Office of Population Research Princeton University
WORKING PAPER SERIES

Sex Differentials in Biological Risk Factors for Chronic Disease:
Estimates from Population-based Surveys

Working Paper 2003-03

Noreen Goldman

Maxine Weinstein

Center for Population and Health, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.

Jennifer Cornman

Polisher Research Institute, Madlyn & Leonard Abramson Center for Jewish Life,
North Wales, PA.

Burton Singer

Teresa Seeman

Division of Geriatrics, Geffen School of Medicine
University of California at Los Angeles

Ming-cheng Chang

Center for Population and Health Survey Research,
Bureau of Health Promotion, Department of Health, Taiwan

Acknowledgments: This work has been supported by the Demography and Epidemiology Unit of the Behavioral and Social Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, under grant numbers R01AG16790, R01AG16661 and R01AG17056 and by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development under grant number 5P30HD32030. The authors would also like to acknowledge assistance from Dr. Mei-Hua Huang from the UCLA School of Medicine, David Landau and Dana Gleit from Georgetown University, and Chris Seplaki from Princeton University.

Papers published in the OPR Working Paper Series reflect the views of individual authors. They may be cited in other publications, but are intended to be work-in-progress. Comments are welcome. Additional copies are available by writing to the Office of Population Research Working Paper Series, Princeton University, Wallace Hall, Second Floor, Princeton, NJ 08544 Fax (609) 258-1039. Or on the web.

ABSTRACT

Background: In light of substantial sex differences in health outcomes, researchers need to focus on disentangling the underlying biological and social determinants. The objective of this study is to determine whether two populations that differ in many cultural and social dimensions – Taiwan and the US – also vary with regard to sex differentials in biological markers of chronic disease.

Methods: The analysis is based on three population-based surveys that include interviews, urine and blood specimens, and physical examinations: The Social Environment and Biomarkers of Aging Study in Taiwan, the Wisconsin Longitudinal Survey, and the MacArthur studies of successful aging. The outcomes comprise six indicators of cardiovascular risk (total/HDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, glycosylated hemoglobin and waist-hip ratio) and four markers of SNS and HPA-axis functioning (epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol and DHEA-S).

Results: US males have significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher risk than females for all indicators of cardiovascular risk except glycosylated hemoglobin. Sex differences are less consistent and smaller in Taiwan. Indicators of SNS and HPA-axis functioning reveal a significant female disadvantage in both countries.

Conclusions: The analysis identifies important sex differences between Taiwan and the US in biomarkers of cardiovascular risk that are consistent with cause-of-death data and may emanate from cultural and social differences between the two societies. The similarity of sex differences in SNS and HPA-axis functioning across studies may reflect either stable sex differences in biological aging of these axes or commonalities in the social construction of gender-based responses to life experiences.

Key words: sex differences; cardiovascular risk factors; sympathetic nervous system; hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis

INTRODUCTION

It is a truth universally acknowledged that age and sex are related to health, and increasingly, researchers are working to unpack the meaning of these characteristics and to disentangle the biological and social determinants of the connection. A recent report issued by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences underscores the need for additional research and documentation about the extent to which mechanisms and origins of sex differences in the prevalence and severity of disease are strictly biological and how differences in exposure interact with biology to affect health outcomes.¹ Inclusion of sex as a factor in research design and analysis is important not only for knowledge about the etiology of sex differences in health and illness, but also for the implementation of more effective interventions. From a policy perspective, additional information on sex-based differences is a critical component in the development of strategies for improving women's health status and health care.²

This paper compares data on older men and women in Taiwan and the United States, opening a window onto potential gender-related differences in biological markers related to health and well-being. Traditionally, Taiwanese culture was (and in some respects continues to be) highly patriarchal, emphasizing sex, generation, and age as fundamental bases for stratification. Women have been disadvantaged economically – with respect, for example, to their share of familial inheritance – legally, and politically.^{3, 4, 5} In contrast, although gender equality is not fully realized in US society, it is, nevertheless, a stated normative value.

The present study focuses on ten biological markers for which we have comparable data for middle-aged and elderly persons in Taiwan and the US. These biomarkers come from three community-based studies that are among the few population-based surveys that contain a broad range of physiological measurements on moderate or large-sized samples. We use these data to

explore whether sex differences in the biomarkers are similar in these two societies that differ in many social and cultural dimensions, including gender roles.

