Identification key to the subfamilies of Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera)

Gavin Broad

Dept. of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

Notes on the key, February 2011

This key to ichneumonid subfamilies should be regarded as a test version and feedback will be much appreciated (emails to <u>g.broad@nhm.ac.uk</u>). Many of the illustrations are provisional and more characters need to be illustrated, which is a work in progress. Many of the scanning electron micrographs were taken by Sondra Ward for Ian Gauld's series of volumes on the Ichneumonidae of Costa Rica. Many of the line drawings are by Mike Fitton. I am grateful to Pelle Magnusson for the photographs of *Brachycyrtus ornatus* and for his suggestion as to where to include this subfamily in the key. Other illustrations are my own work.

Morphological terminology mostly follows Fitton *et al.* (1988). A comprehensively illustrated list of morphological terms employed here is in development.

In lateral views, the anterior (head) end of the wasp is to the left and in dorsal or ventral images, the anterior (head) end is uppermost. There are a few exceptions (indicated in figure legends) and these will rectified soon.

Identifying ichneumonids

Identifying ichneumonids can be a daunting process, with about 2,400 species in Britain and Ireland. These are currently classified into 32 subfamilies (there are a few more extralimitally). Rather few of these subfamilies are reconisable on the basis of simple morphological character states, rather, they tend to be reconisable on combinations of characters that occur convergently and in different permutations across various groups of ichneumonids. This is not to say that ichneumonid subfamilies are unrecognisable. Most subfamilies are easily recognisable by their overall appearance, once a little experience is gained, but this lack of discrete characters for each subfamily results in a long key. Previous keys, such as those of Perkins (1959) and Townes (1969*a*), have tried to key out subfamilies at single couplets and produced rather unworkable key couplets with many 'ifs' and 'buts'. Wahl's (1993) key to world subfamilies was a great improvement but will still be found to contain grey areas where it is difficult to know if you have chosen the correct half of a couplet. With this key I have tried to rely on rather simple characters (and with a restricted geographical remit) with the result that most of the larger subfamilies will key out in several places. The alternative is long and unwieldy key couplets that attempt to cover all the exceptions.

Recognition of Ichneumonoidea

The first section of the key separates out the two families of Ichneumonoidea, Ichneumonidae and Braconidae. Gauld & Bolton (1988) and Goulet & Huber (1993) provide good keys to superfamilies and families. Ichneumonoids can generally be recognised by the wing venation (costal cell of the leading edge of the fore wing lacking, with veins Sc and R closely adpressed) and the long, simple antennae. A particularly useful character for recognising ichneumonoids is the membranous sternites, on the venter of the metasoma. Other parasitoid and aculeate groups usually have these as sclerotized as the dorsal tergites. Note that there is an exception within Ichneumonidae: *Agriotypus* has the sternites as sclerotized as the tergites.

Separation of Braconidae and Ichneumonidae in Britain and Ireland

1 –	Wings absent or reduced (i.e. not projecting beyond 1 st metasomal segment ¹)	5
_	Wings present and not reduced.	2
2 (1)–	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> present (Fig.1)most Ichne	umonidae
_	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> absent (Figs 2.5.6)	




Fig.1 Fore wing, Ichneumoninae





Fig.2 Fore wing, Aphidius (Braconidae)

- discosubmarginal cell usually divided by vein Rs+M......Braconidae







Fig.3 Metasoma, Ichneumonidae

Fig.4 Metasoma, Chasmodon (Braconidae)

¹ One species (*Sphecophaga vesparum* Curtis) sometimes brachypterous with wings extending to half the length of the metasoma.






Fig.5 Fore wing, Hybrizon

Fig.6 Fore wing, Neorhacodes

4(3)– Hind wing venation reduced, no enclosed sub-basal cell present (Fig.7)




Fig.7 Hind wing, Aphidius

Fig.8 Hind wing, Ichneumon




Fig.9 First metasomal segment, Gelis

Fig.10 First metasomal segment, Chasmodon

² Flightless braconids in Britain and Ireland can be found in the subfamilies Alysiinae, Aphidiinae, Blacinae, Doryctinae, Orgilinae and Pambolinae.








