

# **Early Settlement at Chiripa, Bolivia**

## **Research of the Taraco Archaeological Project**



Edited by

**Christine A. Hastorf**

**NUMBER 57**

CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH FACILITY  
**BERKELEY**



Cover photo: South-facing oblique view of  
the Llusco enclosure.

ISBN 1-882744-01-1

ISBN 1-882744-01-1



9 781882 744015 >

# **Early Settlement at Chiripa, Bolivia**

**RESEARCH OF THE TARACO ARCHAEOLOGICAL PROJECT**

EDITED BY

**CHRISTINE A. HASTORF**

**NUMBER 57**

**CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA**

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH FACILITY**

**BERKELEY**

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 99-73504

ISBN 1-882744-01-1

© 1999 by the Regents of the University of California

Archaeological Research Facility

University of California at Berkeley

Printed in the United States of America.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission of the publisher.

## CONTRIBUTORS

Christine A. Hastorf  
Department of Anthropology  
University of California, Berkeley

Matthew S. Bandy  
Department of Anthropology  
University of California, Berkeley,

Deborah E. Blom  
Department of Anthropology  
University of Vermont

Susan deFrance  
Florida Museum of Natural History  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, Florida

Emily Dean  
Department of Anthropology  
University of California, Berkeley

David Kojan  
Department of Anthropology  
University of California, Berkeley

Melissa Goodman  
Department of Archaeology  
Cambridge University  
Cambridge, England

Katherine M. Moore  
The University Museum  
Univeristy of Pennsylvania

Mario Montaña Aragon  
Instituto Nacional de Arqueología  
La Paz, Bolivia

José Luis Paz Soría  
Department of Archaeology  
Universidad Mayor de San Andrés  
La Paz, Bolivia

David Steadman  
Florida Museum of Natural History  
Gainesville, Florida

Lee Steadman  
Archaeological Research Facility  
University of California, Berkeley

William T. Whitehead  
Department of Anthropology  
University of California, Berkeley

# CONTENTS

Contributors .....	iii
List of Figures .....	vii
List of Tables .....	ix
Acknowledgments .....	x
<b>1</b> An Introduction to Chiripa and the Site Area <i>Christine A. Hastorf</i> .....	1
<b>2</b> A Toponymic Study of the Chiripa Locality <i>Mario Montaña Aragón</i> .....	7
<b>3</b> History of Investigations at the Site of Chiripa <i>Matthew S. Bandy</i> .....	9
<b>4</b> Radiocarbon Dating <i>William T. Whitehead</i> .....	17
<b>5</b> The Systematic Surface Collection <i>Matthew S. Bandy</i> .....	23
<hr/> <b>EXCAVATIONS</b> <hr/>	
<b>6</b> Excavation Methodology and Field Procedures <i>Christine A. Hastorf and Matthew S. Bandy</i> .....	29
<b>7</b> Excavations in the Llusco Area <i>José Luis Paz Soría</i> .....	31
<b>8</b> Santiago <i>Emily Dean and David Kojan</i> .....	37
<b>9</b> The Montículo Excavations <i>Matthew S. Bandy</i> .....	43
<hr/> <b>DATA</b> <hr/>	
<b>10</b> Micromorphology of Depositional Sequences from Montículo and Santiago Excavations <i>Melissa Goodman</i> .....	51
<b>11</b> The Ceramics <i>Lee Steadman</i> .....	61
<b>12</b> Chiripa Worked Bone and Bone Tools <i>Katherine M. Moore</i> .....	73
<b>13</b> Paleoethnobotanical Evidence <i>William T. Whitehead</i> .....	95
<b>14</b> Herds, Fish, and Fowl in the Domestic and Ritual Economy of Formative Chiripa <i>Katherine M. Moore, David Steadman, and Susan deFrance</i> .....	105
<b>15</b> Human Remains and Mortuary Practices <i>Deborah E. Blom and Matthew S. Bandy</i> .....	117
<b>16</b> Conclusions <i>Christine A. Hastorf</i> .....	123
Appendices .....	125
References .....	137

