


ATTACK



TOMORROW WE LIVE	4
PERHAPS THE TIME FOR THINKING IS OVER	14
A PLAN THAT WILL WORK	16
TOTAL STRATEGY	24
BLACKSHIRTS	28
THE ENDGAME	40

So man emerges for the final struggle of the ages the supreme and conscious master of his fate to surmount the destiny that has reduced former civilisations to oblivion even from the annals of time... Man for the first time in human history carries to the crisis of his fate weapons with which he may conquer even destiny. But one compelling necessity remains that he shall win within himself the will to struggle and to conquer. Our creed and our Movement instil in man the heroic attitude to life because he needs heroism... For this shall be the epic generation whose struggle and whose sacrifice shall decide whether man again shall know the dust or whether man at last shall grasp the stars... In that high fate tomorrow we live.

Oswald Mosley *Tomorrow We Live* (1938)



YOU ALREADY WON

A great responsibility has been laid on our shoulders. All men living are right to curse the generation before them, but when all is said and done, and fate finally pours out its judgement on the world, the final question lies with us.

What kind of message did we give?
Were we really honest?

Did we do the best that we could?

Did we warn people the terrible consequences if they did not alter their course?

We can reassure ourselves all we want, in the end we must give account of our leadership. A time will come when we will no longer be able to hide the facts from ourselves – but by that time it will be too late.

Your perspective depends on how you plan to answer our children;

“I don’t know what happened, we hoped for the best but there was nothing we could do, the enemy was too strong, we didn’t fight so we lost our country, it just happened.”

Or

“Those were dark days, but I am proud to say that I was there and others came too. It was a bitter fight but we never lost hope because we had a plan and we had the right idea, so we knew exactly what we were doing. Nothing could stop us”

Our hopes will come true in their world and our ideals will be reality.

Now more than at any other time in generations, the believer is in a position to go on the attack. We are lost on a great myopic ocean of false opinion – and there is only one way out, and that is with a plan everyone can see and understand. While all is still ‘good’ with the world we will be the most despised people on earth, but right now we who are hated are needed more than ever.

Absolute conviction alone will chart us through this rough and unknown ocean. The days of meek apology are over – We will not get the world’s attention by trying to please, but by boldly declaring the truth. We will make ourselves heard not by compromise, but through hard decisions without looking back. We are the faithful soldiers of the National Socialist idea and nothing else – we exist, and continue the battle for the final victory of our race.

THE SPRING WILL COME AGAIN

Think of the grain the farmer plants in the ground during the fall for the next year. How patient that man is compared to us! Throughout the long cold winter the seed is dormant and there is no evidence it is even there at all under the cold earth. The ground freezes and thaws – does the farmer lie awake at night worrying about his seeds? Doubting their effectiveness? He does not, because he knows that spring will come.

So, just as the April sunshine warms the air and rain soaks the ground, so too will men learn to hate Jews. Just as great fields of grain will wave ripe for harvest in the summer breeze, so too will justice reap a bloody harvest and settle the accounts. In the end the farmer’s faith in the seed he planted is fully justified because he has faith in his own abilities; he has the knowledge.

If knowing is half the battle then what more evidence is needed that many today don’t know what they are really doing?

The dominant notion today is that if we talk enough and write enough, nationalist resurgence will just come about according to the same laws as the stock market boom or a winning streak. That kind of attitude is a cowardly impotent hope for miracles, begging like a dog. It is not really playing the game, it is only seeking reward through submission. This is the mentality of a slave, and so slaves are the quality of men we work with today.

It is a pretty good rule that if everyone is saying something then it is probably not true; or, if it does have essential truth to it, it has been so distorted by a false emphasis that is it lost on its originator. And such I believe, is much of the resurgence talk we hear today.

The future will not be ours at all if we don’t first risk believing that victory is possible and begin sowing the seed. We must keep the faith and put in the hard work today so that we can reap the harvest tomorrow – *when we see the storms of this wild age reflected in the eyes of our children. Let us act so that we will earn their eternal blessings, not their curses.*

NOW IS THE TIME TO HASH THINGS OUT

To further a specific goal you must have faith, which comes only from the knowledge that you are working solely towards that end – you must become a living instrument of a well founded belief.

Household appliances can perform all kinds of tasks but without the inflow of an electrical current and an enabler who knows what he is doing they are useless lumps of metal and plastic unable to function and serve. Potential that is wasted is no potential at all. Appliances don’t work until power and effort is applied from a dynamic outside source, and the same applies to people – they have to actually be used with a purpose in mind. We must not be dependent on abilities, but liberated from them; All the natural gifts in the world, all the intelligence and brawn amount to nothing if they are not animated by a properly thought out idea which provides the knowledge to put them to the right use. Today there are far too many who think they have a right to talk, to be creative, some of them even feel called upon to ‘lead’ – but in the majority of cases where they are not energised by the right belief, these men might as well have done nothing. Some misguided individuals feel that they must preserve harmony at any cost, so they do everything possible to reduce friction. They generate no heat because they have no energy – friction is the concomitant of motion. There is only one human society that has no problems – a graveyard; the dead have no difference of opinion. For many sycophants this kind of situation where everybody is free from criticism is the ideal, but the penalty is sterility and lack of achievement. If we are going down that road, let us at least recognise and question it. It should be expected that a living and expanding movement has a certain quota of difficulties as the result of its life and activity. Problems are the price of progress, kick the hugbox and I promise you victory. Trouble will make us strong, compromise will make us weak – lets avoid unpleasant regrets by building something that will last.



And as dark as this hour is, it's not as dark as some of the hours you have known in European History, when everything was cowardice, treachery, and betrayal. And when the Saracen hordes from far outside Europe swept right across that continent and would have come on over our own Britain too if they hadn't been stopped, and it didn't only happen once, it has happened more than once. Small bands of men came together in resolution, in absolute determination, giving themselves completely and saying Europe shall live - and stood firm and faced the menace to Europe, its values, its civilization, the glory of its achievements, all these things in mortal danger. And they stood firm they faced it. They came together and more and more rallied to their standards, and those hordes were thrown back. AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN OUR EUROPE LIVED IN TRIUMPH BECAUSE THE WILL OF EUROPE STILL ENDURED!

Oswald Mosley *Make Europe A Nation* (Speech 1964)

TOMORROW WE LIVE

Sometimes there are certain truths which are so completely obvious that the average person does not recognize them. A man can pass blindly by obvious truths and be utterly astonished when someone suddenly discovers a fact that everybody should have already known. On the basis that a fact can become so obvious in retrospect great realisations can be easily reached by great numbers of people.

The years have been filled with so much regret - errors have been made as to what was actually necessary to save this Nation and others, but when it comes to the most important question we need to ask; 'why have nationalist movements consistently failed to not only make breakthroughs, but often have made no lasting effect at all?' - Since the war we have been blundering into one tragic fiasco after the other, and with a few exceptions it has been getting worse not better. There are many events and opportunities that are going to present themselves in the coming years but we are not ready for them. There are millions of us globally, but the infrastructure isn't there, and the people are not on our side. We know it too, and that is why in any other instance making these assertions would be extremely arrogant, because most people are actually intelligent enough to make these observations themselves. Anyone with something to share is told that he needs to take his snake oil to the back of the long shuffling line.



It is very fashionable today to give an appearance of being analytical and proactive without actually providing constructive solutions or having any kind of workable plan, and grappling with a major issue is a

fantastic way of appearing significant and inflating already bloated pseudo intellectuals. 'Provocative' pessimistic observations such as these require no real understanding, and don't even scratch the surface.



NOT WITH A BANG BUT A WHIMPER

Defeat is one legitimate outcome for us - There have been defeats and tragedies in the past, but when that happened we still formed the line, and went down with the cry of 'no surrender' - generations of people could sustain that cry, waiting, until their day of liberation came. Even a defeated people are still a people, the fundamentals don't change - the enemy is known, the aims are adapted to new conditions, but they operate on the same cause that determined the original war aims. Here the dynamic is clear, and so there was an operational basis on how to organise and fight back. Let nobody talk about 'defeat' until we understand what a *defeat* is.

Likewise when we talk about what a '*cause*' is we have to come to the following conclusion; it is something that is based on deep significance that can take everything and divide it up into ultimate truth and ultimate lies - a dynamic that is clear to everyone. If there is no such cause, then that magnetic world view must be created, built directly from the already existing nascent urges and different elements that are present in society - and to provide a clear context for them that moulds them into a politically charged and unified idea.

What has happened to us is that we have been deracinated - ripped out by the roots - in so many ways to the point of which we are unable to think and exist. Clarity of thought and action should have been easy for us if we had been *alive* - as it stands then and now we are dead. How else can you describe it? The ability to think, the ability to feel, the ability to plan ahead - all these things have been gone for a long time because we have turned our back on our principles that may have given us the means to achieve our ends. Nobody has ever had an actual *plan!* We have been asleep on the job, we have been on cruise control. A death sleep has crept into the movement like a cancer and boiled away until nothing was left but a husk. We have accepted an inversion of values to the point that we are now going down without a shot being fired. The coming horrors flashing before

our eyes - the white man is held in stupor - he's down on the wire, squealing like a pig at the slaughter.

What are you going to do white man? When is the time to stand up?

If we continue to babble and bleed, we may never recover our sense of perspective, and we will let the last window of opportunity pass us by.

OPTIMISM IS COWARDICE

What is common to all present plans, mentalities, and ideals as they are presented, whether they are coming out of White nationalist communities, New Right 'think tanks', or whatever, is that the proposal is limited to what we can achieve as individuals: Material input ('eg' capital, effort) for material output ('eg' votes, seats) - Without a clear growth element in this cycle the idea of victory becomes infeasible, and the demoralising effect has created a culture of powerlessness in which the only sane option is to avert from reality as much as possible. When we ask important questions the excuses are all circumstantial with no serious reflection. For example; if only the right *thing* had happened, *if only* we had a united front, *if only* we had the money, *if only* something had happened or *it* had been stopped. Solutions by implication are wish fulfilment. This is not a string of coincidences, it is clearly a consistent pattern of denial - but people will believe it, and by doing so, they close themselves to addressing these problems and actively thinking about how we can overcome them.

Those who don't believe victory is possible - make it impossible, and the same can be said for today's 'optimists' who in reality would come to the same conclusion as the pessimist if they were confronted with the truth. They trade one form of pessimism for another, and exploitative personalities with their insincere ideas feed on desperation by offering false hope. People who fight for ridiculous beliefs are victims because misplaced passions are easily led - and they feel lost. These false images are a valve to keep you locked in a prison of your own making.

Feeding into this kind of optimism just keeps you spinning your wheels and only prevents you from fulfilling your genuine role. For us it is just like rejecting the pessimist line. If we accept that we have lost this fight, then doing nothing becomes our only option, and their history becomes truth. So what do we have to lose by fighting? - *Except*, lets really fight this time. Because you know what - what if the enemy isn't as strong as he thinks he is and this system we find ourselves in is all one big pokerface? Nobody will believe you if you see that the future is bright because people have spent their time trying to be overly clever - when there is really nothing more stupid than taking a very simple premise and weighing it down in complexity. If somebody isn't addressing the root problem, then they haven't actually added anything of substance, just bulked it out - it's just another scam. You don't have to be smart to get the truth; it is something that is felt. I feel that we have a great power and all the means available to us not only to rebuild our nations, but to go to the stars.

What is being proposed here is a solution that is practical and easily understood, and it is based on an already time tested and proven method. All that is will take is one thing - a single leap of moral courage. Moral courage to acknowledge truth when we see it and to take it to its logical conclusion. All of our problems lie in cowardice; our leaders have been backing down so long as there has been room to retreat - we need to put their backs to the wall and make them fight for their miserable lives. We are done mincing our words, now we need something that flames the blood and fans the honour. Now is the time to put things right. We need a catharsis - a genuine examination of issues through a deep and searching moral inventory. Friends and good ideas are nice, but they are a dime a dozen and cannot be put to use. In the end the rights and wrongs amount to nothing if they are never realised in a fight - where all truth is qualified. If you are chasing the truth it is at the end of the road because it will be truth alone that can take us down that path. In the battle for truth there can be no substitute.



THE STRONG MAN IS MIGHTIEST ALONE

The nationalist trade is a racket that is ridden with the most censorial, hypocritical, and gutless slime – who in point of fact should be the last people to be trusted with putting any solutions before the public. It is going to be inevitably objected to by some that an all or nothing outlook is divisive and at a time of such acute weakness - what we must ask ourselves is; does it in the long run make for greater strength to carry the burden of weakness?

The erroneous belief that compromise and unity between different groups will result in an increase in strength has been disproved. For decades we have waited under the illusion that just around the corner all the patriots are going to come together in a big group hug that will coddle us together into the next big thing. The ‘movement’ is a dog’s dinner.

Only with a strong commitment to ideals that tells us where we want to go, can we hope to find the right strategy and overcome adversity. That doesn’t mean we can’t be constantly innovative and flexible in our tactics – but our ideals must be strong. In recent years especially we have seen the deterioration of a strong, single, nationalist ideal into more and more political subdivisions which are multiplying at ever increasing rates; it is of note that all of these groups INSIST on their own distinctiveness, yet they are full of compromise.

The stunning contradiction is that they always advocate banding these diverse elements together in a ‘fourth way’. It is because they know deep down that their ideology is based in ego - everybody gets off where they are comfortable; personalised, individualised, base preference – the truth: all relations and political partnerships that come out of this are alliances of ego in the defence of nothing but individual ego as a defence mechanism against anything that argues for a single cause, that is the real fratricide, and it is to them that we can ascribe the term ‘nationalist disunity’. The ‘divide and conquer’ charge can be thrown out, because for all intents and purposes we are already divided.

We must begin to work for ourselves - attempts at jerry rigging Nationalism with other causes and ideas, do so in the knowledge that it will be adhered to by nationalists and nationalists alone. In the future there will be no more of these ‘little parties’ and ‘subdivisions’ – a legitimate movement must be a clean break with independent agency – the only division will be between those who are with us all the way – and the deadwood.

The modern political movement is about absolute loyalty to a single cause – it is rooted in this. The first step that we can take to ensure that members commit that loyalty is the existence of a cause that is worthy of this commitment. Our primary aim must be to nurture a powerful nationalism that is both strong in its principles and at the same time ‘generic’ for all practical purposes – For this we have to feel around for the existing elements that could make up such an identity, and when we do dust off the old flag we must ensure to give it a new meaning. OUR nationalism must be new, unlike any other, that cuts across divisions with its sheer visionary will; It must cut across ages, it must be a unified concept of the nation from its earliest past to a vision of the future – for which will be needed an ideology strong enough to last a thousand years. In short, nationalism must be universally easy to understand and understood as so great that it will be acted on – not another little ism, but pure infallibility, the one nationalism everyone will come to know, one to which the entire nation will bow. Great principles are the foundational principle that make generic nationalism possible because they have hardcore commitment behind them which means power to shape the world and have the people follow it. We can only give expression to the people’s inner desires, needs, fears, hatreds, passions, etc by transforming them into a splendid reality. I have always held that what produces results is best, because ideas do not exist in a vacuum but are in a dialectical relationship to people – the ability of an idea to instantly inspire action or creativity in an individual or the idea that has gained active adherents relative to its resources.

Isn’t an idea that is out fighting and winning hearts and minds by its actions and examples, earning its daily bread? There may well be a major flaw, but if we are objective doesn’t it indicate that in one aspect it at least, it represents a great truth, because it has its finger on the pulse?

What is the justification for an idea if not its practical success? If practical success is how goals are met then what other criteria really matter? The meaning of this rhetoric is not grasped by those who reject everything they don’t like on principle whether it is because they think it is simplistic, unpopular, unworkable, undignified, or simply does not accord with their own personal preferences or comforts – but what right in the world do any of them have to complain if they are no more successful than what is being rejected?

PLASTIC PATRIOTISM

All right wing amateurs assume they are experts in mass psychology and public relations which is why right wing propaganda today isn’t striking a chord and appears so out of touch on what are key issues. Even if what the patriot says is true, it will fail because they base everything on the assumption that waving the flag is some kind of magic solution that will get exactly the same kind of response that it got in 1914 and 1939 when Europeans were willing to fight for their honour. Our continued use of this undermines it further, patriotism is hollow, people are embarrassed, and the dead are insulted when their memory is evoked in this way.

They are appealing to a patriotic spirit that just doesn’t exist anymore because for the most part they are appealing to a traditional belief in authority that has been all but destroyed. The authority of state tradition was rudely torn up when a gang of long haired gender benders, creeps, crooks, and thieves ransacked the country and dragged the colours in the mud – the nation tolerates treason and is run by traitors. They took over state instruments that were brought into creation for the protection of the people and used them for the exact opposite!



Today the Union jack is flown as a proud trophy from the buildings of the enemy - the symbols of the old state really are beautiful, but they are everywhere - and what meaning do they have if it doesn't beat inside a nationalist heart? Like nationalism itself the value of symbols that used to be implicit to our people are being brought into question and our leaders have tried to give them a new meaning. This is very important.

A Nationalist world concept doctrine cannot fight and win if it allows for the unlimited freedom of anyone to interpret the meaning of the symbols it uses. It can only fight and win if it is consolidated under the banner of a great *new idea* with a single interpretation implemented by willing believers. People, if they are going to fight, want to know they are fighting for a vision and a future that is unshakable - that is uncompromising and won't be betrayed. Relying on old symbols and ideas alone cannot carry authority unless they are in support of an entirely New Order whose proponents are consistent, strong, brave, and trusted. The right, if it is to win, must become revolution - an open conspiracy to topple the system. We need something new, added to the mix that will make us *complete* - that makes thought into action, that makes us fight.

What has brought success to the internationalists is they understood they could dominate the people and so could commit to a clear set of ideals that have been maintained and organised as an offensive attack against the old order. All they had to do was to apply already existing idealism and target it at the weak elements of an already decaying society. What we might call 'liberation theology' has been the basis of every method of social control and change from the civil rights movement to the Arab spring - and that thesis has been implemented by every agent of the state with total confidence in its righteousness even when using brutal force and coercive power against world populations. These people are beyond criticism or reproach - and they cannot be rightly called hypocrites because they are consistent to their values.

For a nationalist movement people are the most important resource. We are nationalists fighting for the people - we call our movements 'people's movements' and it is for them and their posterity that we struggle. We fight with the blood of our people against all the gold of high finance - blood that is passive in this fight is blood that is lost to us and the future of our people - we need lots of blood, even if we are only asking for it in the form of support. People are absolutely central to us but it seems like no group understands the people less than nationalist right wingers.

It is received political wisdom from all respectable nationalists that the modern nationalist party should be populist in a safe right wing patriotic way. The party must be built as a reflection of the people and tailored to their popular values, understanding, and attitudes. When you actually look at the facts this is absolutely ludicrous and backwards reasoning; Our people are leaderless, weak, ashamed, and are being destroyed - and we are to assume that these same people are going to pull themselves up by their boot straps? That is what is implicitly implied when a typical nationalist politician says that 'we need the people on our side, so we have to limit ourselves to what the ordinary people are comfortable with' - but that desire for *comfort* that underpins modern nationalism contains the same poisonous seed which is the very thing keeping the people weak. Softpedalling the 'nasty stuff' on principle does not correspond to a greater standing and reception from the public. Comfort is more important to the people than their survival and so when nationalists re-enforce that, they should not be surprised to find that the people will opt for a more moderate option if it is available.

