## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
MAJORITY CAUCUS ROOM
ROOM 140
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

FRIDAY, MAY 22, 2009 1:35 P.M.

PRESENTATION ON SENATE BILL 850

PANEL 9 OF 15

## BEFORE:

HONORABLE DWIGHT EVANS, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD

HONORABLE WILLIAM C. KORTZ III

HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA

HONORABLE BRYAN R. LENTZ

HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY

HONORABLE JOSH SHAPIRO

HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH

HONORABLE MARIO J. CIVERA, JR., MINORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER

HONORABLE JOHN R. EVANS

HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH

HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD

HONORABLE RON MILLER

HONORABLE DOUGLAS G. REICHLEY

HONORABLE RICHARD R. STEVENSON

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

HONORABLE KEVIN P. MURPHY

JEAN DAVIS REPORTING
7786 Hanoverdale Drive • Harrisburg, PA 17112
Phone (717)503-6568 • Fax (717)566-7760

1	ALSO PRESENT: MIRIAM A. FOX	
2	MAJORITY EXECUTIVE EDWARD J. NOLAN	DIRECTOR
3	MINORITY EXECUTIVE	DIRECTOR
4		
5		DEBRA B. MILLER REPORTER
6		KHI OKI HK
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

NAME PAGE  ROBERT JAY FALK SUPERINTENDENT, OTTO-ELDRED SCHOOL DISTRICT; SECRETARY FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
A ROBERT JAY FALK  SUPERINTENDENT, OTTO-ELDRED SCHOOL DISTRICT; SECRETARY FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
ROBERT JAY FALK SUPERINTENDENT, OTTO-ELDRED SCHOOL DISTRICT; SECRETARY FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
SUPERINTENDENT, OTTO-ELDRED SCHOOL DISTRICT; SECRETARY FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
SECRETARY FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS	
CITIZEN OF PHILADELPHIA	
ELISE WESTCOTT CITIZEN OF JUNIATA COUNTY	
CITIZEN OF JUNIATA COUNTY	
MOTHER OF ELISE WESTCOTT; PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE FOR ADULT ISSUES, THE ARC OF PA	
PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE FOR ADULT ISSUES, THE ARC OF PA	
MARIANNE T. BARTLEY  SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT; PRESIDENT-ELECT, PENNSYLVANIA LEAGUE OF URBAN SCHOOLS	
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT; PRESIDENT-ELECT, PENNSYLVANIA LEAGUE OF URBAN SCHOOLS	
PRESIDENT-ELECT, PENNSYLVANIA LEAGUE OF URBAN SCHOOLS	
16 17 18	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: What I would like to do is start, go down the line and introduce 4 5 yourself for the purpose of the stenographer, and 6 then you can begin. 7 So we'll start with you. MR. FALK: I'm Robert Falk. I'm currently 8 serving---9 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: What's your name 11 again? 12 MR. FALK: Robert Falk. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Robert Falk. 1.3 MR. FALK: I'm currently serving my 18th 14 15 year as Superintendent for the Otto-Eldred School District, and I'm Secretary for the Board of 16 Directors for the Pennsylvania Association of Rural 17 and Small Schools. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Okay. 19 20 And who are you? 21 MR. BURNETT: My name is Julian David 22 Burnett, a citizen of the Commonwealth of 23 Pennsylvania, currently residing in Philadelphia 24 County.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Okay.

25

```
1
            MR. BURNETT: I am a private citizen here to
2
    testify on 850. I'm also a union representative and
3
    a member of those fraternities.
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Okay; sure.
 4
            And you are?
 5
            MISS WESTCOTT: I'm Elise Westcott from
 6
7
    Juniata County.
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Juniata County.
8
    Okay; sure.
9
            MS. JORDAN: I'm Maureen Jordan.
10
11
    mother of Elise Westcott, and I'm with the Arc of
12
    Pennsylvania. I'm here to help her.
1.3
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                                      Okay.
14
            MS. BARTLEY: I'm Marianne Bartley, and I am
15
    the President-elect of the Pennsylvania League of
    Urban Schools and the Superintendent of Lebanon
16
    School District.
17
18
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                                      Okay.
19
            Well, do we want to start with you, and then
20
    we can go back. We'll start with you.
21
            MS. BARTLEY: Thank you.
22
            Well, I would like to start by thanking you
23
    for the opportunity to address you today. As the
24
    Committee on Appropriations in the House, certainly
25
    you have a lot of things to consider.
```

Today, I'm going to share with you the perspective of Lebanon School District and Senate Bill 850 and how this impacts us.

