## Wisconsin's 1999 open water sportfishing effort and harvest from Lake Michigan and Green Bay



Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Fisheries Management and Habitat Protection

# Wisconsin's 1999 open water sportfishing effort and harvest from Lake Michigan and Green Bay. 

John Kubisiak<br>Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources<br>Plymouth Field Station<br>W5750 Woodchuck Lane<br>P.O. Box 408<br>Plymouth, Wisconsin 53073


#### Abstract

This paper documents the sport fishery in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay from March 1, 1999 through December 31,1999. Fishing effort, harvest and harvest rates were determined from 1) a stratified-random creel survey for launched-boat, pier, shore and stream anglers; 2) a randomized mail survey for moored-boat anglers; and 3) mandatory charter-boat reporting. Anglers spent an estimated 2,825,271 hours fishing on Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999 with boat-angler effort at 2,120,510 hours or $75 \%$ of the total hours. The estimated harvest of 710,582 fish was dominated by yellow perch $(269,005)$, chinook salmon $(157,934)$, rainbow trout $(84,248)$ and coho salmon $(56,297)$. The boat fishery, comprised of launched-boat, moored-boat and charter-boat anglers, dominated the fishery by harvesting an estimated 609,822 fish which was $86.0 \%$ of the total fish harvested and was dominated by yellow perch $(243,447)$, chinook salmon $(120,087)$, rainbow trout $(77,328)$ and coho salmon $(52,975)$. Pier, shore and stream anglers harvested primarily chinook salmon, yellow perch and brown trout. Overall harvest-rates were highest for yellow perch at 0.0952 fish/hour and chinook salmon at 0.0559 fish/hour.


Before the 1920s, fish biomass and abundance in Lake Michigan was dominated by lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis), lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush), bloater chubs (C. hoyi), and yellow perch (Perca flavescens). During the 1920s to 1950s, the accidental introductions of several exotic species, including the rainbow smelt (Osmerus mordax), sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) and alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus), had a major impact on the fish populations in Lake Michigan. These exotic species, along with a deterioration of spawning habitat and increased commercial fishing pressure, were responsible for the decline of native fish populations (Hansen et al. 1990).

In response to the increasing alewife population and declining Lake Michigan fishery, the Wisconsin

Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) in 1963 experimentally introduced 9,000 rainbow trout into several Door Co. tributaries with a twofold purpose: 1) to control or limit the abundant alewife population and 2) to provide a sport fishery. This initial stocking, and efforts by other states (see Keller et al. 1990) proved to be very successful and Wisconsin's Lake Michigan stocking program expanded to include trouts (Salmo spp.), chars (Salvelinus spp.) and other pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.). The stocking of non-native salmonids provided a practical way to control the alewife population and a valuable sport fishery.

In order to manage the Lake Michigan sport fishery, assessments are conducted on both forage and predator-fish stocks. Since 1973, the US Fish and

Wildlife Service has conducted bottom-trawl surveys in Lake Michigan to estimate the abundance of forage fish. These trawl-estimates are based on a series of ten-minute tows along the contour of nine depths at each of seven index-stations (Eck 1992). Since 1969, WDNR has monitored the Lake Michigan sport fishery with a contact creel-survey. This provides a continuous record of harvest, harvest rates and biological data of the harvest.

This paper reports the results of the annual survey of anglers fishing the Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan. Data were collected from anglers at ramps, piers, shores and streams and from mooredboat and charter-boat anglers. Estimates were then calculated for fishing effort, harvest and harvest rates for 1999.

## STUDY AREA AND METHODS

## Geographical Area

Wisconsin's share of Lake Michigan is second only to Michigan and encompasses 495 miles of shoreline and 25 tributaries (Figure 1). The Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan include Green Bay and portions of distinct north and south lake basins. For a complete description see Eggold (1995).

## Creel-Survey Design

The open-water creel survey was conducted using a modified access-point design called the Wisconsin Hybrid design. It differs from a true access-point design in that creel clerks visit several sites per site group. The fishing season for the open-water creel survey from March 15th to October 31st is stratified by statistical management unit (SMU) (i.e. counties), fishery types (i.e. ramp, pier, shore and stream), statistical survey periods (i.e. months or groups of months) and day type (i.e. weekday, weekend/holiday). Statistical management units were assigned based primarily on county lines and include units such as Kenosha, Racine, Milwaukee, etc. Survey sites within each SMU were placed into site groups. There may be one or several site groups in each SMU, depending on the time of year and SMU size. Site groups were selected randomly without replacement on a daily basis, and survey
sites within a site group were visited randomly. Surveys were conducted on every weekend day and holiday and on either two or three days during the week, depending on the month. Each workday was comprised of two shifts, an AM and PM shift. Combined together, the two shifts covered the entire angling day. The clerk worked one shift per workday. The shifts were equal in duration, did not overlap and were sampled with equal probability. An example is shown below.

EXAMPLE:
Statistical Management Unit MILWAUKEE Site Groups MILW. SOUTH MILW. NORTH SurveySites S. Shore RampsMcKinley Ramps

| S. Shore Pier | McKinley Pier |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oak Creek | Milwaukee River |
| Grant Park | Riverfront Ramp |
| S. Metro Pier | N. City Shoreline |

Three types of data were collected for each site sampled: counts of anglers, boat trailers or cars for effort, interviews of anglers or parties for harvest rates and biological data on harvested fish.

Instantaneous counts were made by creel clerks at all sites in the survey. The type of count was dependent on the type of fishery. At most ramp sites, boat trailers were counted. At most pier, shore and stream sites, anglers were counted. However, due to poor access points on some tributaries, car counts were used. Car and trailer counts were corrected by the average number of anglers per car or boat from interview data. The time the count was completed and count per site were recorded on the activity count form.

Angler parties were interviewed at the completion of their fishing trips. Anglers were asked if they were state residents, what time they started their fishing trip, what they fished for and the number of caught and harvested fish. These data were recorded on the angler interview form (Figure 2). Biological information such as species, length, weight, fin clip and tag numbers were collected on harvested fish (Figure 3). Standard-weight calculations follow Hansen (1986).

Fishing-effort calculations. Fishing-effort estimates (expressed in angler hours) were derived from instantaneous counts of anglers at pier, breakwater,
shore and stream sites and from counts of boat trailers at boat ramps and from counts of cars at stream sites. Counts were made at randomly computed times at each site during each visit. We estimated angler effort and its variance within each stratum (SMU, fishery type, month and day type). The variance of angler effort includes variability among days and variability within days. Formulas for two-stage surveys were used to calculate variance. For a complete description see Eggold (1995).

