Excursion Durations

STEVEN FINCH

May 12, 2008

This essay bears some resemblance to [1], but comes from a different viewpoint. Let $\{X_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ denote standard Brownian motion and fix a time $0 < \tau < 1$. The **excursion** straddling τ is $\{X_t : \alpha_\tau \le t \le \beta_\tau\}$, where

$$\alpha_{\tau} = \sup\{t < \tau : X_t = 0\}, \quad \beta_{\tau} = \inf\{t > \tau : X_t = 0\}.$$

We are interested in the **duration** $\beta_t - \alpha_\tau$ of this excursion, as well as all excursions staddling earlier times. More precisely, let

 $M_{\tau} - 1 = \#\{$ excursions completed by time τ whose durations exceed $\tau - \alpha_{\tau}\},\$

 $N_{\tau} - 1 = \#\{\text{excursions completed by time } \tau \text{ whose durations exceed } \beta_{\tau} - \alpha_{\tau}\};$

we wish to compute the probability that $M_{\tau} = 1$ (the current excursion, measured up to time τ , has a record duration) and the probability that $N_{\tau} = 1$ (the current excursion, measured to its completion, has a record duration). Since $\beta_{\tau} \geq \tau$, it is clear that $M_{\tau} \geq N_{\tau}$. Simple scaling arguments show that the distribution of M_{τ} and the distribution of N_{τ} are independent of τ .

Define functions

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-xu} u^{-3/2} du = e^{-x} - \sqrt{\pi x} \operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{x}),$$
$$\psi(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - e^{-xu}) u^{-3/2} du = e^{-x} + \sqrt{\pi x} \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{x})$$

where

$$\operatorname{erf}(y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \exp(-v^2) \, dv = 1 - \operatorname{erfc}(y);$$

then [2, 3]

$$\mathcal{P}(M_{\tau}=k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \varphi(x)^{k-1} \psi(x)^{-k} dx,$$

 $^0\mathrm{Copyright}$ © 2008 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

$$\mathcal{P}(N_{\tau} = k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} (1 - e^{-x}) \varphi(x)^{k-1} \psi(x)^{-k} dx.$$

Numerical integration gives

$$P(M_{\tau} = k) = \begin{cases} 0.6265075987... & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0.1430092516... & \text{if } k = 2, \\ 0.0630157050... & \text{if } k = 3, \\ 0.0356483608... & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}$$
$$P(N_{\tau} = k) = \begin{cases} 0.8003100322... & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0.0812481569... & \text{if } k = 2, \\ 0.0334196946... & \text{if } k = 3, \\ 0.0184590943... & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}$$

and asymptotic analysis gives, as $k \to \infty$,

$$P(M_{\tau} = k) \sim \frac{2}{\pi k^2}, \quad P(N_{\tau} = k) \sim \frac{1}{\pi k^2}.$$

It is striking that the current excursion is, with fairly high probability, of duration greater than all preceding excursions!

Let $L_1 > L_2 > L_3 > \ldots > 0$ denote the ranked durations of excursions of X_t . Note that $\sum L_j = 1$ almost surely. The joint probability law of (L_1, L_2, L_3, \ldots) follows what is called the Poisson-Dirichlet (1/2, 0) distribution. If instead X_t is a Brownian bridge (meaning that $X_1 = 0$), then the Poisson-Dirichlet (1/2, 1/2) distribution emerges. Can numerical results for $P(M_{\tau})$ and $P(N_{\tau})$ be found in this case? We also wonder what happens when X_t is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [4].

Addendum The constant 0.6265... also appears in [5], as well as the Golomb-Dickman constant 0.6243... [6].

References

- [1] S. R. Finch, Zero crossings, unpublished note (2003).
- [2] C. L. Scheffer, The rank of the present excursion, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 55 (1995) 101–118; MR1312151 (96m:60189).
- [3] J. Pitman and M. Yor, The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator, Annals of Probab. 25 (1997) 855–900; http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/pitman/433.pdf; MR1434129 (98f:60147).
- [4] J. Pitman and M. Yor, On the lengths of excursions of some Markov processes, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXI, ed. J. Azéma, M. Emery and M. Yor, Lect. Notes in Math. 1655, Springer, 1997, pp. 272–286; MR1478737 (98j:60108).

- [5] S. N. Majumdar and R. M. Ziff, Universal record statistics of random walks and Lévy flights, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) 050601; arXiv:0806.0057; MR2430298.
- [6] S. R. Finch, Golomb-Dickman constant, Mathematical Constants, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 284–292.