But as I share the specifics for Lebanon,

please know that I represent many of the urban

districts like Lebanon from Reading, Lancaster, York,

Williamsport, Johnstown, Chester Upland, Pittsburgh,

Philadelphia. All of the districts in the

Pennsylvania League of Urban Schools are certainly

concerned, very concerned, about Senate Bill 850.

In Lebanon School District, over the past 7 years I have seen our fund balance go down, and we are finally getting to a point where we are bringing it back to the positive.

And I know that you have a copy of the testimony that I have provided, but there's a graph on there and you would see very clearly that for a number of years, we have been in the red. So our funding in our school district has not been in a healthy situation.

We are finally at a point where we are hitting the mark where we will have a fund balance again. Although very tiny, we are moving in the right direction.

Over the past 7 years, we have done a lot

with a little bit of money, things from renovations of buildings to just continually trying to provide for our students.

1.3

Our school district includes about 4,500 students, and we have 8 schools. We are small. We are only within a 4 1/2-square-mile area. But we have 70 percent of our students on free or reduced lunch, and our school district is also 53 percent minority with a high population of ESL students.

I would like to just compare what would happen in our district if Senate Bill 850 went through compared with what the Governor's budget proposed for us.

If the district received the Governor's budget, we would be in very good shape. It would really change the outlook for our district.

We would need a very small tax increase, and that would just be because we are working on renovations. Our high school needs to be renovated. It was built in '69, and it needs to be updated completely.

If that bill, if the Governor's budget went through for us, it would mean that my taxpayers would have a small increase of about \$30 a year, which is a 2-mill increase, or 1.7 percent.

But if you were to flip over, you would see a big difference between Senate Bill 850 and what that would mean for our schools. The average homeowner increase would be at least \$200, and it's more than five times as much.

1.3

And with that, what would happen is we would have a reduction in staff for K4 and K5 programs.

That's a real problem for us and for many, many districts.

To cut full-day kindergarten for 4- and 5-year-olds in a high-poverty area is not a good practice, and that's what we would have to do, even with that tax increase I just described to you.

We would have no funds for alternative education. Right now we have a school called Polaris School, and we would not have funding if we were receiving the funds proposed in the Senate budget. We would only get funding if it came through a demonstration grant.

We would also lose funding for Classrooms for the Future, and that has been a terrific program in our schools.

We would lose funding for Science: It's Elementary.

We would lose funding for Dual Enrollment,

and 21st Century programs would be stopped.

1.3

We would have drastic cuts of 50 percent or more for Pre-K Counts, and Head Start supplemental assistance is reduced.

We would lose our ability to apply for

Race to the Top funding. That impacts so many things
in our districts. We would have no technology

upgrades in media centers and classrooms.

So these kinds of things are obviously very upsetting to us, and we need your full consideration in reviewing the education budget. And please, consider the impact of 850 on what schools are faced with.

This morning, I was with one of my board members who has been a board member for over 30 years, and she said please remind the Appropriations Committee that we are faced with these timelines where we have to make decisions and pass our budgets by the end of June, and with the uncertainty, we are really put in a precarious situation.

If the Senate budget is what becomes our budget, we will be faced with drastic cuts, and it's going to be a very difficult situation for schools and communities.

We believe that education affects the economic vitality of this State, and it's certainly important to put funds in education.

1.3

2.0

With that, I thank you for your attention.

MS. JORDAN: My name is Maureen Jordan. I'm a Public Policy Advocate with the Arc of Pennsylvania. I have been there just a little under a year.

