey

8.120 Tracks of vessels picked up on radar by the survey vessel Franklin during the periods 1st to 14th March 2010 and 15th to 28th June 2010 were considered as part of the ZAP Report. 23% of vessels recorded in the survey were not classified. The most common vessel types recorded were fishing and recreational which accounted for 65% and 12% of traffic respectively in the ISZ.

Main routes

8.121 Main routes passing through the ISZ and 10nm buffer have been identified using principles set out in MGN 371 (MCA 2008). AIS data has been assessed and vessels transiting at similar headings to similar locations are identified as following a route. Regular operators not already identified by the 90th percentile because of the smaller volumes of traffic have also been identified from the AIS data. The main routes and 90th percentiles are plotted in Figure 8.27. A brief description of the traffic on the main routes is presented in Table 8.9.



Figure 8.27 90th percentiles for the main routes identified in the Irish Sea

Route Number	Description
1	Heysham (UK) to Douglas (Isle of Man). Route 1 is the IOMSPC route between Heysham and Douglas. The main vessel to operate on this route is the RoRo passenger ferry Ben-My-Chree. The high speed ferry Manannan also operates seasonally on this route.
2	Liverpool/Birkenhead (UK) to Douglas (Isle of Man). Traffic on route 2 mainly comprises passenger ferries. The main ferries on this route are Ben-My-Chree and the seasonal Manannan.
3	Heysham (UK) to Warrenpoint (Northern Ireland). Route 3 is generally used by RoRo vessels operated by Seatruck.
4	Belfast (Northern Ireland) to various UK and European Ports. The majority of vessels on route 4 are tankers and cargo vessels.
5	Lynas Pilot Station from North Channel. The majority of vessels on route 5 are tankers headed to the Point Lynas pilot boarding station and then onwards to River Mersey ports.

Table 8.9 Description of main routes in the ISZ

Route Number	Description
6	Liverpool (UK) to Dublin (Ireland). Traffic on route 6 mainly comprises RoRo traffic transiting north of the Anglesey TSS to shorten journey times. The route is operated by P&O and Seatruck.
7	Various European Ports to Liverpool (UK). Traffic on route 7 includes a variety of cargo and tanker traffic heading to Liverpool via the Anglesey TSS.
8	Liverpool (UK) to Belfast (Northern Ireland). Route 8 comprises a variety of cargo and tanker traffic using the Liverpool Bay TSS.
9	Liverpool (UK) to Belfast (Northern Ireland). Traffic on route 9 mainly comprises RoRo vessels operated by Stenaline. This route does not use the Liverpool Bay TSS.
10	Heysham (UK) to Dublin (Ireland). Route 10 traffic mainly comprises RoRo vessels operated by Seatruck.
11	Milford Haven (UK) to Douglas (Isle of Man). The majority of vessels using route 11 are tankers.
12	Heysham (UK) to Belfast/Larne (Northern Ireland). Traffic on route 12 mainly comprises RoRo vessels operated by Stenaline (Heysham to Belfast) and Seatruck (Heysham to Larne). This route is on the edge of the 10nm boundary but previous bad weather routes have intersected the zone.
13	Ramsey (Isle of Man) to Glasson Dock (UK). Small cargo vessels make up the majority of the traffic on route 13.

8.122 Commercial ferry vessels are an important receptor in the Irish Sea. From Table 8.9, it can be seen the main routes used by commercial ferry operators are 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10 and 12.

Adverse weather routes

8.123 During adverse weather conditions, vessels may utilise different routes than those outlined above. As part of the ZAP Report observations have been made of vessel movements during periods of adverse weather conditions which were experienced in the Irish Sea area in February 2011 (4th and 7th), May 2011 (23rd and 24th), September 2011 (6th, 7th, 12th and 13th) and December 2011 (13th, 14th, 28th and 29th). Figures 8.28 and 8.29 present the adverse weather routes observed.



Figure 8.28 Adverse weather routes (4/7 February 2011 and 23/24 May 2011)



Figure 8.29 Adverse weather routes (September and December 2011)

Fishing vessel activity

8.124 Fishing vessel activity was considered as part of the ZAP Report for navigation. Further details on commercial fishing vessel use of the Site and ISZ are provided in Chapter 8.1 of this report.

Recreational vessels

8.125 A plot of the cruising routes, sailing areas, racing areas and coastal recreational facilities (marinas, clubs etc) in the vicinity of the ISZ, based on data from 2010, is presented in Figure 8.30.



Figure 8.30 Recreational user information around Irish Sea Zone

- 8.126 Recreational craft routes have been divided up into the following three categories based on route usage:
 - Heavy Recreational Routes very popular routes on which a minimum of six or more recreational vessels will probably be seen at all times during summer daylight hours. These also include the entrances to harbours, anchorages and places of refuge;
 - Medium Recreational Routes popular routes on which some recreational craft will be seen at most times during summer daylight hours; and
 - Light Recreational Routes routes known to be in common use but which do not qualify for medium or heavy classification.
- 8.127 There are 15 medium use routes and six light use routes intersecting the ISZ. The nearest heavy use route is 37nm to the south east of the zone. Further assessment of recreational routes will be made as part of the EIA and in consultation with local and national stakeholders.

Maritime incidents

8.128 Maritime incidents occurring in the vicinity of the ISZ in recent years were considered in the ZAP Report.



Identification of key issues

8.129 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction			
Vessel to vessel collision risk	During construction a temporary increase in vessel movements in the Site and along the export cable corridor will occur. These vessels may include small workboats, transport barges, jack-up construction vessels, mobile cranes, dredgers, service/boats, tugs, etc.	Scoped in	
Vessel to structure collision risk	Increased vessel to vessel collision risk may occur from the presence of construction vessels, either when stationary or when crossing shipping lanes.	Scoped in	
	The construction of RWFL may reduce the current available area around shipping lanes in the vicinity of the Site.		
Displacement of vessels from main routes	It is anticipated that such risks can be effectively minimised through approaches such as the use of safety zones, vessel management systems, site monitoring by guard vessels and radar and the issue of Notices to Mariners, in accordance with good industry practice.	Scoped in	
Potential impacts during operation			
Vessel to vessel collision risk	The physical presence of structures and their associated operational safety zones (if applied for and granted) may displace vessels onto new routes including into channels between the Site and other wind farm projects in the ISZ or between the Site and other offshore structures (e.g. Round 1 and 2 offshore wind farms or other offshore installations).	Scoped in	
Vessel to structure collision risk	Additionally the presence of structures may increase risks of vessel to structure collisions, including the risk of collision of vessels not under command (NUC), for example vessels drifting because of machinery related problems.		
	The increase in traffic volumes resultant from the wind farm O&M vessels may also lead to an increase in encounters and therefore increased risk of vessel to vessel collisions.		

Interaction between RWFL and Traffic Separation Schemes	The Site is located approximately 5-7nm from the northern and southern points respectively of the Anglesey TSS, see Figure 8.17. These distances could introduce the potential for increased concentration of traffic in existing vessel routes and affect impact vessel to vessel and vessel to structure collision risk. The boundary of the Site has been drawn to ensure a buffer of 5nm from the Anglesey TSS and a buffer of 1nm from a line drawn between northern most limit of traffic passing between the Anglesey and Liverpool Bay TSSs. This increases the distance of the Site from the Anglesey TSS and the dense shipping route to the south. While this issue remains scoped in, it is expected that meintaining these elegrange distances from the	Scoped in
	TSS traffic will greatly reduce the risk of interaction with the Site.	
Displacement of vessels from main routes	The physical presence of structures may displace vessels from current routes and affect existing transits to ports. It should be noted, however, that the ZAP Report has informed the selection of the Site so as to allow the maximum number of vessels to continue on existing routes or with minimal deviation.	Scoped in
Change to availability of adverse weather routes	The physical presence of structures within current open sea areas could affect the availability of adverse weather routes. Within this area of the Irish Sea, vessels head approximately south west and then north west (or vice versa for inward bound vessels) to counter the effects of the wind and ease movement on board the vessel.	Scoped in
	It should be noted that the ZAP Report has informed the selection of the Site so as to allow the maximum number of vessels to continue on adverse weather routes.	
Risk of impacts on the effectiveness of communication and navigational equipment	VHF radio, telecommunications equipment, radar and navigational equipment such as compasses may be affected when in close proximity to RWFL because of physical presence of structures and cables.Implementing standard safety measures is expected to address a number of these issues and for this reason, it is not viewed as a focal issue for the EIA. Specific issues raised through consultation, such as	Scoped in

	further.	
Anchor snagging risk on export and intra- array cables	The presence of export cables or the intra-array cables which connect individual wind turbines to the offshore substation(s) could increase the risk of anchor snagging. This is particularly relevant to export cables. The possibility of vessels anchoring within the wind farm footprint is expected to be low.	Scoped in
Effects on commercial fishing vessels	The navigation or safety of commercial fishing vessels has the potential to be affected by the issues discussed above. Fishing vessel collision risk (both with other vessels and with structures) will be assessed as part of the shipping and navigation EIA process. The risk of fishing gear snagging on structures or intra-array cables is discussed in Chapter 8.1 of this report. The implications of RWFL on VHF and radar	Scoped in
	capability may be more significant for smaller vessels with a lower capability of equipment than the large commercial vessels considered above, particularly because they may be closer to turbines or even within the turbine array.	
Effects on recreational vessels	The navigation or safety of recreational vessels has the potential to be affected by the issues discussed above. Recreational vessel collision risk (both with other vessels and with structures) will be assessed as part of the shipping and navigation EIA process. The implications of RWFL on VHF and radar capability may be more significant for smaller vessels with a lower capability of equipment than the large commercial vessels considered above, particularly as they may be closer to turbines or even within the turbine array.	Scoped in
Effects on emergency responders and users of emergency services	The ES will consider the effect of RWFL on maritime emergency response activities. RWFL may give rise to an increased demand for emergency response facilities (including Search and Rescue and pollution control) because of the presence of operation and maintenance activities over the lifetime of RWFL.	Scoped in
	MGN 371 requires an Emergency Response and Cooperation Plan (ERCoP) to be developed for each wind farm project to identify how emergencies will be dealt with in the Site.	
	Following the introduction of this plan and further analysis, the potential impacts on emergency services are not expected to be significant.	

Navigation markings and impacts on visual navigation	RWFL will result in a change in existing navigation markings and the presence of structures which will require appropriate marking and lighting.	Scoped in
Potential impacts	during decommissioning	
It is anticipated that the effects of the decommissioning of RWFL on shipping and navigation will be broadly similar to those occurring during construction, albeit with a lowering of risk levels as structures are removed.		
Potential cumulati	ive impacts	
Other projects and activities with which RWFL might give rise to cumulative impacts are listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). In respect of the assessment of potential impacts on shipping and navigation in the ES these will include offshore wind farm projects and the installation and operation of the RWFL export cable, described in Chapter 3. The export cable route corridor will be refined during the EIA process as more information becomes available.		
or more of the abo	ts arising from the interaction between RWFL and one ove developments may include:	
Changes encounter	to vessel to vessel collision risk due to increased s and reduced sea room;	
Displacem recreation areas ther	nent of different vessel types (commercial, fishing, al) into areas of fishing, recreational, dredging etc. reby increasing encounter rates and risk of collision;	
Route de vessels; a	viations for commercial, fishing and recreational nd	
Changes t	to the availability of adverse weather routes.	

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.130 As part of the EIA process a Navigation Assessment (NA) and a Navigation Risk Assessment (NRA) will be undertaken for RWFL to assess the construction, operational, decommissioning and cumulative impacts of the development discussed above, as well as to inform the orientation of the Site boundary and the RWFL design layout. The NA and NRA will also consider the risk of impacts on communication and navigation equipment.
- 8.131 The NA will include a baseline review of commercial shipping and navigation, commercial fishing and recreational activities in the study area, specifically determining the proximity of Site to shipping routes, navigation channels/separation schemes, port entrances, marking and lighting of the Site and other areas and features of navigational importance.
- 8.132 The NRA will be produced to conform to the guidance described below. The NRA will provide, as a minimum, a comprehensive hazard log, detailed and quantified navigation

risk assessment, a preliminary search and rescue assessment or overview and a preliminary emergency response assessment or overview.

- 8.133 The ZAP process has collected a large amount of AIS, radar and visual data on shipping in the vicinity of RWFL and the ISZ. Furthermore Celtic Array intends to continue to collect data from coastal based AIS-receivers on the Isle of Man and Anglesey from February 2011 until the consent application date. This will give a good understanding of shipping routes and crucially of adverse weather routeing. Because of this strong basis of data, it is proposed that a boat-based AIS, radar and visual survey is performed for 14 days in the summer of 2012 only. This would be less than the 28 days recommended. However it is suggested that the full 28 days is not necessary because of the data that has already been collected and the continued data collection from coastal sources. This proposal and the detailed methodology will be consulted on with navigation authorities and the survey requirements would be agreed in the early stages of consultation.
- 8.134 The following key guidance will be used to inform the EIA process and, if required, the collection and analysis of survey data:
 - DECC Methodology for Assessing the Marine Navigational Safety Risks of Offshore Wind Farms (DECC 2005); and
 - MCA Marine Guidance Note 371 (MGN 371) Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREIs) – Guidance on UK Navigational Practice, Safety and Emergency Response Issues (MCA 2008).
- 8.135 The DECC Methodology (DECC 2005) is centred on risk assessment and control. It specifies the requirements for a submission including ensuring that sufficient risk controls are, or will be, in place for the assessed risk to be judged as broadly acceptable or tolerable with further controls or actions.
- 8.136 MGN 371 (MCA 2008) highlights issues that should be taken into consideration when assessing the impact on navigational safety and emergency response (Search and Rescue (SAR) and Counter Pollution). It includes guidance on site position and design, impacts on navigation, mitigation measures and SAR.
- 8.137 Other guidance documents used to inform EIA process will include:
 - MCA Marine Guidance Notice 372 (2008). Guidance to Mariners operating in the Vicinity of UK OREIs;
 - Trinity House Lighthouse Service (2008). Guidance based on IALA Recommendation O-139 On The Marking of Man-Made Offshore Structures, 1st Edition;
 - DECC (2011 revision). Standard Marking Schedule for Offshore Installations;
 - BWEA, DTI, MCA and PLA (2007). Investigation of Technical and Operational Effects on Marine Radar Close to Kentish Flats Offshore Wind farm;
 - Howard, M. and Brown, C. (2004). Results of the Electromagnetic Investigations and assessments of marine radar, communications and positioning systems undertaken at the North Hoyle Wind farm by QinetiQ and the MCA;
 - IMO (2002). Guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment for use in the IMO Rule Making Process (MSC/Circ.1023/MEPC/Circ.392); and
 - BERR (2007). Guidance Notes on Applying for Safety Zones around Offshore Renewable Energy Installations Guidance Notes.



Consultation

- 8.138 During the course of the EIA process consultation will be undertaken with a number of stakeholders including:
 - MCA (including both national representatives and the local Marine Rescue Coordination Centre at Crosby);
 - Trinity House Lighthouse Service;
 - Chamber of Shipping;
 - Department of Transport;
 - Ministry of Defence;
 - Royal Yachting Association;
 - Cruising Association;
 - Major port authorities local to the ISZ;
 - Regular vessel operators including commercial fishing and ferry operators identified from the AIS data analysis; and
 - Other Irish Sea developers (wind farms, oil and gas).
- 8.139 Transboundary stakeholders will also be consulted on the scope of the EIA work. These will include:
 - Commissioners of Irish Lights;
 - Republic of Ireland Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport;
 - Northern Ireland Department of Regional Development, Ports and Public Transport Division; and
 - Isle of Man Government.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

- 8.140 Shipping and navigation was a consideration in the definition of the Potential Development Areas as part of the ZAP process. Potential impacts such as adverse weather routeing, direct routeing and vessel to vessel collision risks were factors considered when defining these areas. Discussions with stakeholders and assessment are ongoing and will inform project assessment.
- 8.141 The ZAP Report recommended that four potential issues form the main focus of the EIA. These are changes to vessel to vessel collision risk, increase in vessel to structure risk (including vessels not under command), availability of adverse weather routeing and displacement of vessels from main routes.



8-3 Human environment – aviation

Introduction

8.142 This chapter characterises the aviation related activities in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on those activities and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform RWFL's EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.143 Celtic Array considered aviation and radar issues as part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 18 of the ZAP report (Celtic Array 2012).
- 8.144 The main method of establishing the baseline environment and assessing the potential effects of offshore wind farm development on military and civilian aviation and radar is to consult with those who own and operate the potentially affected systems and infrastructure.
- 8.145 Celtic Array consulted widely as part of the ZAP process to identify organisations which could be affected by the development of wind farms in the ISZ.
- 8.146 Consultation to date has included the following meetings/conference calls:
 - Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) and Department for Transport held on 19 March 2010, and CAA update on 16 February 2011;
 - Defence Estates (now the Defence Infrastructure Organisation, DIO) held on 31 March 2010;
 - Isle of Man Airport held on 24 June 2010, 16 September 2010, 5 May 2011 and 23 February 2012; and
 - NATS held on 23 November 2010 and 2 June 2011.
- 8.147 The following data sources and guidance have been considered as part of the ZAP and EIA scoping processes:
 - CAA (2012). CAP 764, CAA Policy and Guidance on Wind Turbines;
 - Qinetiq, G.J. Poupart, (2003). Wind Farm impact on Radar Aviation Interests Final Report;
 - NATS (En Route) Ltd (NERL) and MOD low flying published self-assessment maps; and
 - The UK Aeronautical Information publication.

Description of current environment

8.148 Figure 8.31 below shows the location of the Site in relation to the aviation issues discussed in the section.



Figure 8.31 Key airports, radar installations and helicopter routes that could be affected by wind farm development of the Site

Controlled airspace

8.149 The majority of the ISZ lies beneath controlled airspace corridors, including the south eastern extent of the Isle of Man Controlled Traffic Area (CTA) and Surveillance Minimum Altitude Areas (SMAA). The CTA is an area of controlled airspace where all aircraft are required to carry secondary surveillance radar transponders. The SMAA is the region of airspace within which the air traffic controllers at the Isle of Man airport direct aircraft at defined altitudes during approaches to landing.

Military aviation infrastructure

- 8.150 Military infrastructure and facilities are administered by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO). Consultation with DIO indicates that the only relevant aviation facility is located at RAF Valley, located on Anglesey about 45km from the Site. Celtic Array considered effects on Warton radar as part of the ZAP Report and do not anticipate any significant effects on ATC operations at Warton aerodrome. It is a training facility for fast jet pilots, and it houses a SAR helicopter base.
- 8.151 Other non-aviation, military infrastructure is discussed in Chapter 8.6 of this report.

NERL radar

8.152 NATS (En Route) PLC (NERL) provides en-route air traffic services to aircraft flying within the UK airspace. NERL radars are present at St. Anne's (near Blackpool) and Lowther Hill (Dumfries and Galloway).

Civilian airports

8.153 The Isle of Man Airport is situated in the southern part of the Isle of Man, and is located about 34km to the north western edge of the Site. The airport operates primary radar and, as discussed above, parts of RWFL may encroach on the airport's CTA and SMAAs.

Helicopter operations

8.154 Helicopters service the eastern Irish Sea oil and gas industry in the Morecambe Bay and Liverpool Bay areas. Helicopter operations and maintenance support is also anticipated to be used at some of the Round 2 and Round 2 Extension offshore wind farms within the Irish Sea, and so, in future, there may be increased helicopter activity around these areas.

Identification of key issues

Potential effects during construction			
Aviation and radar	There are not anticipated to be any additional impacts on aviation and radar interests specifically associated with the construction of RWFL.	Scoped out	
Potential impac	cts during operation		
Impacts on air traffic control radar at RAF valley	Discussions with the DIO have identified that there is the potential for an impact on the air traffic control (ATC) facility at RAF Valley arising from development within the ISZ.	Scoped in	
	RAF Valley is located on the Isle of Anglesey and is approximately 45km from the Site. A study, performed as part as the ZAP process, considered turbines with a maximum tip height of 224m and found there is only a clear line of sight to the ATC radar from the western area of the ISZ and therefore development of RWFL may not be problematic in this respect.		
	Celtic Array will continue to liaise with the DIO to identify the level of impact which the development of the Site may give rise to, including the range of turbine heights described in Chapter 4.		
Impacts on other military aviation facilities and operations	Development within the ISZ is not likely to have an impact on any air defence infrastructure, nor is it anticipated to affect low flying activities.	Scoped out	

centrica	DONG
energy	energy

Impacts on NERL radar	Development on the eastern edge of the ISZ (including within the Site) may be visible to the NERL radars at Lowther Hill and St Anne's. Celtic Array is in discussions with NATS to identify the level of impact that development of the Site may give rise to.	Scoped in	
Impacts on Isle of Man Airport	The Isle of Man Airport is situated in the southern part of the Isle of Man, and is located approximately 34km to the north western edge of the RWFL boundary. The airport operates primary radar and parts of RWFL may encroach on the airport's CTA and surveillance minimum altitude zones. Celtic Array is in discussions with the Isle of Man Airport, and the suppliers of its new radar, to identify the level of effect on the airport that development at the Site may cause.	Scoped in	
Impacts on helicopter operations	The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) provides guidance on air safety issues. In the January 2012 update to the CAA guidance CAP 764, it is stated that: <i>"For many years, the CAA has emphasised the</i> <i>importance of operators and developers taking into</i> <i>consideration all existing and planned obstacles</i> <i>around offshore helicopters destinations that might</i> <i>impact on the safe operation of associated</i> <i>helicopter low visibility approaches in poor weather</i> <i>conditions. In order to help achieve a safe operating</i> <i>environment, a consultation zone of 9 Nautical Mile</i> <i>(NM) radius exists around offshore helicopter</i> <i>destinations. This consultation is not a prohibition</i> <i>on development within a 9nm radius of offshore</i> <i>operations, but a trigger for consultation with</i> <i>offshore helicopter operators, the operators of</i> <i>existing installations and exploration and</i> <i>development locations to determine a solution that</i> <i>maintains safe helicopter operations alongside the</i> <i>proposed development."</i> Celtic Array consulted with ten Irish Sea helicopter <i>operators while developing the ZAP Report. Celtic</i> <i>Array will continue to consult with these operators in</i> <i>respect of the potential development of the Site.</i>	Scoped in	
Potential impac	cts during decommissioning		
There are not and radar inter of RWFL.	There are not anticipated to be any additional impacts on aviation Scoped out and radar interests specifically associated with the decommissioning of RWFL.		

Potential cumulative impacts

Other projects and activities with which RWFL might give rise to cumulative impacts are listed in Chapter 5 (EIA methodology). In respect of the assessment of potential impacts on aviation interests in the ES these will include wind farm projects which are operational, consented, in planning and those for which are reasonably foreseeable as well as oil and gas platforms serviced by helicopters.

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.155 On-going consultation as detailed above will continue to inform the EIA process. The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process, updated as necessary.
- 8.156 If necessary, modelling of potential impacts on radar at RAF Valley, the Isle of Man Airport and at Lowther Hill and St. Anne's will be carried out in consultation with the relevant stakeholders to provide a quantitative assessment of risk to those facilities.
- 8.157 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea area making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information;
 - A review and summary of the aviation consultation including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative and transboundary impacts; and
 - Mitigation measures and monitoring proposals, if necessary.
- 8.158 The EIA for RWFL will take account of the following guidance:
 - CAA (2012), CAP 764, CAA Policy and Guidance on Wind Turbines;
 - The CAA's 2009 updated version of 'Policy and Guidelines on Wind Turbines' a document to ensure consistency in the assessment of the potential impacts of proposed wind turbine development on the aviation industry;
 - 'ATC Air Performance Metrics' by the recently formed MOD Air Traffic Management Performance Criteria Working Group (ATMPC WG) – this document informs those in the wind farm industry of wind farm mitigation solutions; and
 - The Wind Energy, Defence and Civil Aviation Interests Working Group's 2002 Report on 'Wind Energy and Aviation Interests: Interim Guidelines' – this report details both military and independent airport operator issues and consultation procedures.

Benefits of the ZAP Report for project scoping

8.159 The ZAP process allowed issues to be identified at an early stage and engagement with relevant stakeholders to be undertaken.



8-4 Human environment – seascape, landscape and visual amenity

Introduction

8.160 This chapter characterises the seascape, landscape, and visual environment in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on that environment and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform RWFL's EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.161 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 4 of the ZAP report, Celtic Array has commissioned a seascape and landscape study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included characterisation of the seascape and landscape within a 35km study area surrounding the ISZ together with consideration of key landscape receptors up to 60km from the ISZ.
- 8.162 The following guidance was considered as part of the ZAP process and has been taken into account in the preparation of this report:
 - Guidance on the Assessment of Effect of Offshore Wind Farms: Seascape and Visual Impact Report (DTI 2005);
 - Maritime Ireland/Wales Interreg 1994 1999 Guidance 'Guide to Best Practice in Seascape Assessment' (GSA) (March 2001);
 - Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (GLAVIA) (Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) and the Landscape Institute's (LI), second edition 2002);
 - Visual Representation of Windfarms Best Practice Guidance (SNH 2007);
 - Cumulative Effects on Windfarms (SNH 2005); and
 - Siting and Design of Windfarms (SNH 2009).
- 8.163 Baseline data for the ZAP Report and this report was collected from sources including published GIS datasets such as OS Open Data, CORINE Landuse, NASA terrain and OpenStreetMap data.
- 8.164 Site visits to inform the ZAP Report were carried out in June 2011 (North Wales) and October 2011 (Isle of Man) to establish the seascape, landscape and visual baseline.
- 8.165 Published survey and assessment information used in the collection of baseline data for the ZAP Report and this report has included:
 - Landscape of Wales Regional Landscape Character Assessment, CCW (2011);
 - Seascape Assessment of Wales, CCW (2010); and
 - Isle of Man Landscape Character Assessment, Isle of Man Government (2008).

