

## Distance-Avoiding Sets in the Plane

STEVEN FINCH

March 18, 2014

Fix a real number  $d > 0$ . Let  $D = \{1, d\}$  if  $d \neq 1$ ; otherwise  $D = \{1\}$  may simply be written as 1. A subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to **avoid**  $D$  if  $\|x - y\| \notin D$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . For example, the union of open balls of radius  $1/2$  with centers in  $(2\mathbb{Z})^n$  avoids the distance 1. If instead the balls have centers in  $(3\mathbb{Z})^n$ , then their union avoids  $\{1, 2\}$ .

It is natural to ask about the “largest possible”  $S$  that avoids  $D$ . Let  $B_R$  denote the ball of radius  $R$  with center 0. Assuming  $S$  is Lebesgue measurable, its **density**

$$\delta(S) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(B_R \cap S)}{\mu(B_R)}$$

quantifies the asymptotic proportion of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  occupied by  $S$ . We wish to know

$$m_D(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sup \{ \delta(S) : S \text{ is measurable and avoids } D \}.$$

The shortage of information regarding  $m_D(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is surprising. Until further notice, let  $n = 2$  and  $d = 1$  for simplicity [1, 2, 3].

On the one hand, the number of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  points within  $B_R$  is  $\sim \pi R^2$  [4], hence the number of  $(2\mathbb{Z})^2$  points within  $B_R$  is  $\sim (\pi/4) R^2$ . Each open disk in our example has area  $\pi/4$  and  $B_R$  has area  $\pi R^2$ , thus  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq \pi/16 \approx 0.196$ . It turns out we can do better by arranging the disks with centers according to an equilateral triangle lattice, giving  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq \pi/(8\sqrt{3}) \approx 0.227$ . An additional improvement (replacing six portions of each circular circumference by linear segments) gives  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq 0.229365$ . This is the best lower bound currently known [5, 6].

On the other hand, a configuration called the Moser spindle implies that  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 2/7 \approx 0.286$  [7, 8]. Székely [9, 10] improved the upper bound to  $12/43 \approx 0.279$ . The best result currently known is  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 0.258795$  via linear programming techniques [11, 12]. Erdős’ conjecture that  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^2) < 1/4$  seems out of reach.

Sets avoiding 1 have been studied by combinatorialists because of their association with the *measurable chromatic number* of the plane. What is the minimum number of colors  $\chi_m(\mathbb{R}^2)$  required to color all points of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so that any two points at distance 1 receive distinct colors and so that points receiving the same color form Lebesgue measurable sets? It is known only that  $5 \leq \chi_m(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 7$  [13].

---

<sup>0</sup>Copyright © 2014 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

Let us now consider the case  $n = 2$  and  $d = 2$ . The number of  $(3\mathbb{Z})^2$  points within  $B_R$  is  $\sim (\pi/9)R^2$ . Each open disk in our example has area  $\pi/4$  and  $B_R$  has area  $\pi R^2$ , thus  $m_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq \pi/36 \approx 0.087$ . Better lower bounds can surely be found, akin to before. We also know that  $m_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 2/9 \approx 0.222$  [9]. No one appears to have pursued this case further.

A more interesting problem is to allow  $d$  to vary, in an effort to determine

$$\inf_{d>0} m_{1,d}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

One line of research gave  $m_{1,\sqrt{3}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 2/11 \approx 0.182$  [9], now improved to  $m_{1,\sqrt{3}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 0.170213$  [11]. Another direction gives  $m_{1,c}(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 0.141577$ , where

$$c = \frac{j_{1,2}}{j_{1,1}} = 1.8309303282\dots$$

is a ratio of the first two positive zeroes of the Bessel function  $J_1$  [14, 15]. There is no indication [11] that  $c$  is necessarily an optimal choice for  $d$ .

For  $n = 3$  and  $d = 1$ , a configuration called the Moser-Raaskii spindle implies that  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \leq 3/14 \approx 0.214$  [8]. Székely [16] improved the upper bound to  $7/37 \approx 0.189$ ; this was further diminished to  $3/16 = 0.1875$  in [13]. The best result currently known is  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \leq 0.165609$  [11].

