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0.1. Bernstein Polynomials. Bernstein's proof of the Weierstrass approximation theorem makes use of the operator

$$
B_{n} f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} x^{j}(1-x)^{n-j} f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)
$$

given any continuous function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To demonstrate that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n} f(x)=f(x)
$$

uniformly on $[0,1]$ requires a bound of the form

$$
\sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|B_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right| \leq c \cdot \omega\left(f, n^{-1 / 2}\right),
$$

where $\omega(f, \delta)$ is the first modulus of continuity

$$
\omega(f, \delta)=\sup _{|u-v|<\delta}|f(u)-f(v)|
$$

and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$. What is the best possible constant $c$ that works for all $n \geq 1$ ? Starting from [1, 2], Sikkema [3, 4, 5] proved that

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} \sup _{f} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{\left|B_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right|}{\omega\left(f, n^{-1 / 2}\right)}=\frac{4306+837 \sqrt{6}}{5832}=1.0898873310 \ldots
$$

and this value is attained only for $n=6$. Table 1 lists the best possible constants $c_{n}$ that work for specified $n=1,2, \ldots, 8$.

Table 1. Best Constants $c_{n}$ : Exact Expressions and Decimal Approximations

| $n$ | Exact | Decimal | $n$ | Exact | Decimal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | $\frac{21-7 \sqrt{5}}{5}$ | $1.0695048315 \ldots$ |
| 2 | $\frac{5-2 \sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $1.0857864376 \ldots$ | 6 | $\frac{430+837 \sqrt{6}}{5322}$ | $1.0898873310 \ldots$ |
| 3 | $\frac{27-10 \sqrt{3}}{9}$ | $1.0754991027 \ldots$ | 7 | $\frac{35442+33754 \sqrt{7}}{116649}$ | $1.0603293674 \ldots$ |
| 4 | $\frac{17}{16}$ | 1.0625 | 8 | $\frac{3865128-1937991}{83888608}$ | $1.0723266591 \ldots$ |

[^0]Esseen $[6,7,8,9]$ examined the limiting behavior of $c_{n}$ as $n$ grows without bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{f} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{\left|B_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right|}{\omega\left(f, n^{-1 / 2}\right)} & =2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1)(\Phi(2 m+2)-\Phi(2 m)) \\
& =1.0455636083 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}
$$

Of course, we understand to omit constant functions $f$ from the supremum (for which $\omega=0$ ).

Define the second modulus of continuity

$$
\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta)=\sup _{|u-v|<\delta}\left|f(u)-2 f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)+f(v)\right| .
$$

In contrast with the preceding results, the best constant and best asymptotic constant here coincide:

$$
\sup _{f} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{\left|B_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right|}{\tilde{\omega}\left(f, n^{-1 / 2}\right)}=1
$$

for each $n \geq 1$. This was proved only recently by Paltanea [10], building on earlier results $[11,12,13,14,15,16]$. Here, of course, we understand to omit linear functions $f$ from the supremum (for which $\tilde{\omega}=0$ ).

Let us return to the first modulus $\omega$ for the remainder of this essay. Define $\Omega$ to be the set of all continuous functions $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at zero, are nondecreasing and subadditive (meaning $g(x+y) \leq g(x)+g(y)$ always). Each member $g$ of $\Omega$ satisfies $g(x)=\omega(g, x)$ and thus is itself a modulus of continuity. Define $\Omega^{*}$ to be the subset of $\Omega$ whose elements $g$ are such that $x \mapsto x^{-1} g(x)$ is nonincreasing on $(0,1]$. Then [17, 18]