Fig.11 Face, Orthocentrus

Fig.12 Head, Chasmodon

Key to the identification of British subfamilies of Ichneumonidae

1 – - 2 (1)– -	Wings present and not reduced Fore wings lacking vein 2 <i>m</i> -cu (Figs13-	ting beyond 1 st metasomal tergite ³) 100 2 15)
Fig.13 F	Fore wing, <i>Hybrizon</i>	Fig.14 Fore wing, <i>Neorhacodes</i> discosubmarginal cell Rs 2rs-m 3rs-m
		Cu areolet





2m-cu

cù-a

- - cross veins distinct (Fig.15).....a few Cryptinae⁴




Fig.17 Clypeus, Tersilochinae



Fig.18 Fore wing, Barycnemis

³ One species (*Sphecophaga vesparum* Curtis) sometimes brachypterous with wings extending to half the length of the metasoma.

⁴ Only three species (one of Aclastus, two of Gnypetomorpha) should key out here.

- 5 (3)- Tergites 1-3 with granular sculpture and transverse impressions just behind the middle, these impressions with longitudinal striation (Fig.19); sclerotized part of 1st metasomal sternite not reaching spiracle; mandible normal, with two teeth.....**Tersilochinae** (*Neorhacodes*)⁵
- Tergites 1-3 lacking obvious sculpture and transverse impressions; sclerotized part of 1st metasomal sternite reaching beyond spiracle (cf. Fig.3); mandibles vestigial, lacking teeth








Fig.19 Metasoma, Neorhacodes

Fig.20 Mesoscutum, Rhyssa

6(2)-	Mesoscutum with conspicuous transverse rugae across entire surface (Fig.20)
_	Mesoscutum lacking transverse rugae
7(6)-	Occipital carina medio-dorsally absent; fore wing with $cu-a$ joining Cu distal to bifurcation of M
	and <i>Cu</i> ; last visible tergite of female produced into a truncate horn
_	Occipital carina medio-dorsally complete; fore wing with <i>cu-a</i> joining <i>Cu</i> at bifurcation of <i>M</i>
	and Cu (cf. Fig.16); last visible tergite of female slightly extended but not into a truncate horn
8 (6)–	Spiracle of 1 st metasomal tergite at the posterior third of the tergite, tergite lacking deep
	glymmae (may have superficial pits around or posterior to mid-length); sclerotized part of 1 st
	sternite extending to the posterior third of the segment (Fig.21), sometimes the suture between
	sternite and tergite obsolete (Fig.22); 1st metasomal segment narrow basally and widened
	apically9

⁵ Just one British species (*Neorhacodes enslini* (Ruschka)), usually included in the subfamily Neorhacodinae, but this small group of three genera has recently been synonymised within Tersilochinae. ⁶ Often referred to as Paxylommatinae.

⁷ Just one British species (*Pseudorhyssa alpestris* (Holmgren)).




Fig.21 First metasomal segment, Ichneumoninae (anterior to right)

Fig.22 First metasomal segment, Ophioninae





Fig.23 First metasomal segment, Tryphoninae

Fig.24 First metasomal segment, Pimpla






Fig.26 Fore wing, Cylloceria

- **11**(10)– Propodeum lacking areas defined by carinae, covered in reticulate sculpturing (Fig.27), one species with sculpturing very fine and mid tibia with one spur; wing veins normal around vein *rs-m*; clypeus without a fringe of setae, sometimes with a median, apical tooth




Fig.27 Propodeum, Anomaloninae



Fig.28 Propodeum, Cremastinae





- Propodeum with carinae delimiting areas (Fig.28) or if lacking carinae then not with reticulate or areolate sculpture; ovipositor with a nodus, notch or plain apically, narrowed but not 'pinched'



Fig.31 Ovipositor, Agrypon

- 13(12)–Mesosoma short, hunched, almost round in profile (Fig.31a); fore wing vein *cu-a* separated from *Cu* by more than half the length of *cu-a* (Fig.31b); hind wing with vein *Rs* conspicuously longer than *rs-m*; first metasomal tergite and sternite fused, no trace of a suture visible; sclerotized bridge between metasomal socket and hind coxal socket at least equal to width of coxal socket






Fig.31a Brachycyrtus ornatus female

Fig.31b Fore wing, Brachycyrtus ornatus

⁸ Not currently known from Britain or Ireland but the single European species, *Brachycyrtus ornatus* Kriechbaumer, has recently been found as far north as southern Sweden (P. Magnusson, pers. comm.) and is a potential colonist.




