

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



April 2016 No 943



Shock Video:

Alex Jones Is Assaulted After Turning On Trump

Published on 29 February 2016

In this special satire Infowars report, Alex Jones denounces his support for presidential candidate Donald Trump and joins the mainstream media's attacks on Trump as he fires Infowars reporter Lee Ann McAdoo for her continued support of the GOP Front Runner.

Help us spread the word about the liberty movement, we're reaching millions help us reach millions more. Share the free live video feed link with your friends & family: http://www.infowars.com/show_https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qt6UunbXnww&ebc=ANyPxKqFffhoNt3Zars9O6v867znKv26y7M0sDLZ0smmVNP8QFV4Ng8IXPad9g7d0LpOCP0UIR4uKKj236KSup1VntJvIVVWMO



Trump Dukes It Out with the Media and Wins Again

Colin Liddell, March 10, 2016



But isn't it un-American and wrong to discriminate against people based on their religion?

How Donald Trump Answers A Question - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aFo_BV-UzI

Donald Trump is repeatedly attacked by his enemies and gently critiqued by [well-wishers](#) for being an ill-informed, brash, broad-strokes kind of politician. But careful analysis suggests that he is actually a lot more intelligent than both friends and foes realize. A [careful look](#) at his speech patterns reveal that he has a whole meta-view of language that make his opponents seems retarded by comparison, as described in this dissection of his speech patterns.

Yet further proof of Trump's genius can be found in the way he has handled the David Duke controversy and various calls to "disavow" David Duke's supposed endorsement. It has been reasonably argued that Duke did not endorse Trump, and in a strict sense he hasn't.

But it is clear that Trump is in fact the preferred candidate of White advocates and race realists, like David Duke, and this position brings with it a two-fold danger.

Firstly, there is the obvious danger of being associated with views that are still regarded as toxic by many voters, and, secondly, there is the opposite danger of disavowing too strongly.

With regard to the first danger, this will be more of a problem after he wins the Republican nomination, assuming that the momentum stays with him and the Republican Party hierarchy limits itself to petty chicanery in its attempt to derail him. After that point, in the head-to-head contest with the Democratic candidate, he will have to win over large numbers of people who will be put off if the accusation that he is a "racist" can be made to stick.

We have to assume that Trump is aware of this danger and is developing a strategy to deal with it, possibly drafting in Ben Carson as a running mate. As someone living in Japan, I also noted last year with some interest that Trump's Miss Universe organization oversaw the selection of the first mixed-race "Miss Universe Japan." Would it be too tin-foil-hat to speculate that Trump himself may have been behind that decision, in order to have it ready to pull out at some point in the campaign to prove his non-racist bona fides?

But while being seen as racist is the most obvious danger, the second danger, that of "disavowing" too strongly, is more interesting and revealing. For a start, it has two distinct aspects. Firstly, it puts Trump in the position of dancing to someone else's tune and therefore looking weak, and secondly it risks alienating racially aware or partially racially aware supporters.

Regarding the first aspect, Ramzpaul has [described](#) this as a "compliance test" set by organizations like the ADL to demonstrate their hegemonic power over Trump. Regardless of the racial aspect, for Trump to jump up and down on command and jump through hoops detracts from one his main appeals — the fact that the voters think he is his own man. In fact, it could be argued that bending over backwards to reassure voters he is "not racist" would even lose him some Black votes, as many Blacks are attracted by Trump's alpha male qualities.

The second element is, of course, racial. For many White voters, their support for Trump is a form of coded or masked White advocacy — a textbook example of [implicit Whiteness](#). From his statements, Trump himself does not

seem to be a racially aware person and can best be described as a civic nationalist. Evidence of this might be his Jewish son-in-law, although his [comment](#) that "this was not in the plan [I didn't really want her to marry a Jew and become Jewish?], but I'm very glad it happened [this definitely helps my image of not being racially conscious?]" could be construed a number of ways. But, despite his lack of strong evidence for White consciousness, his campaign, with its themes of sensible immigration control and its anti-politically-correct tone, has become a lightning rod for White discontent.

Trump's awareness of this racial aspect must remain an open question, but his handling of the David Duke controversy suggests that there is at least some awareness. In this case the timing was very significant, with the media deciding to raise this issue in the immediate run-up to Super Tuesday, with polls showing Trump locked in battle across a range of important Southern States with Ted Cruz.

A few days before Super Tuesday, the Duke question was put to Trump on CNN's "State of the Union" by Jake Tapper, who asked him, "Do you condemn David Duke and say you don't want his vote or that of other White supremacists in this election?"

Rather than doing what other politicians would do in this position — namely leap at the chance to show their anti-racist credentials and get a pat on the head — Trump refused to answer the question directly and instead reframed things along the lines of "Why are we even talking about this?"

The end result of this was that those looking for ammunition to call Trump a "racist" were left grasping thin air, but, more importantly, racially conscious Whites as well as Trump supporters who feel in their bones that this election is in fact about race were left feeling that Trump "understands."

In subsequent encounters, Trump more or less tacked between these two points of denying his opponents easy "racist" ammunition while dog-whistling to racially motivated supporters that he was not a hoop jumper for the ADL.

The subtlety and sense of Trump's approach was revealed both on Super Tuesday and the following primaries, where he scored narrow victories over Ted Cruz in key Southern states, including Louisiana, David Duke's home state, where we can deduce that his refusal to go overboard denouncing Duke probably bolstered his vote by a few vital percentage points. In Arkansas he beat Cruz with 33% to 30% of the vote, in Kentucky by 36% to 34%, while in Louisiana it was 41% to 38%.

If Cruz had managed to take these three states, Trump would be looking a lot less dominant and presidential than he is now. It is reasonable to suppose that Trump's refusal to throw Duke under the bus so conveniently provided by the mainstream media in the immediate run-up to these important contests aided his victories. It also demonstrated the candidate's tactical cunning and high intelligence.

<http://www.theoccidentobserver.net/2016/03/trump-dukes-it-out-with-the-media-and-wins-again/>

When anti-discrimination turns to shouting down

Lyle Shelton, March 10, 2016 - 6:30PM



Chief Minister Andrew Barr. Photo: Jeffrey Chan

Andrew Barr's impassioned defence of the so-called Safe Schools program in the Legislative Assembly on Wednesday did not go into the concerns many parents have with their kids being inducted into contested gender theory. No one, especially people of faith, want to see children bullied at school for any reason. Full stop.

But missing from the Chief Minister's defence was a rationale as to why an anti-bullying program urges schools to change their policies so boys identifying as girls should be allowed in the girls toilets and to share school camp accommodation. It is not clear why school teachers should cease using gender specific terms such as "boys and girls" when referring to children.

No one has explained why four year olds should be encouraged to read the Safe Schools resource book *Gender Fairy* which tells them no one can tell you what gender you are. Safe Schools heavily promotes the Minus 18 organisation and its website which gives instruction in chest binding to girls and penis tucking for boys.

How is this instruction relevant to anti-bullying? Leading feminists rightly contest gender theory – the idea that gender is a social construct with nothing to do with a person's biological make-up. Johns Hopkins hospital in the United States ceased gender reassignment surgery in the 1970s because it was found to not make trans people happier.

A 2011 study in the world's most trans-friendly nation, Sweden, found the suicide mortality (not ideation) was 20 times higher in the trans population than the non-trans population. While people may argue about causality for this unspeakably sad statistic, it is hardly likely that "progressive" Sweden's stigmatisation of transgendered people is the cause.

Telling kids that "penis and vagina sex is not the only sex and certainly not the ultimate" has little to do with anti-

bullying and more with usurping parents' rights to provide moral formation for their children. Safe Schools, through its promotion of Minus 18, downplays the seriousness of sexually transmitted diseases, undermining decades of safe-sex messages.

"So I done f.....d up. Literally. One Grindr hook-up gone wrong and that's how I got myself some gonorrhoea," Minus 18 says. It's easy to poke fun at "Neanderthal conservatives" because that doesn't require thinking or engaging with their argument.

Federal parliamentarian George Christensen was right to reveal the websites, such as the Toolbox, which the Safe-Schools-promoted Minus 18 website directs children as young as 11 to click. If I sat down with a child in front of a computer and directed her or him to click through the images of artificial penises and exploited women in fetish positions I would probably be in trouble with the police. Under the cover of the confected outrage at Christensen, Minus 18 quietly de-linked the Toolbox minutes after his speech to Parliament.

It's easy for Mr Barr to criticise ACL for calling for a level playing field for free speech in the up-coming marriage plebiscite. If he was following the debate, he would know that our motivation in calling for a suspension of anti-discrimination laws is not so we can unleash a tirade of hate against our fellow Australians.

Surely he must know that a Roman Catholic Archbishop has been before the Tasmanian Anti-Discrimination Commission for more than six months. Archbishop Julian Porteous' crime was not hate speech, it was simply telling the truth about marriage and its importance to children.

If Mr Barr thinks this is a good use of the law, he should say so. If marriage is redefined in law, it will be interesting to see whether or not programs like "Safe Schools" morph from voluntary to compulsory, including for private schools. It will be interesting to see if anti-discrimination laws continue to be used to silence anyone who dares say out loud that marriage is the exclusive union of one man and one woman to the exclusion of all others voluntarily entered into for life.

Sadly I fear that rainbow politics is ushering in a new era of intolerance backed by the big stick of the law.

Lyle Shelton is the managing director of the Australian Christian Lobby.

<http://www.theage.com.au/comment/whenantidiscrimination-turns-to-shouting-down-20160310-gnffgc.html>

Abbott, Credlin and the abuse of power

By [Barrie Cassidy](#), 11 March 2016



PHOTO: [Absolute power, in Peta Credlin's case, did not corrupt, but it did lead to a deeply flawed system. Absolute power flawed the system absolutely.](#) (ABC News)

To dismiss Niki Savva's book, *The Road to Ruin*, as scuttlebutt or to just focus on rumours that Tony Abbott and Peta Credlin had an affair is an insult to all those involved who spoke up about the real issue - the abuse of power. Barrie Cassidy writes.

The two elected prime ministers who fell the fastest were Kevin Rudd and Tony Abbott. Neither survived a first term, cut down by their own.

Why do you think that happened? What was the common thread?

The answer is straightforward.

They gave extraordinary and dangerous authority to their staff. On top of that, in the case of Abbott - as forensically and convincingly told by Niki Savva in her book, *The Road to Ruin* - the key staffer, the chief of staff, Peta Credlin, used that "outsourced power" ruthlessly and indiscriminately, subjecting ministers and staff "to regular tirades, replete with vile language".

In Rudd's case, he took care of the abuse himself.

