rhinoceros, hippopotamus, hyæna, and tiger, which are so common in the old European gravels." This extract seems to confirm the destructive properties of peat; and I believe that all the remains of the Irish elk from the bogs of Ireland are from the clay- or marl-beds immediately at the base of the

neat.

Since writing the above I have seen large peat-deposits in Southland at a much lower level. In one place, at the Mararoa Station, near Mount Excelsior, the peat has been regularly worked for years for burning. Here also moa-stones occur in profusion. The process of cutting also exposed the fragments of perished bones. I carefully examined this deposit, and found it to consist almost entirely of Calorophus; and the Sphagnum which forms the majority of peat-mosses in England was entirely absent.

I should have mentioned above that the nearest place at which the quartz pebbles could be procured is at the outcrop of the Otago schist formation, distant from Swampy Hill about four miles. The weight of the largest stone in the two

collections is a little over 1\frac{1}{2}oz.

ART. VII.—On the Genus Aptornis, with more Especial Reference to Aptornis defossor, Owen.

By A. HAMILTON.

[Read before the Otago Institute, 8th November, 1891.]

As long ago as the year 1842 Dr. Buckland received from New Zealand a collection of moa-bones from the east coast of the North Island, forwarded to him by the Rev. W. Williams. On examining this collection Professor Owen found ample confirmation of his previous determination of the cursorial character of the specimens on which he had founded his genus *Dinornis* in the year 1839.

Among the bones in this collection he found a tibia "which unequivocally establishes a fourth species of Cursorial bird, which, from the agreement of the bone in its general characters with the tibiæ of the larger species, most probably belonged to the same genus—Dinornis—but did not surpass in size the great bustard" (Otis tarda). This species the Professor

named Dinornis otidiformis (1843).

Time passed on, and further collections of bones were made in New Zealand and sent Home (1846), and in the memoir on the genus *Palapteryx* a fragmentary femur is allotted to the previously-described tibia. The bone was, however, so imperfect that only the circumference could be given in the table

of measurements of the bones of the leg in Dinornis.

On the arrival of the great collection of Dinornithic remains made by Mr. Walter Mantell in the South Island (1848), several "tarso-metatarsal bones, with the articular surface for a very strong hind-toe, and a conformation more resembling the dodo than those of Dinornis or Palapteryx," were recognised, and the special and extraordinary characters presented by the bone decided the professor to establish a new genus under the name of Aptornis, placing in this new genus the bones hitherto ascribed to Dinornis otidiformis. A cranium in the same collection was figured as probably that of Dinornis casuarinus; but when, in 1865, the Ralline characters of the skull were recognised and fully and clearly pointed out by Professor W. K. Parker, "this skull was also assigned to Aptornis.

A further addition to the knowledge of the skeleton was made by a description of the sternum, which was included in a collection of bones from Waingongoro, on the west coast of the North Island (1850). This was described and figured both in the Proceedings of the Zoological Society and in the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand," although in the text it is assigned to Notornis or Brachypteryx. All of the bones hitherto noticed may probably be classed as belonging to the smaller of the two species now known, Aptornis otidiformis, Owen.

No other bones of the genus were figured or described till a memoir appeared on the Anserine genus Cnemiornis (1865), and a humerus was then figured and described which has since been more correctly assigned to Aptornis. From a collection of bones forwarded by the Rev. Richard Taylor, of Wanganui, Professor Owen found himself called upon to name a second species of the genus, which he named Aptornis defossor, principally from a beautiful specimen of a skull and some other bones "discovered in a cave of soft sand about fourteen miles from Oamaru, which was filled with bird-bones." The monograph which resulted from the examination of these bones concluded with a comparative table of the measurements of the chief bones of the skeletons of the two species.

From another collection of bones from a fissure at Albury, near Timaru (1871), the sternum and pelvis of the larger species were described, and a restoration of the complete skeleton

given.
In 1873 two important papers on *Cnemiornis* appeared—one read before the Wellington Philosophical Society by Sir

^{*} Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., vol. clvi., p. 113: "On the Structure and Development of the Skull in the Ostrich Tribe;" by W. K. Parker, F.Z.S.