Stakeholder consultation

8.166 Stakeholder consultation with a wide range of stakeholders was also carried out to inform the ZAP Report. Consultation with these parties will continue as the EIA progresses.



Description of current environment

- 8.167 The ZAP Report provided a broad summary of the seascape, landscape and visual environment in the vicinity of the Site.
- 8.168 The majority of the 35km study area considered in the ZAP process lies within the Irish Sea itself. The study area in relation to the ISZ and the Site is shown in Figure 8.32. The study area also extends across Anglesey and the coastal margins of Gwynedd and Conwy. To the north part of the Isle of Man also falls within the study area. As noted above an additional 60km area around the ISZ was considered in respect of nationally designated landscapes such as the Lake District National Park, Snowdonia National Park and Clwydian Range AONB because of their national importance, elevated height and potential sensitivity to change.



Figure 8.32 35km study area for visual impact of the Site

8.169 The nature and magnitude of any potential impact on these areas will depend on a variety of factors including the location and height of the turbines forming RWFL. It is likely that some of the areas below will be able to be scoped out of the ES following calculation of zones of theoretical visibility (ZTV) carried out as part of the EIA process.

Designated landscapes

8.170 The key characteristics of the landscape designations which lie within a 60km study area are described below. Figure 8.33 shows the location of the landscape designations.



Snowdonia National Park

8.171 Snowdonia National Park covers an extensive area of north west Wales to the south east of Anglesey. The majority of the National Park lies beyond the 35km study area. ZTV calculations carried out for the ZAP Report show that there is potential for intervisibility with the ISZ across the north west facing slopes of this mountainous designated landscape. The key characteristics of this area are discussed below within the Eryri regional character area. Coastal views are a characteristic of only a small part of the national park and these are part of expansive panoramic elevated views.

Lake District National Park

8.172 ZTV calculations for the ZAP Report suggest intervisibility with the ISZ on the south west facing slopes of the outer extents of the national park. While distant and panoramic views are a feature of much of the exposed mountainous areas, it is only the western uplands and lowlands, and coastal margins where sea views are a feature. However, these views take in a considerable range and expanse of elements including existing offshore wind farms and oil/gas platforms.

Anglesey AONB and North Anglesey Heritage Coast

- 8.173 The Anglesey AONB designation covers almost all the coastal regions of Anglesey, Holyhead Mountain and Mynydd Bodafon. As stated within the Isle of Anglesey County Council's website, the AONB was designated '*in order to protect the aesthetic appeal and variety of the island's coastal landscape and habitats from inappropriate development*.'
- 8.174 The AONB also encompasses three sections of heritage coast, which are designated because of their open, undeveloped coastline. The North Anglesey section lies within the study area and is the only section of the heritage coast to have potential intervisibility with the ISZ. The views out from much of the AONB and heritage coast include a variety of features such as the Wyfla Power Station, Holyhead docks and industry, remnants of open cast mining, settlements, offshore wind farms and onshore wind farms.

Clwydian Range AONB

8.175 The Clywdian Range AONB is a chain of hills extending approximately 3km north south from Nant y Garth in the south to Prestatyn in the north. The Offa's Dyke National Trail follows the ridgeline. Much of the range is enclosed by woodland and agriculture but views out to the surrounding landscape are available from parts of the ridgeline such as at Moel Famau and Craig Fawr. Views to the sea will be distant and potentially encompass existing offshore wind farms, the docks around Birkenhead and Liverpool and the coastal resorts along North Wales. Distant panoramic views are a characteristic, but specific sea views are not common from the majority of the AONB.

Great Orme Heritage Coast

8.176 The Great Orme Heritage Coast is defined by the distinctive headland which lies at the north western end of the Creuddyn Peninsula, approximately 30km from the ISZ. It is primarily an open grassland area on top of high sea cliffs. Views from the headland to Snowdonia and also across the sea are a key characteristic. Sea views incorporate existing offshore wind farms at Rhyl Flats, North Hoyle and will also include the Gwynt y Môr site when completed.

St Bees Head Heritage Coast

8.177 St Bees Head Heritage Coast lies approximately 50km to the north east of the ISZ. It is defined by its 90m high red sandstone cliffs where distant coastal views, as far as the Isle of Man, are possible. Much of the area has ecological designations and there are large sea bird colonies. The Cumbria Coastal Path and also the coast to coast long distance path begin at St Bees Head. Sea views are a key part of the character of this area.

Welsh landscape character areas

8.178 Figure 8.34 shows the location of landscape character areas within the study area which includes two main character areas in Anglesey with the northern extents of four others. The key characteristics of these areas, set out below, are directly taken from CCW (2011).



Figure 8.34 Landscape character areas identified within the Site study area

Anglesey Coast

8.179 This character area incorporates all the coastal areas of the Isle of Anglesey where the highest land on the island generally lies and much of which is designated as an AONB. Parys Mountain (147m AOD) which lies in the north of the island and Holyhead Mountain (220m) in the west are the two highest points. The geological orientation lies south west to north east, resulting in a 'corrugated topography' which creates a variety of coastline types including rocky headlands and sandy bays. This variety gives

dramatic landforms visible along the coast. In addition, the other key characteristics of the character area within the study area, as set out by CCW (2011), include:

- 'Igneous rock intrusion and outcrops of quartzite have created the dramatic landforms and skyline of Holyhead Mountain and South Stack, at Holy Island;
- The striking and windswept heathland landscapes of the wild coastline at Holyhead Mountain and North and South Stack, together with the barren, mined landscape of Parys Mountain, contrast markedly with the gentler, green, pastoral landscapes inland, away from the immediate coastal edge;
- Settlement relates primarily to former industry, such as the mining town of Amlwch at the foot of Parys Mountain, or to strategic transport routes, such as Thomas Telford's A5 and the port town of Holyhead (the only large settlement in the area) on Holy Island;
- The copper ore seams at Parys Mountain have resulted in a visually distinctive landscape of open cast craters and without vegetation, colourful spoil heaps, the legacy of intensive 18th to 19th century copper mining;
- Wylfa Nuclear Power Station is a prominent landscape feature visible on part of the north coast, while the single, slender, tall chimney at the Anglesey Aluminium works on Holy Island is a widely visible land mark;
- The strategically important, late 13th century castle of Beaumaris overlooking the Menai Strait and one of the last of the great frontier castles built by Edward I is a key element of the historic landscape and designated a World Heritage Site; and
- Other significant elements of the historic landscape include prehistoric and funerary sites such as standing stones, chambered tombs, barrows and cairns, distinctive Iron Age hill and promontory forts, the largest and most prominent being Bwrdd Arthur, on the Penmon peninsula.'

Central Anglesey

- 8.180 Defined by CCW (2011) as the 'land-locked central part of the largest island in Wales', the Central Anglesey character area is generally low lying in comparison to the higher coastal areas defined above. The 'corrugated topography' created by the south west to north east orientation of the geology is visible across the landscape. The key characteristics are as follows:
 - 'Apart from rock outcrops, much of the area is masked and levelled by thick layers of glacial boulder clays. In part of north west Anglesey this has resulted in a classic 'basket of eggs' drumlin landscape;
 - Silty and peat soils underlie lowland pastoral grazing land bounded by a strongly geometric pattern of medium to large scale and, more occasionally, small scale fields;
 - A number of minor rivers and streams cross the landscape, whose alignment is influenced by the north east to south west trend. There are many shallow hollows with wetland features including rush pasture and valley mires, for example Cors Erddreiniog NNR;
 - The largest reservoir is Llyn Alaw, a notable visual feature, providing significant over wintering habitat for wildfowl;

- This is generally a rolling, open landscape with a well-established pattern of hedged field boundaries. Woodlands larger than a small copse are an exception, notably around Llangefni Dingle and Llyn Cefni reservoir, and estate woodlands at Presaddfed (Bodedern);
- Elements of the historic landscape include prehistoric ritual and funerary monuments including cairns and round barrows, Iron Age hillforts and Early Christian churches, burial grounds and inscribed stones;
- The only urban settlement is the county town of Llangefni, in the centre of the island. Its nucleated historic core contrasts with modern peripheral housing and business park developments. There are a few villages, but numerous scattered hamlets and farms throughout the area. Linear, ribbon villages concentrate along the A5 road (now superseded by the A55 Expressway);
- A generally tranquil but not wild or remote landscape, with activity and noise concentrated on the principal settlements and the central transport corridors of the A5 and A55; and
- Windmill towers, including some restored examples, and the wind farm north of Llandeusant feature in views from the more elevated points within the area, while there are clusters of wind turbines in the north of the area.'

Arfon

- 8.181 Only the very northern extents of this character area lie within the study area. The wider character area includes areas of the Snowdonia National Park. The character area is a band of lowlands and foothills between the Menai Strait and uplands of Eryri. The key characteristics of the Arfon character area, as set out by CCW (2011), are defined below:
 - 'A broad, gently undulating lowland and valley land form, rising from the coast to a maximum of about 200m and flanked by the foothills and upland backdrop of Eryri;
 - Woodland cover is a feature of the valley slopes, while scattered mature oak trees characterise a number of parklands within the area;
 - The principal river, the Seiont, follows a meandering course before discharging into the Menai Strait at Caernarfon, whereas the Gwyrfai opens into a broad estuary at Foryd Bay, a short distance to the south west;
 - Ecologically important sand and shingle beaches at Morfa Dinlle, Foryd Bay and extensive tidal flats at Traeth Lafan;
 - A rich concentration of prehistoric settlements and sites includes burial sites, hillforts and stone built hut circles and their field systems, which often survive on the more marginal parts of the foothills;
 - Caernarfon Castle and its associated Medieval walled town overlooking the Menai Strait is a key historic feature and a World Heritage Site;
 - Settlement pattern relates to sites of strategic significance such as Caernarfon, or to centres such as Bangor that later developed as a staging point on the road to Holyhead;

- The intimate, wooded pastoral landscape of the valleys and lower slopes contrasts with the more open and exposed, sheep grazed pastures along the coast and Eryri foothills;
- Bethesda, Penygroes and Llanberis are characterised by extensive remains of former slate quarries, workings, haulage systems and waste tips, including associated worker's housing and smallholdings that encroached onto former commons the 'gwerin' landscapes; and
- An inland backdrop of steeply rising mountains, with many views to well-known ridges and peaks, including Snowdon.'

Eryri

- 8.182 This mountainous character area which broadly covers the Snowdonia National Park has only a small coastal extent within the study area. The key characteristics of the whole area, as set out by CCW (2011), are defined below:
 - 'The highest point in England and Wales, at 1085m, is at the summit of Snowdon;
 - U-shaped glacial valleys are distinctive, carved through the mountainous terrain by the ice in the last Ice Age, creating further topographic variation in a landscape often defined by massive, angular skylines;
 - Principal land cover elements include hill sheep grazing, forestry, heather dominated moorland and upland grassland. Rock outcrops and slate/shale ridges are frequently apparent;
 - Many prehistoric ritual and funerary sites including cairns, standing stones and stone circles are prominently located along hill crests, mountains, ridges and passes, often forming strong visual features;
 - Deserted stone-built Iron Age, Roman period, medieval and later, settlements and field systems survive in an almost unbroken 'cordon' of relict landscapes along the lower slopes between the Dyfi in the south west and the Conwy in the north east;
 - Slate mining has created the slate landscape of Blaenau Ffestiniog and slate is the principal building material in much of the area;
 - Copper mining was historically important in Eryri, notably at Sygun, near Beddgelert and Drws-y-Coed, near Nantlle. The exploitation of other minerals, for example, gold, lead, zinc and manganese, have also left industrial archaeological remains in the landscape;
 - The few areas of settlement are primarily defined by small towns, for example, Dolgellau and compact valley villages in slate and stone such as Beddgelert and Betws-y-Coed;
 - The landscape is sparsely populated and the few roads are confined to valley roads and twisting mountain passes;
 - A landscape of great perceptual variation and spatial experience with angular mountain ranges contrasting with hills softened by moorland heather and plantations, and often juxtaposed with deeply dissected valleys; and
 - There are many small and a few large water bodies, from natural lakes and built reservoirs to tidal estuaries and sea views, which add visual diversity to this iconic landscape area.'

Conwy Valley

- 8.183 The Conwy Valley character area is a north south area following the Conwy River valley which lies as a distinct edge to the uplands to the west. Only the very northern extents, around the Conwy Estuary lie within the study area. The key characteristics of the character area, as set out by CCW (2011), are defined below:
 - 'A broad glacial valley between the adjacent uplands of Eryri and Rhos Hills, with the east facing slopes of the Carneddau creating a strong sense of containment to the valley;
 - Soils support lowland pasture and hay meadow with hill sheep grazing to the valley sides, while hanging woodland, including beech and oak, characterises the slopes;
 - A geometric field pattern of varying scale, and set within mixed hedgerows, defines much of the valley;
 - The strategic historic importance of the valley is represented by a number of defensive sites placed at river crossing points;
 - Conwy Castle with its associated walled town (a World Heritage Site), dramatically located on a promontory overlooking the estuary, is a key landmark feature;
 - Beyond the principal towns of Conwy, and Llanrwst at the opposite end of the valley floor, settlement is confined to compact, linear hamlets and villages along the valley sides;
 - A strongly textured landscape with a patchwork or mosaic character created by the proximity of lowland pasture and the wooded valley sides;
 - Tidal movement in the Conwy Estuary provides constant localised variation;
 - At Conwy, the castle and town walls are complimented by the road and railway bridges over the river, providing further spatial variation and time depth; and
 - The area, while being a distinctive landscape in itself, forms a natural boundary between the gentler landscapes to the east and the steeper, higher, craggier landscapes to the west.'

Colwyn and Northern Coastline

- 8.184 This regional character area incorporates the north east coastal areas of Wales, of which the western portion lies within the study area. This coastal strip includes the main urban and resort areas of North Wales. The key characteristics of the character area, as set out by CCW (2011), are defined below:
 - 'Carboniferous limestone has resulted in distinctive coastal headlands such as the Great Orme's Head, and escarpments, ridges and rock outcrops, in addition to characteristic limestone weathering features such as clints and grykes;
 - The tidal estuary of the Clwyd flows northwards towards the coast and a number of narrow river valleys, such as the Dulas, fall partly within the area;
 - The tidal flats associated with the Clwyd and areas of remnant sandbanks and dunes contrast markedly with the artificial coastal edge created by the sea walls;
 - Land use is defined primarily by urban development and recreational land uses associated with the strip development of a number of, by now coalesced, 19th

century seaside resort towns. More recent caravan parks and holiday camps add to the perception of a single coalesced settlement extending from Llanddulas to Prestatyn. Sheep grazed pasture forms the hinterland to these resorts;

- The Victorian resort town of Llandudno is famed for its natural setting between two rocky headlands, its pier and its grand sweeping promenade and building façades, arguably the finest of their type in Wales;
- At the eastern end, a network of medium scale pastoral fields of regular pattern is defined by ditches and, to a lesser extent mixed, managed hedgerows, and occasionally interspersed with small stands of mixed farm woodland;
- At the western end, the Great Orme has a range of archaeological features illustrating a variety of historic land uses, including prehistoric caves, extensive evidence of underground, Bronze Age copper mining, ritual and funerary monuments, and hillforts;
- Rhuddlan Castle is strategically sited at a crossing point over the Clwyd, at what was once the eastern boundary of the Medieval kingdom of Gwynedd;
- A number of historic parklands lie within the area, while the estate architecture of Gwyrch Castle and wooded parkland is a locally prominent feature; and
- Beyond the intensively developed areas of settlement and their urban edges, this is a tranquil and often isolated limestone landscape, most notably at the windswept cliffs of Great Orme. Quiet narrow valleys also provide contrast with the settled areas, notably south of Llanddulas, where further variation is provided by areas of limestone quarrying.'

Welsh regional seascape units

8.185 The characteristics and special qualities of the seascape units around Wales and their comparative sensitivity to offshore development are defined by CCW in 'Seascape Assessment of Wales' (CCW 2010) and are described below. Four seascape units lie wholly within the 35km study area, with limited extents of the Holyhead Mountain North Stack to Penrhyn Mawr, Rhos Point to Great Orme's Head, and the Conwy Estuary Regional Seascape Units (RSU). Descriptions of all seven RSUs are set out below:

Rhos Point to Great Orme's Head

- 'Dramatic rocky limestone headlands and cliffs and sweeping bays with promenades and coastal defences in places;
- Resort settlements principally Llandudno with coherent urban form on flatter land, with semi-natural vegetation, woodland and some pasture on steeper slopes with limestone outcrops;
- Tidal and moderately exposed with some protection from Great and Little Orme headlands;
- Focused views out to sea from the pier and promenade at Llandudno from associated settlements and the elevated and panoramic views from Great Orme Country Park. The North Wales Coastal Path and other settlements also have views; and
- Key cultural associations: the legends associated with the Creuddyn peninsula, and the development of the holiday resort of Llandudno.'
The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is Medium; 'Tall objects 13km out to sea may be clearly visible from the popular view points and historic amenities around the Ormes and Llandudno. Such objects may create new focal points in a generally open sea horizon. A large horizon spread may act to enclose the limited arc of sea views available from Llandudno North Shore. However, apart from the headlands this is an urbanised coastline with many more prominent visual elements in the foreground along the coastline. There are also a number of existing objects visible out to sea, including the Douglas platform and existing wind farms'.

Conwy Estuary

- 'Enclosed estuary with soft edges lying in a broad, flat bottomed valley and steep sides, some wooded, rising to Snowdonia to the west;
- A rural pastoral valley to the south with settlements to the north and woodlands that flank the estuary. Most notably Conwy (World Heritage Site) with its prominent castle, walled town and waterfront;
- The estuary is tidal with strong currents and suspended solids; and
- Key views are to and from Conwy Castle and related historic settlement, the road and railway bridges, Deganwy Castle, adjacent historic gardens and from sensitive parts of Llansanffraid Glan Conwy'.

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is High; 'Tall objects out at sea would only be seen from limited locations within this seascape, however any such development within the estuary would seriously affect the integrity and scale of both the natural and the historic setting.'

Great Orme's Head to Puffin Island

- 'The distinctive whaleback rocky limestone headland of the Great Orme forms the eastern landmark;
- Snowdonia reaches the coast in massive rocky acid tuff cliffs falling to the shore with large quarries on the slopes and acts as a backcloth for the whole coast;
- Road, rail and electricity lines are fitted along the steep coastline and mountainous hinterland;
- The western mainland coast is low lying with gently sloping rural farmland;
- Ynys Môn rises to gentle hills and soft low cliffs with Puffin Island at its furthest eastern extent enclosing the coast to the west;
- There are tidal currents associated with the Menai Strait and the Conwy estuary; and
- Key views are to and from the Great Orme Country Park, historic settlements such as Beaumaris town and castle, Penmon Point, Penrhyn Castle, the coastal path and promenades and beaches in settlements such as Bangor and Llanfairfechan/Penmaenmawr.'

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is Medium; 'A limited arc of view to an open sea horizon would be the focus of low level views towards any development of tall structures offshore in that area. However, headlands would mask wider views of the open sea. Any tall structures within the

bay itself would become land marks because of the enclosure and many viewing locations.'

Puffin Island to Point Lynas

- 'A generally rocky and fine-grained north east facing coast with medium-sized sloping cliffs and small headlands, and occasional beaches and coves between stretches of intertidal rocks;
- Red Wharf Bay forms an extensive sandy bay. This is the largest undeveloped sandy bay on the North Wales coast;
- Rural pastoral farming dominates with clustered settlements and numerous scattered caravan parks to the west;
- The sea is open to the north east with long views along the North Wales coast especially to The Great Orme's Head;
- Puffin Island is the largest island on the coast (1km length), compared to the much smaller Ynys Dulas and Ynys Moelfre; and
- Puffin Island lies at the tip of the Penmon peninsula.'

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms as defined by CCW is Medium; 'Tall objects placed out to sea would be widely visible from this rural coastline, however this coastline is not as remote or as dramatic as many others.'

Point Lynas to Carmel Head

- 'Fine grain, rocky, north facing convex coast of many small bays and headlands with low cliffs and only one small sandy beach;
- Undulating, glaciated, old rock coastal plateau supporting pastoral farming with areas of semi-natural vegetation;
- Few settlements, but the area contains a number of wind farms inland and Wylfa nuclear power station on the coast; and
- Exposed northern aspect with open sea and long views'.

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is Medium; 'Existing wind farms inland and some large industrial structures on the coast decrease sensitivity to tall structures offshore.'

Carmel Head to Holyhead Mountain North Stack

- 'Holy Island to the west and Anglesey to the east separated by the Alaw estuary;
- Holyhead Mountain is the dominant landform with rocky cliffs around North Stack. On a smaller scale Carmel Head has cliffs with rocky slopes rising steeply. Elsewhere, there is a small scale indented coast with low cliffs and rocky platforms with a few sandy coves;
- Holyhead is a busy ferry port, with a large harbour and protective seawall. The tall chimney stack of the Rio Tinto aluminium smelter is prominent to the south of Holyhead;
- Elsewhere, the hinterland and coast is generally rural with minor leisure uses;
- The west facing coastline is exposed but partly sheltered by Holy Island to the west and south; and

• Views across to and from respective landforms.'

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is Medium; 'Land based wind farms exist to the east. Holyhead port and the Rio Tinto aluminium smelting works set a precedent for large structures in this seascape. However, Holyhead Mountain and Carmel Head are more remote from this development'.

Holyhead Mountain North Stack to Penrhyn Mawr

- 'An indented and precipitous west and north west facing rocky coast with high cliffs backed by Holyhead Mountain and exposed island headlands;
- Semi-natural vegetation on Holyhead Mountain and Penrhyn Mawr with pastoral farming elsewhere on the gently undulating coastal plateau;
- Settlement is very limited but high points covered with wireless masts and headlands host a lighthouse and signal station;
- The sea is exposed and open with large waves;
- Long open views across the Irish Sea and from ferries; and
- The cliffs are popular as one of the best coastal climbing locations in Europe.'

The sensitivity of this RSU to offshore wind farms, as defined by CCW, is High to Medium; 'The south westerly prospects are more sensitive than the north westerly to tall objects placed at sea. North west is associated with ferries arriving and departing Holyhead, and in south west locations, tall objects may silhouette at sunset.'

Isle of Man – landscape character types

8.186 The ZAP Report utilised the Isle of Man's Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008) and considered eight Landscape Character Types (LCT) which lie within 35km of the ISZ. As with the Welsh areas, it is likely that some of the areas listed below (together with the more detailed Landscape Character Areas (LCAs) described in the Landscape Character Assessment) will be able to be scoped out of the ES following calculation of ZTV carried out as part of the EIA process.

Uplands

- 8.187 The Uplands LCT lies within the centre of the island. Because of their topography, it is only the south east facing slopes which were shown, on the initial ZTV, to have potential intervisibility with the ISZ. There are two character areas northern and southern. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - 'Rolling open and expansive fells with numerous pronounced rounded summits and associated spurs;
 - Some small steep sided, deeply incised valleys cut by upland streams with stretches of white water and some large boulders at the head water;
 - Expansive panoramic views across the whole Island with some lower areas enclosed by surrounding peaks and river valleys;
 - Occasional blocks of coniferous plantations with abrupt rectilinear edges;
 - Moorland vegetation, areas of upland farming, rough pasture and impoverished grassland;

- Variety of historic and current field divisions including the Mountain Hedge, Manx hedges and post and wire fences that enclose fields of a variety of size and shape;
- Gorse is a prevalent shrub growing on top of the Manx hedges with heather on the upper moors and peaks;
- Scattered dwellings and upland farms with a variety of out houses with corrugated roof out-houses;
- Network of small steep winding single track roads and some wider well-kept roads with conspicuous road and route markings along the TT routes;
- Remnants of historic settlement and land uses in the form of old field patterns, shielings, cairns, standing stones, cairns, hut circles, mineral extraction and areas of peat cutting;
- Some upland areas abut the sea where there are dramatic rocky steep cliffs that descend into the sea;
- Exposed rocky outcrops with areas of scree slopes in southern areas;
- Simple and smooth texture; and
- Remote feel in places.'

Broad Lowland Valley

- 8.188 'The Broad Lowland Valley LCT lies between the southern and northern uplands in approximately the centre of the island. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - Wide valley with misfit rivers meandering in a flat valley floor through a sequence of gravel beds and deep pools;
 - Relatively steep valley sides rise up into areas of upland and inland plateau;
 - Variety of former river terraces along the valley sides gives a variety of relief in the eastern area of the valley floor;
 - Tributaries drain into the river from the surrounding upland areas as well as from various straightened drainage channels from surrounding flatter land;
 - Variety of small to medium sized fields of pasture with areas of meadow running alongside the river;
 - Riparian woodland, Curragh, scrub and ground cover found on the river banks;
 - Fragmented deciduous woodland blocks and mature trees found in the various hedgerows give rise to a wooded enclosed feel in the valley bottom; and
 - Settlement along the valley floor consisting of single dwellings (white houses) strung out along the valley road with some smaller nucleated settlements at road junctions such as Crosby and Greeba.'

