#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 275 958 CG 019 490

TITLE Child Pornography and Pedophillia. Report Made by the

Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs. United States Senate, Ninety-Ninth Congress, Second Session.

INSTITUTION Congress of the U.S., Washington, D.C. Senate

Committee on Governmental Affairs.

REPORT NO Senate-R-99-537

PUB DATE 9 Oct 86

NOTE 57p.

PUB TYPE Legal/Legislative/Regulatory Materials (090)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Child Abuse; Children; \*Government Role;

International Cooperation; Pornography; \*Prevention;

\*Sexual Abuse; \*Victims of Crime

IDENTIFIERS \*Child Pornography; Congress 99th; \*Pedophilia

#### ABSTRACT

This document contains an extensive overview of the topics of child pornography and pedophilia. Pedophilia is defined for this report as a condition in which an adult's primary sexual attraction is to prepubescent children roughly between the ages of 6 and 12. The introduction discusses the recent history of public awareness of the problem, characteristics of child molesters, and the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigation's interest in and action on the topic. Further sections discuss the following: (1) organized crime's involvement; (2) prosecutions by the Department of Justice; (3) padophilia; (4) meeting and seducing children; (5) use of child pornography; (6) correspondence among padophiles; (7) use of computers by pedophiles; (8) pedophile organizations and publications; (9) international distribution of child pornography with particular emphasis on Sweden, Denmark, and the Netherlands; (10) importation of child pornography into the United States; (11) quality and content of child pornography; and (12) price and cost of child pornography. Three recommendations are made by the subcommittee for combating child pornography and child molestation. These are: banning advertising of child pornography and child prostitution, increasing pressure on foreign pornographers, and creating regional child sexual exploitation task forces. The appendix includes letters relevant to the topic to and by the subcommittee. (ABL)



99TH CONGRESS 2nd Session

SENATE

REPORT 99-537

# CHILD PORNOGRAPHY AND PEDOPHILIA

### REPORT

MADE BY THE

# PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON **INVESTIGATIONS**

OF THE

# COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS UNITED STATES SENATE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced at received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

OCTOBER 9 (legislative day, OCTOBER 6), 1986.—Ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

63-080 O

93

WASHINGTON: 1986

BEST COPY AVAILABLE 2



# COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Delaware, Chairman

TED STEVENS, Alaska CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, Jr., Maryland WILLIAM S. COHEN, Maine DAVE DURENBERGER, Minnesota WARREN B. RUDMAN, New Hampshire THAD COCHRAN, Mississippi

THOMAS F. EAGLETON, Missouri LAWTON CHILES, Florida SAM NUNN, Georgia JOHN GLENN, Ohio CARL LEVIN, Michigan ALBERT GORE, Jr., Tennessee

FRANKLIN G. POLK, Chief Counsel and Staff Director GARY ZIZKA, Minority Staff Director

# PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS

WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Delaware, Chairman WARREN B. RUDMAN, New Hampshire, Vice Chairman

CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, Jr., Maryland WILLIAM S. COHEN, Maine THAD COCHRAN, Mississippi TED STEVENS, Alaska SAM NUNN, Georgia
LAWTON CHILES, Florida
JOHN GLENN, Ohio
CARL LEVIN, Michigan
ALBERT GORE, Jr., Tennessee

Daniel F. Rinzel, Chief Counsel
ELEANORE J. HILL, Chief Counsel to the Minority
Carla Martin, Chief Clerk

(II)



# CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Organized crime	4
III.	Prosecutions by the Department of Justice	5
IV.	Pedophilia	6
V.	Meeting and seducing children	7
VI.	Use of child pornography	9
VII.	Correspondence among pedophiles	12
VIII.	Use of computers by pedophiles	13
IX.	Pedophile organizations and publications	15 17 19
X.	International distribution of child pornography Sweden Denmark The Netherlands	29 30 31 32
XI.	Importation of child pornography into the United States	35
XII.	Quality and content of foreign child pornography	37
XIII.	Price and cost of child pornography	41
XIV.	Conclusions	43
XV.	Recommendations	44
Appe	ndix	47

(III)



#### CHILD PORNOGRAPHY AND PEDOPHILIA

OCTOBER 9 (legislative day, OCTOBER 6), 1986.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Roth, from the Committee on Governmental Affairs, submitted the following

### REPORT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A decade ago, the sexual abuse of children was a subject that came to the attention of most Americans infrequently, if at all. Assault cases often were quietly kept out of the courts, and many police departments viewed such cases as little more than time-consuming social work. Child molesters were more often the target of jokes than investigations. For millions of Americans, child sexual abuse was a problem that was out of sight and out of mind.

During the late 1970s, however, reports of child exual abuse slowly began to increase, and so did public awarenes of the problem. The American Association for Protecting Children, a subsidiary of the American Humane Association, noted a ten-fold increase in the number of children reported to be sexual abuse victims from 1976 to 1983,1 but it was not until the following year that the problem was presented to the general public as a "crisis." Beginning in 1984 and throughout 1985, child sexual abuse was almost constantly in the national focus. Networks and local TV stations devoted scores of prime-time hours to its exposure; hundreds of newspapers and magazines ran lengthy accounts of child sexual assaults and pornography rings; grocery bags and milk cartons began to carry the faces of missing children; citizen awareness groups sprang up around the country; police agencies that once paid scant attention to the problem began establishing special training programs for their officers and setting up child sex crime units; the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children was established in Washington, D.C.; and in Congress, from 1983 to mid-1986 a total of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> American Humane Association/American Association for Protecting Children, Highlights of Official Child Neglect and Abuse Reporting: Denver, CO, 1984, p. 17.

194 bills and 13 hearings focused specifically on some aspect of child abuse or child sexual exploitation.2

With this unprecedented attention came an exponential increase in the reporting of child sexual abuse, believed by some to be the

most underreported major crime in America.

Reports increased dramatically throughout the United States—in Farm Belt states and in the nation's largest cities, in West Coast beach towns and East Coast industrial centers, in the neighborhoods of the affluent, the middle class and the poor. A 1985 report by the New York-based Child Welfare League of America said child sexual abuse reports rose 59 percent from 1983 to 1984.3 In Delaware and Idaho reports nearly doubled from 1983 to 1984; in Oregon they rose 129 percent; and in Wisconsin, they went up by 132 percent.4 In Houston, police received 1,600 reports of child sexual assaults in 1985, more than double the total in 1983.5 In virtually all cases the extraordinary rise in sexual abuse statistics reflected a state's or city's increased efforts to discover and investigate such crimes, rather than a sudden increase in molested children over years past. And yet there is wide agreement that even these are conservative figures.6

The following are just a few of the many cases that attracted na-

tional attention during 1984 and 1985:

In Manhattan Beach, California, in the Spring of 1984, seven employees of a day care center were charged with 207 counts of rape, sodomy and other abuses, involving at least 41 children over a six-year period. Doctors confirmed that 37 of the children showed physical signs of molestation. After a grueling pre-trial hearing lasting several months, many parents withdrew their children as witnesses after watching other children undergo lengthy cross-examination by defense attorneys. Later the Los Angeles County District Attorney dropped all charges against five of the seven defendants, citing a lack of evidence.7

In 1985 a Roman Catholic priest was convicted of molesting over a period of years at least 37 boys, among them altar boys and members of the parish Boy Scout troop in Henry, Louisiana. Depositions in the case disclosed that the priest's supervisors had confronted him with such allegations as far back as 1974 and had received similar complaints from parents in 1977. Yet the supervisors did not alert police and still allowed the priest to work with children. More than a dozen civil suits were filed against the diocese by the families and \$4.2 million in damages already has been awarded.8



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Report to Subcommittee by Congressional Research Service, Washington, June 1986.
<sup>3</sup> Child Welfare League of America, Too Young to Run: The Status of Child Abuse in America; New York, February 1985, p. 5.
<sup>4</sup> Ibid., p. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 10

<sup>5</sup> Subcommittee staff interview with Sgt. William D. Brown, Vice Division, Houston, TX, Police Department, February 1986.

<sup>6</sup> National Committee for the Prevention of Child Abuse, "The Size of the Child Abuse Problem"; Chicago, February 1985, p. 2; Sally Squires, "Who Would Sexually Abuse a Child?"; *The Washington Post* "Health" Magazine, June 19, 1986, p. 7.

<sup>7</sup> Ted Rohrlich and Lois Timnick, "McMartin Flaw: Gaps in Evidence"; *The Los Angeles Times*, Jan. 27, 1986, p. 4

<sup>8</sup> Jon Nordheimer, "Sex Charges Against Priest Embroil Louisiana Parents"; *The New York Times*, June 20, 1985, p. A-24.

In Tampa, Florida, Eric Cross, who had been convicted of molesting young girls in four countries, was indicted for allegedly distributing child pornography while in prison on a molestation charge. He was convicted on 19 counts of distributing child pornography and other charges and sentenced to a 95-

year prison term.

As a large number of cases illustrate, child molesters come from virtually every type of background in society. In the past two years those convicted on such charges have included police officers, politicians, judges, physicians, lawyers, journalists, grandmothers, teachers and military officers, among others. To their neighbors and coworkers they were often respected, responsible members of the community, remembered by some acquaintances as being "great with kids." Many were active in church, school and sports organizations. The stereotype of the child molester as a menacing deviate lurking in public places obviously does not apply to many of them.

With these events as a backdrop, the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations in early 1984 began an investigation of child pornography and pedophilia—the abnormal sexual desire of an adult for pre-pubescent children. Subcommittee investigators interviewed more than 200 people in more than 30 states, including convicted child molesters, pornographers, pro-pedophilia activists, molestation victims, investigators, judges, prosecutors, psychiatrists and child protection workers. The Subcommittee also reviewed thousands of documents, including arrest reports, victim statements, pedophile correspondence, newsletters, child pornography catalogs, films, videotapes and magazines. Finally, the Subcommittee held three days of public hearings-on Nov. 29 and 30, 1984 and Feb. 21, 1985—for further exploration of the issues and questions raised during the investigation.10

The investigation's primary focus was on child pornography and pedophile activities in the United States, but because of the importance of the Netherlands, Denmark and Sweden in the international distribution of child pornography, the Subcommittee also examined efforts to combat child pornography in those countries.11

The Subcommittee found that while the growth in the number of reports of abuse and sexual exploitation of children is cause for continuing concern, recent Federal laws—notably the Child Protection Act of 1984—are beginning to show significant results in the battle against these evils. The public perception of an "epidemic" of child abuse and child pornography reports and arrests, which has led to demands for even tougher laws, may actually be testimony to the effectiveness of the existing laws in providing authorities with the tools to arrest and convict child abusers and pornographers. In addition, the economic impact of the child pornography industry often tends to be overstated. The most significant to socie-



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> John Dorschner, "The Child Seducer"; *The Miami Herald* "Tropic" Magazine, Oct. 9, 1988, pp. 14-21; Subcommittee staff interview with Special Agent Barry Carmody, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Tampa, FL, March 1986. (Also see pp. 39-41.)

<sup>10</sup> Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, United States Senate, *Child Pornography and Pedophilia*; S. Hrg. 98-1277, Part 1, Nov. 29-30, 1984; S. Hrg. 99-18, Part 2, Feb. 21, 1985 (*Hear-investigations*)

ings).

11 The U.S. Customs Service estimated in 1985 that 85 percent of the child pornography seized as it was entering the United States came from Denmark and the Netherlands; see pp. 29-34.

ty from this practice cannot be measured in economic terms; instead, it must be measured in terms of the extent of physical and psychic damage to innocent children brought about by the production and use of child pornography.

#### II. ORGANIZED CRIME

Because of the Subcommittee's historic interest in the activities of organized crime, an effort was made to obtain any information that might show a direct link between organized crime and the distribution of child pornography in the United States. The Subcommittee interviewed former child pornography distributors, federal informants, pedophiles, prosecutors and law enforcement officials from the United States, Canada and Europe. No one produced definitive evidence that traditional organized crime groups, such as La Cosa Nostra, have any appreciable influence on the production or distribution of true pedophile-oriented child pornography. Nor was evidence found of any widespread involvement, much less control, of child pornography distribution by other ethnic crime organizations or criminal groups, such as motorcycle gangs.

There is evidence that La Cosa Nostra crime families are involved in the production and distribution of commercial adult pornography. 12 A small portion of this market may include underaged models, usually 16 or 17, and some material appears to show legalaged models who are dressed and made up to look like minors. While any sexually explicit material involving persons of this age is usually harmful, if not illegal, for purposes of this report child pornography refers to material involving children under 13.

After extensive inquiries, the Subcommittee has concluded that the distribution of child pornography in the United States is largely carried out by individual pedophiles, who produce this material and trade it among themselves or order it through the mail from other countries. In the few instances when police have uncovered commercial child pornography operations, they paled in comparison to the sophistication and profits of adult pornography distributors, and were not controlled by traditional organized crime. One such organization was run by Cathy Wilson, who at the time of her arrest in California in 1983 was believed to control about 80 percent of the commercial child pornography trade in the United States. 13 Wilson told Subcommittee investigators in August 1984 that "the Mafia" had not been involved in her operation or that of any other child pornographer with whom she dealt during the 1970s and early 1980s. 14 Richard Trolio, once a business partner of Wilson's who later became a federal informant against her, told the Subcommittee he agreed with Wilson's assessment. 15

Economics probably plays a major part in organized crime's lack of interest in child pornography. The adult sex industry (magazines, videotapes, X-rated movie theaters, nightclubs, massage par-

<sup>12</sup> California Department of Justice, Grganized Crime in California, 1982-83; Sacramento,

<sup>1984,</sup> pp. 14-15.

13 "Case Synopsis," Administrative Vice Division, Los Angeles Police Department, May 7, 1982 (copy retained in Subcommittee files).

14 Subcommittee staff interview, August 1984.

15 Subcommittee staff interview, August 1984.

lors, "dial-a-porn" and "escort" services, etc.) operates legally in much of the country and grosses several billion dollars annually. 16 Conversely, the commercial child pornography industry has declined substantially in recent years. 17

Perhaps equally discouraging to organized crime is the aggressive enforcement of the 1984 federal child pornography statutes, 18 which carry 10-year prison terms for production, importation or distribution of the material. In comparison, violations involving adult pornography are often treated as misdemeanor obscenity cases, when they are prosecuted at all.

#### III. PROSECUTIONS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

On February 6, 1978, Congress enacted Public Law 95-225, the Protection of Children Against Sexual Exploitation Act of 1977. This legislation added sections 2251 through 2253 to Title 18 of the United States Code to deal specifically for the first time with the problem of child pornography. Efforts by prosecutors to obtain convictions under these statutes, however, were hampered by a provision in the law that the pornographic material in question had to be produced or distributed for "commercial" purposes in order to warrant prosecution. Since most child pornographers in the United States tend to trade child pornography among themselves rather than sell it, the Department of Justice was forced to rely primarily upon sections 1461-1465, Title 18 of the U.S. Code, the federal ob-

scenity statutes, to prosecute child pornographers.

Congress moved to close this loophole on May 21, 1984, by amanding the child pornography statutes to delete the "commerciality" requirement and a requirement that the disseminated material be legally obscene.19 The amendments, which also added civil and criminal forfeiture provisions to the statutes, now appear as sections 2251-2255, Title 18, U.S. Code. The effect of these amendments on the Department of Justice's ability to prosecute child pornography cases has been dramatic: from 1978 to April 1984, the Department obtained 64 convictions; between May 1984, and June 1986, at least 164 convictions were obtained.<sup>20</sup>

	Indictments	Convictions
ear:		
1978	13	13
1979	1	
1980	11	10
1981	14	1
1982	19	
1983	6	15
1984 (pre-Act)	5	



<sup>16</sup> Gregory Stricharchuk, "'Porn King' Expands His Empire With Aid of Businessman's Skills"; The Wall Street Journal, May 8, 1985, p. 1.

17 U.S. Customs Service seizure reports, February 1986; Subcommittee staff interview with Cathy Wilson, op. cit.; Subcommittee staff interview with Joyce Karlin, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Los Angeles, August, 1984.

18 See following section.

19 Child Protection Act of 1984, P.L. 98-292.

29 Report to Subcommittee by Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice, June 1986.

<sup>20</sup> Report to Subcommittee by Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice, June 1986.

