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#### Abstract

We report the discovery of several large "propeller" moons in the outer part of Saturn's A ring, objects large enough to be followed over the 5 -year duration of the Cassini mission. These are the first objects ever discovered that can be tracked as individual moons, but do not orbit in empty space. We infer sizes up to $1-2 \mathrm{~km}$ for the unseen moonlets at the center of the propeller-shaped structures, though many structural and photometric properties of propeller structures remain unclear. Finally, we demonstrate that some propellers undergo sustained non-keplerian orbit motion.


Subject headings: planets and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability - planets and satellites: rings - planet-disk interactions

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Propeller" structures in a planetary ring, named for their characteristic two-armed shape, occur as the disturbance caused by a disk-embedded moon is carried downstream, which is forward (backward) on the side facing toward (away from) the planet per Kepler's Third Law. Although no central moonlet has yet been directly resolved within a propeller, the observed structure allows us to infer both the locations and sizes of such moonlets. Predictions of such structures in Saturn's rings (Spahn and Sremčević 2000, Sremčević et al. 2002; Seiß et al. 2005) led to their discovery in Cassini images (Tiscareno et al. 2006), followed by the realization that they reside primarily in a relatively narrow band in the mid-A ring (Sremčević et al. 2007). Further observations identified three "Propeller Belts" between 127,000 and 132,000 km from Saturn's center, together containing 7000-8000 propeller moonlets with radius $R \gtrsim 0.15 \mathrm{~km}$, and exhibiting a steep power-law size distribution ${ }^{*}$ of $Q \sim 5$ (Tiscareno et al. 2008).

We report her $\rrbracket^{\dagger}$ that a population of propellers also exists in the outer part of the A ring, between the Encke Gap and the ring's outer edge (i.e., between 133,700 and $136,700 \mathrm{~km}$ from Saturn's center). These "trans-Encke" propellers are much less abundant but also much larger than those seen in the Propeller Belts (Fig. 11). Because of this, several trans-Encke propellers have been observed on multiple occasions with confidence that the same object is being viewed in each case, thus allowing conclusions to be drawn as to their persistence and orbital stability. No propellers are clearly apparent between the Propeller Belts and the Encke Gap.

[^0]Each propeller observation ${ }^{7}$ is given an alphanumeric identifier, following the convention of Tiscareno et al. (2008). However, propellers that are seen in multiple widely-separated apparitions are given nicknames that can serve to tie together the various observations. To confirm the identity of objects in widely-separated apparitions, we check not only that the radial locations are the same but also that the longitudes are consistent (with residuals as specified in Section 3) with keplerian motion at that orbital radius.

## 2. SIZE AND PHOTOMETRY

### 2.1. Size from radial offset

The size of the central moonlet, which is not directly seen, can be inferred from the radial offset $\Delta r$ between the leading and trailing azimuthally-aligned lobes of the propeller (Fig. 11b). Initial simulations suggested that the radial separation between the density-depleted regions on either side of a moonlet is $\Delta r \sim 4 r_{H}$ (Seiß et al. 2005), where $r_{H}$ is the Hill radius; interpretation of recent $N$-body simulations including mutual self-gravity and particle-size distributions is more complex due to moonlet accretion during the simulation, but the relationship $\Delta r \sim 4 r_{H}$ appears to hold (Lewis and Stewart 2009). Assuming that the density of the central moonlet is such that it fills its Roche lobe, and thus the moonlet's mean radius $\bar{R}$ is approximately 0.72 times its Hill radius (Porco et al. 2007), we then expect $\bar{R} \sim 0.18 \Delta r$.
In the Propeller Belts, what appear morphologically to be the density-depleted regions within individual propellers unexpectedly appear bright against the background ring. Sremčević et al. (2007) suggested brightening due to temporary liberation of regolith, while Tiscareno et al. (2010) investigated the role that disruption

[^1]

Figure 1. Propellers as seen in selected Cassini images. Panel (a) shows a propeller in context of the Encke Gap and several density waves, while panels (h) and (i) show propellers casting shadows near the saturnian equinox (Section 2.2). The unseen moonlet is inferred to be at the center of the structure; its size is proportional to $\Delta r$ (Section 2.1), the radial offset between the two azimuthally-aligned lobes, as illustrated in panel (b). Panels (b), (c), and (d) show three views of the same propeller at the same scale, demonstrating how its appearance changes with viewing geometry. Non-equinox views are on the lit ( $b, e, g$ ) or unlit ( $a, c, d, f$ ) face of the rings. The scale bar in panel (d) also applies to panels (b), (c), (f), and (g). The scale bar in panel (i) also applies to panels (e) and (h). Image numbers, propeller nicknames, and apparition numbers are as follows: (a) N1597800527, "Santos-Dumont", 081-081-A; (b) N1586641255, "Blériot", 064-255-A; (c) N1597791119, "Blériot", 081-054-A; (d) N1590907054, "Blériot", 070-015-A; (e) N1544842586, "Blériot", 035-299-A; (f) N1503243458, "Wright", 013-020-A; (g) N1586628622, "Earhart", 064-121-A; (h) N1620657077, "Blériot", 110-088-A; and (i) N1628846480, "Earhart", 116-008-A.
of self-gravity wakes might play in brightening propeller structures. Whatever the cause, brightened regions may blend, or even be primarily associated, with densityenhanced regions at larger values of $\Delta r$ (e.g., Sremčević et al. (2007) suggested $\Delta r \sim(9 \pm 1) r_{H}$ for density enhancements), so that $\bar{R} \lesssim 0.18 \Delta r$ should be regarded as an upper limit.
Some of the largest propellers seen in the trans-Encke region show, for the first time, both bright and dark components (Figs. 1b through 11d). For these, the densityenhanced and density-depleted regions can be clearly separated, under the assumption that the density-enhanced regions are brighter (darker) on the lit (unlit) face of the ring $\}^{3}$. Indeed, in some images of giant propellers the morphology is clearly that of density-enhanced regions, including the bright ribbon connecting "Earhart's" two bright lobes (Fig. 1. s) and "Blériot's" bright lobes that are sometimes seen wrapped around dark wings (densitydepleted regions) with a great deal more azimuthal extent (Fig. 1.).