Harvest and harvest-rate calculations. Harvest estimates were derived from interviews of anglers at all sites. The number of fish harvested and the hours fished from each interview were summed over all interviews in a stratum. The ratio of the two sums and the variance of the ratio were then calculated. The ratio was expanded by effort and summed across day types to estimate harvest. The harvest rate was obtained by dividing harvest by effort. For a detailed description see Eggold (1995).

## Moored-Boat Survey Design

Anglers who moored their boat on Lake Michigan (including Green Bay) were surveyed by questionnaire beginning in 1988. The earlier surveys (1982-1985) were based on voluntary information from moored-boat owners who received their survey form from sport-fishing clubs. However, during 1988, creel clerks were asked to compile a list of boat registration numbers from boats moored on Lake Michigan during a day of bad weather.
These numbers were used to develop a list of boat owners from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources master file of registered boats. Beginning in 1988, a mail survey was sent to all moored-boat owners to obtain information on 1) whether they moored their boat on Lake Michigan; 2) the port of call; 3) whether the boat was used for fishing during that week; 4) the number of days fished; 5) number of anglers in the fishing party; 6) number of hours fished; and 7) the number of each species caught on each day during the past sevenday period.

Fishing effort and harvest calculations. Fishing effort was calculated by harbor and month for each month of the survey. Party size and number of
hours fished on each trip were multiplied, summed for each month and harbor, and divided by the number of responses received for the month. This total was multiplied by the boat count and the number of days in the month to obtain estimated angler-hours for the entire moored-boat population. Harvest estimates were calculated by harbor and month for each species based on harvest per boat. The harvest data were expanded similarly to effort estimates.

Harvest-rate calculations. Harvest rate, the number of fish harvested per angler hour, was obtained by dividing the reported harvest of each species by fishing effort.

This type of survey is biased because interested and successful anglers tend to return the survey at a higher rate than other moored-boat owners. Therefore, estimated harvest will tend to be an overestimate of actual harvest but should be comparable among years and locations. For a detailed description of the calculations and formulas see Eggold (1993).

## Charter-Boat Census Design

At the beginning of the fishing season, a packet of information was sent to each licensee. This packet included instructions on how to properly report chartered trips, a sample of a completed monthly report, grid map of Lake Michigan, list of wardens, coded-wire tag collection stations, fin-clip list, sea lamprey information and a supply of monthly-report forms.

Each license holder was required by law to report all paid charters. The report for each calendar month was due by the 10th of the following month to the WDNR Plymouth Field Station. If a report was late or incorrectly filled out a warning letter was sent. Subsequent violations were referred directly to a Wisconsin Conservation Warden.

The information obtained from each trip included: license number, fishing port, date of fishing trip, grid fished, number of resident and nonresident anglers, number of fish harvested, time trip started (am, pm, evening), number of lines fished and number of hours fished. This information had to be recorded
within half an hour after completing each trip and returning to the dock or shore. The number of lake trout, coho salmon, brown trout, steelhead, chinook salmon and other species harvested, any tag numbers and the number of lampreys attached to chinook salmon and lake trout had to be recorded prior to midnight of the day of each trip. The data were received at the Plymouth Field Station, entered and checked for errors.

## RESULTS

Fishing effort in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay was estimated at $2,825,271$ ( $\pm$ 49,492 ) hours during the 1999 open-water season of March 1 - December 31 (Table 1). Angler hours decreased slightly from $1998(2,870,450)$ and were below the ten-year average $(3,246,840)$ Figure 4 ). Green Bay anglers had the most fishing effort of any SMU, at 856,591 ( $\pm 29,469$ ) hours or $30 \%$ of all angler hours for 1999. Kewaunee Co. anglers came in second at $355,612( \pm 19,833)$ hours.

Angler hours were disproportionately spread among the four fishery types. Boat anglers spent $2,120,510$ ( $\pm 45,615$ ) hours or $75 \%$ of all angler hours fishing on Lake Michigan or Green Bay (Table 5). Stream anglers fished the second most at 381,994 ( $\pm$ 17,172 ) hours or $13 \%$ of the total (Table 8). Shore and pier anglers fished $199,410( \pm 6,833)$ and $123,357( \pm 5,211)$ hours respectively (Tables 6-7).

Fishermen harvested an estimated 376,059 ( $\pm$ 9,193 ) salmonids during the 1999 season (Table 2). Chinook salmon were the most-numerous salmonid species harvested in all years since 1988 except 1993 and 1994 (rainbow trout dominated) and 1997 (coho salmon). Chinook salmon dominated the 1999 salmonid harvest, comprising 157,934 ( $\pm$ 5,740 ) fish or $42 \%$ of the total. Rainbow trout harvest was second to chinook, with 84,248 ( $\pm$ 4,362 ) fish or $22 \%$ of the total. Coho salmon harvest was $56,297( \pm 2,929)$, similar to 1998 , and $16 \%$ of the total. Lake trout declined to $11 \%$ of the harvest at $39,819( \pm 2,168)$, followed by brown trout at $37,187( \pm 4,362), 10 \%$ of the total, and brook trout at 574 ( $\pm 472$ ).

The combined harvest-rate for salmonids of 0.1331 was the lowest since 1994, but similar to the ten-
year average of 0.1284 . Low catches during early summer of 1999 were partially offset by excellent catches after mid-July (Table 4). The reduced harvest-rate was due to below-average harvest of lake trout (-35\%), coho salmon (-29\%), brown trout (-21\%) and rainbow trout (-7\%) (Table 2, Figure 5). This was despite similar fishing effort to recent years and chinook salmon harvest $20 \%$ above the ten-year average.

Anglers harvested an estimated 269,005 ( $\pm 22,432$ ) yellow perch during 1999 (Table 3, Figure 6). Anglers harvested $235,400( \pm 22,037)$ yellow perch in Green Bay with a harvest rate of 0.2748 fish/hour. Lake Michigan anglers harvested $33,605( \pm 4,186)$ yellow perch and had a harvest rate of 0.0171 fish/hour (Table 3, Figure 6). Yellow perch comprised the majority of the harvest from all areas combined with an overall harvest-rate of 0.0952 fish/hour (Table 4). Yellow perch were the most numerous species harvested for the boat, pier and shore fisheries, although the majority of the harvest (90.5\%) was from boats (Table 5). Yellow perch harvest-rates were highest for the boat fishery at 0.1148 fish/hour followed by the shore fishery at 0.0652 . The majority of the harvest took place in the summer months from June through September.

