



**STATE OF ARIZONA
AGENCY HISTORIC
PRESERVATION COMPLIANCE
PERFORMANCE REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015

**Prepared by the
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)
Arizona State Parks**

2015 REPORT ON STATE AGENCY PERFORMANCE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

INTRODUCTION

Arizona Revised Statutes §41-861 through §41-864 (or the State Historic Preservation Act) direct state agencies to: preserve historic properties under their ownership or control; consider the use of historic properties for agency responsibilities; establish a program to locate, inventory, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places; insure that properties are not destroyed or substantially altered by state action or assistance; make appropriate documentation in accordance with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) standards if a property is to be destroyed or altered; and seek review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans. The terms “historic property” or “historic properties,” as cited within the State Historic Preservation Act, refer to properties that are eligible for, or listed on, the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

This report provides a summary of the performance of state agencies in compliance with these state statutes. The information provided was compiled from an agency self-evaluation questionnaire designed by the SHPO (**Appendix A**) for FY 2014-2015. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the 19 agencies that responded to the survey questionnaire.

A.R.S. 41-861 AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

The chief administrator of each state agency is responsible for the preservation of historic properties, which are owned or controlled by the agency. Prior to acquiring, constructing or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities, each agency shall consider the use of historic buildings. Each agency shall undertake any preservation necessary to carry out this article in a manner consistent with the preservation of historic properties, the duties of the agency and professional standards, which the state historic preservation officer recommends. The chief administrator of a state agency may designate a full-time employee to coordinate the agency’s activities under this article.

Agencies were asked if they had incorporated historic preservation into their internal planning. All agencies responded to this question, and a total of 84% (n=16) of the agencies indicated that they had incorporated historic preservation in their agency planning. This is a 6% decrease from last year’s survey. When queried if they had included historic preservation in their state plan, only 12 of the 19 agencies (63%) responded; however, nine of those (75%) responded in the positive -- this represents an increase from last year’s survey when only six respondent agencies reported having incorporated historic preservation into their statewide plans. Reasons given for not incorporating historic preservation compliance into an agency’s general planning processes indicated that the agencies believed that this endeavor did not apply to

them, or they had too small of a staff to work on overseeing the incorporation of historic preservation needs into their plans.

A total of 63% (n=12) of the respondents indicated that historic preservation was included in agency policies, procedures, or regulations. A total of nine agencies (47%) stated that they had incorporated historic preservation into their applications or agreements. Both of these figures represent significant decreases in positive responses when compared to last year's survey (81% and 88%, respectively).

Agencies were asked if they had designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities. Responses (**Appendix C, Table 2**) indicate that 84% (n=16) of agencies had designated such an employee; this figure represents an 8% increase from last year's survey results. Many of the agencies that responded in the negative cited lack of funding or non-applicability for reasons as to why they did not have a dedicated staff person to oversee their agency's historic preservation requirements. Among agencies that had designated a historic preservation coordinator, only 19% (n=3) indicated that the employee was committed full-time to preservation activities. When asked how many agencies had additional staff devoted to historic preservation compliance, of the 18 agencies that responded to this question, six (33%) responded in the positive, a decrease of 11% from last year's survey.

In an effort to ascertain if agencies were hiring qualified individuals to direct their historic preservation activities, agencies were asked if these coordinators met the Arizona State Museum (State of Arizona) standards or the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology. Only one-third (33%; n=6) of the 18 agencies that answered this question indicated that their staff charged with overseeing their historic preservation programs met these qualifications. This figure represents an 11% decrease relative to the figures from FY 2013-2014, which were a decrease from previous years (FY 2010-2011, FY 2011-2012, and FY 2012-2013), suggesting that agencies are still slow in acquiring professionals that are knowledgeable in the areas of expertise needed to adequately comply with the State Historic Preservation Act.

When asked how many historic preservation projects or activities they conducted, sponsored, funded, etc. during FY 2014-15, 16 agencies responded. Of these, 69% (n=11) responded that they had between 1 and 5 projects/activities, 25% (n=4) had between 11-50 projects, and one agency had between 101- 500 projects. Even though the survey explained that the question referred to any project that an agency conducted for which they had to undertake studies, such as an archaeological survey, a historic building rehabilitation, etc., many agencies still thought that the question only referred to any project in which they undertook physical work on a historic period property.