However, our measurements of $\Delta r$ in these cases (Fig. 2) show that further photometric and theoretical understanding is needed before the size of the central moonlet can be confidently obtained from $\Delta r$ to accuracy better than a factor of several (making the mass uncertain by about an order of magnitude). We expected

[^2]to find that relative-bright features on the lit face of the rings and relative-dark features on the unlit face would be the same (density-enhanced) components of the propeller, and similarly that relative-dark features on the lit face and relative-bright features on the unlit face would be the same (density-depleted) components, but in measured $\Delta r$ values for propeller "Blériot" (the only one for which all four aspects are seen) the radial separations all differ from each other. Similarly, while relativebright features on the lit face do have consistently larger values of $\Delta r$ than relative-bright features on the unlit face, as expected for (respectively) density-enhanced and density-depleted components, the ratios between the two are not consistent among the four propellers ("Blériot", "Post", "Santos-Dumont", and "Wright") that have been observed on both the lit and unlit faces of the rings.

The unexpected complexity of these observations indicates that the photometry of propellers is highly dependent on viewing geometry, perhaps including solar incidence angle and viewer's emission angle in addition to the more commonly-considered phase angle, and may also simply vary with time. Such photometric variations may be due to the poorly-understood details of propeller structure and/or to differing particle-size properties between propeller and background.

### 2.2. Size from shadows

A saturnian equinox, which occurs every 14.7 yr , took place in 2009 August. During this event, the Sun shone nearly edge-on to the ring plane, causing any vertical structure to cast long shadows. Several propellers were observed during this period, along with their shadows


Figure 2. Observed radial offsets $\Delta r$ for all propellers with measurement errors $<0.7 \mathrm{~km}$. Error bars (1-sigma) are given, but in many cases are smaller than the plotted symbol. The right-hand axis gives the inferred radius of the central moonlet assuming internal density equal to the Roche critical density and $\Delta r \sim 4 r_{H}$; we emphasize that the latter assumption cannot be valid for all cases listed here (see Section 2.1. From shadow lengths we directly measure the vertical height of the propeller, which should be comparable to the moonlet radius (Section 2.2 . For "Blériot", the only propeller with observed relative-dark components on the lit face of the rings or with observed relative-bright components on the unlit face of the rings, the radial offsets for lit-dark and unlit-bright do not agree, nor do unlit-dark and lit-bright, as might have been expected. Furthermore, the four propellers observed on both the lit and unlit faces of the rings do not have a consistent ratio of lit-bright to unlit-bright.
(Figs. 1h and 1 $)$. The azimuthally-distributed morphology of the shadows indicates that they were cast by the propeller structures as a whole, and not directly by the central moonlets. However, the vertical extent of a propeller structure should be comparable to the size of its moonlet, as it is the moonlet's gravity that pulls material out of the ring plane (preliminary results from simulations corroborate this notion; M. C. Lewis, pers. comm.). Shadow lengths indicate that "Blériot's" propeller has a vertical height of $0.43 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~km}$ above the ring, while other propellers have shadow-inferred vertical heights of 0.12 km ("Santos-Dumont"), 0.16 km (116-006-A) and 0.26 km ("Earhart"). The main body of the A ring has a vertical thickness on the order of a few $\times 0.01 \mathrm{~km}$ (Tiscareno et al. 2007, Hedman et al. 2007, Colwell et al. 2009).

### 2.3. Size distribution

The propeller-belt and the giant-propeller populations may in fact have very similar size-distribution curves. The complete azimuthal scan from Orbit 35 found 19 propellers between 133,700 and $135,000 \mathrm{~km}$ with $\Delta r \gtrsim$ 2 km , and the partial azimuthal scan ( $65 \%$-complete) from Orbit 64 found 16 , both of which give a surface density 4 of $2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$. As shown in Fig. 3, this is the same number that one gets by extrapolating from the Propeller Belts' surface density and power law $Q \sim 5$ (Tiscareno et al. 2008).
However, if the two populations do have the same sizedistribution slope and intercept, they are likely truncated so that they do not actually overlap. The same radial scans that discovered the Propeller Belts (Tiscareno et al. 2008) clearly showed no small propellers elsewhere in the A ring, including the trans-Encke region, so it would appear that only giant propellers occur in the latter. On

ब We note that the surface density for trans-Encke propellers reported in Fig. 8 of Tiscareno et al. 2008 was too low due to an erroneous assumption that the azimuthal scan from Orbit 13 was complete.


Figure 3. Particle size distribution for Saturn's A Ring. The solid line and open square denote the Voyager RSS size distribution for the ring continuum, converted to integral format from the solution for $2.14 R_{\mathrm{S}}=129,100 \mathrm{~km}$ given in Table III of Zebker et al. (1985). The open diamond is obtained from two known moons (Pan and Daphnis) of radius $\gtrsim 4 \mathrm{~km}$ in the entire A Ring. The open circles denote values for the Propeller Belts, from Tiscareno et al. 2006 2008). The filled circle shows a result from this work for the transEncke region. The error bars reflect the systematic error due to model-dependency in the conversion from $\Delta r$ to moonlet size (the left-hand side of the error bar corresponds to $\Delta r \sim 4 r_{H}$, while the right-hand side of the error bar corresponds to $\Delta r \sim 8 r_{H}$, see Section 2.1 ; it is important to note that data points will slide along the error bars in concert, preserving their relative positions and thus the inferred power law. The change in linestyle from dashed to dotted is to indicate that the open circles and the filled circles represent data from different regions within the A Ring, so that there is no reason to assume that they must fall on the same size-distribution curve, and furthermore that large propellers appear to be absent in the Propeller Belts while small propellers are absent in the trans-Encke region (Section 2.3 .
the other hand, while fewer high-resolution (better than $3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{px}$ ) images have been taken of the Propeller Belts, compared with the trans-Encke region, the ratio is only a factor of several, which makes it likely (but not conclusively proven) that giant propellers are missing in the


Figure 4. Observed longitude of the propeller "Blériot" over 4 years, with a linear trend ( $616.7819329^{\circ} /$ day) subtracted off. Only data points with measurement errors $\sigma<0.01^{\circ}$ are shown. Error bars (1-sigma) are given, but in many cases are smaller than the plotting symbol. Panel (a) shows all the data, while panels (b), (c), and (d) contain subsets of the data shown in greater detail. The residuals to the linear trend (horizontal dotted line) are less than $\pm 300 \mathrm{~km}$, but are clearly not randomly distributed. The dotted line indicates a linear-plus-sinusoidal fit to all the data, with an amplitude of $0.11^{\circ}$ and a period of 3.68 yr . The solid lines indicate piecewise quadratic fits, corresponding to a constant drift in semimajor axis; in particular, the data from mid-2006 to early-2007 (panel c) are fit by a linear trend with a constant acceleration of $-0.0096^{\prime \prime} /$ day $^{2}(\dot{a}=+0.11 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{yr})$, while the data from late-2007 to early-2009 (panel d) are fit by a linear trend with a constant acceleration of $+0.0023^{\prime \prime} /$ day $^{2}(\dot{a}=-0.04 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{yr})$.