The biological factors comprise six established markers of cardiovascular risk (total/HDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, glycosylated hemoglobin, and waist-hip ratio); and four markers of sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) activity (epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate or DHEA-S). These biomarkers are associated with longevity as well as numerous chronic diseases and conditions, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cognitive impairment and depression. They also have been linked to a variety of experiences related to the social environment – a person's relative position in social hierarchies, characteristics of an individual's social networks, and exposure to stressful situations. Particularly high (or low) levels of these biomarkers have been hypothesized to reflect cumulative wear-and-tear and possible dysregulation of the biological systems with which they are associated.^{6, 7, 8}

There is extensive research documenting differences between male and female distributions of these biomarkers.^{9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23} However, the vast majority of this research has been carried out in North America and Western Europe, so we know little about whether non-Western populations exhibit similar patterns. Cause-specific mortality data for the United States and Taiwan suggest that sex differences in these biomarkers are likely to differ between the two populations. Specifically, although Taiwan and the United States have similar life expectancies (about 77 years in the US and 75 in Taiwan in 1999^{24, 25}), death rates from causes associated with these biomarkers reveal distinct sex differentials between countries.

For example, death rates among older men and women from ischemic heart disease and diabetes (the two causes with comparable published estimates for Taiwan and the US) indicate that the ratios of male to female death rates reported in Taiwan are generally lower than those in the US (Table 1). These estimates reveal a greater female disadvantage in mortality from these causes (relative to males) in Taiwan than in the US, a finding that is consistent with the relative social disadvantage of Taiwanese females discussed above.

Table 1: Age-specific death rates from ischemic heart disease and diabetes by sex, Taiwan (1996-1999) and the United States (1998)

Ischemic Heart Disease (ICD-9: 410-414)						
Age Groups	Taiwan*			United States		
	Male	Female	Ratio (M/F)	Male	Female	Ratio (M/F)
60-64	1.01	0.40	2.53	3.42	1.38	2.48
65-69	1.68	0.78	2.14	5.37	2.38	2.26
70-74	2.47	1.50	1.65	8.50	4.24	2.01
75-79	3.81	2.82	1.35	13.05	7.31	1.79
80+	7.25	6.83	1.06	29.71	24.67	1.20

Diabetes Mellitus (ICD-9: 250)						
Age Groups	Taiwan*			United States		
	Male	Female	Ratio (M/F)	Male	Female	Ratio (M/F)
60-64	1.30	1.24	1.05	0.55	0.44	1.24
65-69	1.90	2.42	0.78	0.82	0.66	1.23
70-74	2.62	4.04	0.65	1.19	0.99	1.20
75-79	3.79	5.85	0.65	1.62	1.38	1.18
80+	5.23	7.95	0.66	2.66	2.40	1.11

*Taiwan rates are: $(\Sigma \text{ deaths } 1996-99) / (\text{the mid-period population} * 4)$, where the mid-period population is $(1996 \text{ population} + 1999 \text{ population}) / 2$.

SOURCES:

United States death data: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/dataawh/statab/unpubd/mortabs/gmwk292.htm>

United States population data: Population Estimates Program, Population Division, US Census Bureau, Washington, DC

Taiwan death data: Department of Health, Taiwan, ROC. 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999 Republic of China Health and Vital Statistics, Volume 2: Vital Statistics, Table 13

Taiwan population data: Department of Health, Taiwan, ROC. 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999 Republic of China Health and Vital Statistics, Volume 2: Vital Statistics, Table 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data

The data analyzed in this paper come from three distinct sources: a recent (2000) national survey in Taiwan – the Social Environment and Biomarkers of Aging Study (SEBAS) – and two surveys in the United States – the MacArthur studies of successful aging and the Wisconsin Longitudinal Survey (WLS). All three sources sampled a relatively broad segment of the general population within their defined age interval and collected extensive biomedical information along with interview data. All three surveys include sample sizes larger than those typically used in clinical studies: e.g., two of the three surveys comprise more than 1000 participants. The surveys have been extensively analyzed by the authors and by other researchers and have been shown to contain high quality information.^{26, 27, 28}