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} \sup _{0<x \leq 1} \sup _{g \in \Omega} \frac{B_{n} g(x)}{g(x)}=2>1.1855905950 \ldots=\alpha=\sup _{0<x \leq 1} \sup _{n \geq 1} \sup _{g \in \Omega^{*}} \frac{B_{n} g(x)}{g(x)}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\sup _{k \geq 0} \sup _{k \leq x \leq k+1} 1+e^{-x}\left(\frac{x^{k}}{k!}-1\right)=1+\frac{\xi^{2}}{2} e^{-\xi}
$$

and $\xi=3.4920333011 \ldots$ is the unique real zero of the cubic equation $x^{3}-3 x^{2}-6=0$.
A seemingly related problem involves the ratio of moduli [19, 20]

$$
\rho_{1}(n)=\sup _{0<\delta \leq 1} \sup _{f} \frac{\omega\left(B_{n} f, \delta\right)}{\omega(f, \delta)}=2
$$

for each $n \geq 1$. There are interesting multivariate versions of this result. Consider the operator

$$
B_{n} f(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} x^{i}(1-x)^{n-i}\binom{n}{j} y^{j}(1-y)^{n-j} f\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right)
$$

given any continuous function $f:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This is also called the bivariate tensor product Bernstein polynomial on the unit square. De La Cal, Cárcamo \& Valle [21, 22] proved that, in this two-dimensional case, the ratio

$$
\rho_{2}(n)=\sup _{0<\delta \leq 1} \sup _{f} \frac{\omega\left(B_{n} f, \delta\right)}{\omega(f, \delta)}
$$

depends on $n$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{n \geq 1} \rho_{2}(n) & =1-\frac{1}{e^{2}}+\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left[1-\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{1}{s!}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =2.3884423285 \ldots=1-e^{-2}+\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta=1.5237776118 \ldots$ is the mean of the maximum of two independent Poisson(1) random variables. One would expect the $k$-dimensional case, $k \geq 3$, to be even more complicated. In fact, $\rho_{k}(n)=k$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence only the bivariate case gives $n$-dependent behavior as well as a new constant, which is quite surprising.
0.2. Müntz-Jackson theorem. Müntz's theorem gives that the power functions

$$
\left\{x^{\lambda_{j}}: 0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots\right\}
$$

generate a dense subspace of the space of all continuous functions on $[0,1]$ if and only if $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1 / \lambda_{j}=\infty$. Jackson's theorem is in the spirit of other results in this essay: It provides bounds on the error in approximating a continuous function $f$ by polynomials in terms of $\omega$. Newman $[23,24]$ combined the two theorems in the following way. Define

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\lambda_{j}: 0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots<\lambda_{n}\right\}
$$

and generalized polynomials

$$
Q_{\Lambda}=\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} x^{\lambda_{j}}: a_{j} \in \mathbb{R} \text { for all } 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\inf _{q \in Q_{\Lambda}} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1}|q(x)-f(x)| \leq C \cdot \omega\left(f, \varepsilon_{\Lambda}\right)
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $f$ and $\Lambda$, and

$$
\varepsilon_{\Lambda}=\sup _{\operatorname{Re}(z)=1}\left|\frac{1}{z} \frac{z-\lambda_{1}}{z+\lambda_{1}} \frac{z-\lambda_{2}}{z+\lambda_{2}} \cdots \frac{z-\lambda_{n}}{z+\lambda_{n}}\right| .
$$

Newman [23, 24] demonstrated that $1 / 50<C<368$ and Odogwu [25] improved the upper bound to 66 . Over and beyond the value of $C$, the Blaschke product formula for $\varepsilon_{\Lambda}$ is intriguing. Special cases (when consecutive $\lambda s$ are at least 2 apart, or when consecutive $\lambda$ s are at most 2 apart) with simpler formulas also exist.

An $L_{p}$-generalization of $\omega$ can be defined; the constants in this essay correspond only to the case $p=\infty$. It would be good to see their $L_{p}$-analogs for $p<\infty$. Clearly $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f, \delta) \cdot \delta^{-1}=0$ implies that $f$ is constant. Consequences of the weaker condition $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f, \delta) \cdot \ln (\delta)=0$ are mentioned in [26].
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