Table 1
Orbit fits for trans-Encke propellers

| Nickname | $n,^{\circ} /$ day $^{a}$ | $a, \mathrm{~km}^{a}$ | Longitude <br> at epoch | \# images $^{c}$ | Time interval | Rms deviation <br> in km | dingitude <br> in longit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earhart | $624.529897(2)$ | $133797.8401(3)$ | $57.85^{\circ}$ | 3 | $2006-2009(2.7 \mathrm{yr})$ | 730 | $0.31^{\circ}$ |
| Post | $624.4867(3)$ | $133803.99(4)$ | $58.09^{\circ}$ | 3 | $2006-2008(1.7 \mathrm{yr})$ | 12 | $0.01^{\circ}$ |
| Sikorsky | $623.917736(1)$ | $133885.0475(2)$ | $70.37^{\circ}$ | 3 | $2005-2008(3.1 \mathrm{yr})$ | 230 | $0.10^{\circ}$ |
| Curtiss | 623.7473 | 133909.36 | $210.04^{\circ}$ | 2 | $2006-2008(1.7 \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |
| Lindbergh | $623.3176(2)$ | $133970.69(2)$ | $112.08^{\circ}$ | 3 | $2005-2008(3.0 \mathrm{yr})$ | 71 | $0.03^{\circ}$ |
| Wright | 622.5527 | 134080.03 | $251.85^{\circ}$ | 2 | $2005-2006(1.3 \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |
| Kingsford Smith | $620.761649(2)$ | $134336.9350(3)$ | $202.44^{\circ}$ | 4 | $2005-2008(2.9 \mathrm{yr})$ | 670 | $0.28^{\circ}$ |
| Hinkler | $619.80519(1)$ | $134474.639(2)$ | $58.85^{\circ}$ | 3 | $2006-2008(1.3 \mathrm{yr})$ | 360 | $0.15^{\circ}$ |
| Santos-Dumont | $619.458729(1)$ | $134524.6067(2)$ | $324.11^{\circ}$ | 9 | $2005-2009(4.3 \mathrm{yr})$ | 670 | $0.28^{\circ}$ |
| Richthofen | 617.7011 | 134778.83 | $122.90^{\circ}$ | 2 | $2006-2007(0.3 \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |
| Blériot | $616.7819329(6)$ | $134912.24521(8)$ | $193.65^{\circ}$ | 89 | $2005-2009(4.2 \mathrm{yr})$ | 210 | $0.09^{\circ}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Formal error estimates, shown in parentheses for the last digit, are for the best-fit linear trend in longitude. They are much smaller than the rms deviations in longitude, given in the right-hand column.
${ }^{b}$ Epoch is 2007 January 1 at 12:00:00 UTC (JD 1782806.0). All orbit fits assume $e=0$ and $i=0$.
${ }^{c}$ Not including images of insufficient quality to include in the orbit fit.

Propeller Belts. Even the largest propellers observed in the Propeller Belts have $\Delta r<1.3 \mathrm{~km}$ (Tiscareno et al. 2008), while nearly all observed trans-Encke propellers have $\Delta r$ larger than this value (Fig. 22).

## 3. THE ORBITAL EVOLUTION OF "BLÉRIOT"

At least 11 propellers have been seen at multiple widely-separated instances, but "Blériot" is of particular interest as the largest and most frequently detected (Figs. 1p, 1F, 1d, 1k, and 1h). It has appeared in more
than one hundred separate Cassini ISS images spanning a period of four years, and was serendipitously detected once in a stellar occultation observed by the Cassini UVIS instrument (Colwell et al. 2008).

Analysis of the orbit of "Blériot" confirms that it is both long-lived and reasonably well-characterized by a keplerian path. As Fig. 4 shows, a linear fit to the longitude with time (corresponding to a circular orbit) results in residuals of $\pm 300 \mathrm{~km}$ ( $0.13^{\circ}$ longitude). However, those residuals are some 50 times greater than the
mean measurement errors; furthermore, the residuals are far from randomly distributed, with a strong indication of coherent motions superposed atop the linear trend. Analysis of the orbits of other propellers, though less well-sampled in time, similarly shows variations in longitude of up to 1000 km (Table 1), easily small enough to confirm that a single object is being observed but much larger than the measurement errors.

After a linear trend, the next-simplest functional form available is a quadratic, which corresponds to a constant angular acceleration, or (equivalently) a linear drift of the semimajor axis with time. A global quadratic fit to all data points does hardly better than the global linear fit and is not shown in Fig. 4.
Two possible functional forms for the non-keplerian motion are 1) a linear trend plus a sinusoidal variation of the longitude with time, which is shown by the dotted line in Fig. 4, and 2) a piecewise fit carried out by grouping the data into four segments and fitting each segment to a linear or quadratic trend, shown by the solid lines in Fig. 4. The most likely physical mechanism for the former is some kind of resonant interaction, perhaps with one of the many larger moons in or near the rings, although no resonance has yet been identified that might plausibly explain "Blériot's" motions. The most likely physical mechanism for the latter is that "Blériot" periodically suffers collisions (Lewis and Stewart 2009) that jostle its orbit onto a new one, but that in between such kicks its semimajor axis drifts linearly due to gravitational and/or collisional interactions with the disk.
Continued investigation of the non-keplerian motion of propeller moons is ongoing.
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Table S1
Observing information for images used in this paper.