The data collection in each of the three studies entailed physical assessments, 12-hour (overnight) urine collections, and blood specimens that together yielded information on the ten biomarkers considered in the present analysis. The urine specimens provided integrated estimates of cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine; results for these measures were calibrated to creatinine levels in the urine to adjust for body size. Blood samples provided estimates of DHEA-S, HDL and total cholesterol, and glycosylated hemoglobin. The physical assessments included blood pressure readings (based on an average of two [SEBAS] or three [WLS and MacArthur]) taken with a mercury sphygmomanometer, with the respondents in a seated position, and measurement of the waist and hips.

SEBAS

The Taiwan data are based on a follow-up of the Survey of Health and Living Status of the Near Elderly and Elderly. This longitudinal survey began in 1989 with a national sample (including the institutionalized population) of 4049 persons aged 60 and over (the “elderly”

cohort) and was extended in 1996 to include a national sample of 2462 persons aged 50 through 66 in 1996 (the “near elderly” cohort).^{29, 30}

In 2000, a national subsample of respondents from the 1989 and 1996 waves of the Survey of Health and Living Status was selected randomly to participate in SEBAS. Respondents were interviewed in their homes between July and December, 2000. On a scheduled day several weeks after the interview, participants collected 12-hour overnight urine samples, fasted overnight, and received examinations from physicians in nearby hospitals the following morning. Informed consent in writing was obtained for participation in the interview, and, separately, for participation in the physical examination. Respondents age 71 and over (in 2000) were oversampled relative to those 54 to 70 and urban areas were oversampled relative to rural areas.

Among the 1713 respondents selected for this study, a total of 1497 provided interviews (a response rate of 92% among survivors) and 1023 participated in the physical examination (68% of those interviewed). Among participants in the examination, compliance was extremely high: all but 10 individuals followed the urine protocol and provided sufficient volumes of blood suitable for analysis.

Because the vast majority of sampled persons in SEBAS responded to the pre-examination interview, the potential effects of nonparticipation in the medical examination can be assessed readily by a comparison of the characteristics of nonparticipants and participants. These comparisons reveal that although respondents over age 70 were less likely than younger persons to participate, sex and various measures of socioeconomic status were not significantly related to participation. Moreover, because of higher non-participation rates among both the healthiest and the least healthy individuals, persons who received the medical exam reported the same general health status, on average, as those who did not. These results suggest that, in the

presence of controls for age, estimates derived from the biomarkers are unlikely to be seriously biased.³¹

The MacArthur studies of successful aging

The MacArthur studies of successful aging are based on a longitudinal cohort of high-functioning men and women. Subjects were subsampled in 1988 from the East Boston, MA, Durham, NC, and New Haven, CT sites of the Established Populations for the Epidemiologic Study of the Elderly (EPESE) based on a set of criteria designed to identify those in the top third of persons aged 70-79 with respect to physical and cognitive function. Data collection took place during 1988-89. Among the 1313 subjects who met the screening criteria, 1189 (91%) agreed to participate, and among these, 970 (82%) consented to provide blood samples and 1019 (86%) urine samples. In addition to this sample of high-functioning individuals, data were also collected for two comparison groups of 70-79 year-olds: 80 medium- and 82 low-functioning individuals. Additional details of the data collection are described in Berkman et al.²⁶ and Seeman et al.³²

The WLS

The WLS is a survey of 10,317 men and women, randomly selected from persons who were graduates of Wisconsin high schools in 1957. Respondents were interviewed in 1957, 1975, and 1992-93. In 1997, additional survey data along with biological measures were obtained from a subsample of 106 men and women, then aged 58-59. Although these participants were not selected randomly, members of the subsample are characterized by similar levels of income and other demographic characteristics as those in the overall sample. Additional information about this WLS sample is provided in Singer and Ryff³³ and the WLS website.³⁴

For this analysis, the samples for these three surveys are limited to participants with measures for all 10 biomarkers: 1013 in SEBAS, 95 in WLS, and 731, 54, and 41 for the high, medium, and low functioning groups respectively, in the MacArthur study.