Parties today are set up on that premise believe that the path to victory is in the popular attitudes of the people. Those who believe in this kind of populism are proving themselves wrong every single time. That some residual patriotism exists in millions has not stopped one of the thousands of diseases now destroying them, and the victory of the elites that rule over them.

If nationalism existed in a clear way in their heart - something they really believed in that set a moral compass- the people would have already won a great victory and would not be facing annihilation - they never would have allowed any of it, they would have risen up in all their righteous indignation at the first insult to put an end to the pushiness of their arrogant leaders at the end of the noose - they would have already built the 'people's state' and none of this would be necessary.

The 'soft tactic' principle is based on a flawed assertion that we stand on the edge of this People's State, because as their slogan says "it is time to stand up and be counted" and that the people would be behind us if only we made ourselves respectable and acceptable to the people - This is not true because people deny any nationalist principles in their daily lives when they carry on doing nothing - they are electing the same corrupt leaders, and not only that, they are justifying it to themselves without a bad conscience.

Many times in the last century in every western country there have been men of great principle who saw what was happening and stood up for the people and asked them if this is really what they wanted and tried to lead them - only to be ignored and cast aside. Every time one of these brave men stood up for the little man, how did the little man repay him? With apathy - the people agreed, but they stayed quiet and allowed for things to happen all the same, allowing for the system to adjust and react. The crucifixion of all those leaders and the principles for which they stood serves as a reminder to all those today of the real metal of our people - who even today scorn the memory of those who accurately painted the future in which they find themselves. The men of the past were ignored by most of the population because people are fundamentally passive and it would have meant acknowledging there was a problem - Just as is easy to believe the population was cruelly and cunningly subverted; forced to accept the system like they never even had any real choice in the matter. We live in a democracy - the people have had their go at self government and blown it.



Are the people to blame? No, although for nationalists that is the only conclusion when the people fail to meet their expectations – so they are always making excuses, and they lie about the people to justify their existence. Nationalist optimism is really a movement of despair – and that might explain why they can't make an impression on the people – deep down they don't believe there is hope and the people sense it. Condemn the people all you want, blame democracy, but what sort of example have they ever had to follow? The leadership must take its share of the blame. If you really love your people and you genuinely care then you must have the courage to accept them for what they are and recognise what is wrong with them so you can help them. We all need a bit of cold hard reality – and we owe it to the people to stop being so pathetic and treat them realistically for the first time. The right wing view doesn't reflect the reality with most people; judging by the people's attitude to their own self preservation today the people cannot be leaned on, they have to be pushed. Where other leaders failed in the past is where they believed they could reform the system – they were men on the inside. Our freedom can only be won by a revolution, and for that we must take radical measures.

A CASE FOR FASCISM

Nobody has ever gotten anywhere by being '*moderate*'.

Nobody has ever gotten anywhere by being '*nice*'.

Nobody has ever gotten anywhere by being '*intellectual*'.

Nobody has ever gotten anywhere by being '*respectable*'.

Men and movements got to where they did by one way and one way only. It is what the enemy calls Fascism, and so far as I have ever found it is the only thing that has ever worked.

Just looking at it objectively the patriots in these two countries Germany and Italy used this method, this *thing*, and with it they kicked communism and took power all by themselves.

Deep down isn't that what we want? *Only done right this time.*

They did not fold, they made friends only on their own terms and they never bargained with their nation's enemies. That in itself is *admirable*. The fascists demanded and got full state power took power and saved their people – and the people loved them for it.

FASCISM™ "KILLS COMMUNISM DEAD!"

Is the world's most internationally recognised brand for cleansing the nation of all enemies – Fascists were the greatest professionals who ever lived, and they alone would be up to the immense challenge we face today, but most movement people seem to make a point of criticising or ignoring fascism, when, for almost anything in life these same people use a qualified professional. When they are in court they use an experienced lawyer. When they are hurt they see an experienced doctor. When they want something built they get a experienced architect. But when it comes to politics they automatically assume they are experts – they build their own, entirely new, amateur platform constructed from their own personal preferences. Nobody can just accept better they have to do it their own way. This is what everybody is doing, and if you think this is wrong then look at the present situation today.

The charge that fascism is a failure is found in the lamentation that it is '*misunderstood*' when it is understood perfectly by the system. That it continues to be so hated more than anything else by this sick and diseased world is all the evidence we need to prove that it was right. Fascism was defeated once and the whole world was covered in filth – everything we warned about the collapse of the bourgeois white world happened just as Hitler and Mosley had predicted decades before. Say whatever you want about fascism – now that the old system is dead fascism represents the logical outcome of the torment that has been placed on our civilization and the manifestation of rage building up in a people who are struggling to exist.

Other '*exceptionalists*' call fascism irrelevant, but that very premise of the entire modern movement lives under the towering fascist ghost. Even if they were completely successful in convincing people of their position – it must be in relation to fascism; the best response they can hope for is "*I have understood what you are, I agree with you, but most of all – at least you are not a fascist, that would be terrible*". The result of such cowardly tactics is that no perception has fundamentally changed, which is the requirement of a confident and coherent movement that has the people behind it. Entire belief systems have been set up as *alternatives* to fascism, – really; as long as the name doesn't include 'Fascist' or 'Nazi' it has been used with world changing ambitions, so that it might be accepted as a cunning replacement to fascism - which would somehow be forgotten. I often wonder why such people could not undertake an easier task such as taking all water to the sea.

They think they can win the rigged game if they don't put their chips on the table – the effect this kind of behaviour has on the public is appalling. Their strategy is the rejection of the very thing upon which the idea of victory depends – so from the outset it reinforces denial of the people ever having strength, with this example is a meek and cowardly response not expected? For what is this gamble worth? It is so they can plead to their opponents that they will be so kind as to not harass them with the fascist thing, and for both sides to give respective viewpoints and for the best idea to win – the respectable approach, because you can't win people without respectability. It is like they don't seem to comprehend the enemy doesn't want that – they only want to win and to see us crushed.

You don't play a rigged game and complain when you lose -you have to call out the cheaters at the very start. Taking what the enemy throws at you is a game changer because it gives you the freedom to change the whole dynamics and begin a new type of game. Instead of our lies backfiring on us, I want their lies to backfire on them.



When people come expecting the worst of us and we live up to those expectations yet, at the same time they find us reasonable then that is how you shift attitudes. I believe that the magnetic courage of a masculine, straight-forward style of presentation and leadership will attract thousands, and finally millions to the great idea that made it possible – because that idea had a power that stood and fought. This does not in itself necessitate the specific use of *Fascist* and *Nazi*, although there are instances where even this may be advantageous. If we go by other names then we must always remember that they will not serve as any kind of deception. We cannot actively fight for our people in any real way without proudly accepting what Fascism and National Socialism stood for and to understand that they too fought for our values.

MOVING THE MASSES

Fascism is based on the understanding that ultimate power does not rest in *politics*, it lies in the great unthinking masses of ordinary people. These ordinary people don't care about the *facts* or presentation, they are not won by argument – they are won by *the power and dynamics of force*, not reason. The people *hate* weakness, especially in their leaders, but they *love* strength, even when it oppresses them. Strength carries the people and the outrage that comes with it is felt only by the sobbing and crushed enemy who deserves to be crushed. Our enemy understands this, not once have they gotten down to the level of our people, but ruled over them with hateful arrogance. They do what they want and they do not care what outrage they cause, for if they did it would expose their own weakness and self doubt. We know that our enemy understands the source of all his power because he defends it with its life and will carry on *believing* until the end, no matter what – That is true faith, that is a unified world concept, that is the true and only meaning of leadership, It is that belief in a cause that is the essence of political leadership and it bears reminding that we too are defeated only at the moment of doubt.

Our political faith must be a force to move the people and we must be thankful that the enemy have taken this stance by accepting nothing less than all out war. With the rising intolerance of the system as it pushes for total domination, the future will give rise to radicalisation because to be in the fight at all requires an all or nothing attitude - therefore this will be a fight between two diametrically opposed world concepts which are destined to crush everything else in between them as they grind.

Because this strategy is based on playing the system's politics the solution of the modern right winger is to fight with his wealth and throw money at the problem – he sets up political parties, societies, publishing houses. He brings a mercantile attitude and will try and make a living off it – He sees only money because whatever he says it becomes the only means, end, and goal. There has never been a case in such instances where a business did not ultimately come before the cause, because in the end the static venture corrupts personal ethics away from the diametrically opposite fluid risk taking and adaptive spirit needed to chase a long term goal. No more mucking around.

The strength I believe needs to be cultivated is a more primitive strength, *but it is the strength that is to life germane, it is the strength that is stronger than wealth, and that wealth cannot take away. Our strength is in our muscles, in our hands to cast ballots, in our fingers to pull triggers.* This strength we cannot be stripped of. Wealth is detachable, it can be taken away and is being taken away – any time we get an organisation together the system changes the law and tries to bankrupt it. Party after party dragged through the courtroom, party headquarters collected like trophies by 'human rights' lawyers. The respectable attitude believes in playing the system by its own values – but gets played instead; even now the political class is taking away what little rights we have – and they have, even moderate opposition to Islam is criminalised and the race relations act finished free speech in this country years ago..

Every minority group in the land had squashed discussion on equality - the majority living beneath the yoke of the minority. Only the bastard sons of truth walk and rule this land now.

In the end either us or the system will take it all away from you and then you will cease to be a political force, you will be crushed between the millstones of history. You will drop to our level you will become fascists. And the beauty of it is that you will then add to our strength. We will hail you brothers, and we will fight shoulder to shoulder – you will forget yourself and everything under the weight of historical events. You talk now about how tolerant you are, but the enemy will stretch your tolerance until it breaks – you make promises now how, 'if the system made a deal with you, you would be liberal and humane' – but in the future you will participating in the burning of ghettos, The execution of traitors, and the rounding up of social undesirables. You will do these things because history has decided *and everyone knows it.*

There is a big fight coming – and saying that is as much a prediction as saying that the Titanic is going to sink after it hit the iceberg – the question here is not even exactly what will happen and how many will be lost, but whether we can instil in our people the sense of meaning that will make them stand and fight. When we look at fascism we must look behind the uniform, because it is not just about style – we must bring back the type of men who wore those uniforms.

Fascism produced people who were willing to fight – who is really willing to fight for anything today? I mean really believe in anything enough to face hardship for it? Muslims are an example, they strap bombs to themselves. In our case though most of our people are for whatever reason unable commit perfectly legal acts like voting for nationalist parties – and nationalist activists are unable to hold open meetings and speak the truth. If the health of a people is judged by how vigorously they defend their right to existence then we need to find things that make them do that. Our problem is not a lack of self restraint!



Self restraint is what underpins the modern nationalist movement; dignity, respectability, appeasement. It is prejudice against fascism and this prejudice is underpinned by cowardice – there are no reasonable explanations for its scathing opposition other than fascism remains an obstacle to their tomfoolery. That fascism is illegitimate because it is not the happy centre is as flawed as (and similar to) the assertion that it is a bad thing to be an ‘extremist’. This is really strange when you think about it – what objectively is so wrong with being ‘extreme’? What if I am extremely smart or good looking or *extreme* in my charity and enthusiasm? If current practice of failure is anything to go by, extreme just seems to be reasonable.

Why are we so busy trying to stop our people from carrying out the defence of their nation to the fullest extent? They sure don’t mind when the enemy is extreme, or they might as well, for all the good that is done when they object to anything and it falls on deaf ears. Right wing whining falls on deaf ears because they surrender their only weapons, and with it, the moral right to complain. This truth is evidenced by the fact right wing whining never achieved anything in the history of ever – they complain, they get angry and indignant at the daily insult, but never reaches above a whisper. Our opponents, even though they are the portion of the population that should be considered deliberately evil, are armed with a sort of *confidence* which our nationalists do not seem to be able to comprehend; it is a confidence that stems from a deeply held conviction.

THERE IS NO ROOM FOR MORAL RELATIVISM

It is such a basic issue when you think about it – Our central issue is the survival of our people, and the great debate of our age that is being fought everywhere is ‘why should Europeans survive?’. It is like being asked why *good* is better than *evil* – in the past we took it for granted until evil men started asking the question, and when we couldn’t reply, they answered for us –

and their answer is the only one the people ever hear; every day in the newspapers, the classroom, on TV, etc.

Before the answer to life came from instinct – but really in order to answer why good is better than evil, you need to have a moral or religious conviction in order to give that kind of answer; Good must take on a sacred meaning, Evil must be utterly profane.

Make no mistake, the enemy is *evil* – they are waging an open war against the people and it is being waged with what can only be an absolute prejudice. Mass Immigration, multiculturalism, political correctness, usury – all the destructive things that are ruining lives of ordinary people, serve no purpose to them in that our elites get nothing from it personally, and in the long run they risk the consequences of the society they created. Only when you begin to understand this hatred can you really conceive what we are up against – and that *needs* to change. The enemy takes the risk and are destroying the world not for material benefit but because they believe it is right and need to fill their moral needs; our enemy believes that healthy and normal European society is ‘evil’, and because it is consistent, it believes this ‘evil’ must be wiped off the face of the earth. Therefore, we can say the same for us, that if you believe the destruction of our people is evil, then it is your moral obligation to fight that evil with whatever is necessary until it has been destroyed.

This flies in the face of everything we are told about being part of the political system, the assumption that the ‘middle road’ is always best. On the face of it this common assertion is not only fundamentally unscientific, it is baseless because political fact may call for any position – The fact right now is that we are in a massive fight where two colliding ideas are smashing into each other – completely the opposite. Instead the right and our people hold tolerance as a higher virtue – and what they get is predictable and deserved.

CRUEL WORLD

For every individual Briton as well as for our national existence, the solemn hour is now striking; and one side armed with a developed systematic ideal is driving its people to a relentless assault on a passive and already decaying people. On the side that opposes this and wants to defend society there is nothing, for we have no weapons to fight with. If the right were forced on the field tomorrow then such a fight would be an absolutely ridiculous.

Question the motives of those who only want things to be ‘nice’, and refuse to stand up for themselves. Why is it exactly that respectable right wingers refuse to fight? Why do they sit and take the beating? Why is the only thing they care about acceptance, even when it is plain lunacy? I’ll tell you why - it is because it is only in a fight that you are tested, when they are forced to stand up for themselves it is easily see if they are good at it or not. Fighting and failing reminds people that they have flaws, and that doesn’t compute with them, because in their own minds they are saviours of the white race. These people can never bear the banners of the people’s movement because they do not hate their enemies enough to justify fighting for their nation, so they do not truly love their people. Whatever the justification – always question the motivations of the man who will not fight.

This is a society marked for extinction – even the right can no longer deny that we are surrounded by corruption and evil – and it is their fault. Yet even now, they still don’t want to fight against it and tell the truth to the people – to be a real example to them. I would give my life for a leader if he came tomorrow – a fated man within the power structure itself; for example an Officer or Royal who might have genuinely cared for the people, and because he pitied them became disgusted with the parasitic usurers draining the life of the nation – and intervened by force to save that nation and take it into his hands.



If this happened tomorrow, not just myself and those like me, but millions of people would join together with him. It would be euphoric. Fifty years of liberalism would melt away and all the frustrations of the people would wash through the cities and flush the yesterday men into the gutter.

That is a *nice idea*, but this won't happen, and there is a reason. A *nice idea* is always self-deceit because it is nothing more than a magic solution that takes all our responsibilities away, it is disgusting cowardice when you think about it; Whether it is delusional optimism for a political party's 'guaranteed success', or a moment when the people suddenly have enough and "demand a nice idea happen!". To a lesser extent this is the same thinking which is responsible for all the delusions and contradictions I have discussed, the whole movement is based on the premise of wish fulfilment which is why it has never come close to working. Instead the movement has been engaging in a lot of wishful thinking – thinking with the dumb warm fuzzy lobe in its lizard brain. It is possible for people to reach eccentric positions through reason, but more often than not simple human cowardice is a better explanation than convenient high minded realisation.

WHAT IS RESPECT?

We must not fool ourselves – our leaders are worthless when it comes to any dignified task simply because it maintains no values. Appealing to popular attitudes on principle is acting outside of conviction – It is based on the erroneous idea that the more concerns that can be stapled on to the 'programme' of a political party, the stronger the platform will be – when really the more that is piled on the more bogged down they become – because the core emphasis is lost. For nationalists craving public acceptance the temptation has always been to adopt the 'values' of modern political life – the enemy, especially if those slogans can be reinterpreted to carry a new meaning in a way that seems consistent with us.

Every day it is human cowardice that accepts this reasoning easily if it gives justification for their position, even though they are following in the footsteps of a criminal enemy who have already taken these ideals to their logical conclusions, and so 'own it'. They can only see the 'because' rather than 'in spite' of the fact that these public images have already been established as 'accepted' - Words like 'Freedom' for example sound nice, and by appropriating accepted terminology it is believed that a smart move has been made, indeed the originators of this policy take a great snobbish pride in this as 'very dignified'. This is psychologically retarded. They believe that 'moderation' is the most important thing, and any sceptic is an 'extremist' making a point of denouncing 'both sides'. Being 'reasonable' in their opinion is the key to success and so those who oppose the system must be sacrificed. This is 'moderation' in action – and reflected by their adoption of the very thing that is killing the people. They are system tools hook line and sinker; their reward? To have their weak positions (that they sold us out for) humiliated and destroyed in front of the public, but enough to have them left artificially afloat to stop others rocking the boat. This is the source of the rush for moderation – it is to get on the gravy train, and they admit it themselves through their treachery.

Not only are they lacking in public relations – they don't have a clue about what they are up against. They don't realise they are fighting what is essentially a faith, which like all religions demands total adherence and cannot be bargained with. The conduct of the right wing, its respectfulness, only serves to legitimise our enemy in the eyes of the people. The respectable /controlled opposition gets in the way of the cure and without knowing who they were really working for. Many join out of weakness, bending to the will of artificially created currents created by our enemy, and if they are adept at anything it is picking their fights by controlling these currents.

Our enemy very cleverly and cynically goes on an all out offensive to challenge the most basic of concepts – as far away from anything that might question the legitimacy of liberalism as possible. If a right winger makes a point, then it has been forgotten by the time he has fought off all the attacks on his precious credibility.

This is only made possible by his own sickness that makes him surrender what might have been his only defence. This way our enemy can lose every debate if they need to, it doesn't matter because none of these battles ever seriously threatens their interests, on the other side all the strength of opposition have been sapped in the pointless fight. The Enemy picks their battles by picking their opponents; if they can use a respectable conservative, they will, over some other less respectable right winger. The 'no platform' policy even today stipulates specifically that Fascists are not to be given any speech – because it is the only thing they fear. It is very clear that there is an ideological hierarchy in the movement where the most opposed to fascist methods are the least consistent and perform the worst. The more consistent and successful you get, the closer you come to fascism.

Our leaders are incapable of publicly addressing unpleasant facts. How does the whole movement from top to bottom treat the outrage of everyday stories that expectantly gloat how the end of our people is near? With action? When terrible things happen it troubles them – but *only* troubles them, like the tut-tutting over a Daily Mail article. Just as the desire for something is not itself enough to make it into a reality -They know in their hearts it is wrong but lack the firm moral basis on which to justify taking some short term trouble for a future because without our sights fixed on the eternity of a world view we will give in to the moment. By definition those who demand certainty of success will not fight for fear for defeat. No political programme can succeed unless it is tied to our inner nature, one based on the firm conviction that our people must survive.



Our ancestors succeeded because something was there that made them willing to work and sacrifice their lives away - *work in this life to enter heaven* in the next is the principle that underlies any 'work ethic'. We must give a vision of heaven to our people, and only when we succeed in this can we have a movement that is future oriented – a solid vision of the future from a solid ideology for which we are willing to stand up and take risks.