	Indictments	Convictions
984 (post-Act)	55	35
594,.,.,.,	122	102
986 (May)	24	27

#### IV. PEDOPHILIA

The terms pedophile and pedophilia have been so widely used in the news media in recent years that their clinical definitions sometimes are overlooked. Many references to "pedophiles" seem to indicate the term is applied to any adult who is sexually attracted to a legal minor. That is not the case, and the distinction is worth

noting.

Pedophilia, literally "love of a child," as used in this report refers to the condition in which an adult's primary sexual attraction is to prepubescent children-roughly between six and twelve years of age.<sup>21</sup> While many cases exist in which true pedophiles have been involved with children below and above those age boundaries, the vast majority fall between them. (A less-commonly used term, hebephilia, describes an adult's sexual attraction to adolescents. This more accurately defines the offenders involved in teenage prostitution, for example, than does the often-misused label, pedophile.) Pedophiles normally have little interest in adolescents who are beginning to reach sexual maturity; it is, in fact, the very lack of sexual development, the childish innocence, that arouses most true pedophiles. The term pedophile is often misused when applied to all child sex crime offenders. Experts agree that many children are assaulted simply because they are available and, of course, more easily overpowered than an adult. The true pedophile, as a rule, does not commit violent acts against his victim.

Pedophiles often are attracted to children within a specific age range—boys from 8 to 10, girls under 9, etc.—and there is some evidence to show this preference may develop because it was the same age at which the molester was also first molested as a child.22 Many studies have shown a large percentage of convicted child mo-

lesters were themselves molested as children.23

While pedophiles come from virtually all social, racial, ethnic and age groups, therapists and investigators have been able to arrive at some common characteristics many of them seem to share. Pedophiles normally are divided into two categories-regressed and fixated. An authoritative psychiatric profile described them in this way: 24

The [fixated] offender has never developed emotionally or intellectually. He feels comfortable around children and uncomfortable around adults. He sees the child as an adequate sexual partner who will enjoy the experience. He shows no guilt or shame afterwards. This offender will be

Abnormal Psychology (1969), pp. 249-255.



at Kenneth V. Lanning, Child Molesters: A Behavorial Analysis; National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, Washington, February 1986, pp. 1-2.

\*\*\* Sharon Araji and David Finkelhor, "Abusers: A Review of the Research," in Finkelhor, A Source Book on Child Sexual Abuse (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1986), p. 103.

\*\*\* Lanning, op. cit., p. 11.

\*\* M. Cohen, T. Seghorn and W. Calmas, "Sociometric Study of the Sex Offender," 74 Jnl.

\*\*Abastral Pershalagy (1969), pp. 249-255.

passive, dependent, immature, lonely, inadequate, with low self-esteem. He knows right from wrong and will be law-abiding apart from child molestation. He will have dated little and rarely be married. His immaturity will mean that his work, social and personal adjustment will be poor. He will often be employed in menial jobs and prefer to work around children. He seeks children out as companions and in his jobs, so he may be found working with chil-

dren in his job or as a recreation.

The [regressed offender] is reasonably well adjusted. He will have no criminal record (apart from child molestation) and will have a good job, social and personal adjustment. He will have dated and typically be married. However, under stress, especially threats to his masculinity, he regresses to immature behavior. So if he is fired, or criticized at work, or if his wife has an affair or criticizes him, he may begin to drink alcohol and impulsively choose a non-threatening female sexual partner (a child). After the experience, he will realize what he has done and feel guilt and shame. He deals with this guilt by attributing his behavior to alcohol.

### V. MEETING AND SEDUCING CHILDREN

I used all the normal techniques used by pedophiles. I bribed my victims, I pleaded with them, but I also showed them affection and attention they thought they were not getting anywhere else. Almost without exception every child I molested was lonely and longing for attention.—JOSEPH HENRY 25

A determined pedophile quickly masters the art of meeting and engaging the trust of children. Pedophiles are constantly seeking out new ways of drawing children into their confidence without

raising suspicions.

Those who seek frequent contact with children, and either have no criminal record or believe it would not be discovered, may find employment as day care center workers, recreation directors, video arcade managers, Little League coaches, scout leaders, Big Brothers, schoolteachers or in a host of other occupations where children are present. In a study of 40 pedophile cases by FBI Special Agent Kenneth Lanning and Dr. Ann Burgess, almost half of the offenders used their occupations to encounter children.<sup>26</sup>

Other pedophiles have located children through babysitting, neighborhood contacts and volunteer organizations. Many have met their eventual victims through adult relationships with par-

ents, as friends, co-workers, counselors, etc.

A number of cases have involved people in positions of authority—people to whom even careful parents often entrust their children, such as priests, teachers and police officers. These cases are cited not to undermine faith in these professions, but to emphasize



 <sup>\*\*</sup> Hearings, Part 2, p. 8.
 \*\* Kenneth V. Lanning and Ann Wolbert Burgess, "Child Pornography and Sex Rings," FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Washington, January 1984, p. 11.

that a pedophile's all-consuming desire for children will often out-

weigh his position of trust in the community.

Some pedophiles expose themselves to children or attempt to lure them into their cars or homes with presents, promises and deception, but these cases represent a small minority of the molestation incidents investigated by police officials in the United States. Fortunately, the stereotype of the child molester as a dirty, leering stranger on a park bench is disappearing as awareness of the true nature of pedophilia grows.

The words of a pedophile provide the best description of the thought process involved in attempting to meet and seduce children. Following is an anonymously-written excerpt from How to Have Sex With Kids, a booklet published by David Sonenschein, an Austin, Texas, author who has written extensively about pedophi-

lia:

The important thing about meeting kids is that it happens best when you meet in places or in doing things that interest both of you. Like in video game arcades, kids can tell if you're just in there cruising for sex, or are there because you like playing the games. The same with sports and sporting events. You can meet kids anywhere you go that you're interested in going, and what's important about this is you've got a right to be where you are. Like your own neighborhood. You have a right to walk around, talk to people there, and get to know who's who . . .

It's also a good idea to get to know parents. Sometimes you can get babysitting tasks or you can just take the kids places when they know you and know that the kids like being with you. Sometimes parents can introduce you to other kids too.<sup>27</sup>

Once the pedophile has gained private access to the child, he then must convince the child to cooperate. According to Nicholas Groth, a psychiatrist who has worked with many pedophiles in the Connecticut prison system, "The most commonly used technique of luring the child into . . . sexual activity is by capitalizing on the child's need for attention, approval, and human contact." 28

Convicted child molester Joseph Henry, who molested 22 girls aged six to fourteen over a period of nearly 30 years, testified before the Subcommittee about the techniques he used to manipu-

late children:

. . . I would take my victims to movies and to amusement parks. When I babysat them, I would let them stay up past their bedtime if they let me fondle them. One little 8-year-old girl I was babysitting came over to my house one day soaking wet from a rainstorm. I told her I'd pay her \$1 if she would stay undressed for an hour. This incident opened the door for three years of molestation.29



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> David Sonenschien, ed., How to Have Sex With Kids; Austin, TX, 1982, pp. 6-7 (copy retained in Subcommittee files).

<sup>28</sup> A. Nicholas Groth with H. Jean Birnbaum, Men Who Rape: The Psychology of the Offender (New York: Plenum, 1979), p. 142.

<sup>29</sup> Hearings, Part 2, p. 8.

# VI. USE OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

No ciagle characteristic of podephilic is more pervasive than the characters with child personnephy. The fundamentes of podephiles with child personnephy and child cretics has been decumented in many characters has been established by hundreds of arrests of patentially who are fundaments a large amount of established patentials.

Detective William Dwarin of the Los Angeles Police Department estimates that of the 199 child esclertors in whose arrest he has participated during the last ten years, more than half had child purpopruphy in their passession. About 80 percent owned either

Then exerteled child melector interviewed by the Subsemmittee either extented or produced child persography, or both. Most said they had used the majorial to lower the inhabitions of children or

E is not unusual for pulsphiles to present collections containing award thousand photographs, dides, films, videstages and magnifest depicting such dishives and children compand is a variety of agent activities—class, with other dishives, with adults, and owns with activate in present the activate are independent in a containing a part of the containing and unfallows, some or young or 15 months. 11 Release Horstands, a California college standard who testified before the Dabouradities about his comprises as a melectricism vistics, reported that when Los Angeles Police officers converted the house of his work, who had probable life. Horstands of extendity applied photos of dishibute. The many other cases police have described extensive adheritors containly induced, other on house computers, by ago of the children, origin of the meteorial and type of comes activities policities. A maps in Anatis, Tomas analysed an entire collection of daily portugately magnified by the questions shown on the children's first.

In testimony before the U.S. Specie Subsemmittee on Jevenile Justing on August S. 1984, Special Agreet Kenneth Lenning of the PSF's Subsected States Units, a recognised expert on pedaphilia, distanted on the subsection of the su

They (pedephiles) typically cellect broke, magazines, articles, noverageness, photographs, negatives, clides, movies, albums, descrings, seeds topes, videotopes, personal letters, depring econol clide, convenies, tope, games, lists, pointings, ledgers, etc., all relating to children in either a normal, scientific or code, way. Not all pedephiles cellect all those beans. Their cellections very in size and coops. However, the maintenance and growth of their cellections becomes one of the most important things in their lift. . . They may hide their cellections, move them, or even give them



Committee and interview, September 1886.

Early Cold September outside a count of the boss of Dorld September.

to another pedophile, but they almost never destroy them.\*4

Experts cite seven primary reasons that pedophiles collect child

pornography:

1. Justification.—A pedophile needs to know or to convince himself that his obsession is not "abnormal" and dirty, but is shared by thousands of other intelligent, sensitive people. The collection and trading of child pornography, along with scientific and academic articles justifying pedophilia, accomplishes this goal. Pornography also provides pedophiles with a common currency, a mutually desired possession which can be bought, sold and traded in order to devalor trust and comprederic with fallow redorbiles 22

develop trust and cameraderie with fellow pedophiles.\*\*

The pedophile's collection includes lists of names, addresses and phone numbers of other pedophiles, and correspondence received from such persons. These lists are guarded like gold, often kept in safe deposit boxes or secretly hidden in the pedophile's residence. They not only provide contacts for the pedophile, but they further resingues the helief that because as many others angain in the reinforce the belief that because so many others engage in the same activity, it must not be as "wrong" as society believes. This constant need for validation and support from other pedophiles, however, often overcomes the instinct for caution. Enticed by fantasy letters about child sex or promises of exchanging child pornogra-

phy, many pedophiles have been trapped by police through the simple exchange of letters.

2. Arousel.—In the same way others use adult pornography, pedophiles use child pornography to stimulate their sexual drive and to aid in masturbation. While some pedophiles may only fantasize about the material, Lanning suggests that "the arousal and fantasy stalled by the removement is only a realised to actual sexual activity. fueled by the pornography is only a prelude to actual sexual activity with children."

3. To lower a child's inhibitions.—Many pedophiles firmly believe children enjoy sex with adults and that pictures of this activity will convince reluctant children to more freely participate. "Peer pressure has a tremendous effect on children," Lanning testified. "If other children are involved, maybe it is all right, the child thinks. In the pornography used to lower inhibitions, the child portrayed will appear to be having a good time." \*7 In two cases examined extensively by Subcommittee investiga-

tors, convicted molesters Joseph Henry and Donald Woodward acknowledged that they showed their victims child pornography in an effort to lower their inhibitions and even to suggest specific sex acts. In letters written by Woodward to other pedophiles, he explained specifically what he had in mind for the child pornography

he was sending or receiving:

I've just mailed you, in a separate envelope, a bunch of material on (the two children he was convicted of molesting). I need this material back before 7/28 for use as "bait" in a plan I'll tell you all about if it comes off. Maybe bait



orescensition on Juvenile Justice, United St. and Children, S. Hrg. 98–1387, Aug. S, 1984, p. 88, 98 feel, pp. 68–41.

or Ridd, pp. 68–41.
or Ridd, p. 68. es Senate, Effect of Pornography on Women

is the wrong word; they (the photos) are intended to be emulated by prospective participants . . . 38

. . The photos of [his 10-year-old victim], of course, are to be samples of poses that I want them [other children] in, from mild and sweet, to hot and lewd . . . \*\*

Woodward and his friends also found that a Polaroid camera came in handy during photo sessions with children. "I may just pick up a Polaroid . . . since kids always enjoy seeing how they look in pictures right away," Woodward wrote to another pedophile, "and I think it helps persuade them to go just a little farther in the next shot . . ." 40

4. Preservation of the child's youth.—Another principal reason for the collection of child pornography by pedophiles is to insure there will always be an image of the child at the age of sexual preference. "No matter how attractive any one child sexual partner is," Lanning testified, "there can be no long-term sexual relationship. All child victims will grow up and become sexually unattractive to the pedophile. However, in a photograph, a 9-year-old boy stays young forever." 41 Nor are the photographs always sexually explicit. Many pedophiles avidly collect photos of clothed children. Pederasts, or "boy-lovers" as they call themselves, quite often collect photos of young boys in sports outfits. William Thorne, a detection of the collect photos of young boys in sports outfits. tive in the Bergen County, New Jersey, prosecutor's office, testified before the Subcommittee that in the arrest of James Cooper on molestation charges, officers found several hundred 35mm photographs of teenage boys at parks, swimming pools, ice rinks, video arcades, baseball games, even newspaper boys on their routes—all clothed, but usually wearing shorts (see pp. 20, 21). 42 Other pedophiles have collected department store catalog photos of young children in underwear.

5. Blackmail.—A child molester is consumed with the prospect of being caught. When he has taken sexually explicit photos of his victims, he not only has preserved the object of his desire for posterity, but he also has created an effective tool for keeping the child from revealing his abuse. If a child should threaten to tell his parents or authorities, the m lester will remind him of the photos and tell him he will be punished or lose the affection of parents and siblings if the photos are revealed.43

6. A medium of exchange.—Agent Lanning testified that some pedophiles exchange photographs in order to gain access to other children. The quality and theme of the material (boy-boy, boy-girl, adult-child, etc.) determines its value. Because of this system of exchange, copying machines, slide enlargers and photo duplicating equipment are often used to produce duplicate copies of material which can be offered to other pedophiles.44

<sup>28</sup> Donald Woodward, personal correspondence seized as evidence by Los Angeles Police Department.

\*\*\* Ibid.

\*0 Ibid.

\*1 Subcommittee on Juvenile Justice, op. cit., p. 42.

\*\*\* Hearings, Part 1, p. 54.

\*\*\* Subcommittee on Juvenile Justice, op. cit., pp. 43-44. Also see Hearings, Part 2, p. 18.

\*\*\* Subcommittee on Juvenile Justice, op. cit., pp. 44.

7. Profit.—Although most pedophiles do not sell child pornography, some do. Usually this involves the sale of homemade films, tapes and photographs on a one-to-one basis to other pedophiles, to pornography distributors or to European pornographic magazine publishers. 45 Distribution on a wider scale is discussed on pages 29 to 41 of this report.

# VII. CORRESPONDENCE AMONG PEDOPHILES

Correspondence among pedophiles provides a valuable source of information about their thoughts and behavior, as well as graphic and incriminating accounts of their crimes. For reasons apparently related to self-validation, ego and camaraderie, pedophiles often write to each other with detailed accounts of their activities and fantasies, as seen in numerous collections of pedophile correspondence seized by law enforcement authorities and reviewed by the Subcommittee. Some are pure flights of fancy, but many are chillingly real. These letters are rarely read and discarded; investigators report that many pedophiles keep them for years, even when their discovery would mean certain arrest.

This penchant for detail has been the undoing of hundreds of pedophiles and child pornography collectors. Numerous arrests are made each year by local police investigators and U.S. postal inspectors who acquire the names of likely pedophiles by various means, then write to them under assumed names, offering to buy or trade child pornography, children's names, addresses and similar enticements. The correspondence often results in a face-to-face meeting in which a crime is committed or police gain enough information to

obtain a search warrant.

