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Let $a, b, c$ denote the sides of a triangle, $h$ denote the altitude to side $c$, and $\gamma$ denote the angle opposite $c$. It is known that the inequality $[1,2]$

$$
a+b>c+h
$$

is true for all triangles with $\gamma<\pi-4 \arctan (1 / 2)=1.2870022175 \ldots \approx 73.74^{\circ}$ but is false for all triangles with $\gamma \geq \pi / 2$. For the intermediate range of angles, there are several ways to express the percentage of triangles satisfying the inequality. Certain authors [3] assumed that the angles $\alpha, \beta$ opposite sides $a, b$ are uniformly distributed on the region

$$
0<\alpha<\pi, \quad 0<\beta<\pi, \quad \alpha+\beta<\pi
$$

Let

$$
K=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[2 \arctan \left(1-\tan \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-x\right)\right] d x=0.2922839193 \ldots
$$

for convenience. Supposing $0<\gamma<\pi$, the probability that a random triangle satisfies the inequality is

$$
1-\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}+K\right)=1-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{2 K}{\pi^{2}}=0.690770 \ldots
$$

Supposing instead $0<\gamma<\pi / 2$, the probability is

$$
1-\frac{8 K}{3 \pi^{2}}=0.921027 \ldots
$$

(This is why $a+b>c+h$ is said to hold for "most" triangles with acute $\gamma$.) Supposing instead $\pi-4 \arctan (1 / 2)<\gamma<\pi / 2$, the probability is

$$
1-\frac{8 K}{64 \arctan (1 / 2)^{2}-\pi^{2}}=0.398657 \ldots
$$

[^0]We wonder about the odds corresponding to a fixed angle $\gamma$ in the intermediate range. This is found by integrating the joint $(\alpha, \beta)$-density

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} & \text { if } 0<x<\pi, 0<y<\pi \text { and } x+y<\pi \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

to obtain a marginal density

$$
f(x)=\int_{0}^{\pi-x} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} d y=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}(\pi-x)
$$

the desired probability is hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\frac{1}{f(\gamma)} \int_{z}^{w} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} d x & =\frac{2 z}{\pi-\gamma} \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \gamma=\pi-4 \arctan (1 / 2), \\
0.770368 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=5 \pi / 12=75^{\circ}, \\
0.335397 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=11 \pi / 24=82.5^{\circ} \\
0.166040 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=23 \pi / 48=86.25^{\circ} \\
0 & \text { if } \gamma=\pi / 2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z$ is the smallest positive solution of the equation

$$
\tan \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)+\cot \left(\frac{\gamma+z}{2}\right)=1
$$

and $w=\pi-\gamma-z$.
We additionally wonder about the odds corresponding to a more natural choice of distribution for $\alpha, \beta$. One difficulty with the preceding is that $\gamma=\pi-\alpha-\beta$ is not uniform; further, while $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent, the same is not true for $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ or for $\beta$ and $\gamma$. If the triangle vertices are independent random Gaussian points in two dimensions, all of which have mean vector zero and covariance matrix identity, then we have joint $(\alpha, \beta)$-density $[4,5]$

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{6}{\pi} \frac{\sin (x) \sin (y) \sin (x+y)}{\left(\sin (x)^{2}+\sin (y)^{2}+\sin (x+y)^{2}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } 0<x<\pi, 0<y<\pi \text { and } x+y<\pi \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Integrating with respect to $y$ over $[0, \pi-x]$, a marginal density $[4,6]$

$$
g(x)=\frac{3}{\pi} \frac{\cos (x)}{\left(4-\cos (x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\arcsin \left(\frac{\cos (x)}{2}\right)\right)+\frac{3}{\pi} \frac{1}{4-\cos (x)^{2}}
$$

emerges. The desired probability becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\frac{1}{g(\gamma)} \int_{z}^{w} \frac{6}{\pi} \frac{\sin (\gamma) \sin (x) \sin (\gamma+x)}{\left(\sin (\gamma)^{2}+\sin (x)^{2}+\sin (\gamma+x)^{2}\right)^{2}} d x \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \gamma=\pi-4 \arctan (1 / 2), \\
0.662855 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=5 \pi / 12=75^{\circ}, \\
0.141612 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=11 \pi / 24=82.5^{\circ}, \\
0.034758 \ldots & \text { if } \gamma=23 \pi / 48=86.25^{\circ}, \\
0 & \text { if } \gamma=\pi / 2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z, w$ are exactly as before.
Another benefit of working with 2D Gaussian triangles is that the joint density for sides $a, b, c$ is available $[4,7]$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{3 \pi} \frac{a b c}{\sqrt{(a+b+c)(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{6}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\right) \\
0 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { if }|a-b|<c<a+b, \\
\text { otherwise. }
\end{array}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The (ordinary) triangle inequality gives rise to an expected difference

$$
\mathrm{E}(a+b-c)=\sqrt{\pi}=1.7724538509 \ldots
$$

and an expected ratio

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{a+b}{c}\right) \approx 2.94
$$

For the strong triangle inequality, we utilize a variation of the density function

$$
\frac{1}{3 \pi} a b \exp \left[-\frac{1}{3}\left(a^{2}-a b \cos (\gamma)+b^{2}\right)\right]
$$

over $a>0, b>0,0<\gamma<\pi$ to compute the expected difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(a+b-c-h) & =\mathrm{E}\left(a+b-\sqrt{a^{2}-2 a b \cos (\gamma)+b^{2}}-\frac{a b \sin (\gamma)}{\sqrt{a^{2}-2 a b \cos (\gamma)+b^{2}}}\right) \\
& \approx 0.79
\end{aligned}
$$

and the expected ratio

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{a+b}{c+h}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{a+b}{\sqrt{a^{2}-2 a b \cos (\gamma)+b^{2}}+\frac{a b \sin (\gamma)}{\sqrt{a^{2}-2 a b \cos (\gamma)+b^{2}}}}\right) \\
& \approx 1.44 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Other natural models to consider are 3D Gaussian triangles [4] and broken $L$ triangles of unit perimeter [8].

Let us turn attention away from a Euclidean setting and toward the hyperbolic plane. The strong triangle inequality holds for any hyperbolic triangle if $\gamma<\xi$ where $\xi=1.1496525950 \ldots \approx 65.87^{\circ}$ is the smallest positive solution of the equation [9]

$$
-1-\cos (\xi)+\sin (\xi)+\sin \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) \sin (\xi)=0
$$

Analogous probabilistic results for uniform angles are uncovered in [10]. An unusual feature of the latter paper is its careful analysis - numerical results here can be computed to arbitrary precision and the error can be bounded - we wonder if such rigor can be feasibly carried over to the Gaussian case.
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