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This essay complements an earlier one [1] on uncertainty inequalities. Let $B_{n, r}$ denote the open $n$-dimensional ball of radius $r$ centered at the origin. Assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable and that its Fourier transform:

$$
\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} f(x) d x
$$

satisfies $\hat{f}(\xi)=0$ for all $\xi \in B_{n, r}$. Note that, to be consistent with the partial differential equations literature, we omit the factor $2 \pi$ from the exponent (compare with [1]). Assume also that both $f$ and its gradient $\nabla f$ are continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In the case $n=1$, Bohr $[2,3,4]$ proved that

$$
r \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| .
$$

The constant $\pi / 2$ is clearly best possible, for examine the periodic function $f(x)=$ $-r|x|+\pi / 2$ for $|x| \leq \pi / r$ (of period $2 \pi / r$ ). See [0.1] for more discussion of this example. In the case $n=2$, Rüssmann [5] and Hörmander \& Bernhardsson [6] calculated that the best constant in the inequality

$$
r \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}|f(x)| \leq C \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}\|\nabla f(x)\|
$$

is $C=2.9038872827 \ldots$ (the indicated vector norm is Euclidean). They succeeded in reducing the computation of $C$ to the following one-dimensional optimization problem:

$$
C=\min \int_{0}^{\infty}|g(y)| d y
$$

where the minimum is taken over all integrable functions $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(0)=1, g(y)=g(-y)$ for all $y$, and $\hat{g}(\eta)=0$ for all $|\eta| \geq 1$. In fact, $g$ can be extended to an entire analytic function of exponential type 1 on the complex plane; the zeroes of $g$ are all real and simple.

[^0]The constants $\pi / 2$ and $C$ also appear in connection with solving the linear operator equation $P X-X Q=Y$, where $P: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, Q: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and $Y: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ are bounded operators on Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{K}$. If the spectra $\sigma(P), \sigma(Q)$ of $P, Q$ are disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, then the equation $P X-X Q=Y$ possesses a unique solution $X$. Let $\delta=\inf _{\lambda \in \overline{\sigma(P), ~} \mu \in \overline{\sigma(Q)}}|\lambda-\mu|$, the separation between closed sets containing the spectra. The norm of the transformation $Y \mapsto X$ can be bounded by $(\pi / 2) / \delta$ if $P, Q$ are self-adjoint and $C / \delta$ if instead $P, Q$ are normal. Bhatia, Davis \& Koosis $[7,8,9]$ wrote that there is "no substantial evidence" for expecting these two constants to be best possible here, but added that they cannot be far off. A related problem involves perturbation bounds for spectral subspaces.

What can be said if higher-order derivatives of $f$ are continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ? In the case $n=1$, Favard [10] proved that

$$
r^{m} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| \leq K_{m} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f^{(m)}(x)\right|
$$

for each positive integer $m$, where the constants [11]

$$
1=K_{0}<K_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}<K_{4}<\ldots<\frac{4}{\pi}<\ldots<K_{5}<K_{3}=\frac{\pi^{3}}{24}<K_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

are all best possible. This is called the Bohr-Favard inequality. The case $n \geq 2$ remains open.

What can be said if we assume instead that $\hat{f}(\xi)=0$ for all $\xi \notin \bar{B}_{n, r}$ ? (That is, we assume the support of $f$ is completely contained within the closed $r$-ball, the opposite of before.) In the case $n=1$, Bernstein [12, 13] proved that

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f^{(m)}(x)\right| \leq r^{m} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)|
$$

for each positive integer $m$, where the constant 1 is best possible. Such functions $f$ are said to be band-limited and, like $g$, can be extended to an entire function of exponential type $r$. The generalization

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq r \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|
$$

for $n \geq 2$ (when $m=1$ ) and higher-order analogs (when $m>1$ ) were apparently first found by Nikolskii $[14,15]$.
0.1. Tempered Distributions. Let $f$ denote the periodic triangular wave function mentioned earlier. It is not true that $f$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ : strictly speaking, its Fourier transform is undefined (although signal processing engineers would describe $\hat{f}$
as a weighted sequence of equidistant Dirac impulses at $\xi= \pm r, \pm 2 r, \pm 3 r, \ldots)$. We can circumvent this difficulty by defining a family of rapidly decreasing test functions

$$
\varphi_{k}(x)=e^{-x^{2} / k^{2}}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

and then taking

$$
\hat{f}(\xi)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \xi x} \varphi_{k}(x) f(x) d x
$$

What allows us, however, to conclude that $\hat{f}$ is independent of the choice of test functions $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \varphi_{k} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ?

Here is a little background. The space of all infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi$ such that $\varphi^{(j)}(x)=O\left(|x|^{-n}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$, for any $j \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, is called the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}$. A tempered distribution is a continuous linear functional $T$ on $\mathcal{S}$ and its (generalized) Fourier transform is defined by

$$
\hat{T}(\varphi)=T(\hat{\varphi})
$$

this induces an automorphism $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ of the dual space $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Consider now the example

$$
F(\varphi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) f(x) d x
$$

where $f$ is the periodic triangular wave, and let $f_{k}=\varphi_{k} f$. Clearly

$$
\hat{F}(\varphi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(x) f(x) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(x)\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)\right) d x
$$

while

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi) \hat{f}_{k}(\xi) d \xi=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(x) f_{k}(x) d x
$$

follows by interchanging the order of integration. Since $\left|\hat{\varphi} f_{k}\right| \leq|\hat{\varphi} f|$ and $\hat{\varphi} f$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, the limit may be brought inside the integral by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Hence, just as $f$ and $F$ are regarded as the same, we may identify $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{F}$.
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