Fig.32 Mesopleuron, Cryptinae

Fig.33 Mesopleuron, Tersilochinae

- Clypeus always narrower and obviously convex, apically rounded and separated from the face by a well-defined groove (Fig.35); lower tooth of mandible usually as long as the upper tooth, sometimes shorter, sometimes longer; area superomeda smaller, not indented posteriorly; female with ovipositor sheaths thinner and flexible......most Cryptinae⁹




Fig.34 Clypeus and face, Ichneumoninae




Fig.35 Clypeus and face, Cryptinae

⁹ *Thymaris* species should key out to the other part of the key at couplet 7 but if not they could be confused with Cryptinae because of the long sternaulus. Metasomal tergite one of *Thymaris* has deep glymmae laterally.




Fig.36 Hind wing, Tersilochinae

Fig.37 Hind wing, Ichneumon



Fig.38 Fore tarsal claw, Phrudus



Fig.39 Fore tarsal claw, Megastylus



Fig.40 Clypeus and face, Ischyrocnemis

¹⁰ Keyed out separately here as the *Phrudus* group of genera have, until very recently, been treated as belonging to the subfamily Phrudinae.

- Find tibla with two spurs; tarsal claws simple (cf. Fig.39); clypeus without a fringe of apical setae; fore tibia with strong apical tooth (cf. Fig.42)...... Metopiinae (*Ischyrocnemis*)¹²




Fig.41 Fore tarsal claw, Tryphoninae



Fig.42 Fore tibial tooth

20 (18)–Hind tibia v	with one spur; antenna clavate (club-shaped)	Metopiinae (<i>Periope</i>) ¹³
	vith two spurs; antenna not clavate	




Fig.43 Fore wing, Metopius

Fig.44 Fore wing, Cylloceria

¹¹ Just one very rare species in Britain (*Sphinctus serotinus* Gravenhorst).

¹² One very rare species in Britian (*Ischyrocnemis goesi* Holmgren).

¹³ Because *Periope auscultator* (Haliday) (the only British species in the genus) could conceivably be keyed out either way at couplet 7, it has been accommodated in both halves of the key.

¹⁴ Adelognathus dorsalis (Gravenhorst) will key out here.




Fig.45 Head, Adelognathus, labrum arrowed

- 23(22)- Maxillary palps elongate, extending beyond mid coxae; clypeus apically flattened (Fig.46); posterior transverse carina of the mesosternum absent; ovipositor very short, not extending beyond the tip of the metasoma; ovipositor sheaths broad, about as wide as long; tarsal claws not pectinate......Oxytorinae




Fig.46 Clypeus and face, Oxytorus

Fig.47 Mesosternum (legs removed), Campopleginae




Fig.48. Face, lateral, *Xenoschesis* (Ctenopelmatinae: Ctenopelmatini)






Fig.49 Hind tibia and spurs, Campopleginae

Fig.50 Hind tibia and spurs, Cremastinae

- Eyes, in anterior view, not or only slightly converging ventrally; malar space with no suture or a rather weakly defined band of fine sculpture, not an impressed line; clypeus wider than deep,

¹⁵ The genera *Gnathochorisis* and *Symplecis* will key out here.






Fig.51 Face with malar suture arrowed, *Megastylus*

Fig.52 Face, lateral view, Pimpla



Fig.53 Fore tibia, tooth on outer side, Mesoleiini

- 28(27)-Clypeus wide and flat, truncate apically and weakly separated from the face; labrum usually exposed as a thin strip with long setae (Fig.54); 2nd tergite with thyridiae often deeply impressed (Fig.56); fore wing stigma usually uniformly coloured, sometimes paler proximally but not sharply differentiated; mandibles usually with the lower tooth shorter than the upper and the mandible twisted; female with ovipositor sheaths stiff and straightmost Ichneumoninae
 Clypeus convex and apically rounded, separated from the face by a groove (cf. Fig.55); labrum




Fig.54 Face and clypeus, Ichneumoninae

Fig.55 Face and clypeus., Cryptinae



Fig.56 1st and ^{2nd} tergites, *Ichneumon*

29 (8)–Female, and with egge	(s) conspicuously hanging from	m the lower valves of the ovipositor
--	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------