The harvest of perch during 1999 was slightly higher than 1997 and 1998, but considerably ( $82 \%$ ) below the 1992-1995 average of 1,496,923. Recent management actions to protect the dwindling yellow perch population include: 1) closure of the Lake Michigan commercial season for yellow perch; 2) a drop in the sport bag to five per day with a June closure on Lake Michigan; and 3) a reduction in the commercial quota for yellow perch in Green Bay. These measures are intended to protect the remaining yellow perch stocks. They also account for some of the decreased harvest of yellow perch during recent years.

The total harvest of 13 major species was 710,582 $( \pm 25,850)$ fish for 1999 (Table 4). The majority of the harvest came from boat anglers (Table 5) who harvested $609,822( \pm 24,266)$ fish or $85.8 \%$ of the total. Pier, shore and stream anglers accounted for 12,438 ( $\pm 1,467$ ), 26,006 ( $\pm 1,891$ ) and 62,316 ( $\pm$ 8,581 ) fish respectively or $1.7 \%, 3.7 \%$ and $8.8 \%$ of the total (Tables 6-8).

Coho salmon size during 1999 was the largest observed during the past 10 years (Table 9), but harvest decreased to $56,297( \pm 2,929)$ fish, the lowest harvest since 1991 and $29 \%$ below the tenyear average (Table 2). Overall coho salmon harvest-rates were 0.0199. Boat anglers harvested $94 \%$ of all coho salmon $(52,975)$ and enjoyed harvest rates of 0.0250 fish/hour (Table 5). The remaining harvest was divided among the pier, shore and stream anglers at 31, 869 and 2,422 fish, respectively (Tables 6-8). Biological data collected on coho salmon show a mean weight of $7.1( \pm 3.7)$ pounds, $74 \%$ above the ten-year average (Table 9). Mean length was 25.1 ( $\pm 5.1$ ) inches, while standard weight of a 22 -inch coho was 4.2 pounds, $11 \%$ above the ten-year average (Table 9). All three parameters increased dramatically for 1999, and exceed values reported by Hansen (1986).

Anglers harvested 157,934 ( $\pm$ 5,740) chinook salmon during 1999, up 15\% from 1998 and 20\% above the ten-year average of 131,199 (Table 2). The overall harvest-rate was 0.0559 . Boat anglers harvested 120,087 ( $\pm 4,711$ ) fish or $76 \%$ of all chinook salmon harvested (Table 5). Boat-angler harvest rates were 0.0566 . Stream anglers saw an excellent chinook harvest during 1999, with a harvest rate (0.0809) that exceeded the boat harvest-rate by $43 \%$ (Table 8). Chinook salmon were the most abundant species harvested by stream anglers, at 30,903 ( $\pm 3,188$ ), in spite of the fact that the harvest occurred almost exclusively during September and October (Table 8). The average weight and length for chinook salmon were the highest observed over the previous ten years, at $12.5( \pm 6.1)$ pounds and 31.1 ( $\pm 5.7$ ) inches, but the standard weight was similar to previous observations at 9.9 pounds for a 30 -inch chinook (Table 9). Thus, chinook harvested during 1999 tended to be longer and heavier than previous years, but this may be explained by the large harvest of spawning-run fish during September and October by stream anglers. Unlike coho, chinook of a given length were not heavier than similar-length chinook from previous years.

Rainbow trout was the second-most abundant salmonid and third-most abundant species harvested during 1999 at 84,248 ( $\pm 4,362$ ) fish (Table 4). Rainbow trout harvest-rates were the second highest among all salmonids at 0.0298
fish/hour. The majority ( $85 \%$ ) of the harvest occurred in the boat fishery with $77,328( \pm 4,199)$ fish (Table 5). Stream anglers harvested $6,162( \pm$ 1,171 ) steelhead with a harvest rate of 0.0161 fish/hour (Table 8). Similar to coho, rainbow trout were large during 1999. Rainbow trout averaged $7.2( \pm 3.3)$ pounds and $25.9( \pm 5.2)$ inches with a standard weight of a 22 -inch rainbow equal to 4.0 pounds, the highest standard weight observed over the ten-year period (Table 9).

Anglers in Wisconsin harvested 39,819 ( $\pm 2,168$ ) lake trout in Lake Michigan and Green Bay, 35\% below the ten-year average of 61,501 . This was the smallest harvest since 1996, following a near-record harvest during 1998. The overall harvest-rate was 0.0141 fish/hour (Table 4). Boat anglers harvested all but 22 lake trout (reported from the stream harvest), with 39,797 ( $\pm 2,168$ ). Boat harvest-rates were 0.0188 fish/hour (Table 5). Lake trout size was calculated at $9.2( \pm 3.9)$ pounds and 28.0 ( $\pm$ 3.8) inches with a standard weight of 6.1 pounds for a 25 -inch lake trout, the second-highest standard weight of the 10-year period (Table 9).

An estimated $37,187( \pm 4,362)$ brown trout were harvested during 1999 from all surveyed areas, with an overall harvest-rate of 0.0132 fish/hour (Table 4). This reflects an increase over 1998, but is still $21 \%$ below the ten-year average. Brown trout harvest by boat anglers was 25,392 ( $\pm 4,112$ ) fish or only $68 \%$ of the total (Table 5). Pier anglers harvested 2,166 ( $\pm 874$ ) brown trout and had harvest rates of 0.0176 fish/hour (Table 6). This total was the highest of any species except yellow perch and comprised $36 \%$ of the non-yellow perch pier harvest. Likewise, brown trout harvest by shore anglers was second only to yellow perch at 6,531 ( $\pm 738$ ) brown trout or $50 \%$ of the non-yellow perch shore harvest (Table 7). Shore harvest-rates were 0.0328 fish/hour. Brown trout biological data for 1999 showed a mean size of $6.1( \pm 3.5)$ pounds, $22.2( \pm 4.5)$ inches and 3.9 pounds standard weight for a 20 -inch fish (Table 9).

Smallmouth bass were numerous in the harvest, totaling $26,308( \pm 3,231)$ fish (Table 4). Overall harvest-rates were 0.0093 fish/hour. Again, boat anglers harvested the majority of the smallmouth bass, harvesting $25,404( \pm 3,221)$ fish or $97 \%$ of the total (Table 5). Boat harvest-rates were slightly higher ( 0.0120 ) than the overall harvest-rate.

White perch were also present in the harvest at $11,135( \pm 7,643)$. Overall harvest-rates were fairly low at 0.0039 fish/hour (Table 4). The majority (81\%) of the harvest occurred in the stream fishery with $8,997( \pm 7,594)$ fish. The mouths of several tributaries to Green Bay provided good catches of white perch. Stream harvest-rates were 0.0236 fish/hour, exceeded only by chinook salmon. The boat harvest (2,116 fish) accounted for most of the remainder.