James Hector, on a collection of bones found by Captain Fraser in a cave near Alexandra, in the Otago Lake District;* and the other by Professor Owen, being a restoration of the Cnemiornis skeleton from additional material received by

The coracoid which Professor Owen there figures on plate cii., Mr. H. O. Forbes identified in 1889 before the Philosophical Institute of Canterbury as belonging to Aptornis; and this identification I can now confirm, and show that the bone does not need the conjectural additions shown in pl. cii., as the union with the sternum is a ragged one, sometimes anchylosed, and not by a synovial joint. I must also claim from this Cnemiornis paper not only all the vertebræ figured on plate cii., but also those given on plate lxvi., "Extinct Birds of New Zealand," as vertebræ of Aptornis defossor.

Sir James Hector only figures a few dorsal vertebræ of Cnemiornis in his paper, but these are quite sufficient to show the great difference in almost every character from Aptornis:†

With the exception of the phototype of the nearly complete skeleton of an individual of the smaller species, now in the Canterbury Museum, published in Dr. von Haast's "Geology of Canterbury and Westland" (1879), and the figures of the type specimens accompanying the papers in the Proceedings of the Zoological Society (reproduced in the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand "), little else has been published on this genus.

It has fallen to the lot of Mr. W. S. Mitchell, of Lake Manapouri Station, Southland (1889), to find a number of bones of Aptornis defossor in some limestone caves on the Oreti River, in Southland, and through his kindness I have the opportunity of describing this important find, and I shall endeavour to supply a little information on points which

could not well be made out from the original types.

All the bones came from a series of limestone caves, and are still partly covered with incrustation of fine limestone dust, but in the majority of cases the bones are in perfect condition. After careful and prolonged examination, I find that six or seven individuals are represented, and that in four cases the bones can be allotted to the skeleton without much doubt as to their having formed part of an individual bird. But the most important fact to be observed is that here there is no mixture of "doubtful" bones, all the other bones obtained from these caves and fissures at the same time and in the same neighbourhood being easily-distinguished species of Dinornis, kiwi, kakapo, (Stringops), &c., and in no instance have any Cnemiornis bones been found

^{*} Trans. N.Z. Inst., vol. vi. † Trans. N.Z. Inst., yol. vi., pl. xiv.

here, so that such bones of this kind as are present can be identified with almost absolute certainty as being those of Aptornis, and, as I have before said, can by their peculiarities be allotted to definite individual skeletons. As will be seen from the table of measurements, the dimensions of the limbs agree very closely with the measurements given by Owen (p. 315, op. cit.), but the individual distinguished as B is slightly larger in all its measurements.* It is just possible that it may be found that this represents the species provisionally named by Professor Owen A. bulleri, in a letter to Sir Walter Buller, quoted at p. xxii. of the introduction to Buller's "Birds of New Zealand" (new edition). I am at present, however, inclined to regard the difference as due to sex.

The Skull.—Apparently the first Aptornis skull received by Professor Owen was a very fine specimen of the smaller species in Mr. Mantell's collection in 1848, and for a time it figured as the skull of Dinornis casuarinus; but in a paper in the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1866, the late Professor W. K. Parker wrote very fully on the essentially Ralline characters presented by the skull, which he incidentally calls "nearly as precious and quite as unique as the skeleton of the Archaopteryx," and he goes on to say that in his opinion the skull has great affinities with Psophia, the trumpeter crane, specially drawing attention to the greatly-developed basi-temporal pterygoid processes, the decurved lower mandible, and the almost complete ossification of the interorbital septum. Professor Parker considered the bird a Notornis, and proposed the name of Notornis casuarinus for it.

For his work on the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand," Professor Owen was fortunate enough to receive a beautiful skull of *Aptornis defossor* from Oamaru, and it is excellently figured in plates lxxxiii. and lxxxiv. of that work.

The collection obtained by Mr. Mitchell contained one skull which is absolutely perfect, and three others more or

less damaged. There are three lower maxillaries.