But that's not the role. We did submit formal testimony to you, which we'll be getting from the Arc of Pennsylvania. But I'm here representing my daughter, Elise Westcott, which has been the purpose of my advocacy for the last 23 years -- as a mother receiving services, at one point in my life as a single mother trying to raise three children, one with a disability.

But I just want to put a face to, you hear a lot about -- excuse me; I'm at the end of a cold, and it's just getting to the point of all better now.

You hear a lot about services, and I just wanted to put a face to what "services" is.

There is so much that we use in our industry to represent things, but nothing represents like an actual face. So that is why Elise has come today, to explain to you what services she receives, what will

1 happen to her and her peers and her friends if these 2 services were cut. We have a pretty independent lifestyle. 3 Elise does amazing things. She'll tell you some of 4 5 the things she does. But we are representing those that might not have a mother that can advocate 6 7 because they are older and there are family members who are not able to, or someone who needs more 8 supports as far as physical supports, supports with 10 their ADLs, their activities of daily living. 11 So even though you see us here, we are 12 trying to represent those in the intellectual 1.3 disabilities field so you can at least put a face with your testimony, and you have received many fine 14 15 testimonies today just from seeing the list. 16 So I will turn it over to Elise, because 17 she's the purpose today. 18 MISS WESTCOTT: Thank you very much. 19 My name is Elise Westcott. 20 MS. JORDAN: Tell them what you like. 21 MISS WESTCOTT: I like horses. I ride them, 22 until the show. 23 MS. JORDAN: What do you do every afternoon? 24 MISS WESTCOTT: Milk cows. 25 MS. JORDAN: She works on a dairy farm.

```
1
            What services do you receive?
2
            MISS WESTCOTT: Nursing care.
 3
            MS. JORDAN: Can you tell them about that a
    little bit more?
 4
5
            MISS WESTCOTT: I was in the hospital for a
6
    reaction with Prednisone, and I had to go into a
7
    group home. If there wasn't any services, I would be
    stuck in the hospital.
8
            MS. JORDAN: What other services?
10
            MISS WESTCOTT: Transportation, and lots of
11
    stuff, hab aides and stuff.
12
            MS. JORDAN: Day programs.
1.3
            MISS WESTCOTT:
                           Day programs.
                         What would it be like if you
14
            MS. JORDAN:
15
    weren't able to have these services?
            MISS WESTCOTT: Stuck at home.
16
17
            MS. JORDAN: Anything else you want to
18
    say?
19
            MISS WESTCOTT: Thank you.
20
            MR. BURNETT: Good afternoon, and I would
    like to thank you -- pardon me. I'm a bit nervous.
21
22
    It's the first time testifying in front of the
23
    Legislature.
24
            I want to say thank you for inviting me to
25
    the process and for the transparency of it all.
```

And also, thank you for the opportunity just really to hear the testimony of all these great people, both today and yesterday, especially from the organizations and the hospitals---

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Take your time. Slow down.

MR. BURNETT: Okay; all right.

1.3

2.0

I would like to thank you all. Well, my thank-yous are out of the way.

And I would also like to thank you for the job that you guys have done to this point. Given the economic situation of the country, I believe that Pennsylvania is in a pretty good place. Not the best in the world, but we are surviving.

And in order to survive in the future, I do believe that funding is needed to provide for the services for my testimony made here, and quite honestly, even for the inner-city hospitals and the different programs that are in the inner-city.

Now, yesterday, one of the things that really stuck out to me yesterday was the testimony from Albert Einstein Hospital and how much they are affected by the Medicaid funding.

When you drive down the street and you see this big hospital that has been standing there for

years, you just take it for granted that they are going to be there and those services are going to be there and that you can go there for your services if you are in trouble. Without this funding, it just won't happen and people will be out on the street.

And as far as the educational programs and the after-school programs and the different funding for those, I know personally that without those programs, younger individuals there are just running the street wild. They have no direction; they have no purpose. And when you have after-school jobs and different other programs where you can instill these different values into a person, then they will grow up to be a productive taxpaying citizen.

Now, as far as the nursing homes, my mother lives in a Medicaid assisted nursing home. Without that funding, hey, she'll have to live with me. You know, I wouldn't mind, I love my mother dearly, but she loves her independence also.