| Orbit | Image Identifier | \# of Images | Date | Incidence Angle ${ }^{b}$ | Emission Angle ${ }^{b}$ | Phase Angle | Radial Resolution ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Azimuthal Resolution ${ }^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007 | N1493569190 | 1 | 2005-120 | $111.9^{\circ}$ | $109.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $40.2^{\circ}$ | 8.6 | 25.1 |
| 007 | N1493619126-42136 | 20 | 2005-121 | $111.8^{\circ}$ | $111.0^{\circ}$ | $33.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.9 | 18-20 |
| 007 | N1493715564-24205 | 3 | 2005-122 | $111.8^{\circ}$ | $115.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $12.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 3-5 | 3-6 |
| 008 | N1495108987-35027 | 17 | 2005-138 | $111.7^{\circ}$ | $109.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $41.7^{\circ}$ | 8.9 | $25-28$ |
| 009 | N1496871927-2034 | 3 | 2005-158 | $111.5^{\circ}$ | $109.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $18.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.8 | 6.6 |
| 012 | N1501228513-9785 | 4 | 2005-209 | $110.9^{\circ}$ | $107.4^{\circ}$ | $55.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 13.8 | 19-24 |
| 013 | N1503243458 | 1 | 2005-232 | $110.7^{\circ}$ | $52.1^{\circ}$ | $162.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 028 | N1537022412 | 1 | 2006-258 | $105.9^{\circ}$ | $75.0^{\circ}$ | $175.8^{\circ}$ | 13.0 | 49.6 |
| 029 | N1538205758 | 1 | 2006-271 | $105.7^{\circ}$ | $58.7^{\circ}$ | $161.0^{\circ}$ | 10.1 | 19.5 |
| 030 | N1539660972-8180 | 2 | 2006-289 | $105.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $49.0^{\circ}$ | $151.2^{\circ}$ | 10.6 | 16.1 |
| 031 | N1540581552 | 1 | 2006-299 | $105.3^{\circ}$ | $106.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $117.8^{\circ}$ | 5.9 | 10.7 |
| 033 | N1542569654-70226 | 2 | 2006-322 | $105.0^{\circ}$ | $91.9^{\circ}$ | $147.9^{\circ}$ | 7.2 | 150-174 |
| 033 | N1543202188-695 | 2 | 2006-329 | $104.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $59.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $160.5^{\circ}$ | 10.2 | 20.4 |
| 034 | N1543354660-5569 | 2 | 2006-331 | $104.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $69.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $159.0^{\circ}$ | 10.2 | 29.2 |
| 035 | N1544813989-43793 | 20 | 2006-348/349 | $104.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $117^{\circ}-150^{\circ}$ | $27^{\circ}-64^{\circ}$ | 2.7 | 2-5 |
| 036 | N1545570886-1332 | 2 | 2006-357 | $104.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $54.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $159.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 11.8 | 20.6 |
| 036 | N1546726425-798 | 2 | 2007-005 | $104.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $35.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $133.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.4 | 12.8 |
| 037 | N1548113882-946 | 2 | 2007-021 | $104.1^{\circ}$ | $34.0{ }^{\circ}$ | $110.2^{\circ}$ | 10.7 | 10.4 |
| 039 | N1551257093-309107 | 2 | 2007-058 | $103.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $34.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $104.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.2 | 12.4 |
| 041 | N1552822117-477 | 2 | 2007-076 | $103.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $35.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $108.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.6 | 13.1 |
| 041 | N1554027273-8323 | 4 | 2007-090 | $103.1{ }^{\circ}$ | $51.8^{\circ}$ | $82.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 11.9 | 19.2 |
| 042 | N1554731052 | 1 | 2007-098 | $103.0^{\circ}$ | $118.9^{\circ}$ | $128.2^{\circ}$ | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| 043 | N1556183373-202155 | 6 | 2007-115 | $102.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $123^{\circ}-138^{\circ}$ | $21^{\circ}-43^{\circ}$ | $3.1-4.2$ | $2.6-4.5$ |
| 045 | N1558418169 | 1 | 2007-141 | $102.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $71.0^{\circ}$ | $72.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 13.7 | 23.7 |
| 045 | N1559371611-77 | 3 | 2007-152 | $102.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $83.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $51.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 12.5 | 105.4 |
| 053 | N1575154715-65751 | 9 | 2007-334/335 | $99.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $80.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $52.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 8.7 | 49-52 |
| 054 | N1577141652-95 | 2 | 2007-356 | $99.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $75.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $23.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 11.9 | 33.7 |
| 055 | N1577829421-30077 | 3 | 2007-365 | $99.0^{\circ}$ | $57.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $64.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 9.6 | 17.7 |
| 055 | N1578422585-9943 | 12 | 2008-007 | $98.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $78.1^{\circ}$ | $21.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 9.9 | 47.6-49.0 |
| 056 | N1579166576 | 1 | 2008-016 | $98.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $123.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $66.2^{\circ}$ | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| 057 | N1579792597-3315 | 3 | 2008-023 | $98.7^{\circ}$ | $62.7{ }^{\circ}$ | $42.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.2 | 22.2 |
| 061 | N1584357723-73623 | 2 | 2008-067 | $97.9^{\circ}$ | $77.8^{\circ}$ | $20.9^{\circ}$ | 12.4 | 14.7 |
| 064 | N1586618575-41255 | 17 | 2008-102 | $97.5^{\circ}$ | $141^{\circ}-175^{\circ}$ | $49^{\circ}-103^{\circ}$ | $1.7-2.8$ | 1.8-3.4 |
| 065 | N1587553446-4566 | 5 | 2008-113 | $97.3^{\circ}$ | $104.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $14.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.5 | 21.8 |
| 068 | N1589849522 | 1 | 2008-139 | $96.9^{\circ}$ | $112.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $37.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.3 | 8.4 |
| 070 | N1590907054-8480 | 2 | 2008-152 | $96.7^{\circ}$ | $56.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $42.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.3 | 7-11 |
| 070 | N1591067764-85510 | 5 | 2008-154 | $96.7^{\circ}$ | $140^{\circ}-154^{\circ}$ | $71^{\circ}-82^{\circ}$ | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| 071 | N1591525824 | 1 | 2008-159 | $96.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $58.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $37.9^{\circ}$ | 6.3 | 11.1 |
| 080 | N1597462656 | 1 | 2008-228 | $95.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $87.1^{\circ}$ | $26.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 7.4 | 58.4 |
| 080 | N1597487541-8439 | 4 | 2008-228 | $95.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $83.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $16.7^{\circ}$ | 7.7 | 20-60 |
| 081 | N1597775567-800527 | 4 | 2008-231/232 | $95.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $25^{\circ}-45^{\circ}$ | $94^{\circ}-105^{\circ}$ | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| 090 | N1603444112 | 1 | 2008-297 | $94.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $37.0^{\circ}$ | $58.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| 092 | N1604569991-70034 | 2 | 2008-310 | $94.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $69.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $32.7^{\circ}$ | 8.0 | 12.7 |
| 098 | N1608800086-341 | 3 | 2008-359 | $93.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $24.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $69.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.3 | 4.9 |
| 102 | N1612496648 | 1 | 2009-036 | $92.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $128.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $59.0^{\circ}$ | 9.5 | 7.0 |
| 105 | N1614861737 | 1 | 2009-063 | $92.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $66.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $34.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 7.1 | 17.8 |
| 110 | N1620656882-7077 | 2 | 2009-130 | $91.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $117.5^{\circ}$ | $59.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.6 | 12.2 |
| 114 | N1626159520 | 1 | 2009-194 | $90.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $41.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $77.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 9.7 | 7.2 |
| 114 | N1626320702 | 1 | 2009-196 | $90.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $49.7^{\circ}$ | $89.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 11.5 | 13.1 |
| 115 | N1627613635 | 1 | 2009-211 | $90.2^{\circ}$ | $61.2^{\circ}$ | $99.1{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.1 | 20.8 |
| 116 | N1628845563-6513 | 7 | 2009-225 | $90.0^{\circ}$ | $72.0^{\circ}$ | $82^{\circ}-92^{\circ}$ | 8-11 | $9-13$ |