Convicted child molesters Joseph Henry and Donald Woodward wrote detailed letters to fellow pedophiles outlining the time and place of various criminal acts. Typically, the letters reflect the fear of discovery with which child molesters live. Despite the specificity of most letters, pedophiles repeatedly warn each other to be wary of the police. In one of Joseph Henry's first letters to convicted molester John Duncan, later identified as the leader of a child prostitution ring in Los Angeles, Henry wrote: "Let me put you at ease to the fact that I am NOT a cop, postal inspector, a police informant, or any other such person with a similar nature." <sup>46</sup> Ironically, Henry was unaware at the time that Duncan was using an alias, Lance Carlson. In succeeding letters Henry detailed his life history as a pedophile, apparently for his own and Duncan's arousal as well as to prove himself to Duncan. "Well, Lance," Henry wrote after an unusually graphic account of his molestation of an eight-year-old cousin, "the above is just a sample of my pedo experiences with my cousin. I hope I was explicit enough." <sup>47</sup>

Eventually Henry visited Duncan, who for a fee provided Henry with access to three young girls, whom he molested. At one point, Henry asked Duncan if a certain child whose father rented her out for \$100 a night could be made available. "Perhaps some deal with



<sup>46</sup> Joseph Henry, personal correspondence seized as evidence by Los Angeles Police Department (copy retained in Subcommittee files).
47 Ibid.

Chuck (the father) could be made to reserve (the girl) for one, two or maybe all three holiday weekends," Henry wrote. "I'd give Chuck \$250 to have (the girl), say from noon Saturday until 6 p.m. Monday." 48

When Duncan was arrested for child molestation, police found approximately 300 other letters from pedophiles. From reading the letters, the police identified 16 children, from 18 months to 16 years old, who had been "sold" by Duncan to other men for sexual

purposes over a three-year period.49

Rarely do investigators find other criminals so willing to incriminate themselves in print, even to the point of discussing prices, in-

tended victims and time of the act.

Woodward, a gifted engineer who did top-secret contract work for the Central Intelligence Agency and National Security Agency, used a simple code system in his letters for his ring of correspondents and victims, but he too went into explicit detail about crimes he had committed or planned to commit. He discussed the produc-tion, duplication and distribution of child pornography, and various acts of molestation.

Los Angeles Police Detective William Dworin, who has carried on correspondence with many pedophiles, was able to unravel an international child prostitution ring involving men from France, Panama and Italy, all through the seizure of correspondence between pedophiles. According to Dworin, "A conspiracy does exist among pedophiles. Magazines and personal contacts encourage the molestation of juveniles, train the closet pedophile, promote child pornography and reinforce the idea that sex with juveniles is both acceptable and necessary." 50

Many law enforcement agencies have exploited pedophiles' penchant for incriminating themselves by devising false pedophile newsletters and pen pal organizations in order to identify child pornography distributors and child molesters. The most successful to date probably has been "Crusaders for Sexual Freedom," a sting operation originated in 1984 by U.S. Postal Inspector John Ruberti of Chicago. Ruberti reported that CSF developed evidence that led to the arrests of about 35 child molesters and child pornography traders.51

Several major metropolitan police departments use similar programs, which are time consuming but often effective. Such sting operations have become so popular with police that several investigators told the Subcommittee they had corresponded with suspect-

ed pedophiles for weeks only to later discover they were writing to other undercover police officers.

#### VIII. USE OF COMPUTERS BY PEDOPHILES

The computer age has produced an alternative, high-technology form of communication which enables pedophiles to find and corre-



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ibid.
<sup>49</sup> Subcommittee staff interview with Det. William Dworin, Los Angeles Police Department,

June 1986.

50 William Dworin, "Pedophilia—The Hidden Conspiracy: The Relationship Between Correspondence and the Pedophile"; Delinquency Control Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, February 1981, p. 16.

51 "The Silent Shame," NBC News documentary, August 1984.

spond with one another with greater safety than letters or telephone calls would permit. In recent years, thousands of home computer users have learned to communicate with one another by leaving electronic messages on computer "bulletin boards." By using the proper access code, users can gain entry to entire communities of special interest, from electronic flea markets, to specialized hobbies, to explicit messages about a wide variety of sexual activities. The pedophile underground was quick to take advantage of this new, anonymous means of exchanging information.

Messages have appeared on computer bulletin boards offering to buy, sell or trade child pornography, establish correspondence about sexual interests, trade names of "available" children and even propose sexual liaisons. One article in a pedophile newsletter suggested that the speed and anonymity of bulletin boards are especially valuable to pedophiles, "given the fact that many of the positions we take are at or near the borderline of the law." 52

An undercover officer from the West Coast told the Los Angeles Times in September, 1985, that he had left this message on a computer bulletin board: "Hi! My name is Billy. I'm 13 and I wonder if I can find a friend to tell me more about the life style." Within can find a friend to tell me more about the life style." Within hours, the officer had received several dozen messages from adult men hoping to meet "Billy." 53

Sgt. William Brown of the Houston Police Department was able to access "at least 30" bulletin boards of a sexually explicit nature, several of which contained ads for persons wishing to buy, sell or trade child pornography, correspond or meet with other pedophiles, and in some cases actually contact children."4

The bulletin boards actually are an electronic form of the classified ads that appear in sexually-oriented magazines throughout the country. The difference is that the computer operators can communicate with each other over the computer by using a device called a modem, which allows computers to share data over regular phone lines. Some of the networks available to computer users are free, while others require small annual dues (\$10 to \$20) to obtain the special codes needed to become "validated" and leave messages on a bulletin board. The bulletin board users, who normally use aliases, now have virtually complete anonymity because police are not authorized under current federal law to intercept computer conversations without a warrant based on probable cause. "We've seen that the (bulletin board) ads tend to be a bit more explicit," Brown reported, "because they (the senders) have a sense of anonymity or security. There's a likelihood they'll never be caught." 55

Federal law does prohibit the transmission of obscene or indecent material across state lines, but the exchange of names between pedophiles, even if they were names of potential victims, could not be regulated under current law. Recently, attempts have been made in the U.S. Senate to ban the transmission of information over computer lines that facilitates the sexual abuse of children and



ss Scott Kraft, "Computer Game Helps Pedophiles Woo Children for Sex"; The Los Angeles Times, Sept. 16, 1985, p. 3.
ss Ibid.

<sup>54</sup> Subcommittee staff interview, August 1985.

also to prohibit the transmission of advertising that deals with

child pornography.56

The largest bulletin board accessed by Sergeant Brown was called "Lambda" and was based in San Francisco. It charged users \$20 to obtain the code allowing them to leave messages. "Lambda" also had three telephone lines which enabled clients to carry on running conversations using their computers. Brown also identified three bulletin boards in Houston—"Free for All," "Zachary Net" and "Connection"—and one in Alexandria, Virginia, called "Switchboard," on which participants could exchange information about sexual interest in minors. Many of the systems indicate how many calls have come into the network, and Brown reported that he never saw one with fewer than 20,000 calls.<sup>57</sup>

Nicholas Battaglia of the San Jose, California, Police Department's vice section told the Subcommittee that as of May, 1985, he had developed four criminal cases on pedophiles based on information obtained through computer bulletin boards. In the Bay Area alone, Battaglia had found nine bulletin boards containing pedo-

phile-related messages.

Battaglia believes electronic messages are replacing more traditional mail correspondence between pedophiles. "There's really an attitude that these are more safe," he said. "The ads and messages are more provocative, not secretive. You can't trace them through false names and you can't eavesdrop on computer conversations." He added that the increasing participation of law-enforcement offi-cials in undercover letter-writing has forced many pedophiles "farther underground. You'll see more use of these bulletin boards and also the insistence that they meet you face-to-face. Letter writing is going out the window." 58

#### IX. PEDOPHILE ORGANIZATIONS AND PUBLICATIONS

Several organizations whose members openly advocate adult sex with children have been active in the United States and Europe at least since the 1960s. The goals of these groups often are couched in legal and psycho-sexual arguments about "age of consent" laws and prevailing social attitudes, but at the heart of each group examined by the Subcommittee was a basic obsession with justifying 'consensual" sex with children, regardless of age. In some cases, the groups' leaders were convicted child sex offenders. The groups range from anarchistic, underground clubs whose newsletters and philosophies are haphazard at best to well-organized, politically astute groups that march in parades and openly distribute litera-

Although primarily based in major cities, the American pedophile support groups have members throughout the United States and foreign countries. Subcommittee interviews with former members of these organizations, some of whom were imprisoned for child molestation, indicate that while they ostensibly exist to sup-port such goals as "sexual liberation for all persons," these groups serve primarily as contact services for pedophiles. Like most clubs,



S. 1305, 99 Cong. Rec. S 8341, June 17, 1985; S. 2398, 99 Cong. Rec. S. 5214, May 1, 1986.
 Brown interview, op. cit.
 Subcommittee staff interview, June 1985.

they bring people of similar interests in touch with each other; in the case of pedophiles, however, the purpose of this contact often is to exchange child pornography and information about meeting children.

Although most of the American pedophile organizations described in this report have been investigated, if not infiltrated, by police agencies, they are not normally prosecuted as criminal organizations. Instead, individual members are prosecuted for specific acts, even though they may have been aided by membership in the group. Most of the groups' activities (public meetings, newsletters, etc.) fall into constitutionally-protected areas. In addition, the depth of infiltration of these groups by police is severely limited by an officer's obligation never to allow a child to enter a situation in which he or she may be abused. If an officer successfully infiltrates an organization, he or she almost surely will obtain information about the possible molestation of a child, or actually be invited to participate in such activity. At that point, investigators point out, no responsible police agency could allow an officer to continue the undercover operation. Thus the full criminal involvement of some pedophile organizations may be extremely difficult to determine.

How serious a threat, then, do these groups pose?

Clearly, they are not traditional criminal organizations like narcotics or auto theft rings, which use violence, payoffs and extensive resources to control their interests. There also is little evidence that organized pedophile groups have controlled the larger child pornography rings that have been prosecuted during the past ten years.

Nor are these groups as large or influential as some citizen groups tend to believe. For example, a well-meaning publication called *Child Protection Alert*, published in 1985 by the American Christian Voice Foundation, reported that the combined membership of two well-known pedophile organizations, the North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) and the Rene Guyon Society, is "estimated to number 25,000." <sup>59</sup> Undercover officers and NAMBLA officials themselves have confirmed that its membership is only about 400 and the Rene Guyon Society is widely known by most investigators as a one-man propaganda operation whose membership claims are not credible.

Organized pedophile groups pose the most serious threat when they serve as contact and support groups, justifying pedophilia in the minds of their members and reinforcing within child molesters a belief that society, not the pedophile, is misguided. There is no way of knowing how many "closet" pedophiles, who had only fantasized about molesting children, were moved to act out their fantasies by the encouragement and support of these groups and their newsletters.

It would be a mistake, however, to overemphasize the threat posed by these groups to the exclusion of the more numerous unorganized groups of child molesters that make no pretense of wanting to change legislation or to argue their case in public. The largest and most dangerous child sex rings invariably have proven to be



<sup>59</sup> American Christian Voice Foundation, Child Protection Alert; Washington, 1985, p. 4.

groups of friends and/or pen pals with no real organizational structure.

In some publications, more so in the 1970s than today, advertisements appeared offering to buy, sell or trade child pornography, which is now illegal. A bill introduced in 1986 by Subcommittee Chairman William V. Roth, Jr., R-Del., S. 2398, would prohibit the advertising of such materials as well as the solicitation of child prostitution. 60 (See Recommendations section of this report, pp. 44–45).

The history of pedophile groups is somewhat difficult to trace. Some of the best sources for information are acknowledged pedophiles like authors David Sonenschein and David Techter, who will be discussed later in this section. Sonenschein writes that one of the first organized groups in America was a pederast organization called the International Circle Enclave, which was active in the 1950s.<sup>61</sup> The lifespan of many pedophile organizations has been quite short, and some have had little to offer their members other than photocopied newsletters whose primary feature was the classified ad section.

### EARLY PUBLICATIONS

The first era of pedophile publications discussed in this report will be those active in the mid-to-late 1970s, when child pornography was still being sold in adult bookstores and most police departments were not aggressively pursuing cases involving child sexual exploitation.

### Person to Person [PTP]

This pedophile newsletter based in Hollywood, California, was put out of business in 1978 with the arrest of its founder, Bobby Wayne Seida, on misdemeanor charges of pornography distribution, and confiscation of its nationwide mailing list.<sup>62</sup>

PTP apparently was the sister publication of The Compendium, a preteen heterosexual journal which advertised itself as a "service designed to assist you in the biological phenomenon of puberty." Subscribers to The Compendium also received PTP, which was tellingly subtitled "The Mystique of Puberty Revealed Photographically—Captured at That Tender Time by & for Private Collectors." The first issue of PTP apparently included several bogus classified ads designed to drum up business, according to Det. William Dworin, who worked on the investigation. But a second issue was produced. Seida was arrested, Sworin recalled, as he was preparing to publish the third. 63

PTP resembled pedophile newsletters in circulation today except in two significant respects. PTP published nude photographs of preteen children and also contained classified ads such as these:

68 Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See note 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> David Sonenschein, "What Is Pedophilia Anyway?"; Austin, TX, 1982, p. 22 (copy retained in Subcommittee files).

Subcommittee staff interview with Dworin, May 1985.

MAN, 55, interested in girls 6 to 12, wishes to correspond and meet with others with the same interest. Would like to personally meet young girls in my area.

Young man wants to hear from anyone who may have very strong teen and pre-teen material for sale. Also families having fun—film or photos.

WILLING TO EXCHANGE PHOTOS of my two daughters. Seek photos of nymphets 11 to 15, nude or semi-nude.<sup>64</sup>

Like its successors, *Person to Person* often tried to distance itself from those who might actually use the newsletter to find and molest children. "Anti-social behavior or adult sexual involvement with children is neither advocated nor promoted," said a statement of policy in *PTP*'s second issue, dated 1977/78. "However," the statement went on, "it is recognized that those who wish to freely express or absorb ideas on the subject of youthful development deserve a forum free of social stigma." <sup>55</sup>

PTP would not be the last pedophile publication to tell its readers, in essence, that it would provide all the ingredients for finding, filming and molesting children, but what they did with the information was strictly up to the readers. According to Det. Dworin, Seida later published a portfolio of child photos called "Lynn at 11," and also established contact with a Florida pornographer named Eric Cross, who would become the target of an international child pornography investigation in the 1980s (see pages 39-41). Seida was last reported living in Long Beach, California.

### Broad Street Journal [BSJ]

Because of its longevity and the fact that it was edited by a former law enforcement officer, the Broad Street Journal of Evans, Colorado, merits significant mention in any discussion of pedophile publications. The Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission (ILIC), after a three-year investigation of child sexual abuse directed by the Illinois General Assembly in 1977, reported that BSJ began publication in 1971 and was edited by Donald James Kilgore, who described the magazine to the ILIC investigators as "the oldest Gay-Ad listing service in the world."66 The entire magazine consisted of classified ads, most of which were directed toward a young audience. The ILIC undercover investigators, under the guise of potential buyers of the magazine, learned that the average press run of BSJ was 600 to 1,000 copies, with an estimated gross of \$1,200 to \$2,500 per issue. Typically the ads were from pederasts seeking contact with young males or persons wishing to buy, sell or trade child pornography (the use of terms such as "under 20" was requested by Kilgore, and although that age group would include adults, its use clearly was intended to attract a much younger group):



Person to Person, No. 2; Los Angeles, 1977/78.
 Ibid.

es Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission, Sexual Exploitation of Children; Chicago, August 1980, p. 59

Relocate to Seattle. Friend/lover, runaways 20's or younger, quiet, smooth, honest looking for love, understanding & needs lots of hugging, loving & affection. Home with w/m-37.

W/m-36 wants to be a big brother to a guy U(nder)-19. Pref. a blonde and hairless. No drugs. Also interested in models. Photo appreciated.