And also, my cousin, he's deaf. Well, he is deaf, and he attended the School for the Deaf outside of Philadelphia, and now he is currently working as an aircraft mechanic. His friend, who wasn't privy to those same services, he is currently serving time at Frackville.

So it really comes down to, are we going to invest in today to make tomorrow better so we can have these taxpaying citizens there?

1.3

Now, as far as the Governor's proposal, what is proposed there I really don't know, because a lot of emphasis was given here on the bill 850.

Now, but one question I would like to know is, the question that was brought up yesterday was, how much of the funds could be used from the funds under the 850 bill? And I do not support it. I do not support it, but that is a question that we'll get an answer to eventually.

And I guess in short, I mean, like I said, I don't have any statistics and numbers and everything, and I'm pretty sure you guys have heard everything.

And even with this heartfelt testimony here, I do believe that even though this bill may have been drafted from an economic standpoint, from the standpoint of accountants and CEOs and CFOs, but now seeing this human side of it, I trust that you all will not let this pass.

I'm not worried about it as some of my brethren are in the Masonic Home in Elizabethtown who called me last night and implored me to let you guys know that they are petrified. A few of them were

here yesterday, but I'm here to reiterate for them.

1.3

Once again, thank you for allowing me to really just learn about the process and see how the Commonwealth works, and thank you.

MR. FALK: Good afternoon.

As I said earlier, I'm Robert Falk,

Superintendent for the Otto-Eldred School District,

and I have been in this chair for 18 years in that

district.

I sincerely thank you for providing me the opportunity to present you what I call the potential dilemma created for us if Senate Bill 850 should become our budget bill.

The Otto-Eldred School District is a small, poor, rural school district in the northernmost boundary of McKean County, Martin Causer's district. We border New York State.

Demographic data for us is rather bleak.

Total assessed property value for the school district is slightly less than \$90 million. That data is a year old. It is slightly higher than that now.

But to put that in perspective, last month I was playing golf on a golf course in State College.

I stood on a T-box and I looked around. It was an

elevated box, and I could see two housing developments that 15 years ago were farms.

1.3

Those two housing developments, when I counted the homes and put my appraised value on those properties, I could see from that T-box more property value than the 88 square miles of my school district.

The annual household income for my school district residents -- \$35,000. Market value aid ratio for us -- .8293. Many of the years during my term as superintendent, we were classified as the "poorest school district in the State." I believe now we may be one or two from the bottom.

I'm going to show you the next page in my testimony packet. There's a pie chart that shows you that 57 percent of our total budget is received from the State as basic education subsidy. Tax revenue for our budget is only 16 percent.

To continue with the description of our bleak demographics, I would like to direct you to the next page of my packet that is from Standard & Poor's, and it's the "School Matters" information.

I'm going to point out to you in that packet that the adults in our community with a bachelor's degree -- 9.4 percent of our adults have a bachelor's

degree. Eight-three percent of them have a high school diploma, fortunately.

Economically disadvantaged households, the Standard & Poor's data indicates that 47.7 percent of our students come from free-and-reduced-lunch families. That has now increased to 51 percent.

However, we are poor, we are rural, we are disadvantaged, but that same "School Matters" data shows that our school-wide reading proficiency is at 72.5 percent and our school-wide math proficiency is at 83.8 percent.

When you consider that 20 percent of our students are also special education-identified students -- I have some teachers that are doing some exemplary work and allowing and creating activities and opportunities for kids to achieve.

Obviously when preparing our General Fund budget, we look at all programs and make funding decisions based on the effectiveness of our programs or initiatives. Data analysis has become an integral component and a way for us to quantify our effectiveness.

In other words, are we having a significant impact on student achievement, and are we substantiating the costs for those initiatives? And

I am here to show you that we are.

1.3

But rather than sit here and read continually from a multipage document, I'm going to give you a quick snapshot of data analysis and show you some real data from our school district, particularly the kindergarten program.