- **30**(29)– Antenna with 12 or 13 flagellomeres; labrum conspicuously exposed below the clypeus (Fig.57); fore wing vein 2*m*-*cu* with one bulla (Fig.58)..... most **Adelognathinae**




Fig.57 Head, Adelognathus, labrum arrowed



Fig.58 Fore wing, Adelognathus

31 (30)	Hind and mid tibia each with two spurs	34
	Either hind or mid tibiae (or both) with reduced numbers of spurs	
	-Face with carinae delimiting a shield-shaped area (Fig.59) [mid tibia with one spur, hind	
- (-)	with two]	
_	Face without carinae delimiting a shield-shaped area	· ·




Fig.59 Face, Metopius




Fig.60 Mesopleuron, Cryptinae




Fig.61 First metasomal segment, Oedemopsini (anterior to right)

35(34)-	Tergite one with deep glymmae laterally (Fig.61); clypeus with apical row of regularly spaced
	setae Tryphoninae (some Oedemopsini)
_	Tergite one without glymmae; clypeus without apical row of regularly spaced setae
	a few Cryptinae

pronotum and a summer mesoscutum

Fig.62 Pronotum, Euceros

Fig.63 Antenna, Euceros $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$




Fig.64 Fore wing, Mesochorus

Fig.65 First metasomal segment, *Mesochorus* (anterior to the right)

¹⁶ Confirmatory characters, in combination: fore wing vein 2m-cu with one bulla; clypeus barely separated from face; pronotal epomia absent; submetapleural carina of propodeum expanded into an anterior flange.

¹⁷ One very rare species in Britain (Scolomus borealis (Townes)).




Fig.66 Fore tarsus and face, Scolomus

Fig.67 Face, lateral, Scolomus




Fig.68 Ovipositor and sheaths, Mesochorus




Fig.69 Male parameres, *Mesochorus*

40(39)-	-Clypeus not separated from face, the whole surface forming a slightly convex (Fig.70) o	r
	bulging (Figs 71,72) surface	41
	Clypeus separated from face by a groove or transverse impression (cf. Fig.73), the whole	
	not strongly bulging	45




Fig.70 Face, Colpotrochia

Fig.71 Face, Stethoncus

Fig.72 Face, Orthocentrus




Fig.73 Face, Banchinae



Fig.74 Hind tarsal claw with lobe, Pimplinae: Ephialtini Fig.75 Hind tarsal claw, Megastylus




Fig.76 Fore tarsus and face, Scolomus




Fig.77 Face, Colpotrochia

Fig.78 Face, Stethoncus




Fig.79 Scape, Orthocentrus

Fig.80 Scape, Metopiinae





Fig.81 Face, Orthocentrus

- **45**(40)–Metasoma with grooves delimiting a triangular or rhombic pattern on at least tergites 2-4 (Figs 82-83); submetapleural carina often expanded anteriorly into a deep lobe (Fig.84, left arrow)







Fig.82 Metasoma, Glyptini

Fig.83 Metasoma, Lycorina

Fig.84 Propodeum, Lycorina

¹⁸ One uncommon species in Britain (Hyperacmus crassicornis (Gravenhorst)).

- **47**(46)–Metasoma lacking transverse grooves, triangular areas not defined posteriorly and reaching the anterior edge of the tergites (Fig.82); propodeum with or without posterior transverse carina, sometimes with area superomedia weakly demarked with carinae; metapleuron not produced posteriorly into a 'catch'; female ovipositor lacking obvious teeth and with a dorsal apical notch





sternite2nd metasomal segmentFig.85 1st metasomal segment, AgriotypusFig.86 1st metasomal segment, Diacritus



Fig.87 1st metasomal segment, *Microleptes*

¹⁹ One rare species in Britain (Lycorina triangulifera Holmgren).

²⁰ One species in Britain (*Agriotypus armatus* Curtis), associated with flowing water, where the female searches for caddis pupae under water.

- - projecting beyond metasomal apex, hypopygium shortOrthopelmatinae



Fig.88 Face, Microleptes



Fig.89 Hind tibia, Microleptes



Fig.90 Hypopygium, Microleptes




Fig.91 Hind tibia, Pimpla

²¹ One, widespread, species in Britain (*Diacritus aciculatus* (Vollenhoven)).