Incised Slopes

8.189 The Incised Slopes LCT covers much of the island below the uplands and to the coast. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:

- 'A network of deeply incised steep sided/gently sloping wooded glens (some of them National Glens containing exotic Victorian planting and pleasure gardens) cut across the area as rivers valleys run out to the sea, creating narrow linear landscape elements;
- Predominantly open pastoral land with arable fields;
- Relatively varied field pattern of a variety of shapes and sizes;
- Field boundaries are predominantly Manx hedges, planted with shrubs on top with numerous mature trees and some stone walls in places;
- Occasional blocky, angular coniferous plantations;
- A variety of settlements, lone standing farmsteads with outhouses and individual dwellings linked by a network of small/winding/enclosed/open roads and single track lanes;
- Distant views to coast and sea from several locations; and
- Various historic and archaeological sites include Keeills, standing stones, burial chambers, cairns.'

Rugged Coast

- 8.190 The Rugged Coast LCT is the predominant coastal type within the study area lying mostly on the south-east side of the island. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - 'Rugged indented and varied coastline;
 - Sequences of rocky cliffs and stacks with extensive rocky wild headlands with some wave cut platforms to gently graded sandy bays of varied enclosure and scale;
 - Variation in scale of bays, from large beaches to small concealed/intimate coves;
 - Steeply/gently sloping pastoral and arable land with a strong visual connection down to the sea shore with signs of the influence of the sea including smell of seaweed and windswept vegetation within the area;
 - Numerous deep, steep-sided wooded glens form small coves/beaches (Port Grenaugh, Port Soderick, Port Cornaa, Port Mooar, Glen Wyllin) where rivers flow into the sea;
 - Coastal settlements vary in size and character with a variety of historic elements such as Castle defences and ports often located in the sheltered coves and bays along the coast where there is a gently graded and accessible shore;
 - Numerous historic and heritage sites, including, burial chambers, tumuli, and promontory forts are situated at high points overlooking the sea;
 - A combination of open views down cliffs to the shoreline and open and expansive views to sea;
 - Varied rocky and sandy foreshore; and
 - A relatively strong sense of tranquillity within several of the bays and small coves.'

Undulating Lowland Plain

- 8.191 The Undulating Lowland Plain LCT lies mostly in the northern extents of the island with some small areas to the south. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - 'Low-lying gently undulating predominantly arable farmland with patches of pasture, rough grassland and wet meadow;
 - Medium sized predominantly rectangular field pattern;
 - Network of narrow hedgerow lined lanes with occasional mature deciduous trees within hedgerows and patches of fragmented woodland;
 - Open and glimpsed views to the sea from higher areas;
 - Relatively dispersed settlement pattern, consisting of small (historic/vernacular), often nucleated settlements and individual farmsteads/crofts and dwellings;
 - Numerous small rivers straightened and canalised drainage channels flow along field boundaries to drain the landscape;
 - Areas of standing water surrounded by wetland vegetation and Curragh woodland;
 - Views to an upland backdrop;
 - Marl pits filled with water in the north; and
 - Use of Limestone as a building material in areas surrounding Castletown.'

Smooth Coastal Strip

- 8.192 The Smooth Coastal Strip LCT lies around the northern end of the island, with one defined area within the study area. The key characteristics of the type, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - 'Yellowy conglomerate post-glacial deposits form loose sheer cliffs, some 10-20 meters high along the southern stretches of this coastline;
 - Stretches of gently graded sand and shingle beaches;
 - Sand dunes with rough grasses, scrubs, occasional areas of lichen and areas of heath developing on the back dunes;
 - Cliffs form and abrupt boundary between the sand and shingle and the arable fields of the Undulating Inland Plain;
 - Open, expansive panoramic views to sea and along the coast line;
 - Strong sense of remoteness and tranquillity; and
 - Sweeping, unbroken, smooth coastline with shingle spur forming at the point of Ayre.'

Coastal Cliffs

8.193 Coastal Cliffs LCT lies at the south of the island and within the study area. The key characteristics, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:

- 'High, steep sided dramatic rocky cliffs descend to the sea directly from surrounding Uplands, some with steep grassy slopes to rear;
- Small enclosed rocky coves with occasional sandy beaches;
- Sea stacks, rocky foreshores and wave cut platforms exposed at low tide;
- Cliff top paths along gently shelving grassy slopes with dramatic panoramic coastal views;
- Bird colonies nesting on the cliffs;
- Numerous archaeological sites in prominent cliff top locations as well as abandoned mine workings; and
- Moorland vegetation on exposed, open and gently rounded hill tops with gently shelving grassed slopes running down to the cliff top.'

Islands

- 8.194 The Calf of Man is the main small island which lies off the south west of the mainland and within the study area. The key characteristics of the Calf of Man and other smaller islands, according to the Landscape Character Assessment (Chris Blandford Associates 2008), are defined below:
 - 'A number of small rugged islands lie in close proximity the coastline;
 - Steep rocky and dramatic cliffs;
 - Rounded, sometimes steeply sloping land with much undulation;
 - Low heathland vegetation with maritime grasses and flowers such as sea thrift;
 - Large area of rock pools in the intertidal zone exposed during low tide with its own habitats;
 - Bird colonies nesting on cliffs; and
 - Often provide important sites for wildlife and contain key heritage sites.'

Isle of Man seascape units

8.195 There are no defined seascape units for the Isle of Man but by applying best practice guidance (CCW 2001) following desk based study and site visits six regional seascape character units (RSU) were defined in the ZAP Report. These are described below, however, as with the other areas/units described above some of the RSUs may be able to be scoped out of the environmental statement following calculation of zones of theoretical visibility (ZTV) carried out as part of the EIA process.

Maughold Head to Clay Head

- A combination of semi-enclosed bays and rugged cliffs rising steeply from the expansive open sea to the east. Cliffs are green and vegetated in places, in particular around Laxey Bay;
- Rocky shore and coastline leading to shallow beaches, though jagged rocky outcrops protruding into the sea can be inaccessible, most notably around Maughold Head;
- Main coastal settlement at Laxey where houses climb the steep valley slopes of the River Laxey and nestle around the bay and along the wide promenade and



seafront road. Elsewhere settlement is generally limited to isolated dwellings set back from the coastal edge; and

• Extensive panoramic open views possible from the rugged coastal edge, with more localised views within the bays contained by headlands.

Clay Head to Douglas Head

- A rocky indented coastline with rocky foreshores including banded bedrock and scattered large offshore rocks to the north of the seascape unit. Further south, around Douglas, the coastline is dominated by built form, although rocky headlands with jagged sea cliffs occur around Onchan Head and Douglas Head;
- Beaches are confined to the south of the unit where a gently graded sandy beach is evident at Douglas;
- Main coastal settlements of Douglas and Onchan dominate the southern extent of the unit, the latter extending along the cliff top reaching as far as the cliff edge path. Douglas includes a Victorian esplanade and promenade, as well as piers and breakwaters associated with the harbour; and
- Open panoramic views out to sea, the natural environment contrasting strongly with the urban form of settlements. Further north, a greater sense of remoteness prevails on the open and exposed headlands.

Douglas Head to Santon Head

- A rocky indented coastline with high jagged rocky cliffs above which sits heathland vegetation and an irregular pastoral landscape;
- Small coves occur along the coast, with a rocky foreshore and a number of offshore rocky outcrops. A graded shale beach is located at Port Soderick;
- Marine Drive, a Victorian pleasure drive located along the cliff edge affords wide, open panoramic views along the coastline and seascape; and
- Settlement extremely limited and located away from the cliff edge.

Santon Head to Langness

- Low rocky jagged sea cliffs with the eastern edge of Langness peninsula indented with a series of rugged small gullets;
- Shelving shale beaches around sheltered coves such as Port Grenaugh and Port Soldrick with shallow sandy beaches to the north eastern edge of the peninsula. Intertidal rock pools found on rocky platforms in the littoral zone north east of Langness;
- Settlement limited with only isolated dwellings generally set back from the coast edge, although whitewashed buildings are evident around Derbyhaven. The proximity of Ronaldsway Airport and associated infrastructure disturb the sense of tranquillity within the seascape unit; and
- Open, expansive panoramic views across the sea and coast, with Langness and St. Michael's Island facilitating dramatic views north eastwards along the coastline. A strong sense of tranquillity, in particular in the northern section of the unit.

Langness to Kallow Point

- A series of bays and headlands that includes a wide sandy bay at Castletown scattered with weed-covered rocks and expanses of large, jagged boulders, and Bay ny Carrickey containing a shelving stony beach with a series of wave cut platforms that extend into the sea;
- Topographically a relatively flat area adjacent to the coast becoming more undulating further inland;
- Coastal settlements of Port St Mary and Castletown located along the A5 with occasional dwellings scattered along more minor roads;
- Despite the indented coastline a strong sense of openness prevails across the bays with views to distinctive headlands and peninsulas creating a sense of place; and
- The settled character of the coast generally disturbs the tranquillity of the area.

Spanish Head and Calf of Man

- A series of small scale rugged bays and cliffs with rocky outcrops extending into the sea on the mainland, with the Calf of Man Island rising dramatically from the sea providing a series of rugged cliff faces;
- Settlement extremely limited in coastal areas and Calf of Man only accessible at certain times of the year;
- Strong sense of isolation, openness and tranquillity within the unit with a general lack of detracting elements; and
- Wide, open panoramic views, in particular from the Calf of Man and across Port St Mary Bay.

Identification of key issues

8.196 The following potential effects on seascape, landscape and visual amenity may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction					
Construction vessels	The presence of construction vessels, cranes, cable installation vessels and associated smaller vessels is not expected to impact seascape, landscape and visual amenity as it a temporary effect.	Scoped out			
Potential impac	Potential impacts during operation				
Effects on Welsh Landscape Character Areas and Regional Seascape Units	Although the ZAP Report identified a number of potential impacts ranging from minor to major- moderate, it was concluded that overall the operational impacts of the ISZ were not likely to be significant for visual effects on the grounds of the long distance over which the wind farm projects will be viewed. Therefore, collectively the development of the ISZ is expected to only have a localised impact on seascape at a national level. Further	Scoped in			

	identification and assessment of potential impacts will however take place through the EIA process.	
Effects on Manx Landscape Character Types, Landscape Character Areas and Regional Seascape Units	As discussed above the ZAP Report considered the Landscape Character Types and defined regional seascape units, and identified a number of potential impacts ranging from moderate to minor. Further identification and assessment of potential impacts will take place through the EIA process. The ES will also consider effects on the Manx Landscape Character Areas.	Scoped in
Effects on designated areas e.g. National Park, AONB, Heritage coast and local designations	The ZAP Report identified potential for effects on designated landscape areas, ranging from negligible to moderate depending on their proximity to the ISZ. As discussed above these areas may include the Snowdonia National Park, the Lake District National Park, Anglesey AONB and North Anglesey Heritage Coast, the Clwydian Range AONB and the Great Orme Heritage Coast; effects on such areas will be further assessed going forwards through the EIA.	Scoped in
Views from coastal settlements	The ZAP Report concluded that residents that live within the coastal edges of Anglesey and Isle of Man are most likely to have views of RWFL, and so will be one of the key receptor groups to be assessed through EIA. As RWFL is located in the South East of the ISZ, it is expected that Anglesey will be the focus for the assessment of coastal settlements.	Scoped in
Recreational walkers/ tourists	People in this receptor group include users of footways and cycle ways and visitors to coastal facilities and beaches whose principal preoccupation is with the enjoyment of the outdoor environment, open countryside and the tourism/amenity resource the coastline offers. This will include the coastal resorts along the North Wales Coast, North Wales Coastal Path and coastal paths along the Isle of Man.	Scoped in
Effects on other receptor groups	A number of other receptor groups potentially affected by development of the ISZ were identified by the ZAP Report, and will be further assessed as part of RWFL's EIA. These include:	Scoped in
	 Effects on views from commercial shipping, ferries and cruise ships; 	
	 Effects on view for recreational sailors and other leisure users of the marine environment such as 	

	recreational fishermen;	
	 Effects on views of travelling public along roads and railways; and 	
	• Effects on views of agricultural workers and those associated with tourism, as well as those working in industries which are related to the sea such as fishermen.	
Effects on cultural heritage	As discussed in Chapter 8.6 (archaeology and cultural heritage) the visual effects of RWFL on historical and cultural heritage will be considered as part of the cultural heritage chapter of the ES. Cross-referencing will be provided between that chapter and the assessment of seascape and landscape impact. This will include consideration of the setting of listed buildings, scheduled monuments, registered parks and gardens, and historically important landscapes.	Scoped in
Potential impac	cts during decommissioning	
Impacts arising similar to those construction ph	during the decommissioning are expected to be temporary effects experienced during the ase.	Scoped out
Potential cumu	lative impacts	
RWFL is furthe impacts may a receptors in dis	r offshore than existing projects and while cumulative rise many effects are only likely to be significant for crete locations.	Scoped in
The potential o types:	cumulative visual impacts could include the following	
 Sim mor view Môr 	ultaneous (or combined) visibility – where two or re offshore wind farm sites are visible from a fixed vpoint in the same arc of view, for example Gwynt y r and RWFL;	
 Suc farn obs and 	ccessive visibility – where two or more offshore wind n sites are visible from a fixed viewpoint, but the erver is required to turn to see the different sites;	
• Seq		
visil obs or w	uential visibility – where two or more sites are not ble at one location, but could move into sight as an erver moves, for example while driving along a road valking a coastal path.	

Irish Sea listed in Chapter 5.

The offshore wind farms in UK waters lie in two main areas – off the eastern North Wales coast; and to the west of the south Cumbrian coast. Intervisibility between these two offshore wind farm areas is very limited due to the long distances between them.

Interactions are likely to occur with other activities as well as offshore wind. This includes onshore wind projects, described below, and the oil and gas platforms listed in Chapters 5 (EIA methodology) and 8.30 (other marine users).

Onshore, the ZAP Report identified eleven wind farms. However, only four, located on the Isle of Anglesey (Trysglwyn, Rhyd-y-Groes, Llyn Alaw and Ysgellog Farm), are likely to significantly visually interact with RWFL.

The seascape baseline and its associated sensitivity has the potential to evolve with future development possibilities in the Irish Sea such as offshore wind farms, tidal power and oil/gas projects. The ES will, as discussed in Chapter 5, take account of those structures consented or in planning but not yet constructed.

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.197 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary. In particular it is currently proposed that, following consultation with CCW, NE and Isle of Man DEFA on technical scopes, the following work will be carried out:
 - Identification of EIA study area, including identification of key stakeholders;
 - Production of baseline figures and production of Zone(s) of Theoretical Visibility;
 - Landscape character data review and descriptions;
 - Seascape character data review, including definition of units if not already available;
 - Visual receptor research and identification;
 - Cumulative baseline review;
 - Identification of viewpoints, for agreement with relevant stakeholders with reference to ZAP consultation;
 - Production of wireframes and photomontages for each of the agreed viewpoints; and
 - Liaison between archaeological and landscape consultants to consider potential visual effects on cultural heritage.
- 8.198 As discussed above archaeological consultants will be responsible for undertaking the assessment of the visual impact that offshore development may have on onshore historic receptors. However, considerable landscape expertise will be required to inform this work.



- 8.199 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, data and information derived through consultation;
 - Analysis and interpretation of the data collected;
 - A review and summary of consultation activities including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of cultural heritage issues with cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures, if any are available and required.

8-5 Human environment – other users of the sea

Introduction

- 8.200 This chapter considers other users of the Irish Sea not considered elsewhere in this report which could potentially be affected by the development of the Site. Such users include:
 - Marine aggregate extraction and dredge disposal sites;
 - Ministry of Defence;
 - Coastal defences;
 - Subsea Cables;
 - Telecommunications and broadcasting;
 - Existing and planned oil and gas developments;
 - Gas storage and transportation; and
 - Other offshore wind projects;
- 8.201 Future users of the study area have also been considered. These include:
 - Potential carbon capture and storage (CCS) operators;
 - Developers of proposed offshore wind farms;
 - Proposals for future onshore development which may have an offshore component or impact; and
 - Developers of proposed marine energy (wave and tidal power) projects.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.202 The interests of other users of the marine environment in the Irish Sea were considered as part of the ZAP process (Celtic Array 2012).
- 8.203 In addition, the following data sources have been used to inform this chapter:

- Aggregate extraction information from TCE (2010);
- British Marine Aggregates British Marine Aggregate Producers Association, active zone dredging charts (2011);
- Kingfisher Awareness Charts (2012);
- Seazone hydrospatial GIS data (2012);and
- Offshore SEA 2 (DECC 2011).
- 8.204 A wide range of stakeholders were identified and have been consulted as part of the ZAP process. This has included meeting with the following parties:
 - MOD, DIO, 31 March 2011;
 - National Grid/Scottish Power Electricity Transmission, 29 June 2010;
 - Cable owning/operating companies, 19 October 2010, 2 March 2011 and 1 September 2011; and
 - Oil and gas owners and operators, 10 May 2010.
- 8.205 Operators of offshore wind farms have been consulted, either through direct meetings or through industry forums organised by RenewableUK or TCE. Other relevant marine users not specifically consulted with as part of the ZAP process will be identified and included as part of the RWFL consultation as necessary.

Description of the current environment

8.206 Figure 8.35 shows the other users of the Irish Sea discussed in this chapter.



Marine aggregate extraction and dredge disposal sites

- 8.207 There are currently four active licensed areas for aggregate dredging in the Irish Sea (northwest region) (TCE 2010). In addition, there are two dredging areas in the Mersey Estuary for shipping channel clearance. These are:
 - Licence Area 331 this area is 49km north east of the Site and is operated by Tarmac Marine Dredging Ltd. It is mostly dredged for coarse sand;
 - Licence Area 457 this area is 24km east of the Site and is operated to Westminster Gravels Ltd. The permission is for the dredging coarse sand over a 15 year period;
 - Licence Area 392 located 33km south east of the Site, this site is operated by Tarmac Marine Dredging Ltd;
 - Licence Area 393 this site is located 33km south east of the Site and is operated by Norwest Sand and Ballast Co; and
 - Licence Areas A and B 65km south east of the Site in the outer Mersey estuary. Extraction in Liverpool Bay has been carried out since the 1960's. Mersey Docks and Harbour Company (MDHC) undertake annual dredging of the Mersey to ensure the channel remains deep enough for shipping.
- 8.208 In 2010, a total area of 119.08km² was licensed for dredging in the North West and 0.31 million tonnes of material were extracted (TCE 2010, BMAPA 2011).
- 8.209 There are several dredge disposal sites in the Irish Sea. The nearest sites to the Site are:
 - Conwy Bay (IS055) (25km south of the Site);
 - Holyhead Deep (IS040) (32km south of the Site);
 - Site Y (IS150) (24km east of the Site); and
 - Barrow D (IS205) (58km north east of the Site).

Ministry of Defence

- 8.210 There are three operational areas which are in the vicinity of the proposed development. Military aviation and radar interests are considered in Chapter 8.3.
- 8.211 Altcar Rifle Range (PEXA X5306, not classified as 'Danger Area') is located on Formby beach, on the English coast near the Mersey Estuary. The Altcar Rifle Range covers 250 hectares (620 acre) of beaches, sand dunes, marshland, fields and small woods.
- 8.212 The Barrow Restricted Area surrounds the 169 acre shipyard at Barrow which is operated by BAE Systems Submarine Solutions for the production and testing of submarines.
- 8.213 The extensive Eskmeals MOD Danger Practice and Exercise Area 406 (Eskmeals D406/D406B/D406C PEXA), operated by Qinetiq, is located in Cumbria. Fourteen firing locations enable equipment proving over land for short ranges up to 1km and over sea for long ranges up to 49km. This DPEXA, given its classification as 'Danger', is usually considered as excluding offshore wind farm development.
- 8.214 Unexploded munitions will be associated with Eskmeals and may be associated with historical testing activity in Isle of Man waters. A detailed Unexploded Ordinance (UXO) survey will be conducted for RWFL although such issues are likely to be primarily

engineering and health and safety concerns rather than requiring consideration as part of the EIA process.

Coastal defences

- 8.215 Because of the hard rock and elevation of much of the North Wales coastline, the requirement for coastal defences is greatly reduced compared with lowland areas. Sea defences in the region are built mainly in low lying estuaries and inlets or where natural coastal habitats such as sand dunes have been lost either directly under the footprint of development or indirectly through erosion as a result of a reduced supply of sediment. Coastal defences around the north western coastline of England consist of a number of raised earth embankments, hard defences and erosion protection structures such as groynes. Natural sea defences such as salt marsh and sand dune habitats are particularly widespread here.
- 8.216 Shoreline Management Plans (SMPs) which cover the relevant areas of coastline include the following:
 - St Annes Head to Great Orme's Head SMP2 area. This new SMP will cover the coastal regions of Pembrokeshire, Ceredigion, Powys, Gwynedd, Conwy and Ynys Mon; and
 - Great Orme's Head to Scotland. This new SMP covers defence policies between Great Orme's Head in North Wales and the Scottish Border.
- 8.217 These SMPs provide further information on the baseline environment in respect to coastal defences.

Subsea Cables

8.218 Only one operational telecommunications cable crosses the Site. An interconnector cable is planned which passes to the south of the site and will cross the proposed cable corridor. These cables are listed in Table 8.10 below.

Name	Туре	Maintenance Authority Between		Status		
Installed						
SIRIUS South	Telecoms	Virgin Media (formally NTL)	Blackpool (UK) – Dublin	Installed		
Planned						
EirGrid East West Interconnector	Electricity and Telecoms	EirGrid	Between Rush North Beach, Co. Dublin in Ireland and Barkby Beach, North Wales	Under construction. Completion due in 2012.		

Table 8.10 Irish Sea submarine cables

- 8.219 There are also a number of out of service telecommunications cables in the area.
- 8.220 As with the existing cable routes across the Irish Sea, engagement with cable owners at the project level will aim to ensure coexistence of offshore wind and these routes. This will include consideration of the interface of maintenance crews from both sectors.

Telecommunications and broadcasting

- 8.221 RWFL is 19km from the shore at its closest point and potential interference with telecommunications systems is likely to be minimal.
- 8.222 In discussions with oil and gas platform operators fixed link communications have not been raised as a concern. At no point is RWFL located between an oil and gas installation and its nearest point to shore.

Oil and gas activity

- 8.223 Oil and gas activity is situated at some distance from the Site with most activity occurring within the Morecambe Bay area and Liverpool Bay areas.
- 8.224 There are a number of gas fields in the area which, along with relevant infrastructure, are listed in Table 8.11 and 8.12 below.

Name of field	Oil/ gas	Owner	Operator	Platforms	Pipeline ⁸	landed at
Douglas field	Oil & Gas	BHP Billington	BHP Billington	Douglas Complex – 3 platforms - wellhead, processing, accommodation	Oil - BHP pipeline to storage Gas -BHP pipeline to Point of Ayr	Oil to floating offshore storage installation Gas to Point of Ayr
Hamilton field	Oil	BHP Billington	BHP Billington	Hamilton (unmanned)	BHP pipeline to Douglas	Floating offshore storage installation
Hamilton North field	Gas	BHP Billington	BHP Billington	Hamilton North (unmanned)	BHP pipeline to Douglas	Point of Ayr
Lennox field	Gas	BHP Billington	BHP Billington	Lennox (unmanned)	BHP pipeline to Douglas	Point of Ayr
North Morecambe	Gas	HRL	HRL	North Morecombe (usually unmanned)	HRL pipeline	North Morecambe terminal

Table 8.11 Oil and gas fields in the vicinity of the Site

⁸ See Table 8.12 below

Name of field	Oil/ gas	Owner	Operator	Platforms	Pipeline ⁸	landed at
South Morecambe	Gas	HRL	HRL	South Morecambe Central Processing Complex of three platforms and four unmanned wellhead platforms	HRL pipeline	South Morecambe terminal
Bains	Gas	HRL	HRL	-	Tie-back to South Morecambe	South Morecambe terminal
Millom field	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%) (COP)	HRL	Millom West (unmanned)	COP pipeline to North Morecambe	North Morecambe terminal
Dalton field	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%)	HRL	-	COP pipeline to North Morecambe	North Morecambe terminal
Calder field	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%)	HRL	Unmanned platform	COP pipeline to Rivers terminal	Rivers terminal
Darwen	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%)	Not currently operational	The planned proje	ects would tie-	back to Calder
Crossens	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%)	Not currently operational	and then gas to Ri	vers terminal	
Asland	Gas	ConocoPhilips (100%)	Not currently operational			

8.225 A floating oil receiving station was built by Shell just off Amlwch in 1972. Oil from the station was pumped to a shore station at Amlwch port. The pipeline and an exclusion zone for anchoring and fishing are still shown on Admiralty charts.

Planned oil and gas developments

- 8.226 Celtic Array is currently aware of two planned oil or gas projects in the vicinity of the Site.
- 8.227 The Rhyl field development (Centrica 2011) is being developed by Hydrocarbon Resources Limited and will consist of a single production subsea well connecting to a manifold in Block 113/27b, which is located 44km North East of the Site. Gas will be exported to North Morecambe Drilling and Production Platform (DPPA), described



above. The ES was submitted to DECC in January 2011, with work expected to commence and complete in the first half of 2012.

8.228 EOG Resources⁹ is due to start installation in 2012 of a 'normally unattended installation' and three subsea wells to extract oil from the Conwy and Corfe fields. Oil will be exported back to the Douglas complex to the south east. The Installation is located approximately 22km to the east of the Site.