Walleyes were the last species harvested in large numbers during the open-water fishing season. An estimated $21,659( \pm 3,139)$ walleyes were harvested (Table 4). This represents an increase over 1996 $(18,468)$ but a drop from $1997(31,049)$. Like smallmouth bass, walleye harvest-rates were lower than most salmonids at 0.0077 fish/hour. Boat anglers harvested 17,606 ( $\pm 3,043$ ) walleyes (Table 5) while stream anglers harvested 3,551 ( $\pm$ 749) (Table 8).

The remaining species, brook trout, splake and northern pike, comprised only $1 \%$ of the total harvest and $1.6 \%$ of the non-yellow perch harvest (Table 4).

## SUMMARY

Lake Michigan anglers spent an estimated 2,825,271 hours fishing on Lake Michigan and Green Bay with boat-angler effort of 2,120,510 hours or $75 \%$ of total hours. The estimated harvest of 710,582 fish was dominated by yellow perch $(269,005)$ and to a lessor degree by chinook salmon $(157,934)$ and rainbow trout $(84,248)$.

The salmonid fishery was extremely poor during early summer, 1999. Inconsistent wind patterns during this period may have prevented the buildup of normal thermal-patterns and caused trout and salmon to disperse widely. The poor early-summer fishing was partially offset by high harvest-rates later in the season, particularly for chinook salmon, but the salmonid harvest decreased from 416,521 fish during 1998 to 376,059 during 1999. The fish that were harvested averaged larger than usual. Length and weight of salmonids measured in the creel averaged higher than most of the previous ten years (Table 9). Standard weights of coho salmon and
rainbow trout were the highest recorded in the last ten years, and the other three major species were above average.

The 1999 harvest of 269,005 yellow perch was up slightly from 1997 and 1998. However, yellow perch harvest remained well below the annual harvests of one to two million perch observed prior to 1996. Declining populations of yellow perch in Lake Michigan and Green Bay account for the large decreases in perch harvest. Tighter restrictions on angler bag-limits to allow perch stocks a chance to rebuild have also reduced the angler harvest.
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Table 1. Estimated angler effort (hours) by location in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during March through December of 1990 through 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Location | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenosha Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 178,036 \\ {[10,685]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 184,570 \\ {[10,815]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 196,298 \\ {[10,102]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 195,609 \\ {[9,665]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 189,877 \\ {[8,195]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 164,111 \\ {[9,934]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 157,607 \\ {[6,705]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188,561 \\ {[8,937]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 174,437 \\ {[8,351]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 183,774 \\ {[11,478]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 181,288 \\ {[9,585]} \end{array}$ |
| Racine Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 295,553 \\ {[20,111]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 332,412 \\ {[20,585]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 411,704 \\ {[21,114]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327,379 \\ {[19,740]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315,927 \\ {[13,911]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 335,535 \\ {[18,995]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238,052 \\ {[13,846]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 302,364 \\ {[15,472]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232,660 \\ {[15,844]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260,600 \\ {[15,917]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 305,219 \\ {[17,758]} \end{array}$ |
| Milwaukee Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 441,728 \\ {[16,495]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465,734 \\ {[19,160]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 491,750 \\ {[19,696]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 368,467 \\ {[13,736]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 404,704 \\ {[14,303]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 343,545 \\ {[12,115]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280,704 \\ {[9,625]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 283,356 \\ {[10,492]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 295,991 \\ {[9,162]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244,605 \\ {[8,620]} \end{array}$ | 362,058 <br> [13,886] |
| Ozaukee Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 226,882 \\ {[12,032]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175,813 \\ {[9,630]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 211,667 \\ {[11,331]} \end{array}$ | 139,075 <br> [8,437] | $\begin{array}{r} 206,470 \\ {[11,873]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232,899 \\ {[16,115]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242,963 \\ {[11,915]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229,387 \\ {[12,796]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244,186 \\ {[13,831]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 233,549 \\ {[14,891]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214,289 \\ {[12,476]} \end{array}$ |
| Sheboygan Co | $\begin{array}{r} 240,318 \\ {[11,690]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191,250 \\ {[10,632]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 211,947 \\ {[11,732]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152,770 \\ {[8,747]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244,500 \\ {[13,999]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,426 \\ {[16,183]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262,948 \\ {[14,697]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216,834 \\ {[13,730]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 219,642 \\ {[12,123]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244,929 \\ {[14,004]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 223,456 \\ {[12,920]} \end{array}$ |
| Manitowoc Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 296,175 \\ {[12,231]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260,313 \\ {[12,589]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 303,214 \\ {[15,706]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 298,533 \\ {[15,475]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266,866 \\ {[11,121]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 235,990 \\ {[9,038]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 204,487 \\ {[9,673]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227,955 \\ {[11,713]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196,492 \\ {[9,398]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 204,714 \\ {[11,257]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,474 \\ {[12,022]} \end{array}$ |
| Kewaunee Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 279,385 \\ {[15,959]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328,171 \\ {[21,383]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 295,724 \\ {[13,318]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 342,852 \\ {[17,627]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338,864 \\ {[18,617]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 329,637 \\ {[16,500]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 334,736 \\ {[23,955]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327,253 \\ {[19,421]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 342,260 \\ {[28,589]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 355,612 \\ {[19,833]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327,449 \\ {[19,951]} \end{array}$ |
| E. Door Co. | $\begin{array}{r} 406,998 \\ {[25,043]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 344,292 \\ {[16,485]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 390,178 \\ {[38,245]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310,454 \\ {[16,293]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331,851 \\ {[19,768]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 304,201 \\ {[17,298]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 278,601 \\ {[15,113]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 205,964 \\ {[16,043]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 259,020 \\ {[12,907]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240,897 \\ {[13,553]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307,246 \\ {[20,379]} \end{array}$ |
| Green Bay | $\begin{array}{r} 1,245,291 \\ {[39,981]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,324,911 \\ {[40,786]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,188,588 \\ {[38,041]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,112,877 \\ {[39,002]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,191,252 \\ {[34,804]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,078,522 \\ {[32,379]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 972,938 \\ {[34,570]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 886,873 \\ {[35,678]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 905,762 \\ {[35,986]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 856,591 \\ {[29,469]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,076,361 \\ {[36,223]} \end{array}$ |
| Total Effort | $\begin{array}{r} 3,610,365 \\ {[60,844]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3,607,466 \\ {[60,536]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,701,072 \\ {[67,348]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,248,017 \\ {[56,181]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,490,310 \\ {[53,615]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3,273,866 \\ {[53,193]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2,973,036 \\ {[52,708]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2,868,547 \\ {[53,164]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,870,450 \\ {[55,770]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,825,271 \\ {[49,492]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,246,840 \\ {[56,504]} \end{array}$ |