52(48)– Hind wing with vein *cu-a* meeting *Cu*1 much closer to vein *M* than vein *A* (Fig.92)53




Fig.92 Hind wing, Pimpla




Fig.93 Hind wing, Ichneumon

Fig.94 Hind wing, Cu1 absent




Fig.95 Mesopleuron, epicnemial carina arrowed




Fig.96 Clypeus and face, Diplazontinae



Fig.97 Claw with tooth, Acaenitinae

- Mandible bidentate, lower tooth not longer than upper; fore tibia without spines; female hypopygium extending to or, more often, beyond (Fig.98) metasomal apex.....






Fig.98 Hypopygium, Acaenitinae




Fig.99 Ovipositor, Collyria (detail inset)




Fig.100 1st metasomal tergite, Netelia, glymma arrowed




Fig.101 Head, lateral, *Pimpla* Fig.102 1st metasomal segment, *Pimpla* Fig.103 Ovipositor, *Apechthis*



Fig.104 Notched ovipositor




Fig.105 Plain ovipositor

Banchinae (*Exetastes*)




Fig.106 Propodeum, Banchinae






Fig.107 Claw, Acaenitinae

Fig.108 Hypopygium, Acaenitinae








Fig.110 Pronotum with epomia (arrowed)

62 (61)-	-Tarsal claws pectinate	. 63
	Tarsal claws not pectinate (but may have basal lobes, or an apical accessory tooth)	



Fig.111 Propodeum, Lycorina

64 (63)-	-Propodeum with either the posterior transverse carina or no carinae; fore tibial spur long with
	comb only reaching to half the length (either with twisted mandibles and predominantly pale
	orange-brown or with straight mandibles, mostly black and female ovipositor with a conspicuous
	nodus) Tryphoninae (Phytodietini)
_	Propodeum with at least traces of anterior transverse and longitudinal carinae; fore tibia usually
	with obvious tooth on outer, apical surface; fore tibial spur with comb reaching more than half
	the length
65(64)-	-Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with one bulla; fore tibia with apical tooth on outer edge
	some Ctenopelmatinae
_	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with two bullae; fore tibia with or without apical tooth on outer edge
66 (65)-	-Clypeus with apical row of evenly spaced setae; fore tibia lacking a tooth; clypeus lacking a
	median notch Tryphoninae (some Tryphonini)

- Clypeus lacking apical row of evenly spaced setae; fore tibia with apical tooth on outer edge; clypeus often with a median notch Ctenopelmatinae (very few Mesoleiini)

- **68**(62)–Female: hypopygium long and narrow apically (Fig.108), extending far beyond metasomal apex; male: fore and mid tarsal claws with small accessory tooth (Fig.107); both sexes: areolet absent and discosubmarginal cell extending slightly beyond vein 2*m*-*cu* (cf. Fig.25)

Acaenitinae (Arotes)²³

Female: hypopygium shorter, not extending beyond metasomal apex; male: fore and mid tarsal claws lacking accessory tooth, although may have a basal lobe (Fig.112); both sexes: areolet present or absent, when absent discosubmarginal cell not extending beyond vein 2*m*-*cu*...**69**



Fig.112 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae

· · ·	-Propodeum lacking dorsal carinae except for strong, evenly curved posterior transverse carina;
	submetapleural carina strong, usually expanded as a lobe anteriorly; clypeus strongly convex
	sub-basally, flatter apicallyBanchinae
-	Propodeum with different pattern of carinae; submetapleural carina not expanded anteriorly,
	sometimes weak; clypeus usually evenly convex, sometimes convex very basally and then flat
70 (69)-	-Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with one bulla 71
-	Fore wing vein 2 <i>m</i> - <i>cu</i> with two bullae, or bullae difficult to define as vein has zig-zag at this
	point
71 (70)-	-Female (if ovipositor not visible, hypopygium is obvious in outline and metasomal apex appears
	to be enclosed in sclerotized tergites and sternites)
_	Male75
72 (71)-	-Hypopygium large, roughly triangular in outline, reaching almost to the metasomal apex (cf.
	Fig.113); ovipositor very short, not extending beyond the metasomal apex73
_	Hypoygium small, not reaching metasomal apex, not triangular in outline; ovipositor usually
	extending beyond the metasomal apex

²² One rare species in Britain and Ireland (*Panteles schuetzeanus* (Roman)).