Those who demand a pre-packaged revolution waiting for them with a certainty of success surrender the right to any victory for their ideas and movement leadership. To survive in this world you have to fight which means sometimes you have to take risks and hard choices, this will almost certainly be the case with us – but we must do it because it will be worth it in the end and any alternative is unthinkable. We must not do nothing, simply because no plan seems certain of success. *No loss as a consequence of acting can be greater than the loss from failing to act. If we are responsible adults, if we are honorable adults, then we must act. There is no acceptable excuse for not acting.* Nothing ventured nothing gained. *If we do not act, then everything will be lost, every reason for living, every reason for which our ancestors lived and worked and sacrificed and suffered and died.* A patient faced with death does not need more than a one percent chance of success to undergo a lifesaving operation – and we should not pity those who chose to accept their fate without fighting. The tragedy of our people is rooted in this one percent chance.

The history of human progress teaches us one thing; it is that it has always an uphill struggle – whether it was for rights or scientific progress it had to be fought for against a system that was actively preventing it. All great progress in the history would have been impossible if there were not men who held the truth higher than the authority of the time even if it meant putting their lives at risk. We would call these men fanatics and many of them burned at the stake in front of jeering mobs, but for that sacrifice future generations have got on their knees and thanked them.

Real progress has nothing to do with accumulated knowledge but a commitment to the principles that underlie progress; the desire for genuine justice, genuine truth; for the lives of the people – against ignorance, corruption, and tyranny. Without opposing these things we become part of the problem of what is holding us back. Real genuine struggle for progress can only begin when we make the decision to start saving lives rather than caring about the obstacles, even if it is the people themselves. We must not be a servant to the people, we must become their master.

TWO DOCTORS.

A patient with an illness; Liberal cancer. One doctor proscribes surgery because he wants nothing more than to see the victim cured of illness, the other doctor though is proscribing bathing salts for no other reason than he is a coward; for whatever reason he refuses to accept the illness exists, invents a lie, and justifies it to himself and to his patient. Patients always make the human, but fatal choice; to go down the most painless route. In this analysis it can be said that both the patient and the quack are responsible for the deteriorating conditions that result: The patient trusts doctors to care for them the same way they trust their leaders – they never question their doctor's motives, and ultimately it is the patient that is going to pay the cost if things go wrong. The symbiosis of host and parasite begins when the host makes himself a target of exploitation. If nobody chose to put their faith in alternative medicine, such falsehoods would not exist - the quack doctor is what the weak willed and stupid patient deserves. If people were not so easily comforted by false hope and witchcraft – if they were made of stronger stuff, if they were self aware then they would be unwilling to accept any substitute for leadership in fight against the evils destroying them.

One day our patient will collapse and it will be up to the surgeon to remove the quack doctor from the equation and operate by force in the most horrific circumstances imaginable – kicking and screaming the red blood must flow until the body is purified.

This is an analogy, but we are talking about principles here. Modern nationalism is the quack medicine, it is comforting, farcical – and therefore it is getting in the way of the cure.


Like the surgeon a soldier does what needs to be done. A soldier doesn't have to think twice about shooting down his fellow man or anything that stands in his path – he just does it. Technically it is the same as murder but you don't think of it that way because you understand what a war is and it comes as natural to you as anything else – we must see politics the same way. We shoot them down and a million like them on the road to that capital where the fires burn brightest.

The Political Soldier idea is the traditional approach to politics. He differs from the ordinary soldier in that he fights, and is willing to die for his politics and in this fight he is rewarded only by the spiritual elevation he gains from this – struggling for a cause is his existence. The political soldier is different from an ordinary politician by the very fact that when faced with violence the politician says 'I must rethink my convictions'. But today we live in a violent world that is spilling over into society, into everything – so to be deterred by even the prospect of violence is to effectively abandon any principles. Like a vegetarian that eats meat, a 'conditional nationalist' is no nationalist at all because Nationalism isn't something that is 'decided on' it is something bigger than you are, a force of nature; you just promote it as strong as you can and you are washed along by the current – a Nationalist is only strong if he is willing to swim the strong currents he unleashes. The foundation of our new example will be to take moral responsibility for our thoughts and actions and to say we have done it – to make public this conscious understanding.

Liberal politicians are not deterred by violence; they practise it daily when they carry out 'humanitarian' airstrikes or allow the next wave of violent immigrants into Europe. Even those in society who are in the privileged position to call themselves pacifists because they don't have power or responsibility still wish death for their enemies in their hearts.

This is a stark contrast to the mentality of a modern nationalist 'politician' when he is confronted by the fact that his presence is making society more intolerant and possibly more violent place, and that long ago innocent people were murdered for ideas similar to his own – In that second he wishes he was dead, but then, invents a pacifist promise to preserve his miserable life; saying he is against anything of the kind he makes a point of denouncing violence on both sides, as if this will make him some kind of wise, noble, saint. This farce is so hollow that it is seen through by most everyone, not just liberals but ordinary people, and they are disgusted by it.

People who believe that the political soldier is impossible are wrong because we live in a society full of religious faith, struggle and commitment based on principles. The last century was full of revolutions carried out successfully by determined minorities and soldiers killing and dying for political ideas. When you really think about it the Political soldier concept doesn't sound so strange when you consider that it is no different to the millions of heroes down though every generation of men who fought to defend our nation so that we might be great – we need political soldiers now because our leaders are now traitors and we need new leaders. When a civilian is recruited into any armed force even today, he is broken down and built up to feel pride in his force, he is rationalised so that he is willing to risk his life which is an essential part of any functioning military. It is not just that they will die, it is the *mentality* – The Paratroopers are an elite force not because they jump out of planes, but because they have they have the mentality to do it.



"We had heard the stories of the first battle of Ypres when the Prussian Guard came out to attack... They were found lying down in the small hollow. The young officer in charge said they could not surrender as that was against the principles of the Prussian Guard. They were exhausted, but when they recovered they would continue the advance; they were aware they had no chance. After a brief respite, they came out towards the guns, the young officer in front with his sword at the carry and all of them doing the ceremonial goose-step for the last time; they were all killed. It was a performance utterly useless and incomprehensible to the layman, but the purpose was clear to any practitioner of the science of war; troops of that spirit can and will do things which most troops cannot do, and they did."



"The aim now must be to take the noble inspirations which have been used on all sides for dark purposes of destruction and to unite them in the great synthesis which will make possible the creative future. the sublime spirit of duty, sacrifice and high endeavour then imprisoned within them and distorted to the service of war will be released in a union of all high things to make Europe and save mankind. The noble though inarticulate instincts of youth were of this nature, and all the squalor of a life in politics has not yet extinguished the spark which flew from the anvil of 1914."

PERHAPS THE TIME FOR THINKING IS OVER?

In political struggle the most sacred and important task is to find the Holy Grail of Action; what it is that moves a person and makes them do things, makes them stand up and fight. So far the 'boots on the ground' have only come in the form of compromised and cowardly conservative masks that appeal to the people's sense of security – not revolutionaries who openly advocate replacing the current system with a new community. I am not just talking about right wingers but the majority of the 'Far Right' or as they prefer to be called the New Right.

A lot of bunk has been said over the past thirty years, especially the last ten of 'elites' or more accurately 'intellectual elites'. With this emphasis in our current culture on 'intellectuals', little wonder then that it has led many in the movement to greatly over-estimate their intellect. I place quotation marks around 'Intellectuals' because though self proclaimed, the sad truth is that most are second rate at best, as any cursory analysis of their work shows most of them are not able to construct an argument or stay consistent to the scientific method of analysis - most wouldn't even hack it as low grade academics if they were ever actually given the chance. **YOU ARE NOT JULIUS EVOLA**

Bloviation is a style of empty, pompous, political speech which originated in Ohio and was used by United States President, Warren G. Harding who described it as "the art of speaking for as long as the occasion warrants, and saying nothing". Dressing up statements with mystical hubris doesn't make you any more clever or able to explain a complex matter.

They produce only what they like, not what people might want – the people are not made up of philosophers and saints. The people understand bold, simple, and emotive statements which these 'intellectuals' curl their lip at, because the people would be better convinced by reading political tomes on existential traditionalism.

The whole thing is a real diversion – aping the cultural Marxists with their own academia deconstructing *radical elitist themes* in 'pop culture' for signs of implicit whiteness – with this wet minded attitude to everything and not producing solids leads we are never going to get anywhere. Back on planet earth we have some serious problems and people are dying because those problems are ignored. Let's draw the line there.

I am not saying qualifications are bad – you have an A level in Media Studies or a Philosophy Degree? Well congratulations! – I think it is great we have qualifications and intelligent young men and women (well some) but all the qualifications in the world won't help unless you know how to use them. Even a qualified professional like a doctor isn't any help if he isn't interested in actively curing me but instead uses medicine to enlighten me on Galen's theory of Humourism.

'INTELLECTUALS' WITHOUT INTELLECT

That isn't as strange as it sounds. For it to be of any use to our people an innate thing like 'intellect' is something that has to be actually used – just demonstrating you can think doesn't mean what you have is a good thing. More often than not in modern society intellect is used for evil – not just consciously by the slimy bastard politicians but at the everyday level by teachers, lawyers, writers, bureaucrats, businessmen, etc to carry out their trade and justify it to themselves afterward. blessing or curse – what this shows is that the intellect is nothing more than a tool to be used, not only must it be used for good but it must be used constructively; subservient to higher considerations.

The past half century of death sleep proved that 'intellectual weapons' don't move people, quite the opposite; today thousands of fine young men who under the example of good leaders might have used their skills for right, are given comfort in their empty cultural musings with the mistaken belief

that this investment somehow contributes to progress of some kind

It is not an unrelated fact that any coward can use his intellect to conjure a hundred excuses to justify his inertia – "Inactivity is death" said Benito Mussolini. Right now the entire white race has been condemned to death by self imposed Aspergers. The Movement only appeals to people who are mentally ill. The entire political system is geared against the man of action – there are few ways for him to tap into his support and so to achieve anything must shoulder his entire burden – for if he joined one of the charades in Nationalist politics he would not be adding his strength to the movement, instead all the instinct of the few strong fighters is gradually worn down under the weight of the others, It is like a disease.

WHEN IN A BIG FIGHT INSTINCT IS MORE IMPORTANT

When a man or animal is in combat for dear life its body changes, the brain is not needed and so it shuts down so as not to impede the organism in its death struggle. Right now the west is locked in such a death struggle and our response has been to contemplate it – now as we go down, seconds count until we let instinct kick in and take over. This polarity of states is why we must expect and prepare for the most radical things in the future – Right now the European will sit and take absolutely anything, but when a line is crossed and the last link in the chains that bind him snap, European man will belt for his enemies like a thunderbolt and tear them to pieces.

Therefore I believe it goes without saying that the best among us are not the intelligent, but those with good instincts; The truly committed are those who fight from the heart – Soldiers, do not need to be 'convinced' by intellectual debate just to continue holding their position, while those who are the most opinionated can't make a firm commitment to anything and are constantly fiddling with their position on things.



Generally when any new group is founded the ones on top are those who simply take the most initiative and they end up running the show based on how their unique incentive waxes and wanes.

For fighters loyalty to the cause is not just an ideal they know to be right, but constant pursuit after life defining emotive experience which their instinct revealed as a glimmer of something they wanted. Real leaders are those with their own guiding light that motivates them to a cause; Loyalty, perseverance, inspiration, etcetera, all solid fighting principles derive directly from this sixth sense of instinct.

An individual who is purely intellectual cannot be a true member of what must be a fighting movement – in turn movements led by intellectuals only ever attract crowds of distant followers, but no members. In this sense the aphorism ‘there are no atheists in the trenches’ has an essential truth to it.

This leads us to the following conclusion; when we Integralists put forward our plan ‘action, strength, and militarism’ that is needed for a victorious national movement (tendencies labelled ‘stupid’ and which are condemned), we are talking about what makes it possible; fanaticism! Call it a religious belief of sorts – The Fascist experiment has proven that the vital Instinct of a people can be cultivated to the creation of idealistic fanatics,

and it was done by offering individuals something to fight for that they saw as more valuable than their own single human life. ‘Movement’ is achieved only by those who have the instinct for nationalist feeling and can instil those same feelings in others. It is from a people who as individuals possess an innate sense of truths and the call of nation, and maybe understand what that means, that you will make an army when it is commanded by those who are able to see rock solid principles. Out of the crashing waves of mass of uncertain and confused ideas must arise a solid cliff of faith and will that unifies our people. This faith will not take the form of any abstract metaphysical concept, it is in ACTION, pure action fired by our own physical bodies – our god is instinct as it

Race and destiny, blood and honour, blood and soil – these are the Fascist stones, to a soldier; nothing else matters. Step hard on enemies and traitors.

This is not an ‘adventure in counter-culture’ or some small man’s pious call to arms – it is a call for a generation to lay down their lives, and in the coming struggle for the survival of our nation it better ring true. To surmount destiny, just snatching victory from the jaws of defeat – that is the challenge fate has given European man. We can succeed only when we learn to start facing facts, when we take a scientific method and apply it in our daily lives – only then with confidence through faith will we have a the genuine organisation of the kind that will go down in history for saving the white race.

*Time was too short for fascism to burgeon into a new culture which would later come to flower in a new civilisation. I had already done the thinking which had produced a series of practical proposals to meet the immediate danger and long-term needs of my country; the urgency was then to implement them in practical action. It was not therefore just barbarism when at this stage I said that **the men who can think are not enough, and I must go out to find the men who can feel, and do.** It was recognition of a truth beyond the intellectuals with whom I was associated, and it deeply offended them precisely because it was beyond them. It is essential to surpass this antithesis of intellect and feeling in a synthesis which embraces both at a new level of thought and action. When my original intellectual associates fell away in the shock of action which resisted organised violence, it was suggested that thereafter I was surrounded by stupid brutes who were conveniently classified as thugs. In fact, new intellectuals emerged from the study under the impact of those events, who at least equalled in mind and certainly surpassed in character those who had departed.*



*I remember one of our best men, who after a spectacular R.A.F. career proved to have an extraordinary capacity for street leadership, saying to me: ‘I have read little you have ever written because my work keeps me too busy, and I have heard little you have ever said, because at the meetings I am always looking round for the next Red who might have to go out, but—I feel with you’—and his regard was a tribute to the capacity for translating new thought and morality into feeling which can hold and inspire men with a dedicated passion. In the same way, I was always pleased beyond any other praise at the end of a speech when some fine old Englishman would come up to me and say: ‘You have been saying what I felt all my life’. He meant that the speech had touched some deep chord in the eternal being of England. **This will no doubt be regarded as absurd by some who are called intellectuals and who possess every qualification for that title except an intellect. Yet this is not sentiment, or worse; it is reality. the force which moves men.***

Oswald Mosley My Life (1968), on his decision to found the British Union of Fascists

A PLAN THAT WILL WORK

The solution to all our problems is moral, it is a matter of finding courage, and that is it. If everybody took complete responsibility and practiced self criticism – if we strove for consistency – then our problems would be over very quickly, and the real work could begin. To bring this about requires an enabling factor, something worthy of bringing out that courage.

First, our goal must be to set up an organisation like no other – This organisation will be one based solely on the maintenance of *an idea* - this as opposed to other political parties that have viewed themselves as organisations seeking their own ends, we will be working towards what will one day be a *cause* that will be universally understood. For those who demand the ruthless application of truth there can be no substitute to this sincere method of advancement which will bring a purity to our work that will allow us to rise above the other parties. We understand that there is a bigger game played across a much larger field, our ultimate aim is still to attain power – but we will not do this by going against our principles – *without our principles, what are we?* The development of concrete principles whose greatness is recognised as being of the highest value will serve as the foundation of all future development.


Secondly, in the application of these ideals – our strategy must be complete; we must have aims that are clear and understood in such a way as to grant a full perspective on the game. What we need is a ‘general plan’ whose flexibility provides means of obtaining power outside conventional institutions – working outside the gauntlet. This must be a living idea which though set in its goals, can actively perceive and work with new elements, and inspire previously unimagined means to achieving its end. It must achieve the feat of completely revolutionising the way politics is done.



THE CHALLENGE

The first concern of a political organisation is how it can defend these principles and prevent itself from degenerating into a mindless slop. Our aim must be to maintain the independence and agency of our idea – so it does not become another tool for the interests of others. It must be an infallible idea that *moves not be moved*. The society that we live in is more than just hypocritical - it is completely subverted by our enemy. There is nothing that has not been touched by its sinews, and we can rely on none except ourselves – there can be no binding alliance except on our own terms, which must entail complete submission to our principles.

The goal will ultimately be power, but that is not to be directly confused with a *means* – getting into parliament for example. The house of commons is a mongrel parliament, it is not a people's parliament, it is a parliament where all the enemies of the people sit, and the only reason to go into that body is with the aim of gutting it – because that is what we are fighting against. Anyone who actually wants to be a politician is a traitor to his race and generation



emulating political style will not improve politics, it will corrupt us instead. Political culture has infected our national life – it must be discredited and quarantined to the corner of the enemy, as separate from the people. As a revolutionary movement by compulsion we must forever silence the urge to be involved in the political system with the aim of improving it because that a perfidious lie – we are here to replace it. We will declare the system dead, that is how we will name it and own it.



To understand the game that is being played, you have to understand the 'enemy' as he has been referred to up to this point. An answer to 'who is the enemy?' alone can tell you everything you need to know in deciding whether a particular position is phony or not, its comprehension, integrity, understanding, flaws, etc. This is because in the game the opponent sets the basis of the dynamic, saying what you are against provides context to the struggle – without an enemy you can't have a game plan, you don't even have a fight. It is a basic issue of communication; unwillingness to fight on the part of ourselves and the population has been in no small part because there is no agreement on the enemy - so even when feelings are inflamed outrage is often vented and directionless.

This is where we have a major problem because no one word ever seems to give it justice. 'Liberalism' for instance is probably the most popular, but it does not accurately reflect the full scope of enemy, many of whom are not liberals, but are communists, and theocrats – It also implies that the enemy is only ideological – rather than biological for instance. This failure forms the basis of a major communication issue because it cannot be easily explained, and reduced to a core factor like race – which is needed to advance that agenda. It is the aim of enemies to limit us in our speech – so they can get around being truly identified. Naming the enemy far from simplifying things, presents inherent contradictions – on the mass immigration issue you have the 'immigrants' and the 'elites' that let them in – two separate socio economic groups - and in the end you have to pick one at the total expense of the other – it isn't possible. *Cultural Marxism* isn't *Capitalism*, *immigrant masses* are not the same as the *establishment*. *Muslims* are not *Blacks*, aren't *Chinese*, aren't *faggots*, aren't *bankers*, aren't *leftists*, etcetera, but they are working towards the same end, guided by the same powerful force.

The truth is that the enemy is never one thing. This system is made up of proxies each representing ideological self interest – and they are all fighting for a tiny percentage of the pork barrel that we have laid out for them. Fighting against any one of the thousands of misfortunes against us does not address the true problem – they are only a symptom.

It is no coincidence that the most successful and ideologically consistent movements in our history have always been Anti-Semitic, not because it 'is' the Jews (though the case for this can certainly be argued), but because it acknowledges a deadly enemy that is more than just a race and an ideology. Not only does it happen to be the case that Jews can be historically linked to everything that is wrong with the world to the extent that it can be authoritatively argued the enemy and the Jews are one and the same. It is also the case that the unique thinking of Jewish intellectual arrogance is so lucid in its fantastic possibilities to completely reinvent itself through liberation theology – this reflects the flexibility of the enemy as a universal system of control. This grip on truth allows for the passions and energy to flow between speaker and audience.