Table 3 (**Appendix D**) summarizes agency use of historic period buildings. When asked if they had considered the use of historic properties available to them prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out their

responsibilities, 58% (n=11) responded that they had. When asked if they had acquired, leased or constructed buildings to carry out their responsibilities, a total of 32% (n=6) of agencies indicated that they had; this is an increase of 8% from last year's survey. However, only two agencies indicated that they had actually acquired or leased a historic period property for their use.

A.R.S 41-862 PROGRAM

In cooperation with the state historic preservation officer, each state agency shall establish a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agencies ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the register. Each state agency shall exercise caution to insure that the property is not inadvertently transferred, sold, demolished, substantially altered or allowed to deteriorate significantly.

Pursuant to A.R.S 41-862, agencies were asked to provide information on their program to locate, inventory, and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the Register. Responses (**Appendix E, Table 4**) stated that 42% (n=8) of agencies had such programs; this represents a decrease of 13% from last year's survey. However, 37% (n=7) of the agencies had conducted survey or inventory to identify Arizona Register-eligible properties - an 18% increase from the previous year. In addition, 21% (n=4) of the agencies had determined at least one property eligible for the Register in consultation with the SHPO. This is almost double the number of agencies from last year's survey. However, no agency had actually nominated a property to the Arizona Register during FY 2014-2015.

A.R.S. 41-863 RECORDS

Each state agency shall initiate measures, in consultation with the state historic preservation officer, to assure that if, as a result of state action or assistance given by the agency, historic property is to be substantially altered or demolished, timely steps are taken to make appropriate documentary recordation with standards which the state historic preservation officer establishes. The agency shall deposit the records with the Department of Library, Archives and Public Records and with the state historic preservation officer for future use and reference.

A total of 26% (n=5) of agencies (**Appendix F, Table 5**) provided information on measures that they initiated, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were, or will be, demolished or substantially altered due to agency action; this is a 7% decrease from last year's survey results. These agencies provided information on the submittal of these documents to the required archival repository - i.e., the SHPO and/or the Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records. A total of three agencies included archaeological recordation, one agency collected ethno-historical or ethnographic data, two agencies gathered historical information, and two agencies indicated that they had conducted oral interviews as part of their research and

documentation efforts. Two agencies included state level architectural documentation, as well as documentation meeting the federal standards of the Historic American Building Survey/Historic American Engineering Record (HABS/HAER). Only one agency indicated that they had not submitted the documentation performed on or for these historic properties to the required archival repository. No agency reported that a Register-listed property was destroyed or substantially altered in the past Fiscal Year.

A.R.S. 41-864 REVIEW OF AGENCY PLANS

The state historic preservation officer has thirty working days in which to review and comment on any plans of a state agency, which involve property which is included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sale, lease or acquisition of historic properties, to insure that the prehistoric, historical, architectural or cultural significant values will be preserved or enhanced.

As per A.R.S. 41-864, agencies were surveyed on whether they solicited review and comment from the SHPO on agency plans involving properties either listed on, or eligible for, the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction project, sale, lease or acquisition of a historic property. A total of 8 (42%) of the 19 agencies that responded stated that they had solicited review and comment by the SHPO on planned agency projects or actions. This figure represents a slight increase of 4% from last year's responses, and is almost identical to the responses received in FY 2012-2013.

Three agencies reported that their plans would have, or did have, negative impacts on Register-eligible properties. A total of five agencies indicated that their plans had, or would have, positive physical impacts or enhancements to Register-eligible properties; this is only a slight increase from last year when three agencies indicated that their actions had enhanced, or would enhance, eligible/listed cultural properties.

Tribal consultation can help an agency better inventory, document, and manage prehistoric and historic aboriginal properties. Only five (26%) of the agencies stated that they had consulted with Tribes as per their Tribal Consultation Plans required by Executive Order 2006-14. This percentage is only slightly higher (2%) than last year's response (24%), and a decrease of 3% from FY 2012-2103, indicating little change, in general, in the number of agencies consulting with Tribes.