Oil and gas licensing

- 8.229 Oil and gas exploration and extraction activity is regulated by the UK Government through a system of licences for areas of seabed which are divided into blocks (or subblocks). The Irish Sea region contains six oil and gas licensing blocks. These are numbered 108 to 113.
- 8.230 Of the six blocks, only three contain sub-blocks which are currently licensed. None of these licenses are within the Site. Two licensed sub-blocks are located north of the Site in block 112, seven licensed sub-blocks are north east of the Site in block 113 and there are 27 licensed sub-blocks in block 110 to the east of the Site. All these licences are active, but there are no known plans for development.
- 8.231 Blocks are awarded in licensing rounds with the 26th Seaward Licensing Round having closed in April 2010 and the 27th round being launched on 1 February 2012. All the blocks within the Site are on offer in the licensing round, which closed on 1 May 2012. The results of the 27th round of licensing have not yet been published.
- 8.232 Figure 8.36 below shows currently licensed areas, sub-blocks for which licences may be granted under the 26th Round and the areas under offer in the 27th licensing round.

⁹ This information was provided by EOG Resources at a consultation meeting on the 10th May 2011



Figure 8.36 Oil and gas licensing blocks

8.233 Further details on licensed areas are provided in Table 8.13 (<u>www.og.decc.gov.uk</u>, accurate to December 2011) below:

Table 8.12 Oil and gas license areas

Block	Sub-block	License ref. number	Name of Operator
110	2a	153	Hydrocarbon Resources Ltd
110	2b	706	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	2c	706	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	2d	1568	Serica Energy (UK) Ltd
110	3а	251	Hydrocarbon Resources Ltd
110	3b	1547	Venture North Sea Gas Ltd
110	3c	543	Hydrocarbon Resources Ltd
110	4	1548	Venture North Sea Gas Ltd
110	7a	99	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	7b	1476	EOG Resources United Kingdom Ltd
110	7c	865	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd

Block	Sub-block	License ref. number	Name of Operator
110	8a	251	Hydrocarbon Resources Ltd
110	8c	251	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	9a	261	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	9b	1548	Venture North Sea Gas Ltd
110	12	1476	EOG Resources United Kingdom Ltd
110	13a	710	BHP Billiton Petroleum Ltd
110	13b	710	BHP Billiton Petroleum Ltd
110	14a	99	BHP Billiton Petroleum Ltd
110	14c Lennox Field Extension	99	BHP Billiton Petroleum Ltd
110	14c Rest of Block	99	Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Ltd
110	14d Crosby Area	99	Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Ltd
110	14d Rest of Block	99	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
110	15a	791	BHP Billiton Petroleum Ltd
110	18a	1481	Nexen Exploration U.K. Ltd
110	19a	1481	Nexen Exploration U.K. Ltd
110	23	1481	Nexen Exploration U.K. Ltd
112	13	1739	No operator, but licensed by lona Energy Company (UK) Ltd
112	14	1739	No operator, but licensed by lona Energy Company (UK) Ltd
113	26a	287	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
113	26b	1482	Serica Energy (UK) Ltd
113	27a	547	Burlington Resources (Irish Sea) Ltd
113	27b	1483	Hydrocarbon Resources Ltd
113	27c	1482	Serica Energy (UK) Ltd
113	29c	1475	Nautical Petroleum PLC
113	30	1475	Nautical Petroleum PLC

Gas storage and transportation

- 8.234 Two projects in the vicinity of the Site are related to the storage and transportation of gas. These activities relate to processed gas and are distinct from the exploration and extraction activities described above.
- 8.235 Port Meridian Ltd (Port Meridian 2011) intends to operate a deep water Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) port facility, approximately 20km east of the Site. The LNG facility will consist of a buoyed loading system for tankers and a permanently moored regasification vessel. A pipeline from the vessel will make landfall at Walney Island. Consent for the offshore elements of the LNG facility were granted in 2009 (with an amended application consented in 2010) along with a separate planning permission for the onshore elements of the facility.
- 8.236 The Gateway Gas Storage project is located 37km east of the Site (Gateway Storage 2011) and will be operated by Stag Energy. The facility is designed to store gas in salt caverns beneath the seabed with gas being injected and removed via a pipeline to onshore facilities. Up to 20 monopile platform structures will be associated with the gas storage project.
- 8.237 Consent was granted in 2009 for the offshore elements of the gas storage project in the form of a licence under the Food and Environment Protection Act 1985 (FEPA), although in due course a further licence will be required for the storage of the gas itself. Planning permission for associated onshore works has also been granted.

Carbon capture and storage

- 8.238 Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is a process to capture and to store the carbon dioxide (CO_2) gas emitted by fossil fuel power plants or other carbon intensive activity, such as steel manufacturing. At present the technology remains at a prototype stage, but most approaches would utilise oil and gas technology to transport CO_2 via a pipeline to a suitable area of the seabed, where it can be stored underground, possibly in exhausted hydrocarbon reserves.
- 8.239 There are no publically available plans for CCS projects in the Irish Sea at present. However, there are suitable conditions for CCS development to take place in the vicinity of the Site in the future.

Offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea

- 8.240 The Irish Sea is considered to have excellent potential for wind farm development with a number of existing and proposed projects located in the vicinity of the ISZ. However, the potential for RWFL to have an impact upon these wind farms is limited, with the only likely impacts relating to the routeing of export cables and the potential requirement for crossing agreements or limitations on spacing within the restricted corridors available to reach landfall locations in the vicinity of the grid connection.
- 8.241 Potential cumulative impacts of RWFL together with other wind farm developments will vary according to receptor type and these are therefore considered within each of the relevant chapters of this report.
- 8.242 Table 8.14 provides further details on the wind farm projects shown in Figure 8.35.
- 8.243 The Crown Estate has not released any information relating to a subsequent leasing round for offshore wind in UK waters, except for the Northern Ireland leasing round which is described below.

Scottish territorial seas offshore wind projects

- 8.244 The Scottish Government completed a Strategic Environmental Assessment for offshore wind in March 2011, following the issue of ten exclusivity agreements with TCE in 2009. Of these ten potential sites, four were identified on the West Coast of Scotland. Of these potential sites, two are active and two are currently suspended.
- 8.245 The two active projects are called Islay Array and Argyll Array, both located more than 230km from the ISZ. At this distance, they are outside of the Irish Sea and therefore will not be considered as part of scoping.
- 8.246 The two suspended projects are Wigtown Bay and Solway Firth. In March 2011 the Scottish Government published its Sectoral Marine plan for offshore wind. It stated that Scottish Ministers believed that because of the number of constraints acting upon these two projects they were unsuitable for development at this time. DONG Energy, which originally held an exclusivity agreement for the Wigtown Bay Project, has subsequently entered into an exclusivity agreement with TCE that allows them to undertake a high level consultation programme and feasibility study to potentially locate a project in the Solway Firth area. If this study, which commenced in December 2011, identifies a viable project, the resulting plans will need to be considered in future ISZ project assessments.
- 8.247 There is little potential for the development of the Site to affect these projects. Potential cumulative impacts (primarily in respect of birds) are considered in relevant technical chapters of this report.

Northern Ireland territorial seas offshore wind projects

- 8.248 A commercial leasing round for a single site of up to 600MW off the south east coast of County Down in Northern Ireland waters was launched by The Crown Estate in December 2011, with the ambition of awarding development rights in the second half of 2012. This follows the strategic environmental assessment of an offshore renewable energy programme and the subsequent publication of regional locational guidance by Northern Ireland's Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment (DETI).
- 8.249 As TCE's leasing round in Northern Ireland requires any project to connect to the Northern Irish grid there is little potential for conflict with development in the Site. Celtic Array will continue to monitor plans in Northern Irish waters as necessary. Potential cumulative impacts (primarily in respect of birds and potentially navigation) are considered in the relevant technical chapters of this report.

Isle of Man territorial seas offshore wind projects

8.250 The Isle of Man Government has considered plans to build offshore wind farms in Manx waters. Currently, however, such plans have not been confirmed. Celtic Array is in communication with the relevant authorities and will ensure appropriate consideration of any potential projects is made as information becomes available.



Name	Location	Distance from site (km)	Project Capacity (MW)	Status	Developer
Barrow	UK waters	55	90	Operational	Centrica / DONG Energy
Burbo Bank	UK waters	53	90	Operational	DONG Energy
North Hoyle	UK waters	39	60	Operational	RWE Npower renewables
Rhyl Flats	UK waters	31	90	Operational	RWE Npower renewables
Robin Rigg	UK waters	116	180	Operational	E.ON UK Renewables
Walney I	UK waters	46	183.6	Operational	DONG Energy and SSE Renewables
Arklow Bank	Republic of Ireland	>100	25.2	Operational	GE Energy
Gwynt y Môr	UK waters	26	576	Under Construction	RWE Npower renewables, Stadtwerke Munchen and Siemens
Ormonde	UK waters	55	150	Under Construction	Vattenfall
Walney II	UK waters	44	183.6	Operational	DONG Energy, SSE Renewables and OPW
West of Duddon Sands	UK waters	42	500	Consented	Scottish Power / DONG Energy
Walney Extension	UK waters	42	750	In planning, consent application expected in 2013	DONG Energy
Burbo Bank extension	UK waters	43	234	In planning, consent application expected in 2013	DONG Energy
Codling Wind Park	Republic of Ireland	>100	up 1100	Consented, awaiting grid connection	Fred Olsen Renewables / Treasury Holdings

Name	Location	Distance from site (km)	Project Capacity (MW)	Status	Developer
Oriel Windfarm	Republic of Ireland	106	330	Consent awaiting determination, grid connection agreed	Oriel Windfarm Ltd
Dublin Array	Republic of Ireland	>100	520	Consent awaiting determination, grid connection agreed	Saorgus Energy
Codling Wind Park Extension	Republic of Ireland	>100	Up to 1000	Application submitted	Fred Olsen Renewables / Treasury Holdings

Onshore projects with potential to interact with offshore elements of RWFL

- 8.251 A new nuclear power station is being proposed at a site on Anglesey at Wylfa, next to the existing Magnox reactor, with an installed capacity of 3.3GW (Horizon 2012). The ownership of the new nuclear project is likely to change, but currently it is assumed that the project will continue on its existing timetable.
- 8.252 Recent information suggests that construction activity associated with Wylfa has the potential to interact with the development of RWFL due to the number of vessels bringing material to a marine off-loading facility serving the Wylfa site that may be located at Porth Y Ogof (Horizon 2012).
- 8.253 Future increases in shipping activity are discussed in Chapter 8.2 above.
- 8.254 Additionally the potential interaction on marine processes arising from the offshore elements of the Wylfa project is discussed in Chapter 6 above.

Wave and tidal power projects

- 8.255 A tidal stream energy project is proposed in Welsh waters, less than 1km off the coast of Anglesey. An application for consent was made in 2011 and work is programmed to start in 2016, if consent is granted. The tidal stream project is owned by SeaGeneration (Wales) Ltd, a joint venture between Marine Current Turbines (MCT) Ltd and RWE Npower renewables to take forward up to nine of MCT's Seagen devices in an array with a total generation capacity of 10MW.
- 8.256 As a result of the distance between the tidal stream project and the Site, as well as its proximity to shore, it is unlikely to have a major interaction with RWFL.
- 8.257 Parts of the Irish Sea have excellent potential for tidal and, to a lesser extent, wave generation projects. In December 2011, TCE launched a commercial leasing round for multiple tidal generation sites providing up to 200MW of capacity in the Rathlin Island and Torr Head Strategic Area in Northern Ireland. This follows the Strategic Environmental Assessment of an offshore renewables programme and the subsequent publication of regional locational guidance by Northern Ireland's Department of



Enterprise, Trade and Investment (DETI). Celtic Array will continue to monitor the progress of other plans and projects in the Irish Sea.

Identification of key issues

Potential effects during construction						
Interference with oil and gas operations	No impacts are anticipated on current oil and gas activity other than in respect of potential effects on shipping (Chapter 8.2). There is the potential for RWFL's offshore export cable to interact with oil and gas projects, as discussed in Chapter 3. The export cable route corridor will be refined during the EIA process as more information becomes available. Licences in for sub-blocks within the Site may be granted in the 27th Licensing Round and no decision has been made on applications vet. The	Scoped out				
	nature of potential interactions in this respect is not known at this time.					
Physical effects on wind farms and subsea cables from construction activities	Only two cables pass through the Site and cable route areas (the EirGrid East West Interconnector and the SIRIUS South). A buffer distance between the cable and turbines will be negotiated, as well as arrangements for the interfaces between maintenance crews and any cable to cable crossings. For this reason it is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA.	Scoped out				
Effects on disposal sites and dredging activities	No impacts are anticipated on dredging and disposal activities other than those considered in Chapter 8.1 (navigation). Given the findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6), there is no pathway through which effects other than those related to navigation may occur and therefore it is proposed that other than for navigation this issue be scoped out of the EIA.	Scoped out				
Impacts on military exercise areas	Given the absence of overlap of PEXAs and the Site it is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA. (Military considerations not related to exercise areas remain scoped in and aviation is considered separately in Chapter 8.3).	Scoped out				
Potential impa	Potential impacts during operation					
Interference with oil and gas operations	No impacts are anticipated on current oil and gas activity other than in respect of potential effects on helicopter operations (see Chapter 8.3) and on shipping (Chapter 8.2). There is the potential for RWFL's offshore export cable to interact with oil and gas projects however. As discussed in Chapter 3 as	Scoped in				

	the cable route becomes better defined these interactions will be identified and addressed.	
	Licences for sub-blocks within the Site may be granted in the 27th Licensing Round. The nature of potential interactions in this respect is not known at this time.	
Disposal sites and dredging activities	No impacts are anticipated on dredging and disposal activities other than those considered in Chapter 8.1 (navigation). Given the findings of the ZAP Report on physical processes (see Chapter 6), there is no pathway through which effects other than those related to navigation may occur and therefore it is proposed that other than for navigation this issue be scoped out of the EIA.	
Effects on wind farms and subsea cables	Two cables pass in the vicinity of RWFL (the EirGrid East West Interconnector and the SIRIUS South). A buffer distance between the cable and turbines will be negotiated, as well as arrangements for maintenance crew interfaces and cable to cable crossings. For this reason it is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA.	
Impacts on military exercise areas	The potential for offshore wind farm development to be affected by military operations is one of the factors that influenced site selection at a strategic level (Celtic Array 2012).	Scoped out
	Given the absence of overlap of PEXAs and the Site it is proposed that this issue be scoped out of the EIA. Potential impacts on military aviation are considered in Chapter 8.3 and other military issues remain a consideration.	
Impacts on coastal defences	The potential for development within the Site to influence coastal defences through changes in regional coastal erosion patterns is considered in Chapter 6.	Scoped in
Proposed new Wylfa power station	Navigational activity associated with RWFL (O&M Scoped in vessels etc) may interact with vessel traffic associated with the construction of the Wylfa power station. This is discussed further in Chapter 8.2.	
Potential for disruption to telecommun- ications signals	As RWFL is located in the Irish Sea, it is unlikely to interfere with telecommunications systems. Consultation with Ofcom will be held to ensure all potential disruptions are considered.	Scoped in

Potential impacts during decommissioning		
The effects on the activities described above during decommissioning are anticipated to be similar to those discussed in respect of the construction of the wind farm with an incremental reduction in navigational and other risks as individual turbines are removed from the Site and activity eventually ceases.	Scoped out	
Potential cumulative impacts		
There is unlikely to be a significant cumulative impact on any of the receptors described in this section other than in respect of navigation and aviation interests associated with the construction and operation of relevant facilities. These issues are considered in Chapters 8.2 and 8.3, respectively.	Scoped in	
As discussed above, impacts on other wind farm or transmission cable operators may arise from the routeing of export cables and the potential requirement for crossing agreements or limitations on spacing within the restricted corridors available to reach landfall locations in the vicinity of grid connection points. Similar interactions may also arise with pipeline infrastructure associated with oil and gas extraction, gas storage or gas transportation. Celtic Array will ensure that any application to the Planning Inspectorate and MMO includes an outline of the export cable route which is sufficient in detail to cover any potential cumulative effects and relevant planning considerations.		

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.258 The EIA for the receptors described in this chapter will be carried out through a desk study supported by extensive consultation with owners of relevant assets and other stakeholders.
- 8.259 Datasets referred to as part of the desk study will include the Seazone and UK Deal databases as well as industry specific charts such as Kingfisher and BMAPA.
- 8.260 Meetings with other marine renewable operators, oil and gas companies, MOD, dredging and disposal operators and cable owners will be held to assess the interactions with RWFL.

8-6 Human Environment – Archaeology and cultural heritage

Introduction

8.261 This chapter characterises the archaeological and cultural heritage of the Site and surrounding area, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on that heritage and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform RWFL's EIA process.

- 8.262 The main historic environment themes relevant to the Site are:
 - Prehistory: sites, artefacts and deposits pertaining to human activity originally taking place on land during periods of lower sea-level;
 - Maritime: wrecks of boats and ships and shipping-related material from later prehistoric to modern periods; and
 - Aviation: wrecks and debris from aircraft crashes in the modern period.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

- 8.263 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 4, Celtic Array commissioned an archaeological and cultural heritage study, which included full zonal characterisation based around the collection of geophysical and geotechnical data, as well as consultation with stakeholders.
- 8.264 The archaeological investigation undertaken for the ZAP Report was conducted in stages. The principal stages and sources of data and information used for the production of the ZAP Report include:
 - An initial assessment of documentary sources (Wessex Archaeology 2010a) incorporating:
 - United Kingdom Hydrographic Office (UKHO) wreck and obstruction dataset;
 - Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historic Monuments of Wales (RCAHMW) historic environment records documentary search;
 - ALSF England's Shipping (Wessex Archaeology 2004);
 - ALSF Navigational Hazards Project (Merritt et al. 2007);
 - ALSF Aircraft Crash Sites at Sea (Wessex Archaeology 2008);
 - Geological and palaeoenvironmental literature relating to the development of the ISZ;
 - Maritime history literature; and
 - Previous archaeological studies in the area.
 - Archaeological review of geophysical survey data (October 2011). Twelve corridors, representing a 12% sample of the ISZ area were reviewed. These were evenly distributed across the ISZ spaced 5km apart, oriented north east to south west, and were 500m wide (comprising three survey lines each spaced 150m apart). The data examined consisted of information from:
 - Side-scan sonar which provides images of the seabed for identification of wrecks and other seabed features of archaeological interest;
 - Sub-bottom profiler which provides vertical slices through the seabed primarily for identifying sediment layers and infilled features such as old river channels that may have archaeological potential;
 - Multibeam bathymetry which produces a three dimensional model of the seabed which is useful for understanding the nature of the seabed and archaeological features preserved upon it; and
 - Marine magnetometer which can detect ferrous (containing iron) materials, such as shipwrecks or aircraft, lying beneath the seabed.

 Archaeological review of geotechnical data from met mast boreholes (October 2011). Four potential met mast borehole locations were drilled in March 2011 for engineering purposes. The borehole samples were archaeologically assessed to provide an indication of the potential for prehistoric archaeology to be preserved within them.



Figure 8.37 Borehole locations

- 8.265 A further series of geotechnical boreholes are being taken at approximately forty sites across the zone during 2012. The borehole samples obtained from this survey will also be archaeologically assessed.
- 8.266 Guidance documents relevant to this report include:
 - Revised Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee (JNAPC) code of practice for seabed development (JNAPC 2006);
 - Collaborative Offshore Wind Research into the Environment (COWRIE) guidance on Historic Environment for the offshore renewable energy sector (Wessex Archaeology 2007); and
 - Guidance for Assessment of Cumulative Impacts on the Historic Environment (Oxford Archaeology and George Lambrick Archaeology 2008).
- 8.267 Legislation considered as part of the ZAP Report and this report includes:
 - Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 within UK territorial waters (12nm);



- Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 relevant to all UK waters; and
- Merchant Shipping Act 1995 relevant to all UK waters.

Consultation

- 8.268 Stakeholder consultation has formed an important part of the ZAP Report and the drafting of the ZAP Report. Consultees have included:
 - Cadw;
 - The RCAHMW;
 - English Heritage;
 - Manx National Heritage;
 - Clwyd-Powys Archaeological Trust; and
 - Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Services.
- 8.269 For the part of the Site within Welsh waters, Cadw administers the responsibilities of the Welsh Government with regards to archaeological and built heritage matters up to the 12 nautical mile limit.

Description of current environment

Archaeological context

- 8.270 The Site is characterised by proximity to major shipping lanes around Liverpool Bay and the west coast of the UK mainland; this area is also associated with the area of the eastern Irish Sea basin likely to have been dry land during the Palaeolithic and Mesolithic and therefore holds an increased potential for encountering submerged prehistoric landscapes.
- 8.271 Evidence of human occupation for in excess of 700,000 years has been previously recorded at sites around the UK (Parfitt *et al.* 2005, Parfitt *et al.* 2010). During this period, fluctuations in relative sea level (RSL) from repeated glacial/interglacial cycles may have resulted in areas of the ISZ being periodically sub-aerially exposed. This will have permitted the movement of Pleistocene animals and may have facilitated occupation and exploitation by early hominins.
- 8.272 The presence of Palaeolithic cave sites along the North Wales coast indicate that such occupation in the vicinity of the Site during times of low RSL was potentially possible. However, any archaeological material deposited in the more exposed parts of the Site during this time is likely to have been removed by subsequent glaciations (Flemming 2005). However to the east, approximately 30km from the Site, palaeoenvironmental analysis of borehole samples has recovered pollen sequences relating to the upper Palaeolithic (ca. 34,000 BP, an archaeologically important period) suggesting isolated pockets of material from this date could also have survived further offshore (Wessex Archaeology 2011b).
- 8.273 The area of the Site is associated with shallower bathymetry than the west of the ISZ (ca. <50–30m) and is in close proximity to the general position of the Mesolithic coastline around 10,000 BP suggested by recent palaeogeographical research (University of Birmingham 2011). This area is more likely to contain submerged and buried coastal peaty sediments of higher archaeological potential. The potential for encountering preserved artefacts and archaeological material in general in the east of the ISZ generally is also significantly higher. Finds of this nature could be of high archaeological importance.

- 8.274 By the Mesolithic period, gradual relative sea level (RSL) rise would have probably placed much of the ISZ either on the coastline or just offshore (Shennan and Horton 2002). The Mesolithic record of the British Isles suggests a strong relationship between human activity and coasts, wetlands, rivers and streams. These areas provide rich sources of food and resources for these hunter/gatherer groups, as well as important transport routes inland or between islands. Any surviving sedimentary deposits from this period could potentially contain both *in-situ* and derived artefacts from a time when these coastal and littoral landscapes, now submerged by the sea, were utilised intensively by human populations.
- 8.275 It should be noted that some studies have suggested that the ISZ has been a completely marine environment since the last glacial maximum (LGM) and no terrestrial phase has occurred (Van Landeghem *et al.* 2009). In such a case the archaeological potential of the Site would be considered to be lower given the absence of a once exposed land surface upon which human communities could have lived.
- 8.276 In addition to these submerged coastal landscapes, the Mesolithic archaeological record may contain examples of coastal or sea going craft made from dugout logs or hide covered wooden frames. By the end of the Mesolithic, the Site would have been completely submerged, and archaeological evidence from the Neolithic onwards will be of an increasingly maritime nature. Any artefacts from this period not related to maritime activity are likely to be derived and re-deposited within the ISZ after introduction to the area by fluvial processes or coastal erosion.
- 8.277 The earliest evidence for maritime craft within the UK is during the Mesolithic and Neolithic. This evidence consists of dugout log boats (Mowat 1996), rafts and possibly hide-covered boats (McGrail 1987). These vessels were likely used predominantly on inland waters and coastal areas, for fishing and transportation. A number of possible sea-going log boats have been recorded along the east coast of Ireland (Wessex Archaeology 2005). Long distance travel was perhaps restricted to favourable weather conditions. The survival of these craft types is very sparse other than in sealed primary contexts (McGrail 1987). Because of the seabed sedimentology of relatively mobile sandwaves and sandy gravels/gravely sands, these earliest archaeological materials are likely to be poorly preserved except in favourable, buried subsurface sediments.
- 8.278 Sea levels similar to the present day are thought to have developed by around 2000 BP (Lambeck and Purcell 2001). The archaeological record after this time would increasingly be of a fully maritime nature with a similar coastline to that of today. From the Bronze Age onwards, boat building technologies became more advanced, for example the sewn plank boat remains recovered from Goldcliffe and Caldicot in the Severn Estuary (Van de Noort 2003).
- 8.279 These advances continued into the Iron Age with the development of the 'Romano-Celtic' boat type. Evidence suggests that these new boat types were capable of coastal and sea-going voyages (Marsden 1994). During the later Roman occupation of Britain (43–409 AD), archaeological evidence suggests that contact occurred across the Irish Sea basin and trade routes were established. Small numbers of Roman coins have been found on the Isle of Man (Kinvig 1975). The preservation of these vessel types may be restricted to sealed, anaerobic contexts but finds of these larger vessels' cargo may be more likely especially with regards to fired pottery and other non-perishable items. The early medieval period saw a rapid increase in maritime transport and trade. As a result of this the expansion of the surrounding towns and harbours along with the further development of ship building technology also occurred. Thus the maritime traffic passing through the Site would have increased. The Viking settlement of the Irish Sea

basin during the early medieval period encouraged long distance contact and trading between the Irish Sea and beyond (Redknap 2000).