Table 2. Estimated harvest and total harvest rate (number per hour, all anglers combined) of salmonids in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during March through December of 1990 through 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 64,085 \\ {[3,002]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,195 \\ {[2,435]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,876 \\ {[3,890]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 74,304 \\ {[4,151]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 110,001 \\ {[5,857]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65,647 \\ {[3,107]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104,715 \\ {[4,546]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138,423 \\ {[6,039]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,203 \\ {[2,706]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,297 \\ {[2,929]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 78,775 \\ {[4,053]} \end{array}$ |
| Chinook Salmon | $\begin{array}{r} 111,342 \\ {[4,399]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,081 \\ {[5,318]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,568 \\ {[6,571]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87,366 \\ {[3,707]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,754 \\ {[4,424]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162,888 \\ {[5,953]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 183,254 \\ {[7,746]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,152 \\ {[5,050]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136,653 \\ {[4,702]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157,934 \\ {[5,740]} \end{array}$ | 131,199 <br> [5,478] |
| Rainbow Trout | $\begin{array}{r} 51,708 \\ {[2,996]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,878 \\ {[3,408]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79,525 \\ {[6,029]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104,765 \\ {[3,998]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114,774 \\ {[4,455]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,508 \\ {[4,416]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77,099 \\ {[4,192]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,470 \\ {[4,436]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,888 \\ {[4,268]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,248 \\ {[4,362]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,286 \\ {[4,322]} \end{array}$ |
| Brown Trout | $\begin{array}{r} 45,094 \\ {[3,605]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,164 \\ {[4,182]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,554 \\ {[2,794]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,546 \\ {[3,735]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,398 \\ {[2,695]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49,654 \\ {[2,630]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,093 \\ {[2,160]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,224 \\ {[3,411]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,371 \\ {[2,062]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,187 \\ {[4,362]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,829 \\ {[3,256]} \end{array}$ |
| Brook Trout | $\begin{array}{r} 5,928 \\ {[616]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,661 \\ {[397]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,432 \\ {[458]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,967 \\ {[311]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,482 \\ {[797]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,914 \\ {[332]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 419 \\ {[112]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 299 \\ {[76]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 159 \\ {[40]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 574 \\ {[472]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,484 \\ {[428]} \end{array}$ |
| Lake Trout | $\begin{array}{r} 75,180 \\ {[3,067]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,842 \\ {[3,279]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,854 \\ {[2,504]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,943 \\ {[2,776]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,989 \\ {[2,337]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69,332 \\ {[2,797]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,849 \\ {[1,806]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,954 \\ {[2,371]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82,247 \\ {[3,624]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,819 \\ {[2,168]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,501 \\ {[2,723]} \end{array}$ |
| Total Harvest | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 353,338 \\ {[7,753]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 397,821 \\ {[8,615]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 362,809 \\ {[10,438]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 393,891 \\ {[8,290]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 438,397 \\ {[9,332]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 466,943 \\ {[8,913]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 440,429 \\ {[10,304]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 464,522 \\ {[9,945]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 416,521 \\ {[8,064]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 376,059 \\ {[9,193]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 411,073 \\ {[9,128]} \end{array}$ |
| Harvest Rate | 0.0979 | 0.1103 | 0.098 | 0.1213 | 0.1256 | 0.1426 | 0.1481 | 0.1619 | 0.1451 | 0.1331 | 0.1284 |

Table 3. Estimated harvest and total harvest rate (number per hour, all anglers combined) of yellow perch in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during March through December of 1992 through 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Location |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay | Harvest | 1,275,392 | 775,117 | 1,091,837 | 802,668 | 429,466 | 204,267 | 219,366 | 235,400 | 629,189 |
|  | [stan dev] | [83,981] | [67,693] | [69,029] | [57,516] | [34,274] | [16,429] | [20,528] | [22,037] | [51,952] |
|  | Harvest Rate | 1.073 | 0.6965 | 0.9165 | 0.7442 | 0.4414 | 0.2303 | 0.2422 | 0.2748 | 0.5774 |
| Lake Michigan | Harvest | 959,925 | 545,901 | 289,905 | 246,945 | 95,100 | 31,146 | 37,831 | 33,605 | 280,045 |
|  | [stan dev] | [43,456] | [30,016] | [18,389] | [20,677] | [14,985] | [4,103] | [3,527] | [4,186] | [23,169] |
|  | Harvest Rate | 0.3821 | 0.2557 | 0.1261 | 0.1125 | 0.0475 | 0.0157 | 0.0193 | 0.0171 | 0.1220 |
| Total Harvest |  | 2,235,317 | 1,321,018 | 1,381,742 | 1,049,613 | 524,566 | 235,413 | 257,197 | 269,005 | 909,234 |
|  |  | [94,558] | [74,049] | [71,436] | [61,119] | [37,407] | [16,934] | [20,829] | [22,432] | [56,884] |
| Harvest Rate |  | 0.604 | 0.4067 | 0.3959 | 0.3206 | 0.1764 | 0.0821 | 0.0896 | 0.0952 | 0.2713 |