This is also why the fascist method is not widely in practice, because it is a doctrine of *all or nothing* goes against the instincts of most people who are easily daunted by ambitious aims, and desire social acceptability – usually they give in on one or the other. Compromise and measured sober analysis would make sense if we didn't live in an all or nothing world - but now we do. People do not need headaches, they need good leaders who can be seen walking on truth, and act like it – they need their leaders to be courageous and confident in their articulation without expressing doubt. If this is so wrong, find a better truth – or we face death together.

If we are right, then we can fight in no other way but against the whole world.

If we allow ourselves to be distracted by one issue then the enemy will always win, always escape us, always have a way out. The enemy can jump from sinking ship to sinking ship, and from one ethnicity to another.

For this reason we euphemistically refer to it as the 'system' as this acknowledges the realm of the enemy and the extent of his territory.

Purist and simplistic views make for the best game plan – purely on the basis of perspective it does not make the mistake of reducing the enemy to a limited scope, but understands how flexible their capabilities are.

In the battle for hearts and minds we are against a subversive and conspiratorial minority which is practicing what is called 'concealment warfare' – they hide among and cuckold where they blend in – like dealing with an insurgency our aim must be to separate them from the civilian population through some means of coercion. They need to be deprived of their support and lose power over the institutions.

THE GAME

In the grand scheme of things the new party will be but one actor out of thousands of groups representing power in society; Civic, and Political – This is the chess board. All of the pieces have been subverted and roped in as a proxy used for false opposition because where there exists no principles, there you will find subversion by the enemy.

However the most important factor is that there is no 'unified theory of subversion' – just because something is a proxy, does not mean it is advancing the enemy's aims. How can it? If everything in society is subverted, and those things subverted differ so greatly how can they all be in play if they are pulling in different directions? They have a very strong current with lots of freedom and strength, but ultimately it has to pick and choose a few select horses that will take them to where they need to go, and to cut the others loose.


The plans of the enemy might also depend on a specific opposition as well. The enemy game plan, actually looks something like this; there is the political class and their allies at the top of some groups; 'community leaders' etc, and together they form a tight conspiracy to manage the world according to an idea and how that meme can be best continued. These players are in it for the long term, so long in fact, that before the game is over, the group or class they represent may have been replaced many times while keeping the idea alive. Even with things going full steam the vision of our enemy will still take them a hundred years to fully win the game and secure eternal governance. They are playing god, they are playing at the game of power with the intention that they will rule forever, and they are committed to winning the perfect game. The more perfectly they manage things in advance the quicker they bring on their victory conditions – they could have been much less harsh on us if they had forever, but they want to get it done and whites have to be out the picture for that, so they are trying to get it all done this century. The gambit of the model is vulnerability during this period because maximum movement means cutting loose all the horses

that are pulling in the opposite direction – while desiring complete control at the same time. Basically incompatible and useless proxies need to be struck off and kept weak so they don't have to be accommodated. The enemy actually has plenty of useless proxies – right wing reactionaries for example are useful only as placeholders against fascism, but the system is very unlikely to have practical use for them. The 'system' is smaller than you think it is – and when you understand that, then you can know what your options really are.

This enemy we are dealing with, this abstractive destructive humanitarian liberation theology is practical, it moves from host to host feeding off the herd of cattle – it is classless, raceless, outside any one group – they will throw all but one under the bus if they have to keep it going. We however are different, for as long as the white man exists there shall be resistance, no matter how much they stamp – they will have to kill us all, which is what they have been trying to do. In this game the enemy may keep us at bay a thousand times and providing there is no time constraint we will still be there, a threat. We only need to win once, and it is game over for them, forever and all it will take is for one domino to send the others crashing down.

While the principle holds true that a subverted organisation is less likely to achieve success – and that even if it were to prove triumphant, it would still contain the seeds of its own destruction - in the long term one victory of this kind could set the whole programme back by decades. There are any number of scenarios where a proxy turns on its master, and here are a few to consider so as to illustrate the point;

Islam in the West like any other parasitic globalist proxy is a rabid dog installed to create and foster problems – to cause destruction to us and to require appeasement. Islam is regarded as the safest and most controllable animal – cattle, which is why they have been chosen to replace Europeans. The gradual growth and replacement of the indigenous population by Muslims is dependent on the ability of the state to protect and preserve them over the period of a hundred years, and for the leaders to restrain their own from carrying out excessive violence. A delicate balance must be struck between having a people who are assertive enough to be used for ambitious global aims and act as willing and effective agents of world governance - at the same time, they must maintain full control and prevent them causing too much negativity where this process is in its embryonic stage.



The enemy game plan relies on a coalition to create a superstructure – this coalition was only made possible by cherry-picking certain elements – they own every piece on the board, but can only pick one set.

Even though the pieces belong to the enemy, replacing one piece from another can upset a whole chain.



Imagine during the next assertive watershed things spiral out of control and a conflict erupts between the state institutions and the Islamic community where appointed 'Moderate' leaders lose their credible monopoly as the perceived face of Islam – In many way the myth that underpins a whole system would be destroyed. A pre-emptive armed conflict at a time such as today when they have not yet been handed the monopoly over armed force, which is currently held by professionals whose job it is to defend the state. The system is a 'paradigm shift' away from integrating the parasitical element into the state structure – if the balloon goes up then the conflict between the instruments of state and those against it will automatically take on a hard racial angle. Even for the politicians there would be no choice but extermination, put down like a rabid dog. This would be an unthinkable disaster – and political suicide for the surrogate elite at the top. They would have had no choice but to allow thousands of indigenous inhabitants to be passively butchered like animals by a hate-filled enemy – burning images that you can't put any spin on. Game over. What would step in its place to console the nation would almost certainly be a subverted, but otherwise very right wing regime.

In summation the following points must be concluded; in the game there are two actors playing for keeps – playing the game to achieve an ultimate end. With global exceptions we are not in the game at the present time, but when we are we must maintain our independence viciously against the other actors, including far right organisations that are not with the programme – however as long as we are uncompromised in pursuing our aims then it will be favourable to form Alliances of convenience with outsider groups at certain points in time, that under any other circumstance we do not agree with, but will work to our benefit in the short term providing there is an actual wider plan (this also precludes that we have some actual power at our disposal).

Because of our belief in the incompatibility of opposing doctrines, the principle of 'using' other groups and institutions will be a mainstay from the start, and applied long into the regime phase – as the political aim guides the institutions. This view that a union can only occur when the stronger gains victory over the weaker allows for moral freedom in how we deal with actors and understanding logically why worse is better – why an increase in radical Islam and racial strife would be a positive thing for Europe because that would further undermine multiculturalism and integration. There are circumstances where supporting subverted right wing groups or institutions may also make sense for the same reasons.

Accepting those two examples would be anathema to most nationalists. The inability to reconcile self interest and principles in this way is the reason the modern movement can't think strategically. All this is simply common sense, but is comprehension that only comes from the application of consistency – which must draw its power from a world concept that can provide the proper inferences and conclusions.

PHASE 0

So far we have illustrated a general picture of how to appropriately use power, and laid out some of the operating principles for our new world concept; however, simply understanding what a political organisation should look like is not sufficient to know how to actually realise it – and the question remains of where to start. This is especially important because we are compelled to build from scratch. We cannot expect to use any of the existing political parties for this purpose because they are all essentially Jewish. Though these organisations are comprised of fantastic people who have the best of intentions, the organisation itself is a prison camp run by people whose outlook will only guarantee the continuation of the present state of decline – it is in that sense they are landlords of the system.

If we are to genuinely claim the correctness of our ideas then it should be expected that we are capable of turning that vision into a reality, especially since it is in the formation of the idea that all the pre-requisites for future achievement are based. It is also important that when we create our nationalist world concept doctrine, we can say that we are not only the sole owners of it, but that we created it. Our task is to completely overturn the political landscape by setting an entirely new standard of thinking, and method for how politics is conducted. This is what can be called a '1922 moment' - where the world sat up and took notice – it captured the spirit of that time and they saw that by scientifically modelling themselves on that courageous organisation, they could achieve the same - gradually marching columns of uniformed storm troopers appeared all over Europe. What had been universally established was a new way of doing things and this has been practically achieved through phases;

Phase1 - the pitch: to conceive the new idea and demonstrate its potential and abilities through practical results

Phase2 - consolidation: Universal recognition of the idea by nationalistic forces and the march to power.

If a magnetic world view going is to serve as the foundation of our new political movement, then it has to be conceivable; its originators must be capable of illustrating it – and the idea must be capable of being clearly communicated. Otherwise nothing new has been brought to the table and its basis is the same as every other political organisation; an invitation to an already existing urge that wishes the creation of a movement – but does not yet quite know how. The infallibility required to command others is impossible without giving some understanding of the world. Since its aim must be one that is within reach and can be accomplished in the real world, then it must attach itself to a theory that provides complete context to the movement as being a central part of a historical process.



As well as being ideologically consistent there must be an achievable plan, or what communists called 'dialectics', is an awareness of the historical inevitability of our idea some vague means of attaining power – of which there are no shortage, here are just a few examples; Force – only nationalists will matter, they are the strong, getting stronger, and will make prey out of the weak. The socio-economic angle – nations as deracinated proles actively plotted against by finance capitalists – revolutionary nationalism takes the role as the class struggle. The racial angle, speaks for itself – the inevitability of that conflict. The collapse angle – historical events will bring about the collapse of the system – to which we must now react in preparation for seizing power. The carrot angle – appealing to a higher form of human life. All these just as examples, one has to know when and how to use them – they must form part of a natural, living worldview – the *Mein Kampf* tongue that comes from the heart of a sincere believer. It is for this reason that the understanding of the party is as the basis of a world view – not a political organisation that is sustained by programmes. The adoption of a new pitch is also a new outfit to reinvent our idea and make a clean break from the rest of the movement.

Our propaganda will only be effective if its purpose is to promote an idea, not an organisation. It will be the task of the organisation to own that idea by best representing it. Principles allow us to be consistent – but we must also play the ball as it lies; we must speak in a street oriented fashion. We can work only with what people understand (which is nothing of politics), it would be a mistake to create a 'new religion' with all its theological baggage. There will be no time to 're-educate' people - in practice, either through the speed of a collapse or the escalation of circumstance – the party plan has to be instantly accessible at a moment's notice. It is for this reason that the goals of the organisation should be grand, total, and national in its aspirations – in practice the fight when it happens will come down to biology – tooth and claw.

In the end our role is to form a social presence where our ideals can blossom in a time of crisis and carry to the people a complete understanding for the nature, extent, and limit of the fight. This process can only be reached through juncture – we cannot appeal directly to the people, the masses can never be the holders of an idea, they are responders – instead the tone of the pitch must be developed exclusively for those who will act as this agent for historical and social change, ie people who are already nationalists. In a society that is this bastardised we will find only a few allies, who will be the first generation pioneers, people who get it. Though theory will have to be simplified for public consumption, the fundamentals will never change the programme or compromise on our values. We will never claim to speak for all the people, only believers – our messages are an invitation to become part of this great community we are trying to build, or get out the way. Our role as doctor not patient and the reason for this distance is significant because the masses and the movement will come to form a symbiotic role with each other.

PHASE 1

The necessity for the application of strong principles to our general approach has already been argued on the basis that it will bring us strength where there was none before – that it will multiply current output because it is far more effective. It has been elaborated how these principles will ultimately apply when it becomes a player in the game. Sometimes this has been vague and not very specific; 'The fascist method is like magic beans! It is simply capable of tapping into an energy flow that no other right wing group can'. All of this has been the introduction to the main argument; that the application of these core ideals will essentially lead to a superior type of organisation - or put simply, it comes down to an issue of organising quality control. Today the modern political movement is only able to operate on a quid pro quo basis. It is a belief that the purpose of the party is to act as an organiser of resources; organising activists, organising capital, and throwing it out there.

The modern political party is an organised effort in the principle of *efficiency* – the limit of its scope is in how best to work with materials. If your aim is to improve your organisation into something that is better then this approach is analogous to the creative powers of a fire fighter – if all you are doing is putting out flames, then you will never adding anything of value. The lack of creative principles is linked with the strategic failure; if your only plan is to react to events, then you will always be reacting to the enemy's agenda, rather than setting your own agenda and being the actor that you need to be in order to have a physical effect on the world.

The existence of a higher ideal is forever denied to all cowards. A programme that addresses the core issue and is otherwise deliberately intent scaring away the timid and subverted sections of our movement is a good start in preventing the principles of the organisation from degenerating, and preserving fighting power of our new form of muscular nationalism. It is the basis of the plan that has been presented here; what may seem like an obstacle to many people is in reality the first condition for our victory.

We must accept that the people will never openly support a fascist movement, if for no other reason than mass movements in general are a lie because even when the masses themselves do rise up they were never full members of the original group that instigated it – people are passive, and active participation requires an active mind. World history is made by minorities that have come to embody the will and determination of the people as a whole to the extent that they at least do not face opposition in the seizure of power. The majority of humanity, especially White humanity, is timid – though it has longings, hopes, and dreams they look to leaders. Understanding that the masses cannot be appealed to – the movement must understand that it will be feared throughout its existence right up until the moment it achieves its aim of taking power, and its doctrines form the basis of the new State – then, and only then, will the people be truly won over.

We are therefore in for a tough fight – we must be the knight that saves the people, not the other way around as so many seem to believe. It is exactly like when the original ‘1922 moment’ occurred, there were two groups people, those who fought as fascists and saved Italy, and those who didn’t fight at all – It isn’t rocket science, but sometimes you have to wonder.

While the role of propaganda is to promote an idea, the aim of an organisation is to gain ‘members’, an inability to distinguish between these two is not only why the modern propaganda is alienating and ineffective, but why organisation fails. That the word ‘member’ as it is most commonly understood is a completely general term that has come to mean a set of contact details in a database and a subscription at best, goes some way to illustrating the extent of this failure. We may win anyone to our idea -

There are two types of supporter; members and followers; a follower is somebody who only agrees with the programme of a movement at his or her own convenience. Their role is mostly passive just like the rest of humanity – the type and quality varies, and though there can never be enough supporters, but as a strategic factor they all equate to zero sum. A member on the other hand is somebody who not only agrees with the programme but believes in it enough to act as a willing agent for the organisation and the cause it represents – and never compromise on those ideals.

This means that;
He is willing commit physical bodily effort on behalf of the cause.
He will speak up when there are those who spread evil and falsehood. He is secure in his beliefs and ideas in such a way that nothing could make him betray them.
He plans for how political association will affect his employment, family, and general life. Simply put, members are what make things happen – it is all about changing things, and cultivating this is the highest priority. This type of class A requires something that is worthy of this kind of dedication and commitment – but is routinely denied it by organisations that appease the majority of followers – which is why this kind of attitude rarely flowers.



It is therefore preferable to not pressure the participation of followers – if they are unable to openly support the cause for various reasons then with this we are fine, and we understand - there is no need to force awkward compromise so members and followers are the same thing. Again this is the basis of the distinction -let followers be followers in their way, and let members ascend unhindered to new heights of fighting strength. Not only is it preferable, but it is absolutely essential that the fighting element remain pure. A movement whose goal is to win over the hearts and minds of a people must appear to be strong and able to defend itself. This conviction for right of self defence and motivation to do what needs to be done, that reflects an ardent faith

in the necessity for a new and revolutionary transformation of the world. Those who are conditional in their beliefs are not marked as being genuine believers; logically you are only one thing or the other. With this separation, for the first time ever we can take them further – we solidify that belief, we explain just how right they are, they will come to understand the significance and importance of their role and the high aims for which they fight. We can do all this because we came out from day one with everything needed to instil this belief. Call it a ‘Political religion’, this is correct in the sense that it is carried out with the same fanatical belief that a genuinely religious person has, but in this case it is founded upon a rationalised political ideology.



History provides plenty of examples to draw from. Famously the communists practiced this principle using a process called 'self criticism'. They would get together with their peers where they would confess their ideologically incorrect thoughts, their doubts, religious experiences, sentimentality, and would then explain what they intended to do about it, why they were wrong to think such things, and how they will try to prevent such thoughts resurfacing in future. Did the millions of communists that died ever really read or understand Marx? No, but they willingly gave their lives like they were nothing, for a higher ideal on this earth.

Likewise we too must answer with our own political faith, exchanging a defence for the battle-cry of attack which will summon the best of our people. Out of the catharsis will come a new type of man who doesn't flinch – whether it is a soldier or a politician. We do not need 'intellectuals', what we need are brutes who can form the lines hard. Moral men who can rise above fear so they can both take and dish out punishment. This is possible only when we can develop a common culture unified in mind and will to ask the question of how political and moral consistency can be applied and promoted. There is already rising opposition to the trend of weak kneed pandering and autistic intellectualism that has been going on for years, and now its fruits are there for all to see.

It is time for a conversation between the best of us that will see general concepts moulded into a sharp political program, and generic nationalism into a political faith. The most significant achievement we can hope for in the coming years through the application of all these principles is the creation of a tightly organized community of willing believers who are based around this new nationalist concept. This is the only way a movement can be founded so that it develops an inner cohesion and uniformity within its cause, so that it will develop the necessary strength for the battle.

PHASE 2

When the formation of a new militant organisation is achieved and proves itself by becoming undisputed leader then victory at the next stage can be assured. And this relates to establishing the organisation as the main opposition movement to the system, and the dialectical relationship that the organisation has to the white population. If the fight was between us and the system then we would almost certainly lose – We need to make it so the people absorb the lash of the system instead of us, the masses cannot be allowed to simply sit on the sidelines while watching the back and forth. If we are to win, then the deciding factor is how we force their participation.

As it stands, though we can't be certain yet, there is a portion of the population from which we will be able to appeal directly to supporters at this stage, it is small – say about 5% of the population, for our purposes it will be more than enough to work with. Furthermore we can expect about 30% electoral support as the main opposition following the breakthrough. It works like that – we will either have nothing because we are doing it wrong – or we get this thing right and that is where we will be in a couple of cycles. The extremism of our winner takes all electoral system re-enforces this threshold.

In addition there is the opposition to us and the people - there is 5% of the population that are ideologically brainwashed enemy assets; the value of these people is not that they are soldiers, but that they are bureaucrats of one form or another who have been rewarded with privilege.

But it is to the majority 'middle-group', the 80-90% who are complete cattle that we are going to focus our attention. The people are interesting, they are capable of understanding and they get angry over issues, but they always back down if they can because they just want to be left alone. If you push them into a corner though and you keep pushing having already taken everything, then they become something feral.

The principle we work to is what we might call the '*radicalism of the middle group*'; the people are like a couch potato, totally engrossed with whatever they are watching – in this state they are completely passive, and will do absolutely anything to avoid tearing their eyes away from the screen and getting up for anything. However when forced into a fight, these same people will be even more radical than the 5-10% vanguard, because you made them get up from the sofa, and now they are super pissed. Riots, lynch mobs, revolutions - are public expression of this.

The problem hitherto has not been with the people but their leaders who have failed them – until now. The presence of a new hardline movement will through its actions have a triangulating effect on society – the debate is no longer between the system and the easily manipulated public – but between two extremes. In this instance everything becomes radicalized and the opinions that people used to hold privately become acceptable by public comparison. It will be an enormous challenge to actively win the people, however pulling them out of the clutches of the enemy by creating ground free of the enemy is a realistic first aim. When this is achieved we will bring in the next stage, which will be to force a fight with the system in such a way that it attacks the people, in a way that benefits us.

