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## TRUMP IS MOST LOVED - AND UNLOVED - IN IOWA GOP CAUCUS, QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY POLL FINDS; WALKER FALLS FROM TOP SPOT TO NO. 10

Donald Trump has the support of 27 percent of Iowa likely Republican Caucus participants, with 21 percent for Ben Carson and 9 percent for U.S. Sen. Ted Cruz of Texas, according to a Quinnipiac University poll released today.

This compares to the results of a July 1 survey by the independent Quinnipiac (KWIN-uh-pe-ack) University showing Wisconsin Gov. Scott Walker at 18 percent, with Trump and Carson at 10 percent each.

Today, Walker is at 3 percent, way behind in the pack. Former Florida Gov. Jeb Bush has 6 percent of Iowa likely Republican Caucus participants, with 5 percent each for Carly Fiorina, Ohio Gov. John Kasich and U.S. Sen. Marco Rubio of Florida. No other candidate tops 4 percent, with 4 percent undecided.

Trump also tops another list as 25 percent of Iowa likely Republican Caucus participants say they "would definitely not support" him for the GOP nomination. Bush is next on this "no way" list with 23 percent, followed by New Jersey Gov. Christopher Christie with 14 percent.

Republican Caucus-goers say 79 - 15 percent that experience outside of Washington is better for a president than Washington experience.
"The Iowa Republican Caucus looks like a two-man race in which the Washington experience that has traditionally been a major measuring stick that voters have used to choose candidates is now a big negative," said Peter A. Brown, assistant director of the Quinnipiac University Poll.
"With five months until the balloting, anything can happen. But the field has become a two-tiered contest - Donald Trump and Ben Carson ahead and everyone else far behind."
-more-

275 MT. Carmel Avenue, Hamden, CT 06518-1908 • Tel 203-582-5201 • Fax 203-582-8790 • www.Quinnipiac.edu

## Quinnipiac University Poll/September 11, 2015 - page 2

"Perhaps even more surprising, given the 17-member field of candidates vying for the Republican presidential nomination, is that more than half the votes in the Quinnipiac University poll of likely-GOP caucus-goers are going to three candidates who have never held political office - Donald Trump, Ben Carson and Carly Fiorina," Brown added.

Trump has a $60-35$ percent favorability rating among likely Republican Caucus participants, as these voters say $56-35$ percent that he is honest and trustworthy and $61-32$ percent that he cares about their needs and problems. He has strong leadership qualities, voters say 83 - 15 percent, and the right temperament and personality to handle an international crisis, voters say $52-41$ percent.

Carson gets a $79-6$ percent favorability rating and likely Republican Caucus-goers say $88-4$ percent that he is honest and trustworthy, and $85-5$ percent that he cares about their needs and problems. Voters say $76-11$ percent that he has strong leadership qualities and $72-14$ percent that he has the right temperament and personality to handle an international crisis.

Iowa likely Republican Caucus participants give Cruz a 61 - 19 percent favorability rating. He is honest and trustworthy, voters say $69-16$ percent and cares about their needs and problems, voters say $70-17$ percent. He has strong leadership qualities, voters say $66-19$ percent and the right temperament and personality for an international crisis, voters say $59-25$ percent.
"Trump's 27-21 percent lead over Carson obscures what appears to be the former surgeon's stronger growth potential in recruiting new supporters when other candidates leave the race. Carson has a higher favorability rating than Trump and a higher score for honesty and empathy," said Brown. "Trump has an edge on leadership, but Carson has a 20-point margin when it comes to having the right temperament and personality to handle an international crisis."

From August 27 - September 8, Quinnipiac University surveyed 1,038 likely Iowa Republican Caucus participants with a margin of error of $+/-3$ percentage points. Live interviewers call land lines and cell phones.

The Quinnipiac University Poll, directed by Douglas Schwartz, Ph.D., conducts public opinion surveys in Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Florida, Ohio, Virginia, Iowa, Colorado and the nation as a public service and for research.

For more information, visit http://www.quinnipiac.edu/polling, call (203) 582-5201, or follow us on Twitter @QuinnipiacPoll.

1. If the Republican caucus were being held today, and the candidates were Jeb Bush, Ben Carson, Chris Christie, Ted Cruz, Carly Fiorina, Jim Gilmore, Lindsey Graham, Mike Huckabee, Bobby Jindal, John Kasich, George Pataki, Rand Paul, Rick Perry, Marco Rubio, Rick Santorum, Donald Trump, and Scott Walker, who would you support?
(If undecided) If you had to choose today, would you support Bush, Carson, Christie, Cruz, Fiorina, Gilmore, Graham, Huckabee, Jindal, Kasich, Pataki, Paul, Perry, Rubio, Santorum, Trump, or Walker?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Bush | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 12\% | 3\% | 9\% |  |  |
| Carson | 21 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 14 | 20 | 23 |  |  |
| Christie | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |  |  |
| Cruz | 9 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 7 |  |  |
| Fiorina | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Gilmore | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Graham | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |
| Huckabee | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4 | - | 3 | 5 |  |  |
| Jindal | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Kasich | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 |  |  |
| Pataki | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Paul | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Perry | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2 |  |  |
| Rubio | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Santorum | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |  |
| Trump | 27 | 31 | 20 | 24 | 34 | 26 | 32 | 22 |  |  |
| Walker | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS..$35-49$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 |  | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | $30-$ | 50 | >100K |
| Bush | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | $2 \%$ | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Carson | 24 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 20 |
| Christie | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Cruz | 8 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 8 |
| Fiorina | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Gilmore | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graham | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Huckabee | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Jindal | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Kasich | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Pataki | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Paul | 4 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Perry | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Rubio | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 |
| Santorum | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Trump | 21 | 31 | 24 | 33 | 27 | 26 | 34 | 30 | 29 | 24 |
| Walker | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| DK/NA | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 |