Table 4. Estimated harvest rate (harvest per hour), harvest and effort for all survey areas and all fishery types for Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | Harvest per Hour | Mar/Apr | May | June | July | August | Sept/Oct | Nov/Dec | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | 0.0199 | 45 | 6,637 | 14,140 | 10,441 | 16,041 | 8,846 | 147 | 56,297 |
|  |  | [22] | [1,221] | [1,344] | [1,844] | [1,087] | [836] | [24] | [2,929] |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.0559 | 0 | 336 | 4,616 | 47,411 | 40,202 | 64,794 | 575 | 157,934 |
|  |  | [0] | [123] | [511] | [3,035] | [2,002] | [4,410] | [17] | [5,740] |
| Rainbow Trout | 0.0298 | 5,115 | 1,044 | 5,965 | 7,550 | 38,336 | 26,130 | 108 | 84,248 |
|  |  | [1,051] | [222] | [945] | [920] | [2,907] | [2,772] | [12] | [4,362] |
| Brown Trout | 0.0132 | 10,299 | 581 | 2,960 | 5,703 | 8,359 | 8,961 | 324 | 37,187 |
|  |  | [2,914] | [176] | [1,220] | [885] | [2,685] | [1,011] | [38] | [4,362] |
| Brook Trout | 0.0002 | 541 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 9 | 574 |
|  |  | [472] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [7] | [472] |
| Lake Trout | 0.0141 | 94 | 5,073 | 7,385 | 14,436 | 8,698 | 4,034 | 99 | 39,819 |
|  |  | [59] | [913] | [690] | [1,449] | [905] | [683] | [0] | [2,168] |
| Splake | 0.0004 | 979 | 74 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,092 |
|  |  | [361] | [60] | [47] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [369] |
| Northern Pike | 0.0019 | 1,027 | 645 | 0 | 635 | 955 | 2,062 | 0 | 5,324 |
|  |  | [391] | [345] | [0] | [629] | [318] | [946] | [0] | [1,289] |
| White Perch | 0.0039 | 0 | 5,498 | 3,499 | 606 | 260 | 1,272 | 0 | 11,135 |
|  |  | [0] | [6,639] | [3,686] | [426] | [182] | [730] | [0] | [7,643] |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0093 | 0 | 3,348 | 4,474 | 9,338 | 6,339 | 2,809 | 0 | 26,308 |
|  |  | [0] | [1,161] | [915] | [2,340] | [1,366] | [955] | [0] | [3,231] |
| Yellow Perch | 0.0952 | 229 | 2,529 | 43,601 | 75,916 | 65,064 | 81,666 | 0 | 269,005 |
|  |  | [161] | [597] | [8,042] | [13,118] | [9,425] | [13,313] | [0] | [22,432] |
| Walleye | 0.0077 | 3,335 | 5,531 | 6,319 | 4,182 | 885 | 1,407 | 0 | 21,659 |
|  |  | [817] | [2,056] | [1,652] | [1,328] | [307] | [615] | [0] | [3,139] |
| Total Harvest | 0.2515 | 21,664 | 31,296 | 92,999 | 176,218 | 185,160 | 201,983 | 1,262 | 710,582 |
|  |  | [3,287] | [7,249] | [9,314] | [14,008] | [10,611] | [14,466] | [51] | [25,850] |
| Angler Hours |  | 243,761 | 224,967 | 421,089 | 777,578 | 546,982 | 605,505 | 5,389 | 2,825,271 |
|  |  | [13,507] | [13,127] | [17,497] | [28,759] | [24,086] | [19,522] | [481] | [49,492] |

Table 5. Estimated harvest rate (harvest per hour), harvest and effort for the boat fishery with all survey areas combined for Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | Harvest per hour | Mar/Apr | May | June | July | August | Sept/Oct | Nov/Dec | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | 0.0250 | 23 | 6,613 | 14,123 | 10,441 | 16,032 | 5,656 | 87 | 52,975 |
|  |  | [0] | [1,221] | [1,344] | [1,844] | [1,087] | [692] | [0] | [2,891] |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.0566 | 0 | 336 | 4,616 | 47,393 | 39,768 | 27,448 | 526 | 120,087 |
|  |  | [0] | [123] | [511] | [3,035] | [2,000] | [2,950] | [0] | [4,711] |
| Rainbow Trout | 0.0365 | 1 | 893 | 5,259 | 7,445 | 38,283 | 25,336 | 81 | 77,328 |
|  |  | [0] | [207] | [800] | [919] | [2,907] | [2,766] | [0] | [4,199] |
| Brown Trout | 0.0120 | 7,715 | 99 | 336 | 4,009 | 8,036 | 5,007 | 190 | 25,392 |
|  |  | [2,888] | [36] | [130] | [829] | [2,684] | [814] | [0] | [4,112] |
| Brook Trout | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| Lake Trout | 0.0188 | 94 | 5,073 | $7,385$ | 14,436 | $8,698$ | 4,012 | 99 | 39,797 |
|  |  | [59] | [913] | [690] | [1,449] | [905] | [683] | [0] | [2,168] |
| Splake | 0.0002 | 512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 512 |
|  |  | [295] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [295] |
| Northern Pike | 0.0024 | 976 | 519 | 0 | 635 | 942 | 2,062 | 0 | 5,134 |
|  |  | [388] | [340] | [0] | [629] | [317] | [946] | [0] | [1,287] |
| White Perch | 0.0010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 | 238 | 1,272 | 0 | 2,116 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [426] | [181] | [730] | [0] | [864] |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0120 | 0 | 3,132 | 4,110 | 9,119 | 6,244 | 2,799 | 0 | 25,404 |
|  |  | [0] | [1,156] | [900] | [2,336] | [1,363] | [955] | [0] | [3,221] |
| Yellow Perch | 0.1148 | 0 | 1,894 | 40,876 | 63,892 | 62,855 | 7,390 | 0 | 243,447 |
|  |  | [0] | [579] | [7,997] | [13,027] | [9,409] | [13,206] | [0] | [22,292] |
| Walleye | 0.0083 | 2,010 | 5,075 | 5,303 | 3,391 | 660 | 1,167 | 0 | 17,606 |
|  |  | [727] | [2,049] | [1,570] | [1,289] | [263] | [578] | [0] | [3,043] |
| Total Harvest | 0.2876 | 11,331 | 23,634 | 82,009 | 161,367 | 181,777 | 148,721 | 983 | 609,822 |
|  |  | [3,018] | [2,893] | [8,393] | [13,916] | [10,594] | [13,966] | [0] | [24,266] |
| Angler Hours |  | 98,925 | 182,263 | 347,556 | 695,003 | 478,375 | 316,245 | 2,143 | 2,120,510 |
|  |  | [10,718] | [12,636 | [15,139] | [28,215] | [23,241] | [15,515] | [0] | [45,615] |

Table 6. Estimated harvest rate (harvest per hour), harvest and effort for the pier fishery with all survey areas combined for Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | per hour | Mar/Apr | May | June | July | August | Sept/Oct | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | 0.0003 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 31 |
|  |  | [0] | [4] | [9] | [0] | [9] | [0] | [14] |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.0172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 265 | 1,841 | 2,124 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [13] | [49] | [344] | [347] |
| Rainbow Trout | 0.0024 | 11 | 21 | 60 | 73 | 53 | 75 | 293 |
|  |  | [11] | [14] | [28] | [34] | [34] | [36] | [68] |
| Brown Trout | 0.0176 | 789 | 36 | 514 | 331 | 149 | 347 | 2,166 |
|  |  | [258] | [18] | [821] | [91] | [57] | [113] | [874] |
| Brook Trout | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| Lake Trout | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| Splake | 0.0035 | 387 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
|  |  | [200] | [0] | [47] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [206] |
| Northern Pike | 0.0007 | 13 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 84 |
|  |  | [12] | [40] | [0] | [0] | [15] | [0] | [44] |
| White Perch | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [15] | [0] | [15] |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0039 | 0 | 60 | 334 | 55 | 26 | 0 | 475 |
|  |  | [0] | [49] | [166] | [56] | [21] | [0] | [183] |
| Yellow Perch | 0.0516 | 229 | 131 | 1,214 | 3,227 | 562 | 1,003 | 6,366 |
|  |  | [161] | [101] | [444] | [815] | [201] | [459] | [1,072] |
| Walleye | 0.0037 | 370 | 12 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 |
|  |  | [161] | [12] | [94] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [187] |
| Total Harvest | 0.1008 | 1,799 | 323 | 2,256 | 3,704 | 1,090 | 3,266 | 12,438 |
|  |  | [398] | [122] | [954] | [823] | [219] | [586] | $[1,467]$ |
| Angler Hours |  | 10,387 | 11,433 | 18,938 | 27,912 | 23,152 | 31,535 | 123,357 |
|  |  | [1,575] | [1,677] | [1,935] | [2,924] | [1,827] | [2,497] | [5,211] |