Our whole strategy places value on the public expression of a hard line and determined ideology – because it has an influence that the mass of society cannot help but succumb. Without courage, the people will always back down and focus on the controlled opposition, but if there is one man is there to hold the torch and carry it forward, then true opposition shines for all to see. It only takes the sight of a courageous fighter to see that such people are genuinely principled, and to understand what it is that he is fighting against, and to then draw the proper inferences. A free voice that doesn't mince words but spits hard truth loaded with rationalised hatred, will take its toll on a public imagination.

It lets everyone know that we alone are the centre of gravity for *the struggle of our time*, because we raised that awareness and we most consistently reflect that perceived reality. Conversely the strategy of the enemy has been to hide this as much as possible – their efforts are the creation of false conflicts and false dichotomies. When this fails we supersede the false opposition then the system hits back the same way we do- by example which is why transgressors are punished as harshly as a conscious political activist. With an activist, the system has to count their losses. What we think of as the collateral are more than the so called *casualties of political correctness*; lives and careers have been wrecked for people whose crime was to make a joke, the higher their social standing, the greater the punishment.

The emperors who has no clothes is the proverbial basis of this society – one laugh is very loud. Therefore our own efforts are geared to play on this social curiosity, because it is in this curiosity that there lurks the chief danger to the system. It is in the naively curious of today that we will find the members and followers of tomorrow.

Right now there is a new ‘reign of terror’ as some parts of the system are getting out of control. The way in which the ruling ideology has made all sorts of ‘progress’ in recent years; It has developed plethoras of new sexual communities, Microaggression, liberation cults, anti-racism in just about everything – this speaks volumes of the extent to which they have become insulated and detached from reality. Simultaneously the system is faced

with this issue - assured of victory the vanguard is taking an all or nothing approach with the everyday people, making them pick sides. Pushing for complete consolidation, they openly declare how they will look forward to the day when all white normality is trampled bloody under the multicultural majority. The system has declared war on the indigenous population – but without an escape plan, there can be no credible opposition within the system to stem this trend they started. Events are now taking on a life of their own.

We must form a role as to how we can accelerate this process because right now the people are being left relatively alone. We must convince the system to force a fight at the moment, to make them take an attitude of being either with or against the system. This is called playing the extremes against the middle – we want the safe neutral ground in society to bottom out – we want things to get worse so that the system burns its bridges.

Every person who has been kicked out of work or education has been saved for the revolution, for they have forever been denied access to a bourgeois life with which that system can blackmail them. Pushed into our ranks, it is in this sense that *worse is better* – because it will create the social class necessary that is free from the hooks of the enemy. Today there are already millions who are getting bolder by the day, not protesting, but they are getting slack, not keeping up appearances. They are starting to take notice of racial and nationalist issues eager to know what is happening and why nationalists will fight and suffer persecution.

When the shining star of our new idea appears on the horizon and the curious people draw nearer and nearer, there will come a point when the system can no longer distinguish between the curious and the instigating members – by making the people feel the effects of the system instead of us a great service has been performed for us in providing us cover and preparing the people for the victory of our idea.

To summarise this strategy, our gambit remains, as always, that we speak only to our posterity – to the men and women who share our dream – we never take our eyes of the ultimate aim, and never compromise on our convictions. The implication of this in practice is that contrary to all received wisdom it may be favourable to us in the long run to carry out acts that will outwardly carry no practical results. It may not meet with public approval, may have no outwardly positive effect, and may not yield any results in and of themselves – however, whether it is a playful stunt, a free voice, or something if there is symbolic significance then the sacrifice will be repaid a thousand fold. Every example that we make will inspire and strengthen us. For the time being we shall be beaten and the system will continue to emerge victorious; but each of these will be Pyrrhic victories. Repression by the system will do our work for us in preparing its defeat; it will make us into heroes and it will brutalise the masses and fill them with rage, and because we took action, we shall be ones who determine to the course and destiny of the nation. The defeat of liberalism is inevitable, so as brave sons of Europe, we must risk the lash in order to sow the seeds of tomorrow.

In every town and village, in every institution of daily life, the will of the organised and determined minority must be struggling for sustained effort. In moments of difficulty, dissolution and despair it must be the hard core round which the weak and the dismayed may rally, the modern movement in struggle and in victory must be ineradicably interwoven with the life of the nation. No ordinary party of the past resting on organisations of old women, tea fights and committees, can survive such struggle. Our hope is centred in vital and determined youth, dedicated to the resurrection of a nation's greatness and shrinking from no effort and from no sacrifice to secure that mighty end. We need the sublime enthusiasm of the nation, and the devoted energies of its servants.

Oswald Mosley *The greater Britain* (1934)





TOTAL STRATEGY

THE HARD LESSON

'Total strategy' was conceived by General André Beaufre who argued in his books *Introduction à la Stratégie* and *Dissuasion et Stratégie*, that even in the small fight there is a wider struggle – all conflict is intimately tied to core aims and it is on that the outcome war will be decided, as opposed to smaller battles. Arguably this principle is a footnote on Clausewitz rule that War is an extension of politics, but it was important for the time. Beaufre saw how white European armies have lost war after war against poorly equipped guerrillas in the decolonisation phase, even though the Europeans won every battle. He had experienced this firsthand in Algeria where, having beaten the guerrillas the French surrendered to them with no concessions, and the millions of Frenchmen they fought to save, fled on pain of death – "coffin or the suitcase" became the standard for all whites throughout Africa. The conclusion of Beaufre was that it didn't matter how good of a fighter you are, if you don't have a clear set of what you are doing then you have a strategic blind spot that will bring you down. Beaufre was most popularised and celebrated by the security establishment of the Apartheid South Africa which turned to 'total strategy' as the existential basis of the republic – gaining real traction in the 70's and 80's, especially when international pressure was stepped up and with the introduction of the new constitution. This was to the view that the South African Republic was the front line in a global war against communism – and made overtures to being a key NATO ally. White rule was presented in terms of being a benevolent rule that not only maintained the system of western liberal democracy, but a humanistic initiative which if anything was a moral burden on them to educate, feed, and care for the native population.

Today, most defenders of Apartheid South Africa continue to cite that it was the best system Africans have lived under ever and that its collapse has been a disaster for everyone – they still haven't learned their lesson. It was for this very reason that they lost – they lost because they went to the table with the New World Order to end apartheid at Lancaster house and the Kempton Park World Trade centre, thinking that they had won as they signed away their people to oblivion. From their own point of view, had their aims not been achieved in doing this? All ethnic groups would be allowed to continue together as one nation state like they had before without repercussion, the country would remain capitalist, respect property rights, and become accepted into the western sphere. The whites didn't factor into it, they were dispensable – in this world everybody gets what they deserve.

The moral here is that it is not enough just to have a plan – having the wrong plan is like having no plan at all, except you are doing the enemy's work for them. Always remember the strategic aim of any adversary is to alter perceptions of what friend and enemy are, and the greatest weapon – subversion, starts with the very cause you fight for.

South Africa-Rhodesia was one of our worst defeats, when a nation completely capitulated. A whole nation of people have been abandoned by the world and left to the mercy of their enemies – forced into poverty and butchered by the tens of thousands, and they haven't even begun yet. What never occurs to people is that this can and will happen to us. South Africa, a modern Western power comparable to Canada or Australia became just another African country in the blink of an eye without ever being consciously realised by the people.

1960's South Africa was so desirable that half a million British moved there, all believing they had a future even to the eleventh hour.

Originally, in the 1600's the plains of South Africa were uninhabited virgin land settled and built by Europeans. At the turn of the 20th century, South African whites enjoyed demographic parity with blacks and were a majority in most towns and cities. In 1950, demographers sympathetic to the National Party shocked everyone at a Stellenbosch University conference by stating that the black population could grow from 8 million to 20 million by the year 2000. Today, blacks in South Africa now represent 40 million, far overshooting the nightmare scenario of 1950. Nobody saw it coming back then – why is it different for Europeans who now form a minority in the major towns and cities of Western Europe and the United States?

Perhaps most famous of all was the claim made by Margaret Thatcher's press spokesman Bernard Ingham, who stated in 1987 that anyone who believed that the ANC would ever form the government of South Africa was "living in cloud cuckoo land" – only a few years later the ANC had assured for itself perpetual power that has lasted to this present day. The result?

The ANC and ZANU would progressively tear up agreements made at the time, which were based on complete reconciliation agreed as a permanent solution and instead over decades have passed more and more legislation under 'black economic empowerment' that went against the white minority.

Just like in 390 BC, when the Gaulic general Brennus ransomed the city of Rome for 1,000 pounds of gold. The Romans agreed to his terms but when they came to pay the scales were fixed. When the tribunes dared to complain Brennus took his sword and threw it on to the weights exclaiming: "*Vae victis!*", *for the conquered have no rights*, meaning the Romans were forced to bring even more gold to fulfil their obligation.

Victory could have been theirs but it is as if they suffered complete loss of will. The white war machine was winning the conflict against the insurgents by a wide margin, yet the victorious regiments still laid down their arms and handed over their power lock stock and barrel.

They were disbanded without honours, and trusted in their former enemies to defend their lives and property.

Europeans are lambs to the slaughter wherever they live, they are all ready to be butchered like animals – and the fact is that they will never realise it, even when they are boiling in a proverbial witchdoctor's stew pot.

Too much comfort is taken in our current strength, it means nothing in asymmetrical warfare, and it does not take account of just how fast the situation can deteriorate, especially when that change is imperceptible. What is imperceptible in this case was the enemy – the multicultural programme that was advanced not just by the insurgents, but the apartheid state itself – so the both sides came together. The origin of the stab in the back comes from being ideologically cuckolded.

The importance of total strategy is knowing for what it is that you fight. The role of subversion is to tap into energy flows because if they control both sides then the enemy will always win, always escape us, always have a way out. The enemy can jump from sinking ship to sinking ship, and from one ethnicity to another, which is what they are doing now – we on the other hand only have our people and if we lose that it is game over. They play both sides so they can control the dynamic as a false dynamic to mislead their enemies. You know this; they are the capitalists, but they are also the anti-capitalists. The enemy runs Zionism as a neoliberal outpost, but they also run Anti-Zionism as well because their programmes go through stages. The global structure takes out its main opponent, Islam through the Arab Spring, subversion. The enemy brings these agents into Europe – which engenders an Anti-Islam movement which they also run, because they redefined western values in advance as well.

In the end they both serve the same master and it all comes together. The only way to beat it is to reject that game.

REVOLUTION

The title of 'old Bolshevik' went to the 44,000 cadres who carried out the October Revolution 1917, whom Lenin called the 'thinnest layer' – that is out of a nation of 120 million people who submitted to their complete rule. Their successful revolution and establishment of a totalitarian state was a success story that came down to one important factor, and we would do well to learn from their method because the parallels we can draw to the situation today are more than you might think.

The success of the Bolsheviks was in the name; you have perhaps heard that the term 'Bolshevik' meant 'majority', as opposed to the Menshevik 'minority', this is because in the 1903 conference of the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party (RSDLP), which had only 360 members at that time, there was an internal split within the movement on which side the Bolsheviks had the most support, hence the name. Lenin the leader of the Bolshevik faction argued for a small party of professional revolutionaries with a large fringe of non-party sympathizers and supporters. Martov and the Mensheviks disagreed believing it was better to appeal to a large party of activists that pursued a political and constitutional route. What divided them in theory was how such an idea like communism could be practically implemented. The Bolshevik policy was the Dictatorship of principle – that after the revolution those in power should be men of the 'faith', *political soldiers* – because it followed that goals could only be achieved by complete adherence to principles and established aims and goals. The Mensheviks, as Trotsky would later emphasised believed in *dictatorship of the proletariat* as a romantic concept whereby communism will come about when 'workers power' is achieved. This idealistic liberation theology is not too unlike the prevailing current in modern nationalism in its obsession of vague sentiment.

It had the same contradictions – It says it represents the people, but it also claims to be the sole interpreter of what is basically abstraction. Claims to be revolutionary, while being the strongest force of moderation and collaboration. The problem with this approach was it was not revolutionary at all, it was the strategy of subversion; To bring down the system by creating problems and thereby forcing appeasement until they have integrated themselves into the power structure – the strategy of the parasite – the strategy of the Jew.

The Mensheviks themselves were a Jewish group; The Jew 'Martov' was born Tsederbaum, 'Pavel Axelrod' was born Borutsch, 'Fyodor Dan' was born Gurvitch – most famous of all was the Jew Leon Trotsky whose real name was Bronstein – Trotsky and others like him were Menshevik supporters right until the eve of the revolution when they sensed a change in the winds and cashed in. Indeed the Menshevik faction was so laden with Jews that the Bolshevik member of the Duma G.A. Alexinsky went as far as to argue that the 'the Mensheviks constituted a Jewish group, while the Bolsheviks constituted a true Russian group and, therefore, it wouldn't be a bad idea for us Bolsheviks to organise a pogrom in the party.

This is not to say that the Bolsheviks did not have a share of Jews, but the Mensheviks represented a purely Jewish socio-political interest and intellectuality. Stalin wrote; "Lenin is exasperated that God sent him such comrades as the Mensheviks! Really what kind of people are these! Martov, Dan, Axelrod - noting but uncircumcised Jews! And that old bitch Vera Zasulich! All right! Go work with them. They won't fight and there is no rejoicing in their feasting, cowards and shopkeepers. Don't the workers of Georgia know that the Jewish people produce only cowards who are useless in a fight?"



In 1910 this division deepened when the gentile Menshevik leader Plekhanov broke away from his faction and formed a new bloc with Lenin over the issue of 'Liquidisationism', the policy of the Menshevik leaders Trotsky, Martov, and Bogdanov which advocated wholehearted cooperation with the state Duma including liquidating the conspiratorial apparatus which was the main feature of the Bolshevik underground. Parallels should be drawn to our own legitimists that pander to state recognition even if it means alienating their own political core. Lenin declared "All the 'intelligentsia' are with the Liquidators." on December 20, 1913, in a letter to V.S. Voitinsky, he wrote, "the intelligentsia have cleared off (and good riddance to the whores) and the workers have found their own feet against the Liquidators."

This struggle with the Mensheviks continued even during the civil war. As everyone knows there were two revolutions, one in June 1917 that deposed the Tsar and installed a democratic coalition of reformist liberals and leftists. When Lenin returned he gave his April thesis which broke the Bolsheviks away from the RSDLP and established a separate party, the communist party which seized power in October/November – at its inception it had only 10,000 members, 364 of whom were Jews.

This began the civil war with the Bolsheviks on one side, and the liberal government on the other which actually included right wing members of the original Social Democratic Labour Party in what formed a very broad coalition – with the exception of reactionary generals, it was soviet at a ground level. It was the Local Soviet authority that invited the allied forces to land in Archangel and Baku, and rounded up the local Bolsheviks for the firing squad. The communist party chairman Sverdlov was beaten to death by workers because of his Jewish origins – a cover up the circumstances ensued to prevent anti-Semitic riots.

In this war that cost at least three million lives the Bolsheviks came out victorious in no small part

because of their rigid authority – while the multitude opposition melted, stabbed in the back by breakaway republics and crossed aims. After the Soviet Union was fully established the tribe came together again in 1925 forming what was called the 'left opposition' which many have interpreted as the beginning of the 4th international. This was led by Trotsky who was still chief of the red army, Kamenev (Rozenfeld) the head of state, and Zinoviev (Apfelbaum) head of the communist international. This group also included the other famous Bolshevik Jews in the party such as Krestinsky the People's Commissar for Finance, Karl Radek (Sobelsohn) the international revolutionary who would go on to lead communist revolutions in Austria and Germany becoming an SDP leader. This failed and they were all exiled and executed – Stalin whose role as secretary was to appoint officers played on the murderous instincts of the Jewish rank and file that made up 30% of the Cheka which was to their disadvantage – their brutality became a pretext for their own liquidation in subsequent purges.

It is for this reason that the left opposition or 'Jews' if we can call them that, split so violently with soviet communism. It still remains inconceivable the muscular neo-conservatism associated with the downfall of the Soviet Union was founded exclusively by Trotskyites (Michael Harrington) Jews (Leo Strauss), and Trotskyite Jews (Irving Kristol) the three key figures of the movement. The Most Jewish government in Russian History remains the first term of the Yeltsin's regime.

The moral here is that in the struggle most of the enemies are on our own so called 'side' and will have to be thrown under the bus. Our 'thinnest layer' who are slogging it out today on the streets and the back channels will always be ridden and plagued by parasites, spat on and disregarded. Their work will never be done and they can never tire in their vigilance – only to continue kicking the bodies that fall by the wayside.

COUNTER-REVOLUTION

Much like our situation today the Bolsheviks were up against a formidable adversary, The Okhrana were in their day arguably the finest security service in the world, pioneering the first attempt at what we might call a 'surveillance state'. They were the first law enforcement organisation to use surreptitious photography and phone tapping. They operated internationally with agents sent after dissidents around the globe from a headquarters in Paris. Across the empire its offices in all the large cities networked into a national database with a filing of the kind modern intelligence agencies would recognise. A communist might escape a gulag in Siberia and travel across the country to his home region only to be captured by agents who, once informed, would have been able to pull up a thick file which contained everything from detailed minutes of their attendance at a foreign conference to their library cards and school grades. Stalin himself, who was not to rise to the upper hierarchy of the Bolshevik party until 1917 had rung up an extensive file just from his days as a student which was later preserved for posterity.

The Tsars had always had a secret police, but the assassination of Alexander II in 1880 by political activists of the type we have come to know in modern times required a modern intelligence service. The Bolsheviks were not the first of their kind – innovations such as dynamite made terrorism, and its mentality to commit such acts an effective agent. The austere view of a political activist presented by the Mastermind of the plot, Sergey Nechayev, in his manifesto *The Revolutionary Catechism*, sheds light on what they were up against. The first line reads:

"The revolutionary is a doomed man. He has no personal interests, no business affairs, no emotions, no attachments, no property, and no name. Everything in him is wholly absorbed in the single thought and the single passion for revolution."

Lev Tikhomirov a defector from the People's Will reinforced the reality of this viewpoint "The very way of life of the terrorist has a stupefying effect. It is the life of a hunted wolf. The dominant awareness is that he must be prepared to perish not just today or tomorrow, but any second ... Apart from five to ten like minded persons, one must deceive from morning to night literally everyone: one must hide from everyone, suspect in everyone an enemy ... one needs extraordinary fortitude to think and work at all under such unnatural conditions. But even those who possess it, unless they extricate themselves from the quagmire of their situation simply go under. For individuals of less calibre, these perpetual intrigues with spies, false passports, conspiratorial apartments, dynamites, ambushes, dreams of murders, escapes prove even more disastrous."

Okhrana penetration of political movements was legendary. In the end The People's Will was decimated by one spy Sergei Degaev, who worked his way to the top of the organisation through informing on all his rivals. The Socialist Revolutionary Party that kicked off the 1905 revolution, in addition to being almost entirely Jewish, was entirely compromised; the disastrous demonstration on 'bloody Sunday' was led by Father Gapon an Okhrana agent Provocateur. When he was reported he was tried and executed by the head of the SRP's Military Wing Yevno Azef, himself an Okhrana agent. When these facts became public. This also set the precedent for intelligence agencies that allow their assets to commit unethical and illegal acts.