The great pity with the release of *The Road to Ruin* is that the distraction around rumours that Abbott and Credlin were having an affair, robbed the more essential debate - around abuse of power - of the oxygen it deserved.

Media player: "Space" to play, "M" to mute, "left" and "right" to seek.

Neither did it get enough attention when Rudd transgressed. As I argued in my 2010 book, *The Party Thieves*, the more Rudd's popularity soared, the more he ruled alone, taking only sycophantic advice and being answerable to no one - not the party, the executive, the Cabinet or the caucus. MPs complained they were either ignored or abused.

Abbott and Credlin though turned that into an art form.

The media has focused largely on the visit Senator Concetta Fierravanti-Wells made to both Abbott and Credlin raising concerns that many people inside the Government believed they were having an affair.

The minister worried that the complex relationship between the two was having a detrimental impact on their lives, on their ability to do their jobs, and on the standing of the Government, and that's why she told Credlin she should go.

That's an important conversation, confirmed by the minister. It's not rumour or innuendo. It happened. To suggest that it should not have been in the book is ridiculous.

But the telling of that conversation has distracted from so many other well informed anecdotes and experiences that go to the heart of why Abbott failed. That is, Credlin's abuse of both power and people.

Here are some examples.

Fiona Telford: Previously, a Victorian-based press secretary who joined the office of Helen Coonan in 2007.

She says the belittling, the intimidation by Credlin, began early, with name calling and finger pointing in front of colleagues.

It built to intolerable levels.

She was eventually given a dressing down by Credlin who called her "a fucking useless bitch". She went home in tears, and says in the book that she fell into a state of anxiety and depression that lasted for more than three years.

Suzanne Kasprzak: An executive assistant. She had handled John Howard's diary for five years and built a reputation as a stalwart and an absolute professional.

For whatever reason Credlin turned on her.

According to Kasprzak, Credlin "would go into her office, close the door and start yelling at her. It happened time and again, with Credlin shouting at her that she was moody, she was inefficient, people were complaining about her. On it went."

Eventually Abbott called her into his office, told her he had never been better served by anybody, but because of a restructure, she had to go.

He gave her a bunch of flowers which she threw in the bin.

Murray Cranston: A devoted long time staffer. Towards the end of 2012 he told Credlin in front of a full staff meeting that she was the problem; that her mood swings and treatment of junior staff had a dramatic effect on morale. They were tired of being yelled at or sworn at.

Credlin fled the room in tears, closely followed by Abbott. The advance man in the office, Richard Dowdy, told staffers he saw the prime minister-in-waiting sitting outside on the kerb of a busy Sydney street, trying to calm down his inconsolable chief of staff.

Rather than take Cranston's judgment on board, Abbott counselled him and insisted he buy Credlin a bunch of flowers as an apology. When he presented them, Credlin tossed them aside.

Another staffer, Claire Kimball, had the courage to tell Abbott as she left that he would end up "friendless, policy-less, a national joke, with Peta Credlin still hanging off you".

Senator Fierravanti-Wells told Credlin: "One day Tony will be sitting on a park bench in Manly feeding the pigeons, and he will blame you."

See the pattern? So many people prepared to put their names to these events. Yet the book is being dismissed as scuttlebutt. What an insult to all those involved.

And to argue, as some have, that Credlin is being unfairly singled out because she is a woman, is an insult as well; to all those women who have been the victim of sexism.

The behaviour so graphically described by staff would be intolerable coming from anybody, male or female. There is no gender issue here.

It is nonsense too for Credlin to write that she hopes "this doesn't put off smart women from joining the political fray".

Smart women should have no such fears, provided they don't behave as she did. And provided some prime minister into the future does not do what both Rudd and Abbott did: give staffers the authority and clout of the most senior ministers.

Some have argued - and they did in support of Rudd - that those around politicians should toughen up. Abuse comes with the territory.

I despair that some journalists coming into the political system in the last 10 years might feel that this kind of behaviour has always been the norm.

It never was, not until Rudd came onto the scene, and then Abbott after him.

Consider the two most successful long term governments since Bob Menzies - those of Bob Hawke and John Howard. Both administrations were characterised by civility and order within their office structures.

It's helpful to look back at key people in Hawke's office, people I worked closely with; the policy advisers.

Ross Garnaut (now professor of economics at ANU), Peter Harris (now Chairman of the Productivity Commission), Rod Sims (now Chairman of the ACCC), Sandy Hollway (CEO of the Sydney Organising Committee for the Olympic Games), Dennis Richardson (Ambassador to the US and now head of the Department of Defence), John Bowan (who became Ambassador to Germany), Craig Emerson (who became Minister for Trade) and Stephen Sedgwick (who became Secretary of the Department of Finance).

All of them operated with freedom and autonomy, and they did their jobs superbly. None of them would have tolerated a single individual wielding the power that Credlin did; they would not have stood back and allowed

the abuse and the intimidation to go on. And neither should they have.

By contrast, what will become of those who lived the Abbott experience under Credlin's control?

That's the real lesson of Savva's amazing book. And it was the real lesson of the Rudd downfall as well. How power and authority was used and abused.

Absolute power, in Credlin's case, did not corrupt, but it did lead to a deeply flawed system. Absolute power flawed the system absolutely.

Rudd and Abbott fell so rapidly. Hawke and Howard prospered for years.

What a pity it will be for future governments if the earlier experiences are forgotten; and the more recent lessons are lost as well in a narrow partisan and bitter row over a rumoured affair.

[Abbott and Credlin deserved a right of reply](#)



[Niki Savva had her reasons for not seeking a right of reply from Tony Abbott and Peta Credlin for her book, but by skipping this fundamental part of journalistic ethics she risks undermining political reporting more broadly, writes Sarah Maddison.](#)

Barrie Cassidy is the presenter of the ABC TV program [Insiders](#).

<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2016-0311/cassidy-abbott-credlin-and-the-abuse-of-power/7237386>

**The absurdity of pursuing Iran for 9:11
Is this desperation of further setting the event into legal concrete
driven by the Trumpism phenomenon sweeping the USA?**



Iran ordered to pay \$10.5 billion for 9/11 by US judge

Judge orders Iran to pay \$10.5 billion to 9/11 victims

Published time: 10 Mar, 2016 20:04 Edited time: 10 Mar, 2016 23:38

A US judge ordered Iran to pay over \$10 billion in damages to families of victims who died on September 11, 2001 – even though there is no evidence of Tehran's direct connection to the attack. The same judge earlier cleared Saudi Arabia from culpability.

The default judgement [was issued](#) by US District Judge George Daniels in New York on Wednesday. Under the ruling, Tehran was ordered to pay \$7.5 billion to 9/11 victims' families, including \$2 million to each victim's estate for pain and suffering, and another \$6.88 million in punitive damages. Insurers who paid for property damage and claimed their businesses were interrupted were awarded an additional \$3 billion in the ruling.

The ruling is noteworthy particularly since none of the 19 hijackers on September 11 were Iranian citizens. Fifteen were citizens of Saudi Arabia, while two were from the United Arab Emirates, and one each from Egypt and Lebanon.

Saudi Arabia was legally cleared from paying billions in damages to families of 9/11 victims last year, after Judge Daniels dismissed claims that the country provided material support to the terrorists and ruled that Riyadh had sovereign immunity. Saudi attorneys argued in court that there was no evidence directly linking the country to 9/11.

In response to the latest ruling, Hossein Sheikholeslam, a senior aide to Iran's parliamentary speaker, called the decision "absurd and ridiculous."

"I never heard about this ruling and I'm very much surprised because the judge had no reason whatsoever to issue such a ruling... Iran never took part in any court hearings related to the events of September 11, 2001," he told [Sputnik](#). "Even if such an absurd and ridiculous decision has been made, the charges simply hold no water because Iran has never been mentioned at any stage of the investigation and the trials that followed."

While Sheikholeslam argued that Iran didn't take part in related hearings, that lack of participation may have contributed to the decision. A default judgment is typically issued when one of the parties involved in a case does not respond to court summons or appear in court to make their case.

Judge Daniels found that Iran failed to defend itself against claims that it played a role in 9/11. Iran believes the lawsuit is unnecessary because it says it did not participate in the attack.

In the US, Tehran's role in 9/11 has been debated heavily over the years. The [9/11 Commission Report](#) stated that some hijackers moved through Iran and did not have their passports stamped. It also stated that Hezbollah, which the US designates as a terrorist

organization supported by Iran, provided "advice and training" to Al-Qaeda members.

In a [court document](#) filed in 2011 regarding the latest case, plaintiffs claimed Hezbollah "provided material support" to Al-Qaeda, such as facilitating travel, plus "direct support" for the 9/11 attacks. As a result, the plaintiffs argued Iran was liable.

However, the commission report itself found no evidence to suggest Iran was aware of the 9/11 plot, and suggested the possibility that if Hezbollah was tracking the movements of Al-Qaeda members, it may not have been eyeing those who became hijackers on 9/11.

While the report suggested further investigation into the issue, President George W. Bush has said, "There was no direct connection between Iran and the attacks of September 11."

Iran, inhabited mostly by Shia Muslims, has also denied any connection to Al-Qaeda – a militant Sunni group – and cooperation between the two has been questioned due to religious differences. Al-Qaeda views the Shia as heretics, for example.

"The people who committed those terrorist attacks were neither friends nor allies of Iran," Iran Press Editor-in-Chief Emad Abshenas told Sputnik. "They were our sworn enemies, members of Al-Qaeda, which considers Iran as their enemy. Fifteen out of the 19 terrorists were Saudi citizens, which happens to be America's best friend. The remaining four terrorists lived in Saudi Arabia and enjoyed Saudi support. Therefore the perpetrators of the 9/11 attacks had nothing to do with Iran."

How the case moves forward after Daniels' ruling is unclear. According to Bloomberg, it can be very hard to obtain damages from another country, but plaintiffs might try to do so by targeting Iranian funds frozen by the US.

<https://www.rt.com/usa/317129-saudi-terror-funding-dismissed/>

Read more:

Judge tosses 9/11 suit against Saudi Arabia, citing sovereign immunity



<https://www.rt.com/usa/317129-saudi-terror-funding-dismissed/>

From The Ugly Truth:

"The future destruction of Palmyra will be a day of rejoicing for Israel" (Yev. 17a)

We posted an article last May quoting from Jewish 'holy' scriptures, revealing how the Jews had been waiting for the destruction of Palmyra for the past 2000 years ([LINK](#)). This video shows what is now left of that ancient site. Unforgivable crime against Humanity. Never forgive... Never forget.

