

Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Inequalities

STEVEN FINCH

October 12, 2003

The operators M and N defined here were first introduced by Hardy & Littlewood [1]. These tools are useful in several areas, e.g., harmonic analysis [2], but we disregard the applications entirely and focus rather on properties of M and N in themselves.

0.1. One Dimension, Uncentered. For a locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$(Mf)(x) = \sup_{\substack{a < x \\ b > x}} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)| dt .$$

In the Banach space $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} ,$$

we examine the inequality

$$\|Mf\|_p \leq c_p \cdot \|f\|_p$$

and ask for the best constant c_p . (By “best”, we mean that c_p is the smallest positive constant for which the inequality holds for all f .) It is known, for $1 < p < \infty$, that c_p is the unique positive solution of [3]

$$(p-1)x^p - px^{p-1} - 1 = 0 ;$$

hence, for example, we have $c_2 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} c_p = 1$.

For $p = 1$, we examine instead the weak type $(1, 1)$ inequality

$$|\{x : (Mf)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \|f\|_1$$

where $|S|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$. In this case, it is comparatively simple to prove that $C = 2$ is the best constant [4], valid for all f and all λ .

⁰Copyright © 2003 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

0.2. One Dimension, Centered. For a locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$(Nf)(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} |f(t)| dt .$$

Soria & Carbery [5, 6, 7] conjectured that $C = 3/2$ is the best constant for the weak type $(1, 1)$ inequality

$$|\{x : (Nf)(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \|f\|_1 .$$

Aldaz [8] refuted this conjecture and showed that $37/24 \leq C \leq (9 + \sqrt{41})/8$. Further progress was made in [9, 10] before Melas [4] established that

$$C = \frac{11 + \sqrt{61}}{12} = 1.5675208063\dots$$

The impressive proof underlying this formula is far more complicated than the corresponding uncentered result [0.1].

For the strong type (p, p) inequality with $p > 1$, Dror, Ganguli & Strichartz [7] conjectured that the best constant c_p is given by

$$c_p = \frac{(y + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + (y - 1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{2y^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}$$

where $y > 1$ uniquely satisfies

$$\left(1 - \frac{y}{p}\right)^p (y + 1) - \left(1 + \frac{y}{p}\right)^p (y - 1) = 0 ;$$

hence, for example, $c_2 = \sqrt[4]{27}/\sqrt{2}$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} c_p = 1$. Grafakos, Montgomery-Smith & Motrunich confirmed the truth of this formula for a special class of “bell-shaped” functions, but expressed doubt that it holds for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. The problem remains unsolved.

0.3. n Dimensions, Uncentered. Let $n \geq 2$. For a locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$(M_n f)(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(t)| dt ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all compact cubes Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, subject only to $x \in Q$. For fixed $1 < p < \infty$, the best constant $c_{p,n}$ must grow at least exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [3]. This result is also true if we replace cubes by balls.

0.4. n Dimensions, Centered. Let $n \geq 2$. Define similarly

$$(N_n f)(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(t)| dt ,$$

where we insist not only that $x \in Q$, but additionally that each cube Q is centered at x . For the weak type $(1, 1)$ inequality, we have lower bounds on the best constants C_n , for example [12]

$$C_2 \geq \frac{3 + \sqrt{2}(2\sqrt{3} - 1)}{4},$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n \geq \frac{47\sqrt{2}}{36}.$$

It would be good someday to know the exact values of these constants. Moreover, we have $C_1 < C_2$ and $C_n \leq C_{n+1}$ for all n [13]. Stein & Strömberg [14] demonstrated that C_n grows at most like $O(n \ln(n))$ and like $O(n)$ if we replace cubes by balls.

Let us return finally to the strong type (p, p) setting. There exists a constant K for which [14]

$$c_{p,n} \leq K \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot n$$

for all p and n . If we replace cubes by balls, then n can be further replaced by \sqrt{n} . Also, it is possible to write

$$c_{p,n} \leq F(p)$$

for all n , in the case of balls (but the expression $F(p)$ may have to grow more rapidly than $p/(p-1)$ as $p \rightarrow 1^+$). Thus, for fixed $1 < p < \infty$, $c_{p,n}$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result contrasts strikingly with the uncentered case [0.3].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications, *Acta Math.* 54 (1930) 81–116; also in *Collected Papers of G. H. Hardy*, v. 2, Oxford Univ. Press, 1967, pp. 509–545.
- [2] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, 1986, pp. 76–84; MR0869816 (88e:42001).
- [3] L. Grafakos and S. Montgomery-Smith, Best constants for uncentred maximal functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997) 60–64; math.FA/9412218; MR1416408 (98b:42031).
- [4] A. D. Melas, The best constant for the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality, *Annals of Math.* 157 (2003) 647–688; MR1973058.

- [5] D. A. Brannan and W. K. Hayman, Research problems in complex analysis, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21 (1989) 1–35; MR0967787 (89m:30001).
- [6] M. Trinidad Menarguez and F. Soria, Weak type $(1, 1)$ inequalities of maximal convolution operators, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 41 (1992) 342–352; MR1230582 (94i:42025).
- [7] R. Dror, S. Ganguli, and R. S. Strichartz, A search for best constants in the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 2 (1996) 473–486; MR1412064 (98b:42030).
- [8] J. M. Aldaz, Remarks on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 128 (1998) 1–9; MR1606325 (99b:42020).
- [9] A. D. Melas, On the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002) 3263–3273; MR1897399 (2003c:42021).
- [10] A. D. Melas, On a covering problem related to the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality, *Ark. Mat.* 41 (2003) 341–361; MR2011925.
- [11] L. Grafakos, S. Montgomery-Smith, and O. Motrunich, A sharp estimate for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Studia Math.* 134 (1999) 57–67; math.FA/9704211; MR1688215 (2000b:42015).
- [12] J. M. Aldaz, A remark on the centered n -dimensional Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 50 (2000) 103–112; MR1745465 (2002b:42024).
- [13] J. M. Aldaz and J. L. Varona, Singular measures and convolution operators, *Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.)* 23 (2007) 487–490; math.CA/0207285; MR2292694.
- [14] E. M. Stein and J.-O. Strömberg, Behavior of maximal functions in \mathbb{R}^n for large n , *Ark. Mat.* 21 (1983) 259–269; MR0727348 (86a:42027).