Their systematic policy was to infiltrate and sow division. Even the Bolsheviks themselves were riddled with spies. Lenin's closest confidant Jacob Zhitomirsky was an Okhrana asset – when he was unmasked after assuming power Lenin buried his head in his hands as to how he could have been 'mixed up in that', he had been recruited in 1902.

These men were all informants – picked up by the authorities in the course of their revolutionary careers – and what is common to all of them is that they had all gone on record as justifying it, believing that they were still serving their cause as double agents.

By 1914 the system declared itself victorious in the intelligence war against the revolutionary underground with the ringleaders all exiled or rounded up. How quickly the whole world can turn upside-down. In the end, despite it all; the Bolsheviks won, and they won for a simple reason; The system the Okhrana served was *corrupt* and the agents were prevented from doing their job. They did not engender in themselves the vigorous belief in the righteousness of their cause the Bolsheviks had, and the ability to see in the other the chief danger. The gambit of the Bolsheviks was to be there to seize the moment – during peacetime they were an absurdity, so the security services had their hands tied.

There are many parallels that can be drawn between the modern west and the crumbling Russian Empire, and the argument made here is that the Tsarist regime was too liberal to survive. By 1905 the threat to the system was not Bolshevik terrorism but the emergence of parliamentary democracy which not only posed an existential threat to the Tsar, but made Russia weak; the first Duma election saw an assembly dominated by A liberal group called the Kadets, and groups like this required appeasement.

Strong vigorous governments should not fear revolution; In the Soviet Union a figure like Dzhugashvili would never have lived to become Stalin – who under Tsarism was imprisoned no less than six times, as were the other leading Bolsheviks. The execution of any political dissident would have set a precedent and caused an outrage.

Perhaps one of the most famous cases was that of Vera Zasulich who was acquitted for attempted assassination after shooting Colonel Trepov, governor of St Petersburg a defending lawyer turned the trial

into a farcical and dramatic prosecution of the victim and the system he served. Things like that exposed the soft underbelly of self doubt and weakness in the regime. It might be argued that modern technology makes comparison invalid, but what failed Tsarism though was the human element, and humans are much the same, though if anything the three thousand Okhrana believed to have fallen in the line of duty were a superior type to their modern counterparts of clockwatchers.

No, the great secret to the success of the Bolsheviks was they were helped – aided directly by the Okhrana. From the Okhrana point of view the Bolshevik plan was infeasible, but it's campaign of bombings, robberies, and assassinations served their interests because their actions discredited and caused problems for the parliamentary leftists, and so the Okhrana favoured the Bolsheviks over the Mensheviks because they wanted to drive the movement underground where it couldn't do harm. It is debatable how actively they were facilitated – but many turned agents like Stalin are proven to have been given printing equipment, financing, means of escape, and protection on numerous occasions. They served their interests because they were another problem to deal with not a true enemy that had to be fought.

Time and again we have seen instances where the security services have created their own worst nightmare. One example of this being Hezbollah, which had originally been an unintended creation of Mossad as part of a major operation undertaken to support Islamic movements to act as a counterbalance against the secular PLO. This was never planned or part of some deliberate conspiracy – but an inherent flaw of the intelligence agency itself which is not a political ideological agent - it understands things in conservative terms, so it will always work against conservative enemies, not realising that by doing so they are assuring the possibility of revolutionary change.

BLACKSHIRTS

If you ask the question of where we need to look for the most practical examples of what we can learn from and apply to ourselves today, British Union was the most significant – no other political movements even compare when you really look at the history. Not only was this movement the closest we ever came to a genuine people's rebellion, but it was one based on great ideals – testified to by the dedication of its followers and the ability of the organisation to uphold its values in the face of challenges. It is instructive in lessons to teach us and examples to follow, studying these will prove the highest value to us. It is only regrettable that my examples have to be limited – but the point will be made.

In general study of the movement there are two historic criticisms that are levelled against BU;

1) The original party was insignificant because it did not achieve its aims: When it really counted 32-39 the BUF failed the ultimate test, which was to form a government.

2) It made grave tactical errors in adopting such an unacceptably hard-line ideology and other things that made unacceptable to the British public.

These positions will be discredited because in the final equation, we will find that neither were important in judging the effectiveness of the organisation – what counted is that it fought and survived, it lived for greater priorities in a much bigger picture. The tone of the historians is dismissive, yet, they clearly achieved something as the body of literature that exists on the movement is colossal. If we are to accept the historians quip that the BUF does not deserve this attention when comparing its electoral record to thousands of dead parties - only implies that we are not dealing with men so much as the shadow they cast over a fragile era. Not just a terrifying memory but something that is still with us today.

We can only truly understand fascism by looking at it in its embryonic state; 'Fascism in a petri dish'. British Union was never corrupted by the intrigues of power and politics, but the sheer body of material devoted to them allows us to understand the movement at a human level – which is where our own struggle to find ourselves starts. The more we study this very small minority the closer we are to mapping the genome of fascism.

There two broad points to bare in mind

1. The organisation that was exceedingly tough:

Its values remained consistent for the period of its active life over three decades, and covered many generations from the war generation to the teddy boys. This was due in no small part to a party core of fanatical and willing cadres.

2. Fascism as a Unitary doctrine; *The flag of British union was a testament to truth, even when it blew this way and that, all who walked under it knew its true direction.*

What is instructive is how the movement combined many different currents; The assertive Fascism of Mosley, Thomson's social theory, the cultural Nationalism of Chesterton, the modernism of Lewis, the anti-Semitism of Joyce, the Blood and Soil of Jenks, The Social Credit of Douglas, - Social Darwinism, Romanticism, Esotericism, Revolutionism, etc – In my opinion these are all authentic Fascist attitudes. In our current time they have all gone their separate ways and as a result have lost the dynamic element that made them previously effective – their fascist soul. This proves Fascism was not a coalition of socio-political doctrines, but as with any other generic concept, they were beliefs that all share the same thinking and the capturing of this thought in the underlying generational struggle of that time brought the significance that allowed all these things to be fought for under a united banner.

THE KAMPFZEIT

The specific events and 'showbiz' years of the UK's Fascist political party from 1932 to 1940 do not require summary – let this serve as an introduction. What is being conveyed here is the feelings of the time and personalities of the individuals.

The quality of the British Union member was not only 'class A', but was openly supported by many of the greatest figures of the day - men of genuine accomplishment; Wyndam Lewis, Henry Williamson, Ezra Pound, Roy Campbell – all politically purged from modern anthologies, but still known to every student throughout the world. The Pioneers A.V.Roe (Avro Aircraft), William Morris (Morris Motor cars), Sir John Chamier (Air Cadets), and Malcolm Campbell who broke the land speed record in the iconic Bluebird which he adorned with the BUF lightning bolt. Elements of the establishment; The Dukes of Bedford, Wellington, Hamilton, Buccleuch along with many other aristocrats, air officers, admirals, and commanders most notably Mj Gen J.F.C Fuller regarded by Hitler as the father of modern warfare was also a politician in his own right. All these respected men supported this radical movement, only after it called itself 'Fascist' which seized on some key energy flow within society that was angry.

In 1943 William Joyce reflected:

"I joined the first Fascist movement in Britain on 6th December 1923 I saw that night in Battersea the mob violence, the Red Flags, the broken heads and the broken bodies, the typical evidence of the disruption which Communism can bring into a nation; and while I heard the dismal wail of the 'Red Flag' intoned by the sub-men out for blood, I thought of Mussolini and of what he had been able to do for Italy."

Mosley's own account of the circumstance:

"I was half soldier and half politician. Around me by then were men wearing every medal for gallantry the army had to offer. It is difficult to say exactly in retrospect how and when they came. As the sense of crisis in the mass of the people deepened and our struggle intensified, they seemed to appear from nowhere, from the limbo into which Britain all too often casts those who have served it well"

Before joining British Union, Alexander Raven Thomson had written 'civilization and divine superman' (1932) a work on the German philosopher Oswald Spengler. What the rank and file admired about him most was that unlike the majority of intellectuals he had the courage to come out of the study and fight for his ideas in the streets of Britain, and stay the course despite all the danger this brought. He was an example of what Mosley called Thought-Deed man: somebody who could both think and act. He was one of the two Blackshirts Mosley named in My Life. Raven's commitment to Mosley never wavered. While dying in St. George's hospital, Hyde Park corner, he still found the strength and will to drag himself out of bed to attend one final important union movement conference

Physical danger became a key aspect from the very beginning of the young movement when it was attacked by Jews and Communists – a reign of terror and bitter street fighting that would last decades. "We were facing what amounted to a military organisation with an amateur improvisation. We were fighting professionals, the communists who were past masters of organised violence, and we must ourselves become professionals..."

The lesson here is that British Union set its own agenda, it infuriated its enemies and still does to this day just by having existed and never compromised itself – most notably when the organisation refused to compromise its militancy and its principles when establishment support in the form of the newspaper magnate Lord Rothermere threatened to drop the movement when Jewish advertisers complained.

British union had identified the importance of maintaining its agency as an independent actor and to set its own precedent, to which the enemy had to react. Nailing the colours of British Union to another mast, to fly only with transient currents would have ensured the movement inevitably faded. By standing and making its enemies react instead, the party was able to perpetually adapt, which ensured it would be able to name its enemies. Judge for yourself the necessity of this;

In an article entitled '*forward in Fascism*', for the Fascist Quarterly 1936, Mosley Wrote "In retrospect, it must moreover be acknowledged that our resistance to the Jewish assault has been as triumphant as it proved to be needful. because the Jews would not suffer a British movement to work, think, and feel for Britain alone, because they would have plunged us into catastrophic strife with a great people whose Leader has saved Europe from communism, they have found themselves hurled back upon a steadily declining defensive, as they evoked in Britain an Anti-Semitic sentiment for which they have themselves to blame. We may proudly reflect that unless our movement had possessed the courage to challenge the menace of Jewish International Finance and Jewish Bolshevism, it would not only have failed to justify the confidence of the people: it would have evaded the crucial test, from the fire of which it has emerged as a thing of tempered steel"

This was formalised in 1938 in *Tomorrow with Live* with the chapter *the Jewish Question – the Final Solution*. A frank account of Jewish practice, violence, and incompatibility with European civilization. Mosley later welcomed the exodus of all European Jews to Palestine as essential to the European recovery, though he had chided "I hope we can leave it to the Arabs".

The clarity of this righteous anti-Semitism was perhaps best summed up by an anonymous contributor in an article titled 'My country England' in Blackshirt Nov 1933 "Germany a conquered, beaten country is rising from the ashes of despair and defeat – a new country, a land of hope, fired by a patriot – a patriot who is fanning the flames of patriotism into the blood of its youth, teaching them pride in their fatherland, to which I cry as an Englishman "All Hail Hitler" – he is clearing the country of its parasites, these sycophants who know no allegiance to any country. Come brother Englishmen, let us rise as our forefathers have done before us, rise and cleanse our beloved country of its alien leeches, and once again be proud of ourselves, our country, and our language."

THE SACRED FLAME

From the outset the movement also established itself as more than 'just another political party'. To its followers British Union offered a powerful redemptive vision of self sacrifice and martyrdom to achieve a national and racial rebirth which drew heavily on metaphors, mysticism, and Christian symbolism.

This theme is most noticeable in Mosley's Speech *Comrades in Struggle* (1938) In this he continued to refer to his followers as 'my Blackshirts', long after legislation had made the wearing of political uniforms an offence

"Brother Blackshirts... Our fight is for the soul, and in that battle we go forward together till victory be won. Our struggle is hard, because we are fighting for something great, and great things are not lightly or easily gained. ...

In the true revolutionary, the first quality is the power to endure ...

We care not whether we win tomorrow morning or at the end of a lifetime of labour and of struggle. For to us the little calculations of the little men mean nothing. All we care is that win we will because Britain demands it and no power on earth can hold down the will within us...

In the great moments of supreme struggle and decision it is easy to hold that character, even in supreme sacrifice. It is not so easy in the hard daily task. It is then even more that in the great fights we have together that I would like to be the companion of every one of you. I would like to be with every action team that carries the message of our new faith to new streets ... For these are the jobs that come, by the dedication of thousands to that mission of leading the people in their own homes and streets, revolution is won. In that task I cannot in body be with everyone of you every day. But in spirit I am with you always. Because this work of the dedicated Blackshirt will win the Britain to which my whole spirit is given.

Together in Britain we have lit a flame that the atheists shall not extinguish. Guard that sacred flame my brother Blackshirts until it illumines Britain and lights again the path of mankind."

The 'flame' had been a persistent theme from the beginning of the movement ;

OM *Blackshirt Policy*:

"Strong arms bear forward the mighty conception of Britain reborn and united. Indomitable spirits declare that come what may this thing shall be. The light spreads over England at Christmas, 1933, and the marching legions in their ordered strength move forward to a new and Greater Day."

OM Albert Hall 1934:

"Hold high the head of Britain, lift strong the voice of Empire. Let us to Europe and the World proclaim that the heart of this great people is undaunted and invincible. This flag still challenges the winds of destiny. This flame still burns. This glory shall not die. The soul of Empire is alive, and Britain again dares to be great."

Speech after the Battle of Cable street 1936:

"We never surrender ... We shall triumph over the parties of corruption because our faith is greater than their faith, our will is stronger than their will, and within us the flame that shall light this country and shall later light the world."

Brief mention should be made of the pugilistic Hero of Cable street, Royal Navy boxing champion Tommy Moran – the following are two accounts of his infamous stand:

"On arrival the street fight was at its height and I saw my old friend Tommy Moran go down from a blow to the head from what looked like a pickaxe handle – as it turned out to be, covered in barbed wire. He appeared to be badly injured with blood pouring from a gash high on the forehead and across the scalp. To my amazement within minutes I saw him rise from the ground, blood seeping from a roughly bandaged head, and re-enter the fray putting many of his opponents to the ground. We won that scrimmage and made our way to our assembly point. It always surprises me that in the heat of battle one seldom experiences fear, and the example and sheer guts of Tommy on that occasion never loses its clarity. He was a great fighter and a source of constant inspiration." – John Charnley

"Whenever an old newsreel of 'The Battle of Cable Street' of October 1936 is shown on television Tommy's lone figure may be seen, surrounded by attackers whom he picked off one by one with straight lefts and rights, until felled from behind by a blow from a leg of a chair, around which barbed wire had been wrapped. He had been waylaid as he made his way to the assembly point for the march which never took place, and gave such a good account of himself that when he eventually collapsed in a pool of his own blood, opponents lay around him in a circle." – Jeffery Hamm, Union Movement General Secretary, *Action Replay* (1983)

This enduring image symbolic of a cause that, outnumbered, did its job fought and went down with honours, ensuring it would live another day.

When it all ended on May 30 1940 the last issue of *Action* Moran wrote:

"Mosley has never depended upon the brains of democratic professional politicians, and he based his firm faith on the spirit and intelligence of the man in the street.

Leaders, he has said, will arise from the masses, and given a job to do they will carry out the task.

Now is the time, the real testing time of that principle, when every Blackshirt, man and woman alike, can take upon themselves the responsibility of leadership and prove by individual initiative that the spirit of Mosley shall live forever".

THE PEACE CAMPAIGN

Mosley had known the war would be a terrible disaster, describing to Hitler the prospect of war between Britain and Germany as being like

"two splendid young men fighting each other until they both fall exhausted and bleeding to the ground, when the jackals of the world would mount triumphant on their bodies."

Let us never forget that the British people wanted peace and could have had it. On the 16th of July 1939 on the eve of war Oswald Mosley packed the largest hall in the world Earls Court with 30,000 people – during the war giant blimps would be repaired under its 118 foot ceiling.

John Charnley recalled :

"I was positioned in the top gallery, and the atmosphere of the evening, as the time of the meeting approached, was intense. Proceedings opened with a fanfare of trumpets, followed by the pageantry of the British Union Drum Corps leading the massed flags and Honour Standards of hundreds of branches. It was a huge hall, with a vast audience, most of whom were anti-war even if they were not all pro-Mosley. The people began to stand up and cheer. The cry "Mosley... Mosley... Mosley... Mosley" echoed down the hall, rising up to the balcony in an ever-increasing crescendo of sound. The suddenly the whole audience was on its feet. They clapped, they roared, they cheered. And Mosley hadn't even arrived! ... in the far distance you could see the figure in black. The uniform had been abolished, but there stood Mosley in a dark suit, black shirt and tie. He marched down the centre aisle unescorted, and as he did so the cheers began to rise, developing and expanding until I thought the roof would come down! This was the man upon whom we had pinned our hopes, the man who could save our country and Empire, and lift our people from poverty and demoralisation to ever greater heights! He made his way towards the most unusual plinth upon which he was to speak, a sort of boom projecting into the auditorium. The people were shouting and cheering, and just going mad. He raised his hand, and slowly... silence. Then he began. I think it was the finest speech he ever delivered. At many points he had to stop speaking because of the wave of applause... I was certain in my own mind that though we were on the point of war, we had finally broken through the barrier of opposition to our campaign and that the forces of muddle and evil that were dragging Britain into bitterness and bloodshed would be defeated! We were there! ... we'd achieved it...! We had everything to live for! People were shouting and cheering, laughing, and yes...crying. I could not believe that after such a mighty demonstration for peace, war could possibly come. It was the greatest demonstration for peace that this country has seen or is ever likely to".




Cadet Unit Leader



Cadet Section Leader



Cadet Sub-Company Leader



Cadet Company Commis.



Cadet Treasurer





Member of the 'Cadets', the BUF youth organisation

Tommy Moran at the Battle of Cable Street




A bullet hole in the screen of Mosley's car, from an assassination attempt in Hull 1936. Mosley had appointed 9 leading figures to replace him if he was killed.




The fearsome insignia of British Union's Director General (Neil Francis Hawkins) and the Chief of Staff (Ian Hope Dundas)



The final destination of most British Union detainees during the war was Peveril Camp, Peel. The Isle of Man had become 'the island of barbed wire.' This photo shows Mooragh Camp.



Union Movement meeting 1951



18b internment forage cap



A fascist biker (note the flash on the front of vehicle). On his return to East London Mosley was greeted with a welcoming committee of outriders



Alexander Raven Thomson speaking in East London 1945

REBIRTH OF WARTIME
INTERMENT

The Return of Oswald Mosley to politics in 1947 horrified the all consensus media, who united in disapproval. The Picture post even went so far as to commission the services of a Harley Street Psychologist who concluded that Oswald Mosley was offering his disciples the opportunity to subsume their individual identity into a 'father-Mother-God' figure. It is not inaccurate to say that the movement was a political religion, and the 'flame' to which Mosley referred. This view was best summarised by one of his leading lieutenants Hector McKechnie who said in his advisory committee hearing, said in a moment of candid genuflection that "I accept Sir Oswald Mosley in the same way the average catholic accepts to pope". It was said by a special branch agent who followed his meetings, that his followers would touch the hem of his suit or pat him on the back as he walked past "in the hope the ritual would impart some measure of divine fortification". One mother would later tell her son "I've touched him, now, I have the strength to carry on." "I am not ashamed that I love Mosley, I adore him, he is the leader we want" said another devotee.

A striking example is John Charnley's reflection that "The death of Oswald Mosley on the 3rd December 1980 created a void in my life which nothing can replace. I suffered a permanent devastation of spirit which simply defies description, and which cannot be assuaged. No words can ever convey or express my sense of loss."

This is hard for anyone to understand, but hopefully it conveys the seriousness. The great loyalty, commitment, and devotion to a cause, expressed by followers of Oswald Mosley may seem madness to a lot of people. If that really is so then it is the right kind madness that will save us and preferable to the madness of having thousands of conscious nationalists who will believe in and fight for nothing.