Statistical Methods

As described above, the three surveys are not strictly comparable with regard to their sampling designs: the Taiwan survey is based on a national sample, but the MacArthur and WLS surveys have inclusion criteria that compromise their representativeness of the general US population. Consequently, we do not use statistical tests to compare results *across* samples, rather, we offer a heuristic assessment of differences between the Taiwanese and the American cohorts. However, we use statistical tests to compare findings by sex within each data set, as described in more detail below.

Because of the presence of outliers for some of the biomarkers, we summarize the distributions with robust measures. In particular, for each biomarker, we present three percentile measures in Table 2: the lower quartile (the 25th percentile), the median (the 50th percentile), and the upper quartile (the 75th percentile). The difference between the third and the first measure, known as the interquartile range, encompasses the central half of values for a given biomarker and provides an alternative measure of spread to the standard deviation or variance. Unlike conventional measures, such as the mean and the variance, these percentiles are generally unaffected by the presence of outliers.

These summary values are used to assess differences in biomarkers between Taiwan and the US and differences between men and women within each country. Because the two US surveys differ in age coverage, separate values from SEBAS are calculated for the two cohorts.

This age division permits a more direct comparison between the older SEBAS cohort and MacArthur respondents and between the younger SEBAS cohort and WLS respondents; it also eliminates the need to weight the SEBAS sample by age. (We investigated the effect of the inclusion of weights for urban vs. rural residence on the estimates, but the estimates barely changed.) In addition, separate biomarker values are presented by sex. To assess the statistical significance of sex differences within each data set, a non-parametric test (the two-sample median test³⁵) was performed.

The biomarkers are classified into two categories: one that comprises the six risk factors for cardiovascular disease; and a second composed of the four indicators of HPA-axis and SNS activity. For eight of the ten measures, higher values generally indicate higher risk of disease, whereas for two of the measures (HDL cholesterol and DHEA-S) higher values designate lower risk.

In order to facilitate comparisons by sex across the various data sets, the percentile values in Table 2 are shown graphically in Figures 1a and 1b, based on a simplified form of a boxplot.³⁶ In these figures, the length of the vertical bar denotes the interquartile range, the upper and lower horizontal bars denote the upper and lower quartiles respectively, and the middle bar represents the median.

Table 2: Median, lower quartile (Q1), and upper quartile (Q3) for 10 biomarkers, Taiwan and the United States

Biomarker	SEBAS - Near Elderly						SEBAS - Elderly					
	Males (n = 308)			Females (n = 265)			Males (n = 277)			Females (n = 163)		
	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3
Indicators of Cardiovascular Risk												
Total Cholesterol/HDL Cholesterol	4.5**	3.5	5.4	4.1	3.3	5.0	4.1	3.3	5.1	4.1	3.4	4.9
HDL Cholesterol	44.0**	37.0	52.5	51.0	41.0	61.0	47.0	38.0	55.0	49.0	43.0	60.0
Systolic Blood Pressure	131.5*	120.0	145.0	136.0	121.0	148.0	140.0	128.0	155.0	142.0	130.0	155.0
Diastolic Blood Pressure	82.0	75.0	91.0	81.0	75.0	90.0	80.0	73.0	89.0	80.0	73.0	89.0
Total Glycosylated Hemoglobin	5.4	5.1	5.7	5.4	5.1	5.9	5.3**	5.1	5.6	5.5	5.2	6.2
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.91**	0.87	0.94	0.83	0.80	0.87	0.90*	0.87	0.94	0.88	0.83	0.93
Indicators of SNS and HPA-axis Functioning												
Epinephrine	2.0	1.0	3.3	2.2	0.8	3.9	2.0	0.7	3.5	2.4	0.0	4.9
Norepinephrine	18.4**	14.2	23.1	22.8	18.0	28.7	18.3**	13.6	25.5	24.5	16.5	32.2
Cortisol	16.4**	11.0	24.0	21.8	14.5	33.7	18.1**	12.3	27.9	22.6	14.5	37.2
DHEA-S	96.8**	60.0	140.9	53.9	31.6	85.0	74.0**	46.6	106.9	46.7	24.9	75.5