Daesh terrorists wiping Ancient Palmyra off the map

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0RjxHaFjciw>

Trump puts human species in deep trouble: Chomsky

Thu Mar 10, 2016 6:53PM



Famed thinker Noam Chomsky says Donald Trump would threaten humanity if he becomes president.

Prominent American scientist and political activist Noam Chomsky has warned that Donald Trump's presidency would put humanity in deep trouble for five reasons, ranging from human rights to the environment.

"Trump says all sorts of things," Chomsky said in an interview this week with the AlterNet news service.

"Some of them make sense; some of them are crazy. But the US is an extremely powerful state [and] if Trump means what he's saying, the human species is in very deep trouble."



Noam Chomsky

Having attributed Trump's rise to the American people's "perceptions of loss and fear" in his previous interviews, the renowned academic this time focused on the consequences of the real estate magnate's presidency.

Looming World War

Chomsky noted that the US is viewed as the "greatest threat to world peace by a large margin," citing American-run polls.

"Right now the spectacle of the Republican primary is frightening people around the world, justifiably," he added, noting that Trump has won most of the contests held so far to select the Republican Party's presidential nominee.

"To have somebody who's kind of a wild man with his finger on the button that could destroy the world or make decisions with enormous influence is an extremely frightening prospect," Chomsky said.

Surge of torture

Trump has repeatedly made outrageous pledges to bring back waterboarding – simulated drowning of detainees—and a "hell of a lot worse," while authorizing the military to kill families of suspected terrorists.

"Trump said, 'Fine, let's torture people,'" Chomsky noted. "In fact, the kind of torture that's outlawed by international law and became international scandal—waterboarding—he said 'that's the least of it, let's do more.'"

Last December, a bipartisan report by US Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) provided details of torture on detainees between 2001 and 2006 during the so-called war on terror.

Refugees will be ignored

Referring to Trump's controversial immigration policies, Chomsky said "'Let's keep all Muslims out of the country' [Trump said], Let's build a wall, or rather let's get Mexico to build a wall to prevent people from fleeing into the United States."

"Now, where are they fleeing from? Mostly from Central America where they're fleeing from the results of our policies," the academic continued.

Continuation of global warming

Chomsky argued that Trump and his party would block any major step to slow down the process, particularly measures to stop production from coal plants.

"Global warming is a hugely significant phenomenon; the effects of global warming are extremely severe. The Republican Party, Trump in particular, will block measures to stop production from coal plants. Trump simply says, 'It's not happening.' That's quite apart from other policies he's advocating," Chomsky explained.

When asked about global warming by Hugh Hewitt last September, Trump said "I'm not a believer in man-made global warming."

Trump argued that the Earth's temperature is going up but would start cooling down "at some point."

Fall of race relations

"The people flocking to Trump's banner are the people for whom the death rate is actually increasing," Chomsky said. "They have seen themselves as having their lives taken away from them, their dignity taken away, the possibilities for the future taken away. That has happened before in the past and the outcomes were not pretty."

<http://www.presstv.com/Detail/2016/03/10/455009/Trump-WorldWar-Chomsky/>

Chicago Protesters Reinforce the Implicit Whiteness of Donald Trump's Candidacy:

Trump voters fear becoming a minority

[Kevin MacDonald](#), March 12, 2016 — [15 Comments](#)

Donald Trump is the implicitly White candidate. One indication of this is that he is [doing better](#) in primaries where there is cross-over voting, implying that independents and Democrats, especially working-class Whites who have not already bailed on the party of Al Sharpton, La Raza, and the rest of the Rainbow Coalition, are attracted to his populist themes. In the most recent debate (March 10) Trump emphasized that he is drawing support from Democrats and independents in an effort to

defuse Republican fears that he is appealing only to a very narrow base.

In effect Trump is expanding the White base of the GOP – to the point that pretty soon the only Whites voting Democrat will be college professors and the young SJWs who take them seriously.

The cross-over appeal of Trump will only be increased by the violent clashes between Trump supporters and protesters in Chicago (March 11). Most Whites will associate the opposition to Trump with last year's BLM

and radical left riots and protests, like those in Ferguson, Baltimore, and numerous college campuses where speech that offends the left is routinely shouted down in a torrent of (often anti-White) hatred phrased as lofty moralism. The Chicago protesters looked like a combination of BLM protesters and Bernie Sanders-supporting, White SJWs — a combination that is likely to anger a very large swath of White America. I would be amazed if Trump did not benefit from this.

The implicit Whiteness of Trump's campaign is apparent in the results of a recent poll by two UMass researchers, Tatishe M. Nteta and Brian Schaffner showing that Whites are fearful of a majority-minority America — as well they should be given the bloody history of ethnic conflict throughout the ages (["New poll shows Trump supporters more likely to fear majority-minority America"](#)).

The poll directly measured Americans' fear of the demographic change that is projected to make the United States a majority-minority nation by the year 2043. Recent work has found that white Americans, once told about this impending demographic shift, are more likely to identify with the Republican Party, to express conservative policy positions, and view themselves as conservatives.

This, of course, is further evidence of the [racialization of American politics](#) and the [implicit Whiteness](#) of identifying as a Republican and holding mainstream conservative views (see also my VDARE comment on the previous research cited by Nteta and Schaffner on what happens when you ask White people about being a minority: ["Diversity Is Strength! It's Also...Racially Polarizing Politics, Despite MSM Efforts To Lull Whites"](#)). The results fit well with the finding that an [increasing percentage](#) of Whites are voting Republican, around 1.5% in each presidential election cycle.

The percentage increase may be much higher with Donald Trump because he is stressing the most important implicit White issue, immigration, not to mention his many violations of political correctness, likely also an implicitly White issue.

Specifically, the poll asked the following:

According to the U.S. Census Department, by 2043 African Americans, Latinos, and people of Asian descent will make up a majority of the population. In general, do you think that this is a good thing or bad thing for the nation?

Of the respondents who expressed an interest in voting in the Republican primary, just 6 percent saw the ascent of the minority population as a good thing, while 45 percent said it was a bad thing, and 49 percent said neither. Trump won the support of more than 60 percent of those who responded "bad thing" to this question.

The relationship between responses this question and Trump support persists even after accounting for a respondent's ideological affiliation, educational experiences, age and gender. Individuals who think the increase in the minority population is a bad thing are 20 percentage points more likely to support Trump than those who responded "good thing" or "neither."

My only question is why the numbers of "neither" are so high and why only 60% of people who think becoming a minority is a bad thing plan to vote for Trump given that he is the only candidate to implicitly address this issue with his talk about building the wall, deporting illegal aliens and having a moratorium on Muslims. Of course, the respondents were from the very blue state of Massachusetts, and there may well be reluctance to admit such things to a pollster, but still

The authors cite other research on the characteristics of Trump voters:

A number of political scientists have found that those statements might actually be a key reason for his success. Support for Trump is highest among whites who express [ethnocentric viewpoints](#), score high on measures of [authoritarianism](#), identify strongly as [white](#), and who express negative views of [racial minorities](#).

All of these, except authoritarianism, are obviously very consistent with the implicitly White nature of Trump's candidacy. The claim that Trumpsters are authoritarian, of course, plugs into the world view of the left deriving from the [Frankfurt School's](#) The Authoritarian Personality — **perhaps the most egregious (or at least the most influential) example of anti-White ideology and Jewish ethnic strategizing masquerading as psychology.**

Interestingly, the results on authoritarianism are called into question by another poll described in an article by two other political scientists, Wendy Rahn (University of Minnesota) and Eric Oliver (University of Chicago) (["Trump's voters aren't authoritarians, new research says. So what are they?"](#)). They found that Trump voters were no more authoritarian than supporters of Rubio and a bit less authoritarian than Cruz supporters. Also, the correlations with authoritarianism they did find were likely due to religious voters who practice strict child rearing, not overtly political attitudes. **[1]**

They found that Trump voters are high on three critical issues that make a lot of sense in terms of the issues he has raised: anti-elitism, mistrust of experts, and American identity — in a word, populism. As defined in their study,

Populism ... is a type of political rhetoric that casts a virtuous "people" against nefarious elites and strident outsiders. Scholars measure populism in a variety of ways, but we focus on three central elements:

- ***Belief that a few elites have absconded with the rightful sovereignty of the people;**
- ***Deep mistrust of any group that claims expertise;**
- ***Strong nationalist identity.**

Given that other research shows that America has in fact become an oligarchy in which elites are able to shape public policy in ways that conflict with the attitudes of American majorities, the beliefs of populists that elites have "absconded with the rightful sovereignty of the people" is firmly based on reality (see Martin Gilens and Benjamin Page in *Perspectives on Politics*, Sept. 2014, ["Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens"](#)). Furthermore, the people who claim expertise are often academics at prestigious universities whose views are far to the left of the rest of America. Their views are disseminated by the elite media like the *New York Times* whose views are also out of touch with what Trump calls "the silent majority."**[2]**

And anyone watching the protests in Chicago would hardly come away with the feeling that the protesters have a strong American identity (e.g., the Mexican flags) or were in any sense American nationalists.

What we are seeing now is that huge numbers of Americans, especially White Americans, do not trust these experts, nor do they trust the elite media. Recently there have been numerous articles and video comparing Trump to Hitler or the KKK (see here for a [recent list](#) compiled by Howard Kurtz of FoxNews). Another example: [Sarah Silverman appeared](#) on the Conan O'Brien show dressed as Hitler and saying "I agree with a lot of what he says. A lot. Like 90 per cent of what he

says, I'm like "this guy just gets it" And here's a skit from *Saturday Night Live* that couldn't be less subtle.

What the poll is saying is that all of the propaganda coming from the elite media and academic world will be completely useless in converting Trump voters. They're not listening any more.

On the other hand, anti-Trump media messages doubtless encourage the violent protesters who are becoming such an important part of the Trump campaign. Quite a few of the anti-Trump protesters in Chicago had tee-shirts with images of Hitler and Trump, and I noticed professionally printed signs with images of Hitler's book *Mein Kampf* and references to Trump. These protesters are definitely paying attention to the media.

So if the media want to point a finger at what is causing all the violence and disruption, it should be looking in the mirror.

In my chapter on the [Frankfurt School](#) a major theme is the assault by Jewish intellectuals on populism.

Novick (1988, 341) [finds] ... that Jewish identification was an important ingredient in this analysis, attributing the negative view of American populism held by some American Jewish historians (Hofstadter, Bell, and Lipset) to the fact that "they were one generation removed from the Eastern European shtetl [small Jewish town], where insurgent gentile peasants meant pogrom."