We have three programs that I would like to talk about very briefly, one of them being our preschool and our kindergarten program; the other, class-size reduction; and the third, dual enrollment.

So if you look in my testimony packet, you are going to see Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills. We call that DIBELS.

DIBELS is a way for us to quantify achievement of kindergarten students. We are all aware that we can test third graders and above with PSSA, we can test them with other standardized achievement tests, but there is not a lot of good data out there that is showing that kindergarten students are achieving, and how do we test that to really prove it?

So in my school district, we use DIBELS.

And we have reduced class size to 15 to 18 students
per class in kindergarten. We did that with
class-size reduction money as part of the

accountability block grant. And I also have two preschool classes that are feeder programs for our kindergarten class.

1.3

And the first page in our DIBELS packet, I highlighted in pink for you the line "35."

Thirty-five is the benchmark goal at the end of kindergarten. We would like these students, when they are evaluated on this specific item, to be at 35 or better.

The sheet that I'm showing you first shows you that they started in the middle of the year, and that's the square box on the bottom of each line.

That line is then extended above the 35 significantly, indicating that our kindergarten students have reached what would have been the end-of-year benchmark, but not only have they reached it, they have surpassed it.

If you want a way to compare that to something, compare that to what we look at as the proficiency or the above-proficiency level on PSSA.

So my teachers tell me that their kindergarten students are making AYP, and many of them are scoring what they would classify as a proficient level.

Now, the DIBELS allows us to test every kid in various items.

Now, Phoneme Segmentation
Fluency. It's a prereading skill.

1.3

The next page, Initial Sound Fluency. Think about consonant sounds, vowel sounds. Look where our students were at the beginning of the year. They were all in the 5 to 10, a couple of them in the 15 range.

Benchmark for midyear is 25, which is in the middle of kindergarten. Those students in this teacher's particular class, all of them were above the midyear point, and some of them significantly higher than the midyear point.

So my teachers are telling me that reduced class size at the primary levels and a high-quality preschool program has improved achievement of our kindergarten students, and I agree with them.

There are more pages like that, and I really don't need to go through all of them for you, but they all show that we are doing very well.

There are one or two students that you will notice in the chart did not progress. One of them is a family that moved, and another one I know what will happen.

The student is not achieving well because the student is not attending kindergarten on a

regular basis. We put pressure on the parent to bring their child to school.

1.3

2.0

In a couple of years, that parent is going to get tired of our pressure requiring them to follow our compulsory attendance laws. That particular student -- and I can guarantee this as I sit here; in 3 years you can ask me this -- that student will be enrolled in a cyber charter school because the parent can get a free computer, get free Internet connection, and they are free from us and we have no control over what they are doing. And we then are losing \$8,000 to \$12,000 of our subsidy to that charter school.

And ironically, this week I received a letter from the Department of Education telling me that I was losing money to the charter school. The next day I got a letter from the Secretary of Ed telling me that that particular charter school was under investigation.

And I'm not anti-charter school by any means, but we need an opportunity to control charter schools. If we have students there, let us make sure that they are attending, quote, unquote, "on a daily basis" and not just turning the computer on and then being classified as having attended.

But what it does in the rural corners of the State, it becomes the opportunity for parents to avoid attending public schools by saying they are in a charter school, because their kids don't need to follow our rules and the parents don't want us pressuring them to attend.

By all means, charter schools have their purpose, and I know there are very good ones, but there are some abuses taking place that we need a way to stop those abuses.

So if we go beyond my kindergarten charts, I have something that isn't in your packet. One of my colleagues in Potter County just completed his doctoral dissertation and defended it about a month ago, and when I told him yesterday I was coming down here to talk about this, he said, "Well, I have something that you should share, and it came out of my dissertation."

His dissertation was a study of prekindergarten students through fourth grade and the impact that pre-K, preschool, prekindergarten programs, have on achievement. And he showed that there was a significant difference in the achievement levels of students as far as fourth grade in the four Potter County schools for those students that had

attended pre-K programs and those that had not.

1.3

So I guess as you can tell, I'm a proponent of pre-K and early childhood education.

Now, the next page in my document, I'm going to read to you.