²³ One rare species in Britain (Arotes albicinctus Gravenhorst).



Fig.113 Hypopygium, Microleptes

73(72)–Maxillary palps elongate, extending beyond mid coxae; clypeus subtly flattened apically (Fig.114); labrum not exposed; ovipositor sheaths as wide as long......**Oxytorinae**




Fig.114 Clypeus and face, Oxytorus

_	Maxillary palps not or barely extending to the mid coxae; clypeus either uniformly c	onvex or	
	abruptly declivous apically; labrum exposed; ovipositor sheaths thin	74	

- Orthocentrinae (*Hemiphanes*)
 75(71)–Propodeum with a single posterior or median transverse carina; fore tibia lacking a tooth; either fore tibia inflated and propodeal carina 'V'-shaped (*Helcostizus*) or mesosternum with complete

76(72)-0	Clypeus with the apical ¹ / ₃ abruptly declivous, apex of clypeus concave and labrum revealed
- (Clypeus not as above and labrum usually not revealed
	Mandibles down-curved, so revealing small labrum; mandibles thin, narrowed apically, lowe
t	ooth 0.5x length of upper tooth Orthocentrinae (<i>Hemiphanes</i>)

²⁴ One species in Britain (Sphecophaga vesparum (Curtis)), a parasitoid of Dolichovespula pupae in their nests.

- **78**(77)-Mesosternum with complete posterior transverse carina (cf. Fig.115); areolet 1.5x as long as broad (if not closed, 3rs-m indicated by bend of vein and areolet still discernibly 1.5x as long as broad); distal abscissa of hind wing vein A (see Fig.116) missing..... **Cryptinae** (*Ateleute*)²⁵




Fig.115 Mesosternum (legs removed), Campopleginae Fig.116 Hind wing, Pimpla vein A arrowed

79 (78)–Fore tibia without an apical tooth; maxillary palps elongate, extending beyond mid coxae;	
clypeus subtly flattened apically (Fig.114)	
 Fore tibia with an apical tooth; maxillary palps short, not or barely extending to the mid coxae; clypeus either uniformly convex or raised sub-apically	
80 (79)–Scape and pedicel of antenna same size; antenna with 14 flagellomeres; tergite 2 with	
laterotergite not separated by a crease Phrudinae (<i>Pygmaeolus</i>) ²⁶	
– Scape longer than pedicel; antenna with 16 or more flagellomeres; tergite 2 separated from	
laterotergite by a crease most Ctenopelmatinae	
81 (70)–Female 82	
– Male	
82(81)–Ovipositor with dorsal notch or featureless	
- Ovipositor without a notch, with ventral teeth apically, sometimes with a nodus [if the ovipositor	r
cannot be seen as it is small and concealed by the sheaths, go to 88]	
83(82)–Ovipositor without a notch, featureless (Fig.118)	
– Ovipositor with a dorsal, sub-apical notch (Fig.117)	





Fig.117 Notched ovipositor



²⁵ One widespread species in Britain (*Ateleute linearis* Förster).

²⁶ One rare species in Britain (*Pygmaeolus nitidus* (Bridgman)).



Fig.119 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae

- 87(86)–First flagellomere long and slender, from 7-10x as long as apically broad; propodeum with only remants of median longitudinal carinae; predominantly black species, often with legs red; mandibles not conspicuously narrowed; hind tibia lacking apical comb of setae.....