## LIST OF FIGURES

Southern Lake Titicaca Basin region .....	3
Plan of Chiripa with the Taraco Archaeological Project's 1992 and 1996 excavation locations .....	5
Santiago ASD 18 plastered wall .....	6
Plan of Montículo excavations with all previous excavations .....	11
Calibrated radiocarbon dates from 1992 and 1996 excavations. Dates are represented as boxes. The central symbol equals the mean calibrated date, the dark inner box equals 1 sigma range, and the white outer box equals sigma range. Numbers above the date are the same as the numbered dates in table 1. The circle equals the Early Chiripa phase, the triangle equals the Middle Chiripa phase, and the square equals the Late Chiripa phase. A bar equals a disturbed locus .....	19
Typical calibration of a 2500 years bp radiocarbon date. The plateau between 800 and 400 B.C. in the calibration curve causes all calibrated dates in this age range to have extraordinarily large standard deviations. This effect is demonstrated by following the lines from the vertical years bp axis that intercept the calibration curve and drawing perpendicular line to the horizontal calendar age axis .....	20
Surface ceramic distributions. a: Formative period surface ceramic distribution, b: Tiwanaku I/III surface ceramic distribution, c: Tiwanaku IV/V surface ceramic distribution, d: LIP/Inka surface ceramic distribution, e: Historic period surface ceramic distribution. The shaded contour is .1 ceramic count per square meter .....	25
South-facing oblique view of the Llusco enclosure .....	32
Llusco excavation plan with major phases marked .....	34
0 Santiago schematic excavation plan .....	38
1 Wall of Santiago semi-subterranean structure (ASD 18) with niche .....	41
2 Profile of Montículo 1-A excavations. ASD's are structures. D's refer to stratigraphic events .....	44
3 a) Layer of clean sand fill between floors, b) <i>in situ</i> burning on floor .....	46
4 Plan of Montículo 1-B area .....	47
5 Montículo 2 West Profile .....	48
6 Montículo 3 House 5 .....	49
7 Micromorphological Montículo floor sequence thin sections .....	52
8 S1-S3 thin sections from the Santiago area .....	53
9 Thin section of outside lenses on Montículo in plane polarized light. Note the fine bone at top. The field is 2.9 cm. long. ....	59
0 Thin section Montículo ASD13 D-52 (MH4) in crossed polarized light. The round microartifact at bottom is burnt ceramic. The field is 2.6 cm. long. ....	59
1 Key to slip colors for the ceramic figures .....	64
2 Early Chiripa ceramics: (a-b) short-necked ollas, (c-d) medium-necked ollas, (e-h) neckless ollas, i) lug, and (j-l) bowls .....	65

23 Early Chiripa ceramics: (a) medium-necked olla, and (b-c) bowls. Note fig. 23a is at a different scale .....	67
24 Middle Chiripa ceramics: (a) jar, (b-e) medium-necked ollas (note 24c at a different scale), (f) short-necked olla, (g-h) bowls, (i) convex bowl, and (j) red on unslipped dark brown body sherd. ....	68
25 Late Chiripa ceramics: (a-d) medium-necked ollas, (e-f) jars, (g-j) bowls, and (k) ring base. ....	69
26 Late Chiripa ceramics: cream on red (a-b) bowls and (c-d) body sherds, (e) black/dark brown and cream on red bowl .....	70
27 (a-c) Late Chiripa ceramics: black/dark brown and cream on red body sherds, (d) unslipped blackware trumpet with zoomorphic modeled head. Red post-fire paint in incisions where indicated; white post-fire paint in both ears and in the left eye; white outer circle and red post-fire center in the right eye, (e) dark brown and red on unslipped red brown bowl, (f) wide-line incised body sherd, (g) red on cream/light brown convex bowl, and black on red incised body sherd (h). ....	71
28 Bone tools from Chiripa: (a) Pointed long bone tool (Locus 778). (b) Blunt long bone tool (Locus 1070), (c) Toggle (Locus 839), (d) Toggle (Locus 108), (e) Netting gauge, pointed end (Locus 1206), (f) Netting gauge, blunt end (Locus 866), (g) Netting gauge, blunt end (Locus 1411), (h) Netting gauge, midsection (Locus 1336), (i) Awl, finely rounded type (Locus 866). ....	79
29 Bone comb, probably for weaving, one of two from Locus 525 (Cat. CH3-565/4-01) .....	87
30 Fragment of engraved bone tube with feet of feline figure (Locus 522).....	93
31 Fragment of a bone spatula or spoon with incised decoration (Locus 636) .....	93
32 Pie diagrams of functional plant groups from House 5 on Montículo .....	99
33 Map of the Southern Central Andes, and the locations from which the human data come .....	120



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Taraco Archaeological Project radiocarbon dates .....	18
Table 2 Micromorphological summary of natural post-depositional features .....	55
Table 3 Summary of construction materials and occupational events .....	56
Table 4 Summary of bone tool types from excavations at Chiripa, 1992 and 1996 seasons .....	74
Table 5 Awls and other pointed tools .....	76
Table 6 Scrapers and other long bone tools .....	80
Table 7 Netting gauges .....	86
Table 8 Tools for weaving and fiber processing .....	88
Table 9 Beads, plaques, and bone tubes .....	89
Table 10 Indeterminate shaped and worn fragments .....	90
Table 11 List of species recovered from Chiripa flotation samples. Taxa with stars before them are taxa not listed in previous investigations .....	97
Table 12 Species and counts of carbon recovered from House 5 flotation samples .....	100
Table 13 Species and counts of carbon recovered from excavation area Monticulo 1A flotation samples. Column heading titles are as follows: plaster floors (PF), fill between floors (FF), burning events on floors (BF) .....	101
Table 14 Species and counts recovered from excavation area Llusco. Column headings show counts of plant remains from the Late Chiripa plaster floor versus fill .....	102
Table 15 Species and counts recovered from excavation area Santiago B16 surface .....	103
Table 16 Santiago Early Chiripa phase animal bone (screened sample, bone wt.) .....	107
Table 17 Archaeological context of Early Chiripa fauna (1/4" screen only): density, burning, and taphonomic indicators .....	108
Table 18 Calculation of relative dietary importance of fish .....	109
Table 19 Early Chiripa sample, Santiago: camelid and large mammal summary .....	110
Table 20 List of species identified taken from Steadman's report and augmented. Calculation of representation of body parts .....	113
Table 21 Representation of camelid and large mammal body parts, Santiago Early Chiripa phase sample .....	114