Jewish history in the diaspora has always been fundamentally about making alliances with elites, often against other segments of the population. In traditional societies Jews were typically in a subordinate position to non-Jewish elites, but at least since the nineteenth century, Jews have often been a central force among elites in the [USSR](#) and throughout the West — a major theme of *TOO*. (We now have 55 articles under the category "[Jews as a hostile elite](#)" and 50 under "[Jews as an elite](#)".) It's fair to say that the thrust of Jewish power has been to create a top-down, oligarchic culture where decisions on important policy issues are made by elites rather than by popular majorities — and that is exactly what has happened.

The intellectual groundwork for rationalizing lessening the power of majorities and promoting top-down, elite domination has a long history.

This is a comment on the anti-populist trust of the New York Intellectuals, a Jewish intellectual movement discussed in [Chapter 6](#) of *The Culture of Critique*:

Clearly the New York Intellectuals were attacking populism in favor of themselves as an intellectual elite. The New York Intellectuals associated rural America with nativism, anti-Semitism, nationalism, and fascism as well as with anti-intellectualism and provincialism; the urban was associated antithetically with ethnic and cultural tolerance, with internationalism, and with advanced ideas. . . . The New York Intellectuals simply began with the assumption that the rural—with which they associated much of American tradition and most of the territory beyond New York—had little to contribute to a cosmopolitan culture. . . . By interpreting cultural and political issues through the urban-rural lens, writers could even mask assertions of superiority and expressions of anti-democratic sentiments as the judgments of an objective expertise. ([Cooney 1986](#), 267–268; italics in text)

The last line bears repeating.

The New York Intellectuals were engaged in a profoundly anti-democratic enterprise given that they rejected and felt superior to the culture of the majority of Americans.

The battle between this urbanized intellectual and political

establishment and rural America was joined on a wide range of issues. Particularly important was the issue of immigration. In this case and in the entire range of what became mainstream liberal politics, the New York Intellectuals had the enthusiastic support of all of the mainstream Jewish organizations. [\[see here\]](#)

Another example of this anti-populist attitude can be seen in the discussion in *The Culture of Critique of The Politics of Unreason* (1970) by Seymour Martin Lipset and Earl Raab, a volume in the *Patterns of American Prejudice Series* funded by the ADL:

Right-wing extremism is also condemned for its tendency to advocate simple solutions to complex problems, which, as noted by Lasch (1991), is a plea that solutions to social problems should be formulated by an intellectual elite. And finally, right-wing extremism is condemned because of its tendency to distrust institutions that intervene between the people and their direct exercise of power, another plea for the power of elites:

"Populism identifies the will of the people with justice and morality" (p. 13).

The conclusion of this analysis is that democracy is identified not with the power of the people to pursue their perceived interests. Rather, democracy is conceptualized as guaranteeing that majorities will not resist the expansion of power of minorities even if that means a decline in their own power.

Viewed at its most abstract level, a fundamental agenda is thus to influence the European-derived peoples of the United States to view concern about their own demographic and cultural eclipse as irrational and as an indication of psychopathology. ([pp. 194-195](#); emphasis in text)

I fully expect more violence as we get closer to the election. The effect of the 1965 immigration law — itself the [result](#) of a top-down process made possible by Jewish power as an elite rather than reflecting the attitudes of the majority — has been to create facts on the ground that make increased ethnic/racial conflict inevitable. Quite a few of the signs and the Mexican flags that were so obvious among the anti-Trump protesters reflect their interests in expanding their share of the US population. White Americans are quite reasonable in not wanting to become a minority, and it looks like we are going to have to fight for it, and we should be prepared for assassination attempts on Trump. But better a civil war now than 20 years from now.

[1] This is because the authoritarianism scale really measures child rearing attitudes. Any good measure of child rearing attitudes is sure to tap into big differences between conservative, religious Americans versus Americans who have been influenced by the sea change in attitudes on parenting brought about by psychoanalysis, the triumph of the 1960's counter-cultural revolution, and the dissemination of these ideas in the elite media and educational system ever since. So it's no surprise that Bernie Sanders supporters are far and away the lowest on authoritarianism.

[2] The authors point to the differences between Trump and Sanders: "Despite the fact that Sanders often gets called a populist, his voters do not conform to the populist stereotype. They generally trust experts and do not identify strongly as Americans. A better way to describe them would be cosmopolitan socialists. They see the system as corrupted by economic elites. But they don't trust ordinary Americans and show only light attachment to Americanism as an identity."

Comment:

Steve Palmer

March 12, 2016 - 6:14 pm | [Permalink](#)

Why Your Country Is Going To Ruin (Nation Wreckers; Jews' Modus Operandi)

Basic internet research shows that Jews control the US monetary system via the Federal Reserve (Rothschild, Lazard Brothers, Israel Moses Seif, Warburg, Lehman Brothers, Kuhn Loeb, Goldman Sachs, National Bank of Commerce NY, Hanover Trust of NY). Whoever controls the currency, controls the

country. Jews also control the six largest media conglomerates in the world (Disney, Time Warner, Comcast, Viacom, 21st Century Fox, CBS Corp.). Jews own the three largest advertising agencies on earth (WPP, Omnicom, Interpublic). Jews have the most powerful lobbies in Washington (AIPAC, ADL, SPLC, ACLU, AJC). Jews also control much of the internet (Google, YouTube, Facebook, Yahoo, My Space, Instagram, Wikipedia, Amazon, eBay, Pay Pal) and readily share your personal information with government agencies. Most Ivy League schools have Jewish presidents or provosts (Yale, Harvard, Princeton, Cornell, Brown) with Jews constituting 13-24% of the undergraduate class of all Ivy League schools. Jews control most US professional sports (NBA commissioner Adam Silver, NHL commissioner Gary Bettman, MLB commissioner Rob Manfred). Jews also own half of all NBA teams and one-third of NFL teams. Three of the nine U.S. Supreme Court Justices are Jewish (Ruth Bader Ginsberg, Stephen Breyer, Elena Kagan). Though Jews constitute only 1.73% of the U.S. population, Jews literally control politics, banking, media (television, movies, advertising, magazines, newspapers, radio stations, music industry), internet, sports, and education.

Jews work in unison on the local, state, national, and international level. Jews are genetically psychologically aggressive, hyper ethnocentric, have a superiority complex, and have an historical grievance against Whites.

Jews censor free speech, ban public religion, ban guns, force massive third-world immigration and integration, promote open borders and racial discord, promote reverse discrimination through Affirmative Action, exalt minorities and vilify Whites, promote gay rights, feminism, and depravity in the media and fine arts to divide and morally corrupt the populace. Jews have instituted political correctness to aggressively publicly punish dissenters and instill fear. In essence, Jews are a hostile elite. On a global scale, Jews control the European Union, banking (IMF and World Bank), media, and politics. Jews foment perpetual strife to uproot and realign nation states (Yugoslavia, Iraq, Afghanistan, Georgia, Egypt, Libya, Syria, Ukraine) with Russia, China, and Iran currently being targeted. Jews' goal is to dilute all White nations with massive third-world immigration while creating a global borderless plural society with a single

police-state government and single currency. At present, the White majority populations of Europe, US, Canada, and Australia are being systematically displaced by massive non-White immigration. And to those that say only a small fraction of Jews are to blame, the facts prove otherwise. Of the more than 300 Jewish political organizations, to the local synagogue, to the voting habits of Jews, most work in unison towards the demise of Whites, Christianity, and nation states and in favor of globalization.

Most of the information above can easily be verified on the internet. Misinformed readers will quickly claim the moral high ground and dismiss these facts as racist or anti-Semite which is the conditioned response taught by the Jewish-owned media to thwart public discourse.



Michael Santomauro

March 12, 2016 - 5:58 pm | [Permalink](#)

The only thing that holds America together is material prosperity.

Basically the country is not a nation at all but a federation of antagonistic racial, ethnic and financial groups.

The money is beginning to run out. The standard of living has been declining for the past 30 years but it hasn't been a drastic or universal decline. Americans are by nature optimistic so millions of them have gone on believing that their standard of living will go up again. But it is beginning to dawn on the "sheep", that it's not going to get better.

If Americans in general ever come to believe that the good times are over, the country will erupt into an explosion of racial, ethnic and class hatreds that may make Bosnia look like child's play.

Peace.

Michael Santomauro

<http://www.theoccidentobserver.net/2016/03/chicago-protesters-reinforce-the-implicit-whiteness-of-donald-trumps-candidacy-trump-voters-fear-becoming-a-minority>

Roche Motel Revisited:

The Comfort of an Atomized Society

[Tobias Langdon](#), March 11, 2016 – [24 Comments](#)

There is no Nobel Prize for Mathematics, which is ridiculous. But there is a Nobel Prize for [Economics](#), which is risible. Mathematics is to economics rather as astronomy is to astrology. True, economics isn't a pseudo-science, but it still offers plenty of room to charlatans and phonies. Exhibit A: the "[charmless, pompous and mediocre](#)" Jonathan Portes, a Jewish economist who dedicates his life to telling British Whites that only mass immigration can save their nation from economic collapse.

Portes, who still regularly appears at the [Guardian](#) and BBC, was central to New Labour's successful plan to flood the country with cheap labour and [left-wing voters](#) while concealing what they were up to from their [traditional supporters](#). But he has a devastating response to those who allege that New Labour plotted in secret to open the borders: he calls this a "[conspiracy theory](#)."



**"Trust me – I'm an economist": [Jonathan Portes](#)
Traitors at the top**

What more need be said? On Planet Portes, that is the end of the matter. So it was a waste of time for the *Daily Mail* to serialize a [new biography](#) of Tony Blair that sets out in great detail how the conspiracy took place. Tom Bower, the book's

author, conducted "interviews with more than 200 senior civil servants, ex-ministers and other insiders." He gathered abundant proof that Blair "did not want the public to know his true plans on immigration" and that Blair "ordered his Labour government never to discuss in public the supposed 'advantages' of the unprecedented influx."

Why did he bother with all that? Does he not realize that he is peddling a conspiracy theory? But this isn't the first time that the *Daily Mail* has brazenly published the truth about what Portes and his fellow conspirators got up to:

Labour let in 2.2million migrants during its 13 years in power – more than twice the population of Birmingham. Lord Glasman, 49, had already told BBC Radio 4 recently [in 2011]: 'What you have with immigration is the idea that people should travel all over the world in search of higher-paying jobs, often to undercut existing workforces, and somehow in the Labour Party we got into a position that that was a good thing. Now obviously it undermines solidarity, it undermines relationships, and in the scale that it's been going on in England, it can undermine the possibility of politics entirely.'