- 8.280 The Drogheda boat dating to around 1500 AD, following in the construction methods of Viking period clinker vessels, is a rare example of coastal trading vessels from the late medieval period. The wreck was found to be carrying a large cargo of several thousand salted herring, likely caught off the east coast of Ireland and Isle of Man during the autumn (Harland 2009). Wrecks of this nature would be of national to international significance. The location of the Site adjacent to these historic fishing grounds would suggest an increased potential for encountering similar wrecks.
- 8.281 As suggested by the documentary sources, from the post-medieval period onwards the evidence for maritime activity, both documentary and physical, increases dramatically. Improved ship building techniques allowed a diverse and specialised array of vessels and permitted more efficient and rapid maritime trade and transportation throughout Europe and the rest of the world. Liverpool, to the east of the Site, was a major trading hub to Europe, North America and the West Indies following the expansion of the British Empire, and was a principal location for shipbuilding, sugar refining, the coal industry and the slave trade.
- 8.282 Boats, ships and aircraft lost during the two World Wars would also be considered as important finds because of the magnitude of the loss endured by all countries involved and record the rapid development of wartime technologies. Legislation exists to protect military aviation losses as well as maritime wrecks of archaeological importance.

Maritime and aviation archaeology

8.283 The ZAP Report identified within the study area (the ISZ with a 1km buffer around it) a total of 61 wrecks, categorised by their date of loss in Tables 8.15 and 8.16 below. Of these wrecks, nine are within the Site boundary.

Wreck Date Range of Loss	Number
1850 – 1913	7
1914 – 1918	10
1919 – 1938	2
1939 – 1945	4
Post-1945	4
Unknown	34
Total	61

Table 8.14 Dates of loss of documented wrecks

Table 8.15 Vess	sel types of docu	mented wrecks
-----------------	-------------------	---------------

Vessel Type	Number
Fishing	4
Barque	1
Steam Ship	19

Vessel Type	Number
Sailing	3
Trawler	1
Submarine	4
Unknown	29
Total	61



Figure 8.38 Wreck locations in the vicinity of the project

- 8.284 No previously unidentified wrecks were located within the ZAP Report corridors, but the absence of such sites within the RWFL boundary will only be able to be fully established during EIA. The identification of all relevant UKHO recorded wrecks within the corridors provides a high level of confidence in the datasets.
- 8.285 The most significant wrecks located within the ZAP Report corridors, in terms of the archaeology present and the confidence in their identification, are the three previously recorded wrecks *SS Peveril* (WA ID 7020), *SS Lucy* (WA ID 7061) and *SS Skerries* (WA ID 7105). Additionally, two other sites (7021 and 7060) were identified as probable pieces of debris. These features are summarised in Table 8.17, though none were located within the Site boundaries.

Table 8.16 Selected gazetteer on main features of archaeological interest (A1 archaeological discrimination) (see WA 2011b)

WA_ID	Classification	Notes
7020	Wreck	Location of the known wreck of the <i>Peveril</i> , identified by all the geophysical equipment. Structure is discernible from linear shadows and within the wreck area there are also a number of dark reflectors, two larger dark reflectors with large shadows indicate two upstanding areas of the wreck with the wreck appearing mostly intact and upright. Height is a minimum as wreck is at edge of range. Debris appears contained to within the wreck itself, but a probable piece of debris is located nearby in anomaly 7021. A large magnetic contact suggests that it is most likely of metal construction. There is a scour mark to the southwest possibly containing another piece of debris (WA ID 7022).
7021	Debris	Linear dark reflector with faint shadow, in the vicinity of wreck 7020, most likely a piece of debris from the wreck.
7060	Debris	T-bar shaped dark reflector, the shape looks anthropogenic in origin and associated is a second smaller linear anomaly about 40m away, probably debris.
7061	Wreck	Location of the known wreck of the <i>Lucy</i> , identified by all of the geophysical equipment. Connecting linear and curvilinear dark reflectors showing the structure of a wreck, intact and upright on seabed. Surrounding seabed is absent of any sediment build-up suggesting wreck is not buried. No debris scatter and no scour marks visible. Distinct medium magnetic anomaly.
7105	Wreck	Location of the known wreck of the <i>Skerries</i> , identified by all of the geophysical equipment. Area containing dark reflectors with shadows identified as a wreck. Banding in the data has distorted the image therefore, although dark reflectors are visible and identified as structure it is difficult to distinguish any detail further than that. Height recorded is the minimum as shadow extends beyond range. Long extended sediment build-up running from the wreck to the north over 110m in length. So far one small linear reflector next to main wreck area identified as debris but there could be more. Large magnetic anomaly and isolated irregular seabed mound in the bathymetric data.

Palaeolandscape and geoarchaeological issues

8.286 The geoarchaeological assessment of geotechnical boreholes at possible meteorological mast sites (Wessex Archaeology 2011a) suggests that the prehistoric archaeological potential of the seabed sediments at these locations is likely to be low
as they are either too old or consist of glacial sediments or reworked sediments – i.e. any artefacts within them are unlikely to be *in situ*.

- 8.287 This initial conclusion is not exhaustive for the Site because it focuses on the met mast locations within the ISZ. However, it provides an indication of the range of sediments preserved in the Irish Sea and confirms that it is possible to examine their archaeological potential within their geological context.
- 8.288 The geophysical assessment of sub-bottom profiler datasets (Wessex Archaeology 2011b), found that the Site is likely to contain geological features of possible archaeological potential.
- 8.289 These features fall into three broad categories of features visible on the geophysical survey lines:
 - Terrestrial palaeochannels old river channels now underwater due to sea level change;
 - Underfilled glacial channels glacially eroded channels partially filled by sediments; and
 - Infilled depressions.
- 8.290 Of these, the channel deposits were considered to be potentially the most important archaeologically.

Identification of key issues

- 8.291 The following identification of potential effects has been based on consideration of the ZAP Report, previous wind farm ESs and CREL's/DONG Energy's experience of offshore wind farm development.
- 8.292 The potential effects described in this section may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.
- 8.293 As described in the COWRIE guidance document 'Historic Environment Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector' (Wessex Archaeology 2007), there may be direct and indirect impacts upon cultural heritage receptors preserved offshore from offshore renewable energy developments. These are paraphrased below (ibid. p9).

"Direct impacts can include direct damage to structures, features, deposits and artefacts, and the disturbance or destruction of relationships between these elements and their wider surroundings."

"Indirect effects may arise where the direct impact has effects beyond its primary footprint, implicating archaeological sites or deposits that lie some distance away."

8.294 Direct impacts generally occur during the installation, maintenance and decommissioning of the turbine and cable infrastructure. Indirect impacts may develop from direct impacts via a number of varied processes. Examples include, but are not restricted to, the instigation of erosion of cultural heritage receptors following changes to the seabed during infrastructure installation or from anchoring or jacking-up of vessels working on the development.

Potential effects during construction					
Direct physical disturbance to marine archaeological features	The installation of the foundations for RWFL, the use of scour protection and the construction of associated infrastructure such as offshore substations and intra-array cables could directly disturb or damage artefacts of cultural importance or, in the case of submerged palaeo-channels (see above) affect sites of archaeological interest. Such impacts may also arise from activities associated with the construction activity such as vessel anchoring or the positioning of jack-up vessels. This impact can be mitigated through the identification and avoidance of archaeological features and therefore while it is scoped in, it is not expected to be a focus of the EIA.	Scoped in			
Indirect physical disturbance to marine archaeological features	Changes to currents, sediment transport and erosion patterns during the construction period have the potential to impact on sites, deposits or artefacts even where direct physical contact from construction activities does not occur. Appropriate 'buffers' placed around features can act as mitigation for this impact. Given the findings of the ZAP Report relating to physical processes (see Chapter 6 of this report) that such effects are likely to be small scale and local, it is proposed that such effects during the construction phase be scoped out of the EIA process.	Scoped out			
Potential impact	s during operation				
Disturbance to marine archaeological features	No significant direct impacts are predicted to occur during the operational phase because no new disturbance of seabed is likely to take place. However, some activities associated with maintenance (for example, positioning of jack-up vessels) may give rise to impacts similar to those considered above as having the potential to arise during the construction phase. Major maintenance activities will be subject to the same types of mitigation as construction activites and therefore this potential impact is not expected to be a focus of the EIA. Indirect changes to the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes could occur, resulting in disturbance to archaeological features through sediment transport, scouring or deposition. Numerical modelling studies carried out for the ZAP Report indicate there is little potential, at the zonal-level for significant effects to occur.	Scoped in			

	reason, it is not expected that this potential impact will form a focus of the EIA.		
Visual impacts on onshore historical and cultural heritage features	The visual effects of RWFL on onshore historical and cultural heritage features will be considered as part of the archaeology and cultural heritage chapter of the ES. Cross-referencing will be provided between this chapter and the one on assessment of seascape and landscape impact. Identification and assessment of potential impacts will include consideration of the setting of listed buildings, scheduled monuments, registered parks and gardens and historically important landscapes.	Scoped in	
Potential impact	s during decommissioning		
Impacts arising of be similar to those	Scoped in		
Potential cumulative impacts			
There is pote archaeological i together with the of this report, co archaeological fe	ntial for cumulative impacts on features of nterest. In particular, the construction of RWFL, e construction of the projects identified in Chapter 5 ould incrementally reduce the quality or number of eatures, particularly in respect of palaeofeatures.	Scoped in	
Conversely, ho development of respect of marin on features wh archaeological fi of finds protocol cultural heritage.			

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.295 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the baseline data as necessary.
- 8.296 Ongoing consultation will additionally inform the EIA process, including Cadw, RCAHMW, English Heritage, Manx National Heritage, Clwyd-Powys Archaeological Trust and the Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Services.
- 8.297 Since April 2012, Celtic Array has been undertaking a geotechnical campaign with an anticipated forty boreholes. The data collected will be subject to an archaeological review which will be carried out onshore, as an offshore review will not be possible because of working practicalities and restrictions on the survey vessel.
- 8.298 The ZAP Report archaeological review of geophysical data was undertaken by analysing broad survey corridors to obtain regional conclusions on archaeological potential for the whole ISZ. Further EIA level analysis will be carried out on the data for

the Site and the technical specifications of surveys will be agreed with expert authorities as part of consultation.

- 8.299 The ES will include:
 - A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, data and information derived through consultation;
 - A review and summary of the consultation including an overview of the key concerns gathered from stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
 - Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL, including potential cumulative impacts;
 - A review and summary of seascape and visual impact studies incorporating any identified key issues specifically regarding cultural heritage. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
 - Proposals for mitigation measures and monitoring.
- 8.300 The EIA for RWFL will take account of the following legislation and guidance:
 - Revised JNAPC code of practice for seabed development (JNAPC 2006);
 - COWRIE guidance on Historic Environment for the offshore renewable energy sector (Wessex Archaeology 2007);
 - Guidance for Assessment of Cumulative Impacts on the Historic Environment (Oxford Archaeology and George Lambrick Archaeology 2008);
 - Protection of Wrecks Act 1973;
 - Protection of Military Remains Act 1986;
 - Merchant Shipping Act 1995; and
 - Offshore Geotechnical Investigations and Historic Environment Analysis: Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector (Gribble and Leather 2009).

8-7 Human Environment – Socio-Economics

Introduction

8.301 This chapter characterises the socio-economic environment in and around the Site, describes the potential effects of wind farm development on that environment and outlines the issues which will be considered in the ES. It also outlines the scope of future surveys and studies to be consulted on with relevant consultees which will be used to inform RWFL's EIA process.

Surveys and studies carried out to date

8.302 As part of the ZAP process described in Chapter 4, Celtic Array commissioned a socioeconomic study (Celtic Array 2012). The ZAP Report included full zonal characterisation of the socio-economic environment.



- 8.303 The principal sources of data and information used for the production of the ZAP Report and this report were:
 - Shipping and navigation baseline, prepared for Celtic Array by Anatec, December 2012 (see see Chapter 8.2);
 - Commercial fisheries baseline, prepared for Celtic Array by Brown & May Marine Ltd, December 2012 (see Chapter 8.1);
 - Other users baseline, prepared by Celtic Array (see Chapter 8.6);
 - Office of National Statistics, Nomis database;
 - Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency; and
 - Central Statistics Office (Statistics Ireland).
- 8.304 Celtic Array has undertaken comprehensive stakeholder engagement at key points in the ZAP assessment process, as discussed in Chapter 3. Scoping responses received on socio-economic issues during the ZAP Report raised a number of key concerns including:
 - The need to maintain ongoing consultation with key stakeholders;
 - Understanding of the benefits to local communities which will result from development; and
 - Employment opportunities for local people in North Wales and other areas during the construction and maintenance stages.
- 8.305 There was also recognition of the increasing importance of the renewable energy sector and the local opportunities that the sector could provide for the region, particularly in respect of the ports sector.
- 8.306 It is important to understand that while Celtic Array remains fully committed to keeping the general public and relevant stakeholders up to date, it is not possible to quantify economic benefits until the design of RWFL becomes more certain. As discussed below, the ES for RWFL will seek to place any potential impacts and benefits in the context of those communities most likely to be affected by development.

Description of current environment

8.307 This description of the current socio-economic environment is presented at a regional and country level for North West England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Republic of Ireland and the Isle of Man which together comprise the study area. Unless referenced in the text, all the information is drawn from Celtic Array (2012).

Population demographics

- 8.308 Demographic information for the study areas in 2006 (the latest data for which population estimates are available across all of the study areas) shows that the proportion of the population of working age (i.e. 15 to 64) is relatively consistent across the study areas from 65% in Wales and North West England to 69% in the Republic of Ireland.
- 8.309 Analysis shows significantly higher levels of population growth in the Republic of Ireland (8%) and Isle of Man (5%) between 2001 and 2006 than the regions within the study areas. Levels of population growth over the five year period are similar for the North West England and Wales, at just below 2%.

8.310 There is a forecasted gradual rise in population across all of the countries within the UK between 2010 and 2020. While the forecasted population as a whole is shown to increase across the study areas, the projected proportion of people of working age varies.

Employment

- 8.311 A breakdown of the working age population shows a higher degree of economic participation within the Isle of Man, Scotland and North West England than Wales, Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland.
- 8.312 All of the study areas have experienced some increase in unemployment levels between 2006 and 2011. The most significant increase in unemployment between 2006 and 2011 has been in the Republic of Ireland where there has seen nearly a 10% increase in unemployment levels over a five year period. In comparison, the Isle of Man has seen a modest increase in unemployment level over the periods of 0.7%.
- 8.313 In 2006, the highest regional unemployment rate in the study area (Scotland) was 5.5% which is four percentage points higher than the lowest (Isle of Man) at 1.5%. By 2011, the difference between unemployment rates across the regions had increased and the highest rate was 14% (Republic of Ireland) and was almost 12% higher than the lowest rate at 2.2% (Isle of Man). The regional average unemployment rate in North West England in 2011 (7.9%) was fairly similar to that experienced in Wales (8.6%) and Scotland (7.9%).

Key industrial sectors

- 8.314 Public sector employment is a dominant sector in all of the economies, growing in importance over the period in all places apart from the Republic of Ireland.
- 8.315 In 2006, construction was a more important sector in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland than in the other study areas. Manufacturing was a significant sector in all of the study area economies but not for the Isle of Man.
- 8.316 The Isle of Man economy in 2006 was dominated by employment in the distribution, hotels and restaurants sector, the banking and finance sector and public sector services. Banking and finance is a significantly more important sector in the Isle of Man than for the other study area economies.
- 8.317 All the economies within the study area have seen a reduction in the importance of the manufacturing and construction sectors in terms of levels of employment. Wales and Northern Ireland have also seen a reduction in the importance of the distribution, hotel and restaurant sector.
- 8.318 There is little consistent information collated on the importance of tourism to the study area economies. For the Isle of Man, for example, data for 2006-2007 showed that as a proportion of national income by sector, tourism only accounted for 5.1%. This compares less favourably, in terms of the importance of the sector to the overall economy, with for example the finance sector (36%), professional and scientific services (20.5%) and even manufacturing (7.3%).
- 8.319 Statistics produced by Failte Ireland, the Republic of Ireland's National Tourism Development Authority, using proxy measurements for employment, estimated that the tourist sector amounted to 6.4% of total employment. Appling the same industry classification as used by Failte Ireland the equivalent percentages for North West England (5.5%) and Northern Ireland (5.4%) are slightly lower, whereas in Wales and Scotland the importance of the sector for employment is roughly the same as that for the Republic of Ireland, being 6.5% and 6.7% respectively.

Income and earnings

- 8.320 In April 2011, the median gross weekly earnings for full-time employees in Northern Ireland, (both public and private) were £450.60, an increase of 3.0% over the year from April 2010. This rate of growth was higher than in the UK as a whole where the rate of growth was 0.4% for the year, although median earnings in the UK were higher at £500.70 in April 2011. This effectively narrowed the NI/UK full-time pay gap to 90.0% of the UK's median earnings compared with 87.7% a year earlier (NI Department of Finance and Personnel Statistics Bulletin, April 2011).
- 8.321 Average weekly earnings information for 2010 is available by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) or industry grouping for each study area. In general, the data shows that the best paid sectors are mining and quarrying; electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply; information and communication services; and financial and insurance activities. The lowest wages were typically experienced in the agriculture, forestry and fishing; wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles; accommodation and food service activities and administrative and support service activities sectors. Manufacturing and construction wages were in the middle of the average wage range and were highest in Scotland for both sectors.
- 8.322 Wages in Republic of Ireland are generally higher than the rest of the regions, but this could, in part, be a result of exchange rate conversions between Euros and British Pounds.
- 8.323 The water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities sector was the only SIC area for which wages reduced for all three regions (North West England, Wales and Scotland). The greatest reductions in wages were observed for Wales, most notably in this same sector as well as other service-related sectors (accommodation, food, administration and support services and other services). The greatest increases in wages were observed in the public administration and defence and electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply sectors for all regions, and the arts, entertainment and recreation sector in Scotland.
- 8.324 Wages in the manufacturing and construction sectors experienced small increases, with average wage levels across all three regions rising by 2.7% and 1.6% respectively. The average wage increase for electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply sector employees across the three regions was 6.0%.

Education and skills

- 8.325 In terms of the qualifications and skills levels contained within the individual labour markets analysis shows a slightly lower proportion of people with qualifications in Northern Ireland at all National Vocational Qualification (NVQ) levels and slightly higher proportions of people with NVQ2 equivalent (broadly five GCSEs at grades A*-C) and higher in Scotland than the other UK areas. The most recent data for the Republic of Ireland produced by the Central Statistics Office Ireland, show that in 2006 15.6% of the population aged 15 and above had completed a degree or higher course. Although not a direct statistical comparison, in 2006 in Wales 24.2% and in North West England 24.8% of people aged over 16 had NVQ 4 equivalent qualifications, the classification which includes degree and higher degree qualifications.
- 8.326 Although comparable data is not collected in the Republic of Ireland, the Central Statistics Office has collected data, showing that 70% of the relevant age cohort in 2006 entered higher education. This was an increase from the level (60%) in 2001. In 2011, the percentage of people aged 20-24 years having completed at least Upper Second Level Education was 87.6% compared with 85.3% in 2006.

8.327 Data provided by the UK Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) shows the level of unemployment among recent graduates. Although the proportion of unemployed graduates was slightly higher in North West England and Northern Ireland, across these regions of the UK they are relatively consistent, at around 10%.

Skills gaps

- 8.328 Research undertaken by Cambridge Econometrics for RenewableUK shows that the number of people working in the UK's offshore renewable sector has grown from 700 people in 2007, to around 3,200 in 2011.
- 8.329 The Cambridge Econometrics study suggests offshore growth could provide direct and indirect employment for in the region of 65,000 people; however, this is dependent on the UK being able to meet a reasonable share of associated demand domestically.
- 8.330 Research undertaken for the then British Wind Energy Association (BWEA, now RenewableUK) in 2008 concluded that:
- 8.331 "The UK faces a significant demand/supply imbalance in the wind energy labour market already, and the sector continues to grow. The pools of people with the skills and experience to perform many of the roles are limited. As growth accelerates, filling the new roles will be challenging, and a number of specialist roles will become even more difficult to fill. Industry players currently see this issue as the fourth most significant barrier to growth in the sector."
- 8.332 This research suggests that significant vacancy levels were driven by a lack of experience, a lack of qualifications, and a shortage of applicants and that the industry was already facing a considerable staffing challenge with more than half of the companies surveyed in 2008 having have vacancy levels of above 5% and in certain specialist roles that shortage was significantly higher. The research showed that the majority of non-graduate hires into the sector had experience in some other related industry, such as another renewable energy, oil and gas, or construction.

Identification of key issues

8.333 The following potential effects may arise from the construction, operation or decommissioning of RWFL. These effects will be considered in the ES unless specifically scoped out below.

Potential effects during construction				
Effects on spending, income and employment patterns	The construction and installation of the wind farm and its ancillary infrastructure may influence direct and indirect demand for goods and services, leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns.	Scoped in		
	This effect may occur through direct employment, through employment in the supply chain, particularly at ports, and through multiplier effects arising from such employment (for example increased expenditure in local communities).			

Potential impac	Potential impacts during operation				
Effects on spending, income and employment	The O&M of RWFL and its ancillary infrastructure may influence direct and indirect demand for goods and services, leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns.	Scoped in			
patterns	This effect may occur through direct employment, through employment in the supply chain, particularly at O&M ports, and through multiplier effects arising from such employment (for example increased expenditure in local communities).				
Shipping and Navigation	As discussed in Chapter 8.2, the physical presence of the turbines may give rise to deviations to existing shipping routes resulting in potential additional journey time for shipping operators.	Scoped in			
Commercial fisheries - displacement	As discussed in Chapter 8.1, the physical presence of the turbines may give rise to vessel displacement which may result, directly or indirectly, in changes in the volume of catch and/or fishing costs.				
Potential impac	cts during decommissioning				
Impacts during decommissioning are likely to be similar to those during construction of RWFL although the absence of pile driving is likely to result in a significantly lesser impact on commercial fisheries than during the construction phase.					
Potential cumu	lative impacts				
Effects on spending, income and employment patterns	The construction and installation of the wind farms and their ancillary infrastructure may influence direct and indirect demand for goods and services, leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns.	Scoped in			
	In particular, the development of a regional supply chain and 'hubs' of specialism as well as port redevelopment are likely to give rise to significant positive cumulative impacts.				
	The O&M of RWFL and its ancillary infrastructure may influence direct and indirect demand for goods and services, leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns.				
	As with the construction phase discussed above, the development of a regional supply chain and 'hubs' of specialism, including ports and aviation facilities providing specialised facilities for O&M, are likely to give rise to significant positive cumulative impacts.				



Shipping and Navigation	As discussed in Chapter 8.2, the physical presence of the turbines at multiple projects may give rise to deviations to existing shipping routes resulting in potential additional journey time and cost for shipping operators.	Scoped in
Commercial fisheries - displacement	As discussed in Chapter 8.1, the physical presence of the turbines at multiple projects may give rise to vessel displacement which may result, directly or indirectly, in changes in the volume of catch and/or fishing costs, thus influencing profitability from fishing.	Scoped in

Proposed project level surveys and studies

- 8.334 The EIA for RWFL will build on the data collected as part of the ZAP process and update the data described above as necessary.
- 8.335 Ongoing consultation will additionally inform the EIA process. In additional to the shipping and fisheries described in Chapters 8.1 and 8.2 such consultation will include:
 - Local authorities;
 - Tourist boards;
 - Recreational vessel operators (fishing, diving, pleasure trips);
 - Ports authorities and companies;
 - Welsh Government;
 - Isle of Man Government;
 - Government of the Republic of Ireland;
 - Scottish Government; and
 - Community groups.

8.336 The ES will include:

- A description of the existing/baseline environment in the area of RWFL, within the ISZ and the wider Irish Sea basin making reference to the information described above and, in particular, consultation derived data and information;
- A review and summary of the consultation process including an overview of the key concerns gathered from relevant stakeholders regarding the potential development of RWFL;
- Assessment of the potential effects arising from RWFL described in the above section, including potential cumulative impacts;
- A review and summary of commercial fisheries EIA incorporating relevant findings from the process described in Chapter 8.1. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included;



- A review and summary of the shipping and navigation EIA incorporating relevant findings from the process described in Chapter 8.2. Cross-referencing to the relevant chapters of the ES will be included; and
- Proposals for mitigation measures including, subject to the issues discussed above in paragraph 8.306.