The class-size-reduction initiative has been the single most effective use of accountability block grant funds to effectively enhance achievement of students in the primary grades.

The data that I have shown you gave us a way to quantify that, something we have not been able to do in the past.

The following pages verify that our students are scoring well, but equally important is the indication that our special education students are also showing significant gains.

Additionally, as a result of our preschool program and small class sizes, we are gradually reducing the number of special education placements.

My teachers convinced me 4 years ago that that would happen, and it is happening. I am able to eliminate and transfer a halftime special education teacher out of my primary-level grades because our placement numbers are being reduced.

The next page is a graph showing you that 70.2 percent of our students in grade 3 were scoring at a proficiency level in reading or better. The State average is 72.8.

1.3

Again, looking at our demographics, that's a significant gain for us, and we are currently ranking in the top 2 schools out of the 14 school districts in my IU for PSSA results.

Our math results at third grade -80.8 percent of our third graders proficient or
better. Again, good teachers doing hard work as a
result of accountability block grant money that
allowed us to reduce class size.

The next page is some Special Education Data

Report. I highlighted a box in yellow because I

wanted to point out that 42 percent of our special ed

students were scoring at proficiency rate. That's a

good number for us.

When we say all kids can learn, it's difficult, but yes, they can.

elementary school. As you'll see when reviewing the next two pages, dual enrollment courses are providing poor rural students an opportunity to earn college credits while attending high school.

But more importantly, they are building their self-esteem, proving they can succeed at the college level. Students have the potential to leave our high school with more than 24 earned college credits that are transferrable to the college of their choice.

We don't have a community college in our area. I think the nearest community college to my school district is probably 125 miles away in Williamsport, or 70 miles to the

11 Jamestown Community College Campus in Warren County.

So we use our staff, master's degree teachers, to provide college curriculum during the day in our high school, and they get dual credit for that.

The next page shows you the number of students that were enrolled this year. We were offering college courses in the microcomputing business, English composition, general chemistry with lab, psychology, history, and history II.

Ninety students, ninety seats -- now, some of these students may have taken more than one course -- but 90 student seats benefited from our dual enrollment program. Twenty-eight of those kids are low-income students.

And my principal gave me his schedule for next year for dual enrollment should we have the funding to continue the program. We have added courses. We have added calculus. We have added Spanish. We have added modern physics. We now can offer 27 credits of dual enrollment courses in a high school that only has 350 kids.

1.3

Okay. As I start to come near the end of my time, by now you may have observed that I have a strong conviction for education of rural students.

My conviction pales in comparison to that of the teachers that I hold accountable on a daily basis.

Contrary to the belief of some, public schoolteachers are dedicated, industrious people, and when given appropriate direction and resources, are willing to be held accountable for their work.

No question about it; when we start a school year and we bring teachers into our meeting rooms and tell them they have 15 kids, they cringe, because they know that they are going to be held very accountable, and all of them are up to the task and produce for us.

Where the teachers have a complaint -- and I support their position on this -- is when we set lofty, unrealistic goals for them and we do not

provide the necessary tools and resources needed to achieve those goals.

Incrementally increasing the achievement level as we see it in NCLB is an admirable goal. Can we achieve it statewide as it is currently designed?

I'm not sure about that.

We will all try, and we have continued to try. We have met those goals up to this point. But if we don't provide the resources we need -- and I'm speaking specifically of the financial resources -- it won't happen.

If my school district's budget is changed from our preliminary budget to be reflective of Senate Bill 850, the gains we are currently making will be lost.

Analysis of the next page indicates where I will be forced to cut expenses or raise local property taxes by 5.05 mills, which equals 31.5 percent.

On the next page I'm showing you that I would be eliminating two teacher aides at the kindergarten level, and I would furlough two teachers at the elementary school level.

I needed a high school generator for power-outage situations. We lose power often in the

north tier.

We were going to buy a new elementary science curriculum, high school library, security system, and textbooks and computer upgrades and replacements. The total money that I'm talking about there -- \$377,400.