To understand this devotion in real terms we have to return to the second of may 1940 when the cadres of British Union were interred under regulation 18B. The wartime experience was an ideological litmus test as to just how seriously people took all these words which even now may appear as hollow bluster. "Onward, Blackshirts ! form your legions,

Keep the flag for ever high.

For the Fascist Greater Britain Stand we fast to fight or die !"
and shouted, "M-O-S-L-E-Y" Fate would judge the truth of those beliefs and prove that they were real.

In January 1942 Adolf Hitler remarked "On the day when the English set free their nine thousand Fascists, these men will tear the guts out of the plutocrats, and the problem will be solved. In my view, when there are nine thousand men in a country who are capable of facing prison from loyalty to an idea, this idea remains a living one. And as long as a man is left to carry the flag, nothing is lost. Faith moves mountains... The effect of internment cannot be understated" (*table talk*) The effect of that internment was in fact to crystallise and radicalise its membership for exactly this reason as is reflected in the writings of those who went through it at the time.

This did not apply to every Blackshirt, but importantly it gave rise to new type of Blackshirt with a soldierly attitude. Some 'career fascists' that thrived in peace time conditions were faced with ruin of home, business, and family – in the advisory committee hearing every internee was offered the carrot of possible early release if they were repentant and prepared to enlist for national service – and the stick for those who stuck to their principles.

Internment allowed for the full flowering of political belief, the BUF had never had genuine political martyrs, their own equivalent of Horst Wessel, Corneliu Codreanu, José Antonio Primo de Rivera. For The Blackshirts the myth of internment would serve the functional equivalent of 'heroes death is the highest honour' in Nazi pantheon. This tradition of martyrdom and sacrifice in the service of a higher cause remains as potent as the enduring image of Captain Oats walk from the camp, and explosive as the IRA hunger striker Bobby Sands.

Charlie Watts had been the popular district leader of British Union's Westminster St George branch. In 1940, he was one of the selected few Blackshirts to be taken to the notorious 'Hell Camp 020', Latchmere house, Ham Common, where what the intelligence service call the 'five techniques' were first developed; weeks of psychological torture, in a semi starvation regime of solitary confinement, to force 'confessions' out of suspected spies. Charnley recalled "They did not 'break' Charlie. He in fact broke his interrogators, but in the process over the week 'course' his dark hair turned to greying-white."



"We ask those who join us to march with us in a great and hazardous adventure. We ask them to be prepared to sacrifice all, but to do so for no small and unworthy ends. We ask them to dedicate their lives to building in this country a movement of the modern age, which by its British expression shall transcend, as often before in our history, every precursor of the Continent in conception and in constructive achievement. We ask them to re-write the greatest pages of British history by finding for the spirit of their age its highest mission in these islands. Neither to our friends nor to the country do we make any promises; not without struggle and ordeal will the future be won. Those who march with us will certainly face abuse, misunderstanding, bitter animosity, and possibly the ferocity of struggle and of danger. In return, we can only offer to them the deep belief that they are fighting that a great land may live."

Oswald Mosley *The Greater Britain* (1932), warning of hardship and sacrifice

Charlie was moved to Ascot camp where Tommy Moran was leader, and had already organised the camp along fascistic lines, which included its own 'police force'. Signalling his own attempt to keep the flame burning he founded the *Hail Mosley and Fuck 'Em All Association*, a decision of pivotal importance; many detainees signed up, HMFEA began to be chalked up around the camp, and it became the unofficial greeting in the camp used to signal unwavering support for Mosley. The origin of the phrase was no empty vaunt – according to his own account, Charlie screamed it as he leapt up saluting during his interrogation – to the Blackshirts it testified to their purity of belief over the directionless moral vacuity of the British state. Soon afterwards Watts was approached by the leadership to the view of organising an event to celebrate the 8th anniversary of the BUF – held in a large hall and presided over by regional representatives of the BUF who delivered speeches;

“We are bound together by a band of steel which will not break because the band of steel is - Mosley!”

“We, the representatives of National Socialism in this miserable camp can do our bit. Let us live as National Socialists within the camp as an example to people. Let us live as National Socialists instead of in the democratic ways that brought us here.”

“No matter what the government do, they cannot put out this flame... *Together in Britain we have lit a flame that the ages shall not extinguish. Guard that sacred flame my brother Blackshirts until it illumines Britain and lights again the path of mankind.*”

A toast was made to Mosley's health. Watts recalled “this was the signal for the curtains of the stage to be drawn aside to reveal a life size portrait of the leader. It was a remarkable likeness and the effect it had on the assembled Blackshirts was terrific The whole audience sprang to their feet, their pent up emotion and fervour rushed to the surface and burst forth with as passionate a cry of salutation – Hail Mosley! – as I have ever heard. I shall never Forget that moment.”

This enforced inactivity for the Blackshirts provided rejuvenation akin to the myth of Landsburg fortress; Long periods of free and focussed discussion that rather than being its end; gave the party *Mein Kampf*, seminars, and established an ambitious plan for the future that saw it though to complete victory.

The HMFEA Association began to evolve and take on the form of a revived political party; it produced an aptly titled newspaper 'Unity' produced through the clandestine ratline communication network that kept all the other camps in communication with one another, gradually developing an increasingly integrated community into what would become on release a dedicated, homogenous, and now, nationwide network of Fascists. The significance was that British Union was able to maintain a *presence* as something more than the individual – natural isolation and otherwise demoralising moves by the authorities to break up organisation were weathered because the semblance of a movement for which to suffer and believe in had been re-established.

All this did not pass without comment; MI5 expressed actual regret and concern for the future. The system policy of arbitrary detention had left the fox among the chickens, ensuring British Union would survive the war – “*the best men meet in prison*”.

This had only occurred because the system had never been able to recognise the sincerity of fascist belief, such was that the detainees did not have to undergo any equivalent of *de-nazification*. It was their aim to change their thinking but their methods only ever appealed to rational self interest. The state was impotent and succeeded only in forcing the organisation underground.

As Lord MacCauley had famously stated of the puritans “Persecution produced its natural effect on them. It found them a sect; it made them a faction.” There is a clear parallel that can be drawn between the religious nature of these clandestine groups and the gatherings of the early Christians under persecution. Outlawed, they met in secret sites called *Tituli* which were to become great churches.



Clockwise from top left;
Alexander Raven Thomson, John Charnley, Jeffery Hamm, Charlie Watts.

The significance of this goes back to the public order act of 1936 which was passed specifically to deprive the BUF of their uniforms outside private meetings. This had the effect of transforming the role of the political uniform from the public theatre that projected power and glamour to a highly ritualised act of defiance to reinforce the dedicated activists. Historian Stanley Payne argued that the central purpose of these meetings was to induct the participants into “a mystical community of ritual that appealed to the aesthetic and spiritual sense as well as the political.”

Towards the end of the war Charlie Watts wrote this optimistic letter to Jorian Jenks

“In various ways we prepare for the future. And let us have no delusion as to the enormity of this task. As I sit in my little room Looking out over the sea I sometimes wonder will we have faith enough to carry us through? ... typical of thousands scattered all over the country and in every service – many alas having paid the supreme sacrifice to their country – whose names have not been forgotten.

Men who have pledged themselves to Mosley and to Britain and who are ready and waiting to follow our great leader in the service of our country wheresoever he may lead; if necessary – and perhaps for some of us – to death.

Yes with men like these, twelve months of detention granted to us because of our fervent belief in political patriotism seems worthwhile and realize that there is no need to wonder. The faith is there. The spirit is there. The sacred flame can never be extinguished.”

The practical lesson was how these men would survive the isolation of civilian life, deprived of community – the fascist underground was to begin. Charlie Watts remained a fine example of a galvanized unrepentant fascist, even in his place of work. In 1945 he led a group of Blackshirts in the defacing of a statue of Lenin in Finsbury, Islington – forcing it to be moved to the town hall where it remained until the Blair Government finally swept it under the rug.

Another Blackshirt J. P. Sheddick wrote “I live only for the day when the present rotten, corrupt, decadent system will finally collapse through its own eternal decay, and on the ruins of the old we will build the new – and what a clean sweep we will make of that day! All the fat greasy Asiatic parasites who have battered on our country for so long will find the day that the day of reckoning has arrived – and Britain will once again be British.” He was Secretary for the Mayflower Book Club in Plymouth, there were dozens of these book clubs up and down the country during the period 1946 to 1948 and it was these that were the principal front organisations for the Mosley Movement. In February 1948 the Mayflower Book Club, along with about 50 other such book clubs and small nationalist parties, were folded into Union Movement, Mosley's post-War party launched in February 1948.

John Charnley recalled the first conference with Mosley after the war; “The occasion was so highly charged with emotion that only the coldest blooded human could have failed to be influenced by the atmosphere. He was our beloved leader, reunited with his comrades who were straining at the leash to take up the struggle once again, and to march beside him, no matter what the cost. No task was too hard, no goal beyond striving, no price too high. We passed through the fire, scorched but with unbroken spirit, and united, but awaiting the call to carry out what had been interrupted those few but indeterminable years ago.”

UNION MOVEMENT

Mosley had already signalled his own second coming as early as 1946 with the book ‘My Answer’ an unrepentant defence of his wartime stand which contained the 8th edition of ‘Tomorrow We Live’, to indicate to his followers that he had not only remained true to pre-war beliefs, but that hoped to continue the struggle. His attack came shortly after, history will remember that it was Oswald Mosley who gave Europe hope with his vision of life out of the smouldering rubble.

The significance of Mosley in this period was to ‘reinvent’ the concept of fascism – with ‘Europe a Nation’ he wiped the slate clean and provided a new common purpose for Europeans who had been bitterly divided by the war. This reinvention should be looked at as the basis of any plan for ideological rejuvenation and reconstruction;

With Mussolini and Hitler ‘silenced’, Mosley remarked solemnly in the opening of *The Alternative* (1947)

“The past has imposed the duty of the future: I must do this thing because no other can”.

In practicality the plan had to operate underground. The assumption that fascism disappeared after 1945 is fuelled by this lack of a paper trail which has only added to the impression of Fascism being on the margins. The next 20 years would in fact be marked by extensive activity networking, and political action.

The Jewish Insurgency campaign in Palestine began after the war and by 1948, 784 British soldiers and civilians had been killed, not including targets on British soil. Because of this the Fascists found they enjoyed Parity with the Jews and saw opportunity to open up a conflict across East London where Anti-Semitic riots had already broken out and 1/7 of all violent assaults in Britain were against Jews. By this time the ‘soldiers’ were already in place, as it was also formed out of many action units, the largest of which were Jeffrey Hamm's British League of Ex-Servicemen and Women which had operated since 1944, Anthony Gannon's Imperial Defence League, Victor Burgess's Union of British Freedom, and Tommy Moran's Sons of St George. The Northwest racial Task Force founded by John Martin Gastor under the slogan ‘*Wir Kommen Wieder*’ which firebombed synagogues. Mosley marched an impressive 1,500 Union Movement members through the streets of Camden and had members on street corners across east London and engaged (mostly defending) in as many as 27 battles a day with the Jewish ‘43 group’ who vowed to stamp out fascism.

THE FASCIST INTERNATIONAL

'International nationalism' was not a new concept, Mosley had already met not only with heads of state Hitler and Mussolini, but also Antonio Primo de Rivera, Father Charles Coughlin, and other prominent European Fascists before the war. Mosley had already proposed the groundwork of Europe a Nation in an essay *The World Alternative* in *Fascist Quarterly* 1936, this was republished in 1937 in the Nazi periodical *Zeitschrift fur Geopolitik* to which Hitler expressed the sentiment 'Es ist Fabelhaft'. What Mosley had called for was an 'Extension of Patriotism' for the redemptive biological mission of saving all Europeans from annihilation – far from being idealistic as critics alleged, it served a practical basis for the priority of reconsolidating the underground remnants of fascism with international links and support. Mosley created the 'European Secretariat' staffed by two lawyers Guy Chesham (who ran Mosley's Publishing House Eurphorion Books) and Francis Parker Yockey (Author of *Imperium*) whose job was to form contacts and penetration with fascists across Europe.

The year he was finally given a passport in 1950 (which had been denied on the grounds that "the activities in which sir Oswald Mosley may engage in abroad are likely to be prejudicial to the relations of His majesties Government and foreign countries") he spent 300 days abroad building the 'third force' with official visits to the fascist movements of Spain and Italy where his work would be translated. A conference was held by all remnant fascist movements in Malmo headed by legendry Stuka ace Hans-Ulrich Rudel representing the Asociacion Argentina Europa. He became a close friend with Mosley who would travel to Chile and Argentina to meet dozens of fascist exiles; Carlo Scorza (General secretary of the PNF), Milan Stojadinovic (*Vodja* of Yugoslavia), Eugenio d'Ors (Catalan philosopher), Georges Gulliband (Rexist and chief of *Milice*), Pablo de Vargo (*Arrow Cross* chief) all heads of their own respective organisations. In this early period UM fell under dozens of international associations which culminated in the Treaty of Venice

That formed the National Party of Europe. The role of British Union was significant during this period and Mosley's journal *The European* provided the forum for fascists globally during this desperate time.

It is not an understatement that links like these kept many fascist organisations and in cases individuals, alive, as they became players in the context of the cold war. A lesson is that early contact with as many as possible in the embryonic stage is important as these links will blossom and provide opportunities at a later date. Today the role of outside support cannot be underestimated.

STORMING THE BASTILE

Despite its weakness at home Union Movement had a really fantastic ability to seize the day in a way no other have been able to do since. A modern party in a modern setting not too different to our own, we can draw the following conclusions as to the success of the movement

1. UM was about preparation, Resources were always to hand and the organisation constantly searched for new sources of power and investment
2. UM was a courageous organisation that never minced words and grabbed issues by the root
3. UM included hundreds of brave and committed agents who lived for the party.
4. In 1945 Mosley asked his most loyal and able bodied followers to infiltrate influential organisations for espionage and leverage. Bob Saunders, Jorian Jenks, John Charnley, and Charlie Watts provide positive examples when they assumed leading roles in the NFU, BSA, SCT, and the PCC. Like how it had revived through the Palestine crisis, UM seized quickly in a spate of attacks in Notting Hill a centre of the mass immigration. Following a Mosley rally addressed by Hamm in 1958 Union Movement raised a mob of 400 teddy boys who ran from the meeting screaming "Kill all the Niggers!". They stormed Bramley Road attacking the houses of West Indian residents. These hundreds swelled to thousands in what later became known as the Notting Hill Race Riots, which lasted weeks and spread to other parts of the country like Nottingham.

This marked a crucial turning point and radicalised British politics over night, the problem became 'race' not 'racists' due to the primary role of white working class masses as opposed to 'fascists'. Unprecedented sentences were levelled by a Jewish judge against the arrested white youths: 5 years in prison and a £500 fine.

Beforehand Union Movement has already had a paper in the area; the *North Kensington Leader*.

UM had also been campaigning heavily against mass immigration since 1952, as Jeffery Hamm would later put it "we said it all and we said it first".

When Enoch Powell made his *Rivers of Blood* speech in 1968 he was hugely popular for a time. This was in no small part due to a spontaneous march on parliament by the London Dockers in support.

The following quote is from Martin Walkers book *The National Front*: "Big Dan Harmston, a member of the Union Movement and a fervent supporter of Mosley, ... The whole thing just happened. When the lads were all together and the blokes were getting up and having their say they got me up there as well' Harmston recalls. 'There was a mood about the place – if that day I'd have said "Pick up your cleavers and knives and decapitate Heath and Harold Wilson" they'd have done it. They really would – but they wouldn't have done it next week. It was just the mood of the moment – like storming the Bastille I suppose"

Powell himself seriously mismanaged this support and by 1974 he was finished as a Tory MP.

Mosley also knew when not to pounce; in 1947 a consortium of American business interests who were willing to pay Mosley a Million dollars over four years to head an international campaign against communism – it was believed that "No other Englishman had a significant audience" on the continent. The backers were sincere, but attached a proviso that his Anti-Semitism had to be backpedalled. Mosley eventually refused, and for whatever reason it had been an elaborate set up on the part of the World Jewish Congress who were interested in the backers. Agent Gerald Hamilton had been forwarding intelligence to MI5.



What is so impressive is that time and again we have seen the ability of British Fascism to adapt and survive in the face of great change and under brutal conditions that made it practically Darwinian. There is almost a myth of indestructibility that is tied to this; that no matter what, disaster after disaster, the movement and the man Mosley always bounced back. Back in elections, back in politics, always remaining relevant. The fascist movement had been conceived in defeat, with the failure of Mosley's New Party the crypto-fascist predecessor of the BUF.

From AK Chesterton's *Oswald Mosley: Portrait of a Leader* (1937):

"The New Party defeat would have broken the heart of a lesser man and smashed his career.

Mosley rose from the wreckage of his hopes not only unbroken, but stronger and more determined, more utterly devoted to his cause than ever in the past"

There was never any compromise, each defeat brought wider and higher goals. The defeat of the New Party required the rejection of party politics and the adoption of the fascist method. The disbanding of the public movement transformed it into a private underground one. The destruction and subjugation of European nations required a new concept 'Europe a Nation', always thinking bigger. Each juncture was subject to basic human frailties, and people who could not come to terms with the changing events drifted away, but the hard core remained and capitalised on new groups.

Mosley had been active in politics from 1918 to sometime in the mid 60's when he retired to France. His home was 'Temple de la Gloire' a large house in Orsay just outside Paris originally built for Jean Victor Moreau, one of Napoleon's generals to celebrate the battle of Hohenlinden.

M-O-S-L-E-Y FOREVER!

So what happened to British Union? It is still alive in everything we know today, in the international movement he helped create with its tradition and friendship between nationalists. Though links are thinner in some cases than in others, Mosley inspired many and his name commands respect around the world.

Know that you are part of a line of nationalism that goes back to the generation of the great war, and you have the victories and defeats of a century to learn from. Really get to know the history and the literature.

The repudiation of Oswald Mosley by the country he served remains our greatest tragedy. I sometimes think of how if this had not happened we would never have suffered the humiliation, genocide or tyranny and there would be some order in the world instead of the 'new world order'. Not once, but time after time after time, Mosley could have given this to us.

One day an impartial historical judgement will reassess the respective strategies of Mosley and Churchill and say Mosley was right. Not only that but they will scorn the do-gooders who have all but extinguished hope for the rest of us. They destroyed something beautiful. Anybody who can look at the conduct and outcome of that conflict without feeling an anguish for everything we lost, and for the posterity we might have had, doesn't know what hell was unleashed.

Those whom the gods wish to destroy they first make mad. The whole world was turned upside down – Our 'finest hour' proved to be our worst undoing. The superhuman effort to defend Britain and her Empire has proved the destruction of both. The victors of the conflict America and Russia are themselves being dragged down into an even bleaker future.

All this had been foreseen by OM and British Union - the loss of empire, the loss of British independence – these things were always the inevitable outcome for a Britain and a west that had lost the will to live, regardless of whether there was a war or not.

The greatest strength of fascism has always been its second sight. Remember it was the fascists who in the 30's recognised the rising tide of colour who first campaigned against coloured immigration, an issue which is now of such pressing importance, was recognised when the numbers were only in the thousands.

It must be credited to the fascists that they had their fingers on the pulse of decay; they saw a nation that had become so decrepit and tired lacking in sense of purpose and self worth throw its life away over nothing .