The ILIC investigation further showed that there were 59 "under-20" ads in the issue and that the vast majority contacted

were only interested in young boys.67

Several other publications active in the 1970s appealed primarily to homosexual, sado-masochistic, fetishist or "swinger" audiencies, but included material clearly designed for pedophiles, either in special issues or in advertising. The ILIC, in its 1980 report, Sexual Exploitation of Children, reported on groups and publications such as: The San Francisco Ball in California; the Teddy Bear Club in Connecticut; Freddie's Gay Guys in Illinois; and Kalos, Fetish Times, Midwest Hot Line, Gay Chicago News/Journal, Media Exchange Service/Creative Registry, B.A.F.S. Newsletter, and Hermes in Illinois. The Commission's investigators were able to show through several undercover ads they placed that most of these publications ran ads from persons interested in child pornography.68

#### PEDOPHILE ORGANIZATIONS

#### NORTH AMERICAN MAN-BOY LOVE ASSOCIATION

The North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) is by far the most active and sophisticated of the pro-pedophilia organizations in America. It is based in New York City, with active chapters in Los Angeles and San Francisco, but its approximately 400 members are from throughout the United States and several foreign countries.

According to its own literature, NAMBLA was founded on December 2, 1978 in Boston at a conference on "Man/Boy Love and the Age of Consent."69 The conference was generated in part, the literature states, by several widely publicized arrests or controversies involving "man/boy love" in Toronto and Revere, Massachusetts. As its name implies, NAMBLA is composed almost exclusively of pederasts, or adult males who are sexually attracted to boys. NAMBLA's statement of purpose reads in part: "... membership is open to all individuals sympathetic to man/boy love in particular and sexual freedom in general. NAMBLA is strongly opposed to age of consent laws and other restrictions which deny adults and youth the full enjoyment of their bodies and control over their lives."70 NAMBLA spokesman Robert Rhodes said on a Denver radio show in 1985 that the group establishes no age under which



er Ibid., pp. 61-62.
es Ibid., pp. 37-65.
es "Introducing the North American Man/Boy Love Association," undated pamphlet, p. 1 (copy retained in Subcommittee files).

70 Ibid., p. 12.

sex with an adult would be damaging because "there will always be someone younger who is able to have a fulfilling experience."

Unlike some other groups described in this report, NAMBLA does not shy away from publicity. Its members have marched in gay pride demonstrations around the country, it publishes a monthly newsletter that includes the names of its staff members, its leaders appear on radio and television and the group has regional conferences open to the public. "Our conferences provide a unique opportunity for man/boy lovers to meet each other, exchange information, and offer mutual support," the NAMBLA pamphlet states.<sup>72</sup>

Its members also tend to be well-educated professionals, including Rhodes, who as of this writing works as an attorney in the Newark, New Jersey, office of the Internal Revenue Service.

Rhodes said that as of June, 1985, the group had about 400 duespaying members throughout the United States and several foreign countries, including an unknown number of police officers who subscribe under assumed names. He estimated the total circulation of the NAMBLA Bulletin at 700, with about 200 of that total sold in gay bookstores. Police officers who have infiltrated NAMBLA differ only slightly with these estimates. (In Washington, D.C., Subcommittee investigators found copies of the NAMBLA Bulletin on sale at the city's largest gay bookstore, Lambda Rising.) NAMBLA also has many subscribers and supporters in foreign countries. A three-page NAMBLA document entitled, "Groups and individuals endorsing Nambla's Civil Rights," which was seized from a convicted child molester's residence in New Jersey, lists 50 supporters from the Netherlands. France, Italy, Canada and England 74

from the Netherlands, France, Italy, Canada and England. 74
Undercover New York City police officers who attended NAMBLA meetings during 1983 and 1984 reported that the normal attendance for monthly membership meetings was about 15. Male minors often accompanied NAMBLA members. The content of the meetings was reported to be similar to that of the NAMBLA Bulletin: general issues concerning pedophilia were discussed, but not specific actions that would qualify the discussions as criminal conspiracies. Capt. Jerome Piazza of the Manhattan South Public Morals division of the New York Police Department said the infiltration of NAMBLA, which lasted for almost a year, was "moderately successful" but that the undercover officer would never be fully trusted by NAMBLA members because he would not be able to participate in sex acts with minors. "It is a very tight organization," Piazza said. "They're very paranoid about police, though I'm sure they know police have infiltrated them for some time." Piazza said the infiltration did help police target several child molesters and that investigations of other suspected molesters affiliated with NAMBLA are continuing. As to child pornography, Piazza said there were no signs of organized distribution, although child por-

<sup>71 &</sup>quot;The Ken Hamblin Show," KOA Radio, Denver, CO, March 19, 1985.

<sup>75</sup> Subcommittee staff interview, March 1985.

<sup>74</sup> Subcommittee staff interview with Lt. William Thorne, Bergen County, NJ, Prosecutor's Office, January 1985.

nography often has been seized in the arrests of NAMBLA mem-

bers on child sex charges.75

Lt. William Thorne, a detective with the Bergen County, New Jersey, Prosecutor's Office in Hackensack, has directed the investigation of scores of pedophiles, including the arrest and conviction of three NAMBLA members in 1982. One of those convicted was James Cooper, then 41, of Paramus, New Jersey, the recording secretary of the New York chapter of NAMBLA. In February, 1984, Cooper was convicted of two counts of criminal sexual assault and two counts of criminal sexual contact with a 14-year-old boy. He was sentenced to five years in the New Jersey State Prison. His

case is on appeal.

A search of Cooper's residence provided police with a glimpse into the life of an ardent NAMBLA member. Thorne testified that the bedroom of Cooper's home was a virtual shrine to teenaged boys. The walls were covered with photos of young male TV and film stars, some clothed, some semi-nude, and also large drawings of young boys engaged in sex acts. Also seized from Cooper's home were several hundred 35mm photographs of teenaged boys shown playing various sports, in parks or at the beach. As Thorne testified before the Subcommittee: "The photos and slides of children in various types of clothing are significant because they show the pedophile does not use a child only for definitive sexual pleasures but is engrossed totally with the child. He is turned on by the child's body and also by the type of clothing worn by that child, clothing such as the macho-type sports uniform of hockey, football or soccer." 76

Thorne added, however, that he believed pro-pedophilia groups such as NAMBLA "are not as severe a threat to our children as we may feel they are." It is the pedophile with no organized affiliations who is the real threat to children, Thorne said. He also asserted that NAMBLA's efforts to gain publicity have intensified the public's outrage over the group's practices and, in turn, made Thorne's job somewhat easier. "I sometimes think I owe NAMBLA a thank-you," Thorne told Subcommittee investigators. "Now, far more people support the work we're doing trying to stop child molesters." 77

#### THE CHILDHOOD SENSUALITY CIRCLE

Of all the well-known pedophile organizations in America, none had more convicted child molesters among its members than the San Diego-based Childhood Sensuality Circle (CSC), run by an elderly woman, Valida Davila. Det. William Dworin of the Los Angeles Police Department estimated that at least 30 CSC members have been convicted on child molestation charges around the country.<sup>78</sup>

In 1984, however, the organization was dealt a devastating blow when the San Diego County Sheriff's Department executed a search warrant on Davila's apartment and seized a mailing list of

<sup>75</sup> Subcommittee staff interview, April 1985.
76 Hearings, Part 1, p. 54.
77 Thorne interview, op. cit.
78 Subcommittee staff interview, August 1985.

more than 2,000 names and several thousand other documents, including correspondence with known pedophiles, organization newsletters and membership applications. Soon after, Davila announced that CSC was going out of business. (Davila was indicted on a misdemeanor obscenity charge, but the case later was dropped.) 79

Like NAMBLA, CSC's ostensible goals were to support the sexual liberation of children, oppose age-of-consent laws and oppose the sexual abuse of children. But again, like NAMBLA, the activities of many of its members exposed the organization as little more than a contact service for pedophiles. Former CSC member Donald Woodward, a convicted child molester now imprisoned in California, told the Subcommittee he knew most CSC members were pedophiles, possibly as many as 85 percent. Most of them, Woodward said, simply used the group's "pen pal" program to contact other pedophiles in order to meet children. "It was obvious that after they credophiles) had made a form contacts. (pedophiles) had made a few contacts . . . that satisfied them. They'd drop out of CSC . . . They had found what they wanted. They were content." 80

Woodward and other former CSC members said that Davila would place certain members in touch with each other by sending them copies of other members' applications. Woodward said he met about a dozen pedophiles through CSC, including three men with whom he corresponded for several years. Convicted child molester Joseph Henry, a former CSC member who testified before the Subcommittee, said Davila put him in touch with other known pedophiles.<sup>81</sup> "She (Davila) really wanted to control CSC," Woodward said. "She was extremely against anyone knowing the inner workings of CSC. She kept the membership list entirely to herself. She never told you exactly how many members there were—very secretive about everything. Everybody reported directly to her. And if you didn't go along with what her thinking was you would soon find yourself frozen out and you'd stop getting information." 82

Did Davila know for certain that she was putting known pedo-

philes in contact with each other?

"Oh yes, oh yes. She was aware," Woodward told Subcommittee investigators. "I don't think she was doing it for that purpose, but as a carrot for the good donkey as a reward for somebody who had (for example) been a frequent contributor to the newsletter and had buttered her up. She would set them up. She would say, 'Can I give your address to someone you'd like to know,' like parents of children who practice sex with their children and are willing to take in (outsiders) . . . "83

On advice of counsel, Davila refused to speak with Subcommittee

Henry testified that he wrote Davila about membership in CSC and that she, in return, advised him to fill out an application form, make five copies and return them with a \$25 membership fee. "I later learned," Henry said, "the other extra copies of the applica-

\*\* Woodward interview, op. cit.



Nubcommittee staff interview with Michael Pent, Assistant District Attorney, San Diego
 County, CA, March 1985.
 Subcommittee staff interview, July 1985.
 Subcommittee staff interviews, December 1984 and January 1985.

tion were cent to different polophiles and in return I got copies of other podephiles' applications, and then it was up to me if I wanted to correspond or not." <sup>54</sup> A Bubcommittee investigator examined many of these membership applications during a visit to the San Diago County Sheriff's Department in late 1984. A typical application, from an Bransville, Indiana, man, said example freedom for children was most important to him. "They should have the right to have one with people of any ago, of the same or apposite out, to identify themselves as homeograph, haternoonsel, bi-account, or any identify themselves as homeograph, haternoonsel, bi-account, or any

other ecoust professors." the suplicant write #1

Another application from a Hitchcost, Tenna, men, identifying biaself as "Jone J. Thomas" actually proved to be from a men named Valentine Bugune Rovers, according to Houston Police Sgt. William Brown. Brown said officers of the Tules, Okishoma, Police Department, with whom the Houston Police Jointly worked on this investigation, Jained CEC and wave later put in touch with Rovers through CECs pen pal program. Several letters and phone calls between Rovers and an undercover Tules officer followed, eventually leading to a proposal for a meeting at a Houston metal, where Rovers was to have provided the officer with two young girls for sexual purposes. On Polymery 2, 1986, Rovers aboved up at the metal with two girls, aged 9 and 12, and met the officer, who was warring a conceased microphone that transmitted their conversation to Houston officers in the adjoining room. After Rovers told the officer he intended to have oral and with the children, he was arrested. Rovers pleaded guilty to three counts of aggressied separal assembly and was contensed to 36 years in prison. 15

Valida Davila's contacts gazeng well-known pre-padephilia activitie were widespread. Included in the material select by San Diego Creaty Sheriff's deputies were several letters from David Techter, publisher of a Chicago-based pedophile noveletter, Wooderland, descend below. Also clearly associated with Davila was an Austin, Tunna, men named David Sunanahala, whose writings about pedophilis have appeared in numerous pedophile publications here and abound. In the August, 1988, insue of the CEC Nucletter, Davila associated that Sunanahala had been named associate office. (Sonanahala also is discussed later in this report, San en. 27-28.)

A typical issue of the CSC Mudater contained rambling articles undersing invest and padophills in general, anti-circumcision tree-time, legal notes from the United States and foreign countries, best reviews and escape—both scholarly and amateurish—on child

materials and complier of

In February, 1998, Davile appeared on a KPMB-TV (San Diego) saves report and stated that CSC was going out of business due to her fazing healtis. \*\* Parts of her mailing list have been distributed to investigates around the country, who continue to investigate former CSC members.

The State of the Same County CA State of Department, 1984, and of the State of State

# DAVID TECHTER AND THE LEWIS CARROLL COLLECTORS GUILD

In 1988, a Chicago man named David Techter formed the Lewis Carroll Collectors Guild. The organization was named for the author of Alice in Wonderland, who is highly regarded in pedophile Techter, 58, is an articulate pedophile activist who has written extensively—often under the pseudonym of David Edwards \*0—about the world of pedophilia, including his own sexual activities with children. In a chapter of his uncompleted book entitled, "The Child Lovers: America's Most Despised Minority," Techter wrote: "I am sexually attracted to children and find nude photographs of them immensaly erotic. In short, I am a nedophile. I am a member of

immensely erotic. In short, I am a pedophile . . . I am a member of America's most despised, and least understood, sexual minority. \*1

Techter was sentenced to 80 months probation in 1988 by an Illinois state court for distribution of child pornography. Techter also was featured in a segment of the 1984 NBC documentary "The Silent Shame,"\*\* in which reporter Mark Nykanen, posing as a pedophile and marking with a hidden camera lund Tachter to an Indophile and working with a hidden camera, lured Techter to an indiana motel room with the promise of possibly meeting children and exchanging child pornography. After Techter showed Nykanen wallet photos of children whom he claimed to have molested, Ny-kanen disclosed that he was an NBC reporter. Although momentarily startled, Techter quickly regained his composure and continued talking on-camera about his interest in children.

The Guild newsletter, Wonderland, which Techter estimated in 1985 had about 225 subscribers, is a quarterly publication featuring news articles and essays about pedophilia and the collection of child pornography. The newsletter also contains numerous carefully worded ads offering to buy, sell or trade photos of children or to correspond and meet with people of similar interest. Although the ads carry clear messages to pedophiles, Techter says he edits them to ensure that they do not appear to be advertising an illegal act. As previously noted, however, current federal law does not prohibit more specific advertising.\*

Some of the ads found in a recent issue of Wonderland read as follows:

I want to buy VHS videotapes of preteens and teens doing anything nude. Confidentiality assured. (Nashua,

Girls 7 to 14—want to purchase photos of girls in swimsuits, panties, short sleepware or shorts. Back, front, side views. (Elizabethton, TN)

Youngsters—want to correspond with collectors, publishers, producers of young boy or girl material; also with

<sup>\*\*</sup> R.P. "Toby" Tyler and Lore E. Stone, "Child Pornography: Perpetuating the Sexual Victimation of Children"; paper presented at the Fifth International Congress on Child Abuse and extent, Meetreal, Canada, Sept. 16-19, 1984, pp. 2-3. Also see M. Cohen, Lewis Carroll, Photographs of Children: Fear Nade Studies (New York: Crown, 1978).

\*\*Subcommittee staff interview with David Tuchter, December 1984.

\*\*David Tuchter writing as "David Edwards," "The Child Lovers: America's Most Despised linerity"; unpublished manuscript, 1963.

young people who enjoy having fun. My interests are swimming, boating, camping, skiing, sports. Very liberal minded. Please write! (Omaha, NE) 94

Techter told Subcommittee investigators that he is aware many Wonderland advertisers actually are undercover police officers. 95 Many successful prosecutions of child molesters have been initiated through undercover ads in Wonderland. In most issues Techter warns his readers about certain advertisers or correspondents with whom they may have dealt. "I must apologize to trusting readers that might have replied to a recent ad by a 'W.J.W.,' Techter wrote in one issue. "William J. Ward is . . . an undercover police agent . . . Have nothing whatever to do with this man!" 95 In the same issue Techter warns his readers about a Rochester, New York, correspondent who "has yet to produce any concrete evidence to support any of his rather wild claims." 97

Fear of the police is a constant theme in all pedophile newsletters. Techter admits to running his own "counterintelligence" operation against police officers hoping to use Wonderland to trap pornographers and molesters. Techter told Subcommittee investigators that he knows the identity of several undercover advertisers who actually are police, but that he doesn't expose all of them, choosing instead to use their correspondence to unravel more police undercover programs.98 In a conversation with a Subcommittee investigator in 1985, Techter named other advertisers whom he believed were postal inspectors, but had not been identified in Wonderland.93

#### THE RENE GUYON SOCIETY

Due to its inflammatory slogan—"Sex Before Eight, or Else It's Too Late"—the Rene Guyon Society of Beverly Hills, California, has become widely publicized in news articles about pedophiles. Having taken its name from a French psychiatrist and associate of Sigmund Freud, the Rene Guyon Society claims 5,000 supporters, including psychiatrists, parents, and physicians. 100 But Det. William Dworin of the Los Angeles Police Department told the Subcommittee this is a wildly inflated figure, and the organization is probably little more than one flamboyant pedophile's attempt to gain national attention. Dworin said police surveillance of the group's eccentric leader, "Tim O'Hara"—whose real name is Jonathan Evan Edwards—indicates that he does not have regular contact with children and that the amount of correspondence he receives hardly seems indicative of an organization with 5,000 supporters. 101 Edwards, 64 strongly advocates sex with children and



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Wonderland, No. 5, Lewis Carroll Collectors Guild; Chicago, Spring 1984, pp. 3, 6, 7 (copy retained in Subcommittee files).