The academic, who directs the faith and citizenship programme at London Metropolitan University, criticised Labour for being 'hostile to the English working class'. He said: 'In many ways [Labour] viewed working-class voters as an obstacle to progress. Their commitment to various civil rights, anti-racism, meant that often working-class voters ... were seen as racist, resistant to change, homophobic and generally reactionary. So in many ways you had a terrible situation where a Labour government was hostile to the English working class.' ([Miliband](#))

[ally attacks Labour migration 'lies' over 2.2m they let in Britain](#), *The Daily Mail*, 16th April 2011)

Quiet Conspiracy

Note that Lord Glasman is Jewish. It is not true that all British Jews are hostile to the White majority or enthusiastic supporters of mass immigration. Unfortunately, it was the anti-White, pro-immigration Jews in New Labour who controlled policy. Jonathan Portes is one example. Barbara Roche is another. I wrote about her in "[We Hate UKIP](#): Turning Britain into a Roche Motel." Now she's turned up in Tom Bower's book:



Sinister Minister: Barbara Roche

The most incredible revelations [about New Labour's conspiracy] concern Barbara Roche, a little-known MP who was immigration minister between 1999 and 2001. During this period, she quietly adopted policies – with Mr Blair's approval – that changed the face of the UK. ... Like [Jack] Straw, Blair was careful never publicly to mention the rising number of immigrants from India and Pakistan who could now enter Britain. Nor did he consider how to provide housing, schools and healthcare for an additional 300,000 people arriving a year.

Least of all did either of them question whether the immigrants would have any effect on the lives of the British working class. (Nine years later, a report by the Migration Advisory Committee found that 23 British workers had been displaced for every 100 foreign-born workers employed here.)

Could this chicanery get any worse? It did – with the appointment of Barbara Roche as Junior Immigration Minister. Blair's only instruction to her was to deport bogus asylum seekers. But Roche wasn't playing. In her first conversation with a senior immigration official, she was candid: 'I think asylum seekers should be allowed to stay. Removal takes too long, and it's emotional.' Even the word 'bogus,' she maintained, created a negative feeling.

'It was clear Roche wanted more immigrants to come to Britain,' recalled Stephen Boys-Smith, the new head of the immigration directorate. 'She didn't see her job as controlling entry, but by looking at the wider picture "in a holistic way" she wanted us to see the benefit of a multicultural society.' Jack Straw never openly contradicted Roche – it simply wasn't worth the risk of alienating the Labour Party. So she set to work on a speech, in which she outlined the advantages of reducing controls to immigration and portrayed asylum seekers as skilled labour. She didn't discuss what she was going to say with Straw. ...

'Well done, Barbara,' Blair told Roche soon [after the speech]. Despite its controversial content, her speech passed relatively unnoticed. But migrants quickly grasped its importance and passed the news on to their friends and family across the world. Labour was letting more people in, they told them, and – unlike other European countries – Britain would provide benefits and state housing. ...

One of Roche's legacies was hundreds more migrants camped in squalor in Sangatte, outside Calais, where they tried to smuggle themselves onto lorries. News about the new liberalism – and in particular the welfare benefits – now began attracting Somalis who'd previously settled in other EU countries. Although there was no historic or cultural link between Somalia and Britain, more than 200,000 came. Since most were untrained and would be dependent on welfare, the Home Office could have refused them entry. But they were granted 'exceptional

leave to remain'. [Et cetera ad nauseam] ([Conman Blair's cynical conspiracy to deceive the British people and let in 2million migrants against the rules](#), *The Daily Mail*, 26th February 2016)

Barbara Roche is obviously hostile to Britain's White majority, so it's interesting to ask how she got the post of immigration minister. Will Israel ever appoint an anti-Semitic goy to control their immigration policies? No, that's impossible. Israel is a Jewish state and determined to remain so. But it's evil and hateful for a White Christian nation like Britain to retain its ethnic and religious identity.

Combating anti-semitism and xenophobia

That's why a Jew like Barbara Roche was an ideal person to oversee immigration here. Like Jonathan Portes and [Moshe Kantor](#), she isn't anywhere near as famous as she should be. But it isn't difficult to find out what motivated her while she was immigration minister. She was educated at the Orthodox Jewish JFS in London, which was once called the [Jews' Free School](#) and was the largest Jewish school in Europe. In 2001, a *Guardian* interview [said that](#) her "parents were part Spanish, Portuguese, Polish and Russian, and she had entered politics – she still emphasises this today – to combat anti-semitism and xenophobia in general."

Ten years later, the Black journalist Hugh Muir [interviewed her](#) again in the *Guardian*. Unlike Portes, Muir was prepared to consider the possibility of a New Labour conspiracy to open the borders. But how to test that possibility? Simple: you just ask Barbara Roche, who must have "been up to her neck in it," if there actually was one. It's well-known that conspirators always admit the conspiracy if you ask them. Well, Hugh asked Barbara and she shook her head emphatically: definitely not, "there wasn't" a conspiracy.

Abolishing Britain

What more need be said? On Planet Muir, that is the end of the matter. But Roche was happy to reveal her true feelings about "diversity":

Friday rush hour. Euston station [in London]. Who's here? Who isn't. A kaleidoscope of skin colours. The world in one terminus. Barbara Roche can see it over the rim of her cup of Americano coffee. "I love the diversity of London," she tells me. "I just feel comfortable."

[\(Hideously Diverse Britain: The immigration 'conspiracy'](#), *The Guardian*, 2nd March 2011)

Why does Barbara Roche "love" an atomized society? Why does she feel "comfortable" there? I'd suggest that it's because she doesn't stand out as a minority and so doesn't feel paranoid. In the stale pale Britain of the past, there were periodic outbreaks of inexplicable and irrational [anti-Semitism](#), from the [expulsion of Jews](#) by Edward I in 1290 to the "pogrom" [that swept](#) the Welsh valleys in 1911.

Barbara Roche obviously feels that such uncomfortable events are much less likely in an atomized Britain. As the [late Larry Auster](#) put it in an American context: "it is not surprising that these Jews look at mass Third-World and Moslem immigration, not as a danger to themselves, but as the ultimate guarantor of their own safety, hoping that in a racially diversified, de-Christianized America, the waning majority culture will lack the power, even if it still has the desire, to persecute Jews."

Minority Mandate

Britain is supposed to be a democracy, or a nation under majority control. It isn't: like the [United States](#), it's an oligarchy, or a nation under minority control. The minority in question is Jewish. There has never been a democratic mandate for mass immigration, but the wishes of the White majority didn't matter. [terrorists, rapists and fraudsters](#) Britain has been turned into a Roche motel because Jews feel more comfortable in an atomized society. It's as simple as that. After all, if mass immigration goes wrong for Jews, Jonathan and Barbara can always seek refuge in Israel, which keeps its borders firmly closed to Third-World enrichment and doesn't let [hostile outsiders](#) take control of its [politics, media and universities](#).

But the prospect of their departure raises a disturbing question. Would Britain survive the loss of its most altruistic ethnic

minority? Well, we didn't do too badly after Edward I [expelled Jews en masse](#) in 1290. I suspect we could manage again if the worst happens and Barbara has to pack her bags for Tel Aviv.

<http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2016/03/roche-motel-revisited-the-comfort-of-an-atomized-society/>

Why Isn't There a Nobel Prize in Mathematics? By Peter Ross, is a professor of mathematics at Santa Clara University.

When I was a student at a leading American university one of my mathematics professors answered the above question in class. He claimed that the Swedish mathematician Gosta Magnus Mittag-Leffler had run off with Alfred Nobel's wife. Supposedly, later in revenge Nobel refused to endow one of his prizes in mathematics. I loved repeating this juicy story, but my faith in it was somewhat shaken when I found out that Nobel had never married! A Swedish version of the story even made it into one of Howard Eves' s collections of mathematical anecdotes (p.130 of Mathematical Circles, Quadrants III and no, 1969). According to this version Mittag-Leffler, in the process of accumulating his own considerable wealth, antagonized Nobel. Nobel, afraid that Mittag-Leffler as the leading Swedish mathematician might win a Nobel prize in mathematics, then refused to institute such a prize.

Both versions of the myth were debunked in the definitive article pithy "Is There No Nobel Prize in Mathematics?" by Lars Garding and Lars Hormander (pgs. 73-4 of Mathematical Intelligencer7:3,1985). The authors point out that Mittag-Leffler and Nobel had almost no relation to each other; Nobel emigrated from Sweden in 1865 when Mittag-Leffler was a student and rarely returned to visit. Garding and Hormander state, "The true answer to the question (of the title) is that, for natural reasons, the thought of a prize in mathematics never entered Nobel's mind." Nobel's final will of 1895 bequeathed \$9,000,000 for a foundation whose income would support five annual prizes in physics, chemistry, medicine-physiology, literature, and peace. Four of the original five prizes were in fields which were close to Nobel's own interests, medicine being the exception.

A sixth Nobel prize in economic science was added in 1969. The addition of this new Nobel prize suggests the possibility at some future date of a seventh Nobel prize. With the blossoming of computer science, statistics, and applied mathematics in addition to mathematics itself, a strong case could be made for a new Nobel prize in the mathematical sciences. Perhaps some Math Horizons reader, upon making his fortune,... of course, there are the Fields Medals that are awarded at each International Congress of Mathematicians. But these are given only every four years to a mathematician under forty, and they are not well-known outside of mathematical circles.

There is a larger question raised by the fact that apocryphal stories, such as the Nobel-math-prize myth, seem to have a life of their own. Are mathematicians justified in bending historical truth in order to serve laudable aims, such as illustrating that mathematicians are real people or interesting students in mathematics? Another example of this tendency concerns the famous story of Gauss's discovery as a ten- year old boy of a simple method for summing an arithmetic series. (Multiply the number of terms by the average of the smallest and largest terms.) Most mathematicians who teach will assert that the problem given to Gauss by his tyrannical school teacher was to sum the integers from 1 to 100. In fact, Gauss was given a more difficult problem "of the following sort, $81297 + 81495 + 81693 + \dots + 100899$, where the step from one number to the next is the same all along (here 198), and a given number of terms (here 100) are to be added." (p. 221 of E.T. Bell's Men of Mathematics, 1937). With this particular example it's easy to maintain historical truth by telling students that Gauss was given a problem like summing the integers from 1 to 100. Mathematicians seem less likely to bend mathematical truth than historical truth. In this situation there is one technique which outstanding expositors like Paul Halmos have used in simplifying difficult mathematics. Halmos will either announce up front or in passing that he is going to lie a little. Perhaps mathematicians might use this technique when they find it necessary to bend historical truth.