${ }^{a}$ For lines referring to multiple images, variation in each parameter is in the last significant figure.
${ }^{b}$ Measured from the direction of Saturn's north pole (ring-plane normal), so that angles $>90^{\circ}$ denote the southern hemisphere.
${ }^{c}$ In km/pixel.

Table S2
Fitted sizes for multiply-observed trans-Encke propellers.

| Name $^{a, b}$ | Lit/Unlit | $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{D}^{c}$ | $\Delta r, \mathrm{~km}$ | Inferred $\bar{R}, \mathrm{~km}^{d}$ | \# images | Reduced $\chi^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 064-103-A | Lit | B | $2.16 \pm 0.12$ | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ | 2 | 13.73 |
| Blériot | Lit | B | $5.50 \pm 0.05$ | $0.95 \pm 0.01$ | 6 | 9.99 |
| Blériot | Lit | D | $1.78 \pm 0.07$ | $0.31 \pm 0.01$ | 7 | 3.75 |
| Blériot | Unlit | B | $4.15 \pm 0.18$ | $0.72 \pm 0.03$ | 6 | 2.24 |
| Blériot | Unlit | D | $6.60 \pm 0.27$ | $1.14 \pm 0.05$ | 3 | 0.58 |
| Curtiss | Lit | B | $3.11 \pm 0.10$ | $0.54 \pm 0.02$ | 1 |  |
| Earhart | Lit | B | $5.17 \pm 0.11$ | $0.90 \pm 0.02$ | 2 | 19.58 |
| Hinkler | Lit | B | $1.85 \pm 0.24$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | 2 | 0.00 |
| Kingsford Smith | Lit | B | $5.32 \pm 0.10$ | $0.92 \pm 0.02$ | 2 | 0.04 |
| Lindbergh | Lit | B | $1.33 \pm 0.12$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ | 2 | 0.15 |
| Post | Lit | B | $3.34 \pm 0.07$ | $0.58 \pm 0.01$ | 3 | 24.63 |
| Post | Unlit | B | $2.97 \pm 0.43$ | $0.51 \pm 0.07$ | 1 |  |
| Richthofen | Lit | B | $2.44 \pm 0.16$ | $0.42 \pm 0.03$ | 2 | 0.78 |
| Santos-Dumont | Lit | B | $4.34 \pm 0.22$ | $0.75 \pm 0.04$ | 3 | 22.27 |
| Santos-Dumont | Unlit | B | $2.92 \pm 0.32$ | $0.51 \pm 0.06$ | 1 |  |
| Sikorsky | Lit | B | $2.56 \pm 0.21$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04$ | 2 | 0.39 |
| Wright | Lit | B | $2.94 \pm 0.41$ | $0.51 \pm 0.07$ | 1 |  |
| Wright | Unlit | B | $0.72 \pm 0.11$ | $0.12 \pm 0.02$ | 1 |  |
| Wright | Unlit | D | $0.73 \pm 0.28$ | $0.13 \pm 0.05$ | 1 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Alphanumeric identifier for a single apparition (see Table S4, or nickname used to tie
together multiple apparitions of the same object.
${ }^{b}$ Propellers seen only once are not listed here, as their measured $\Delta r$ values are already given in Table S4 and Fig. 2
${ }^{c}$ Relative-bright (B) or relative-dark (D), with respect to image background.
${ }^{d}$ Mean radius of moonlet assuming internal density equal to the Roche critical density and $\Delta r \sim 4 r_{H}$; we emphasize that the latter assumption cannot be valid for all cases listed here (see text).

Table S3
Vertical heights of propellers, inferred from near-equinox shadows.

| Name ${ }^{a}$ | Image | Solar Incidence Angle $^{b}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Shadow Length, km | Inferred Obstacle Height, km | Nickname ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110-087-A | N1620656882 | 91.42 | $19 \pm 7$ | $0.47 \pm 0.17$ | Bleriot |
| 110-088-A | N1620657077 | 91.42 | $20 \pm 7$ | $0.49 \pm 0.17$ | Bleriot |
| 114-001-A | N1626159520 | 90.44 | $55 \pm 7$ | $0.424 \pm 0.057$ | Bleriot |
| 114-015-A | N1626320702 | 90.41 | $49 \pm 13$ | $0.353 \pm 0.092$ | Bleriot |
| 116-004-A | N1628845780 | 89.96 | $221 \pm 10$ | $0.136 \pm 0.006$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 116-005-A | N1628845813 | 89.96 | $184 \pm 10$ | $0.113 \pm 0.006$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 116-006-A | N1628846210 | 89.96 | $257 \pm 10$ | $0.159 \pm 0.006$ | 116-006-A |
| 116-007-A | N1628846243 | 89.96 | $252 \pm 10$ | $0.156 \pm 0.006$ | 116-006-A |
| $116-008-\mathrm{A}$ | N1628846480 | 89.96 | $426 \pm 9$ | $0.263 \pm 0.006$ | Earhart |
| 116-009-A | N1628846513 | 89.96 | $419 \pm 9$ | $0.259 \pm 0.006$ | Earhart |
| ${ }^{a}$ As in Ta <br> ${ }^{b}$ Measured | from Saturn's | th pole. |  |  |  |