Subcommittee staff interview, January 1985.

<sup>•</sup> Wonderland, op. cit., p. 4.
• Ibid.
• Subcommittee staff interview, January 1985.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Ibid.

100 Letter to Subcommittee from "Tim O'Hara," spokesman for the Rene Guyon Society; Beverly Hills, CA, Nov. 30, 1984.

101 Subcommittee staff interview, March 1985.

publishes an irregular newsletter endorsing anal and vaginal penetration of children as long as condoms are used. "We are composed of citizens who have never broken any child sex laws, even if never caught," said "O'Hara" in a letter to the Subcommittee dated November 30, 1984. "We work for child sexual freedom if condoms are used for ana! and vaginal penetration to age 18. We work for kid porn laws to make illegal any show of penetration of the vagina or anus without a condom being shown used." 102

As repugnant as this organization may be, most experienced investigators believe it has little influence on the pedophile commu-

### PEDOPHILE INFORMATION EXCHANGE [PIE] AND PAEDO ALERT NEWS [PAN]

These two European organizations have been popular with American pedophiles. As a rule, the pedophile movement in Europe has been better organized and subjected to less police scrutiny than its

American counterpart, according to U.S. investigators.

The July, 1984, issue of the PIE Bulletin, which was based in London, announced that the 10-year-old organization was closing down due to impending prosecution of its members, internal dissension and various problems that had left its executive committee "exhausted and despondent." 103 But history shows that similar death notices were premature for such organizations. In the same issue, PIE's leaders assured the membership that a core group of pedophiles would remain active in the cause and encouraged fellow pedophiles to contact them. The issue listed 23 other pedophilia support groups in Europe and the United States that its members should contact in PIE's absence.<sup>104</sup>

PIE, founded in 1974, was, like NAMBLA, composed mostly of pederasts and was active and visible in Britain. Its publications, Magpie and Contact, were similar to the NAMBLA Bulletin in that they rarely contained explicit sexual photos and instead concentrated on discussions of pedophilia and treatises justifying this behavior. PIE's principle aim was to eliminate age-of-consent laws, and to "campaign . . . for the legal and social acceptance of pedophile love." 105

PIE had wide support among American pedophiles, according to its own members. In a letter dated August, 1980, from a PIE official to an Indianapolis pedophile—a copy of which was reviewed by the Subcommittee—PIE said its recent appeal for help received the greatest response from American subscribers. "I should like to see a chapter of PIE developed probably in California," the PIE official wrote, "where it may be a feasible project (because) a large proportion of our numbers line at least " tion of our members live there.'

The slickest of all pedophile publications is the English-language Paedo Alert News [PAN], published five times a year in Amsterdam by the Coltsfoot Press. Issues are professionally typeset, feature



<sup>102</sup> Letter from "Tim O'Hara," op. cit.
103 Bulletin, Pedophile Information Exchange; London, July 1984.
104 Ibid.

<sup>105</sup> Constitution of the Pedophile Information Exchange; Edinburgh, Scotland, March 22,

some color printing and usually run more than 30 pages. Like virtually all pedophile newsletters of this type, PAN does not print child pornography. Instead, it appeals to its pederast audience with candid black-and-white photos of teenage and preteen boys, usually playing sports or wearing swimming trunks or gym shorts. What distinguishes PAN from other newsletters is its impressive international network of correspondents who keep its columns, "In Brief," and "The Battle Line," the most current (and often accurate) source of news in the international pedophile community. Several 1984 issues carried items on such topics as: child sex markets in the Philippines, NBC-TV's undercover purchases of child pornography in Denmark, anti-child pornography legislation in the Netherlands and numerous child sex scandals and pedophile arrests around the world. 106 But like its American equivalents, *PAN* obviously believes one of its greatest reader services is the exposure of police undercover operations. In each issue "The Battle Line," using information from court documents, pedophile correspondence or simply trained intuition, details American police undercover operations that have come to its attention. While all pedophile publications do this to some extent, PAN is by far the most sophisticated. Often a police sting operation is in operation only a few months before PAN has told its readers how it works and who is probably behind it. Privately, police investigators say such counter-exposes are inevitable because they must invariably be exposed if a case goes to court and also because so many pedophiles correspond with each other. PAN also provides the police with a valuable look inside the pedophile world. San Bernardino County, California, Sheriff's deputy R.P. "Toby" Tyler, a child pornography specialist who testified before the Subcommittee in November 1984, said PAN is unquestionably one of his prime sources of intelligence about pedophile activity and the child pornography trade. 107

#### DAVID SONENSCHEIN

Sonenschein, 45, of Austin, Texas, is one of the more influential and prolific pedophile authors in America and thus merits special mention in a section devoted to organizations. Sonenschein, before a recent conviction on child pornography distribution, was virtually an organization unto himself. He has written numerous articles for the Childhood Sensuality Circle newsletter and was named its co-editor before it ceased operation. He has corresponded with editors of PAN, Wonderland, the NAMBLA Bulletin and other pedophile publications and was associated with the Austin Pedophile Study Group and the Howard Nichols Society, two defunct pedophile organizations in Austin. He edited and wrote the introduction to a publication entitled "How To Have Sex With Kids," 108 which was highlighted in a hearing by the Senate Subcommittee on Juvenile Justice 109 and eventually caused him to be fired from his job with the Austin school district. The Pedophile Information Exchange of London offered a free copy of Sonenschein's booklet,



<sup>Paedo Alert News, Nos. 19-21; Amsterdam, The Coltsfoot Press, 1984.
Subcommittee staff interview with Tyler, May 1986.
Op. cit., pp. 1-4.
Op. cit., pp. 26-28.</sup> 

"What Is Pedophilia Anyway?" 110 with each subscription to its newsletter. From his home in Austin he offered for sale pamphlets not only on pedophilia, but also on homosexuality, children and sado-masochism and atheism, among many other subjects. On his "academic resume" Sonenschein says he has received degrees in anthropology from the University of Arizona and the University of Indiana, was a research assistant for the Kinsey Institute for Sex Research and has written "about 35" papers and publications on

various sexual behaviors.111

Sonenschein's pamphlet, "How To Have Sex With Kids," contains no pornography, but it is shocking in its matter-of-fact approach to seducing children. In Chapter One, "Meeting Kids," the pamphlet advises: "Friends are a good source. Once you get to know a kid, you can meet their friends. And if you have sex with some that like it, they can tell those of their friends have may also be interested. be interested . . . It's also a good idea to get to know parents. Sometimes you can get babysitting tasks or you can just take the kids places when they know you and know that the kids like being with you . . . "112

In the second section, "How To Have Sex With Kids" suggests that introducing children to sex often is best done as "part of a game. It's always fun to use costumes," it says. While suggesting that non-penetrating sex may be best with small children and cautioning that contraceptives should be used, the pamphlet goes on to say that "experimentation is the key—try it all!" The booklet endorses cunnilingus, fellatio, anal penetration and other sex acts with children, including group sex. "A third thing is group sex and sex parties," it says. "There's nothing wrong with these and for a lot of kids, group sex is more fun."113

Despite his cloak of academic research, Austin police believed Sonenschein's activities went far beyond that. On September 2, 1984, the police, acting on information from a convicted child molester from Houston, searched Sonenschein's home and found documents referencing 33 child pornograpy magazines (including such titles as Bambina Sex, Baby Love and Incest) and a wealth of correspondence and documents linking him with pedophiles in the United States and Europe. 114 Robert Merton Woodruff, the Austin police informant now serving a 30-year sentence for child molestation in a Texas state prison, told police in a sworn statement that Sonenschein first contacted him in 1982. Woodruff said the two men corresponded and then met at each other's homes, showing and trading child pornographic magazines and slides. Woodruff said Sonenschein made copies of some child pornography magazines on the Austin school district copiers in 1983 and gave them to Woodruff. Woodruff also said Sonenschein had shown him slides at his home of "young females, 8 to 12 years old, nude" either performing sexual acts or exposing their genitals.115

<sup>110</sup> See note 61. 111 Subcommittee staff interview with Sgt. John Russell, Austin, TX, Police Department, July 1986. 112 *Op. cit.*, pp. 6-7. 113 *Ibid.*, pp. 8-15. 114 Russell interview, op. cit.

Based on this information, Sonenschein was arrested on the day of the search warrant and charged with distribution of child pornography. He was convicted in April 1985 and sentenced to ten years in state prison. The conviction is on appeal. Sonenschein declined to be interviewed by the Subcommittee.

### X. INTERNATIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

There may be disagreement among investigators about the exact nature of today's commercial child pornography market, but few would dispute that it has changed appreciably since the mid-1970s

would dispute that it has changed appreciably since the mid-1970s. Until then, Americans could purchase commercial child pornography in many adult bookstores throughout the country. In some it was openly displayed on shelves; in others a buyer had to ask the right person or prove himself to be a regular customer. But it was readily available. In the adult pornography market, depictions of every imaginable form of sexual behavior, including the most deviant, had been available for some time; and the fall of the last commercial taboo—child pornography—gave the pornography industry a boost in the 1970s.

But in early 1978 Congress passed the Protection of Children Against Sexual Exploitation Act, which outlawed the commercial distribution of child pornography. The effect of the legislation was not immediate, but gradually in the late 1970s commercial child pornography became more of an underground commodity. Today, because of intense law enforcement activity in the area and aggressive federal prosecution, it is extremely difficult to find true child pornography in an adult bookstore (although some of the most popular adult pornography includes models who appear or are made to appear to be teenagers).

Exactly now much child pornography from Europe entered the United States in the 1970s before passage of the Protection of Children Against Sexual Exploitation Act probably is impossible to determine. U.S. Customs Service records made no distinction between seizures of child and adult pornography, since such distinctions were not made by the statutes of that time. And even though the material was usually civilly forfeited, the recipients, who often were active child molesters, usually were not targeted for proactive investigations as they are today. 117 What is clear, though, is that as the domestic commercial market dried up, the American pedophile increasingly turned to European-produced child pornography (investigators note that European child pornography has always depended in large part on the photos of American children, furnished by American pedophiles).

The European child pornography industry had been active since the early 1960s and operated without the scorn or scrutiny experienced by its American counterpart. The product, mostly magazines

creased their arrest figures (see pp.5-6).

117 Subcommittee staff interview with Senior Agent Ray Martinez, Child Pornography and Protection Unit, U.S. Customs Service, May 1986.



<sup>116</sup> P.L. 95-225 took effect on Feb. 1, 1978, but it did not produce the anticipated flood of convictions because it specified that only child pornography which was obscene and distributed commercially was illegal. It was not until passage of the Child Protection Act of 1984 (P.L. 98-292) removing the commercial requirement that federal enforcement agencies substantially increased their arrest figures (see np.5-6).

and 8mm films, generally was of higher quality than similar American material. Customs Service records showed that the three countries which produced the vast majority of child pornography seized entering the United States were Sweden, Denmark and the Netherlands. That fact prompted Subcommittee Chairman William V. Roth, Jr., to write to Secretary of State George Shultz in August, 1984, asking what steps American officials had taken to enlist the assistance of the three foreign governments in eliminating this problem.<sup>118</sup> The response, from W. Tapley Bennett, Jr., assistant secretary of State for legislative and intergovernmental affairs, was illuminating: ". . . it appears that no general diplomatic or other effort has been made to inhibit the foreign production or export of child pornographic materials to the United States," Bennett wrote, adding that all efforts up to that time had been "uncoordinated, incidental contacts." 119

After the Subcommittee's first hearing on this subject in November, 1984,120 the U.S. Department of State organized an Interagency Group to Combat Child Pornography. Composed of representatives from the State Department, the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Customs Service and the Postal Service, the group first met on December 17, 1984, and one month later took a three-day trip to Denmark, Sweden, and the Netherlands to meet with law enforcement and government officials. The delegation was led by Gary Matthews, who at the time was senior deputy assistant secretary in the State Department's Bureau of Human Rights and Humanitarian Affairs. In June, 1985, a delegation of Dutch Ministry of Justice officials came to Washington, D.C., to meet with the participating agencies on the task force and with Senator Roth.

The interagency group reported its findings to the Subcommittee during the February 1985 hearings. 121 Here, briefly, is the status of child pornography enforcement in the three nations:

#### SWEDEN

By 1984, Sweden generally was recognized by law enforcement and child welfare groups as having significantly reduced its production and distribution of child pornography. Customs inspectors reported that Swedish shipments of child pornography had dropped considerably since the mid-1970s. In testimony before the Subcommittee in November 1984, U.S. Customs Commissioner William von Raab said the Swedish government has been the most cooperative so far with his agency. 122 Swedish officials told the U.S. delegation they already had used information supplied by U.S. law enforcement agencies to investigate suspected child pornography dealers but "had as yet found nothing prosecutable."

In testimony before the Subcommittee in February 1985, Chief of Investigations Larry Sheafe of the Customs Service said Sweden



<sup>118</sup> Letter from Senator William V. Roth, Jr., to the Hon. George P. Shultz, Aug. 24, 1984 (see Appendix).

119 Letter from W. Tapley Bennett, Jr., to Senator Roth, Sept. 19, 1984 (see Appendix).

<sup>180</sup> Hearings, Part 1.
181 Hearings, Part 2, pp. 23-29.
188 Hearings, Part 1, pp. 4-9.

had been "fairly successful" in its child pornography efforts since 1980, but that only three cases had been brought to court. Prior to the U.S. team's visit in January 1985, the U.S. Embassy in Stockholm notified Swedish authorities of seven alleged distribution points of child pornography in Sweden, Sheafe testified. The Swedish minister of justice ordered all locations to be searched. In one case, the individual had moved to Germany. In the others, adult pornography was found. No child pornography was discovered, and Sweden will be unable to prosecute the participants.

Sheafe said Sweden had been helpful in identifying child pornography producers. Specifically, its officials requested samples or copies of the publications seized by U.S. Customs, as well as the mailing or shipping wrappers which indicated the source of the child pornography. 123

#### DENMARK

After having played a major role in producing and distributing child pornography throughout the world for at least two decades, Denmark may be heading toward much tighter restrictions on this trade, observers now believe. Danish officials have begun investigating some child pornography operations, the most prominent being COQ International, probably the largest distributor of male homosexual child pornography in Europe. Although there have been no convictions in the case, COQ did notify its American customs and the convictions of the conviction of tomers that it was no longer accepting orders from U.S. citizens, presumably because of law enforcement pressure. 124 In the pornography industry, however, it is common for one firm that is either being put out of business or repeatedly prosecuted to sell its mailing lists or products to other pornography shippers, so COQ material may have continued entering the United States. In addition to COQ International, firms such as Ekiba, Porcelain Miniatures, Lars, Designs Ltd., Iversen & Co., Exim Trading, Scandinavian Direct Mail, Color Climax and Rodox have at one time either been located in Denmark or distributed their child pornography from Danish addresses.

While Danish law severely punishes those who engage in sexual intercourse with children-with sentences of up to ten years in prison if the child is under 12—its child pornography statutes (Sec. 234 and 235) impose only modest fines. As in most European countries, Denmark has very rigid mail secrecy laws. Mail can be interfered with only under extraordinary circumstances and only with the approval of the courts. In addition, Danish postal authorities, who do not have the police powers of their American counterparts, are not required to notify police if they suspect the mails have been used for illegal purposes. Superceding these enforcement hurdles, however, at least insofar as shipments of child pornography to the United States are concerned, is the fact that Denmark and the United States are parties to the Universal Postal Convention of 1979, which prohibits any country from shipping material that is illegal in the recipient country. 125

 <sup>122</sup> Hearings, Part 2, pp. 80–33.
 124 Paedo Alert News No. 20; Amsterdam, October 1984, p. 5.
 123 32 UST 4587.