Biomarker	WLS Males (n = 52)			WLS Females (n = 43)		
	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3
Indicators of Cardiovascular Risk						
Total Cholesterol/HDL Cholesterol	4.6**	4.0	5.6	3.9	3.5	4.8
HDL Cholesterol	45.0**	36.0	51.0	56.0	45.0	66.0
Systolic Blood Pressure	139.0*	124.5	148.5	133.0	125.0	144.0
Diastolic Blood Pressure	82.0**	75.0	87.5	74.0	69.0	80.0
Total Glycosylated Hemoglobin	5.7	5.4	6.0	5.6	5.4	6.0
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.94**	0.91	0.97	0.81	0.74	0.86
Indicators of SNS and HPA-axis Functioning						
Epinephrine	2.0	1.4	2.8	2.2	1.9	3.6
Norepinephrine	26.5**	20.0	30.5	32.8	29.3	46.9
Cortisol	28.9	19.8	41.5	35.3	22.7	49.5
DHEA-S	94.0**	60.5	134.5	43.0	33.0	82.0

Table 2: Median, lower quartile (Q1), and upper quartile (Q3) for 10 biomarkers, Taiwan and the United States (cont)

Biomarker	MacArthur – High						MacArthur- Medium					
	Males (n = 359)			Females (n = 372)			Males (n = 23)			Females (n = 31)		
	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3
Indicators of Cardiovascular Risk												
Total Cholesterol/HDL Cholesterol	5.0*	4.1	6.1	4.6	3.7	5.8	5.2	3.8	6.5	4.7	3.8	5.9
HDL Cholesterol	40.0*	33.0	49.0	49.0	40.0	61.0	38.0	34.0	56.0	45.0	36.0	62.0
Systolic Blood Pressure	136.7	125.3	149.3	134.7	126.0	148.7	141.3	129.3	158.0	141.3	122.0	151.3
Diastolic Blood Pressure	77.3	70.0	84.0	76.0	70.0	82.0	84.7	76.0	90.0	77.3	70.0	86.7
Total Glycosylated Hemoglobin	6.5	5.8	7.2	6.4	5.9	7.1	6.1	5.7	7.1	6.6	6.1	7.7
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.93*	0.90	0.97	0.83	0.79	0.88	0.98*	0.93	0.99	0.82	0.79	0.90
Indicators of SNS and HPA-axis Functioning												
Epinephrine	2.9*	2.2	4.0	4.0	2.9	5.5	3.3	2.5	4.5	4.0	2.4	5.1
Norepinephrine	32.9*	25.0	43.3	38.9	29.8	50.0	30.1	24.0	41.0	35.0	23.1	52.5
Cortisol	15.2*	9.6	23.3	17.9	12.8	27.4	11.4	8.6	19.8	14.2	11.0	21.4
DHEA-S	69.0*	45.0	108.0	50.0	31.0	75.5	39.0	17.0	100.0	38.0	16.0	56.0

Biomarker	MacArthur - Low					
	Males (n = 21)			Females (n = 20)		
	Median	Q1	Q3	Median	Q1	Q3
Indicators of Cardiovascular Risk						
Total Cholesterol/HDL Cholesterol	4.6	3.7	6.9	4.4	3.6	5.9
HDL Cholesterol	37.0*	32.0	49.0	48.0	38.5	63.0
Systolic Blood Pressure	135.3	122.7	154.7	133.3	118.0	155.3
Diastolic Blood Pressure	86.0	70.0	90.0	77.7	67.7	83.3
Total Glycosylated Hemoglobin	7.5	6.3	9.4	6.8	6.4	7.1
Waist-Hip Ratio	0.95*	0.88	0.97	0.88	0.83	0.91
Indicators of SNS and HPA-axis Functioning						
Epinephrine	3.4	2.6	3.9	3.8	3.0	6.7
Norepinephrine	32.1	22.7	42.7	39.1	28.8	60.5
Cortisol	11.18*	9.7	23.8	17.6	10.3	28.4
DHEA-S	50.0*	36.0	80.0	24.5	5.0	39.0

*Gender difference is significant at $p < 0.05$

**Gender difference is significant at $p < 0.01$

Figure 1a: Gender differences in indicators of cardiovascular risk: Taiwan and the United States

Figure 1b: Gender differences in indicators of SNS and HPA-axis functioning: Taiwan and the United States