1A. RECALCULATED MATCHUP Q1 ASKING TRUMP VOTERS: Who is your second choice?

2. Are there any of these candidates you would definitely not support for the Republican nomination for president? (Totals may add up to more than $100 \%$ because multiple responses were allowed)

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Bush | 23\% | 34\% | 27\% | 29\% | 19\% | 17\% | 26\% | 19\% |  |  |
| Carson | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Christie | 14 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
| Cruz | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 6 |  |  |
| Fiorina | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 |  |  |
| Gilmore | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| Graham | 12 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| Huckabee | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 10 |  |  |
| Jindal | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Kasich | 7 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 8 |  |  |
| Pataki | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| Paul | 11 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 14 |  |  |
| Perry | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| Rubio | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Santorum | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| Trump | 25 | 16 | 28 | 18 | 26 | 36 | 22 | 29 |  |  |
| Walker | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| No/No one | 29 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 24 | 30 | 27 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 7 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 10 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNUAI$<30 \mathrm{~K}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME.. |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Bush | 18\% | 26\% | 16\% | 26\% | 26\% | 20\% | 19\% | 27\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Carson | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Christie | 12 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 13 |
| Cruz | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 8 |
| Fiorina | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| Gilmore | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 5 |
| Graham | 13 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 10 |
| Huckabee | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| Jindal | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
| Kasich | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| Pataki | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| Paul | 12 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 13 |
| Perry | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 8 |
| Rubio | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Santorum | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Trump | 28 | 23 | 32 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 17 | 27 | 26 |
| Walker | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| No/No one | 30 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 33 | 28 | 27 |
| DK/NA | 6 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 5 |

Q5-21. Summary Table - Is your opinion of [Candidate] favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him/her? Table ranked by net favorability (Favorable Unfavorable).

|  | LIKELY | REP CAUCUS-GOERS.......... |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HVn't |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fav | Unfav | hrdEn | REF | NetFav |
| Carson | 79 | 6 | 15 | - | 73 |
| Fiorina | 62 | 8 | 30 | 1 | 54 |
| Rubio | 66 | 15 | 19 | 1 | 51 |
| Walker | 62 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 47 |
| Jindal | 57 | 13 | 29 | 1 | 44 |
| Cruz | 61 | 19 | 20 | - | 42 |
| Huckabee | 61 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 33 |
| Trump | 60 | 35 | 4 | 1 | 25 |
| Santorum | 51 | 27 | 21 | 1 | 24 |
| Kasich | 33 | 18 | 48 | 1 | 15 |
| Perry | 45 | 36 | 18 | 1 | 9 |
| Paul | 41 | 43 | 15 | 1 | -2 |
| Bush | 41 | 48 | 10 | 1 | -7 |
| Gilmore | 3 | 12 | 84 | 1 | -9 |
| Christie | 37 | 50 | 12 | 1 | -13 |
| Pataki | 7 | 26 | 66 | 1 | -19 |
| Graham | 17 | 45 | 36 | 1 | -28 |

5. Is your opinion of Jeb Bush favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 41\% | 30\% | 37\% | 33\% | 43\% | 50\% | 38\% | 44\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 48 | 61 | 54 | 58 | 48 | 35 | 54 | 41 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 10 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 14 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNU | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 47\% | 37\% | 43\% | 33\% | 42\% | 50\% | 38\% | 38\% | 39\% | 46\% |
| Unfavorable | 43 | 51 | 34 | 58 | 50 | 44 | 43 | 49 | 51 | 46 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 8 | 11 | 22 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 7 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

6. Is your opinion of Ben Carson favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea | Wht <br> BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 79\% | 91\% | 87\% | 89\% | 76\% | 70\% | 80\% | 78\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 19 | 21 | 13 | 17 |  |  |
| REFUSED | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 84\% | 76\% | 64\% | 82\% | 83\% | 86\% | 69\% | 74\% | 79\% | 84\% |
| Unfavorable | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 9 | 18 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 25 | 18 | 15 | 10 |
| REFUSED | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