Table 7. Estimated harvest rate (harvest per hour), harvest and effort for the shore fishery with all survey areas combined for Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | Harvest per hour | Mar/Apr | May | June | July | August | Sept/Oct | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | 0.0044 | 22 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 828 | 869 |
|  |  | [22] | [21] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [204] | [206] |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.0242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 4,651 | 4,820 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [72] | [682] | [686] |
| Rainbow Trout | 0.0023 | 258 | 0 | 91 | 32 | 0 | 84 | 465 |
|  |  | [87] | [0] | [90] | [32] | [0] | [53] | [140] |
| Brown Trout | 0.0328 | 1,412 | 446 | 1,324 | 1,363 | 174 | 1,812 | 6,531 |
|  |  | [259] | [172] | [409] | [296] | [48] | [437] | [738] |
| Brook Trout | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| Lake Trout | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| Splake | 0.0004 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
|  |  | [0] | [60] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [60] |
| Northern Pike | 0.0001 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
|  |  | [0] | [16] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [16] |
| White Perch | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [10] | [0] | [10] |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0008 | 0 | 17 | 30 | 50 | 69 | 0 | 166 |
|  |  | [0] | [14] | [22] | [26] | [74] | [0] | [82] |
| Yellow Perch | 0.0652 | 0 | 92 | 618 | 8,658 | 1,579 | 2,061 | 13,008 |
|  |  | [0] | [95] | [451] | [1,295] | [518] | [573] | [1,577] |
| Walleye | 0.0002 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
|  |  | [0] | [14] | [0] | [26] | [0] | [0] | [29] |
| Total Harvest | 0.1304 | 1,692 | 687 | 2,063 | 10,128 | 2,000 | 9,436 | 26,006 |
|  |  | [274] | [208] | [616] | $[1,329]$ | [530] | [1,014] | [1,891] |
| Angler Hours |  | 23,240 | 10,286 | 16,327 | 37,630 | 27,023 | 84,904 | 199,410 |
|  |  | [1,905] |  | [1,381] | [2,864] | [2,368] |  | [6,833] |

Table 8. Estimated harvest rate (harvest per hour), harvest and effort for the stream fishery with all survey areas combined for Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1999. Standard deviations are in brackets.

| Species | Harvest per hour | Mar/Apr | May | June | July | August | Sept/Oct | Nov/Dec | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Salmon | 0.0063 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,362 | 60 | 2,422 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [423] | [24] | [423] |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.0809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,854 | 49 | 30,903 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [3,188] | [17] | [3,188] |
| Rainbow Trout | 0.0161 | 4,845 | 130 | 555 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 27 | 6,162 |
|  |  | [1,047] | [77] | [495] | [0] | [0] | [157] | [12] | [1,171] |
| Brown Trout | 0.0081 | 383 | 0 | 786 | 0 | 0 | 1,795 | 134 | 3,098 |
|  |  | [148] | [0] | [795] | [0] | [0] | [395] | [38] | [901] |
| Brook Trout | 0.0014 | 541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 550 |
|  |  | [472] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [7] | [472] |
| Lake Trout | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|  |  | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [22] | [0] | [22] |
| Splake | 0.0002 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
|  |  | [59] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [59] |
| Northern Pike | 0.0002 | 38 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
|  |  | [46] | [37] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [58] |
| White Perch | 0.0236 | 0 | 5,498 | 3,499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,997 |
|  |  | [0] | [6,639] | [3,686] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [0] | [7,594] |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0007 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 263 |
|  |  | [0] | [100] | [0] | [118] | [0] | [10] | [0] | [155] |
| Yellow Perch | 0.0162 | 0 | 412 | 893 | 139 | 68 | 4,672 | 0 | 6,184 |
|  |  | [0] | [43] | [561] | [142] | [67] | [1,507] | [0] | [1,617] |
| Walleye | 0.0093 | 955 | 427 | 938 | 766 | 225 | 240 | 0 | 3,551 |
|  |  | [337] | [161] | [503] | [316] | [159] | [210] | [0] | [749] |
| Total Harvest | 0.1631 | 6,842 | 6,652 | 6,671 | 1,019 | 293 | 40,560 | 279 | 62,316 |
|  |  | [1,208] | [6,643] | [3,877] | [366] | [172] | [3,583] | [51] | [8,581] |
| Angler Hours |  | 111,209 | 20,985 | 38,268 | 17,033 | 18,432 | 172,821 | 3,246 | 381,994 |
|  |  | [7,839] | [3,019] | [8,446] | [3,766] | [5,571] | [10,370] |  | [17,172] |

Table 9. Average weight, average length and standard weight of salmonids from Wisconsin's Lake Michigan creel survey, all areas and fishery types combined during 1990 through 1999. std $=$ standard deviation.