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

During May-July of 1996 the Taraco Archaeological Project (TAP), directed by Dr. Christine Hastorf and Matthew Bandy, conducted research at Chiripa, a site on the southwest shores of Lake Titicaca in Bolivia. The core team members are Dr. Lee Steadman, ceramist and laboratory director, Emily Dean, David Kojan, Bill Whitehead, and José Luis Paz. Additional help in the field came from Amanda Cohen, Melissa Goodman, and Ian Hodder, with Mario Montaña Aragón as the Institute of Archaeology's co-director.

This project was funded in part by the National Science Foundation SBR-94-96251, the Stahl Foundation Grant-1996, Eva Borris and the Papercon Corporation of San Francisco, California, and the Dillingham Construction Company of Pleasanton, California. Portions of Steadman's ceramic analysis were funded by the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, Grant 5813. Mabel Rodriguez of the Bolivian Consulate in San Francisco helped us secure some of our funding as well as visas. We appreciate her support of our research in Bolivia. This project operated under permission of the National Institute of Archaeology (DINAAR), directed by Oswaldo Rivera Sundt, and the Secretariat of the Institute of Culture, Dir. Alberto Bailey and Mr. Carlos Ostermann.

Special thanks go to the people of the community of Chiripa, who graciously allowed us to live and work in their community, especially the community's Secretario General that year, Sr. Saturnino Llusco. We are pleased that most of the people of Chiripa were able to work with us in a rotational scheme. The site's caretaker, Sr. Facundo Llusco, was always extremely warm and supportive. We would also like to thank Sr. Emeterio Choquehuanca, the owner of the land where the Santiago excavations were located, and Pedro Llusco, owner of the Llusco sector. We are especially grateful to Diane Bellomy and Ron Davis of La Paz, who helped us in so many ways on the project, and to Diana Gonzales for home support.

Our helpful 1996 maestros from CIAT were Ramon Condori, Daniel Choque, Luis Quispe, Genaro Callisaya, Franz Choque, Leonardo Laura, Elsa Choque, and Lucy Quispe. The 1992 CIAT maestros were Celio Chura, Pedro Choque, Teófilo Choque, Natalio Limachi, Hugo Ávalos, Simon Limachi, Alicia Limachi, and Elsa Choque. Cesar Callisaya of Tiwanaku over the years has also helped us to settle into the community as well as to interweave with Proyecto Wila Jawira. Others on the 1992 team were Claudia Rivera, Sonia Alconini, Nicholas Jackson, Carol Nordstrom, Sigrid Arnott, Robin Burke, Laurie Butler, Dr. Heidi Lennstrom, and Eulogio Mayta.

Lee Steadman and the ceramic laboratory would like to acknowledge the work of Amanda Cohen, who did an outstanding job as ceramic analyst and laboratory assistant during the 1996 field season. Much of the analysis of the Tiwanaku IV and V ceramics recovered by the Taraco Archaeological Project was undertaken by Sonia Alconini M.; her assistance in phasing these ceramics in the Chiripa levels is greatly appreciated. Deborah Blom would like to thank Jahel Amaru for helping clean and package all the human bone from Chiripa.

Kate Moore would like to acknowledge the important contributions made to this study by members of the Taraco Project, in particular by Matthew Bandy and Susan deFrance. In her paper Moore makes repeated comparisons with the Pachacamac bone tool assemblage; she would like to thank Clark Erickson and Lucy Fowler Williams for access to this collection at the University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology as well as conservator Nancy Love for many helpful discussions concerning her work with the Pachacamac material. Elsie Sandefur first identified the bone tools from the screened bone samples from the 1992 excavations (locus numbers from 1-999). The faunal authors would like to thank members of the Taraco Archaeological Project, David Browman, Jonathan Kent, and Fredrik Hiebert for valuable assistance and comments. In addition we would like to acknowledge preliminary work by Elsie Sandefur, George Miller, and Karen Weinstein on material from the 1992 season at Chiripa. Ramiro Matos M. kindly allowed access to the Laboratorio de Paleontozoología in Lima.

Melissa Goodman wishes to thank Emily Dean for her invaluable assistance in overseeing the production of thin sections. Dr. Charles French, director of the McBurney Geoarchaeology Laboratory, graciously allowed access to laboratory resources, was consulted on several slides, and provided helpful comments on a previous draft of Goodman's chapter. Dr. Wendy Matthews granted access to her extensive collection of thin sections from occupational surfaces. Jesus College, Cambridge, generously provided Goodman with a research grant to attend the 1998 Seattle SAAs where a previous version of this material was presented.

Finally, we want to thank John Southon of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory-Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry who has participated with us to complete an important dating sequence to clarify the seriation and the timing of the cultural changes during the Formative at Chiripa.