Legend

..... Male

———— Female

———— Upper quartile

———— Median

———— Lower quartile

RESULTS

Despite variations in sampling frames across the surveys included in this analysis, the findings, shown in Table 2 and in Figure 1, are generally consistent across data sets. Sex differences for the six cardiovascular markers reveal a male disadvantage for five of the measures in the US. Results for the sixth measure – glycosylated hemoglobin – suggest little if any sex difference. The sex differences in Taiwan are less consistent and considerably smaller. For three of the measures (total/HDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and waist-hip ratio), Taiwanese males are at a significantly greater risk than females among the near elderly; among the elderly, however, only the sex difference for the waist-hip ratio is significant. But even for these measures, the male-female differential in Taiwan is typically smaller than in the US. This is especially apparent for the waist-hip ratio, as shown in Figure 1a. In contrast to Taiwan, the central half of values for US males in three of the four data sets do not even overlap the central half of values for US females. For two of the measures (glycosylated hemoglobin and systolic blood pressure), the data suggest a reversal, i.e., Taiwanese females appear to be at a disadvantage relative to men.

Unlike the cardiovascular measures, the results for the four indicators of SNS and HPA-axis functioning reveal a fairly consistent female disadvantage in both countries (although the sex differences for epinephrine are generally not significant in either). Results from the WLS suggest much larger sex differences in several of these measures than those from MacArthur, but some of the differences for WLS are not significant because of the relatively small sample size. The magnitude of the sex differences for Taiwan generally falls between these two sets of US values.

The results also suggest that the most salient differences between the two countries pertain to the waist-hip ratio, glycosylated hemoglobin, epinephrine, norepinephrine, and blood pressure. American men have higher waist-hip ratios than their Taiwanese counterparts, and American women have somewhat lower ratios than Taiwanese women, leading to a substantially greater sex difference in this measure in the US. American men and women generally have higher values of glycosylated hemoglobin, epinephrine and norepinephrine than their Taiwanese counterparts. (The results suggest that this differential may also hold for cortisol, but this finding may be attributable to the exceptionally high values for cortisol in the WLS.) Finally, Taiwanese women have somewhat higher systolic and diastolic blood pressures than American women.

DISCUSSION

The distributions of biomarkers for cardiovascular risk and HPA/ SNS activity in Taiwan and the US reveal important sex differences between the two populations that are consistent with the cause-of-death data shown in Table 1. These sex differences are similar across data sets despite variations in study designs. Most previous research on factors related to cardiovascular disease in Western countries show that men are at higher risk than women^{17, 21} (and, at any given level of the biomarker, women are at a reduced risk of adverse health outcomes.^{18, 19, 22}). These data, however, reveal that this finding does not hold for Taiwan: males are at a clear disadvantage with regard to risk factors for cardiovascular disease vis-à-vis females in the US but not in Taiwan. The disparate findings for these two populations cast doubt on the notion of inherent sex differences in these biomarkers, suggesting instead that environmental factors such as socioeconomic status, cultural practices, social roles, diet, and lifestyle may either decrease levels of risk factors among Taiwanese males relative to their female counterparts (as compared

with the US), or increase the corresponding risk factors among Taiwanese females relative to their male counterparts. One potentially informative area of future focus entails an assessment of differences in employment, occupational position, sense of control, and stress in the workplace between men and women in the two societies.

These patterns with respect to cardiovascular disease are distinct from those related to SNS and HPA-activity, which reveal a female disadvantage in both populations. The data on norepinephrine, epinephrine and cortisol from each of the studies suggest that levels of SNS and HPA-activity tend to be higher among women than men. The consistency of these findings across the studies suggests that this is not a culture-specific finding but rather may reflect either stable sex differences in biological aging of these axes, or commonalities in the social construction of gender-based responses to life experiences. Indeed, it is interesting to note that the pattern of greater female SNS and HPA-activity observed in these surveys parallels evidence suggesting that women may be more reactive than men to interpersonal stress (particularly marital stress^{37, 38}).