Fig.120 Head, Megastylus

Fig.121 Hind tibia, Megastylus



Fig.122 Propodeum, Lycorina

- **88**(86)–Mandibles thin and apically narrowed, often with lower tooth much shorter than upper; fore tibia without an apical, distal tooth; small, fragile species some **Orthocentrinae**
- Mandibles broad, not narrowed, lower tooth not much shorter than upper tooth; fore tibia with an apical, distal tooth; medium-sized, robust species....... Ctenopelmatinae (a few Mesoleiini)
- **89**(82)–Areolet present and pentagonal; fore trochantellus not differentiated from femur (only trochanter present between femur and coxa; Fig.123; clypeus covered in stiff hairs (Fig.124; mandible widened in apical half, lower tooth larger than upper (Fig.125)......Alomyinae



Fig.123 Fore femur, trochanter, Alomya



Fig.124 Face, lateral, Alomya



Fig.125 Mandibles and face, Alomya

- **90**(89)–First tergite and sternite fused, glymmae absent; with one of the following characters: hind femur with a large ventral tooth; **or** mandible with a single tooth; **or** frons with a median horn



Fig.126 Tarsal claw, Pimplinae




Fig.127 Male flagellomeres, Cylloceria

91(81)–Flagellomeres 3 and 4 with deep, semi-circular excavations (Fig. 127)						
– Flagellomeres without semi-circular excavations, or occasionally with such excavations from 5 th						
flagellomere						
92(91)–Areolet present and pentagonal; fore trochantellus not differentiated from femur; clypeus						
covered in stiff hairs; mandible widened in apical half, lower tooth larger than upper (Figs 123-						
125)Alomyinae						
- Areolet absent or present and obliquely quadrate; fore trochantellus present; clypeus not covered						
in stiff hairs; mandible not widened in apical half						
93(92)–Mandibles thin and narrowed apically; clypeus about as wide as high						
 Mandibles broad, not narrowed apically; clypeus wider than deep, sometimes with a median 						
notch						
in dense, silvery setae						
 Clypeus not flattened apically; body not punctate; facial setae sparse, not unusually dense						
95 (94)–Face yellow, rest of body black or dark brown Cylloceriinae (<i>Allomacrus</i>) ²⁷						
 Face not yellow (usually brown) or, if yellow, mandible twisted and lower tooth minute or 						
missing; rest of body not black (usually mid-brown) some Orthocentrinae						
96 (93)–First tergite and sternite fused, glymmae absent; with one of the following characters: hind						
femur with a large ventral tooth; or mandible with a single tooth; or frons (above antennal						
sockets) with a median horn/projection						
- First tergite and sternite separate, glymmae present (Fig.128); with none of the above characters;						
sometimes with basal lobes on tarsal claws						

²⁷ One, fairly widespread, species in Britain (Allomacrus arcticus (Holmgren)).




Fig.128 1st metasomal tergite, Oedemopsini

- 97(96)-First tergite narrow basally, widened apically; notauli strong, extending to middle of First tergite not markedly narrow basally when compared to rest of tergite; notauli weak or 98(97)–Clypeus with an apical row of closely spaced setae; fore tibia without an apical, distal tooth; 5th tarsomere not almost as broad as long; no lobes on tarsal claws; fore wing sometimes with a zigzag bulla in the half of 2*m*-*cu* directly below areolet some **Tryphoninae** Clypeus without an apical row of closely spaced setae; fore tibia sometimes with an apical, distal tooth: 5th tarsomere sometimes almost as broad as long; sometimes with lobes on tarsal claws; fore wing without a zig-zag bulla in half of 2*m*-*cu* below areolet......99 99(99)-Fore tibia with apical, distal tooth; 5th tarsomere not broadened, arolium not projecting; no lobes on tarsal claws...... Ctenopelmatinae (a few Mesoleiini) Fore tibia without apical, distal tooth; 5th tarsomere sometimes broader than others, arolium projecting beyond claws; sometimes with basal lobes on tarsal claws some Pimplinae 100(1)–Clypeus not separated from the face, whole surface strongly convex (Fig.130) and with groovelike malar furrow (arrowed); spiracle of 1st metasomal tergite at about mid-length Orthocentrinae (Stenomacrus)
- Clypeus separated from face by a suture, face in profile flat / slightly convex with broader or non-existent malar furrow; spiracle of 1st metasomal tergite usually in posterior third (Fig.131)
 101




Fig.130 Face, Orthocentrus



Fig.131 1st metasomal segment, Gelis



Fig.132 2nd metasomal tergite, *Ichneumon*

 $^{^{28}}$ Only some $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_+}\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_+}$ s of *Ichneumon oblongus* Schrank should key out here.