7. Is your opinion of Chris Christie favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 37\% | 29\% | 32\% | 31\% | 38\% | 42\% | 38\% | 34\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 50 | 64 | 55 | 58 | 47 | 43 | 51 | 49 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 12 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 16 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . . } \\ & 35-49 \end{aligned}$ |  | . . | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 |  | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30-50 | 50-10 | >100K |
| Favorable | 43\% | 33\% | 34\% | 38\% | 32\% | 46\% | 35\% | 32\% | 35\% | 45\% |
| Unfavorable | 46 | 52 | 43 | 55 | 53 | 43 | 44 | 50 | 53 | 46 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 8 | 14 | 23 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 17 | 11 | 7 |
| REFUSED | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |

8. Is your opinion of Ted Cruz favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea | Wht <br> BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 61\% | 83\% | 73\% | 79\% | 61\% | 33\% | 64\% | 56\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 19 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 18 | 40 | 20 | 18 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 20 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 15 | 26 |  |  |
| REFUSED | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME.. |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 59\% | 62\% | 43\% | 65\% | 66\% | 69\% | 48\% | 63\% | 64\% | 63\% |
| Unfavorable | 25 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 22 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 16 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 31 | 23 | 19 | 14 |
| REFUSED | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |

9. Is your opinion of Carly Fiorina favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about her?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 62\% | 73\% | 63\% | 67\% | 64\% | 53\% | 62\% | 61\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 8 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 7 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 30 | 19 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 29 | 31 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE IN } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . } \\ & 35-49 \end{aligned}$ | $50-64$ | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 70\% | 57\% | 39\% | 60\% | 71\% | 79\% | 52\% | 58\% | 57\% | 72\% |
| Unfavorable | 8 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 22 | 34 | 54 | 30 | 21 | 15 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 20 |
| REFUSED | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |

10. Is your opinion of Jim Gilmore favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | $2 \%$ | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 12 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 10 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 84 | 78 | 83 | 81 | 84 | 88 | 82 | 86 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLI | DEG | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNU | HOU | LD | OME . . |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 8\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Unfavorable | 12 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 86 | 82 | 88 | 86 | 81 | 81 | 82 | 81 | 84 | 85 |
| REFUSED | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |

11. Is your opinion of Lindsey Graham favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot |  | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn CONSERVATIVE |  |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 14\% | 21\% | 18\% | 18\% | 16\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 45 | 61 | 50 | 58 | 40 | 33 | 50 | 39 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 36 | 22 | 31 | 27 | 38 | 48 | 30 | 45 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLI | DEG | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNU | HOU | LD | OME. . |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 25\% | 13\% | 19\% | 16\% | 16\% | 21\% | 20\% | 14\% | 14\% | 22\% |
| Unfavorable | 43 | 47 | 25 | 54 | 48 | 50 | 35 | 42 | 46 | 51 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 30 | 40 | 55 | 29 | 35 | 28 | 45 | 42 | 40 | 26 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |

12. Is your opinion of Mike Huckabee favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 61\% | 65\% | 72\% | 72\% | 64\% | 42\% | 62\% | 59\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 28 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 43 | 29 | 27 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 10 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 13 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 63\% | 60\% | 47\% | 62\% | 65\% | 69\% | 55\% | 62\% | 62\% | 61\% |
| Unfavorable | 29 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 33 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 7 | 12 | 21 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 23 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |

13. Is your opinion of Bobby Jindal favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea <br> Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 57\% | 72\% | 69\% | 72\% | 56\% | 38\% | 58\% | 56\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 13 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 22 | 15 | 11 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 29 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 30 | 40 | 26 | 32 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 |  |  | $30-$ | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 63\% | 55\% | 37\% | 61\% | 64\% | 67\% | 44\% | 59\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| Unfavorable | 16 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 17 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 21 | 33 | 49 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 47 | 32 | 27 | 22 |
| REFUSED | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |

14. Is your opinion of John Kasich favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 33\% | 28\% | 29\% | 27\% | 38\% | 38\% | 37\% | 28\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 18 | 30 | 22 | 27 | 13 | 8 | 17 | 18 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 48 | 40 | 49 | 44 | 48 | 53 | 44 | 54 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | $50-$ | >100K |
| Favorable | 42\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 34\% | 46\% | 20\% | 30\% | 30\% | 45\% |
| Unfavorable | 16 | 18 | 12 | 20 | 22 | 13 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 17 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 41 | 52 | 59 | 50 | 44 | 41 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 37 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |

15. Is your opinion of George Pataki favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

16. Is your opinion of Rand Paul favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Party |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 41\% | 55\% | 40\% | 44\% | 42\% | 31\% | 47\% | 32\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 43 | 34 | 47 | 41 | 43 | 48 | 42 | 45 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 15 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 21 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 43\% | 39\% | 48\% | 50\% | 32\% | 30\% | 36\% | 47\% | 40\% | 41\% |
| Unfavorable | 44 | 43 | 29 | 35 | 52 | 58 | 39 | 37 | 42 | 48 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 12 | 17 | 23 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 14 | 17 | 10 |
| REFUSED | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