A neglected area of research is the identification of combinations of biomarkers that best predict patterns of co-morbidity. In light of the fact that co-morbidity is the norm rather than the exception among elderly populations in the US,³⁹ the co-occurrence of biomarkers is centrally important for understanding downstream co-morbidity. For example, long-term activation of glucocorticoids – assessed here via urinary cortisol – has significant implications for the distribution of body fat,⁴⁰ suggesting the co-occurrence of elevated cortisol and waist-hip ratio. In WLS and the MacArthur aging study (data not shown), women tend to have co-occurring elevated-risk levels of pairwise combinations of cortisol, epinephrine, norepinephrine, and DHEA-S with greater frequency than men. In contrast, for the six cardiovascular biomarkers,

men have a higher degree of co-occurrence than women. Preliminary tabulations for Taiwanese males and females suggest combinations of elevated biomarkers that are similar to those in the US. A more comprehensive analysis of sex differences in profiles of biomarkers is an important issue for future analysis with these data.

References

¹ Institute of Medicine. *Exploring the Biological Contributions to Human Health: Does Sex Matter?* Wizemann TM, Pardue ML, eds. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001.

² Pinn, VW. Sex and Gender Factors in Medical Studies Implications for Health and Clinical Practice. *JAMA* 2003;**289**:397-400.

³ Greenhalgh S. Sexual stratification: the other side of 'growth with equity' in East Asia. *Population and Development Review* 1985;**11**:265-314.

⁴ Parish WL, Willis RJ. Daughters education and family budgets. *Journal of Human Resources* 1993;**28**:865-98.

⁵ Fricke T, Chang JS, Yang LS. Historical and ethnographic perspectives on the Chinese family. In: *Social Change and the Family in Taiwan*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1994, pp. 22-483.

⁶ McEwen BS, Stellar E. Stress and the individual mechanisms leading to disease. *Arch Internal Med* 1993;**153**:2093-2101.

⁷ Singer B, Ryff CD, Seeman TE. Forthcoming. Operationalizing Allostatic Load. In: Schulkin J, McEwen BS, eds. *Allostasis and Allostatic Load*, 2004.

⁸ McEwen BS. The neurobiology of stress: from serendipity to clinical relevance. *Brain Res* 2000;**886**:172-189.

⁹ Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK, Schirmer H, et al. Tracking of cardiovascular risk factors: the Tromsø Study, 1979-1995. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;**154**:418-426.

¹⁰ Nikkila M, Pitkajarvi T, Koivula T, et al. Women have a larger and less atherogenic low density lipoprotein particle size than men. *Atherosclerosis* 1996;**119**:181-190.

¹¹ Price JF, Fowkes FG. Risk factors and the sex differential in coronary artery disease. *Epidemiology* 1997;**8**:584-91.

¹² Bertrais S, Balkau B, Vol S, et al. Relationships between abdominal body fat distribution and cardiovascular risk factors: an explanation for women's healthier cardiovascular risk profile. The D.E.S.I.R. Study. *Am J Obesity* 1999;**23**:1085-1094.

¹³ August P, Oparil S. Hypertension in women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999;**84**:1862-1866.

¹⁴ Hayes SN, Taler SJ. Hypertension in women: current understanding of gender differences. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1998;**73**:157-165.

¹⁵ Pouwer F, Snoek FJ. Association between symptoms of depression and glycaemic control may be unstable across gender. *Diabet Med* 2001;**18**:595-8.

- ¹⁶ Davis SN, Shavers C, Costa F. Differential gender responses to hypoglycemia are due to alterations in CNS drive and not glycemic thresholds. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2000;**279**:E1054-E1063.
- ¹⁷ Ludwig DA, Vernikos J, Wade CE, Convertino VA. Blood pressure changes during orthostatic stress: evidence of gender differences in neuroeffector distribution. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 2001;**72**:892-898.
- ¹⁸ Frankenhaeuser M, von Wright MR, Collins A, von Wright J, Sedvall G, Swahn CG. Sex differences in psychoneuroendocrine reactions to examination stress. *Psychosom Med* 1978;**40**:334-343.
- ¹⁹ Stoney CM, Matthews KA, McDonald RH, et al. Sex differences in lipid, lipoprotein, cardiovascular, and neuroendocrine responses to acute stress. *Psychophysiology* 1988;**25**:645-656.
- ²⁰ Shamim W, Yousufuddin M, Bakhai A, Coats AJS, Honour JW. Gender differences in the urinary excretion rates of cortisol and androgen metabolites. *An Clin Biochem* 2000;**37**:770-774.
- ²¹ Kudielka BM, Hellhammer DH, Kirschbaum C. Sex differences in human stress response. *Encycl Stress* 2000;**3**:424-429.
- ²² Van Cauter E, Leproult R, Kupfer D. Effects of gender and age on the levels and circadian rhythmicity of plasma cortisol. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996;**81**:2468-2473.

