

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 12<sup>th</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2002

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE Mr JUSTICE G.C.BHARUKA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO.1770/2002

BETWEEN:

Sri Abdul Khader,  
since deceased by LRs

Sri Ameer Jan, s/o late  
Abdul Khader, age Major'  
r/o No.25, Venkataswamy  
Naidu Road, Shivajinagar  
Bangalore

:PETITIONER

(By Sri S.Z.A Kureshi ,Advocate,)

AND:

Sri Dande Mariamman Temple  
Trust, Shivaji circle, Shivajinagar  
Bangalore-560 001  
By its Trustees

1. Sri V.A. Mohan Rangam  
s/o Sri V.T.Ammugam,  
aged about 75 years,  
residing at 24,9<sup>th</sup> Main,  
IV Block, Jayanagar,  
Bangalore

2. Sri P.V.Venkatesan,  
s/o Sri Venkateshwara Iyer  
aged about 73 years,  
51/6,Kothandaram Layout  
Bangalore-560 042

3. V.R. Srinivasan,  
s/o Sri V.Ramanuja Mudaliar  
aged about 74 years,  
36, Lakshmana Mudaliar street  
Bangalore-560 001

4. Sri Hari Prasad,  
s/o Sri Sathyamurthy,  
aged about 33 years,  
No.6, "Gokulam"  
M.A.H.Road, Tasker Town  
Bangalore-560 051

5. Sri K.Sundaresh,  
s/o late P.Kothandapani,  
aged about 43 years,  
G-269, Old Township,  
HAL colony, Bangalore-560 017

6. Sri Jaikumar,  
s/o Mohan Ram, aged about 38  
years, No.7 II nd cross, N.K.  
Palyam, Shivajinagar,  
Bangalore-560 051

7. Sri M.Doraiswamy,  
s/o Sri Mohan Naidu,  
aged about 38 years,  
93, Old Cementary Road, Shivajinagar  
Bangalore-560 051

8. Sri K.Lokesh Reddiar,  
s/o I V Kuppuswamy Reddy  
aged about 48 years,  
59/11, Chikkabazar Road  
Shivajinagar, Bangalore-51

9. Smt. Sarojini Balasundram  
D/o Sri M.Jayaseelan,  
aged about 43 years, 42,  
Dickenson Road, Bangalore-51

: Respondents

Civil Revision petition filed under Section 115 CPC against the order dated 4.4.2002 passed on IA in O.S.No.15348/1999 on the file of the XXVIII Addl.City Civil Judge, Mayo Hall, Bangalore, allowing the application filed U/O 13 R 1 and 2 CPC r/w Section 151 CPC for production of documents..

This CRP coming on for admission this day, the Court made the following:

**ORDER**

Heard the counsel for the petitioner.

2. This is a defendant's revision petition. By the impugned order, the court below has permitted the plaintiff to produce certain documents. Defendant has to lead his evidence. Therefore, no prejudice will be caused to him.

3. I find no error of jurisdiction in the order of the court below.

Petition is dismissed.

Sd/≡  
Judge

nk