All the pious and dim intellectuals who sit in our universities today still ask the question "how is it that a man as great as Oswald Mosley, *respected politician, economist, a man who might have been prime minister* go beyond the pale?". Britain needed a revolution to overcome a deep existential crisis of nihilism and economic slavery put there by its enemies – tradition needed trampling on because tradition was failing. If this is wrong then just look at the current state of 'tradition' - Mosley saw back then what they cannot see today. He fought well, and because of that he will be remembered. Today, nearly a lifetime after the birth of the BUF, the new generation of nationalists, on the same streets those brave men walked, must carry on the same fight for a Greater Britain; confronted by the same enemy and apathy which the Blackshirts faced in the 1930's. I hope the young men today will hold the ground that was won and carry the same flame held by those who strode with Mosley in his long march

"One hears today much talk of Thatcherism though nobody knows what it is. In the thirties nobody ever referred to Mosleyism, but if I believed in anything it was Mosleyism. I believed in the man. I believed in his honesty, his sincerity, his integrity, his ability, his vision, and because I believed in these things, and because I found all other politicians wanting in comparison, I was convinced that my dedication was true, and not wasted. And so I hope that the great crusade which inspired tens of thousands of our British people will have lit a torch whose flame will inspire anew for it is certain that our country has need of it."

"The old saying, "Once with us, Always with us" proved to be very true in my long experience. I have never come across anyone who, once having declared their loyalty to Mosley, ever became violently anti. Quite a number were apathetic, particularly during the war years and after, but overall, once with Mosley, always with Mosley." - **John Charnley, Blackshirts and Roses**

Land!, Land!
True I am to my land!
While seas secure,
this land so pure,
o may our old
language endure.





THE WHITEWASHERS

People who cannot handle their historical 'guilt' are liars, and it is to these liars we give the name 'whitewashers' because they purge and rewrite the past for their own ends in a way that is so clearly biased and unscrupulous that it discredits the rest of us.

The movement today condemns the white washers, but only because they actively condemn the past because they too want to avoid negative association.

What is claiming historical exceptionalism if not another form of whitewashing? It is still based on failure to accept responsibility out of the desire to be publically accepted. Though some may think this sacrifice well intentioned, they are throwing the baby out with the bathwater. If we have to ask permission from our enemy to be who we are then that's it, we lose. We don't have to ask for anybody's permission. Any kind of whitewashing is a futile peace time luxury – when the chips are really down and the shooting starts, the only thing people will be concerned about is that the killers are on OUR side.

History is above petty judgement because there is no such thing as a little failure. When the iron men of the thirties succeeded it was in a big way, when they failed they made great failures. It had to be so, men of that calibre in the great fights of history don't make little mistakes. They fly so high and so far that they steer their courses by city maps. They ask not "what street is that?" but "what continent?". If they get off course for a moment, it will be sure to pull up a long way from their goal. This is inevitable given their range and speed. Little men who never get outside their own basements point to these mistakes with great self satisfaction, and will explain how 'they did it wrong'. History has a way of dealing with these critics by dooming them to quiet obscurity. History cannot be expected to preserve these names, she is too busy writing the names of the iron men across the stars where all can gaze in awe of their triumphs and struggles.

The arrival of fascism in the 20th century was the greatest event of world history, it represented not just a second chance for our race to pick us out of the dirt but an existential basis for new life no matter how bad things get.

It is not an empty task we now undertake in reviving it. Left to ourselves the ideology like the movement would have devolved into a thousand abortive groups with no sense of duty to the past.

That fascism remains in its pure form (even more now the chaff is from the wheat), is due entirely to the miraculous element within it. That element is supplied by a cast iron world view that has the power to project us into the future. What fascism accomplished in just a few brief years was prodigies of moral conquest that left the whole world reeling and without explanation.

THE CHAIN THAT BINDS US

In earlier times when our race was healthy and concerns for survival dominated western thinking, men and women conceived the world as a battleground. Our ancestors believed that any threat to their racial survival constituted one force, and believed unquestioningly their own right to struggle for a life on this earth. They understood the gravity of the situation, that it was life or death, God and the devil, things were very black and white there were no room for grey areas. There was no room for neutrality, one had to pick a side and do so with the understanding that they could expect open warfare from enemies. The fight would be real and deadly and would last as long as life continued.

These basic facts of life have not changed since that time, but the interpretation has; Today people think of the world, not as a battleground, but as a playground. We are not here to fight- we are here to frolic and be nice to everyone. We are not a fortress in the land of our fathers - but at home in a foreign land.

If you have the right beliefs and the right blood in your veins and you think you have a hard time in your life, Expect it!

The enemy hates everything you treasure in life, he hates your leaders and he hates you! You should be aware of the enemy intentions – which is to make you lose your victors crown that you hold by belonging to the greatest race.

The science of the human mind is not Psychology, it is Myth. Nations are based on Myth, and nations are made of people who need examples to look up to. Times in the past we can look back on when we overcame a great obstacle that can inspire us on - battles, miracles, destiny, heroism – these things make a people, these things make a man. What does the man of the people – the little man – the man in the street – really want? In his heart of heart he wants to be great because that is what the green earth made him. He thinks of himself only in the sense that he wishes if he were to die his death would have meaning. That he is of no significance sickens him because he knows that in this cold empty universe there is his race.

Let us remember the great figures of the past, the heroes we grew up with and inside ourselves awakening once again to the myth of the blood.

The call does not address itself to the arrogant bourgeoisie who reject ancestry and run from death and destiny. The call addresses itself towards the tragic human being – the man who will only achieve victory for himself by challenging his fate, challenging defeat - and is willing to surrender his life so that he can at least die a free man. He is the heroic man who must overcome all resistance because he cannot do anything else.

Those who live with the dead know their lives are only a link in a chain of generations which runs from their ancestors down to their grandchildren who are tied to their destiny and are responsible by their attitude and their way of life to the future. Our Nation was baptised in fire and blood – honour the men who had to walk through corpses to reach their final victory and ask yourself this question; are you worthy of the blood in your veins?

THE ENDGAME



I want a better England. Who could not want a better England? Not just a return to Empire and Expansion, but *real* pride expressed in old Albion, a pride in something that will take us somewhere great, on a road out of this hell.

This is England, Our England. We have travelled a long way from Chaucer, Milton, Elgar, and Bunyan, from Shakespeare and Bacon, from Raleigh and Drake and Nelson, from our once green and pleasant staunch and sturdy land - to a country of ring-fenced mountains, council slums and urban estates, keep-out-of-here and don't-go-there. Grotesquely uninformed popinjays and rancid old heifers going to ball and banquet while the people rot. If we no longer disallow and cease to stand implacable to such as these shipwreckers before they steer us onto the rocks we are done.

We have ridden unseeingly into a valley of cursed blood and obscenities have been allowed to penetrate our sceptered isle. To our detriment we allowed our enemies to pollute our sacred heritage – England has become a mongrel circus; the rejects, the spastics, the bent, the mental cripples of the world have gleefully mounted our Motherland and are fucking her down to the knees without clemency. What is England now but the laughing stock of the Earth? Hand-outs to every continent of sub-ethnic wasters weakens our divinity. Absolute shame that we must one day never look back on.

Look at Britian today, what do you see? A completely demoralised society; emotional numbing, a chaotic and misdirected outpouring of emotion, addiction, low self esteem, depression, apathy, anomie, stress, substance abuse – this is the hallmark of life in the 21st century, and is symptomatic of suppression of *great instincts*. It is a trauma, and we are victims.

As with any victim, to cure ourselves we must stand as doctors ready to diagnose the national psychology which has become defective, destructive and self fulfilling. Victims of abuse typically react with the hatred that is built up in one of two ways; in most cases they turn it in on themselves in the form of self harm and self loathing – a vicious cycle. Alternatively they become bitter and take it out on the rest of the world by going on to embody their abuser and so abuse others – Ethno masochists. This accurately reflects and explains the two main character types in modern Britain; demoralised and neurotic sad saps – and the absolute evil subhuman scum of society.

Whoever they are, the British people as a whole live and *breed want* – entitlement, entitlement to all things except those few things necessary to their continued existence as a people.

We are a strange people – the more you spit on us the more we like you. They *love* it, *get off* on it. I contend that the English make the best slaves in the whole world, not only do they peacefully accept their inevitable destruction, but they actively promote it; we never miss a chance to applaud 'impoverished' immigrants civil rights heroes when they burn and loot the cities we used to live in with the aid of blackberry messengers, we dutifully protest every single war not because we care for the lives our soldiers and their families, but because poor foreigners are getting hurt. We lead the world as the favoured home of bankster-elitesters, and as the Liberalism ground zero in regards to the total psychological demoralisation and breakdown of people, no other nation can claim such an array of titles.

For not the first time in history we have rightly earned the name of *Perfidious Albion*.

The reason for this is that Britain is special among all countries, other nations have not been so lucky – most did not abandon sanity through reason but through force of arms. We are different, we went willingly because we had pride in ourselves, and were too proud. From the start the nation wreckers knew how to use this and now they fly the flag of mongreloid Britain for the servile masses, and the people justify to themselves in perverse 'patriotic' terms as if to say we had 'always been this way'. It is identity theft, they stole our country. The reward the English got for winning the war was to accept even more depraved conditions.

An entire nation without an identity; we simply trusted in our leaders and our old ways because we had always done good by them, and so we never asked anything from them – we never had the fundamentals of our racial survival ingrained in the national life and the state, so could not challenge institutions as they became corrupt. We never had a revolution – we talk about dead heroes, but our people were mincemeat, and still are – slaves to be butchered at the whim of their masters. Transient values are what made us the 'tolerant' people they said we were, and with such a weak attitude it was not hard for the war propagandists to make paradise look like hell, and then make Hell look like a paradise. And so it is today;

It doesn't matter at what level the deception runs, whether it is the complicit civilian or the politicians, money jugglers, religious leaders and string pullers - the well meaning naive or the puppeteers, all of them, are in their own way – makers of slaves. The idealists all give promises of an unattainable paradise, thereby deceiving and demoralising mankind.

From birth everybody is supposed to be entitled to *rights* and *freedom*, everybody is a unique and precious snowflake, everyone is an individual and nobody belongs to a group. It is important that everyone is supposed to feel the same and ignore differences. The scam is that we live in a self hating society where one part of the people are always going to be privileged and the cause of inequality.

The greatest cause of this today is the presence of white people – because they know we are better, and they hate us for it. The only way this equality can be achieved by current methods is to humiliate and destroy all Europeans and this will go on forever no matter how much they give. This is what ‘civil rights’ mean, it sounds like you are given something when really you are having something that is yours taken away. Not a single lot of a people was improved by agitating for rights – when they all scream for rights it is because they want to punish the little guy for being better than them, just by being him he is better than they are and that makes them feel bad – so he should be made to feel bad as well, he must go down to their level. The gift we have been given is a debt of multiplying guilt with no means for absolution – a system based on a lie that misery is good, must run on misery. A generation of westerners prepared for comfy middle class living go into higher learning – only to end up digging in ditches and sitting in checkout counters, this is the fate of millions in the west.

The problem with the Globalists and Utopians is that they all aim too high. There is a paradise within our reach and it rests only on the shoulders of a great will. Tolerance is the organised social lie;

The lie is guarded in a fortress of lies – but this is no defence against the harsh realities of life. If the troubles of modern Europe were only economical and political in nature, we would probably lose because we would have nothing to offer as an alternative to this diseased madness. But there is a big empty hole in the souls of men that leaves them empty, hungry for something else – he needs truth and justice. That is why when we make this fight happen, and the battle lines are drawn between these two world concepts, and they meet on even terms – the final conflict will grant victory to the one that has truth on its side.

We will find this army in the youth that is growing up today during a tipping point in history because on their shoulders lies the whole oppressive burden of this world with nothing else to fall back on. There is no respect for the sins of the father – the father at least had the sense of purpose to wreak society – now this is supposed to be it, the end of history, and you are supposed to shut up and like it. Their consent was never sought or given, they were just born into it, fed lies five times a day and cannot make sense of the hypocrisy that surrounds them. A utopia can be made to sound nice, but it has made marriage impossible, a living difficult to find, and you are

a foreigner in your own country.

They suffer through the mistakes – they see and breathe its air, it is they who have been left with the debt. They have never known anything else – blind eyes that could cry out to heaven for sight if we could find it in ourselves to give it to them. Whether this is possible or whether the urgency can be made real to them is debatable but one thing is certain; either this generation will be the architect of a new world or the last witness of our history.

This century we are in now is going to be one of the most horrific, frightening and crucial to us because it is in this period from 2000 to 2099 that will determine whether the white race dies off forever, or it goes up to the stars. Just to consider this fact is astounding – that those born today will be the last whites numerous enough to be called a ‘generation’ and those under the age of forty will live to know the final outcome. This outcome is going to be revolutionary, what kind of world will it be without white people? There will be no meaning because it is the white race who see all meaning and reason to live in this world, without them everything will be lost. If we win, then we get a second chance. We will start a new civilization that will one day be perfect, where everyone is white, happy, healthy, and intelligent – they will be biological gods.

A vision like this is what is worth fighting for and is what will save mankind.

Communism is a limited creed, and its limitations are inevitable. If the original impulse is envy, malice, and hatred against someone who has something you have not got, you are inevitably limited by the whole impulse to which you owe the origin of your faith and movement; ‘anything above or beyond yourself is bad’. But if the first impulse be envy and hatred of him, you are inhibited from any movement beyond yourself for fear of becoming like him, the man who had something which you had not got. The real urge is then to drag everything down toward the lowest level of life, rather than the attempt to raise everything towards the highest level of life which has yet been attained, and finally to move beyond even that. In all things this system of values seeks what is low instead of what is high.



The idea is no longer the martyred form of the oppressed, but the beginning of a higher form. Men are beginning not to look down, but to look up. And it is precisely at this point that a new way of political thinking shape to what many are beginning to feel is a new urge of humanity. The ideal of creating a higher form on earth can now rise before men with the power of a spiritual purpose, which is not simply a philosophic abstraction but a concrete expression of a deep human desire. All men want their children to live better than they have lived, just as they have tried by their own exertions to lift themselves beyond the level of their fathers whose affection and sacrifice often gave them the chance to do it. This is a right and natural urge in mankind, and, when fully understood, becomes a spiritual purpose.

We will break through the cynicism of the youth because the strength of Fascism has always been its humility, its agnosticism – it isn't arrogant it is about serving a higher good. Fascism gives us the most healthy and mature attitude to life, because it is about accepting the world you are born into for what it is – denouncing what is wrong and not apologising for what is right. A principle that defines us for instance is the acceptance of history and social traditions in relation to the ordinary people, because it is them whom the idea serves.

An egoistic outlook is one based on the preservation of the self – one's own image, the freedom to claim ambiguity, not make an affirmative statement, try to explain yourself in your own terms rather than what history and the simplicity of argument demand. The strength of the fascist is that he is willing to recognise he is a fascist. He believes in the truth, and so he follows it through on its own logic even against adversity – people will respect that, because they know he fights for truth. Fascism is sticking in the boots, no matter how hopeless the situation appears – because we know that we will win. He doesn't know what the future will be like, he can't know, it is like asking what happens after death; Is there nothing, not even the colour black, and you never know you existed or is there something else – continuation, rebirth, a higher plane, we don't know.

You can only be humble before the universe; accept the race you are born into, accept the truth – that is maturity, and that is our strength.

Our enemy is the opposite, abstraction is his strength; neurosis, angst, and infantilism to reinvent and adapt themselves – this is their evolutionary meme or survival strategy. It is a paper tiger, a front held up by sheer arrogance – Real truth is something the enemy can never understand because to recognise the severity of this phenomenon it would require them to finally open its eyes to the harsh realities of life and in process give up the naive illusions that they harbour.

THERE IS A SPECTRE
HAUNTING EUROPE

It has been proven time and again that when a people appear beaten and crushed, they hold the whole world in awe when they rise phoenix like from the flame. Fires will come to England, and England will burn in a holocaust of flame – what will rise out of the ashes; that is up to us.

Sometimes – in the course of history – a build up of frustrations within a people comes to fruition, and a conflict area occurs which can only be salved by war. This pattern has been constantly repeated since the beginning of our history; from the Greeks and Romans, from Alexander to Napoleon, during the first and second world wars and, eventually, in the third world war. The 21st century will be the ultimate war of nationalism, genocide, and exterminations on a scale so great that the human mind will be unable to comprehend.

There is conflict building up worldwide; in Dublin, in England, in Greece, in France, in Germany despite all of the self-ingratiating spices of our cunning enemy.

It's building up and up – because the air is getting *thicker*, the *intensity* is multiplying, the water is *boiling*, and there *will* come a breaking point

The people are a ticking time bomb, take a everything from them and give them one way out and they are going to be even more radical than anything, because they pushed them, and now they are pissed. Once the gate is open and it bolts, nothing will stop it. Turn them loose, and they will destroy nations. When that day comes the enemy will be on their knees praying for Adolf Hitler to come and save them. The revenge taken upon them by the outraged host people will seem like heaven compared to the slaughter of the Bolsheviks once the helotic masses have had all they are going to take from these arrogant Jews and white race traitors.



We are nearing the end of phase one in Europe's history, but the next will be no happier, it will be grimmer, harder, and bloodier. And now I ask you in earnest, can Britain survive? – I am profoundly convinced she cannot. I want a better England, and so do you – *it is an England that is so terrible to contemplate none dare speak its name*. It is the England which the enemy and race traitors curl their lip on. It isn't a fake England, a pretend one or imagined tradition – it is a nationalism of blood; racial vision of self in the past and future tense – it is timeless.

To live in Britain is to live in a very old country – it is incredibly ancient and there is no way to understand it, but it is felt. The more plastic and fake our world becomes the more apparent it is that there is something forming in its dark abscesses. Behind Blue England is German Red waiting to emerge.

I think Britain with its contrasts; a total polarity is what will demand a total victory like a wound up spring. Remember that when the fighting starts the world's 100 million Anglo-Saxons will be on the tip of the spear.

Before the end things are going to get a lot worse; we are going to see massive change, more feminism, more third world immigration, more spilt blood, more acts of terrorism, more repression by the government. More guilt, more mental break down, more mind control, as civilization crumbles. It will be a harsh lesson but sometimes you have to go through hell. There will be rebuilding, it will be a complete nightmare, a complete mess, but one day – it will all be ours. It will be beautiful beyond our wildest dreams A thousand years from now, not only is it just going to be the white race, but a super eugenicised version, like an Arno Breker statue.

It will be their worst nightmare.

We will not fight this battle in vain, never again will we allow aliens to tyrannise over us.

Gone will be the self hate and sickly pacifism that nearly destroyed us. Heroism, Courage, Self-Sacrifice, too long condemned as militarist tendencies of which we should be ashamed, shall be recognised once more as the greatest attributes of the British race. The fascist vision of a Greater Britain that began in the dug-outs, will have been achieved after death has chilled even our capacity to dream.

*oh god make us English again,
proud of ourselves and our language
- For the millions that lie dead, and will die, all over the world – and for posterity; May Britain be great once more in this hour of greatest danger to the West. May the old banners and battle flags be raised from the dust, crowned with the historic words,
'Und Ihr habt doch gesiegt'.
Despite it all, you still won.*



To the dead heroes of Britain, in sacred union, we say:

Like you we give ourselves to England; across the ages that divide us; across the glories of Britain that unite us. We gaze into your eyes and we give you this holy vow: We will be true – Today, Tomorrow, and Forever!
ENGLAND LIVES!