- ²³ Young DG, Skibinski G, Mason JJ, James K. The influence of age and gender on serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEA-S), IL-6, IL-6 soluble receptor (IL-6 sR) and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1) levels in normal healthy blood donors. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1999;**117**:476-481.
- ²⁴ Anderson RN, deTurk PB. *United States Life Tables, 1999*. Hyattsville, Md: National Center for Health Statistics, 2002. National Vital Statistics Report, 50(6).
- ²⁵ Taiwan website. <http://www.moi.gov.tw/W3/stat/english/eindex.htm>. Accessed 13 May 2002.
- ²⁶ Berkman LF, Seeman TE, Albert M, et al. High, usual, and impaired functioning in community-dwelling older men and women: findings from the MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Aging. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;**46**:1129-1140.
- ²⁷ Gleib, D., Chang MC, Chuang YL, et al. Forthcoming. Results from the Social Environment and Biomarkers of Aging Study (SEBAS) 2000: Report to the Taiwan Ministry of Health.
- ²⁸ Sewell WH, Hauser RM, Springer KW, and Hauser TS. "As We Age: The Wisconsin Longitudinal Study, 1957-2001." In: Leicht KT, eds. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*. Greenwich, Conn.: JAI Press, 2002.

²⁹ Taiwan Provincial Institute of Family Planning, Population Studies Center and Institute Of Gerontology, University of Michigan. *1989 Survey of Health and Living Status of the Elderly in Taiwan: Questionnaire and Survey Design*. Comparative Study of the Elderly in Four Asian Countries, Research Report No. 1. Ann Arbor, Mi:Population Studies Center and Institute of Gerontology, 1989.

³⁰ Taiwan Provincial Institute of Family Planning, Population Studies Center, University of Michigan. *1996 Survey of Health and Living Status of the Middle Aged and Elderly in Taiwan*. Comparative Study of the Elderly in Four Asian Countries: Taiwan Aging Studies Series, No. 7-2. Ann Arbor, Mi: Population Studies Center, 1997.

³¹ Goldman N, Lin IF, Weinstein M, Lin YH. Evaluating the quality of self-reports of hypertension and diabetes. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2003;**56**:148-154.

³² Seeman TE, Singer BH, Rowe JW, Horwitz RI, McEwen BS. Price of adaptation – allostatic load and its health consequences. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;**157**:2259-2268.

³³ Singer B, Ryff CD. Hierarchies of life histories and associated health risks. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1999;**896**:96-115.

³⁴ WLS website. <http://dpls/dacc.wisc.edu/WLS/wlsarch.htm>. Accessed 6 June 2002.

³⁵ SAS Institute. *SAS/STAT® User's Guide, Version 8*. Cary, Nc: SAS Institute, Inc, 1999.

³⁶ Tukey JW. *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Reading, Ma: Addison-Wesley, 1977.

³⁷ Malarkey W, Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Pearl D, et al. Hostile behavior during marital conflict alters pituitary and adrenal hormones. *Psychosom Med* 1994;**56**:41-51.

³⁸ Orth-Gomer K, Wamala SP, Horsten M, Schenck-Gustafsson K, Schneiderman N, Mittleman M. Marital stress worsens prognosis in women with coronary heart disease: the Stockholm Female Coronary Risk Study. *JAMA* 2000;**284**:3008-3014.

³⁹ Jaur L, Stoddard S. *Chartbook on Women & Disability in the U.S.* Washington, DC: U.S. National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 1999.

⁴⁰ Dallman MF, Akana SF, Bhatnager S, Bell ME, Strack AM. Bottomed out: metabolic significance of the circadian trough in glucocorticoid concentrations. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2000;**24**(supp2): S40-46.