This article was taken from *Math Horizons* Nov. '95, pg. 9.
<http://mathforum.org/social/articles/ross.html>

Bernie Sanders Knocks Donald Trump's Scapegoating Strategy | Rachel Maddow | [MSNBC](#)



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7px3zMGKD4>

Published on Dec 9, 2015. Senator Bernie Sanders, Democratic candidate for president, talks with Rachel Maddow about Republican front-runner Donald Trump's extreme views on Muslims in America, and what Trump's supporters are attracted to in him as a candidate.

About: MSNBC is the premier destination for in-depth analysis of daily headlines, insightful political commentary and informed perspectives. Reaching more than 95 million households worldwide, MSNBC offers a full schedule of live news coverage, political opinions and award-winning documentary programming -- 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.



**Pope to visit Auschwitz-Birkenau camp
By Alex Williams, Sat 12 Mar 2016**

New details have been released of five-day tour of Poland by Pope Francis, which includes a visit to Auschwitz-Birkenau.

The Pontiff is due to deliver a speech at the German Nazi concentration and extermination camp in July after meeting the Polish president, state officials and Polish bishops.

He is due to touch-down in Poland's former capital city Kraków on July 27th, where planned engagements include addressing young people as part of events to celebrate World Youth Day 2016 Kraków.



Hailing a programme for Pope Francis' visit as "a source of joy", World Youth Day organisers say he will also celebrate mass in the Jasna Góra fields of Czestochowa, marking 1050 years since the Baptism of Poland. Founded by Pope Saint John Paul II and first held in Rome in 1984, World Youth Day is a gathering of young people from around the globe for the profession of faith in Jesus Christ.



The theme for the 31st World Youth Day in Kraków is inspired by Matthew 5:7 which says: "Blessed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy." According the Central Intelligence Agency Factbook, 87.2-per-cent of Poles are Catholics.



F. Toben • [a few seconds ago](#)

Now is also the time for German Chancellor Angela Merkel to take German school students to the area of the Einsenhower Rheinwiesental, the 19 camps that were set up at the end of the war holding about 3.5 million German soldiers, of which about 1.7 million starved to death. This was a real Holocaust. As to Auschwitz - if it was such a death camp why did most prisoners at the imminent advance of Soviet troops flee with their German captors to the safety of German territory? This question needs an answer.

<https://www.premier.org.uk/News/World/Pope-to-visit-Auschwitz-Birkenau-camp>

SURPRISE, SURPRISE! The woman who gave the nazi salute at the Trump rally in chicago is a jew!!!
by **Sabba**



James Woods
@RealJamesWoods

Follow

So called #Trump "Nazi" is a #BernieSanders agitator/operative?



Portia Boulger

Organizer (Women For Bernie)

Portia A. Boulger is a grassroots activist who has fought for unions, women's and human rights for over 40 years. She is active in national and statewide politics and serves on the Ross County Democratic Party executive committee. Portia is a team member of Women for Bernie Sanders and runs their Twitter account.

To quote a friend of mine: "She is a jewish leader of Women for Bernie Sanders... This used to be so effective. It would take 20 years for the truth to come out but now it is crowd sourced in minutes." A.K

Daily Mail AUSTRALIA

Sarah Silverman dresses up as HITLER on Conan O'Brien to complain that the world's most evil man is being 'unfavorably' compared to Donald Trump

By **MYRIAH TOWNER FOR DAILYMAIL.COM**

PUBLISHED: 03:38 EST, 12 March 2016 | UPDATED: 05:55 EST, 12 March 2016

***Jewish comedian Sarah Silverman dressed as Nazi leader Adolf Hitler on Thursday on Conan O'Brien's show**

***She appeared as the German dictator while addressing comparisons between Donald Trump and Hitler**

***Silverman's Hitler called Trump crass and also criticized him for talking about his penis size on national television**

***Trump this week spoke out about the comparisons, calling them 'terrible'**

In light of the recent comparisons between Donald Trump and Adolf Hitler, late-night talk show host Conan O'Brien invited the Nazi leader to his show to address the parallels.

On Thursday night, O'Brien mentioned 'it doesn't get much worse than "Trump is Hitler"', referring to recent remarks made by Louis C.K., who called Trump an 'insane bigot' last week.

So to let the German dictator 'defend himself' against the comparisons, O'Brien welcomed Hitler to the show, depicted by Jewish comedian Sarah Silverman who was dressed in full Nazi attire.

Scroll down for video



In light of the recent comparisons between Donald Trump and Adolf Hitler, Sarah Silverman dressed in full Nazi attire as she appeared as the German dictator on Conan O'Brien's late-night talk show



Silverman's Hitler appeared on the show to 'clear the air' after being 'unfavorably' compared to the Republican presidential frontrunner



Trump (pictured during CNN's Republican Presidential Debate on Thursday) has been compared to Hitler on several occasions by public figures including comedian Louis C.K. and former Mexican President Vicente Fox

Silverman's Hitler appeared on the show to 'clear the air' after being 'unfavorably' compared to the Republican presidential frontrunner, according to the Daily Beast.

'Wow, look at that,' she said impassively as she walked out to roaring cheers from the audience and joined the host. 'I have to say that's more applause than I expected.'

When asked about addressing the comparisons to Trump, the Hitler impersonator replied: 'Don't get me wrong, Conan. I agree with a lot of what he says. A lot. Like 90 per cent of what he says, I'm like "this guy just gets it"'. 'But it's just... I don't like the way he says it. It's crass, you know?'

Silverman's Hitler added: 'And what kind of person talks about his penis size on national television?'

'Oh yeah, I'm so sure Donald Trump has a big penis. I famously have a micro penis. That's what makes a tyrant.'

Sarah Silverman dresses as Hitler on Conan



On Thursday night, Silverman's Hitler called Trump crass and also criticized him for talking about his penis size on national television

Hitler's Silverman was referencing a crude debate remark that Trump made last week in response to a dig made by Republican presidential rival Marco Rubio who mocked the size of Trump's hands.

Trump said of his hands: 'If they are small, something else must be small. I guarantee you there is no problem. I guarantee.'

During Thursday night's talk show, Silverman's Hitler said the comparisons between Hitler and Trump 'bum' him out.

'Anyway, all these comparisons to Trump, it's like, it bums me out,' the Hitler impersonator said.

'Sometimes I watch him and I'm like, "is that how people see me?" And I have to be honest, Trump, he's starting to make me rethink some of the things I've done...'

'Ah who am I kidding, I gotta be me!'

Last week, comedian Louis CK became the latest public figure to criticize Trump, comparing him to Hitler.

C.K. made an impassioned plea for readers not to vote for Trump and to vote for a different GOP candidate in an email sent on Saturday about his web series Horace and Pete.

In the email, C.K. implored conservative voters to listen to what fellow conservatives were saying about Trump, referencing statements made by John McCain and 60-plus national security experts who warned against a Trump presidency.



This week, Trump (pictured during a press conference on Friday) addressed the Hitler comparisons calling them 'terrible'



© Roger Viollet/Getty Images

Adolf Hitler pictured above. Trump also spoke on comparisons between the loyalty raised-hand pledge he asks voters to do and the Nazi Germany, Heil Hitler salute, saying they are 'ridiculous' and 'crazy'

'Please stop it with voting for Trump,' C.K. wrote in the email. 'It was funny for a little while. But the guy is Hitler.'

Last month, former Mexican President Vicente Fox said Trump 'reminds me of Hitler' and noted that the Republican presidential candidate has 'offended Mexico, Mexicans, (and) immigrants,' and that he has also 'offended the Pope. He has offended the Chinese. He's offended everybody.'

Fox's remarks came a day after his scathing response to Trump's plan to make Mexico pay for a wall between the Mexico-U.S. border.

Anne Frank's stepsister, 86-year-old Eva Schloss, also accused Trump of 'acting like another Hitler' last month in a January essay to mark the International Holocaust Remembrance Day.

And last December, New Jersey Republican Gov. Christine Todd Whitman said Trump's comments calling for a ban on Muslims entering the U.S. reminded her of 'the kind of rhetoric that allowed Hitler to move forward' in the run-up to World War II, according to [CNN](#).

The comparisons between Trump and Hitler have also made front pages of The New York Daily News on Sunday and The Philadelphia Daily News last December.



© Getty Images North America

Last week, comedian Louis CK (pictured) became the latest public figure to criticize Trump, comparing him to Hitler. 'Please stop it with voting for Trump,' C.K. said. 'It was funny for a little while. But the guy is Hitler'



© AFP/Getty Images

Last month, former Mexican President Vicente Fox (pictured) said Trump 'reminds me of Hitler'

This week, Trump addressed the Hitler comparisons saying he is not happy about it.

'I don't know about the Hitler comparison. I hadn't heard that, but it's a terrible comparison. I'm not happy about that certainly,' he said on ABC's Good Morning America on Tuesday.

He also spoke out about comparisons of the loyalty raised-hand pledge he asks voters to do and the Nazi Germany, Heil Hitler salute, saying they are 'ridiculous' and 'crazy.'

The oath, he said has been 'well-received' by his audiences.

'Sometimes we'll do it for fun, and they'll start screaming at me, "Do the swearing! Do the swearing!" I mean, they're having such a great time,' Trump said on Tuesday in a phone-in to the Today show.

'We're having a good time. Honestly, until this phone call, I didn't know it was a problem.'

But after it was brought to his attention that not everyone feels that way about it, he said, 'I'll certainly look into it because I don't want to offend anybody.'

[HTTP://WWW.DAILYMAIL.CO.UK/NEWS/ARTICLE-3487793/SARAH-SILVERMAN-DRESSES-HITLER-CONAN-O-BRIEN-CRITICIZE-TRUMP.HTML#IXZZ42LZKR8XK](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3487793/Sarah-Silverman-dresses-Hitler-Conan-O'Brien-criticize-Trump.html#IXZZ42LZKR8XK)

FOLLOW US: @MAILONLINE ON TWITTER | [DAILYMAIL ON FACEBOOK](#)

RELATED ARTICLES



[**Twelve is enough! Trump says 'it's time to end the debates'...**](#)



[**TRUMP 'We buried the hatchet': Ben Carson endorses Donald Trump as...**](#)



[**Trump-centric fight night canceled at Republican debate as...**](#)



[**Islam has a 'serious, serious problem of hate': Donald Trump...**](#)

Donald Trump Is Winning Because White America Is Dying

Noam Chomsky, the renowned scholar and MIT professor emeritus, says that the rise of Donald Trump in American politics is, in part, fueled by deeply rooted fear and hopelessness that may be caused by an alarming spike in mortality rates for a generation of poorly educated whites.

"He's evidently appealing to deep feelings of anger, fear, frustration, hopelessness, probably among sectors like those that are seeing an increase in mortality, something unheard of apart from war and catastrophe," Chomsky told The Huffington Post in an interview on Thursday.