17. Is your opinion of Rick Perry favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

18. Is your opinion of Marco Rubio favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 66\% | 69\% | 71\% | 73\% | 67\% | 55\% | 68\% | 63\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 12 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 19 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 27 | 14 | 25 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50 | >100K |
| Favorable | 72\% | 62\% | 52\% | 66\% | 70\% | 76\% | 51\% | 60\% | 66\% | 75\% |
| Unfavorable | 15 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 21 | 16 | 13 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 13 | 22 | 31 | 16 | 15 | 11 | 38 | 19 | 17 | 11 |
| REFUSED | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |

19. Is your opinion of Rick Santorum favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 51\% | 67\% | 66\% | 70\% | 48\% | 26\% | 51\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 27 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 30 | 40 | 31 | 22 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 21 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 16 | 27 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE IN } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No |  | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | $30-$ | $50-$ | >100K |
| Favorable | 52\% | 50\% | 40\% | 53\% | 56\% | 51\% | 45\% | 48\% | 52\% | 50\% |
| Unfavorable | 29 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 33 | 24 | 28 | 24 | 31 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 18 | 23 | 33 | 21 | 17 | 13 | 30 | 22 | 23 | 17 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

20. Is your opinion of Donald Trump favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS.................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Party |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Favorable | 60\% | 72\% | 56\% | 65\% | 62\% | 50\% | 66\% | 53\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 35 | 23 | 38 | 27 | 35 | 44 | 30 | 41 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 56\% | 62\% | 50\% | 65\% | 62\% | 65\% | 57\% | 70\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| Unfavorable | 38 | 32 | 44 | 31 | 32 | 27 | 34 | 24 | 38 | 36 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| REFUSED | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

21. Is your opinion of Scott Walker favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about him?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 62\% | 78\% | 71\% | 77\% | 57\% | 46\% | 68\% | 55\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 15 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 22 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 26 | 28 | 17 | 29 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 67\% | 59\% | 43\% | 61\% | 69\% | 75\% | 44\% | 66\% | 56\% | 73\% |
| Unfavorable | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 11 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 16 | 25 | 41 | 22 | 14 | 11 | 39 | 20 | 23 | 15 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |

22. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Jeb Bush is honest and trustworthy or not?

23. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Scott Walker is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  |  | REP C | Wht BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 71\% | 87\% | 77\% | 85\% | 67\% | 55\% | 75\% | 66\% |
| No | 14 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 29 | 15 | 12 |
| DK / NA | 15 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 22 |

24. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Rand Paul is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 65\% | 80\% | 62\% | 75\% | 61\% | 54\% | 68\% | 61\% |
| No | 21 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 20 | 34 | 21 | 21 |
| DK/NA | 14 | 7 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 18 |

25. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Marco Rubio is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn Evang | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 71\% | 77\% | 79\% | 78\% | 72\% | 63\% | 75\% | 67\% |
| No | 14 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 23 | 15 | 13 |
| DK/NA | 15 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 20 |

26. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ben Carson is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  |  | REP CA | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | Wht BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 88\% | 95\% | 94\% | 93\% | 88\% | 84\% | 90\% | 85\% |
| No | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| DK/NA | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 9 |

27. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Mike Huckabee is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 85\% | 88\% | 93\% | 93\% | 83\% | 77\% | 86\% | 84\% |
| No | 11 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 10 |
| DK/NA | 4 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 |

28. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Donald Trump is honest and trustworthy or not?

29. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Carly Fiorina is honest and trustworthy or not?

|  |  | Wht <br> BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tea |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
| Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| 65\% | 69\% | 65\% | 66\% | 67\% | 64\% | 67\% | 62\% |
| 11 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 10 |
| 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 28 |

30. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ted Cruz is honest and trustworthy or not?

31. (Split Sample) Would you say that - John Kasich is honest and trustworthy or not?

32. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Jeb Bush has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 55\% | 48\% | 54\% | 47\% | 59\% | 63\% | 56\% | 55\% |
| No | 38 | 48 | 40 | 47 | 32 | 32 | 40 | 37 |
| DK/NA | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 9 |

33. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Scott Walker has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | ATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 69\% | 83\% | 73\% | 80\% | 73\% | 51\% | 72\% | 67\% |
| No | 18 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 32 | 19 | 16 |
| DK/NA | 13 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 17 |

34. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Rand Paul has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  |  | Wht BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tea |  | CONS | VATIVE | Mod |  |  |
| Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| 50\% | 59\% | 50\% | 58\% | 48\% | 41\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| 39 | 32 | 37 | 34 | 38 | 49 | 44 | 34 |
| 11 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 17 |

35. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Marco Rubio has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 68\% | 72\% | 72\% | 73\% | 73\% | 60\% | 70\% | 65\% |
| No | 17 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 27 | 19 | 14 |
| DK / NA | 15 | 10 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 21 |

36. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ben Carson has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn Evang | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 76\% | 84\% | 80\% | 84\% | 81\% | 62\% | 73\% | 81\% |
| No | 11 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 22 | 13 | 10 |
| DK/NA | 12 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 14 |  |

37. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Mike Huckabee has strong leadership qualities or not?

38. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Donald Trump has strong leadership qualities or not?

39. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Carly Fiorina has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  |  |  | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 67\% | 73\% | 68\% | 69\% | 67\% | 68\% | 70\% | 63\% |
| No | 13 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 13 |
| DK/NA | 20 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 24 |

40. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ted Cruz has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CONS | VATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 66\% | 84\% | 77\% | 82\% | 68\% | 44\% | 71\% | 60\% |
| No | 19 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 19 | 37 | 20 | 18 |
| DK/NA | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 9 | 22 |

41. (Split Sample) Would you say that - John Kasich has strong leadership qualities or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | ATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 45\% | 45\% | 48\% | 45\% | 48\% | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% |
| No | 19 | 22 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 20 | 21 | 17 |
| DK/NA | 35 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 40 | 36 | 34 | 37 |

42. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Jeb Bush cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PH |  |  | OSO | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib |  |  | Wom |
| Yes | 48\% | 41\% | 47\% | 44\% | 47\% | 57\% |  | 49\% | 47\% |
| No | 41 | 47 | 41 | 45 | 43 | 33 | 43 | 39 |
| DK/NA | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 14 |

43. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Scott Walker cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | IATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 70\% | 85\% | 76\% | 84\% | 71\% | 52\% | 74\% | 67\% |
| No | 16 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 29 | 16 | 16 |
| DK/NA | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 18 |

44. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Rand Paul cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | Tot | Tea | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 62\% | 72\% | 60\% | 65\% | 60\% | 61\% | 60\% | 66\% |
| No | 26 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 21 |
| DK/NA | 12 | 8 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 13 |

45. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Marco Rubio cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | VATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 68\% | 73\% | 75\% | 76\% | 70\% | 58\% | 71\% | 65\% |
| No | 17 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 18 | 27 | 18 | 16 |
| DK/NA | 15 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 20 |

46. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ben Carson cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  |  |  | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 85\% | 95\% | 91\% | 88\% | 89\% | 78\% | 83\% | 87\% |
| No | 5 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| DK/NA | 10 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 8 |

47. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Mike Huckabee cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PH |  |  | OSOP | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib |  | Wom |
| Yes | 79\% | 78\% | 91\% | 89\% | 74\% | 68\% | 81\% | 76\% |
| No | 15 | 18 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 22 | 15 | 14 |
| DK / NA | 7 | 4 | 2 | - | 12 | 10 | 3 | 10 |

48. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Donald Trump cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | LIK | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot |  |  | CONS | ATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 61\% | 75\% | 62\% | 74\% | 61\% | 43\% | 65\% | 56\% |
| No | 32 | 18 | 31 | 20 | 32 | 48 | 30 | 33 |
| DK/NA | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 11 |

49. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Carly Fiorina cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | VATIVE | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 65\% | 77\% | 65\% | 68\% | 63\% | 62\% | 63\% | 67\% |
| No | 14 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 12 |
| DK / NA | 21 | 14 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 21 |

50. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ted Cruz cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | AATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 70\% | 85\% | 79\% | 86\% | 69\% | 48\% | 75\% | 63\% |
| No | 17 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 15 | 35 | 18 | 17 |
| DK/NA | 13 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 20 |

51. (Split Sample) Would you say that - John Kasich cares about the needs and problems of people like you or not?

|  |  |  | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 49\% | 48\% | 47\% | 47\% | 50\% | 50\% | 49\% | 49\% |
| No | 14 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 13 |
| DK/NA | 37 | 36 | 40 | 36 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 39 |

52. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Jeb Bush has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | CONS | ATIVE | Mod |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 58\% | 47\% | 60\% | 51\% | 60\% | 65\% | 59\% | 55\% |
| No | 34 | 45 | 32 | 41 | 31 | 28 | 34 | 34 |
| DK / NA | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 |

53. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Scott Walker has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

54. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Rand Paul has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn Evang | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 44\% | 59\% | 45\% | 51\% | 45\% | 32\% | 46\% | 41\% |
| No | 45 | 34 | 42 | 39 | 45 | 53 | 45 | 45 |
| DK / NA | 11 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 14 |

55. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Marco Rubio has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  | Tot | Tea | Wht |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHYCONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 62\% | 66\% | 71\% | 70\% | 63\% | 50\% | 67\% | 55\% |
| No | 20 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 36 | 21 | 20 |
| DK/NA | 18 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 14 | 13 | 25 |

56. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ben Carson has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

57. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Mike Huckabee has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  | Tot | Tea | Wht |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHYCONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 70\% | 76\% | 82\% | 84\% | 62\% | 57\% | 74\% | 66\% |
| No | 22 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 26 | 33 | 23 | 21 |
| DK/NA | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 13 |

58. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Donald Trump has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

59. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Carly Fiorina has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  | LIKE |  | REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONS | VATIVE | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| Yes | 60\% | 71\% | 61\% | 66\% | 59\% | 53\% | 63\% | 56\% |
| No | 17 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 27 | 17 | 18 |
| DK/NA | 23 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 26 |

60. (Split Sample) Would you say that - Ted Cruz has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

61. (Split Sample) Would you say that - John Kasich has the right kind of temperament and personality to handle an international crisis as President, or not?

|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PH |  |  | OSOP | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib |  |  | Wom |
| Yes | 41\% | 46\% | 43\% | 41\% | 43\% | 38\% |  | 43\% | 37\% |
| No | 22 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 26 |
| DK/NA | 37 | 34 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 41 | 37 | 37 |

80. In general, how satisfied are you with the way things are going in the nation today; are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

|  |  | Wht <br> BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tea |  | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |
| 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| 21 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 23 | 37 | 21 | 22 |
| 71 | 87 | 79 | 89 | 67 | 49 | 73 | 70 |

COLLEGE DEG AGE IN YRS.............. ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME...
Yes No $18-34 \quad 35-49 \quad 50-64 \quad 65+\quad<30 \mathrm{~K} \quad 30-50 \quad 50-100>100 \mathrm{~K}$

| Very satisfied | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smwht satisfied | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| Smwht dissatisfied | 30 | 17 | 34 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 23 | 17 | 26 | 20 |
| Very dissatisfied | 64 | 76 | 57 | 70 | 76 | 82 | 67 | 78 | 66 | 72 |
| DK/NA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

81. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right; almost all of the time, most of the time, only some of the time, or hardly ever?

|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Almost all the time | 1\% | 2\% | - | - | 3\% | - | - | 1\% |  |  |
| Most of the time | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 |  |  |
| Some of the time | 34 | 21 | 32 | 25 | 41 | 42 | 33 | 36 |  |  |
| Hardly ever | 60 | 76 | 66 | 75 | 52 | 48 | 63 | 57 |  |  |
| DK/NA | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . . . . . . } \\ & 35-49 \\ & 50-64 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 |  |  | $65+$ | <30K | 30 | 50- | >100K |
| Almost all the time | - | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | - | 4\% | - | 1\% | - |
| Most of the time | 5 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Some of the time | 43 | 29 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 29 | 35 | 28 | 36 | 38 |
| Hardly ever | 51 | 66 | 52 | 60 | 64 | 66 | 55 | 68 | 58 | 57 |
| DK/NA | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |

82. If you had to choose, which type of experience do you think would better help a candidate serve effectively as president; someone who has experience in Washington or someone who is a Washington outsider?

83. Would you rather have a presidential candidate whose political experience was mostly outside of Washington or mostly in the government in Washington?

|  | LIKEL | REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wht POLITICAL PH |  |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Outside Washington | 87\% | 90\% | 89\% | 91\% | 85\% | 84\% | 89\% |  | 84\% |  |  |
| In Washington | 8 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 9 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 |  |  | 30- | 50-10 | >100K |
| Outside Washington | 89\% | 86\% | 84\% | 87\% | 88\% | 89\% | 84\% | 92\% | 82\% | 92\% |
| In Washington | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 5 |
| DK/NA | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 |

84. What do you think is the best preparation for being President working in government or working in business?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht BrnAgn Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Government | 18\% | 6\% | 14\% | 9\% | 17\% | 33\% | 19\% | 17\% |  |  |
| Business | 72 | 86 | 76 | 81 | 70 | 61 | 74 | 70 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 10 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 13 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & 35-49 \\ & 50-64 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME.. |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 |  |  |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Government | 21\% | 17\% | 29\% | 16\% | 14\% | 12\% |  | 19\% | 14\% | 19\% | 20\% |
| Business | 70 | 73 | 59 | 77 | 76 | 79 | 71 | 73 | 70 | 75 |
| DK/NA | 9 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 5 |

85. In selecting a presidential nominee for the Republican Party, which is more important to you: a candidate with the best chance to defeat the Democratic candidate or a candidate who comes closest to your views on issues?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Defeat Dem candidate | 31\% | 26\% | 30\% | 28\% | 38\% | 29\% | 32\% | 30\% |  |  |
| Closest to views | 65 | 70 | 67 | 66 | 59 | 67 | 64 | 65 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Defeat Dem candidate | 36\% | 28\% | 27\% | 22\% | 35\% | 42\% | 24\% | 32\% | 30\% | 37\% |
| Closest to views | 62 | 67 | 68 | 75 | 62 | 50 | 72 | 67 | 66 | 60 |
| DK/NA | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |

87. I am going to mention four phrases and ask you which one best describes how you feel about the way the federal government works. Do you feel enthusiastic, satisfied but not enthusiastic, dissatisfied but not angry, or angry?