The Vertebræ.—At present I have only been able to select one complete set of the vertebræ. Fortunately, one atlas vertebra occurred, and, on comparing it with the three or four specimens of the axis vertebra, one was found to fit it exactly. Thus an important part, and one often missing from fossil skeletons, is now known. The cervical vertebræ immediately succeeding the axis rapidly increase in size, and

^{*} I have given the measurements in decimal notation, as being more generally useful than inches and lines or tenths. Some of the slight differences may be accounted for by the system of measurement adopted. All my measurements are made on the lines of major axis of the bones, or at points at right angles to it.

are relatively enormous and very massive, and Professor Owen says (p. 373, op. cit.), "No Anserine comes near Cnemiornis in this respect. Its cervical vertebræ recall the proportions of those in Megaceros, and have a like relation to the muscular force brought to bear on the head. . . This is probably related to the grip and tug exercised by the beak upon the vegetable growths torn up for food." The professor, in the note at the foot of p. 372, states that twelve cervical vertebræ of Cnemiornis were collected by the Hon. Captain Fraser in the Earnscleugh Cave, and that Dr. Hector attributed them to the same individual bird. If figures of these had been available, the professor would have doubtless corrected his identification, as they would have differed greatly from those put forward in plates lxvi., cii., and lxvii. of his memoir on the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand."

The whole of the vertebræ figured by Professor Owen as belonging to *Cnemiornis* may be taken as representing vertebræ of *Aptornis*. I do not now intend to go into the details of the structure of the bones of the axial skeleton of this bird, but I may say that every vertebra will well repay careful examination, the whole forming a series quite unique in its proportions, and I have no doubt that some day a most interesting paper will be written concerning it. I have not yet succeeded

in finding any caudal vertebræ.

The Pelvis.—The series of bones of Aptornis included four specimens of that compound bone called the pelvis; and one of the specimens is absolutely perfect, just as if it had been prepared by maceration in the workshop. The others are more or less imperfect, the only point of interest about them being that in the one marked C an additional vertebra has coalesced with the sacral portion of the pelvis, making three rib-bearing vertebræ in the pelvic mass. The pubic bones in the best specimen are quite entire, and enable the figure given in plate lxxxix. of the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand," and plate xiv. in the 8th volume of the Transactions of the Zoological Society, to be completed. As already noticed by Professor Owen, the structure of the pelvis of this bird is pre-eminent as an example of strength combined with lightness.

The Sternum.—The much-reduced sternum of this bird is represented by four specimens, three of which are perfect, the other being very slightly injured. They agree almost exactly with the one already figured. The coracoid has been figured by Owen as the coracoid of the extinct goose (Cnemiornis), and a portion added in outline to show how it fitted the coracoid notch in the sternum. Now, in this collection there are three specimens of this important bo e, and, as if to prevent any possibility of error, one is completely anchy-

losed to the sternum of the skeleton marked A. The corresponding bone (the left) is present, but is free, and shows that the whole of the bone was present in the specimen figured on plate lxxxix. of the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand."

The Scapula.—The remaining element of the scapulo-coracoid arch is represented by three specimens; and, as the exact fit of the bone with the coracoid, and the resulting angle, is a matter of some considerable interest, I hope Professor Parker will investigate it, and collate the results with those he has so elaborately worked out in his paper on the skeleton of Notornis.

The Humerus.—This bone is represented by five specimens, and has been figured and described already. The engraving gives a good idea of the bone; but the small tubercle or prominence on the lower third of the shaft is not present in any of the specimens examined by me. It should be noted that on page 378 of the book Professor Owen corrects his previous identification, and ascribes it to Aptornis.

The Femur.—Represented by six specimens. The published figures of this important bone are not satisfactory.

The Tibia and Fibula.—The figures on plates lxxxiv. and lxxxvi. not being taken from very perfect bones, I hope to give a figure taken from complete specimens. The metatarsus is an exceptionally interesting bone, and quite easily recognised. There were six metatarsi, and a sufficient number of the phalanges to partially restore the feet.

The ribs have not hitherto been described or identified; they are of a unique, character, and some have very curious epipleural appendages; others are very long and thin—very different from the Dinornithic ribs of the restoration on plate xciv. of the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand."

The few tracheal rings found with the skeletons are quite different from those assigned to Aptornis on plate xcii. (op. cit.). There is no notch on the rings, and a section of the circumference is merely circular, and not flat as in most of the Dinornithidæ. The diameter of the rings, which are oval, is as 2 to 1. I am somewhat inclined, after all, to consider these as belonging to Anomalopteryx didiformis, found in the same locality.

Table of Admeasurements of Aptornis Defossor, Owen (in mm.)