State agencies were asked additional questions regarding their historic preservation activities, planning, and funding. The Statewide Historic Preservation Plan (HPP) produced by the SHPO was updated in 2014; it is available on the SHPO pages of the Arizona State Parks' website, under "Publications." The HPP contains a set of guidelines that reflect a consensus as to the state of cultural resource management and the public's perspective on historic preservation issues. When queried as to whether they took advantage of this existing guidance document and utilized the HPP, 53%

(n=10) of the agencies responded affirmatively (**Appendix H, Table 7**). This figure represents a decrease of 7% in the number of agencies utilizing the Statewide HPP when compared with last year's figures (n=12). When agencies were asked why they did not use the HPP, they cited various reasons that suggest that they are not familiar with it, or that they confused it with the State Historic Preservation Act. Additional reasons such as "nothing historical to preserve" or "not applicable," suggest that they are not aware that the statewide HPP contains information about historic preservation that goes well beyond information just related to compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act.

Agencies provided information (**Appendix G, Table 6**) on the results of project evaluation and review, including any eligible properties negatively impacted or enhanced by agency plans/activities.

- The following **negative impacts** resulting from state agencies plans/projects/actions were described:

Arizona State University

ASU demolished two buildings, the Palo Verde Residence Hall and the Undergraduate Academic Services Building. These historic properties were demolished after conducting the appropriate historical documentation, in consultation with the SHPO.

Arizona Department of Transportation

Although ADOT tries to avoid adversely affecting archaeological sites with their road projects, they are not always able to do this. However, any adverse effect to a historic property was mitigated through archaeological data recovery or other relevant type of treatment.

- The following agencies described an **enhancement** of a historic property:

Arizona State University

Archaeological monitoring has resulted in data recovery excavations at the La Plaza archaeological site and former San Pablo historic period community on the ASU Tempe Campus. Exterior rehabilitation was also performed at the historic Birchett House. Rehabilitation projects at the Phoenix Downtown Post Office keep the building in use while preserving the historic character. Exterior rehabilitation on Old Main and the University Club will begin in 2016. The Alumni Lawn at the Old Main Quad will also be rehabilitated (landscaping to begin in 2016 in conjunction with the Old Main and University Club exterior restorations). Consultation is in progress on plans for future University development at the prehistoric Midvale Site on the Polytechnic Campus - this site is a Traditional Cultural Property to the Gila River Indian Community and thus ASU is conducting tribal consultation, as well as consulting with SHPO regarding preservation and data recovery work.

ASU also undertakes internal education/coordination with Facilities Development and Management Project Managers to insure that properties over 50 years old are evaluated for their eligibility and managed in accordance with the State Historic Preservation Act.

Arizona State Parks

Repairs and improvements were conducted at the historic Kannally Ranch House at Oracle State Park. At Tubac Presidio State Park, adobe and roof repairs were undertaken at the historic Rojas House, and mechanical, plumbing and electrical improvements were completed at the historic Tonto Lodge at Tonto Natural Bridge State Park.

ASP also coordinates, and participates in, the Arizona Site Steward Program, utilizing these valuable volunteers to monitor for impacts to cultural resources on ASP lands. The presence of Site Stewards at these sensitive heritage properties helps to curtail vandalism, looting, dumping, and other adverse impacts.

University of Arizona

In the Forbes Building (1915), the UA rehabilitated the main lobby, including removal of the 1960s dropped ceilings and restoration of the original plaster-coffered ceilings.

Arizona State Schools for the Deaf and Blind

ASDB painted the exterior of the former Superintendent's house, which is also a museum that shares the history of the Deaf and Blind schools. In addition, a new HVAC system was installed in the building.

Arizona Department of Housing

Provided funding to the Nogales Community Development entity to renovate the historic Bowman Hotel into senior residences. Historic American Building Survey (HABS) documentation was completed prior to the renovation.

- Participation in other positive historic preservation opportunities:

Arizona Department of Corrections

This agency stated that they have a very specific construction approval process that considers impacts to historic properties.

Arizona Department of Transportation

ADOT stated that they are working on developing a Programmatic Agreement for more efficient evaluation and treatment of historic roads in Arizona.

Arizona Department of Health Services

ADHS indicated that they require that staff conduct daily rounds inspecting all of their buildings. Additionally, they have educated their groundskeeper to perform landscape work that would only improve the appearance and sustainability of their historic properties.

Arizona Game and Fish Department

The AGFD has multiple, professionally qualified archaeologists on staff who mark archaeological site boundaries so that the sites will be avoided by project activities. They also create buffer zones around archaeological sites to enhance their protection, and the AGFD hires additional professional archaeologists when needed to survey and/or monitor sites and project areas.