Table S4
Fitted sizes for trans-Encke propellers.

| Name ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Image | $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{D}^{\text {b }}$ | line, sample ] | $\Delta \ell(\mathrm{km})^{c}$ | $\Delta r(\mathrm{~km})$ | Match/Nickname ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007-030-A | N1493619126 | B | [ 217.2,1017.1] | $51.2 \pm 2.8$ | $6.5 \pm 0.9$ | Blériot |
| 007-031-A | N1493619321 | B | [ 247.4, 857.4 ] | $53.4 \pm 2.7$ | $8.4 \pm 0.7$ | Blériot |
| 007-031-A | " | D | " |  | $0.817 \pm 0.868$ | Blériot |
| 007-032-A | N1493619516 | B | [ 269.3, 701.2 ] | $48.8 \pm 3.7$ | $7.4 \pm 1.0$ | Blériot |
| 007-032-A |  | D | , |  | $1.488 \pm 0.696$ | Blériot |
| 007-033-A | N1493619711 | B | [ 278.2, 540.6] | $54.3 \pm 2.9$ | $7.7 \pm 0.9$ | Blériot |
| 007-033-A | " | D | " |  | $1.988 \pm 0.658$ | Blériot |
| 007-034-A | N1493619906 | B | [ 277.9, 386.3 ] | $45.9 \pm 3.9$ | $5.6 \pm 1.8$ | Blériot |
| 007-034-A | " | D | " |  | $0.976 \pm 0.660$ | Blériot |
| 007-035-A | N1493620101 | B | [ 267.1, 227.0 ] | $48.4 \pm 7.6$ | $5.9 \pm 2.0$ | Blériot |
| 007-035-A | " | D | " |  | $1.419 \pm 0.497$ | Blériot |
| 007-036-A | N1493620296 | B | [ 244.9, 68.8] | $47.2 \pm 4.2$ | $4.8 \pm 1.2$ | Blériot |
| 007-036-A | " | D | " |  | $1.695 \pm 0.670$ | Blériot |
| 007-087-A | N1493715564 | D | [ 318.8, 475.4 ] |  | $2.253 \pm 0.125$ | Blériot |
| 007-173-A | N1493721598 | B | [ 324.5, 924.0 ] | $33.1 \pm 1.5$ | $1.9 \pm 0.8$ |  |
| 007-199-A | N1493724205 | B | [ 121.4, 670.5] | $31.0 \pm 0.8$ | $0.7 \pm 0.5$ |  |
| 008-159-A | N1495131307 | B | [ 678.8, 814.2 | $40.9 \pm 8.5$ | $6.1 \pm 3.4$ | Blériot |
| 008-160-A | N1495131555 | B | [ 701.8, 659.8 ] | $46.8 \pm 5.9$ | $5.4 \pm 1.9$ | Blériot |
| 008-161-A | N1495131803 | B | [709.3, 502.0] | $53.8 \pm 5.0$ | $3.9 \pm 1.5$ | Blériot |
| 008-162-A | N1495132051 | B | [ 703.7, 350.0] | $50.4 \pm 5.9$ | $5.8 \pm 2.0$ | Blériot |
| 008-163-A | N1495132299 | B | [ 683.4, 193.8] | $50.1 \pm 6.5$ | $6.3 \pm 2.5$ | Blériot |
| 008-164-A | N1495132547 | B | [ 649.2, 33.3] | $67.7 \pm 5.1$ | $1.7 \pm 1.7$ | Blériot |
| 009-023-A | N1496871927 | B | [ 760.7, 590.4] | $31.0 \pm 1.9$ | $7.7 \pm 0.7$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 009-025-A | N1496872000 | B | [ 763.8, 585.6] | $28.3 \pm 2.2$ | $6.2 \pm 0.6$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 009-026-A | N1496872034 | B | [ 763.2, 584.6] | $20.4 \pm 2.7$ | $8.1 \pm 0.9$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 012-049-A | N1501228513 | B | [ 977.0, 122.7] | $68.9 \pm 5.1$ | $15.7 \pm 1.9$ | Blériot |
| 012-050-A | N1501228937 | B | [ 908.7, 90.2] | $48.1 \pm 9.4$ | $14.0 \pm 2.8$ | Blériot |
| 012-051-A | N1501229361 | B | [834.0, 61.3] | $63.7 \pm 5.4$ | $14.0 \pm 2.1$ | Blériot |
| 012-052-A | N1501229785 | B | [ 756.7, 28.8] | $61.6 \pm 6.7$ | $14.6 \pm 2.0$ | Blériot |
| 013-020-A | N1503243458 | D | [ 221.3, 425.3] | $3.4 \pm 0.5$ | $0.7 \pm 0.3$ | Wright |
| 013-020-A | " | B | [ 221.6, 426.0] | $22.1 \pm 0.4$ | $0.7 \pm 0.1$ | Wright |
| 031-017-A | N1540581552 | B | [ 417.0, 57.1 | $54.9 \pm 2.0$ | $8.7 \pm 0.6$ | Blériot |
| 033-070-A | N1543202188 | B | [ 617.2, 77.6 | $97.6 \pm 5.8$ | $8.5 \pm 1.8$ | Blériot |