9 PROPOSED STRUCTURE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

- 9.1 This chapter describes a provisional structure for the ES which will be prepared in support of the application for development consent for RWFL to the Planning Inspectorate.
- 9.2 It is proposed to adopt a three volume format for the ES, comprising:
 - Volume 1: Non-technical Summary;
 - Volume 2: Environmental Statement and Appendices and;
 - Volume 3: Environmental Statement figures.
- 9.3 The ES Main Text (Volume 2) will comprise of a series of introductory chapters and EIA chapters. Each technical chapter will begin with a description of relevant baseline conditions and assess the potential impacts of RWFL on that baseline, including any potential cumulative and in combination impacts. A provisional structure for Volume 2 is set out below:
 - 1. Introduction
 - 2. The Applicant
 - 3. Legislative and policy context
 - 4. Need for the project and consideration of alternatives
 - 5. Environmental Impact Assessment Process
 - 6. Project Description

A description of the project including

- Site Layout
- Foundations
- Turbines
- Offshore electrical elements
- Export cable and landfall
- Construction
- Operation and Maintenance
- Decommissioning
- 7. Assessment Methodology
- 8. Offshore Physical Environment
 - a. Geology and sediment
 - b. Physical processes
 - c. Underwater noise (baseline only, impacts assessed in Chapters 9b and 8d)
- 9. Offshore Biological Environment



- a. Benthic ecology
- b. Fish and shellfish ecology
- c. Ornithology
- d. Marine Mammals, basking sharks and turtles
- e. Nature conservation designations
- 10. Offshore Human Environment
 - a. Shipping and Navigation
 - b. Commercial Fisheries
 - c. Aviation
 - d. Seascape, Landscape and Visual Amenity
 - e. Other users of the sea
 - f. Marine Archaeology and cultural heritage
 - g. Socio-economic issues
- 11. QHSE Management

Details of RWFL environmental management plan

- 12. Summary of mitigation measures proposed
- 13. Summary of residual impacts



10 TABLE OF ISSUES SCOPED IN/OUT

10.1 Table 10.1 below summarises the conclusions of the preceding chapters in respect of the proposed scope of the ES. It should be noted that the column headings relate to the potential for an effect to occur based on current information and understanding of the environmental effects of offshore wind and, therefore, do not indicate a definitive conclusion in respect of impacts. All significant effects identified will be assessed as part of the EIA. Table 10.1 begins to consider what issues might be expected to become key based on the scale, nature and location of RWFL and drawing on the results of the ZAP surveys. The ES will address all likely significant effects focusing particularly on receptors which may require mitigation. As discussed in Chapter 5, full assessment of significance impacts will occur in the ES only after further surveys, studies, consultation, refinement of the RWFL design and consideration of mitigation have all taken place. Celtic Array understands that the likely significant effects may emerge as further survey data and survey analysis emerges. Celtic Array expects to host workshops with stakeholders as RWFL progresses to ensure a robust EIA.



Table 10.1 Summary of issues included in, or scoped out of, the Environmental Statement

Receptor/potential effect	Key issues/comments	Focus issue for ES	Potential effect, scoped into ES	No potential for effect, scoped out of ES
Physical processes				
Impacts on geology	Project construction will not change the geology of the Site			
Construction impacts on wave and tidal climate	Construction activities are not considered to be likely to have any significant effect on the current wave and tide climate			
Changes in seabed morphology arising from construction activities	There could be localised changes to seabed morphology			
Effects of construction on water quality	This risk can be managed by the adoption of good environmental working practices			
Effects of construction on sediment quality	Heavy metal concentrations were shown to be below Cefas action levels. Potential radionuclide contamination will be considered as part of EIA			
Effect of presence of turbines on wave climate	Wave diffraction associated with foundations is not likely to give rise to a significant effect on wave regime. Wave driven effects on sediment transport are also considered to be insignificant			
Effect of operation/presence on hydrodynamic regime	Numerical modelling studies indicate there is little potential for significant effects to occur			
Effect of operation/presence on seabed morphology	Tidal currents may give rise to scour impacts around foundation structures			
Changes in sediment regime arising from operation/presence	Near and far field impacts on sediment transport are expected to be minimal			
Decommissioning impacts	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			

Receptor/potential effect	Key issues/comments	Focus issue for ES	Potential effect, scoped into ES	No potential for effect, scoped out of ES
Cumulative impacts on hydrodynamic regime	Interactions between RWFL and other offshore wind farms are unlikely to occur			
Cumulative impacts – aggregates and outfall interactions	Interaction between RWFL and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects on suspended sediment levels	Suspended sediment levels were unlikely to be significantly raised other than in respect of short term and localised			
Benthic environment			·	·
Construction - physical disturbance to sedimentary communities	Direct and indirect physical disturbance may give rise to short-term localised changes			
Construction - loss or alteration of habitat	Direct loss of habitat may occur from installation of foundations and cables			
Construction - smothering	The mobilisation of sediment from construction activities may affect benthic communities			
Construction - re-mobilisation of contaminated sediments	Likelihood of environmental effects arising from contaminated sediment disturbance is extremely low			
Construction impacts on Annex I habitats	Construction activities may affect Modiolus, Sabellaria or rocky reef			
Operational phase – loss of habitat	Indirect effects from scour or changes in physical processes limited in magnitude and extent			
Operational phase – change in composition of benthic communities	Changes to composition of benthic communities within the Site may occur			
Decommissioning impacts	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			

Receptor/potential effect	Key issues/comments	Focus issue for ES	Potential effect, scoped into ES	No potential for effect, scoped out of ES
Cumulative effects	Interaction between the export cables and other anthropogenic activities may occur			
Fish and shellfish ecology				
Construction - loss of, or disturbance to, fish and shellfish habitat	Direct disturbance to habitat may occur during foundation or cable installation			
Construction – noise disturbance to sensitive fish species	Noise from underwater piling has the potential to affect noise sensitive fish species			
Construction – effect of suspended sediments on fish/shellfish	Construction activities may increase suspended sediment levels			
Operation – effects of EMF on sensitive species	Elasmobranch species may be sensitive to electromagnetic fields (EMF)			
Operation – changes in community composition or biomass	The presence of structures may affect fish and shellfish diversity and/or biomass			
Operation – impact of operational noise	Operational noise impacts are considered to be unlikely to be biologically significant			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative impacts – construction noise	The offshore construction programme for RWFL commences in 2017 and there is no potential for cumulative construction noise impacts with other projects that complete construction in 2016			
Cumulative impacts – electromagnetic fields (EMF)	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative impacts – suspended sediments	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			

Receptor/potential effect	Key issues/comments	Focus issue for ES	Potential effect, scoped into ES	No potential for effect, scoped out of ES
Marine Mammals, basking shark and	I turtles			
Impacts of construction noise on marine mammals	Underwater noise arising from construction activities (primarily pile driving) may give rise to behavioural effects on marine mammals			
Impacts of construction noise on prey species of marine mammals	The prey species of marine mammals may be affected by high levels of underwater noise			
Impacts of construction noise on basking shark and turtles	Noise impacts on basking shark and turtles are poorly understood			
Risk of collision with vessels (construction and operation)	Vessels associated with construction and operation of RWFL may collide with marine mammals, basking shark or turtles			
Operational phase – impacts on physical processes in respect of basking shark and tidal fronts	Numerical modelling studies indicate there is little potential for significant effects to occur on tidal fronts.			
Effects of operational noise	Studies suggest that operational noise levels are unlikely to significantly inhibit marine mammals from entering wind farm areas			
Effects of EMF on basking shark	Electromagnetic fields may affect certain sensitive species, including sharks			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction although noise impacts may be lower given the absence of pile driving activities			
Cumulative effects of construction noise	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects of EMF on basking shark	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative risk of vessel collision	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			

Receptor/potential effect	Key issues/comments	Focus issue for ES	Potential effect, scoped into ES	No potential for effect, scoped out of ES
Ornithology				
Construction activity - disturbance	The presence of vessels and associated activities may disturb and displace birds using the Site			
Construction – noise effects on prey species	Construction noise may cause temporary localised displacement of prey species			
Operational effects – disturbance / displacement	Certain species may be disturbed and displaced by operational wind farms or operation activities including vessel movement			
Operational effects – collision with rotating blades	Certain species may be at increased risk of collision with blades			
Operational effects – barrier effect	RWFL may act as a barrier to movement, either to migrants or to an individual's diurnal movements			
Operational effects – changes in habitat / prey supply	The presence of turbines may give rise to changes in local marine ecology thereby affecting prey availability (either positively or negatively)			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative effects – collision risk, displacement, barrier	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur. The scope of ornithological cumulative impact assessment is summarised in table 7.10			

Nature conservation designations ¹⁰			
Installation of export cables – potential effects on SAC, SSSIs features in proximity to cable corridor	Cable installation has the potential to adversely affect sensitive benthic habitats. Consideration of habitats in the refinement of the cable route will reduce the likelihood of this impact occurring		
Construction – effects of noise on SAC species (marine mammals and fish)	Marine mammals (seals and cetaceans) and migratory fish (Atlantic salmon, river and sea lamprey) which are features of SACs may be affected by underwater noise arising from pile driving		
Construction – disturbance to / displacement of SPA species	Birds which are qualifying species for SPAs may be disturbed or displaced by construction activity at the Site		
Construction impacts on MCZs and coastal SSSIs and SACs (other than those in proximity to cable corridor)	Significant indirect effects arising from construction (suspended sediments, changes to tidal regime) are unlikely to arise		
Operational effects - Collision risk, displacement and barrier effect - SPA species	Birds affected by the operation of RWFL may be SPA qualifying species		
Operational noise – marine mammals and fish – SAC species	Studies suggest that operational noise levels are unlikely to significantly inhibit marine mammals or fish from entering wind farm areas		
Presence of foundations / turbines - physical processes – impacts on MCZs and coastal SACs and SSSIs	Significant indirect effects arising from operation (suspended sediments, changes to tidal regime) are unlikely to arise		
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction although noise impacts may be lower given the absence of pile driving activities		

¹⁰ Subject to HRA screening / scoping in respect of SPA / SAC issues

Cumulative effects – installation of export cables from multiple projects; inter-tidal and coastal SACs / SSSIs	Cable installation has the potential to adversely affect sensitive benthic habitats. Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur		
Cumulative effects - Construction noise impacts on fish and marine mammals – SAC species	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur		
Cumulative effects - Collision risk, displacement and barrier effect - SPA species	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur		
Commercial fisheries			
Construction effects – exclusion from established fishing grounds	The implementation of construction safety zones may result in the short term displacement of fishing vessels		
Construction effects – increased conflict over diminished fisheries areas	Displacement during the construction period may lead to increased fishing pressures in other areas		
Construction impacts on fish and shellfish resources	Construction activities may affect sensitive commercial fisheries species		
Operational effects – Loss of, or restricted access to, historical fishing grounds	The establishment of safety zones over the lifetime of the project, together with the potential deterrent effect of the presence of buried cables, may displace fishing vessels from the Site		
Operational effects - increased conflict over diminished fisheries areas	Displacement during the operational period may lead to increased fishing pressures in other areas		
Operational effects – barrier effect; increased steaming times to fishing grounds	Longer steaming distances may occur as a result of displacement		
Operational phase – presence of turbines or cables. Increased risk of damage to gear, vessel safety	Safety zones around structures will reduce risks		



Operational phase - changes to composition, distribution and abundance of fish and shellfish resources	Presence of turbines and other structures may affect diversity or biomass of fisheries resources within the Site			
Operational phase – interactions between O&M and fishing vessels	Increased navigation risk arising from O&M vessel movements			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative effects - loss or restricted access to historical fishing grounds	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects - displacement of some types of vessel from the Site into other fishing areas	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects – barrier; increased steaming times to fishing grounds	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects - potential impacts on resource	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur			
Shipping and navigation				
Construction - change to vessel to vessel collision risk	Temporary increase in vessel movements in the Site and along export corridor			
Construction - change to vessel to structure collision risk	Presence of stationary construction vessels and incomplete structures may increase collision risk			
Construction - displacement of vessels from main routes	Construction may reduce available area in shipping lanes in vicinity of RWFL			
Operational phase - changes to vessel to vessel collision risk	Displacement of vessels onto new routes and interaction with the RWFL O&M vessels			

Operational phase - change to vessel to structure collision risk	Presence of structures increases collision risk, particularly for vessels not under command		
Operational phase - interaction between RWFL and traffic separation scheme	Potential for increased concentration of traffic in existing routes		
Operational phase - displacement of vessels from main routes	Physical presence of turbines may displace vessels from current routes		
Operational phase - change to availability of adverse weather routes	Physical presence of turbines may affect availability of safe adverse weather routes		
Operational phase - risk of impacts on the effectiveness of communication and navigational equipment	Physical presence of structures and cables may affect operation of equipment		
Operational phase - anchor snagging risk on export and intra-array cables	Risks particularly relevant where vessels need to perform emergency anchoring		
Operational phase - effects on commercial fishing vessels	Increased interactions between vessels, effects on the equipment of smaller vessels		
Operational phase - effects on recreational vessels	Increased interactions between vessels, effects on the equipment of smaller vessels		
Operational phase - effects on emergency responders and users of emergency services	Potential increased demand for emergency response facilities		
Navigation markings and impacts on visual navigation	RWFL will result in change to markings and requirement for new marking and lighting		
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction		
Cumulative effects - changes to vessel to vessel encounters / collision risk	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur		



Cumulative effects - displacement of different vessel types (commercial, fishing, recreational) into areas of fishing, recreational, dredging etc. areas thereby increasing encounter rates and risk of collision.	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects - route deviations for commercial, fishing and recreational vessels	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects - changes to the availability of adverse weather routes	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Cumulative effects - anchor/cable interaction and dragging risk associated with the export cables	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm projects may occur			
Aviation				
Construction impacts – all aviation receptors	There are not anticipated to be any additional impacts on aviation and radar interests specifically associated with the construction of RWFL			
Operational effects - air traffic control radar at RAF Valley	Impact is dependent upon turbine height			
Operational effects - other military aviation facilities and operations	ZAP Report concludes that neither infrastructure or activities are likely to be affected by development of RWFL			
Operational effects - NERL radar	Potential impacts on Lowther Hill and St. Anne's radars			
Operational effects - Isle of Man Airport	Potential impacts on airport, primarily in respect of radar			
Operational effects - helicopter operations	RWFL falls within the consultation zone for helicopter operations serving offshore installations			
Decommissioning effects	There are not anticipated to be any additional impacts on aviation and radar interests specifically associated with the decommissioning of RWFL			

Cumulative effects	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Seascape, landscape and visual am	enity			
Construction - vessels	The presence of construction vessels, cranes, cable installation vessels and associated smaller vessels.			
Operation – effects on Welsh receptors	Presence of turbines. Effects on Landscape Character Areas and Regional Seascape Units			
Operation – effects on Manx receptors	Presence of turbines. Effects on Landscape Character Types and Areas and Regional Seascape Units			
Operation – effects on designated areas	Presence of turbines. National Parks, AONB, Heritage coast and local designations			
Operation - views from coastal settlements	Including residents of coastal edges of Anglesey and Isle of Man			
Operation – recreational walkers/tourists	Users of foot and cycle ways, visitors to coastal areas			
Operation – other receptors	Including views from commercial and recreational vessels, users of roads and railways			
Operation – effects on cultural heritage	Including consideration of the setting of listed buildings, scheduled monuments etc			
Decommissioning effects	Expected to be similar to the short term, temporary, effects experienced during the construction phase			
Cumulative effects	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm (onshore and offshore) and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Other users of the marine environment				
Construction – impacts on oil and gas operations	No impacts anticipated other than in respect of navigation (see above)			

Construction – impacts on submarine cables	Subject to commercial negotiation. No environmental impacts anticipated			
Construction – effects on dredging / disposal sites	No impacts anticipated other than in respect of navigation (see above)			
Construction – impacts on military exercise areas	No overlap with PEXA			
Operational effects – oil and gas activities (shipping, aviation)	Primary interactions likely to arise in respect of helicopters and navigation. Export cable interactions may also arise			
Operational effects – dredging / disposal sites - navigation	No impacts anticipated other than in respect of navigation (see above)			
Operational effects – submarine cables	Subject to commercial negotiation. No environmental impacts anticipated			
Operational effects – military exercise areas	No overlap with PEXA			
Operational effects – coastal defences	Considered within physical processes scope			
Operational effects – new Wylfa nuclear project – shipping only	Interactions between RWFL construction and O&M vessels and new Wylfa construction vessels			
Operational effects – disruption to telecommunications	Subject to consultation with Ofcom			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative effects	Main effects likely to arise in respect of navigation and aviation. Export cable routeing will be considered			
Archaeology and cultural heritage				
Construction - direct physical disturbance to marine archaeological features	Direct disturbance or damage to artefacts or sites arising from foundations, scour protection or anchoring			

Construction - indirect physical disturbance to marine archaeological features	ZAP Report suggests effects are likely to be small scale and local			
Operational phase – indirect disturbance to marine archaeological features	Indirect changes to the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes, direct effects of placement of jack-up vessels			
Visual impacts on cultural heritage features	Including consideration of the setting of listed buildings, scheduled monuments etc			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative effects	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur			
Socio-economics				
Construction - effects on spending, income and employment patterns	Influence on direct and indirect demand for goods and services leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns			
Operational phase - effects on spending, income and employment patterns	Influence on direct and indirect demand for goods and services leading to changes in spending, income and employment patterns			
Operational phase – economic effects on shipping and navigation	Potential additional journey time for shipping operators			
Operational phase – economic effect on commercial fisheries	Displacement of vessels and potential impacts on fish ecology may have economic effects			
Decommissioning effects	Potential effects of decommissioning are likely to be similar to those arising from construction			
Cumulative effects	Interactions between RWFL and other wind farm and non-wind farm projects may occur, particularly in respect of spending, income and employment patterns, shipping and navigation, commercial fisheries and recreation and leisure			



11 REFERENCES

Celtic Array 2012, Irish Sea Zone: Zonal Appraisal and Planning (ZAP) Report, A strategic approach to the identification of Potential Areas for Development within the Irish Sea Zone. Available from: www.centrica.com/renewables.

Introductory Chapters

The Crown Estate (2010) "Round 3 zone appraisal and planning: a strategic approach to zone design, project identification and consent". Available from

http://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/media/122852/r3_zone_appraisal_and_planning.pdf (Accessed March 2012).

6

ABPmer (2010). Irish Sea ISZ. Physical Processes Zonal Assessment: Stage 1. Report prepared for Centrica. ABP Marine Environmental Research Ltd, Report No. R.1724.

Cefas (2004) Greater Wash Cumulative Impacts – Coastal Processes. 1pg. Cefas document circulated to developers, 14 October 2004.

Cefas (2005). Assessment of the Significance of Changes to the Inshore Wave Regime as a consequence of an Offshore Wind Array. Defra project code A1227.

Cefas (2006). Scroby Sands Coastal Processes Monitoring: Final Report (DTi version 3rd July 2006) Report CAE0262.

HSE (2001) Environmental Considerations, Offshore Technology Report 2001/010.

Lowe J.A., Howard T.P., Pardaens A., Tinker J., Holt J., Wakelin S., Milne G., Leake J., Wolf J., Horsburgh K., Reeder T., Jenkins G., Ridley J., Dye S. & Bradley S. (2009). UK Climate Projections science report: Marine and coastal projections. Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter.

Myres, J.A.L (1993). West Coasts of England and Wales Pilot: West Coasts of England and Wales and South Coast of Scotland from Cape Cornwall to Mull of Galloway including Isle of Man. 12th ed. Taunton: Hydrographic Office. 323 pp.

Reid, P., Lancelot, C., Gieskes, W., Hagmeier, E. and Weichart, G.(1990). Phytoplankton in the North Sea and its dynamics: a review. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 26 (2–4), 295–331.

7-1 and 7-2

Anwar, N. A., Richardson, C.A., and Seed, R. (1990). Age determination, growth rate and population structure of the horse mussel *Modiolus modiolus*. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* 70: 441-457.

Barne, J.H., Robson, C.F., Kaznowska, S.S., Doody, J.P., and Davidson, N.C., eds. (1996).
Coasts and seas of the United Kingdom. Region 13 Northern Irish Sea: Colwyn Bay to
Stranraer, including the Isle of Man. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.
Blyth-Skyrme, V., Lindenbaum, C., Verling, E., Van Landeghem, K., Robinson, K., Mackie, A., and Darbyshire, T. (2008). Broad-scale biotope mapping of potential reefs in the Irish Sea (north-west of Anglesey). JNCC Report No. 423.

Bowers (1969) British Geological Survey (1996). Wind and water, in Coasts and seas of the United Kingdom. Region 13 Northern Irish Sea: Colwyn Bay to Stranraer, including the Isle of Man. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Bruce, J.R., Colman, J.S. and Jones, N.S. (1963). Marine fauna of the Isle of Man. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.

Cefas (2011). Estimating spawning stock biomass using egg surveys. Available from: http://cefas.defra.gov.uk/our-science/fisheries-information/surveys/estimating-spawning-stock-biomass-using-egg-surveys.aspx (Accessed 19/10/2011).

Cefas (2008). No, The European sturgeon must not become extinct, Information and awareness-raising campaign leaflet. Available from:

http://cefas.defra.gov.uk/media/128207/4pages2008_anglais_hd.pdf (Accessed 25/10/2011).

Cefas (1999). Next year's TACs: how scientists estimate and forecast fish stocks, website information. Available from: http://www.cefas.defra.gov.uk/publications/miscellaneous-publications/next-year's-tacs-how-scientists-estimate-and-forecast-fish-stocks.aspx?RedirectMessage=true (Accessed 3/11/2011).

CMACS Ltd. (2010). Autumn Fish Trawl Surveys 2010. Report to Celtic Array Ltd. Report reference: R3-D-EV-075-0070-000000-008. January 2011.

CMACS Ltd. (2011). Spring Fish Trawl Surveys 2011. Report to Celtic Array Ltd. Report reference: CMACS ref: J3152, 2011.

Connor, D.W., Allen, J.H., Golding, N., Howell, K.L., Lieberknecht, L.M., Northern, K.O. and Reker, J.B. (2004). The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 04.05. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Coull, K.A., Johnstone, R. and Rodgers, S.I. (1998). Fisheries Sensitivity Maps in British Water. UKOOA Ltd., Aberdeen.

Edwards, M., and John, W. D. (1996). Plankton, in Coasts and Seas of the United Kingdom Region 13: Northern Irish Sea Colwyn Bay to Stranraer, including the Isle of Man. Joint Nature and Conservation Committee, Peteborough.

Ellis, J. (2005). Raja clavata. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.1. Available from: www.iucnredlist.org (Accessed 21/10/2011).

Ellis, J.R, Rogers, S.I. and Freeman, S.M. (2000). Demersal Assemblages in the Irish Sea, St George's Channel and Bristol Channel. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 51. pp 299-315.

Ellis, J, and Parker-Humphreys, M., (2004). Fish populations in the eastern Irish Sea. Cefas Lowestoft Contract Report (C2150/02) prepared for Npower Renewables Ltd.

Erwin, D.G., Picton, B.E., Connor, D.W., Howson, C.M., Gilleece, P. and Bogues, M.J. (1986). The Northern Ireland Sublittoral Survey. Ulster Museum Belfast, Report to Department of the Environment (Northern Ireland).

Foster-Smith, R.L. and Hendrick, V.J. (2003). *Sabellaria spinulosa* in the Wash and Norfolk cSAC and its approaches: Part III, Summary of knowledge, recommended monitoring strategies and outstanding research requirements. A report for the Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee and English Nature by Envision Mapping.

Freyhof, J. (2010). *Salmo trutta*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.1.; Available from: www.iucnredlist.org (Accessed 25/10/2011).

Freyhof, J. and Kottelat, M. (2008). *Anguilla anguilla*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.1. Available from: www.iucnredlist.org (Accessed 2/11/2011).

Garcia, E.G., and Ragnarsson, S.A. (2007). Impact of scallop dredging on macrobenthic communities in Breidafjordur, West Iceland. In: Garcia, E. G., Ragnarsson, S.A,,

Steingrimsson, S. A., Naevestad, D., Haraldsson, H. P., Fossa, J. H., Tendal, O. S., and Eiriksson, H. (eds). Bottom Trawling and Scallop Dredging in the Arctic: Impacts of fishing on non-target species, vulnerable habitats and cultural heritage. Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, Chapter 2.2.

Gubbay, S. (2007). Defining and managing *Sabellaria spinulosa* reefs: report of an interagency workshop 1-2 May, 2007. Joint Nature Conservation Committee Report No. 405 22pp. JNCC, Peterborough. ISSN 0963-8091.

Haegele, C.W. and. Schweigert, J.F (1985). Distribution and Characteristics of Herring Spawning Grounds and Description of Spawning Behaviour. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 42: 39-55.

Hinz, H., Murray, G.L. Gell, F., Hanley, L., Horton, N., Whiteley, H., and Michel J. and Kaiser, M.J. (2009). Seabed habitats around the Isle of Man. Fisheries and Conservation report No. 12, Bangor University. pp.29.

Holt, T.J. and Shalla, S.H.A. (1996). Site survey block IOM 112/19. A report to Elf Enterprise Caledonia Ltd by Port Erin Marine Laboratory, University of Liverpool, June 1996. 90 pp.

Holt, T.J., Shalla, S.H.A. and Brand, A.R. (1997a). Broadscale seabed survey to the east of the Isle of Man. A report to British Petroleum, Exploration Team by Port Erin Marine Laboratory, University of Liverpool, July 1997, 200 pp.

Holt, T.J., Shalla, S.H.A. and Brand, A.R. (1997b). Block IOM 112/29 Post well environmental review: Appendix 1: Pre -and post-drill studies of the benthos and post drill sidescan sonar of the seabottom. A report to Marathon Oil Manx Ltd by Port Erin Marine Laboratory, University of Liverpool, March 1997. 51 pp plus 180 pp annexes.

Holt, T.J., Rees, E.I., Hawkins, S.J., and Seed, R., (1998). Biogenic Reefs (volume IX). An overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. Scottish Association for Marine Sciences (UK Marine SACs Project), Oban, Scotland, UK; 170 pages.

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (2011). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2011.1. Search on Chondrichthyes of the Northeast Atlantic. Available from: www.iucnredlist.org (Accessed 19/10/2011).

