

Weekly Nanos Party Power Index Tracking (period ending March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015) released April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015

#### **Weekly Nanos Party Power Index**



#### Liberals score highest on Nanos Index, Harper and Tories slide (Released 04/01/2015)

After a series of weeks of positive momentum on the Nanos Party Power Index, the Conservatives registered a decline and still trail the Liberals. Also of note, the preferred Prime Minister numbers for Harper also declined. This will have to be monitored to see if a new trend emerges or if this is a short term phenomenon. Tories declines cut across all demographic groups.

The latest Nanos Party Power Index had the Liberals at 57 points out of 100, followed by the Conservatives at 53 points, the New Democrats at 50 points, the Greens at 32 points and the BQ at 26 points (QC only).

The Nanos Party Power Index methodology is comprised of a basket of political goods that includes ballot preferences, accessible voters, preferred PM views and evaluations of the leaders. It is modeled similar to a standard confidence index. It is a random telephone survey conducted with live agents, reaching out to Canadians through a land- and cell-line dual frame sample.

There was a noticeable one week slide in the numbers for Harper on the preferred PM measure (three points in one week). In the latest Nanos tracking, thirty one per cent of Canadian preferred Harper as PM, 31 per cent preferred Trudeau, followed by Mulcair at 17 percent, May at four per cent and 15 per cent were undecided.

Asked a series of independent questions as to whether they would consider or not consider voting for each of the federal parties, 53 per cent of Canadians would consider voting Liberal while 43 per cent would consider voting Conservative, 43 per cent would consider voting NDP and 26 per cent would consider voting for the Greens.





Hiah

12 Month 12 Month 12 Month

Low

Average

The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### Data Summary

3 Months

Aao

1 Year Ago

(Mar 2014)

4 Weeks

Ago

This Week Last Week

			5 -	5 -	(	9		
Canada Party Power I	ndex							
Liberal	56.6	56.6	56.4	56.1	55.8	60.7	54.8	56.8
Conservativ	/e 52.5	54.3	54.0	51.5	51.8	54.3	48.4	51.5
NDP	50.3	48.8	48.3	48.2	48.2	52.2	47.4	49.3
Green	32.3	31.4	30.5	30.3	31.3	35.2	30.1	32.1
Bloc	26.0	25.4	26.6	22.9	28.1	28.8	22.9	26.6
Party Consider								
Liberal	52.5%	52.2%	51.8%	54.5%	48.8%	59.7%	48.8%	54.2%
Conservativ	/e 43.3%	44.6%	42.8%	42.1%	40.1%	44.7%	36.1%	41.4%
NDP	43.3%	42.1%	42.6%	46.9%	37.9%	47.1%	37.9%	43.6%
Bloc	29.1%	26.5%	33.9%	26.5%	26.5%	36.7%	25.3%	30.6%
Green	25.9%	23.7%	24.0%	26.6%	25.0%	33.1%	23.4%	27.4%
Preferred Prime Minis	ter							
Harper	31.3%	34.1%	33.7%	33.1%	30.4%	34.1%	25.5%	30.4%
Trudeau	30.6%	30.5%	30.6%	31.5%	28.7%	35.8%	26.3%	30.7%
Mulcair	17.4%	15.9%	17.0%	17.6%	18.1%	20.4%	13.7%	17.8%
May	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.2%	5.6%	6.7%	3.0%	4.8%
Beaulieu	1.6%	1.8%	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	2.8%	0.5%	1.4%
Unsure	15.0%	13.5%	12.9%	12.7%	15.6%	18.1%	11.7%	14.6%
www.nanosresearch.com								3



### About the Index



#### Weekly Nanos Party Power Index


Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the 1st and 2nd vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time.

The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.




65



The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos









Party Power Index Tracking by Region




The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the Atlantic is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos










The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Quebec is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Ontario is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos









The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the Prairies is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos










The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for BC is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



British Columbia Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=152)






Party Power Index Tracking by Age



The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 18 to 29 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 30 to 39 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 40 to 49 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for PM, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 50 to 59 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos










The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 60 plus age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



#### 60 plus Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=239)






Party Power Index Tracking by Gender




The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for males is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for gender subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos










The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for females is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for gender subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








# Consider Conservative NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Conservative Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=1,000)







### Consider NDP




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





09-07 10-12 11-16 12-21 01-25 02-28 04-04 05-09 06-13 07-18 08-22 09-26 10-31 12-05 01-09 02-13 03-20

■Would not consider voting NDP



■Would consider voting NDP

## Consider Liberal




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015, n=1,000)



■ Would not consider voting Liberal



■ Would consider voting Liberal

### Consider Bloc





**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### Quebec only – Weekly Tracking Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=248)








### Consider Green



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015, n=1,000)



■ Would consider voting Green ■ Would not consider voting Green





National Leadership Tracking

## Prime Minister


40%



Question: Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=1,000)



## Harper




**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Stephen Harper


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015, n=1,000)



2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015-



### Mulcair



**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Thomas Mulcair


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### 100% 25% 27% 29% 26% 21% 25% 25% 23% 24% 23% 22% 26% 24% 24% 24% 23% 26% 22% 23% 23% 21% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 45%44% 43% 43% 40% 30% 20% 10%

2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015- 2

■ Does not have qualities of a good leader



■ Has qualities of a good leader

National - Weekly Tracking

(Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=1,000)


### Trudeau




**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=1,000)







### Beaulieu




**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Mario Beaulieu

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

#### Quebec only – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=248)



2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015- 2015- 08-10 09-14 10-19 11-23 12-28 02-01 03-07 04-11 05-16 06-20 07-25 08-29 10-03 11-07 12-12 01-16 02-20 03-27 

Has qualities of a good leader Does not have qualities of a good leader Unsure



# May




**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com


#### National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 27th, 2015, n=1,000)



2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015- 2015- 08-10 09-14 10-19 11-23 12-28 02-01 03-07 04-11 05-16 06-20 07-25 08-29 10-03 11-07 12-12 01-16 02-20 03-27 

Has qualities of a good leader Does not have qualities of a good leader Unsure





#### Methodology



#### Survey Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Party Power Index Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians (land- and cell-lines), using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added. The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time.

The current report is based on a four week rolling average of Canadian opinion ending March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate 3.1 percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



#### **About Nanos**

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.





View our brochure

#### **Nik Nanos** FMRIA

Chairman, Nanos Research Group Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Washington DC (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com