Arizona Site Steward Program

Similar to last year's survey, when asked if they participated in the Arizona Site Steward Program during FY 2014-2015, only 16% (n=3) of the agencies stated that they take advantage of this valuable program for protecting significant cultural resources that they own or manage. However, this is an increase of 6% from the previous year, when only two agencies stated that they were utilizing the Site Steward Program.

Grants for Historic Preservation Needs and/or Proactive Activities

Similar to last year, only one of the state agencies that responded to the survey applied for any grants or funding in FY 2014-2015 that could aid them in implementing historic preservation endeavors. The Arizona Geological Survey obtained a grant from the United States Geological Survey to undertake a data preservation project for historic mining-related maps and materials.

Agency Training

Throughout FY 2014-2015, the training opportunities listed below were offered by the SHPO and/or cooperating agencies and partners (e.g., the National Preservation Institute). Four agencies indicated that they had attended some of these trainings; this is a decrease from last year's survey results (n=6), indicating that fewer agencies are seeing the importance of obtaining this valuable training for their staff that is involved in historic preservation activities.

Training Sessions at the Historic Preservation Partnership Conference, Flagstaff

- A Conversation with the SHPO
- Blazing a Trail to the National Register of Historic Places (multiple sessions)
- The Downtown Campus as Catalyst for Urban Revitalization
- Evaluating the Significance of Historical Sites in AZ
- (Historic Preservation) Grant Writing Boot Camp Workshop (no state agency attendance indicated on surveys)
- Understanding Integrity and Significance
- Innovative Partnerships for Revitalization (no state agency attendance indicated on surveys)

- Incorporating Tribal Perspectives in Cultural Resources Management Archaeology

Other Training Opportunities

- Work Session: Government to Government Consultation with Tribes (coordinated by the SHPO)
- National Preservation Institute seminar on an introduction to the National Historic Preservation Act/Section 106

Requests for Future Training Opportunities (in order of frequency of request):

- Compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act
- Identification and evaluation of historic properties
- Tribal issues and consultation
- Arizona Antiquities Act Compliance (includes state permitting requirements through the Arizona State Museum and use of the AZSITE database)
- Compliance with National Historic Preservation Act/Section 106
- Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation
- How to nominate a property to the Arizona and National Registers of Historic Places
- Historic building maintenance and rehabilitation: Common maintenance and rehabilitation techniques (adobe, brick repointing, reroofing, etc.) for facilities maintenance staff
- Appropriate landscape techniques for improving historic property appearance and sustainability

At the end of the survey, agencies were given the opportunity to provide any additional information on their historic preservation programs and activities that were not covered by the survey questions, including any special achievements and/or awards. A total of five agencies indicated the following:

- 1) ASU is in the process of developing a Multiple Property Documentation Form (MPDF) for the Tempe Campus, in consultation with the ASU Historic Preservation Coordinator and the SHPO. Additionally, the Downtown Phoenix Post Office Rehabilitation project received a Valley Forward Honor Award.
- 2) UA indicated that the Old Main Building Rehabilitation Project won eight awards in 2015.
- 3) Site Stewards in ASP's Site Steward Program won various awards.
- 4) ADOT has developed and will continue to develop programmatic approaches in compliance as needed and as appropriate.
- 5) ADHS has a LEED accredited representative that incorporates sustainability in all historic site work and building improvements.

SUMMARY

When compared to previous years' surveys, the 2014-15 survey responses show a decrease in the amount of historic preservation work conducted by state agencies in general. Responses indicate a decline in the number of agencies that are integrating historic preservation into their planning endeavors at many levels. The SHPO believes that utilization of the statewide Historic Preservation Plan can assist agencies in their historic preservation planning. Arizona's HPP was generated by the SHPO and incorporates the results of professionally-administered public surveys, as well as input from state and federal agencies, tribes, preservation organizations, and others.

Agencies generally cited a lack of funding and positions as reasons for decreased compliance with the various requirements of the State Historic Preservation Act and E.O. 2006-14. Although levels of hiring dedicated staff positions to coordinate agencies' historic preservation responsibilities appear to have increased slightly from last year's survey results, most of these jobs are part-time or less, and are not filled by individuals that meet state and/or federal professional standards in history, archaeology, or architecture. Employment of designated, qualified staff to oversee an agency's historic preservation planning and activities is critical to assisting agencies in their compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act, and in preventing inadvertent damage to historic properties. With regard to staff training, agencies can take advantage of the multiple training venues on historic preservation laws and issues that are offered throughout the year, many of which are free of cost.

Awareness of the importance of utilizing and protecting Register-eligible/listed cultural properties, when possible, does not appear to have grown much through FY 2014-2015. The number of agencies with programs to locate, inventory, and nominate historic properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places, depending upon the types of projects they undertook, funded, permitted, regulated, etc., decreased by 13% from the previous year. However, more agencies conducted surveys to identify historic properties, and more agencies actually determined at least one cultural resource as ARHP-eligible. In so doing, there was a concomitant increase in the number of agencies consulting with the SHPO for technical advice and expertise. Only two agencies reported new leases of historic period properties for their use, although the number of Register-eligible/listed properties that were enhanced by agency plans or actions increased slightly from last year's survey results.

Few voluntary or proactive historic preservation endeavors were noted by the agencies that responded to the survey. It is possible that stronger efforts to seek out relevant grants can help agencies fund historic preservation needs, as well as utilizing existing volunteer programs, such as the Arizona Site Steward Program administered by Arizona State Parks.

With regard to agencies' compliance with Executive Order 2006-14, FY 2014-2015 saw little increase in the number of state agencies engaging in Tribal consultation efforts. Again, many agencies felt that this was only necessary if they owned a cultural property that was important to Tribes, whereas Tribes are interested in learning about agency plans and/or actions that can adversely impact properties that have religious and cultural significance to them, regardless of whether or not an agency owns or controls those cultural resources.

In an effort to help agencies better understand, and comply with, the State Historic Preservation Act, staff of the State Historic Preservation Office is available to provide training on this statute. These trainings are provided at no cost to the agency, and can be tailored to assist specific agencies in their compliance responsibilities. To this end, a training workshop on the state's historic preservation laws is being offered by the SHPO, free of charge, on June 8th, 2016 in Phoenix as a pre-Conference event of the annual, statewide Historic Preservation Conference.

Appendix A

State agency survey questionnaire conducted through Survey Monkey:

1. Has your agency incorporated historic preservation into your agency planning?
 - 1.a. If yes, is historic preservation incorporated in: Agency plans? Agency policies, procedures, or regulations? Stipulations in applications or agreements? Other?
 - 1.b. If not, why not? (please specify)
2. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861 has your agency designated an employee to coordinate the agency's historic preservation responsibilities?
 - 2.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 2.b. If yes, how much of this designee's work time is devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.c. Please provide contact information of designee.
 - 2.d. Do you have additional staff devoted to historic preservation activities?
 - 2.e. Does this designee or any other staff, who are designated to work on historic preservation activities, meet the State of Arizona's standards or the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for history, architecture, or archaeology?
 - 2.f. If not, why not? (please specify)
3. Approximately how many historic preservation projects/activities did your agency undertake this year that involved compliance with the State Historic Preservation Act?
4. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-861, has your agency considered the use of historic properties available to the agency prior to acquiring, constructing, or leasing buildings for purposes of carrying out agency responsibilities?
 - 4.a. If not, why not?
 - 4.b. Did your agency acquire, lease, or construct buildings to carry out agency responsibilities in the last year?
 - 4.c. If yes, list any properties and their addresses that are 45 years or older that were acquired or leased in the last year.
5. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-862, does your agency have a program to locate, inventory and nominate to the Arizona Register of Historic Places all properties under the agency's ownership or control that appear to meet the criteria for inclusion on the Register?
 - 5.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.b. Did your agency conduct surveys or inventories to identify Arizona Register listed or eligible properties in this last year?
 - 5.c. If not, why not? (please specify)
 - 5.d. Did your agency, in consultation with the SHPO, determine any properties eligible for the Arizona Register or National Register in this last year?
 - 5.e. If yes, please list the properties. Archaeological sites should have site numbers and historic period properties should have addresses.
 - 5.f. Did your agency nominate any properties to the Arizona or National Registers in the last year?
 - 5.g. If yes, please list the properties. Archaeological sites should have site numbers and historic period properties should have addresses.
6. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-863, did your agency take measures, in consultation with the SHPO, to make appropriate documentary recordation of any historic properties that were or will be demolished or substantially altered due to agency action?
 - 6.a. If not, why not? (please specify)