²⁹ All three cryptine tribes have brachypterous representatives. Horstmann (1993) provides keys to the genera and species with brachypterous females, Schwarz (1994) provides an updated key to brachypterous females of *Gelis*. Apterous individuals will always belong to *Gelis*, *Thaumatogelis* or *Polyaulon*, most specimens will be found to be *Gelis* species. Schwarz (2001, 2002) keys out females of *Thaumatogelis* and *Gelis*, respectively. Schwarz (1995) keys out the genera with apterous females.

Notes on subfamily group names:

As well as a trend towards an increasing number of better defined subfamilies, various subfamilies have been given different names by different authors. The following table lists the names that will be found most frequently in the literature.

Current valid name ACAENITINAE	Perkins (1959) Part of PIMPLINAE	Townes (1969-1971)	Wahl (1993)	Others
ADELOGNATHINAE AGRIOTYPINAE ALOMYINAE ANOMALONINAE BANCHINAE	Part of Ophioninae Lissonotinae	Part of Ichneumoninae Anomalinae	Part of ICHNEUMONIN.	Agriotypidae ae
DANCHINAE CAMPOPLEGINAE COLLYRIINAE CREMASTINAE	Part of Ophioninae Part of Ophioninae	Porizontinae		
CRYPTINAE CTENOPELMATINAE CYLLOCERIINAE DIACRITINAE	Part of Plectiscinae Part of Pimplinae	Gelinae Scolobatinae Part of Microleptinae Part of Ephialtinae	Phygadeuontinae	Hemitelinae
DIPLAZONTINAE Eucerotinae Hybrizoninae Ichneumoninae	EUCERATINAE	Part of TRYPHONINAE Non-ICHNEUMONIDAE	PAXYLOMMATINAE	Paxylommatidae
Lycorininae Mesochorinae Metopiinae Microleptinae	Part of Plectiscinae	Part of MICROLEPTINAE		
Neorhacodes group (Tersilochinae) Ophioninae	Part of LISSONOTINAE	Part of BANCHINAE	NEORHACODINAE	
Orthocentrinae Orthopelmatinae	Part of PLECTISCINAE and part of MICROLEPT	Orthocentrinae inae		HELICTINAE (in part)
OXYTORINAE <i>Phrudus</i> group (Tersilochinae)	Part of Plectiscinae Phrudinae	Part of MICROLEPTINAE PHRUDINAE	Phrudinae	
PIMPLINAE Poemeniinae Rhyssinae Stilbopinae Tersilochinae	Part of PIMPLINAE Part of PIMPLINAE Part of PIMPLINAE Part of Ophioninae	Part of EPHIALTINAE Part of EPHIALTINAE Part of EPHIALTINAE Part of BANCHINAE		
TRYPHONINAE XORIDINAE				

References

- Fitton, M. G., M. R. Shaw and I. D. Gauld. 1988 Pimpline Ichneumon-flies. Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae (Pimplinae). *Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects* 7(i).
- Horstmann, K. 1993. Revision der brachypteren Weibchen der wespaläarktischen Cryptinae (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). *Entomofauna* 14: 85-148.
- Perkins, J. F. 1959. Hymenoptera. Ichneumonoidea. Ichneumonidae, key to subfamilies and Ichneumoninae 1. *Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects* 7(2ai): 1-116.
- Schwarz, M. 1994. Beitrag zur Systematik und Taxonomie europäischer *Gelis*-Arten mit macropteren oder brachypteren Weibchen (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 26: 381-391.
- Schwarz, M. 1995. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schmiedeknecht (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 1. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 27: 5-105.

- Schwarz, M. 2001. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schwarz (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 4. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 33: 1111-1155.
- Schwarz, M. 2002. Revision der westpaläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Gelis* Thunberg mit apteren Weibchen und *Thaumatogelis* Schwarz (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Teil 3. *Linzer Biologische Beiträge* 34: 1293-1392.
- Townes, H. K. 1969a. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 1. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 11: 1-300.
- Townes, H. K. 1969b. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 2. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 12: 1-537.
- Townes, H. K. 1969c. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 3. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 13: 1-307.
- Townes, H. K. 1971. The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 4. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 17: 1-372.
- Wahl, D. B. 1993. Key to subfamilies of Holarctic and Neotropical Ichneumonidae. Pp. 396-509 in: Goulet, H. and J. T. Huber, eds. *Hymenoptera of the world: An identification guide to families*. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa.