

---

# The Mark of Madness: Stigma, Serious Mental Illnesses, and Social Work

---

Based on the work of Anna Scheyett,  
MSW, LCSW, CASWCM

UNC – Chapel Hill

Presentation developed by Maya Lindley, MSW

---

# Overview

- This presentation is based on an article that examines stigma theory, the history of stigma, and the ways in which stigma affects people with mental illnesses. Stigma is a major barrier to recovery for people with mental illnesses, as it interferes with community living and attainment of resources, and damages self-esteem. The article also discusses the implications of stigma analysis for social work and makes recommendations for practice and research.
-

---

# Stigma:

- The phenomenon whereby an individual with an attribute which is deeply discredited by his/her society is rejected as a result of the attribute (Goffman, 1963)
  - Occurs when an individual is identified as deviant, linked with negative stereotypes that engender prejudiced attitudes, which are acted upon in discriminatory behavior
  - Major barrier to recovery for people with mental illnesses
-

---

# Social Work and Stigma

- Actions to reduce stigma are compatible with social work values (NASW, 2000):
    - Support social justice
    - Emphasize the dignity and worth of persons with mental illnesses
    - Enhance human relationships and connections between individuals with mental illnesses and others in the community
-

---

# History of *Stigma*

- *Stigma* comes from the Greek – refers to a mark made by a pointed instrument or brand (The Oxford English Dictionary, 1933)
  - A stigma was a sign, cut or burned into the body, indicating status of a discredited individual (e.g. slave, traitor, criminal)
  - Stigma against people with mental illnesses has occurred over time
  - Study of stigma and development of stigma theories began in the early 1900s
-

---

# Social Psychology: Social Categorization, Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Stigma

- Stereotyping is part of the categorization process and has 5 important characteristics (Allport, 2000):
    - 1) forms large classes guiding actions
    - 2) assimilates as much as it can into each class
    - 3) enables one to quickly identify related objects
    - 4) everything within a category gets identical ideation and emotional flavor
    - 5) can be rational or irrational
  - Over-categorization can lead to erroneous prejudgments (Allport, 2000)
-

---

# Social Psychology (continued):

- Stereotyping is seen as a form of social categorization that serves particular functions (Tajfel & Forgas, 2000):
    - *social causality*: explains a complex and stressful large-scale social event
    - *justification*: provides reason for an otherwise unjust action committed against the stereotyped group
    - *differentiation*: provides clear distinction between the in-group and stereotyped group when the boundaries between them are eroding
-

---

# Social Psychology (continued):

- Individuals with mental illnesses are stereotyped for purposes of *differentiation* and *justification*
    - By differentiating between the we “sane” and the they “insane” we minimize the anxiety and fear that mental illness can happen to anyone
    - By stereotyping people with mental illnesses as dangerous we can justify their involuntary treatment and restriction of human rights
-



---

# Sociology : Deviance Theories and Stigma

- Sociology: initially focused on creation of “deviant status” (Day, 2003; Lemert, 2000)
    - Highly stigmatizing
    - Could be “cured” of this pathology through social reform
      - Social workers adopted this view in early 1900s
    - 1940s shift to creating categories of deviance (Falk, 2001)
      - Explored functions served by labeling certain individuals and groups as deviant
-

---

# Sociology : Deviance Theories and Stigma (continued)

- **Social reaction theory (late 1940s)** (Lemert, 2000):
    - Primary deviance – individual outside the norm who requires social control
    - Secondary deviance – individual changes his/her behavior and self-definition to adapt to society's stigmatizing reaction to his/her deviance; person then begins to behave in “expected deviant” fashion
-

---

# Sociology : Deviance Theories and Stigma

## Social Interactionist work of Goffman (1963)

- “Virtual social identity” – what is expected of someone based on who, what, where s/he is
  - “Actual social identity” – what s/he is in reality
  - When virtual and actual identities conflict based on an attribute or a stigma, s/he is reduced to a tainted, discounted person
  - “moral career” – stigmatized individual learns what it means to society to have a stigmatizing attribute
-

---

# Power Struggles, Deviance, and Stigma

- Radicalism, reforms, and civil rights movements of 1960s brought literature linking stigma and deviance to power and politics (Schur, 1980)
    - one powerful group is threatened by another group and labels them deviant
    - power struggle in stigmatization involves the exerting of social control, or the process of doing things *to* people to address a “deviant” characteristic
-

---

# Power Struggles, Deviance, and Stigma (continued)

- Deviance-defining or “Discourses of Power” (Foucault, 1980)
  - When certain populations are stigmatized, society is justified in *treating* their deviancy through social control



---

# Power Struggles, Deviance, and Stigma (continued)

- Power blocks - Barriers to problem solving and access to resources and quality of life experienced by stigmatized groups (Solomon, 1976)
    - Direct power blocks – intentional oppression
    - Indirect power blocks – interaction with oppressive people teach stigmatized individuals society's negative views of them; these views are internalized and affect sense of self worth and ability to participate in society
-

---

# Definition of the Stigma Process

- Stigma: A phenomenon that exists when 5 interrelated components converge (Link & Phelan, 2001):
    - 1) An attribute is deemed salient by society, such that individuals with this characteristic are grouped together and labeled.
      - Requires significant oversimplification of categories and reflects dominant values and power structures in the society.
-

---

# Definition of the Stigma Process

## (continued)

- 2) Labeled characteristics are linked with negative stereotypes, making it easy to see labeled individuals as fundamentally different from the rest of society.
  - 3) Differentiation of “us” and “them” occur; Stigmatized individuals are seen to “be” and are referred to by their label (e.g. “a manic-depressive” or “a schizophrenic”).
-



---

# Definition of the Stigma Process

## (continued)

- 4) Individuals experience status loss and discrimination as a result of their label. Discrimination occurs on both a personal and structural level.
  - 5) The stigma process is entirely dependent on the social, economic, and political power necessary to impose discriminatory experiences on the labeled individual or group.
-

---

# Attitudes Towards People With SMI

- 3 elements that underpin attitudes towards people with SMI in the general public (Holmes et al., 1999):
    - 1) *authoritarianism*: people with SMI are worthless and unable to make life decisions
    - 2) *benevolence*: people with mental illness are helpless and childlike
    - 3) *fear and exclusion*: people with mental illness are dangerous and in need of segregation from society
-

---

# Prevalence

- Negative attitudes toward people with mental illnesses have persisted over time
  - Recent surveys have shown:
    - >70% of the population would not want a person with depression to marry into their family (Barnhardt, 2003)
    - only 19% of respondents said they would be comfortable around someone with mental illness (Harris, 1991)
    - 70% of respondents rated people with schizophrenia as dangerous (Crisp et al., 2000)
    - people with mental illness are viewed more negatively than are ex-convicts (Lamy, 1996)
-

---

# Prevalence (continued)

- Stigmatizing portrayals of individuals with SMI in the media:
    - 73% of characters with mental illnesses in U.S. TV dramas were portrayed as violent (e.g. “mentally ill killer”) (Sayce, 2000)
    - In the general population, TV is one of the main sources of information on stigma (*Public attitudes towards people with chronic mental illness*, 1990)
-

---

# Prevalence (continued)

- Stigmatizing characteristics of the U.S. mental health system, as identified by people with SMI (Reidy, 1993):
    - ❑ separate bathrooms and eating areas
    - ❑ having their opinions ignored in treatment planning and interventions
    - ❑ coercive and forced treatments
    - ❑ dehumanizing admission and treatment practices
-

---

# Prevalence (continued)

- Stigmatizing characteristics of the U.S. mental health system, as found by people with SMI (continued):
    - ❑ being housed with others based only on diagnosis
    - ❑ lack of privacy
    - ❑ over interpretation of behavior
-

---

# Impact

- Stigma of mental illness and resultant discrimination leads to:
    - unemployment rates as high as 85% (Garske & Stewart, 1999)
    - rejection by friends & family, losing social supports, difficulty forming new relationships (Reidy, 1993)
-

---

# Impact (continued)

- Internal impacts:

- revolve around expectation of rejection and stigmatization and internalized stigma
  - explained by “modified labeling theory”
    - Prior to their own diagnosis, people with SMI have internalized their culture’s negative representation of mental illness
    - once diagnosed with SMI they anticipate rejection, which leads to anxious & withdrawn behavior, which leads to further rejection by the public, which leads to further isolation, shame, and anxiety, which increases rejection
-



---

# Interventions

- 3 types of interventions to attempt to change the underlying negative attitudes towards people with SMI (Corrigan, 2001):

## 1) *protest*:

- efforts to suppress negative attitudes towards SMI
  - No evidence that these efforts change attitudes and may *increase* awareness of negative stereotypes
-

---

# Interventions (continued)

- 2) *Education*
    - Somewhat effective in changing attitudes towards SMI
    - Educational interventions specifically targeting fear of violence in people with SMI have been particularly effective
    - Effectiveness of education is mediated by previous contact with people with SMI (increased familiarity results in decreased stigma)
-

---

# Interventions (continued)

- 3) *Contact*
    - Social contact has been used as an intervention to decrease stigma, especially direct contact with individual has had helpful treatment for mental illness
    - Contact that is personal and interactive & contact with people with SMI engaged in non-stereotyped role activities (e.g. work) have been shown to be effective in decreasing stigma
-

---

# Interventions (continued)

- Reducing internalized stigma
    - Mental health professionals should address stigma in their assessment and in on-going work
    - Support and encouragement of other individuals with SMI who have successfully overcome internalized stigma
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI

- Social workers play prominent role in mental health service delivery to individuals with SMI (Offer, 1999)
  - Stigma of SMI should be a significant concern for social work
  - Stigmatization is an issue of disempowerment and social injustice
  - Social work emphasizes strengths, resilience, empowerment, and inherent worth of all people – all values antithetical to stigma
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- Social work has focused on stigma and discrimination against people based on race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, age, poverty
  - Social work has NOT focused attention on the problem of stigma and discrimination against people with SMI (Mackelprang & Salsgiver, 1996; Mowbray & Holter, 2002)
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- A multi-level approach is needed to address stigma of people with SMI:
    - Stigma is a clinical/micro and community/macro problem
    - All action must happen in partnership with individuals with SMI
    - Social workers must address the stigma within our own discipline and within ourselves
-