|  | Tot | Tea <br> Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Enthusiastic | 1\% | $2 \%$ | - | - | $2 \%$ | - | - | 1\% |  |  |
| Sat/Not enthusiastic | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 |  |  |
| Dissat/Not angry | 49 | 32 | 46 | 37 | 51 | 64 | 47 | 51 |  |  |
| Angry | 45 | 65 | 50 | 62 | 39 | 25 | 48 | 41 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30 | 50 | >100K |
| Enthusiastic | - | 1\% | - | 2\% | - | - | 4\% | - | - | - |
| Sat/Not enthusiastic | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| Dissat/Not angry | 49 | 48 | 56 | 48 | 45 | 46 | 49 | 52 | 50 | 45 |
| Angry | 45 | 45 | 37 | 43 | 51 | 48 | 41 | 45 | 42 | 49 |
| DK/NA | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |

88. Do you think abortion should be legal in all cases, legal in most cases, illegal in most cases or illegal in all cases?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  | om |  |
| Legal all cases | 8\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 10\% | 14\% | 8\% | 7\% |  |  |
| Legal most cases | 21 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 20 | 37 | 21 | 20 |  |  |
| Illegal most cases | 42 | 44 | 42 | 40 | 47 | 38 | 42 | 41 |  |  |
| Illegal all cases | 26 | 37 | 40 | 45 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 30 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE IN } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS. } \\ & 35-49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No |  |  | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30-50 50-100 >100K |  |  |
| Legal all cases | 7\% | 8\% | 11\% | 9\% | 7\% | $3 \%$ | 11\% | 13\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Legal most cases | 21 | 21 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 23 | 24 |
| Illegal most cases | 45 | 40 | 32 | 41 | 47 | 50 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 45 |
| Illegal all cases | 23 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 21 |
| DK/NA | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |

89. How about when the pregnancy was caused by rape or incest; do you think abortion should be legal in that situation or illegal?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Legal | 60\% | 47\% | 44\% | 39\% | 67\% | 85\% | 64\% | 55\% |  |  |
| Illegal | 34 | 45 | 50 | 54 | 26 | 11 | 29 | 39 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Legal | 62\% | 59\% | 67\% | 61\% | 58\% | 55\% | 70\% | 52\% | 59\% | 66\% |
| Illegal | 33 | 34 | 29 | 35 | 36 | 34 | 25 | 41 | 34 | 29 |
| DK/NA | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 5 |

90. How about when an abortion is necessary to save the life of the mother; do you think abortion should be legal in that situation or illegal?

|  | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Legal | 71\% | 63\% | 61\% | 56\% | 76\% | 89\% | 75\% | 67\% |  |  |
| Illegal | 19 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 10 | 7 | 18 | 21 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 9 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 12 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30-5 | $50-$ | >100K |
| Legal | 76\% | 69\% | 68\% | 71\% | 74\% | 74\% | 72\% | 70\% | 71\% | 78\% |
| Illegal | 15 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 14 |
| DK/NA | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 |

91. If you agreed with a presidential candidate on other issues, but not on the issue of abortion, do you think you could still vote for that candidate or not?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Yes | 70\% | 62\% | 62\% | 57\% | 80\% | 81\% | 76\% | 63\% |  |  |
| No | 25 | 32 | 34 | 38 | 16 | 14 | 21 | 30 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Yes | 77\% | 67\% | 65\% | 78\% | 72\% | 63\% | 56\% | 65\% | 74\% | 82\% |
| No | 20 | 28 | 28 | 19 | 25 | 28 | 36 | 29 | 23 | 15 |
| DK/NA | 4 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 |

92. Is your opinion of Planned Parenthood favorable, unfavorable or haven't you heard enough about it?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Favorable | 16\% | 7\% | 8\% | 5\% | 12\% | 36\% | 13\% | 20\% |  |  |
| Unfavorable | 74 | 90 | 87 | 93 | 75 | 46 | 76 | 72 |  |  |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 8 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 7 |  |  |
| REFUSED | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . } \\ & 35-49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME. . |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 |  | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30-50 | 50- | >100K |
| Favorable | 15\% | 16\% | 21\% | 16\% | 14\% | 12\% | 20\% | 17\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Unfavorable | 76 | 73 | 63 | 74 | 79 | 80 | 64 | 72 | 75 | 77 |
| Hvn't hrd enough | 7 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 6 |
| REFUSED | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |

93. Do you support or oppose cutting off federal government funding to Planned Parenthood?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea | Wht BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Support | 75\% | 89\% | 86\% | 94\% | 72\% | 50\% | 77\% | 72\% |  |  |
| Oppose | 21 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 21 | 44 | 18 | 25 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Support | 72\% | 76\% | 68\% | 76\% | 77\% | 79\% | 67\% | 78\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| Oppose | 22 | 20 | 27 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 29 | 18 | 21 | 22 |
| DK/NA | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |

94. Would you support or oppose shutting down the government over differences about federal government funding to Planned Parenthood?

|  | Wht POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE Mod/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Support | 48\% | 71\% | 58\% | 71\% | 42\% | 19\% | 52\% | 43\% |  |  |
| Oppose | 45 | 23 | 37 | 23 | 48 | 77 | 43 | 48 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 9 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Support | 43\% | 50\% | 43\% | 51\% | 51\% | 44\% | 43\% | 53\% | 48\% | 47\% |
| Oppose | 51 | 42 | 51 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 38 | 47 | 51 |
| DK/NA | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 2 |