Trump's rise as the Republican presidential front-runner has been confounding for Americans across the political spectrum. The bombastic, billionaire demagogue has won [three of the first four primary states](#) and holds a lead in the polls, both nationwide and in upcoming primary contests. He now appears poised to take an [insurmountable delegate lead](#) over the next several weeks, based on a platform of hate and vitriol targeted at [women](#), [Latinos](#), [Muslims](#) and [other minorities](#).

A legion of [less educated](#), [working-class](#) white men has fueled Trump's rise. And while many say the business mogul is capitalizing on their fears about [the perceived decline of white dominance](#) in America, Chomsky says there may also be more existential forces at play.

Life expectancy, in general, has increased steadily over time. And thanks largely to [advances in health care](#), many people around the world live longer lives. There are exceptions, of course -- during war or natural catastrophes, for example. But what's happening now in America, he says, is "quite different."

Despite vast wealth and modern medicine, the U.S. has lower average life expectancy than [many other nations](#). And while the average [has been increasing recently](#), the gains are not evenly spread out. Wealthier Americans are living longer lives, while the poor are [living shorter ones](#). Poorly educated, middle-aged American white males are particularly affected, [multiple recent](#) studies suggest. While Americans from other age, racial and ethnic groups are living longer lives than ever before, this particularly segment of the population is dying faster.

A [study on the issue](#) found that the rising death rate for this group is not due to the ailments that commonly kill so many Americans, like diabetes and heart disease, but rather by an epidemic of suicides, liver disease caused by alcohol abuse, and overdoses of heroin and prescription opioids.

"No war, no catastrophe," Chomsky says, has caused the spiking mortality rate for this population. "Just the impact of policies over a generation that have left them, it seems, angry, without hope, frustrated, causing self-destructive behavior."

That could well explain Trump's appeal, he speculated. In an interview with Alternet this week, Chomsky compared the poverty that many Americans now face with the conditions an older generation confronted during the Great Depression.

"It's interesting to compare the situation in the '30s, which I'm old enough to remember," [he said](#). "Objectively, poverty and suffering were far greater. But even among poor working people and the unemployed, there was a sense of hope that is lacking now."

Chomsky attributes some of that Depression-era hope to the growth of an aggressive labor movement and the existence of political organizations outside of the mainstream.

Today, however, he says the mood is quite different for Americans who are deeply affected by poverty.

"[They] are sinking into hopelessness, despair and anger -- not directed so much against the institutions that are the agents of the dissolution of their lives and world, but against those who are even more harshly victimized," he said. "Signs are familiar, and here it does evoke some memories of the rise of European fascism."

CORRECTION: A previous version of this story misstated that a study on rising death rates for middle-aged white Americans received a Nobel Memorial Prize. It was, in fact, one of the authors of the study who won the prize for other work.

Editor's Note: Donald Trump is a [serial liar](#), [rampant xenophobe](#), [racist](#), [misogynist](#), [birther](#) and [bully](#) who has repeatedly pledged to ban all Muslims -- 1.6 billion members of an entire religion -- from entering the U.S.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/donald-trump-noamchomskywhitemortality_us_56cf8618e4b0bf0dab31838f

Refugees and anti-Semitism: is it a problem?

Germany | DW.COM | 12.03.2016

First, there were the images on TV of desperate, scared people climbing out of tiny boats and marching slowly along motorways and through woods. Then, not long after, the refugee crisis arrived on Shaked Spier's doorstep in Berlin: overcrowded gyms, people waiting for weeks to be registered, overwhelmed officials.

"I knew immediately: If refugees are now in the country that my grandparents had to flee, and if they need help and protection, then I have to do my part," Spier said. For him, there was absolutely no doubt. Since then, Spier, a Jew whose grandparents once fled the Nazis, has been volunteering at a refugee shelter in Friedrichshain, a hip district of Berlin filled with bars and cafes. Spier, 30, is a well-spoken man who works as a project manager at an IT company, and gives long, reflective answers when asked questions. At the refugee shelter, he helps to serve meals, plays with the kids and talks to the parents about the trauma they've experienced.

He is one of many Jews who've been helping refugees by donating clothes, working in shelters, or taking refugees into their homes. Spier says he hasn't had any negative experiences.

He says his identity, background and sexual orientation - Spier is openly gay - have never played a big role in his encounters with refugees. If someone notices the Hebrew tattoo on his arm or his accent, the reaction is typically one of, "Oh, you're from Israel? Cool, I'm from Afghanistan." He laughs.



**More than 1 million refugees came to Germany in 2015
No research to support the claims**

But then he grows serious. It makes him angry, he says, when other people [accuse refugees of being anti-Semites](#) or harboring hate towards Israel, simply because they come from countries whose governments espouse such sentiments. The head of Germany's Central Council of Jews, Josef Schuster, has frequently spoken about the danger of importing anti-Semitism

along with refugees. In a recent newspaper interview, he said that "a considerable proportion of Arabs have grown up with anti-Jewish, anti-Israeli stereotypes. These people cannot simply leave their prejudice behind at the border."



Spier says he has experienced no hostility while working with refugees

Dervis Hizarci, a history and political science teacher in Berlin, also finds such generalizations problematic. There is no research on the extent to which refugees may be bringing anti-Semitism with them to Germany, he said. Hizarci is the head of [KIGA](#), an initiative against anti-Semitism based in the Berlin neighborhood of Kreuzberg. The initiative has launched a project about anti-Semitism among refugees; he expects to have the initial results by the end of the year. Until then, Hizarci says people shouldn't make generalizations about the issue, as that would only stir up anti-Islam and anti-foreigner sentiment. However, he points to research showing that between 15 and 20 percent of the German population harbor latently anti-Semitic views. "Of course there are Muslims among these people, even in the second and third generation, but they're by far not all Muslims!" Hizarci doesn't deny that young German Muslims are susceptible to anti-Semitic views, fueled by the horrors of the Middle East

conflict they see on their smartphones and televisions. Hizarci and his colleagues give workshops and lead debates about anti-Semitism, but also about hatred of Islam. He says his work requires a lot of time and resources. "Just like when it comes to fighting homophobia or right-wing extremism, you can't change such views with a single workshop; it doesn't happen overnight." After the Easter holidays, the initiative wants to start a model project that, among other things, will offer welcome workshops on the topic.

Mosques are overwhelmed

But often, it's individual people engaged in such efforts to promote tolerance: German mosques are often overwhelmed with the problem, in part because they are led by volunteers who also have to commit their time and energy to other problems facing members of their community, such as exclusion, anti-Islam sentiment and joblessness. There are barely any resources left for confronting the problem of anti-Semitism, said an insider who asked to remain anonymous due to the contentious nature of the topic. The source added that many young Muslims are not an integrative part of the mosque community: "When that's the case, there's no way to reach them."


Spier also knows that anti-Semitism is a problem. He has friends who've been refused service in a kebab shop in Berlin, confronted with anti-Semitic slurs, or attacked verbally and physically for wearing a yarmulke. He says it's not his intention to deny that such things happen. "But for me, the experiences that I have had are decisive, and my experiences have always been positive." He says he is much more worried about right-wing extremism in Germany - burning refugee homes, the hatred spread by PEGIDA followers, the NPD and the NSU. "That worries me much more than the views held by people who come to us in need of protection."

A few months ago, he sent both of his grandmothers a photo of a picture drawn by a Syrian child. The picture showed two planes dropping bombs on houses. Bleeding stick figures lay on the ground. The reaction from both women, who also know what it means to flee war and persecution, was positive. "They told me that they're really proud of what I'm doing!"

Intelligent 'anti-Semitism' for thinking Gentiles

<http://www.dw.com/en/refugees-and-anti-semitism-is-it-a-problem/a-19113299>

WATCH – Auschwitz Deaths lowered again.....



Auschwitz Deaths lowered again.....Published on Mar 17, 2013 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uw77WYPM_C4
This entry was posted on 03/12/2016, 12:21 am and is filed under [Uncategorized](#). You can follow any responses to this entry through [RSS 2.0](#). You can skip to the end and leave a response. Pinging is currently not allowed.

COMMENTS (5)**#1 by nooralhaqiga on 03/12/2016 - 2:21 am**

Beurkundete Sterbefälle von Häftlingen ehemaliger deutscher Konzentrationslager beim Sonderstandesamt AROLSSEN



	bis 31.12.1982	vom 01.01.1983 bis 31.12.1983	insgesamt bis 31.12.1983
Auschwitz	53 606	27	53 633
Bergen-Belsen	6 851	-	6 851
Buchenwald	20 671	-	20 671
Dachau	18 451	-	18 451
Flossenbürg	18 330	-	18 330
Groß Rosen	8 355	-	8 355
Lublin	7 245	426	7 671
Mauthausen	78 823	1	78 824
Mittelbau	7 463	-	7 463
Natzweiler	4 431	-	4 431
Neuengamme	5 706	-	5 706
Ravensbrück	2 128	2	2 130
Sachsenhausen	5 012	-	5 012
Stutthof	11 237	1 065	12 303
Theresienstadt	27 260	344	27 604
Verschiedene	4 643	-	4 643
	<u>280 212</u>	<u>1 855</u>	<u>282 077</u>

Zahl der Kartekarten in der Hauptkartei des Sonderstandesamtes über registrierte KL-Sterbefälle beim Sonderstandesamt und anderen Standesämtern zum 31.12.1983 373 468

(einschließlich Hinweisarten für
- Todeserklärungen und Anfragen von Amtsgerichten betr. Todeserklärungen
- verschiedene Schreibarten von Familiennamen usw.)

Die Beurkundungszahlen des Sonderstandesamtes lassen keine Rückschlüsse auf die tatsächliche Zahl der Toten in den Konzentrationslagern zu.

Arolsen, den 16.01.1984

Der Standesbeamte 
(Butterweck) 

Aufschlußreicher Bescheid von 1984.

#2 by Mike on 03/12/2016 - 5:17 am**And yet the figure remains, obviously something to do with Jewish creative accounting.****#3 by lolathecur on 03/12/2016 - 5:30 am**

- just a reminder to all. If you plan to vote for Trump you must be a registered republican by the specified date in your state of residence prior to the deadline/cutoff date. If you do not register in time (and it is running out quickly) you can vote but it will not be counted. This is just another way they rig elections. Poll numbers mean little when this republican party election rule comes into play. Be sure to check with your state on these rules and dates. The republican party is not going to tell you this simply because the candidates they are promoting are not doing well in these polls. They hope that swing voters are not aware of this. Spread this information widely. The Ron Paul campaign made this very clear during his two runs. Force them to steal the election by other means.....trust me, they will. This does not mean we should help them though. Off the topic of this post but just a reminder.