Early in the Subcommittee's investigation, the Danish Ambassador to the United States, Eigil Jorgensen, wrote to Senator Roth in response to a Washington Post editorial detailing the role of Denmark and the Netherlands in the child pornography market. Ambassador Jorgensen stated that the Danish Minister of Justice recently had condemned the child pornography business and that "extensive investigations" were under way. In preliminary investigations, Ambassador Jorgensen said, it did appear that Danish citizens were involved in child pornography, but that many of the "pictures in question have not been made in Denmark, but abroad." 126 A Danish Embassy official in Washington did tell Subcommittee investigators, however, that to his knowledge there was no person in any Danish jail serving time for any pornography of-fenses. (Dutch officials also told Subcommittee investigators they too kney of no one in Dutch prisons for pornography offenses.)

Danish pornographers were exposed clearly when NBC aired its August 1984 documentary, "The Silent Shame," which featured a reporter working undercover as a potential buyer of child pornography. 127 Reporter Mark Nykanen and producer Chuck Collins were able to set up meetings with pornographers Willy Strauss and Laila Liljendahl in Copenhagen. As they were being videotaped by an NBC camera hidden in a gym bag, the couple politely discussed their business, and Strauss remarked that his wife's full-length fur

coat came from the profits of their child pornography operation.

Danish newscasts showed segments of "The Silent Shame," which resulted in an official uproar and promises by the government to investigate the allegations. The Strauss couple was questioned by police and later fined 12,000 Danish Kroner (about \$1,550). 128 In an unusual action for a news organization, NBC provided Danish authorities with some of the evidence it uncovered. 129

In October, 1984, Senator Roth and 25 other U.S. senators signed a letter to the prime ministers of the Netherlands and Denmark urging their "support and suggestions" for international cooperation in ending the flow of child pornography. Ambassador Jorgensen responded that the Minister of Justice would appreciate receiving "any specific information concerning persons in Denmark trafficking in child pornography which the U.S. authorities might possess." 130

#### THE NETHERLANDS

Until recently, the Netherlands has not been as active as other nations participating in the international effort to combat child pornography. 131 The U.S. interagency task force met with Justice

Continued



<sup>126</sup> Letter from the Hon. Eigil Jorgensen to Senator Roth, Sept. 11, 1984 (see Appendix).
127 See note 51.

<sup>127</sup> See note 51.

128 Letter to Subcommittee from Jorgen V. Larsen, Press Counselor, Danish Embassy, Sept. 30, 1985, referring to an article in the Danish Newspaper Aktuelt, Aug. 29, 1985.

129 Peter W. Kaplan, "NBC Team Gave Danes Child Pornography Data"; The New York Times, Aug. 30, 1984, p. C-21.

120 Letter from Senators Roth, et. al., Oct. 5, 1984; letter to Senator Roth from Ambassador Jorgensen, Nov. 28, 1984. (See Appendix.)

181 Following the PSI hearings in November of 1984, the Netherlands assembled a task force to study child pornography.

Minister Frederik Korthals-Altes, who stressed that a bill expected to pass the Dutch Parliament in the spring of 1985 would specifically prohibit for the first time the distribution or exhibition of child pornography. The bill finally passed in July 1985 but because some parts of the new law contradicted Dutch treaty obligations, it did not take effect until May 1986. 132 (The manufacture, dissemination, transport and export of pornography has been illegal in the Netherlands since the turn of the century, but the law rarely was enforced until recently.) Though the proposed penalities in the new law for production, importation, transport, or possession of child pornography are lenient by American standards—a maximum of three months in jail—Dutch officials nonetheless insist that this is a major step for Holland. The officials argue that Dutch pornographers, many of whom distribute both adult and child pornography, will not jeopardize their lucrative adult market by violating the new child pornography statutes. Unlike American law in this area, however, Dutch law does not allow for any serious financial penalties or asset forfeiture upon conviction, so that a pornographer's business presumably could continue unaffected after a conviction. Dutch law does, however, impose strict penalties for sexual violence, particularly involving minors. For instance, according to Dutch law, sexual intercourse with a child under 12 can be punished with a prison term of up to 12 years.

As a result of the January 1985 meetings with Dutch officials, American law enforcement agencies agreed to supply the Dutch government with information on suspected pornographers. In the first such exchange, FBI and U.S. Customs Service officials provided the Netherlands Department of Justice with 85 packages of child pornography seized in the United States. Dutch officials determined that only 42 had return addresses, 16 were traced to locations outside the Netherlands and 21 proved to be either false or

police officials. (2) A uniform national investigation and prosecution policy in child pornography cases.
(3) Regular local police checks on local sex shops to ensure no child pornography is being

On August 2, 1986, after nearly two years of work by the Dutch Justice Ministry, the Dutch government publicly released the report of that task force. The report recommends the following nine measures for effective enforcement of a new Dutch law against child pornography:

(1) A clear and uniform interpretation of the new child pornography law by justice and

sold.

(4) Local police investigation of any newspaper/magazine advertisements that appear to be soliciting children or adults interested in child sexual activity.

(5) Judicial investigation and a search of the suspected point of production as soon as possession of comparcial child normography production.

<sup>(5)</sup> Judicial investigation and a search of the suspected point of production as soon as possible in any case of suspicion of commercial child pornography production.

(6) A policy of searching for photographic records of sexual abuse and possible connections to the child pornography trade in any police investigation of child sexual abuse cases.

(7) Establishment by the National Criminal Intelligence Service of a central catalog of seized child pornography for reference use by local police.

(8) Designation by local police departments of an official responsible for liaison with the National Criminal Intelligence Service on child pornography matters.

(9) Periodic National Criminal Intelligence Service exchanges of information on child pornography investigations with relevant third countries.

Under Dutch practice, these recommendations are considered by a council consisting of the heads of the Public Prosecuting Offices in the Netherlands' five regions. After the council approves the recommendations, as it is expected to do in the near future, the recommendations will become national policy for public prosecutors in their handling of child pornography cases.

Dutch-American cooperation in combating child pornography is entering a new phase. A law against child pornography is on the books, and recommendations for enforcing that law are in the process of being made national policy. As noted in the body of this report, however, the real test will be in enforcement and prosecution.

untraceable. Only five addresses appeared to be correct, and they were of firms in Amsterdam, Rotterdam and Dordrecht. 188

According to a report provided the Subcommittee by the Dutch Embassy in Washington, D.C., the child pornography items from those five firms were not produced in the Netherlands. This assertion, along with an August 1986 report by a Dutch task force on child pornography, led Dutch officials to conclude that child pornography may be forwarded to the United States through firms located in the Netherlands but that the material probably was not produced there 134—a view that few American investigators fully share.

The mail surveillance and mail openings done legally in the United States by agencies such as the Postal Service and U.S. Customs Service are extremely rare in the Netherlands and the Scandinavian countries. Under Dutch law the seizure or opening of personal mail as part of an investigation must be authorized by an examining magistrate, an officer of the courts. Likewise, "sting" operations that have become common in the United States virtually are unheard of in European law enforcement. 135

One of the more encouraging developments from the U.S. group's visit was an invitation for European police officers dealing in child pornography investigations to visit the United States and speak with their counterparts in American law enforcement. This approach has strong support among U.S. police, who appear to believe that little real progress will be made until actual case investi-

gators are exchanging leads actively.

The opening of a new U.S. Customs office in Rotterdam—assisting the existing office in Bonn, West Germany—also should facilitate U.S. participation in international child pornography investigations. According to Larry Sheafe, chief of the Customs Service's Office of Investigations, the Bonn office already has provided an undercover officer to assist the Amsterdam City Police in its investigations. "However," Sheafe told the Subcommittee, "the fact is that the agent provocateur provisions for laws in the Netherlands and the lack of a conspiracy statute culminate in making this type of investigation time consuming and costly for Dutch law enforcement agencies." Sheafe said the primary focus of all Dutch criminal investigations into child pornography is to identify the abused child and to charge the violator with child abuse. 186

Despite encouraging developments in Denmark, Sweden and the Netherlands, past experience indicates that the European pornography trade does not give up easily. The U.S. market for child pornography is widely thought to be the most lucrative in the world, and European pornographers are not likely to voluntarily remove themselves from it simply because of the current public outcry in America. While stricter European laws on child pornography are



<sup>133</sup> Subcommittee staff interview with Eduard J.M. Middeldorp, Second Secretary, Royal Netherlands Embassy, Washington, March 1985.

134 Report to Subcommittee from Royal Netherlands Embassy, Washington, Feb. 19, 1985; Report of the Dutch Government Task Force on Child Pornography; The Hague, August 1986.

135 Subcommittee staff interview with R.W. Craemers, Chief, General Affairs Division, Bureau of Administration and Criminal Law of the Netherlands Ministry of Justice, and Chief Superintendent M.J.M. Rijk, Dutch National Criminal Intelligence Service, June 1985.

welcome, the real test of the commitment of those governments to ending child pornography will be in their dedication to enforcement and prosecution.



## XI. IMPORTATION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY INTO THE UNITED STATES

The recent publicity surrounding the foreign distribution of child pornography could lead to the impression that the United States is being deluged with illegal shipments from abroad. In fact, however—due partly to that publicity—seizures of imported child pornography have declined dramatically since about mid-1984.<sup>187</sup>

er—due partly to that publicity—seizures of imported child pornography have declined dramatically since about mid-1984. 137

The U.S. Customs Service reports that it made 1,235 seizures of child pornography in 1985, down 40 percent from 1984, when Customs first began aggressively searching for child pornography in

foreign mail shipments.

Several factors appear to have contributed to this decline:

American pedophiles are acutely aware that Customs is intercepting child pornography shipments and that the material often is turned over to local police for further investigation. This creates a reluctance among pedophiles to order through the mail.

European police agencies and news media are exerting pressure on pornography distributors who handle material involv-

ing pre-teen children.

Some European pornographers, notably the largest distributor of male homosexual material, have informed their American custor s that they will no longer fill their orders for child material.

Customs concedes that staffing problems have at times prevented key foreign mail branches, such as the one at John F. Kennedy Airport in New York City, from having an adequate number of mail handlers, and therefore fewer inspections for child pornography.

Customs officials specifically credit NBC's documentary "The Silent Shame" and its artful exposé of Danish and Dutch child pornographers with causing an immediate drop in foreign ship-

ments in late 1984.

At the same time seizures have declined, however, Customs investigations of child pornography cases almost doubled, from 106 in 1984 to 209 in 1985. The number of search warrants increased 115 percent, arrests rose 124 percent and convictions increased from 14

to 45, an increase of 221 percent. 188

The increased attention to investigations was illustrated by the formation in October 1985 of Customs' Child Pornography and Protection Unit at its Washington, D.C., headquarters. The unit is staffed with three senior special agents who will coordinate Customs' child pornography cases here and abroad and also act as a clearinghouse for information on child pornography publications and known suppliers or customers. The unit has compiled information such as customer mailing lists from past distributors of child pornography and is also assembling a library of child pornography to assist agents in undercover operations and in the preparation of search warrants, among other uses. Authorized officers will be able



 <sup>187</sup> Pornography Seizure Report, Child Pornography and Protection Unit, U.S. Customs Service, Washington, February 1988.
 188 Statistics supplied by Child Pornography and Protection Unit, U.S. Customs Service, March 1986.

epicking to determine the execute of specific magazines, tapes and time, which then can be used in applying for search warrants. In equing years, with the help of a layer season, the unit hopes to be able to match the photos of mining children with the photos of children in its child permagraphy library, a job that most investigators consider in part to time-executing to perform manually that it is made date.

As minnerous came have illustrated, the interception of foreign child payangraphy by Castenes often results in much more than almost the removal of some magneties or tapes from circulation. The seizure often is the first indication that the recipient may be

In the hall of 1966, the Contense mail section in Atlanta scient an equations of chalf permagneshy magnature (Lolite Special from the Statement of chalf permagneshy magnature (Lolite Special from the Statement) addressed to an industrial angineer in Trange, Florida. Approximately six mouths permad and Contense afficers found as further chalf permagneshy addressed to the man, but because of the floridary chalf permagneshy addressed to the man, but because of the floridary chalf it, the agents took the material to a U.S. Atterney in Florida and applied for a search warpast from a local magnetizate. (U.S. Department of Justice guidaline accumulty require that three cash assesses to made in

A wayvant was served on March 4, 1966, by officers from the U.S. Pustal Service, Castesse and Traspa Police. In the men's residence police from approximately 169 commercial child persography home, girls' delking, served devices and a fully furnished child's playroom. The events was charged with one count of importing this person while (punishable by ten years in prizes), and Traspa Police par he are child reduction on the prizes.

Packages consisting child persography are usually quite innoceous in appearance. Most foreign shipments come in envelopes measuring approximately 6 in. by 8 in. To avoid detection some distributors have pre-printed covologes with leges from travel agencies and auto dealers; some make it appear that the recipient's wife is the gender. By now few of those runes fool Casterns' mail handlers. Other persographors have shipped their magazines folded lengtheries to fit in a letter-size cavelope, thus looking like personal correspondence. Video topes are less castly concealed, but even they often are deverly edited so that the child persography segments are interspersed with a travelog, sports scene or other innocest fortage.

As electr structing of Datch and Scandinavien shipments continues, Castenes officials anticipate an increase in "transchipments" of child permagnessly through third countries such as Pronce, West Germany and Jopan, whose mail chipments are so very that none could be thereughly secrebed on a routine basis. (Castenes mail handless do not actually open each place of mail. They simply wotch it moving down a conveyor belt and pick out those packages fitting the surrout profiles for child permagnessly shipments. After it is countried absolute in made whether the meteorial should be for

<sup>100</sup> Chammatten due betrehen with Chief John Selbran and Senior Agent Bay Martines.
Chief Transports and Francisco Chief II. Contage Strong Service Assessy and February 1988.

warded to investigators.) Likewise, more material from countries such as Thailand and the Philippines, which have beeming childsex markets, can be expected, Customs officials say, (The arrest of a child molester in Los Angeles in late 1985 eventually led Customs to the molester's supplier of child pornography, a man from Bangkok, Thailand. Customs special agents Ray Martines and Jack O'Malley posed as potential buyers in Bangkok and worked with Thai police to have the supplier arrested.) 141

## XII. QUALITY AND CONTENT OF FOREIGN CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Not only has the quantity of child pornography seizures declined noticeably since about mid-1984, but the quality of the seized material also has declined somewhat, according to U.S. Customs officials. Much of the commercial child pornography imported into the United Staves today features photos reproduced from originals taken in the 1970s. In several magazines the majority of photos are poorly reproduced black-and-white shots and amateurish color slides, a far cry from the state-of-the-art studio work found in most adult pornography.

Investigators who analyze commercial child pornography, such as Deputy R.P. Tyler of the San Bernardino County, California, Sheriff's Department, also have noticed that the same photos of certain children keep reappearing in different magazines. Since the intensive American crackdown on child pornography, there has been a noticeable decline in the number of "new" children featured in the magazine, <sup>142</sup>

Tyler is one of the few investigators in this country who actually analyses the content of child pornography—who publishes it, which children are featured, age of the children, etc. Using a computer, he has indexed an extensive collection of such child pornography magazines as Lolita Love, Lolita Special, Lolita Chick, Nymph Lover, Bambina Sex, Schoolgirls, Lover Boys, Piccolo, Wonderboy, and many others, most published in Denmark or the Netherlands 148

The most notorious of the foreign commercial child pornography publications, Lolita, began circulating about 1974. It has been published in Dordrecht, the Netherlands, by a man identified as Joop Wilhelmus. Many other publications use variations of the Lolita title (Lolita Sex, Lolita Love, etc.), but the original Lolita carries a sequential issue number (No. 55 was published around July, 1984) and features not only sexually explicit photos of children and adults engaged in sex but accompanying text and classified ads in German, French, Dutch and English. 144

As Tyler testified before the Subcommittee, there is some doubt about who actually publishes Lolita. 145 Issue No. 46 carried a large



 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Subcommittee staff interviews with Agent Jack O'Malley, U.S. Customs Service, December
 <sup>198</sup> and January 1986.
 <sup>142</sup> Subcommittee staff interview, October 1984.