95. Who would you blame more for a government shutdown; the Republicans in Congress or Barack Obama and the Democrats in Congress?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Reps in Congress | 9\% | 2\% | 6\% | 2\% | 6\% | 21\% | 9\% | 7\% |  |  |
| Obama/Dems in Congrs | 65 | 80 | 68 | 79 | 64 | 47 | 67 | 63 |  |  |
| BOTH EQUALLY (VOL) | 18 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 22 | 23 | 17 | 19 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 11 |  |  |
|  | COLI | DEG | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNU | HOU | LD | ME. |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | $30-$ | $50-$ | >100K |
| Reps in Congress | 10\% | 8\% | 19\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| Obama/Dems in Congrs | 62 | 67 | 57 | 66 | 66 | 74 | 61 | 72 | 59 | 69 |
| BOTH EQUALLY (VOL) | 19 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 14 | 21 | 17 |
| DK/NA | 10 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 |

96. Which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently living in the United States? A) They should be allowed to stay in the United States and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship. B) They should be allowed to remain in the United States, but not be allowed to apply for U.S. citizenship. C) They should be required to leave the U.S.

|  | LIKELY REP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| A) Stay/Citizenship | 34\% | 24\% | 31\% | 22\% | 37\% | 49\% | 32\% | 36\% |  |  |
| B) Stay/Not citizen | 12 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 |  |  |
| C) Not stay | 48 | 57 | 50 | 62 | 41 | 36 | 50 | 47 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| A) Stay/Citizenship | 35\% | 33\% | 43\% | 31\% | 33\% | 30\% | 44\% | 33\% | 33\% | 35\% |
| B) Stay/Not citizen | 19 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 16 |
| C) Not stay | 41 | 53 | 43 | 57 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 51 | 51 | 45 |
| DK/NA | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |

97. Do you support or oppose the nuclear deal with Iran?

|  |  |  | Wht BrnAgn | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tea |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Support | 6\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 6\% | 12\% | 6\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Oppose | 86 | 93 | 91 | 94 | 88 | 71 | 86 | 84 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 9 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 11 |  |  |
|  | COLI | DEG | AGE IN | YRS. |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30-5 | 50- | >100K |
| Support | 7\% | 5\% | 11\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| Oppose | 86 | 85 | 73 | 87 | 91 | 89 | 83 | 83 | 86 | 88 |
| DK/NA | 8 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 6 |

98. Do you think the nuclear deal with Iran would make the world safer or less safe?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Safer | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 7\% | 14\% | 8\% | 5\% |  |  |
| Less safe | 85 | 90 | 90 | 94 | 84 | 73 | 84 | 85 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 8 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 10 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE IN } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YRS . } \\ & 35-49 \end{aligned}$ | 50-64 | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |  | 30-5 | 50- | >100K |
| Safer | 7\% | 7\% | 13\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Less safe | 85 | 85 | 74 | 85 | 90 | 91 | 81 | 82 | 85 | 87 |
| DK/NA | 8 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 6 |

99. Would you support or oppose the U.S. sending ground troops to fight ISIS in Iraq and Syria?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot | Tea Party | Wht <br> BrnAgn <br> Evang | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men | Wom |  |  |
| Support | 72\% | 75\% | 77\% | 77\% | 74\% | 64\% | 73\% | 70\% |  |  |
| Oppose | 22 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 32 | 22 | 22 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS. |  | $65+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & <30 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 |  |  | 30- | 50- | >100K |
| Support | 70\% | 73\% | 65\% | 76\% | 76\% | 71\% | 68\% | 75\% | 75\% | 72\% |
| Oppose | 24 | 21 | 29 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 25 |
| DK/NA | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 |

100. Do you think the United States and its allies are winning or losing the fight against ISIS?

|  | LIKELY REP CAUCUS-GOERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wht | POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tea | BrnAgn | CONSERVATIVE |  | Mod/ |  | Wom |  |  |
|  | Tot | Party | Evang | Very | Smwht | Lib | Men |  |  |  |
| Winning | 10\% | 8\% | 10\% | 7\% | 11\% | 16\% | 11\% | 9\% |  |  |
| Losing | 81 | 86 | 84 | 86 | 80 | 76 | 81 | 81 |  |  |
| DK/NA | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 9 |  |  |
|  | COLLEGE DEG |  | AGE IN | YRS |  |  | ANNUAL | HOUSEHOLD INCOME... |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | $65+$ | <30K | 30-50 | 50-100 | >100K |
| Winning | 9\% | 11\% | 17\% | 12\% | 9\% | 5\% | 18\% | 5\% | 12\% | 11\% |
| Losing | 83 | 80 | 75 | 82 | 84 | 87 | 75 | 87 | 80 | 83 |
| DK/NA | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 |