| Year | Average weight | $\pm 1$ std | Average length | $\pm 1$ std | Standard weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 4.4919 | 1.9875 | 22.6016 | 3.1850 | 3.9176 |
| 1991 | 4.0689 | 2.4381 | 21.6905 | 3.4396 | 3.9455 |
| 1992 | 4.1493 | 1.8694 | 21.9365 | 3.2360 | 3.8052 |
| 1993 | 3.7333 | 1.7396 | 21.2199 | 2.6774 | 3.9410 |
| 1994 | 3.3084 | 2.2217 | 20.1049 | 3.2844 | 3.8168 |
| 1995 | 3.1598 | 1.9908 | 20.3647 | 3.4795 | 3.6060 |
| 1996 | 4.6377 | 2.0180 | 22.5823 | 3.1358 | 3.8673 |
| 1997 | 3.0543 | 1.4843 | 20.2170 | 2.7918 | 3.5368 |
| 1998 | 3.3491 | 1.6776 | 21.0745 | 2.6494 | 3.5612 |
| 1999 | 7.1347 | 3.6900 | 25.1350 | 5.1092 | 4.2368 |
| Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 9.5136 | 6.5206 | 27.6409 | 7.2053 | 9.8052 |
| 1991 | 8.1385 | 6.5538 | 25.7534 | 6.9683 | 10.2605 |
| 1992 | 10.2518 | 7.2367 | 27.9216 | 7.7320 | 9.8032 |
| 1993 | 10.5038 | 8.3701 | 27.4037 | 8.3374 | 10.1905 |
| 1994 | 10.4453 | 8.3485 | 27.0273 | 8.7192 | 9.9749 |
| 1995 | 9.8882 | 8.1733 | 26.3952 | 8.1126 | 10.4336 |
| 1996 | 8.0482 | 6.7959 | 25.7176 | 7.1099 | 9.7475 |
| 1997 | 9.1569 | 6.2956 | 27.3781 | 6.7461 | 9.7349 |
| 1998 | 9.9393 | 6.1881 | 27.9896 | 6.3117 | 9.8589 |
| 1999 | 12.5209 | 6.0866 | 31.0947 | 5.7288 | 9.9412 |
| Rainbow trout |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6.7851 | 2.9583 | 26.2191 | 4.9188 | 3.8427 |
| 1991 | 6.6434 | 2.7961 | 26.3469 | 4.2241 | 3.8274 |
| 1992 | 7.1852 | 2.8828 | 27.0546 | 4.4753 | 3.7915 |
| 1993 | 6.8907 | 3.4246 | 26.2585 | 4.6655 | 3.5624 |
| 1994 | 6.2132 | 3.0697 | 25.5027 | 4.3581 | 3.8532 |
| 1995 | 6.2328 | 2.9497 | 25.4630 | 4.1472 | 3.8015 |
| 1996 | 6.7903 | 2.8603 | 25.8947 | 4.0637 | 3.8888 |
| 1997 | 6.8474 | 2.8552 | 26.6210 | 4.0443 | 3.7207 |
| 1998 | 6.1913 | 2.6461 | 25.9667 | 3.6238 | 3.5888 |
| 1999 | 7.2340 | 3.3254 | 25.9069 | 5.2089 | 3.9814 |

Table 9 (continued). Average weight, average length and standard weight of salmonids from Wisconsin's Lake Michigan creel survey, all areas and fishery types combined during 1990 through 1999. std = standard deviation.

| Year | Average weight | $\pm 1$ std | Average length | $\pm 1$ std | Standard weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brown trout |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 4.9623 | 2.7813 | 20.3590 | 3.7698 | 4.0124 |
| 1991 | 5.1182 | 2.8120 | 20.5944 | 3.3968 | 4.1454 |
| 1992 | 4.3926 | 2.7494 | 19.7675 | 4.0670 | 3.8560 |
| 1993 | 4.8219 | 2.9352 | 20.3673 | 3.9857 | 3.7333 |
| 1994 | 5.5798 | 3.9554 | 21.1341 | 4.7054 | 3.9035 |
| 1995 | 5.2797 | 3.4391 | 21.1004 | 3.9226 | 3.9589 |
| 1996 | 5.5350 | 3.8506 | 21.1594 | 4.2634 | 3.8506 |
| 1997 | 4.8983 | 2.8484 | 21.1254 | 4.0540 | 3.4188 |
| 1998 | 5.9500 | 3.9901 | 21.9235 | 5.1606 | 3.7211 |
| 1999 | 6.0660 | 3.4702 | 22.1970 | 4.5156 | 3.9397 |
| Brook trout |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 1.3494 | 1.4965 | 13.5329 | 2.2660 | 1.0251 |
| 91 | 3.1302 | 2.8800 | 17.2930 | 3.8984 | 0.7325 |
| 92 | 1.1237 | 1.2872 | 12.6987 | 3.1266 | 0.8676 |
| 93 | 1.3758 | 1.3667 | 13.9435 | 3.6014 | 0.8770 |
| 94 | 1.0979 | 1.2143 | 12.8191 | 2.7277 | 0.8912 |
| 95 | 1.2459 | 1.0356 | 12.9365 | 2.6004 | 1.0370 |
| Lake trout |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 8.8930 | 3.6846 | 28.1648 | 3.3898 | 5.7870 |
| 1991 | 9.3689 | 3.9124 | 28.5284 | 3.5305 | 6.0374 |
| 1992 | 9.0558 | 3.9286 | 28.6493 | 3.4675 | 5.6921 |
| 1993 | 7.7916 | 4.0955 | 26.8924 | 3.8634 | 5.8126 |
| 1994 | 7.1624 | 3.8173 | 26.3183 | 4.0057 | 5.7156 |
| 1995 | 8.7428 | 4.3848 | 27.3754 | 3.9492 | 6.5910 |
| 1996 | 7.5237 | 4.5938 | 26.3436 | 4.8990 | 5.4196 |
| 1997 | 7.3469 | 3.7751 | 26.5681 | 3.9708 | 5.6513 |
| 1998 | 8.4252 | 4.0276 | 27.4861 | 3.8883 | 5.6712 |
| 1999 | 9.2469 | 3.9323 | 28.0260 | 3.8194 | 6.0907 |

Figure 1. Geographical area of the Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan.
(IMAGE NOT AVAILABLE)

Figure 2. Angler-interview form used by Wisconsin DNR to record Lake Michigan creel-survey data.



Figure 3．Catch－record form used by Wisconsin DNR to record Lake Michigan creel－survey data．

Department of Natural Resources
CATCH RECORD FORM
GREAT LAKES CREEL SURVEY
Form 3600－145 Rev．2－97
Survey Site＿＿＿County＿＿＿Clerk $\quad$＿＿＿


| Species | Weight | Length | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fin } \\ & \text { Clip } \end{aligned}$ | Tag Description |  |  | Species | Weight | Length | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fin } \\ & \text { Clip } \end{aligned}$ | Tag Description |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number | Color | Address |  |  |  |  | Number | Color | Address |
|  |  | －－ | －－ | －ーーー | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | － | －－ | －－－ | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4. Fishing effort (angler hours) in Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1990 through 1999.


Figure 5. Trout and salmon harvest and harvest rate from Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan and Green Bay during 1990 through 1999.


Figure 6. Yellow perch angler-harvest and harvest rate from Wisconsin waters of Green Bay and Lake Michigan during 1992 through 1999.