| 033-071-A | N1543202695 | B | [ 199.5, 51.4] | $130.1 \pm 9.5$ | $1.1 \pm 2.4$ | Blériot |
| 034-009-A | N1543354660 | B | [ 566.8, 673.0 ] | $119.4 \pm 6.4$ | $9.6 \pm 2.8$ | Blériot |
| 034-010-A | N1543355569 | B | [ 44.0, 594.4] | $126.6 \pm 9.7$ | $2.3 \pm 2.0$ | Blériot |
| 035-028-A | N1544813989 | B | [ 790.8, 322.6] | $33.6 \pm 0.4$ | $5.3 \pm 0.1$ | Kingsford Smith |
| 035-067-A | N1544818130 | B | [ 966.8, 787.1 ] | $13.9 \pm 0.4$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | Richthofen |
| 035-076-A | N1544819124 | B | [ 580.3, 27.9] | $14.7 \pm 1.4$ | $4.2 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| 035-094-A | N1544820869 | B | [ $629.5,842.8$ ] | $17.7 \pm 0.2$ | $3.1 \pm 0.1$ | Curtiss |
| 035-130-A | N1544824600 | B | [ 919.6, 137.0] | $11.6 \pm 0.8$ | $2.7 \pm 0.7$ |  |
| 035-153-A | N1544826831 | B | [ 651.7, 604.0] | $12.6 \pm 0.6$ | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ | Lindbergh |
| 035-153-B | " | B | [ 942.4, 687.6] | $9.0 \pm 0.3$ | $3.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 035-164-A | N1544828015 | B | [ 646.5, 487.7] | $13.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 035-189-A | N1544830440 | B | [ 635.6, 298.9] | $20.6 \pm 0.4$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 035-193-A | N1544830828 | B | [ 805.7, 282.4] | $14.0 \pm 0.4$ | $2.6 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| 035-206-A | N1544832207 | B | [ 827.9, 83.0] | $10.8 \pm 0.5$ | $1.9 \pm 0.4$ | Hinkler |
| 035-209-A | N1544832498 | B | [ $608.8,998.8$ ] | $16.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.2 \pm 0.6$ | Sikorsky |
| 035-210-A | N1544832595 | B | [ 617.1, 85.1] | $16.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ | Sikorsky |
| 035-242-A | N1544835939 | B | [836.7, 63.2] | $29.3 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 035-260-A | N1544837802 | B | [ 699.5, 477.1] | $14.3 \pm 0.8$ | $2.9 \pm 0.4$ | Wright |
| 035-296-A | N1544842159 | B | [ 595.8, 129.0] | $26.0 \pm 1.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| 035-299-A | N1544842586 | B | [ 1019.4, 405.8] | $50.6 \pm 1.3$ | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | Blériot |
| 035-299-A | N1544842586 | D | " |  | $1.555 \pm 0.092$ | Blériot |
| 035-306-A | N1544843697 | B | [ 612.5, 943.8] | $40.2 \pm 1.3$ | $4.3 \pm 0.3$ | Post |
| 035-307-A | N1544843793 | B | [ 601.4, 32.0] | $38.8 \pm 1.3$ | $4.6 \pm 0.2$ | Post |
| 035-307-B | " | B | [ 601.7, 161.1] | $43.9 \pm 1.2$ | $6.0 \pm 0.2$ | Earhart |
| 036-070-A | N1546726798 | B | [ 235.7, 3.7 ] | $88.8 \pm 2.0$ | $3.7 \pm 0.6$ | Blériot |
| 037-001-A | N1548113882 | B | [ 136.2, 732.2] | $145.7 \pm 5.2$ | $4.9 \pm 1.0$ | Blériot |
| 037-002-A | N1548113946 | B | 210.0, 665.4] | $122.9 \pm 5.0$ | $7.0 \pm 0.9$ | Blériot |
| 039-009-A | N1551257093 | B | 260.0,1001.1] | $112.2 \pm 3.7$ | $5.0 \pm 1.0$ | Blériot |
| 039-140-A | N1551309107 | B | 377.1, 994.7 ] | $104.9 \pm 3.2$ | $5.7 \pm 0.9$ | Blériot |
| 041-088-A | N1552822117 | B | 108.5, 912.0 | $112.0 \pm 5.8$ | $3.1 \pm 1.8$ | Blériot |
| 041-089-A | N1552822477 | B | 551.2, 998.7] | $123.2 \pm 4.0$ | $4.1 \pm 1.3$ | Blériot |
| 042-045-A | N1554731052 | B | 264.7, 484.6] | $16.3 \pm 1.8$ | $2.1 \pm 0.4$ | Richthofen |