Skull—	
Length	
Length .	
Breadth across paroccipitals 82 80 86 88	1 1 ,
" across post-frontals 80 86 88	
## across temporal fossa 57 49	
" of fore-end of upper mandible Width of basi-sphenoid 16 27 27 35 29 29 Pelvis— Width at post-acetabular prominence Extreme length Sternum— Length in central line Extreme width Coracoid— Greatest length Scapula— Greatest length 112 110 103	
Width of basi-sphenoid 27 27 35 29 29 Pelvis—	• • • • •
Pelvis— 108 109 105 102 Extreme length 280 265 Sternum— Length in central line 141 134 147 134 137 Extreme width 90 104 84 96 Coracoid— Greatest length 90 92 Scapula— 112 110 103	. 1 . 3
Width at post-acetabular prominence 108 109 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 1	29 29
Width at post-acetabular prominence 108 109 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 102 105 1	
Extreme length	05 102
Sternum— 141 134 147 134 137 137 137 134 137 134 137 96 104 84 96 104 84 96 104 84 96 104	
Length in central line 141 134 147 134 137 96 Extreme width 90 92 Greatest length 112 110 103	
Extreme width	
Extreme width 90 104 84 96 Coracoid—Greatest length	
Greatest length 90 92 Scapula— Greatest length 112 110 103	84 96
Greatest length 90 92 Scapula— Greatest length 112 110 103	
Scapula— Greatest length 112 110 103	
Greatest length	** ** **
Greatest length	
`	03
Humerus—	
Greatest length 132 136 135 1	35 . 133
Femur— Length 190 184 191 187 190 1	87 190 190
	01 130 130
Breadth of proximal end in the axis of the neck 55 55 53 54 57	54 57
one neck.	
Circumference of middle of shaft 69 71 67 68 72	
Tibia—	
Tiength 259 259 278 260 262 2	
Breadth of proximal end 57 71 74 71 71	
of distal end 47 37 41 37 39	
Circumference of middle of shaft 63 63 63 60 64	60 64 63
Metatarsus— Tength 107 107 118 109 110 1	09 110 110
Tight of the first term of the	
Dieadin of broximus ond (crampterse) .	
" Of distail the (transverse)	
" of calcaneal process 35 35 40 37 37	01 01 01

	TABLE	OF	REFERENCES	TO	FIGURES	OF	THE	Bones	OF	THE	SKELETON	OF	APTORNIS	DEFOSSOR,	OWEN.
_														<u> </u>	
i	i														
- 1	i								_	_		l			

	Figure.	Description,	Remarks.
Skull	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. xl. and xli., figs. 1-3	Vol. vii., p. 354	The mandible of Aphanapteryx is figured on
Vertebræ	Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxiii. and lxxxiv. Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. v., pl. lxiii., figs. 3, 4	Vol. i., p. 291. Vol. v., p. 396	these plates, ex <i>This</i> , 1869.
	vol. ix., pl. xxxvi., fig. 1 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxvi., figs. 3, 4	Vol. ix., p. 260 Vol. i., pp. 239–372	\ \ .
	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. v., pl. lxiv., fig. 1 , vol. ix., pl. xxxvi., fig. 6	Vol. i., p. 240 Vol. v., p. 396 Vol. ix., p. 261	All cervicals, described and figured as belonging to Cnemiornis.
	Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxvii., fig. 1 " pl. cii., fig. 6 Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. ix., pl. xxxvi., figs. 11, 12	Vol. i., pp. 372-4 Vol. i., p. 240	
	Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. cii., figs. 11, 12 Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. ix., pl. xxxvi., figs. 15, 16	Vol. ix., p. 262 Vol. i., p. 240 Vol. ix., p. 262	
	Trans. Zool. Zoc., vol. ix., pl. cii., figs. 15, 16 Trans. Zool. Zoc., vol. ix., pl. xxxvi., fig. 17	Vol. i., p. 240 Vol. ix., p. 262	Dorsals, described and figured as belonging to <i>Cnemiornis</i> .
Pelvis	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. viii., pl. xv., figs. 1, 2	Vol. i., p. 240 Vol. viii., pp. 122, 126	In the figure in the Transactions the restored outline is indicated.
,	Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxviii., figs. 1, 2 Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. viii., pl. xiv., fig. 1 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxix., fig. 1	Vol. i., p. 342. Vol. viii., pp. 122, 126.	
Sternum	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. viii., pl. xiv., figs. 2, 3, 4 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxix., figs. 2, 3, 4	Vol. i., p. 342. Vol. viii., pp. 119, 121. Vol. i., p. 340.	, <u>-</u>
Coracoid	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. ix., pl. xxxvii., figs. 4, 7	Vol. ix., p. 264. Vol. i., pp. 376, 377.	