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (2001). IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria, Version 3.1, Prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. Available from:

http://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/redlist_cats_crit_en.pdf.

Irish Sea Conservation Zones (2011). Irish Sea facts. Available from: http://www.irishseaconservation.org.uk/facts (Accessed 20/10/2011).

Irving, R. (2009). The identification of the main characteristics of stony reef habitats under the Habitats Directive. Summary report of an inter-agency workshop 26-27 March 2008 [online].

ISCZ (2011). Irish Sea Conservation Zones 2011, Final Recommendations Summary Available from:

http://irishseaconservation.org.uk/sites/default/files/ISCZ%20recommendations%20summary.p df (Accessed 12/12/2011).

JNCC (2010). UKSeaMap 2010 - predictive mapping of seabed habitats, Available from: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2117 (Accessed 20/12/2011).

JNCC (2011a). Twaite shad; *Alosa fallax*. Available from:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1103 (Accessed 02/11/2011).

JNCC (2011b). Allis shad; *Alosa alosa*. Available from:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1102 (Accessed 02/11/2011).

JNCC) (2011c). Sea lamprey; *Petromyzon marinus*. Available from:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1095 (Accessed 02/11/2011).

JNCC (2011d). *Lampetra fluviatilis*; River Lamprey. Available from:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/species.asp?FeatureIntCode=S1099 (Accessed 02/11/2011).

JNCC (2011e). Offshore Special Area of Conservation: Pisces Reef Complex SAC Selection Assessment Document. V 5.0 1 June 2011. Available from:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/PiscesReef_SAC_SelectionAssessment_V5_0.pdf (Accessed 09/12/2011).

JNCC (2011f). Offshore Special Area of Conservation: Croker Carbonate Slabs SAC Selection Assessment Document ©JNCC1 Version 3.0 (1st June 2011). JNCC Report No. 432, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, Available from: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-5023 (Accessed 09/12/2011).

JUDD, A.G. (2005). The distribution and extent of methane-derived authigenic carbonates. DTI Strategic Environmental Assessment, Area 6 (SEA6). Department of Trade and Industry, UK.

Lee, A.J., and Ramster, J.W. (1981). Atlas of the seas around the British Isles. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Lowestoft.

Limpenny, D.S., Foster-Smith, R.L., Edwards, T.M., Hendrick, V.J., Diesing, M., Eggleton, J.D., Meadows, W.J., Crutchfield, Z., Pfeifer, S. and Reach, I.S. (2010) Best methods for identifying and evaluating *Sabellaria spinulosa* and cobble reef ALSF Ref No. MAL0008.

Mackie, A.S.Y. (1990). Offshore benthic communities of the Irish Sea, in The Irish Sea: an environmental review. Part 1. Nature conservation, ed. by Irish Sea Study Group, 169-218. Liverpool, Liverpool University Press.

Mair, J. M., Moore, C. G., Kingston, P. F. and Harries, D. B. (2000). A review of the status, ecology and conservation of horse mussel Modiolus modiolus beds in Scotland. Scottish Natural Heritage, Edinburgh (Commissioned Report F99PA08).

Manx Basking Shark Watch (2007). Manx Basking Shark Watch. Available from: http://www.manxbaskingsharkwatch.com.

Marine Management Organisation (2010). UK sea fisheries statistics 2009. Marine Management Organisation, London.

McDowall, R. M. (1999). Different kinds of diadromy: Different kinds of conservation problems. ICES *Journal of Marine Science*, 56: 410–413. Article No. jmsc.1999.0450. Available from: http://www.idealibrary.com.

MMT Ltd (2011). Survey Report Irish Sea, R3 Wind Farm Development Zone Environmental Surveys Segment A-F Environmental Results. Issue B August 2011.

National Archives (2011). UK Biodiversity Action Plan; Grouped plan for commercial marine fish. Originally in; UK Biodiversity Group Tranche 2 Action Plans - Volume V: Maritime species and habitats (October 1999, Tranche 2, Vol V, p51). Available from:

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110303145213/http://ukbap.org.uk/UKPlans.aspx? ID=332 (Accessed 26/11/2011).

National Oceanography Centre (2011). The River Mersey. Available from: http://www.pol.ac.uk/home/insight/mersey.html (Accessed 20/10/2011).

Nedwell, J.R., Turnpenny, A.W.H., Lovell, J., Parvin, S.J., Workman, R., Spinks, J.A.L., and Howell, D. (2007). A validation of the dBht as a measure of the behavioural and auditory effects of underwater noise. Subacoustech Report Reference 534R1231, Published by Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform.

Npower (2005). Gwynt y Môr Offshore Wind Farm. Environmental Statement.

Parker-Humphreys, M. (2004). Distribution and relative abundance of demersal fishes from beam trawl surveys in the Irish Sea (ICES Division VIIa) 1993-2001. Sci. Ser. Tech Rep. 120, Cefas, Lowestoft.

Pawson, M.G., Pickett, G.D. and Walker, P. (2002). The coastal fisheries of England and Wales, Part IV: A review of their status 1999-2001. Sci. Ser. Tech Rep., Cefas, Lowestoft, 116: 83pp.

Pawson, M.G. and Robson, C.F. (1996). Fish: exploited sea fish, in Coasts and Seas of the United Kingdom Region 13: Northern Irish Sea Colwyn Bay to Stranraer, including the Isle of Man. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Perkins, E.J. (1971). Fifth annual report to the Cumberland Sea-Fisheries Committee. Solway Firth survey - 1st April 1970 to 31st March 1971. University of Strathclyde, Department of Biology.

Perkins, E.J. (1974). The Biology of Estuaries and Coastal Waters. Academic Press, London and New York.

Pinnegar, J., Blasdale, T., Campbell, N., Coates, S., Colclough, S., Fraser, H., Greathead, C., Greenstreet, S., Neat, F., Sharp, R., Simms, D., Stevens, H., and Waugh, A. (2010). Section 3.4: Fish, in UKMMAS Charting Progress 2 Healthy and Biological Diverse Seas Feeder Report, eds. Frost, M and Hawkridge, J. p378-505. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs on behalf of UKMMAS.

Rees, E. (2009). Assessment of *Modiolus modiolus* beds in the OSPAR area. Report prepared on behalf of JNCC, UK. Published by OSPAR Commission, Available from: http://www.ospar.org/html documents/ospar/html/p00425 BDC%20VERSION%20UK Modio lus.pdf. 22 pp. (Accessed 04/12/11).

Royal Haskoning and Bomel Ltd 2008. Review of cabling techniques and environmental effects applicable to the offshore windfarm industry. Technical report published by BERR in association with Defra, January 2008.

Service, M., and Magorrian, B.H. (1997). The extent and temporal variation of disturbance of epibenthic communities in Strangford Lough, Northern Ireland. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 77: 1151-1164.

Sir Alistair Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science (SAHFOS) (2011). Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Survey data. Available from: http://www.sahfos.ac.uk/.

The Atlantic Salmon Trust (2011). Salmon Facts, Available from:

http://www.atlanticsalmontrust.org/knowledge/salmon-facts.html (Accessed 02/11/2011).

Thomsen F., Lüdemann K., Kafemann R., Piper W. (2006). Effects of offshore wind farm noise on marine mammals and fish. Biola, Hamburg, Germany on behalf of COWRIE Ltd. 62pp.

Veale, L. O., Hill, A. S., Hawkins, S. J., Brand, A.R. (2000). Effects of long-term physical disturbances by commercial scallop fishing on subtidal epifaunal assemblages and habitats. Marine Biology 137: 325-337.

Whomersley, P., Wilson, C., Clements, A., Brown, C., Long, D., Leslie, A. and Limpenny, D. (2010). Understanding the marine environment – seabed habitat investigations of submarine structures in the mid Irish Sea and Solan Bank Area of Search (AoS). JNCC Report No. 430.

Woombs, M. (2001). A Baseline Benthic Survey of the Littoral and Sublittoral Construction Area and Discharge Area for Fleetwood salt Caverns. A Report for Canatxx Energy Ventures Ltd.

7-3

ASCOBANS - Survey for small cetaceans over the Dogger Bank and adjacent areas in summer 2011-19th ASCOBANS Advisory Committee Meeting (2012). Available from: http://www.ascobans.org/pdf/ac19/AC19 5-08 DoggerBankSurvey Germany.pdf.

Bailey, H., Senior, B., Simmons, D., Ruskin, J., Picken, G and Thompson, P.M. (2010). Assessing underwater noise levels during pile-driving at an offshore wind farm and its potential effects on marine mammals. Marine Pollution Bulletin 60, 888-897.

Baines, M. E., Earl, S. J., Pierpoint, C. J. L. and Poole, J. (1995). The West Wales Grey Seal Census. Report by the Dyfed Wildlife Trust, Haverfordwest to the Countryside Council for Wales (CCW Contract Science Report number 131). 238pp.

Baines, M.E. and Evans, P.G.H. (2009). Atlas of the Marine Mammals of Wales. CCW Monitoring Report No. 68.

Berrow, S.D., Whooley, P., O'Connell, M. and Wall, D. (2010). Irish Cetacean Review (2000-2009). Irish Whale and Dolphin Group, 60pp.

Bloomfield, A. and Solandt, J-L. (2008). The Marine Conservation Society basking shark watch 20-year report (1987-2006). Marine Conservation Society, Ross on Wye, UK.

Evans, P.G.H. and Shepherd, B. (2001). Cetaceans in Liverpool Bay and Northern Irish Sea. Sea Watch Foundation, Oxford. 20pp.

Hammond PS, Benke H, Berggren P, Borchers DL, Buckland ST, Collet A, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Heimlich-Boran S, Hiby AR, Leopold MF and Øien N. (1995). Distribution and abundance of the harbour porpoise and other small cetaceans in the North Sea and adjacent waters. Final report to the European Commission under contract LIFE 92-2/UK/027. October 1995.

Hammond PS, Berggren P, Benke H, Borchers DL, Collet A, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Heimlich S, Hiby AR, Leopold MF and Øien N. (2002). Abundance of harbour porpoise and other cetaceans in the North Sea and adjacent waters. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 39: 361-376.

Hammond, P.S., Northridge, S.P., Thompson, D., Gordon, J.C.D., Hall, A.J., Aarts, G. and Matthiopoulos, J. (2005). Background information on marine mammals for Strategic Environmental Assessment 6.

JNCC (2010). Statutory Nature Conservation Agency Protocol for Minimising the Risk of Injury to Marine Mammals from Piling Noise.

JNCC, CCW and Natural England (draft, October 2010). The protection of marine European Protected Species from injury and disturbance: Guidance for the marine area in England and Wales and the UK offshore marine area, draft guidance.

MWDW (2011). Summary of data collected by Manx Whale and Dolphin Watch, 2006-2011 by Tom Felce.

Matthiopoulos, J., McConnell, B., Duck, C. and Fedak, M. (2004). Using satellite telemetry and aerial counts to estimate space use by grey seals around the British Isles. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 41: 476-491.

Nedwell, J. and Howell, D. (2004). A review of offshore wind farm related underwater noise sources. Report No. 544 R 0308.

Nedwell, J.R., Turnpenny, A.W.H., Lovell, J., Parvin, S.J., Workman, R., Spinks, J.A.L., and Howell, D. (2007). A validation of the dBht as a measure of the behavioural and auditory effects of underwater noise. Subacoustech Report Reference 534R1231, Published by Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform.

Nedwell , J. R, Parvin, S. J., Edwards, B., Workman, R., Brooker, A.G and Kynoch, J. E. (2007b). Measurement and interpretation of underwater noise during construction and operation of offshore wind farms in UK waters. Subacoustech Report No. 544R0738 to COWRIE Ltd. ISBN: 978-0-9554279-5-4.

Northridge, S.P., Tasker, M.L., Webb, A. and Williams, J.M. (1995). Distribution and relative abundance of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena L.*), white-beaked dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus albirostris* Gray), and minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata* Lacépède) around the British Isles. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 52, 55–66.

Reid, J.C., Evans, P.G.H. and Northridge, S.P. (2003). Atlas of cetacean distribution in Northwest European waters. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, UK.

SCANS-II 2008 Small cetaceans in the European Atlantic and North Sea. Final Report to the European Commission under project LIFE04NAT/GB/000245. December 2006.

Scheidat M, Verdaat H, Aarts G (2012). Using aerial surveys to estimate density and distribution of harbour porpoises in Dutch waters. J Sea Res 69: 1-7.

SCOS (2010). Scientific advice on matters related to the management of seal populations: 2010. Reports of the UK Special Committee on Seals. Available from: http://www.smru.st-andrews.ac.uk/documents/389.pdf .

Sharples RJ, Mattiopoulos J, and Hammond PS. (2008). Distribution and movements of harbour seals around the coast of Britain: Outer Hebrides, Shetland, Orkney, the Moray Firth, St Andrews Bay, The Wash and the Thames. Report to DTI. Sea Mammal Research Unit, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife, UK. 65 pp.

Shucksmith, R., Jones, N.H., Stoyle, G.W., Davies, A. and Dicks, E.F. (2009). Abundance and distribution of the harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) on the north coast of Anglesey, Wales, UK. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK, 89: 1051-1058.

Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU) Report on recent seal mortalities in UK waters caused by extensive lacerations, October 2010 Available from: http://www.smru.st-and.ac.uk/documents/366.pdf.

Southall, Brandon L.; Bowles, Ann E.; Ellison, William T.; Finneran, James J.; Gentry, Roger L.; Greene, Charles R.; Kastak, David; Ketten, Darlene R.; Miller, James H.; Nachtigall, Paul E.; Richardson, W. John; Thomas, Jeanette A.; Tyack, Peter L. (2007). Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria Aquatic Mammals, Vol 33 (4).

Stéphan, E., Gadenne, H. and Jung, A. (2011). Sur les traces du requin pelerine/Satellite tracking of basking sharks in the North-east Atlantic Ocean. Final Report, February 2011. Available from: http://www.asso-apecs.org/IMG/pdf/Final_report_-

_Sur_les_traces_du_requin_pelerin_-_Feb_2011.pdf.

Teilmann, J., Tougaard, J., Carstensen, Dietz, R and, Tougaard, S. (2006). Danish Offshore wind – Key Environmental issues. Published by DONG Energy, Vattenfall, The Danish Energy Authority and The Danish Forest and Nature Agency 144PP.

Thomsen F., Lüdemann K., Kafemann R., Piper W. (2006). Effects of offshore wind farm noise on marine mammals and fish. Biola, Hamburg, Germany on behalf of COWRIE Ltd. 62pp.

Thompson, P.M. and Hastie, G. (in prep). Proposed revision of noise exposure criteria for auditory injury in pinnipeds.

Tougaard J., Carstensen J., Henriksen O.H., Skov H., Teilmann J. (2003a). Short-term effects of the construction of wind turbines on harbour porpoises at Horns Reef. Technical report to Techwise A/S. Hedeselskabet.

Tougaard J., Ebbesen I., Tougaard S., Jensen T., Teilmann J. (2003b). Satellite tracking of Harbour Seals on Horns Reef. Use of the Horns Reef wind farm area and the North Sea. Commissioned by Tech-wise A/S. Fisheries and Maritime Museum, Esbjerg. 42 pp.

Tougaard.J, Carstensen.J, Teilmann.J, Skov.H, and Rasmussen.P (2009). Pile driving zone of responsiveness extends beyond 20 km for harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena, (L.)). J.Acoust.Soc.Am. 126 (1):11-14.

TURTLE Database, 2011, the database can be viewed via the UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme, http://ukstrandings.org/.

7-4

Band, W. (2011). Using a collision risk model to assess bird collision for offshore windfarms. Final Report September 2011. Strategic Ornithological Support Services, Project SOSS-02, British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford, UK.

Baker, H., Stroud, D.A., Aebischer, N.J. Cranswick, P.A., Gregory, R.D., McSorley, C.A., Noble, D.G. and Rehfisch, M.M. (2006). Population estimates of birds in Great Britain and the United Kingdom. *British Birds* 99: 25-44.

Begg, G.S. and Reid, J.B. (1997). Spatial variation in seabird density at a shallow sea tidal mixing front in the Irish Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **54**: 552-565.

BirdLife International (2004). *Birds in Europe; population trends and conservation status*. BirdLife Conservation Series No. 12. Birdlife International, Cambridge, UK; 374pp.

Brown, P. and Grice, P. (2005). *Birds in England*. T&AD Poyser, London, UK: 694pp. ISBN 0 7136 6530 0.

Brown, A., Price, D., Slader, P., Booker, H., Lock, L. and Deveney, D. (2011). Seabirds on Lundy: their current status, recent history and prospects for the restoration of a once-important bird area. *British Birds* 104: 139-158.

Camphuysen, C.J., Fox, A.D. and Leopold, M.F. (2004). Towards standardised seabirds at sea census techniques in connection with environmental impact assessments for offshore wind farms in the U.K. A comparison of ship and aerial sampling for marine birds, and their applicability to offshore wind farm assessments. Report commissioned by COWRIE. Available from: www.offshorewindfarms.co.uk.

DECC (2009). Offshore Energy SEA.

DONG Energy (2010). Walney Extension Offshore Wind Farm: Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Report. DONG Energy Power (UK) Ltd, London, 151pp.

Garthe, S. and Huppop, O. (2004). Scaling possible adverse effects of marine wind farms on seabirds: developing and applying a vulnerability index. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41: 724-734.

Griffin, K. Rees, E. and Hughes, B. (2011). Migration routes of Whooper Swans and geese in relation to wind farm footprints: Final report. WWT, Slimbridge. 90 pp.

Guilford, T.C, Meade, J., Freeman, R., Biro, D., Evans, T., Bonadonna, F., Boyle, D., Roberts, S. and Perrins, C.M. (2008). GPS tracking of the foraging movements of Manx Shearwaters *Puffinus puffinus* breeding on Skomer Island, Wales. *Ibis*, **150**: 462-473.

Guilford, T., Freeman, R., Boyle, D., Dean, B., Kirk, H., Phillips., R. and Perrins, C. (2011). A Dispersive Migration in the Atlantic Puffin and Its Implications for Migratory Navigation. PLoS ONE 6(7): e21336. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021336.

King, S, Maclean, I, Norman, T and Prior, A. (2009). Developing Guidance on Ornithological Cumulative Impact Assessment for Offshore Wind Farm Developers. Report Commissioned by Cowrie Ltd. (CIBIRD Stage 2). ISBN: 978-0-9557501-5-1. Available from: www.offshorewind.co.uk.

Linley, E.A.S, Wilding, T.A., Black, K., Hawkins, A.J.S. and Mangi, S. (2007). *Review of the reef effects of offshore wind farm structures and their potential for enhancement and mitigation*. Report from PML Applications Ltd. and the Scottish Association for marine science to the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform (BERR). Contract No. RF CA/005/0029p: 132pp.

Maclean, I.M.D, Wright, L.J., Showler, D.A. and Rehfisch, M.M. (2009). A Review of Assessment Methodologies for Offshore Windfarms. British Trust for Ornithology Report Commissioned by Cowrie Ltd. (COWRIE METH-08-08) ISBN: 978-0-9557501-6-8.

Masden, E.A, Haydon, D.T., Fox. A.D., Furness, R W., Bullman, R. and Desholm, M. (2009). Barriers to movement: impacts of wind farms on migrating birds. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 66: 746-753.

Masden, E A, Haydon, D T., Fox. A D and Furness, R W. (2010). Barriers to movement: Modelling energetic costs of avoiding marine windfarms amongst breeding seabirds. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 60: 1085-1091.

Mitchell, P.I., Newton, S., Ratcliffe, N. and Dunn, T.E. (2004). Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland (Results of the Seabird 2000 Census 1998-2000). T&AD Poyser, London, UK: 511pp.
Musgrove, A.J., Austin, G.E., Hearn, R.D., Holt, C.A., Stroud, D.A. and Wotton, S.R. (2011). Overwinter population estimates of British waterbirds. *British Birds* 104: 364-397.

Natural England and Countryside Council for Wales (2009). *Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl pSPA draft conservation objectives and advice on operations*. Unpublished paper, Available from: http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/Images/LB-consobj_tcm6-13940.pdf.

NERI (2004). Bird numbers and distribution in the Horns Rev offshore wind farm area. Annual status report 2003. Report commissioned by Elsam Engineering A/S 2003. National Environmental Research Institute, Rønde, Denmark.

NERI (2005). Bird numbers and distributions in the Horns Rev offshore wind farm area. Annual status report 2004. Report commissioned by Elsam Engineering A/S 2004. National Environmental Research Institute, Rønde, Denmark.

Ojowski, U., Eidtmann, C., Furness, R.W and Garthe, S. (2001). Diet and nest attendance of incubating and chick-rearing Northern fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis) in Shetland. Marine Biology 139: 1193-1200.

Perrow, M.R., Gilroy, J.J., Skeate, E.R. and Tomlinson, M.L. (2011). Effects of the construction of Scroby Sands offshore wind farm on the prey base of Little tern *Sternula albifrons* at its most important UK colony. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62: 1661-1670.

Sharpe, C.M., Bishop, J.P., Cullen, J.P., Giovannini, P.G., Thorpe, J.P. and Weaver, P. (2007). *Manx Bird Atlas. An atlas of breeding and wintering birds on the Isle of Man.* Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, UK: 389pp.

Stone, C.J., Webb, A. Barton, C., Ratcliffe, N. Reed, T.C., Tasker. M.L., Camphuysen, C.J. and Pienkowski, M.W. (1995). *An atlas of seabird distribution in north-west European waters*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, UK: 326pp.

Thaxter, C.B and Burton, N.H.K (2009). High Definition Imagery for Surveying Seabirds and Marine Mammals: A Review of Recent Trials and Development of Protocols Report Commissioned by Cowrie Ltd. Available from: www.offshorewind.co.uk.

Thaxter, C.B, Lascelles, B, Sugar, K, Cook, A.S.C.P, Roos, S, Bolton, M, Langston, R.H.W and Burton, N,H,K. (2012). Seabird foraging ranges as a tool for identifying candidate Marine Protected Areas. *Biological Conservation.* doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2011.12.009.

Votier, S.C., Bearhop, S., Witt, M.J., Inger, R, Thompson, D. and Newton, J. (2010). Individual responses of seabirds to commercial fiosheries revealed using GPS tracking, stable isotopes and vessel monitoring systems. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 47: 487-497.

Votier, S.C., Grecian, W.J., Patrick, S. and Newton, J. (2011). Inter-colony movements, at seabehaviour and foraging in an immature seabird: results from GPS-PPT tracking, radio-tracking and stable isotope analysis. *Marine Biology*, 158, 355-362.

Webb, A., McSorley, C.A., Dean, B.J. and Reid, J.B. (2006). *Recommendations for the selection of, and boundary options for, an SPA in Liverpool Bay*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, JNCC Report No. 388, Aberdeen: 15pp.

Wernham, C.V., Toms, M.P., Marchant, J.H., Clark, J.A., Siriwardena, G.M. and Baillie, S.R. (2002). *The Migration Atlas: movements of the birds of Britain and Ireland*. T&AD Poyser, London. 884pp.

White, S., McCarthy, B. and Jones, M. (2008). *The Birds of Lancashire and North Merseyside*. Southport, UK: 406pp.

WWT Consulting (2009). Aerial Surveys of Waterbirds in the UK: 2007/08 Final Report. Report to Department of Energy and Climate Change: 298pp.

8-1

Brown and May Marine Ltd, 2012. ZAP commercial fisheries assessment.

Cefas (2009). Sole in the Irish Sea (ICES Division VIIa) 2009.

Fahy, E. Masterson, E. Swords, D. and Forrest, N. (2000). A second assessment of the whelk fishery Buccinum undatum in the southwest Irish Sea with particular reference to its history of management by size limit. Irish Fisheries Investigations (New Series) No. 6.

Gibson, C.E. (2011). Northern Ireland State of the Seas Report.

Kaiser, M.J. Murray, L. Hinz, H. and McLay, A. (2008). Isle of Man sustainable fisheries strategy. Fisheries and Conservation report No. 1, Bangor University. Pp. 11.

RSS Marine Ltd, 2011. ZAP commercial fisheries data and consultation reports.

8-2

DECC (2005). Methodology for Assessing the Marine Navigational Safety Risks of Offshore Wind farms. London: DECC.

DfT (2000-2009). Port Freight Statistics. Available from:

http://www2.dft.gov.uk/pgr/statistics/data tables publications/maritime/ports/index.html (Accessed 24/10/11).

Dublin Port (2004-2010). Trade Statistics. Available from: http://www.dublinport.ie/about-dublin-port/trade-statistics/ (Accessed 24/10/11).

IMO (2002). Guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) for use in the IMO Rule Making Process. London: International Maritime Organisation: IMO.

IALA (2008). 0-139 the Marking of Man-Made Offshore Structures. Edition 1 . Saint Germain en Laye, France: Internal Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Light House Authorities.

Kingfisher Fortnightly Bulletin (2011). Issue 18/2011, 1st September 2011. Available from: http://www.seafish.org/media/520826/issue_18_2011_subsea_cable.pdf (Accessed 19/10/11).

MCA (2008). Marine Guidance Notice 371, Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREIs) - Guidance on UK Navigational Practice, Safety and Emergency Response Issues. London: MCA.

RYA (2004). Sharing the Wind. Recreational Boating in the Offshore Wind Farm Strategic Areas. Available from:

http://www.rya.org.uk/sitecollectiondocuments/legal/Web%20Documents/Environment /Sharing %20the%20Wind%20compressed.pdf (Accessed 09/12/11).