Table S4
Fitted sizes for trans-Encke propellers (continued from previous page)

| Name ${ }^{a}$ | Image | $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{D}^{\text {b }}$ | [ line, sample ] | $\Delta \ell(\mathrm{km})^{c}$ | $\Delta r(\mathrm{~km})$ | Match/Nickname ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 043-053-A | N1556183373 | B | [ 74.7, 980.3] | $16.3 \pm 1.7$ | $0.7 \pm 0.9$ | 043-054-A |
| 043-054-A | N1556183485 | B | [ 80.4, 63.1] | $17.3 \pm 0.5$ | $1.7 \pm 0.4$ | 043-053-A |
| 043-069-A | N1556185283 | B | [ 390.0, 559.6] | $31.3 \pm 0.8$ | $3.3 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 043-083-A | N1556186850 | B | [ 343.4, 860.1 ] | $11.3 \pm 0.4$ | $1.1 \pm 0.5$ |  |
| 043-162-A | N1556196060 | B | [ 64.8, 456.3] | $42.0 \pm 1.1$ | $5.3 \pm 0.3$ | Blériot |
| 043-212-A | N1556202155 | B | [ 333.1, 253.5 ] | $20.1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.6 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| 064-007-A | N1586618575 | B | [ 999.3, 689.4] | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $2.0 \pm 0.5$ |  |
| 064-073-A | N1586624372 | B | [ 332.5, 451.0 ] | $11.0 \pm 0.6$ | $1.3 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| 064-076-A | N1586624630 | B | [ 330.4, 226.4 ] | $9.4 \pm 0.3$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-092-A | N1586626006 | B | [315.0, 655.2 ] | $9.5 \pm 0.3$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-103-A | N1586627074 | B | [ 35.6, 85.0 ] | $10.4 \pm 0.2$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | 064-104-A |
| 064-104-A | N1586627160 | B | [ 72.0, 988.3 ] | $10.8 \pm 0.4$ | $3.4 \pm 0.3$ | 064-103-A |
| 064-109-A | N1586627590 | B | [ 21.8, 138.8] | $11.6 \pm 0.9$ | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ | Hinkler |
| 064-114-A | N1586628020 | B | [ 19.8, 675.4] | $9.7 \pm 0.3$ | $2.3 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-121-A | N1586628622 | B | [ 382.4, 550.5] | $37.6 \pm 0.8$ | $4.9 \pm 0.1$ | Earhart |
| 064-133-A | N1586629654 | B | [ 323.8, 501.9 ] | $18.6 \pm 1.0$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-146-A | N1586630893 | B | [ 374.2, 264.5] | $19.4 \pm 0.2$ | $3.1 \pm 0.1$ | Post |
| 064-163-A | N1586632355 | B | [ 332.5, 182.9] | $11.5 \pm 0.6$ | $3.4 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-176-A | N1586633595 | B | [ 304.5, 464.1] | $15.0 \pm 0.3$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | Lindbergh |
| 064-208-A | N1586636591 | B | [ 160.7, 359.5] | $25.7 \pm 0.4$ | $5.3 \pm 0.2$ | Kingsford Smith |
| 064-222-A | N1586637920 | B | [ 353.4, 231.8] | $10.3 \pm 0.3$ | $2.3 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 064-254-A | N1586641169 | B | [ 23.6, 59.9] | $48.5 \pm 0.7$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ | Blériot |
| 064-255-A | N1586641255 | B | [ 44.6, 971.5 ] | $50.5 \pm 0.7$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ | Blériot |
| 068-017-A | N1589849522 | B | [ 483.9, 329.5] | $54.7 \pm 2.6$ | $5.5 \pm 0.9$ | Blériot |
| 068-017-A | N1589849522 | D | " |  | $2.988 \pm 0.907$ | Blériot |
| 070-015-A | N1590907054 | D | [ 249.8, 576.1 ] | $42.5 \pm 1.8$ | $6.7 \pm 0.4$ | Blériot |
| 070-028-A | N1591067764 | B | [ 501.4, 951.8] | $10.6 \pm 0.4$ | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ |  |
| 070-030-A | N1591068064 | B | [ 658.8, 776.0 ] | $25.0 \pm 0.5$ | $3.5 \pm 0.1$ |  |
| 070-074-A | N1591074776 | B | [ 357.1, 701.4 ] | $25.4 \pm 0.3$ | $3.3 \pm 0.1$ |  |
| 070-106-A | N1591080057 | B | [ 713.3, 720.2 ] | $32.1 \pm 1.0$ | $3.4 \pm 0.1$ |  |
| 070-136-A | N1591085510 | B | [ 558.7, 807.4 ] | $15.7 \pm 0.3$ | $2.5 \pm 0.1$ |  |
| 080-026-A | N1597462656 | B | [ 850.6, 554.3] | $568.0 \pm 34.1$ | $3.6 \pm 2.2$ | Blériot |
| 081-046-A | N1597788863 | D | [ 550.6, 554.8] | $6.7 \pm 2.0$ | $5.9 \pm 2.0$ | Post |
| 081-046-A | N1597788863 | B |  |  | $2.970 \pm 0.428$ | Post |
| 081-054-A | N1597791119 | D | [ 893.5, 162.6 ] | $18.2 \pm 2.2$ | $6.2 \pm 0.5$ | Blériot |
| 081-054-A | N1597791119 | B |  |  | $3.447 \pm 0.301$ | Blériot |
| 081-081-A | N1597800527 | D | [ 580.6, 249.6 ] | $9.7 \pm 1.2$ | $4.1 \pm 1.0$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 081-081-A | N1597800527 | B | [ |  | $2.920 \pm 0.325$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 090-017-A | N1603444112 | D | [ 99.6, 556.2 ] | $22.8 \pm 1.6$ | $6.9 \pm 0.5$ | Blériot |
| 090-017-A | N1603444112 | B | " |  | $4.683 \pm 0.506$ | Blériot |
| 098-000-A | N1608800086 | B | [ 84.2, 273.1] | $144.5 \pm 2.5$ | $4.6 \pm 0.5$ | Blériot |
| 098-001-A | N1608800195 | B | [ 192.7, 588.1] | $149.5 \pm 3.1$ | $4.9 \pm 0.5$ | Blériot |
| 098-002-A | N1608800341 | B | [ 333.1,1007.7] | $106.0 \pm 2.6$ | $4.7 \pm 0.5$ | Blériot |
| 102-033-A | N1612496648 | B | 625.7, 651.7] | $39.0 \pm 3.3$ | $5.8 \pm 1.9$ | Blériot |
| 105-049-A | N1614861737 | B | 77.5, 102.8 ] |  | $4.123 \pm 1.215$ | Blériot |
| 116-003-A | N1628845563 | B | [ 835.7, 168.2 | $28.0 \pm 3.0$ | $10.3 \pm 1.3$ |  |
| 116-004-A | N1628845780 | B | [ 546.0, 620.0] | $22.1 \pm 2.9$ | $10.4 \pm 1.2$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 116-005-A | N1628845813 | B | [ 571.0, 590.7] | $29.6 \pm 3.0$ | $11.6 \pm 1.2$ | Santos-Dumont |
| 116-008-A | N1628846480 | B | [ 562.2, 678.3] | $31.4 \pm 1.4$ | $11.8 \pm 0.7$ | Earhart |
| 116-009-A | N1628846513 | B | [ 533.1, 727.2 ] | $31.9 \pm 1.4$ | $12.0 \pm 0.6$ | Earhart |

${ }^{a}$ Format is Orbit-Num-Letter, where Orbit and Num identify the image, and Letter identifies the feature within the image (Tiscareno et al. 2008).
${ }^{b}$ Relative-bright (B) or relative-dark (D), with respect to image background.
${ }^{c}$ If present, then fit was to a 2-D double-gaussian model Sremčević et al. 2007 Tiscareno et al. 2008. If absent, then repeated 1-D gaussian fits were made in the radial direction (Tiscareno et al. 2006).
${ }^{d}$ If the co-rotating location appears in more than one image, the corresponding locations are noted here.


[^0]:    * We refer to a cumulative (or integral) size distribution, of the form $N(R) \propto R^{-Q}$, where $N$ is the number of particles per unit area with radius greater than $R$.
    $\dagger$ Sremčević et al. 2007 previously reported a single sighting of a propeller beyond the Encke Gap.

[^1]:    $\ddagger$ Tables S1 through S4 contain details of the propeller observations that could not be included in the Astrophysical Journal Letters version of this paper, due to lack of space.

[^2]:    § Brightness contrast for images of the rings' unlit face can be (and, for many viewing geometries of the A ring, is) reversed, as regions with higher surface density are more opaque and thus appear darker. And indeed, the general morphology of propellers seen on the unlit face appears to correspond in most cases to a contrast-reversed version of the morphology of propellers seen on the lit face.

[^3]:    Colwell, J. E., Esposito, L. W., Lissauer, J. J., Jerousek, R. G., and Sremčević, M. (2008). Three-dimensional structure of Saturn's rings from Cassini UVIS stellar occultations.
    European Planetary Science Congress Meeting Abstracts, pages EPSC2008-A-00135.