<sup>140 /64</sup> 

<sup>144</sup> Lolits Nos. 30, 31, 34 and 55; Dordrecht, the Netherlands. 148 Hearings, Part 1, p. 22.

headline declaring, "This Is The End." An article by Wilhelmus said that would be the last issue—he was giving up on trying to convince the world to endorse pedophilia and he was getting out of publishing because collectors were no longer sharing their private collections with him. 146 But with Issue No. 47, readers received this news: "We're the new editors, and we appreciate the efforts of Joop Wilhelmus for keeping going as long as he did. We don't think it should die, so we are going to continue with the efforts." 147 Tyler testified that when he traveled in the Netherlands in 1982, he spoke with sex shop operators who said it was common knowledge that Wilhelmus was still publishing Lolita, but he had publicly disassociated himself from the magazine because of harassment he was receiving as he traveled around Europe. 148

Since 1982, only two issues (Nos. 54 and 55) of Lolita are believed to have been published. It once appeared almost monthly. Tyler suggested that because Lolita was made illegal in the United States, and Denmark and Sweden also outlawed its retail sale, the drop in circulation has stripped Wilhelmus of his amateur suppliers. Most recent issues carry Wilhelmus' pleas for new material: "This magazine can only exist if you help us! Send us photos from your collection!" "We desperately need more photos from private files." 149 Tyler noted that Lolita No. 55 contained only two photos

that had not appeared in previous issues. 150

The following letters were received by Tyler, posing as a pedophile, in response to letters he wrote to European pornographers: 151

> RODAX TRADING. Copenhagen, May 30, 1978.

We hereby acknowledge receipt of your kind letter dated May 24, for which we thank you very much.

We would of course be glad to receive the photos that

you mentioned.

To answer your question concerning the articles that we send in counterpart, it is normally 1 magazine in exchange for each photo that is good enough to find its place in our two magazines dedicated to paedophilia. It seems that this system would suit you as it often does with contributors who want to enrich their collection.

When I send a magazine, it is done in an extremely discreet way to make sure that everything will be allright.

For a start, I take pleasure in sending you some sections of our two latest catalogues. I can always send you more of them in the future.

We are looking forward to hearing from you at your ear-

liest convenience.

Recommended address when you send documents: Joel Bouille, Strandlodsvej 61.

<sup>140</sup> Lolita, No. 46.
147 Ibid., No. 47.
140 Hearings, Part 1, p. 81.
140 Lolita, Nos. 29, 41.
180 Tyler interview, op. cit.
181 Hearings, Part 1, p. 82.

Your code-name Robert. (So when you send the photos, you don't have to mention your address).

JOEL BOUILLE.

CHICK PRODUCTION ESTABLISHMENT, Liechtenstein, September 25, 1978.

DEAR SIR: Thanks for your letter dated 1 sept. Of course we are interested in your photo-material although the last

age you mentioned is too high for us (too old).

We can always work out a good deal and trade your work against our products. It is also possible too exchange your photos against films. At the moment we've got 10 titles. All full of action with girls no older than 10 years.

We've got quite a lot of experience in sending material

to the USA and normally everything arrives safely.

Now it all depends on the quality of the photos you offer. If you make a point of it we will see that you get the photos back although this is not the usual way we do things. Of course we prefer action in the photographs. Please send us some samples or just send us a bunch of them and we will send you books and/or films just as you like. Send the material too the following address: A. Vorster, Tollenstraat 2, Dordrecht, Holland.

This is a private adress and ensures delivery. We hope

to receive something soon.

Sincerely yours,

Wilja.

These letters and the quality of the magazines strongly indicate that most of the printed pornographic material is being supplied by amateur pedophile photographers and not by sophisticated pornography rings. Publishers sometimes pay for the photos or exchange other child pornography with their customers. As it becomes increasingly difficult to import child pornography into the United States, pornographers may be making more direct purchases.

Investigators are well aware that many of the children featured in magazines like *Lolita* are American. The children are most often molested and photographed in the United States, and the pedophile/pornographer sends copies or originals to the European

pornography publishers. 183

#### **ERIC CROSS**

Another notorious title in child pornography is Linda and Patty which features two blonde, blue-eyed girls from Florida, aged 10 and 11, who were molested and photographed by a British citizen, Eric Cross. 154 This magazine, originally published in 1973, has



<sup>182</sup> For example, Lolita Nos. 29, 30 and 31 feature photographs of two California children who were molested by Joseph Henry, although Henry said he was not responsible for the photos (Subcommittee staff interviews with Henry and Det. William Dworin, Los Angeles Police De-

<sup>(</sup>Subcommittee staff interviews with Henry and Dec. William Dworm, 103 Angeles Folice Department, January 1985).

183 William Thoma was convicted in the Northern District of Illinois in 1984 on three counts of mailing child pornography to U.S. postal inspectors as part of the Postal Service's "Crusaders for Sexual Freedom" sting operation (see p. 13). The photos of one of the children molessted by Thoma later appeared in Lolita (Subcommittee staff interview with Thoma, October 1984).

184 Subcommittee staff interview with Agent Barry Carmody, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Inspector Steve Smolcich, U.S. Postal Service, November 1985.

been reprinted, repackaged and renamed many times. Even the names "Linda and Patty" have come to have their own meaning. Convicted child molester Donald Woodward, who was interviewed extensively by the Subcommittee, once wrote a co-conspirator that he intended to try "Linda and Patty" on a future victim—meaning that he planned to use the magazine to show how other children had "willingly" posed for pictures. 155 Other pedophiles have been known to refer to certain children as looking like "Linda" or "Patty." "Those children represent the ultimate for many heterosexual pedophiles," Tyler said. "They are blonde, blue-eyed and

right on the verge of puberty." 156

Cross met the two children who eventually would be known as "Linda and Patty" (those are not their real names, and they are now adults) while living in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, in 1973. A deceptively charming man, Cross befriended the girls' parents over a six-week period and convinced them to allow him to take the children to Disney World near Orlando. They checked into adjoining rooms at a motel near the park on a Friday night. That night, after showing the girls his own child pornography album and buying them inexpensive cartoon character necklaces (the girls are wearing them in the magazine photos), he molested and photographed them, using approximately 24 rolls of film. The next day they went to the amusement park, and returned Saturday night to the motel for more photo sessions. Cross has told investigators that the photos of the children which show some reluctance on their faces were taken before the trip to Disney World, and that after the trip

the children were much easier to manipulate. 157 When the Saturday night session was over, Cross returned the girls to their home and instructed them not to tell their parents what had happened. Fortunately, one of them did. The police were notified, but Cross already was on his way to New York in a stolen rental car. From New York he flew to Amsterdam, where he turned his film over to a photo lab. An employee of the photo lab, knowing that the children were foreigners and suspecting Cross probably was a fugitive, called Amsterdam police. Interpol, the international police intelligence agency, already had been alerted after Florida police learned Cross had taken the flight to the Netherlands. Cross was arrested and extradited to England to finish serving a sentence on molestation charges. In December 1974, he finally was extradited to Florida, tried on the molestation charges, convicted and sentenced to 28 years in prison. Cross was sent to a mental institution as part of his sentence. While there, he continued acting as a broker for the distribution of child pornography by using friends who visited him at the hospital as couriers. In 1977, he walked away from the mental institution and went to California, where he became involved in a child sex ring that included Joseph Henry, a Subcommittee witness. Cross was arrested in Los Angeles by Detective William Dworin and returned to Florida, where he went on trial in March 1986 in U.S. District Court on

<sup>155</sup> Woodward interview, op. cit.

<sup>156</sup> Tyler interview, op. cit.
157 Subcommittee staff interview with Agent Michael Brick, Florida Department of Law Enforcement, November 1984.

charges of distributing child pornography. He was convicted on 19 counts of mail fraud, conspiracy to distribute child pornography and other charges and was sentenced to 95 years in federal prison, to be served consecutively with the time remaining from his earlier

Florida conviction. 158

Dutch police told their Florida counterparts they had seized all the film Cross had taken to the Netherlands. Obviously, however, some film made its way into the child pornography market. The copy of *Linda and Patty* reviewed by the Subcommittee had the following legend printed on the back: "Copyright Delphi Press, Copenhagen 1974, all rights reserved, printed in Denmark."

### XIII. PRICE AND COST OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Child pornography has risen in price since the late 1970s, but not as much as might be expected. A catalog from Copenhagen received in the United States in 1970 advertised 32-page full color magazines at \$10 each. Others advertised magazines such as Loving Children, Lolita Colour Special, and Incest, at \$6 and \$7. Eight-millimeter films were priced from \$27 to \$36.159

By 1984, some of those same magazines were priced at \$20 each,

and the now-antiquated 8mm films were priced from \$40 to \$60. But at least one catalog dated January 1984 from a firm in the Hague, the Netherlands, listed copies of Lolita and Linda and

Patty for \$9, and all child pornography was less than \$15.160

Though no longer widely desired, 8mm films still can be purchased. Converting these films to more modern VHS and Beta videctapes is now a cottage industry within the pedophile community. Several ads in pedophile publications such as Wonderland offer these services, 161 and at least one convicted child molester from Texas sent friends—and, to his surprise, police—a videotape advertising his services, including the dubbing of 8mm films to video. 162

The era of videotapes has revolutionized the entire pornography industry. Not only has it helped make viewing adult pornography a widespread, middle-class pastime, according to adult pornography distributor Reuben Sturman, 183 but it has added a picture quality that was unavailable before. Catalogs obtained by the Subcommittee indicate that original videotape child pornography, depending on the content, is selling for \$50 to \$200.

Estimating the profits of any underground criminal enterprise is speculative at best. And in the child pornography market, it seems safe to assume that law enforcement probably can document only a small portion of the industry's total profits. Some widely reported

figures, however, seem to have little basis in fact.

For example, the American Christian Voice Foundation asserted in its 1985 publication, Child Protection Alert, that: ". . . police investigators are unearthing a thriving for-profit industry revolving around child pornography with sales estimated to run at least one-

162 Dworin interview, op. cit.



<sup>158</sup> Carmody, Smolcich, Brick and Dworin interviews, op. cit.
159 Copies retained in Subcommittee files.
160 Copies retained in Subcommittee files.
161 See Wonderland, No. 9; Chicago, Spring 1985, p. 9.

half billion dollars annually." 164 Actually, this is one of the smaller estimates Subcommittee investigators found. Similar citizens groups and some writers have used terms like "multi-billion-dollars" to describe the child pornography industry or have described it as a "significant" portion of the huge and lucrative adult pornography market.

The Subcommittee, however, has found no evidence to support such figures. The most generous estimate of the value of foreign child pornography entering the United States—according to known

seizure figures—probably would not exceed \$5 million.16

In order to support an assertion that child pornography in America is a half-billion-dollar industry, it would be necessary to show that the domestic commercial market grosses at least \$495 million annually. But the seizures of domestically-produced commercial child pornography by such agencies as the U.S. Postal Service, FBI and local police departments do not begin to approach that figure, or even the \$5 million estimate for foreign child pornography. And of the hundreds of child molesters who collect child pornography and are arrested each year none, to the Subcommittee's knowledge, has provided police with information on a huge commercial underground that would be needed to produce such profits. The fact is that the overwhelming majority of child pornography seized in arrests made in the United States has not been produced or distributed for profit. The total of all commercial child pornography operations prosecuted in the last five years, including those of such major dealers as Cathy Wilson, amount only to a few million dollars. In addition, the half-billion-dollar figure seems to presuppose that commercial child pornography can be purchased widely throughout the nation in adult pornography shops, even though this has been virtually impossible for the last several years.

When asked about the half-billion-dollar figure, U.S. Postal Service Inspector Phillip Renzuli commented: "I really wonder about those figures when I read them. Where do they get them? We certainly can't support them—not even close." 166

The significant cost to society from child pornography is not economic-many other criminal enterprises, such as narcotics smuggling, cause far greater damage to the economy. Instead, the cost must be measured in terms of the sexual exploitation of children represented by child pornography, and the sexual abuse of children to which child pornography is a central contributing factor. It is not necessary to view child pornography as a hugely lucrative, organized crime-controlled underground in order to appreciate the scope the damage to society caused by this reprehensible practice.



<sup>164</sup> Op. cit., p. 4
165 In a hypothetical example, assume the Customs Service makes 2,000 seizures of child pornography in one year (actual 1984 totals were higher; 1985's were much lower), with an average of ten pieces of pornography in each seizure, making 20,000 total pieces of child pornography. Prices ranges from \$10 for magazines to \$100 for videotapes, so a high average price would be \$50 per item, for a total value of \$1 million. Assuming further that Customs seizes only 20 percent of the items mailed, five times that amount produces a generous estimate of \$5 million.

186 Subcommittee staff interview, July 1985.

#### XIV. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the information obtained during its investigation, the Subcommittee has reached the following general conclusions:

Child pornography plays a central role in child molestations by pedophiles, serving to justify their conduct, assist them in seducing their victims, and provide a means to blackmail the children they have molested in order to prevent exposure.

The vast majority of child pornography in the United States is produced and distributed by individual pedophiles for little

or no profit.

What commercial child pornography does exist in the United States constitutes a small portion of the overall pornography market and is deeply underground. Unlike the adult pornography industry, it is not significantly influenced by organized

It is extremely difficult, if not impossible in some cities, to

purchase true child pornography at adult bookstores.

The seizure by the U.S. Customs Service of imported child pornography, especially from Denmark and the Netherlands, has declined dramatically since late 1984 due to increased diplomatic and law enforcement pressure, American news media reports and increased caution shown by American child pornography customers.

The membership of known pedophile-support groups in the United States is probably less than 2,000. While many of the groups' members have been convicted for child sex crimes, the groups themselves are not involved actively in large-scale criminal conspiracies, such as commercial child pornography

rings.

So-called "child sex rings" do exist, however, and it is these un-organized groups, and the individuals who participate in

them, which pose the most serious threat to children.

The Child Protection Act of 1984, which made illegal all distribution of sexually explicit material involving children, has been highly successful, leading to a substantial increase in federal prosecutions and the placing of higher priorities on such investigations. Since passage of the law, the Department of Justice has won 164 convictions on child pornography violations; in the previous six and one-half years, there were only

While the awareness of many police agencies about child sexual exploitation has improved greatly, many still do not have the training, staff or inclination to recognize promptly and investigate potential reads to crimes involving child por-

nograph, or child sexur abuse.

Computers are proving pedophiles with a virtually untraceable means of e manging information, including the names of potential victims. While the Subcommittee is mindful of the Constitutional safeguards against interference with free speech, a need clearly exists for additional legislation in this area.



#### XV. RECOMMENDATIONS

During the course of the Subcommittee's investigation, particularly following news media accounts of the public hearings, several thousand postcards and letters were received urging Congress to take a tougher stand against child pornography. This correspondence illustrated a paradox of law enforcement: Good laws often let to more arrests of criminals, thus making it appear that more new laws are needed to curb what the public perceives as an increase in crime.

Arrests of persons violating federal child pornography statutes have increased substantially since the passage of the Child Protection Act of 1984, which amended a previous 1977 statute <sup>167</sup> The Subcommittee believes that the child pornography laws now on the books are well designed and are reaping impressive gains in the fight against this crime. The 1984 law has instilled a new vitality in agencies such as the Postal Service and the U.S. Customs Service which now have many investigators dedicated solely to child pornography cases. We see no need for major revisions in the 1984 law at this time. A number of "fine tuning" amendments now before Congress, however, do have merit and should be considered carefully. Following are the Subcommittee's recommendations for stepping up the law-enforcement effort against child pornography and child molestation:

1. Ban advertising of child pornography and child prostitution.— One aspect of this problem that has not been addressed specifically by the federal statutes is the advertising of sexually explicit material involving children. Not only do foreign child pornography distributors publish glossy color brochures featuring their magazines, films and tapes, but newsletters of American pedophile organizations also carry advertising for such materials. Other brochures have carried thinly-disguised advertisements for "child sex tours" abroad.