RYA (2009). UK Coastal Atlas of Recreational Boating. Southampton: RYA. GIS Shapes files dated 2010.

UKHO (2011). Admiralty Sailing Directions – West Coasts of England and Wales Pilot. Taunton: UKHO.

8-4

CCW (2001). Maritime Ireland/Wales Interreg 1994 – 1999 Guidance 'Guide to Best Practice in Seascape Assessment' (GSA).

CCW (2010). Seascape Assessment of Wales Available from:

http://www.ccgc.gov.uk/landscape--wildlife/protecting-our-landscape/seascapes/seascape-assessment-of-wales.aspx (Accessed 21st March 2012).

CCW (2011). Regional Landscape Character Areas (rLCAs) in Wales, John Briggs CMLI Project Office, CCW, 1st February 2011.

Chris Blandford Associates (2008). Isle of Man, Landscape Character Assessment.

8-5

BMAPA (2011). active zone dredging charts Available from: www.bmapa.org/downloads/NORTHWEST_310711.pdf.

Centrica (2011). Available from:

www.centrica.com/files/pdf/centrica_energy/RhylDevelopmentES01.pdf.

Gateway Storage (2011), Available from: www.gatewaystorage.co.uk.

Horizon (2012). Available from:

www.horizonnuclearpower.com/files/downloads/wylfa_information_pack.pdf.

Kingfisher Awareness Charts, 2012, Available from: www.kisca.org.uk/charts.htm.

Offshore SEA 2 (2011). Available from:

www.offshore-sea.org.uk/consultations/Offshore_Energy_SEA_2/index.php.

Port Meridian (2011). Available from: www.portmeridian.com.

Renewable UK (2011). Available from: http://bwea.com/ukwed/offshore.asp.

The Crown Estate (2010). Available from:

www.thecrownestate.co.uk/media/237648/marine_aggregates_area_involved_13th_report.pdf. **8-6**

Flemming, N. C. (2005). The Scope of Strategic Environmental Assessment of Irish Sea Area SEA6 in regard to Prehistoric Archaeological Remains, Crown Copyright.

Gribble, J. and Leather, S. for EMU Ltd. Offshore Geotechnical Investigations and Historic Environment Analysis: Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector. Commissioned by COWRIE Ltd (project reference GEOARCH-09).

Harland, J. (2009). Technical Report: Fish remains from the Drogheda Boat, Ireland, 2009/2, Reports from the Centre for Human Palaeoecology, University of York.

JNAPC (2006). JNAPC Code of Practice for Seabed Development. The Crown Estate.

Kinvig, R.H. (1975). The Isle of Man: A Social, Cultural and Political History. Liverpool University Press.

Lambeck, K. and Purcell, A.P. (2001). Sea-level change in the Irish Sea since the last glacial maximum: constraints from isostatic modelling. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 16: 497-506.

Marsden, P. (1994). Ships of the Port of London: First to eleventh centuries AD. English Heritage.

McGrail, S. (1987). Ancient Boats in North-West Europe, Longman, London.

Merritt, O. Parham, D. McElvogue, D.D. (2007). Enhancing our Understanding of the Marine Historic Environment: Navigational Hazards Project, English Heritage.

Mowat, R.J.C. (1996). The Logboats of Scotland, Oxford Monograph 68, Oxford Books.

Oxford Archaeology and George Lambrick Archaeology (2008). Guidance for Assessment of Cumulative Impacts on the Historic Environment from Offshore Renewable Energy. Commissioned by COWRIE Ltd (project reference CIARCH-11-2006).

Parfitt, S.A. Ashton, N.M. Lewis, S.G. Abel, R.L. Coope, G.R. Field, M.H. Gale, R. Hoare, P.G. Larkin, N.R. Lewis, M.D. Karloukovski, V. Maher, B.A. Peglar, S.M. Preece, R.C. Whitaker, J.E. and Stringer, C.B. (2010). Early Pleistocene human occupation at the edge of the boreal zone in northwest Europe, *Nature* 466: 229–33.

Parfitt, SA. Barendregt, R.W. Breda, M. Candy, I. Collins, M.J. Coope, G.R. Durbidge, P. Field, M.H. Lee, J.R. Lister, A.M. Mutch, R. Penkman, K.E.H. Preece, R.C. Rose, J. Stringer, C.B. Symmons, R. Whittaker, J.E. Wymer J.J. and Stuart, A.J. (2005). The earliest record of human activity in northern Europe. *Nature* 438: 1008–1012.

Redknap, M. (2000). Vikings in Wales: An Archaeological Quest. National Museums and Galleries of Wales.

Shennan, I. and Horton, B. (2002). Holocene Land- and Sea-Level Changes in Great Britain. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 17(5-6), pp 511-526.

University of Birmingham (2011). West Coast Palaeolandscape Project (Pilot Project). ALSF Ref: 5238. doi:10.5284/1000398.

Van de Noort, R. (2003). Exploring our Past in the Humber wetlands: the Humber Wetlands Survey 1992-2001. In Ottaway, P. Manby, T. and Moorhouse, S. (Eds.) Yorkshire Archaeological Research Framework Forum. Yorkshire Archaeological Society Monographs, Leeds.

Van Landeghem, K. J. J. Wheeler, A. J. and Mitchell, N. C. (2009). Seafloor Evidence for Palaeo-Ice Streaming and Calving of the Grounded Irish Sea Ice Stream: Implications for the Interpretation of its Final Deglaciation Phase. *Boreas*, 38, pp 119-131.

Wessex Archaeology (2004) England's Shipping: Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund, Year 2. ALSF, unpublished report, ref. 51522.05.

Wessex Archaeology (2005). Strategic Environment Assessment SEA6: Irish Sea, Maritime Archaeology. DECC.

Wessex Archaeology (2007). Historical Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector. Commissioned by COWRIE Ltd (project reference ARCH-11-05).

Wessex Archaeology (2008). Aircraft Crash Sites at Sea: A Scoping Study, Archaeological Desk-based Assessment, Unpublished Report Ref. 66641.02.

8-7

Office of National Statistics, Nomis database, various sources.

Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency, various sources.

Central Statistics Office (Statistics Ireland), various sources.

NI Department of Finance and Personnel Statistics Bulletin, April 2011.

Bibliography

Baines, M.E., Reichelt, M. Evans, P.G.H. and Shepherd, B. (2002). Comparison of the abundance and distribution of harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) and bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in Cardigan Bay, UK. Pp. 12-13. In: Abstracts, 16th Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society, 7-11 April, Liège, Belgium.

Boyle D.P. (2010) Grey Seal Breeding Census: Skomer Island, 2010. Wildlife Trust of South and West Wales CCW Regional Report CCW/WW/10/07.

Calderan, S.V. (2003). Fine-scale temporal distribution by harbour porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) in North Wales: acoustic and visual survey techniques. MSc Thesis, University of Wales, Bangor.

Clarke, R. (1982). An index of sighting conditions for surveys of whales and dolphins. Reports of the International Whaling Commission, 32: 559–561.

Cronin M, Duck C, O' Cadhla O, Nairn R, Strong D and O'Keeffe C. (2007). An assessment of population size and distribution of harbour seals in the Republic of Ireland during the moult season in August 2003. Journal of Zoology 273: 131–139.

Cronin, M., Duck, C., Ó Cadhla, O., Nairn, R., Strong, D. and O' Keeffe, C. (2004). Harbour seal population assessment in the Republic of Ireland: August 2003. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 11. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

DECC (2009). Offshore Energy SEA.

Duck CD. (2006). Results of the thermal image survey of seals around the coast of Northern Ireland. Environment and Heritage Service Research and Development Series. No. 06/09.

Duck, C.D. and Morris, C.D. (2010). Grey seal pup production in Britain in 2009. In Scientific Advice on Matters Related to the Management of Seal Populations: 2010.

Duck, C.D., Morris, C.D. and Thompson, D. (2010). The status of British harbour seals populations in 2009. In Scientific Advice on Matters Related to the Management of Seal Populations: 2010.

European Commission (2007). Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of community interest under the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.

Evans, P.G.H. (Compiler) (2008). Whales, porpoises and dolphins. Order Cetacea. Pp. 655-779. In: Mammals of the British Isles. (Eds. S. Harris and D.W. Yalden). Handbook. 4th Edition. The Mammal Society, Southampton. 800pp.

Evans, P.G.H., Anderwald, P. and Baines, M.E. (2003). UK Cetacean Status Review. Report to English Nature and the Countryside Council for Wales. Sea Watch Foundation, Oxford. 160pp.

Hill, A.E., James, I.D., Linden, P.F., Mathews, J.P., Prandle, D., Simpson, J.H., Gmitrowicz, E.M., Smeed, D.A., Lwiza, K.M.M., Durazo, R., Fox, A.D., Bowers, D.G. & Weydert, M., (1993). Dynamics of tidal mixing fronts in the North Sea. Philosophical Transactions: Physical Sciences and Engineering. Understanding the North Sea System, 431-446.

Goold, J.C. (1998). Acoustic assessment of populations of common dolphin off the West Wales coast, with perspectives from satellite infrared imagery. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U.K., 78: 1353-1364.

Goold, J.C. (2008). Seasonal and spatial patterns of harbour porpoise and grey seal at a UK offshore wind farm site. In: Evans, P.G.H. (ed), Offshore wind farms and marine mammals: impacts and methodologies for assessing impacts, pp. 32-36. ECS Special Publication Series No. 49.

Gordon, J., Thompson, D., Leaper, R., Gillespie, D., Pierpoint, C., Calderan, S., Macaulay, J. and Gordon, T. (2011). Assessment of Risk to Marine Mammals from Underwater Marine Renewable Devices in Welsh waters. Phase 2: Studies of Marine Mammals in Welsh High Tidal Waters. RPS Report JER3688, March 2011.

JNCC (2009). Statutory nature conservation agency protocol for minimising the risk of disturbance and injury to marine mammals from piling noise -

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/Piling%20Protocol%20June%202009.pdf.

Kiely, O., Ligard, D., McKibben, M., Connolly, N. and Baines, M. (2000). Grey seals: status and monitoring in the Irish and Celtic Seas. Maritime Ireland/Wales INTERREG Report No. 3.

Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Initial Scientific Recommendations, Brandon L. Southall, Ann E. Bowles, William T. Ellison, James J. Finneran, Roger L. Gentry, Charles R. Greene Jr., David Kastak, Darlene R. Ketten, James H. Miller, Paul E. Nachtigall, W. John Richardson, Jeanette A. Thomas, and Peter L. Tyack (2007). Aquatic Mammals, Volume 33, Number 4.

McMath, A.J. and Stringell, T.B. (2006). Grey seal pup production in Wales. SCOS Briefing Paper 06/11, pp. 101-108. www.smru.st-andrews.ac.uk/documents/SCOS_06.pdf.

Nedwell, J. R., Brooker, A.G., Barham, R., Lovell, J. J., and Lambert, D. (2011, in prep). Galloper Offshore Wind Farm Project: Underwater Noise Impact Assessment Subacoustech Environmental Report No. E218R0119.

Ó Cadhla, O. and Strong, D. (2007). Grey seal moult population survey in the Republic of Ireland, 2007. Report to the National Parks and Wildlife Service. Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

Ó Cadhla, O., Strong, D., O'Keeffe, C., Coleman, M., Cronin, M., Duck, C., Murray, T., Dower, P., Nairn, R., Murphy, P., Smiddy, P., Saich, C., Lyons, D. and Hiby, A.R. (2007). An assessment of the breeding population of grey seals in the Republic of Ireland, 2005. Irish

Wildlife Manuals No. 34. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

Parvin, S.J., Nedwell, J.R., Workman, R. (2006). Underwater noise impact modelling in support of the London Array, Greater Gabbard and Thanet offshore wind farm developments. Subacoustech Report No. 710R0515.

RPS Energy (November 2011). ISZ Marine Mammal Technical Report. Occurrence of Marine Mammals, Basking Sharks and Leatherback Turtles in the Irish Sea Zone. Doc Control No.: R3-D-EV-075—0026-000000-006.

Santos, M.B., Pierce, G.J., Learmonth, J.A., Reid, R.J., Ross, H.M., Patterson, I.A.P., Reid, D.G. and Beare, D. (2004). Variability in the diet of harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) in Scottish waters 1992-2003. Marine Mammal Science, 20: 1-27.

Scheidat,M.,Tougaard,J.Brasseur,S.,Carstensen, J., van Polanen Petel, T., Teilmann, J. and Reijnders, P. (2011). Harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) and wind farms: a case study in the Dutch North Sea. Environmental Research Letters (6).

SCOS (2006). Scientific advice on matters related to the management of seal populations: 2006. Reports of the UK Special Committee on Seals. http://www.smru.st-and.ac.uk/documents/SCOS_06.pdf.

SCOS (2007). Scientific advice on matters related to the management of seal populations: 2007. Reports of the UK Special Committee on Seals. http://www.smru.st-and.ac.uk/documents/SCOS_2007_FINAL_ADVICE_1.pdf.

SCOS (2008). Scientific advice on matters related to the management of seal populations: 2008. Reports of the UK Special Committee on Seals. http://www.smru.st-and.ac.uk/documents/SCOS_2008_v1.pdf.

Thompson, C., Bexton, S., Brownlow, A., Wood, S., Patterson, T. Pye, K., Lonergan, M. and Milne, R. (2011). Report on recent seal mortalities in UK waters caused by extensive lacerations October 2010. Sea Mammal Research Unit.

Walton, M.J. (1997). Population structure of harbour porpoises Phocoena phocoena in the seas around the UK and adjacent waters. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B, 264: 89-94.

Weir, C.R. and O'Brien, S. (2000). Association of the harbour porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) with the western Irish Sea front. In: European Research on Cetaceans – 14. Proceedings of the fourteenth Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society, Cork, Ireland, 2–5 April 2000.

Westcott, S and Stringell, T.B. (2004). Grey seal distribution and abundance in North Wales, 2002-2003. Bangor, CCW Marine Monitoring Report No: 13. 80pp.

Westcott, S. (2002). The distribution of grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) and census of pup production in North Wales 2001. CCW Science Report No 499. 164pp.

7-4

Alerstam, T., Rosén, M., Bäckman, J., Ericson, P.G. and Hellgren, O. (2007). Flight speeds among bird species: allometric and phylogenetic effects. PLoS Biology, 5(8), e197.

BBC News (2010):

http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/midwales/hi/people_and_places/nature/newsid9217000/9217289. stm. Fears for the Greenland White-fronted Goose. 23rd November 2010.

Beck, J.R. and Schultz, E.K. (1986). The use of relative operating characteristic (ROC) curves in test performance evaluation. Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, 110: 13–20.

Brooke, M. (1990). The Manx Shearwater. T&AD Poyser, London, UK: 246pp. ISBN 978 1 4081 37534 6.

Boyce, M., Vernier, P.R., Nielsen, S.E. and Schmiegelow, F.K. (2002). Evaluating resource selection functions. Ecological Modelling 157: 281–300.

Camphuysen, C.J. (2005). Seabirds at sea in summer in the northwest North Sea. British Birds 98: 2-19.

Centrica Energy (2009). Race Bank Offshore Wind Farm Environmental Statement Volume 1: Offshore and Non-technical Summary. Centrica (in association with AMEC), Stockley Park, Uxbridge UK: pp. 290-363.

Centrica Energy Renewable Investments Ltd (2010). Interim data report for bird and marine mammal surveys of the Irish Sea Zone (Mar 2010 to July 2010). Centrica Energy, Windsor.

Centrica Energy Renewable Investments Ltd (2011). Interim data report for bird and marine mammal surveys of the Irish Sea Zone (Mar 2010 to Feb 2011). Centrica Energy, Windsor: 169pp.

Cramp, S., Bourne, W. R. P. and Saunders, D. (1974). The Seabirds of Britain and Ireland. Collins, London: 287pp.

Cressie N.A.C. (1993). Statistics for spatial data (revised edition). Wiley, New York, 900pp. Department of Energy and Climate Change (2011). National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). July 2011. London, Stationery Office: 75pp.

Dillingham, P.W. and Fletcher, D. (2008). Estimating the ability of birds to sustain additional human-caused mortalities using a simple decision rule and allometric relationships. Biological Conservation 141: 1783-1792.

Everaert, J., and Stienen, E.W.M. (2007). Impact of wind turbines on birds in Zeebrugge (Belgium): significant effect on breeding tern colony due to collisions. Biodiversity & Conservation 16: 3345-3359.

Fearnley, J, Lowther S and Whitfield P. (2006). A review of goose collisions at operating wind farns and estimation of the goose collision rate. Consultant's report by West Coast Energy, Natural Research Ltd. and Hyder Consulting to Scottish Natural Heritage, Edinburgh: 18pp.

Forsythe, W.C, Rykiel, E.J, Stahl, R.S, Wu, H. and Schoolfield, R.M. (1995). A model for comparison for daylength as a function of latitude and day of the year. Ecological Modelling 80: 87-95.

Freeman, E. (2007). PresenceAbsence: An R Package for Presence-Absence Model Evaluation. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, USA.

Fox, T.D., Stroud, D., Walsh, A., Wilson, J., Norriss, D., and Francis, I. (2006). The rise and fall of the Greenland White-fronted Goose: a case study in international conservation. British Birds 99: 242-261.

Furness, B. and Wade, H. (unpubl. data). Vulnerability of Scottish Seabirds to Offshore Wind Turbines. Report commissioned by Marine Scotland.

Guilford, T.C, Freeman, R. and Maurice, L. (2009). Where the Lundy shearwaters go. Annual Report of the Lundy Field Society 2009: 74-75.

Hastie, T. and Tibshirani, R. (1990). Generalized additive models. New York: Chapman and Hall.

Heinänen, S., Skov, H., Lohier, S., Thaxter, C.B and Žydelis, R. (unpubl. data). Dynamic habitat modelling as a means to assess habitat displacement in Red-throated Divers in the Outer Thames Estuary. Presented at the Impact of Wind Farm on Wildlife, Trondheim, Norway 2011.

Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC). Advice note 10: Habitat Regulations Assessment relevant to nationally significant infrastructure projects. IPC, London: 28pp. Available at http://infrastructure.independent.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/.

Institute of Ecology and Environmental Assessment (IEEM) (2010) Guidelines for ecological impact assessment in Britain and Ireland: Marine and Coastal. Final Version 5 August 2010, IEEM, Winchester, UK: 72pp.

Langston, R. (2010). Offshore wind farms and birds: Round 3 zones, extensions to Round 1 and Round 2 sites and Scottish Territorial Waters. RSPB Research Report No. 39, Sandy, Beds: 40pp.

Louzao, M., Hyrenbach, K., Arcos, J., Abelló, P., de Sola, L. and Oro, D. (2006). Oceanographic habitat of an endangered Mediterranean procellariiform: implications for marine protected areas. Ecological Applications, 16: 1683-1695.

Lovvorn, J.R., Grebmeier, J.M., Cooper, L.W., Bump, J.K. and Richman, S.E. (2009). Modeling marine protected areas for threatened eiders in a climatically changing Bering Sea. Ecological Applications, 19: 1596-1613.

McSorley, C.A., Webb, A., Dean, B.J. and Reid, J.B. (2005). UK inshore Special Protection Areas: a methodological evaluation of site selection and definition of the extent of an interest feature using line transect data. JNCC Report, No. 344.

Oppel, S., Meirinho, A., Ramírez, I., Gardner, B., O'Connell, A.F., Miller, P.I. and Louzao, M. (In Press). Comparison of five modelling techniques to predict the spatial distribution and abundance of seabirds. Biological Conservation, doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2011.11.013.x.

Parkin, D.T. and Knox, A.G. (2010). The status of birds in Britain and Ireland. Christopher Helm, London: 440pp.

Pebesma, E.J. (2004). Multivariate geostatistics in S: The gstat package. Computers and Geosciences, 30: 683-691.

Percival, S.M., Band, B., and Leeming, T. (1999). Assessing the ornithological effects of wind farms: developing a standard methodology. Proceedings of the 21st British Wind Energy Association Conference.

Petersen, I.K. and Fox, A.D. (2007). Changes in bird habitat utilisation around the Horns Rev 1 offshore wind farm, with particular emphasis on Common Scoter. NERI Report commissioned by Vattenfall A/S. National Environmental Research Institute, Ministry of the Environment (Denmark): 40pp.

Piatt, J.F., Wetzel, J., Bell, K., DeGange, A.r., Balogh, G.R., Drew, G.S., Geernaert, T., Ladd, C. and Byrd, G.V. (2006). Predictable hotspots and foraging habitat of the endangered short-tailed albatross (Phoebastria albatrus) in the North Pacific: Implications for conservation. Deep Sea Research Part II, 53: 387-398.

Potts, J.M. and Elith, J. (2006). Comparing species abundance models. Ecological Modelling, 199: 153-163.

R Development Team, 2008. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Available at: URL http://www.R-project.org.

RenewableUK (2011). Consenting lessons learned. An offshore wind industry review of past concerns, lessons learned and future challenges. RenewableUK, London: 28pp. Available at www.renewableuk. com.

Robinson, R.A. (2005). BirdFacts: profiles of birds occurring in Britain and Ireland. BTO Research Report 407, British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford, UK. Available at: http://www.bto.org/birdfacts.

Scott, B.E., Sharples, J., Ross, O.N., Wang, J., Pierce, G.J. and Camphuysen, C.J. (2010). Sub-surface hotspots in shallow seas: fine-scale limited locations of top predator foraging habitat indicated by tidal mixing and sub-surface chlorophyll. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 408: 207-226.

Sileshi, G., Hailu, G. and Nyadzi, G.I. (2009). Traditional occupancy-abundance models are inadequate for zero-inflated ecological count data. Ecological Modelling, 220: 1764-1775.

Skov, H. Durinck, J. Leopold, M.F. and Tasker, M.L. (2007). A quantitative method for evaluating the importance of marine areas for conservation of birds. Biological Conservation 136: 362-371.

Stillman, R.A. and Goss-Custard, J.D. (2002). Seasonal changes in the response of Oystercatchers Haematopus ostralegus to human disturbance. Journal of Avian Biology 33: 358-365.

Stone, C.J., Webb, A. and Tasker, M.L. (1994). The distribution of Manx Shearwaters Puffinus puffinus in north-west European waters. Bird Study 41: 170-180.

Thomas, L., Buckland, S.T., Rexstad, E.A., Laake, J.L., Strindberg, S., Hedley, S.L., Bishop, J.R.B, Marques T.A. and Burnham K.P. (2010). Distance software: design and analysis of distance sampling surveys for estimating population size. Journal of Applied Ecology, 47: 5-14.

van der Meer, J. and Leopold, M.F. (1995). Assessing the population-size of the European storm-petrel (Hydrobates pelagicus) using spatial autocorrelation between counts from segments of crisscross ship transects. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 52: 809-818.

Watts, B.D. (2010). Wind and waterbirds: Establishing sustainable mortality limits within the Atlantic Flyway. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series, CCBTR-05-10. College of William and Mary/Virginia Commonwealth University, Williamsburg, VA. 43 pp.

Wetlands International (2006). Waterbird population estimates – fourth edition. Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands, ISBN 90 5882 031 9: 239pp.

Wood, S.N. (2011). Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 73: 3-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9868.2010.00749.

Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. and Smith, G.M. (2007). Analysing ecological data. Springer, New York, NY.

Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. Walker, N.J., Saveliev, A.A. and Smith, G.M. (2009). Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R. Springer, New York, NY.

Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. and Elphick, C.S., (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 1: 3-14. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x.

8-6

BGS (1990). British Geological Survey 1:250,000 Series, Seabed Sediments Sheet 53°N - 06°W 'Anglesey', National Environment Research Council.

Coles, B. J. (1998). Doggerland: A Speculative Survey. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society, 64, pp 45-81.

COWRIE (2007). Historic Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector. Jackson, D.I. Jackson, A.A. Evans, D. Wingfield, R.T.R. Barnes, R.P. and Arthur, M.J. (1995). The Geology of the Irish Sea, British Geological Survey, United Kingdom Offshore Regional Report, HMSO, London.

Lambeck, K. (1995). Late Devensian and Holocene shorelines of the British Isles and North Sea from models of glacio-hydro-isostatic rebound. Journal of the Geological Society 152: 437-448.

Wessex Archaeology (2009). Ormonde Offshore Windfarm Project, Archaeological Assessment of Geophysical Data, Unpublished Report, Ref: 72390.02.

Wessex Archaeology (2010a). Offshore Wind Zone 9: Irish Sea. Archaeological Desktop Review. Unpublished Report, Ref: 73750.03.

Wessex Archaeology (2010b). Irish Sea Zone Metmasts, Archaeological Assessment of Geophysical Data, Unpublished Report, Ref: 73752.02.

Wessex Archaeology (2010c). Round 3 Offshore Wind Zone 9 Irish Sea, Archaeological Assessment: Phase 1. Scope of Activities, Unpublished report, ref: T13161.01.

Wessex Archaeology (2010d). Round 3 Offshore Wind Zone 9 Irish Sea, Phase 2: Geophysical Review, Written Scheme of Investigation. Unpublished report, ref: T13161.06.

Wessex Archaeology (2011a). Round 3 Irish Sea Zone (Met Mast Geotechnical), Stage 2 Geoarchaeological Recording and Subsampling, Unpublished Report, Ref: 73751.005.

Wessex Archaeology (2011b). Round 3 ZAP Phase 2 – Archaeological Review of Geophysical Data, Unpublished.

You can find up to date information about Celtic Array on our website: www.celticarray.com

You can contact us at: info@celticarray.com