---

## Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- In partnership between social workers and individuals with SMI, action must be taken in the areas of *research, policy, and practice*.
-



---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

## ■ Research:

- prevalence and impact of stigma for various groups of people with SMI
  - potentially effective interventions to decrease stigma
  - study of *stigma resilience* – phenomenon whereby some individuals with SMI avoid internalizing stigma and preserve their self-esteem, sense of identity & self-worth
  - study of *community resilience* - phenomenon whereby some communities reject the stigmatizing attitudes of society and welcome those with SMI
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- Policy:
    - Social work can partner with the consumer rights and recovery movement to enhance social capital and political power of people with SMI
      - Stigma can only occur in the context of a power differential (Link & Phelan, 2001)
      - By increasing the power of people with SMI, the possibility of stigma is decreased
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- Practice:

- Community action

- community education to general public and to targeted groups (e.g. media, local leaders, employers)
      - create opportunities for meaningful social contact between individuals with SMI and other community members (e.g. structured dialogues, community service projects, mutual information sessions)
-

---

# Social Work, Stigma, and SMI (continued)

- Practice:

- Individuals

- empowerment approach
      - educate individuals with SMI about structures of oppression and stigma
      - address process of stigma internalization
      - build skills in stigma rejection and self advocacy
-

---

# References

- Allport, G. (2000). The nature of prejudice. In C. Stangor (Ed.), *Stereotypes and prejudice: Essential readings* (pp. 20-48). Philadelphia, PA: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Barnhardt, S. (2003). Eliminating barriers: Stigma statistics. *Presentation to the Eliminating Barriers Statewide Stakeholders Meeting, August 27, 2003*. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Corrigan, P. W., River, L.P., Lundin, R. K., Penn, D. L., Uphoff-Wasowski, K., Champion, J. et al. (2001). Three strategies for changing attributions about severe mental illness. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 27(2), 187-195.
- Crisp, A., Gelder, M., Rix, S., Meltzer, H., & Rowlands, O. (2000). Stigmatisation of people with mental illnesses. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 177, 4-7.
- Day, P. (2003). *A new history of social welfare*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
-

---

# References (continued)

- Falk, G. (2001). *Stigma: How we treat outsiders*. Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books.
- Foucault, M. (1980). Prison talk. In C. Gordon (Ed.), *Power/Knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977 Michel Foucault* (pp. 37-54). New York: Pantheon.
- Garske, G. G., & Stewart, J. R. (1999). Stigmatic and mythical thinking: Barriers to vocational rehabilitation services for persons with severe mental illness. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, *October/November/December*, 4-8.
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Harris (1991). *Public attitudes towards people with disabilities: Survey conducted for National Organization on Disability*.
- Holmes, E. P., Corrigan, P. W., Williams, P., Canar, J., & Kubiak, M. A. (1999). Changing attitudes about schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *25*(3), 447-456.
-

---

# References (continued)

- Lamy, R. E. (1966). Social consequences of mental illness. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 30*, 450-455.
- Lemert, E. (2000). How we got where we are: An informal history of the study of deviance. In C. Lemert & M. Winter (Eds.), *Crime and deviance: Essays and innovations of Edwin M. Lemert* (pp. 66-74). Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology, 27*, 363-385.
- Mackelprang, R., & Salsgiver, R. (1996). People with disabilities and social work: Historical and contemporary issues. *Social Work, 41*(1), 7-14.
- Mowbray, C., & Holter, M. (2002). Mental health and mental illness: Out of the closet? *Social Service Review, 76*(1), 135-179.
- NASW. (2000). Code of ethics of the National Association of Social Workers. Washington, D.C.: Author.
-

---

# References (continued)

- Offer, J. (1999). *Social workers, the community and social interaction: Intervention and the sociology of welfare*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Public attitudes towards people with chronic mental illness*. (1990). New York: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.
- Reidy, D. (1993). "Stigma is social death": *Mental health consumers/survivors talk about stigma in their lives*. Holyoke, MA: Education for Community Initiatives.
- Sayce, L. (2000). *From psychiatric patient to citizen: Overcoming discrimination and social exclusion*, New York: St. Martin's Press, Inc.
- Scheyett, A. M. (2005). The mark of madness: Stigma, serious mental illnesses, and social work. *Social Work in Mental Health: The journal of behavioral and psychiatric social work*, 3(4), 79-97.
-



---

# References (continued)

- Schur, E. (1980). *The politics of deviance: Stigma contests and the uses of power*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Solomon, B. (1976). *Black empowerment: Social work in oppressed communities*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Tajfel, H., & Forgas, J. (2000). Social categorization: Cognitions, values, and groups. In C. Stangor (Ed.), *Stereotypes and prejudice: Essential readings* (pp. 49-63). Philadelphia, PA: Taylor & Francis Group.
-