To combat this, Senator Roth and several other senators introduced Senate bill S. 2398, which among other things would prohibit any advertisement "to receive, exchange, trade, buy, produce, display, disseminate, photograph, film, print, or record, any visual or print medium depicting sexually explicit conduct of or with any minor (or) offering participation in any act of sexually explicit conduct with any minor . ." 168

U.S. Customs Service inspectors frequently seize such advertisements from foreign mail, and in most cases they are forfeited by the intended recipient. Rarely are criminal cases made against persons based on the receipt of advertisements alone. In practice, however, people who receive such advertisements usually are collectors of child pornography, and many collectors have proven to be actual child molesters. The Subcommittee believes the producers of such advertisements should risk the same criminal exposure for printing advertisements for child pornography as they do for printing the actual products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> See p. 5. <sup>168</sup> See note 56.





In addition, this bill amends the Mann Act, which prohibits the interstate transportation of females for the purpose of prostitution, to include males. We believe this amendment, which for the first time would specifically include all males in the Act, is a necessary

step to keep federal law current with actual practices.

2. Increase pressure on foreign pornographers.—Soon after the Subcommittee's first public hearings in November 1984, the U.S. State Department assembled an interagency task force to visit enforcement officials in Sweden, Denmark and the Netherlands. The group's mission was to convey the United States' willingness to cooperate in joint investigations and to urge the three governments to intensify their fight against the foreign export of child pornography. Representatives of the U.S. Customs Service, the U.S. Postal Service, the Justice Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the State Department established valuable personal contacts with their European counterparts. The result was not only a better understanding of the enforcement problems in the European nations, but also a commitment to regularly exchange intelligence information on pornographers. 169

The Subcommittee commends these promising first steps and urges that further cooperation be encouraged at the highest levels

of each agency.

3. Create regional child sexual exploitation task forces.—During the Subcommittee's investigation, it became apparent that there is an immediate need for a mechanism to promote the sharing and dissemination of intelligence on child sex crime suspects and to coordinate joint criminal investigations. The Subcommittee believes this function can be carried out with existing staff positions and

funds from participating agencies.

Although cooperation among enforcement agencies on child sexual abuse cases often has been exceptional, poor communication and "turf" rivalries do occur. These situations become alarming in light of the fact that a delayed or ignored investigative lead could mean the continued sexual exploitation of a child. For example, a local police agency might seize the customer mailing list of a major child pornographer, or the membership list of a "child sex ring. What should be done with the list? If it contains names of suspected child molesters throughout the country, it obviously should not remain locked in a police department file cabinet. Should that department designate an officer to call other agencies around the country and tell them a suspect from their jurisdiction appeared on the seized list? Few departments could spare the time needed for such an enterprise. Even if they could, the number of local departments having the expertise to pursue such a lead is limited. Some experts have suggested that the list be given to a federal agency, such as the FBI, which could disseminate it to investigators around the country. Many local authorities, however, strongly oppose that suggestion because of past experiences in which information was not shared equally once it left local control. These are not new complaints.

<sup>169</sup> See pp. 30-34.

To resolve this problem, the Subcommittee recommends establishing a network of regional task forces, controlled on the local level, consisting of 12 to 15 active investigators and prosecutors from the local, state and federal levels, including representatives from the U.S. Postal Service, U.S. Customs Service, Justice Department and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Testimony before the Subcommittee by Lt. William Thorne of the Bergen County, N.J., Prosecutor's Office supported such a task force structure. <sup>170</sup> Jay Howell, director of the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children in Washington, D.C., also endorsed the Subcommittee proposal. <sup>171</sup> "The unique nature of child sexual exploitation means the act or violation will quickly spread into the next jurisdiction or state," Howell said. "We need this regional and national response to a regional and national crime."

The Subcommittee believes the task forces must be engaged in "proactive" investigations, and not just respond to crises as they occur. The investigators assigned to the units must be trained officers who have demonstrated expertise in the child abuse field and

who seek such an assignment.

Duties of the task forces would include:

Coordinating active investigations among agencies.

Exchanging information on a "need-to-know" basis with other agencies.

Training police, court and social service personnel in local jurisdictions.

Conducting proactive and undercover investigations.

There are justified concerns about the information-gathering role of such task forces and the need for protecting the rights of citizens. In some cases, for example, the names of non-pedophiles such as legitimate psychiatric researchers have appeared on the mailing lists of some pedophile organizations. The Subcommittee believes, however, that trained investigators using a rigid system of safeguards, and carefully monitored by prosecutors, could ensure that such operations could be carried out effectively without jeopardizing individual rights.



Hearings, Part 1, p. 55.
 Subcommittee staff interview, March 10, 1986.

# APPENDIX

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS, Washington, DC, August 24, 1984.

Hon. GEORGE P. SHULTZ,

Secretary of State, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC.

DEAR GEORGE: The Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, which I chair, has for the past several months been investigating the production and distribution of child pornography in the United States. During the course of this investigation my staff has learned that a significant amount of commercially available child pornography is produced and distributed in foreign countries,

particularly Denmark and the Netherlands.

The production, use and distribution of child pornographic material is legal in many European cities as evidenced by widespread availability in bookstores and other businesses that selectively cater to prurient interests. Cities such as Amsterdam and Copenhagen typify this widespread commercial availability. As you know, federal law has long prohibited the importation of such materials into the United States (18 U.S.C. 1462). Nevertheless, a substantial underground network exists in the United States for European imports of child pornographic materials. Our investigations have found that a lucrative market exists here for European produced child pornographic "video tapes" technically adaptable to American television sets. Apparently, a substantial portion of the foreign

can television sets. Apparently, a substantial portion of the foreign child pornographic market is designed for American distribution. In 1977 Congress explicitly addressed the need to stem the flow of child pornographic materials by passing the "Federal Protection of Children Against Sexual Exploitation Act." Most recently, the President signed into law the "Child Protection Act" (P.L. 98-292) which filled many statutory gaps and made every phase of the pornographic market from production to private use felonious conduct. However, the availability of imported materials catering to pedo-

philiacs continues.

Since the growing availability of such materials in the United States has been traced to European cities, particularly Amsterdam and Copenhagen, would you be kind enough to supply in detail the following information to facilitate our investigation:

1. What diplomatic efforts have been made to contact foreign officials about the flow of pornographic, particularly child pornographic, materials into the United States?

2. What contact or cooperation have you had with the U.S. Customs Service in dealing with this importation problem?



3. What contact or cooperation have you had with the Department of Justice or other federal agencies in dealing with the impor-

4. What are your future plans in dealing with the importation of

child pornographic materials?

5. Have any previous efforts on your part been unsuccessful?

6. Can the United States influence the exportation of these materials from the Netherlands, Denmark or elsewhere to any significant extent?

I plan to chair hearings on this matter at a later date and would greatly appreciate your cooperation. In addition to the information sought through these specific questions, any additional information or observations would be helpful.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely.

WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Chairman.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, DC, September 19, 1984.

Hon. William V. Roth, Jr., U.S. Senate.

DEAR SENATOR ROTH: Thank you for your letter to the Secretary of August 24, to which he has asked me to respond. You inquired whether any diplomatic efforts have been made to stem the flow of child pornography into the United States and whether, in our judgment, the US could influence the exportation of such materials from the Netherlands, Denmark or elsewhere.

In an effort to provide a complete answer to your inquiries, we have discussed the child pornography issue with representatives of the Justice Department, the U.S. Customs Service and the U.S. Postal Service. On the basis of these conversations, it appears that no general diplomatic or other effort has been made to inhibit the foreign production or export of child pornographic materials to the United States. On occasion, officials of the Justice Department, the Customs Service or the Postal Service have discussed specific criminal cases or interdiction cases involving child pornographic materials with their counterparts in Europe, but these have been in the nature of uncoordinated, incidental contacts.

To date, the focus of official United States efforts to stem the inflow of child pornographic material has been interdiction. As you note, Title 18 of the U.S. Code has long prohibited the importation of such materials into the United States. In addition, under Article 36, paragraph 4-E of the Convention of the Universal Postal Union, "obscene or immoral articles" are prohibited in the international mails. The Postal Inspection Service, in cooperation with the Customs Service and the Justice Department, has an active postal surveillance program which seeks to reduce the inflow of pornographic materials into the United States. United States citizens who receive objectionable materials can, of course, ask the Postal Service to suspend delivery of such materials.



In theory, the prohibitions contained in the Convention of the Universal Postal Union could provide a basis for discussing the international transport of child pornographic materials with officials of the Netherlands, Denmark, and other governments. However, the thrust of United States efforts with respect to international mails thus far has been to insure their "free flow." In contrast with the practices of the Soviet Union and other totalitarian states, we have sought to promote the doctrine and practice of non-interference with international mails.

Nevertheless, because of the seriousness of the problem represented by the information of child pornographic materials, we believe that some form of enhanced international effort is necessary to deal with it. We are at present examining the feasibility and efforts the seriousness of the problem represented by the pro

fectiveness of an approach to other governments through the U.P.U. or through bilateral diplomatic channels. Before making such an approach, we will work with other interested agencies and bureaus to determine the magnitude of the problem and the countries principally involved in production and exportation of child pornographic materials.

We look forward to working with you and your committee in this

effort. Sincerely,

W. TAPLEY BENNETT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary,
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

Washington, DC, September 11, 1984.

Hon. WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr.,

Chairman, Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR: An editorial in The Washington Post last Saturday called my attention to the work currently being undertaken by the Senate Subcommittee on Investigations serving under your chairmanship.

It appears that in the course of its work the Secretariat of your Committee has been provided with information that pornographic materials have been illegally imported into the United States from sources in Denmark, among other countries.

You will understand the grave concern this matter has caused in Denmark. The more so, as child pornography was declared illegal

by Danish law several years ago.

The concerns which recently have been expressed by you and other Americans in this respect have received extensive coverage in Danish media. In this context I am happy to let you know that the Danish Minister for Justice, Mr. Erik Ninn-Hansen, has issued a statement in which he stresses the repugnance of the Danish Government to any sexual abuse of children, including child pornography.

So far, there has in Denmark been only a few cases of violation of the criminal code pertaining to the distribution of child pornography, the violators having received sentences.

, 54



Recent reports in American and Danish media on cases related to Denmark, have, however, prompted the Danish Minister for Justice to initiate extensive investigations. They have not yet been concluded, but the preliminary indication is that the Danish citianne involved have been in presention of child pornographic materials. There are also indications that the pictures in question have not been made in Denmark, but abroad.

The Minister has taken this apparaturally to remind the public of

The Minister has taken this opportunity to remind the public of the first that according to the Danish criminal code any person who makes pernagraphic pictures involving children—or cooperates in this—chall be liable to a maximum penalty of 10 years in prison.

Any person who cells and otherwise distributes child pernagraphic material—regardless of its country of origin—is liable to a fine (and conficeation). It chould be added that the Minister for Justice is presently looking into the possibilities of increasing the penalty for such a James to the effect that persons distributing child persons any he liable to increasing the penalty. y may be liable to imprisonment.

I trust, Mr. Senetor, that the above information will be a testimony to the seriousness with which the Government of Denmark is striving to bring an end to any possible activity which may imply sexual abuse of children for such sordid purposes.

secual abuse or trans-Sincerely yours,

BIGIL JORGENSEN, Ambassador of Denmark.

U.S. SENATE. Washington, DC, October 5, 1984.

His Receilency Pour Scenure Prime Minister of Denmark, Copenhau

Prime Minister of Dencesch, Copenhagen.

Dana Ma. Pamez Ministers: The United States and Denmark have many ties that are warmly cherished by the people of both countries. Our friendship is longstanding and comented through common struggle against tyranny. However, a link of a distasticul sert has grown between our two countries, without the knowledge or approval of the groat majority of our people. This link is the trade in child pernagraphy.

Much of the sulpability for this sordid trafficking rests on our own shares. The United States is a major market for these obscene materials. We deplore this fact. The American people have been shacked by reports in recent years about child pernagraphy in the United States and our apparent lack of effectiveness in stopping it. At every level of government, Americans have supported vigorous action to apprehend, convict, and punish severely those who are engaged in the preduction of and trafficking in these materials. We are determined to reduction of and trafficking in these materials. We are determined to reduction of all trafficking in these materials. We ment in this despisable crime.

The Child Protection Act of 1964, spected last May, etcansthene.

The Child Protection Act of 1984, enacted last May, strengthene ral laws against child pornography. In addition, almost all lifty states and many municipalities have enacted or med laws against child pornography, and law enforcement strengthened laws against child pornography, and law enforcement personnel are diligently working to stem the flow of these materi-als through our mails and customs. We are having some success.

During this fiscal year, our customs officers have seized approximately 1,900 pieces of child pornography coming into the United States. However, stopping the importation of these materials is very difficult, and we are in critical need of the full cooperation of countries who are exporting them for the United States market.

The United States Senate has recently been informed by U.S. Customs officials that 85 percent of the child pornography imported into this country comes from the kingdoms of the Netherlands and Denmark. In addition to Your Excellency, we are writing to

the Prime Minister of the Netherlands.

This is a subject which, due to international publicity, is being widely discussed today by thoughtful people in your country, as well as ours. Furthermore, there can be no doubt that the people in our two countries, in our mutual traditions of moral strength and love of family, deplore our participation in this shameful exploitation of children.

As legislators, we write to Your Excellency, asking for your support and suggestions with respect to possible avenues of cooperation between our governments and law enforcement agencies in order to curb production and trafficking in child pornography. We feel confident that our two countries can work together, as well as in concert with other nations, to combat successfully the interna-

tional distribution of these salacious materials.

Respectfully yours,
William V. Roth, Jr., James Abdnor, Pete V. Domenici,
Bob Kasten, J. James Exon, Paul S. Sarbanes,
Nancy Landon Kassebaum, Paula Hawkins, Alfonse
D'Amato, John C. Danforth, Howard M. Metzenbaum, Mack Mattingly, Strom Thurmond, Alan J.
Dixon, Dan Quayle, Claiborne Pell, Rudy Boschwitz,
Edward Zorinsky, Frank R. Lautenberg, Roger W.
Jepsen, Charles H. Percy, John Glenn, Steven D.
Symms, Jake Garn, Richard G. Lugar, John Heinz,
Senators.

Washington, DC, November 28, 1984.

Hon. WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Senate Hart Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR ROTH: I have been instructed to inform you that the Danish Government has considered again the sad matter raised by yourself and other members of the United States Senate in your letter of October 5th, 1984, addressed to the Prime Minister on the

problem of child pornography.

My Government looks upon these matters with grave concern and you will already be aware, from previous communications, that legislative action was taken here back in 1980 in order to criminalize also any distribution of pornographic material involving children in addition to the already existing provisions in the penal code according to which the production of such material is already severely punishable.

Reference should be made again in this connection to the statement made by the Danish Minister for Justice which I was last in-



structed to bring to your attention. All cases of child pornography are being carefully investigated by the police. However, the outcome so far of the investigations in the cases which were specifically brought up earlier this year in an NBC-broadcast seems to indicate that the material found by the police in these cases consisted of photographs taken elsewhere than in Denmark. This obviously does not relieve us of our concern in these matters, but it seems that we may so far be in a similar situation to that of the United

States in these cases.

The Danish Government finds it most important to fight abuse of children. The Minister for Justice has therefore instructed the police to give investigations of child pornography cases a very high priority. The Minister is closely following the investigations in the two cases mentioned above. In order to strengthen as much as possible the cooperation between our two countries in this field the Minister for Justice would sincerely appreciate to receive any specific information concerning persons in Denmark trafficking in child pornography which the U.S. authorities might possess. In this regard Denmark will also be ready to receive and assist representatives of U.S. authorities concerned with this matter.

In awaiting your reply, I should also add my Government's appreciation of the thoughtful and balanced way in which the Senate

has approached this delicate matter.

May I finally state that you will always find the Embassy of Denmark ready to assist you to the best of our ability in your endeavours in this case.

Copies of this letter have been forwarded to Senator Arlen Spec-

ter and to Deputy Assistant Secretary John H. Kelly.

Sincerely yours,

EIGIL JORGENSEN, Ambassador of Denmark.