For  $n = 4$  and  $d = 1$ , an early result  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^4) \leq 16/125 = 0.128$  [13] was superseded later by  $0.112937$  [11] and more recently improved to  $0.100062$  [17]. Upper bounds on  $m_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are now known up to  $n = 24$ ; lower bounds seem to be relatively neglected.

Let us return finally to a lower bound, mentioned in [13]:

$$\inf_{d>0} m_{1,d}(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq \left( \frac{1}{\chi_m(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right)^2 \geq \left( \frac{1}{7} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{49}$$

and proved in [9]. The gap between  $1/49 \approx 0.02$  and  $\approx 0.14$  deserves to be bridged! We are hopeful that someone will accept this challenge.

**0.1. Addendum.** Let  $I$  be a Lebesgue surface measurable subset of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the property that no two vectors in  $I$  are orthogonal. Let  $\alpha$  denote the largest possible area of such sets  $I$ , normalized by  $4\pi$ . It is known [18] that  $0.2928 < \alpha < 0.313$  and the upper bound is (again) the outcome of linear programming techniques.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Székely, Erdős on unit distances and the Szemerédi-Trotter theorems, *Paul Erdős and His Mathematics. II*, Proc. 1999 Budapest conf., ed. G. Halász, L. Lovász, M. Simonovits and V. T. Sós, János Bolyai Math. Soc., 2002, pp. 649–666; MR1954746 (2004a:52028).

- [2] H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer and R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1991, pp. 170–171, 177–180; MR1107516 (92c:52001).
- [3] P. Brass, W. Moser and J. Pach, *Research Problems in Discrete Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2005, pp. 234–237; MR2163782 (2006i:52001).
- [4] S. R. Finch, Sierpinski’s constant: Circle and divisor problems, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 122–125.
- [5] H. T. Croft, Incidence incidents, *Eureka (Cambridge)* 30 (1967) 22–26.
- [6] E. R. Scheinerman and D. H. Ullman, *Fractional Graph Theory. A Rational Approach to the Theory of Graphs*, Dover Publ., 2011, pp. 45–46, 54–55; <http://www.ams.jhu.edu/ers/books/>; MR2963519.
- [7] L. Moser and W. Moser, 7 planar points which cannot be 3-colored, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 4 (1961) 187–189.
- [8] D. G. Larmon and C. A. Rogers, The realization of distances within sets in Euclidean space, *Mathematika* 19 (1972) 1–24; MR0319055 (47 #7601).
- [9] L. A. Székely, Measurable chromatic number of geometric graphs and sets without some distances in Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* 4 (1984) 213–218; MR0771730 (85m:52008).
- [10] L. A. Székely, Inclusion-exclusion formulae without higher terms, *Ars Combin.* 23 (1987) B, 7–20; MR0890334 (88e:05006).
- [11] F. M. de Oliveira Filho and F. Vallentin, Fourier analysis, linear programming, and densities of distance avoiding sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *J. European Math. Soc.* 12 (2010) 1417–1428; arXiv:0808.1822; MR2734347 (2011m:42012).
- [12] T. Keleti, M. Matolcsi, F. M. de Oliveira Filho and I. Z. Ruzsa, Better bounds for planar sets avoiding unit distances; arXiv:1501.00168.
- [13] L. A. Székely and N. C. Wormald, Bounds on the measurable chromatic number of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Discrete Math.* 75 (1989) 343–372; MR1001407 (90i:05040).
- [14] S. R. Finch, Bessel function zeroes, unpublished note (2003).
- [15] B. D. Kotlyar, On the packing density of a fuzzy set, *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis* 29 (1993) 648–655.

- [16] L. A. Székely, Remarks on the chromatic number of geometric graphs, *Graphs and Other Combinatorial Topics. Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Czechoslovak Symposium on Graph Theory*, Prague, 1982, ed. M. Fiedler, Teubner, 1983, pp. 312–315; MR0737057 (85e:05076).
- [17] C. Bachoc, A. Passuello and A. Thiery, The density of sets avoiding distance 1 in Euclidean space, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 53 (2015) 783–808; arXiv:1401.6140; MR3341578.
- [18] E. DeCorte and O. Pikhurko, Spherical sets avoiding a prescribed set of angles, arXiv:1502.05030.