#4 by Dante Ardenz on 03/12/2016 - 9:22 am

But the Jews cannot give up 666 million ..the " 6 " their magic number .

666 Star Of David ,Mark Of The Beast .**#5 by mothman777 on 03/12/2016 - 2:04 pm**

@ nooralhaqiga The figures in the table that you posted above of course include deaths of many from amongst the millions of captured Russian prisoners of war and other groups, including common criminals serving short sentences in the labour camps, so the number of Jewish deaths in that table of camp death

figures would only constitute a small fraction of the total death figures for all the camps.

Some hold that millions of Jews in occupied Europe, around 2.2 to as many as 2.5, were actually transited through the camps to Birobidzhan in Russia to be held there in safety for the duration of the war. I have even seen it stated that this was done as a result of an agreement between Stalin and Hitler.

There is no doubt that millions of Jews went to Russia for the duration of WWII, and the revelation that they may have stayed out the war in Birobidzhan is quite ground-breaking, with that state created as an autonomous oblast for the Jews within Russia in the 1920's by Stalin, being given full official recognition in 1934, intended as an alternative to Palestine for Jewry, and it still exists today, and some Jews still live there. It is an agreeable policy of Mr Putin to invite all Jews in the world to come to live in Russia, as that state of Birobidzhan, even if they were to all live there rather than amongst the general population of Russia (heaven forbid), is about the size of Switzerland, big enough for them all to live and maintain themselves through industry, mining and agriculture, minus the Samson Option Israeli nuclear missile arsenal of course, much better for everyone, with no more wars in the Middle East, unless, of course, Mr Putin makes the foolish mistake of treating them like sincere members of society and letting the parasite take over Russia again, which would assuredly mean literal death for everyone else in the world, and the Judaic Zohar does state that all Gentiles will be exterminated from this world when the Jewish messiah comes, that all Gentile souls will be sent to hell, under the 'Angel Duma', so maybe the Duma is the Russian legislative body of the same name, in prophetic vision, so maybe Mr Putin makes that mistake in the future.

Even in 1974, a West German finance minister stated that over 4 million Jews had claimed compensation as holocaust survivors, when of course, there had not even been that many Jews in all occupied countries during WWII, perhaps 3.5 to 3.7 million or so, so those who hid out in Birobidzhan obviously sneaked back under false pretenses after the war and tried this scam on, with many additional fraudsters who had never even lived in any European occupied country at the time joining the ranks of those parasites.

500,000 Jews in France were never deported throughout the war under German occupation, 50,000 German Jews were deported to Palestine after receiving training in the camps in agriculture and machine work to help them live independent productive lives, in accordance with National Socialist ideals of helping even Jews to reform, only for those same Jews to spit in the face of the Germans and lie about them after the war.

150,000 nominal and half Jews and the additional numbers of their families who sympathized with German National Socialism stayed in Germany and served the Third Reich, and watching the History Channel, you can see even Hitler Youth children with heavily Jewish names as members.

I have read evidence that in Berlin, a Jewish hospital with capacity for 200 Jews existed throughout the war, and that it remained in use by the Jewish community there continuously, so it is most interesting that hospital patients residing in that hospital were not, and had not been deported, that there remained Jews in Berlin even at the end of the war who could access the medical facilities there, so it was obviously not the case that all Jews were deported, nor that there was a total policy for that to occur, otherwise they would simply have been in Palestine, or in Russia, in the transit camps receiving training or doing work for the war effort, or elsewhere, and they were never deported either. 200 Jews were found in Berlin by the 'Allied' troops in that hospital at the end of the war.

But of course, the minds of most will still be swayed to believe the utter impossibility of that by statements like "But I have seen the photographs of the dead Jews in the death camps" (that were carefully constructed as war propaganda, whilst real, fat-faced, smiling, well-kempt Jewish camp inmates in their freshly sterilized and laundered stripy clothes were photographed being 'liberated' when the erroneously-named Allies entered their camps, when in truth, those same Jewish inmates were probably about to be deported to Birobidzhan, as

Stalin must have agreed to take them all off the hands of Germany).

Alfred Hitchcock, the British horror film director and Billy Wilder, the American film director, were taken to German camps at the close of the war to carefully construct such artificial truths as the 'death camps' in accordance with the propaganda policy of the British Jew Sefton Delmer, who claimed that atrocity propaganda was how the 'Allies' (mind-controlled forces under Jewish control) had won the war, and that such propaganda would be how they intended to maintain that victory indefinitely, through it's continual dissemination throughout the future, exactly as we are seeing today in the Jew-controlled mass media.


Of course, if there had ever really been any death camps, and any policy of extermination of the Jews, no Jews would have been serving in the armed forces of the Reich, and the Jewish soldiers, naval crews and airmen would all have mutinied and destroyed the German army, navy and air force from within.

Yet still the Jews hammer us relentlessly with mass bombing attacks of their propaganda, to burden our minds so much that under sheer pressure of immense weight and great intensity of such continuous barrages, we must surely say eventually that we are so tired of resisting their interminable drivel that we would rather not respond or resist it any longer, and simply lie down in bed and let it all wash over us and through us.

Of course, we should well know what would happen then, in the absence of our continued vigilance and efforts to maintain even our own understanding, and to educate those around us, that the Jews, then totally unchecked, would achieve their objectives all the sooner, having totally suppressed all truth, having erased all ability of the people to know reality, making all Gentile peoples completely susceptible to being sent to war against each other, such policy of assuring us all of the unavoidable necessity of war being the constant Jewish means of annihilating the 'goyim'.

If the collective mind of the entire people becomes sufficiently weakened by the Jews, allowing the Jews to subjugate them entirely to the Jewish purpose alone, the Jews, unless checked, will be able to complete their program to physically enslave and genocide all non-Jews, exactly as is demanded in their criminal terrorist manuals claimed to be scriptures, as there would be no-one left to even be capable of thinking to say a single word to counter their nefarious plans, and that is what the Jews are trying to do, to simply tire us all to death, so that we will say, to hell with it all, I have had enough.

But our energy springs ceaselessly to research and establish the prominence of the real truth in all things, as that is the nature of the eternal soul unfettered by evil, and that truth is a beginningless and eternal truth that can never be destroyed.



ERIC HUNT

The Last Days of the Big Lie

- Uploaded on May 23, 2011

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NyMVWVBmGV0>

.....and it will not rest.....

The New York Times

World's Oldest Man, a Confectioner From Poland, Survived Auschwitz

By LIAM STACK, MARCH 11, 2016



Israel Kristal, center, the world's oldest man, with Marco Frigatti, right, head of records for Guinness World Records, in Haifa, Israel. CreditDvir Rosen/Guinness World Records, via Associated Press

Israel Kristal lived through the tumult of two world wars, lost his family in the Holocaust and escaped death in the Auschwitz concentration camp. He then built a new life in Israel. On Friday, at the age of 112 years and 178 days, he was declared the oldest man in the world.

The [announcement](#) was made by Guinness World Records, which said it had verified Mr. Kristal's age with the assistance of the Gerontology Research Group in Los Angeles and [Jewish Records Indexing - Poland](#), an organization that preserves records of the history of Polish Jews.

"I don't know the secret for long life," said Mr. Kristal, who lives in Haifa, Israel, according to a statement issued by Guinness World Records. There have been smarter, stronger and better looking men than me who are no longer alive," he added. "All that is left for us to do is to keep on working as hard as we can and rebuild what is lost."

The Auschwitz-Birkenau State Museum in Oswiecim, [Poland](#), [said](#) on Twitter that the announcement of Mr. Kristal's longevity was "a very symbolic fact considering his personal story."

Mr. Kristal was born Izrael Icek Krysztal in the village of Malenie in what is now Poland on Sept. 15, 1903, the Gerontology Research Center said. A teenager during World War I, he survived by working the family farm after his widowed father was drafted. After the war, he and his father opened a candy shop in Lodz, where he was living with his wife and two children

when Germany invaded Poland in 1939. The German occupiers soon moved the family, along with the rest of the city's Jewish population, to the Lodz Ghetto, where Mr. Kristal continued to make sweets, he [told](#) the Israeli newspaper Haaretz in January. Four years later, he and his family were moved again, this time to Auschwitz, where his wife and two children were killed. Mr. Kristal was the only member of his extended family to survive the war and the Nazi genocide, Guinness said.

According to the Gerontology Research Group, Mr. Kristal spent three months in Auschwitz before he was sent to do slave labor at the Wüstegiersdorf, Dörnau, and Schotterwerk camps until May 1945, when Allied troops liberated the camps. At the end of the war he weighed only 81 pounds, Guinness said.

The Gerontology Research Group said in a statement that the ravages of war had made validating Mr. Kristal's age "extremely difficult." It said the work done by Jewish Records Indexing - Poland provided a "breakthrough" in January that gave researchers access to "sufficient early-life, middle-life, and late-life evidence" of his age.

Mr. Kristal returned to Lodz after the war and reopened the family candy store. He moved to Israel in 1950 with his second wife and a young son and worked doing what he knew best, making sweets. His story became known in Israel in recent years after he inherited the title of world's oldest Holocaust survivor at age 110 upon the death of [Alice Herz-Sommer](#) in London in 2014.

The oldest living person - 115 years and 249 days as of Friday - is an American woman, Susannah Mushatt Jones, according to Guinness World Records. When asked by Haaretz in January if he had any diet tips for a long life, Mr. Kristal at first appeared to rebuff the reporter before dispensing a prescription for moderation born of hardship.

"In the camps there wasn't always anything to eat," he [said](#). "What they gave me, I ate. I eat to live, I don't live to eat. I don't need too much. Anything that's too much is no good."

<http://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/world/middleeast/oldest-living-man-a-confectioner-from-poland-survived-auschwitz.html>

[A Query: Did Mr Kristal know that countless Jews left with the German forces as they evacuated Auschwitz before the camp was liberated by the Soviet forces? Isn't this strange that countless Jews, given the choice to stay and await the Soviets or leave with the Germans, chose to leave with the Germans! - ed. AI].

...now think on these things...

Blacksmith the 911 ignorant comedian

[Mohammed A. Hegazi](#) Published on Mar 13, 2016

In this video, an obfuscating blacksmith offers an irrelevant argument about 911. A convincing reply by a knowledgeable architect, Richard Gage, exposes the moronic ignorance of the blacksmith's argument.



That three buildings collapse because of fire in one day is an absurd engineering argument. Facts = two planes hit two buildings but three buildings collapsed. The top 15 stories disintegrate by themselves and do not crush the 95 stories below, which then begin to destroy themselves, by waves of explosions ripping the building apart. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IMG-OR6OeFY>