That is the money that I would be losing if Senate Bill 850 is passed as it is and we don't get advantage of the stimulus money that was intended to be a stimulus, not meet the minimum requirement dollars.

If that happens, I would need 5.05 mills, which 1 mill generates only \$74,000 for my district. That's about a \$450 increase per household. The median tax in my district is a little over \$500.

If a budget bill is passed that is reflective of House Bill 850, I would suggest that you allow us as districts to do something we have not been allowed to do, and I really don't want to do this, but you would need to change the School Code for us, because we can't furlough for economic reasons.

The collective bargaining agreement that I have negotiated with our teachers requires that we notify teachers a specific number of days in advance

before a furlough. The clock has already starting ticking on that. I can't furlough these two teachers without grievances if we have a reduced funding budget, because I would be violating their contractual rights.

1.3

2.0

Because we are limited to the amount of tax increase set forth by the index -- in my case, as a result of Act 1, I can only raise .6 mills, because that is where I would be with the level of index. So not only can I not raise 5.05 mills; I have a maximum of .6 mills. So the numbers that I showed you that I would be cutting, those are real.

If we are level funded, as I said, those numbers are real, but what happens in 2 years when the stimulus funds that brought us to level funding are gone?

If my information from PDE is correct, we will have \$4.5 billion in a basic education funding appropriation, which is a 13-percent reduction in basic education from where we are today for the 2008-2009 budget.

This is the reverse direction from where we should be headed if we are to meet the goals set in the funding formula that was created and implemented last year and provided us an opportunity to set

long-range goals at a district level, if we could depend on that funding to increase based on that formula.

1.3

We had a situation similar to this several years ago under Governor Ridge's Administration. If you'll remember, retirement contributions by the school districts was reduced, and we were told there is no funding increase. But by reducing the district's contribution to the PSERS Fund, you in essence are realizing a gain. Sure we were, for a couple of years. Now look where we are with our plans for what's going to happen to the increase in the next 4 years in retirement contributions.

I think in hindsight, maybe we should have kept those numbers up where they were or somewhere higher than what we reduced them to. To me, that was sort of a charade game that we played.

I respectfully ask that you consider the achievement of poor and rural students as the important topic that I am expressing it to be.

I encourage you to support education and consider the funding formula as a means to achieve adequate funding for all schools.

The descriptions that I'm giving you, my students are very similar to the students that you

would see in a school district like Lebanon or a 1 2 school district in an urban center-city environment. There's a lot of data that shows that rural education 3 problems are very similar to urban education 4 5 problems. 6 With all that said, I thank you for 7 listening to me, giving me an opportunity to editorialize a little, probably more than I should 8 have on my personal opinions. 9 But as a 10 representative of rural school districts, I encourage 11 you to work in a direction that leads us somewhere 12 near the funding formula that we have seen in the 1.3 past. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 MR. BURNETT: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: 16 MR. BURNETT: Pardon me. 17 18 One thing I did forget, I said I attended 19 yesterday, and I took the information on this 20 Senate Bill 850 to my economics class that I have in 21 the evenings on Thursday, and I received an A for 22 participation. I would like to thank you for that. 23 And also, as a solution, we took a poll of 24 all the students that were in the classes last night 25 as far as a solution, and what I have seen is there's

```
a general sense of responsibility that, hey, we are
2
    in rough economic times, so let's all share the
3
    increase in taxes and not just put it on a wealthy
    few, but let's all take the tax burden to help us pay
 4
5
    this and fund these goals so we can make changes.
 6
            Thank you.
7
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: Thank you very
    much.
8
9
            MR. BURNETT: You're welcome.
10
            MAJORITY CHAIRMAN EVANS: One, I want to
11
    thank all of you in your comments and your thoughts.
12
    I think that my staff has collected your testimony.
13
            I'm glad you joined this conversation,
    because that's what this is. It is our attempt,
14
15
    Democrat and Republican alike, to work together to be
16
    Pennsylvanians and to do what is necessary.
17
            Again, I would like to thank you sincerely
18
    for testifying before us. Thank you very much.
19
20
             (The hearing concluded at 2:12 p.m.)
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Debra B. Miller, Reporter