183

Humerus	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. v., pl. lxvi., figs. 7, 10 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxix., figs. 7, 10	Vol. v., p. 399, and vol. ix., p. 266. Vol. i., pp. 242, 243; p. 366, and p. 378	In the index of plates in the "Extinct Birds of New Zealand" the humerus is said to be on pl. lxvii. It, however, appears on pl. lxix., but is not noticed in the index when describing that plate.
Femur	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. xliii., figs. 5-7 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxvi., figs. 5-7	Vol. vii., p. 371. Vol. i., p. 306.	
Tibia	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. xli., fig. 9 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxiv., fig. 9 vol. ii., pl. lxxxvi., fig. 8	Vol. vii., p. 372. Vol. i., p. 309.	Head of tibia, from above. Not mentioned in description of plate in index.
Fibula	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. xli., figs. 10, 10a	Vol. vii., p. 373.	·
	Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxiv., figs. 10, 10a	Vol. i., p. 310.	
Metatarsus	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. liv., figs. 1-5 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. lxxxvii., figs. 1-5	Vol. vii., p. 374. Vol. i., p. 311.	
Ribs	•••	Vol. i., p. 210	Casual mention, as occurring in the Timaru fissure.
Tracheal rings	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. vii., pl. xlvii., fig. 7 Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. xciii., fig. 7	Vol. vii., p. 392. Vol. i., p. 333.	
Restoration of skele- ton	Trans. Zool. Soc., vol. viii., pl. xvi Ext. Birds of N.Z., vol. ii., pl. xeiv	Vol. viii., p. 126. Vol. i., p. 339.	-
Table of ad- measure- ments	Ext. Birds of N.Z	Vol., i., p. 315.	

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES TO APTORNIS DEFOSSOR, OWEN.

Transactions of the Zoological Society of London, vol. v., 1866.

vol. vii., 1872.

vol. viii., 1874. vol. ix., 1877.

Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 1872, p. 24.

Owen, Richard. Memoirs on the Extinct Wingless Birds of New Zealand, &c. 2 vols. 1879.

Haast, Julius von. Geology of the Provinces of Canterbury and Westland, 1879, pp. 432, 444, 447.

Transactions of the New Zealand Institute, vol. i., p. 216.

vol. v., p. 232. vol. vii., p. 83. vol. viii., pp. 68, 71. vol. xiv., p. 245. vol. xv., p. 526. vol. xxii., p. 545.

[Note.—Since the above paper was read before the Otago Institute, the Catalogue of the Fossil Birds in the British Museum, by R. Lydekker (London, 1891), has been received from England for the library of the Otago Museum, and on consulting this I find that I have been anticipated by Mr. Lydekker in identifying the vertebræ of Aptornis. Had I been able to obtain the catalogue at an earlier date, I should have been saved the trouble of tabulating and arranging the references to the various particulars and publications.

There is probably a misprint in p. 152, line 13, the length of the tibia being given as 285. Judging from my own series of measurements, I should say "258" was intended. It is a curious coincidence that the right coracoid (46623) should be anchylosed to the sternum, as in my specimen A. Mr. Lydekker has been anticipated by Mr. Forbes in his identifi-

cation of the coracoid.

The information about the skulls which compose the figures given by Owen is of interest, and the evidence afforded in corroboration of the details by the perfect specimens from Southland the more valuable. The second reference for specimens Nos. 46578 and 46579 has been omitted, and a few typograpical errors occur.

I find that the skeleton figured by von Haast in the Geology of Canterbury and Westland is not otidiformis, as stated on the plate, but

represents the skeleton of A. defossor in the Canterbury Museum.

I have also seen three skulls of Aptornis in the same Museum, and three in the Colonial Museum, where there are also seven dorsal vertebræ from the Nelson District, and a few other bones of Aptornis.]