- 6.b. If yes, what type of documentation? Archaeological (data recovery)? Ethnohistoric or Ethnographic? Historical/archival? State-level architectural? Historic American Building Survey? Historic American Engineering Record? Oral (historical) interviews?
- 6.c. Was the property that was substantially altered or destroyed listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places?
- 6.d. If yes, please provide the name of the property. Archaeological sites should have site numbers and historic period properties should have addresses.
- 6.e. Has the documentation been submitted to the required archival repository? State Historic Preservation Office? Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records?
7. Pursuant to A.R.S. 41-864, has your agency solicited review and comment from the State Historic Preservation Officer on any agency plans that involve properties that are included on or may qualify for inclusion on the Arizona Register of Historic Places, including any construction projects, sales, permits, licenses, grants, leases or acquisitions of historic properties?
- 7.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
- 7.b. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places negatively impacted by agency plans/actions?
- 7.c. Were any properties eligible for or listed on the Arizona or National Register enhanced by agency plans/actions?
- 7.d. Did any of your projects involve consultation with Indian Tribes in compliance with Executive Order 2006-14?
8. Does your agency utilize the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO?
- 8.a. If not, why not? (please specify)
- 8.b. The Arizona Site Steward Program is a volunteer program coordinated by Arizona State Parks to help agencies protect and preserve Arizona and National Register properties through site/property monitoring and education. Does your agency participate in the Arizona Site Steward Program?
- 8.c. Has your agency applied for historic preservation grant funding in the last year to assist with acquisition, identification, evaluation, nomination, rehabilitation, or education activities?
- 8.d. If yes, did you receive funding?
- 8.e. If yes, from which of the following funding sources did you receive funding: (check all that apply). Grants from Tribes? Save America's Treasures? Historic Preservation Fund? Other?
- 8.f. Funding was awarded for: Protection/security? Survey/inventory? Assessment? Rehabilitation (buildings)? Nomination? Acquisition? Educational programming? Stabilization (archaeological sites)? Other?
- 8.g. Amount of funding?
9. List any other proactive activities undertaken by your agency or your contractor to protect, preserve or enhance Arizona Register listed or eligible properties.
10. If anyone from your agency has attended any of the following trainings offered by the SHPO and cooperating organizations/agencies in the last year, please indicate which training (check list).
- 10.a. If you attended the 2015 Historic Preservation Conference in Flagstaff, which of the presentations listed below did you attend? (check list)
11. Please check any additional training needs. (check list)
12. Please provide any additional information on your agency's historic preservation program and activities not covered by the above questions, including special achievements and awards.

Appendix B

Table 1. Agencies that responded to the questionnaire.

Arizona State Library, Archives, & Public Records (ASLAPR)
Arizona Office of Tourism (AOT)
Arizona Historical Society (AHS)
Arizona Department of Real Estate (ADRE)
Arizona State Forestry Department (ASFD)
Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC)
University of Arizona (UA)
Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC)
Arizona Power Authority (APA)
Arizona Department of Health Services/State Hospital (ADHS)
Arizona Department of Housing (ADH)
Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT)
Arizona Game & Fish Department (AGFD)
Arizona Geological Survey (AGS)
Arizona State Parks (ASP)
Arizona State School for the Deaf & Blind (ASDB)
Arizona State University (ASU)
Arizona Water Resources Infrastructure and Finance Authority (WIFA)
School Facilities Board (SFB)

Appendix C

Table 2. Employees designated to coordinated historic preservation activities.

Agency	Designated Cultural Resource Staff	Time	Other Staff	Staff Meeting Historic Preservation Professional Standards	Approx. Number of Projects/ Activities in 2014-15
ASLAPR	Y	Part Time	N	N	
AOT	N		N	N	1-5
AHS	Y	Part Time	2	Y	1-5
ADRE	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ASFD	Y	Part Time	4	N	11-50
ACC	N				1-5
UA	Y	Part Time	*	Y	1-5
ADC	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
APA	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ADHS	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ADH	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ADOT	Y	Full time	6	Y	101-500
AGFD	Y	Full Time	2	Y	11-50
AGS	Y	Full Time	1	?	1-5
ASP	Y	Part Time	1	Y	11-50
ASDB	Y	Part Time	N	N	1-5
ASU	Y	Part Time	N	Y	11-50
WIFA	N				
SFB	Y	Part Time	N	N	

N = No

Y = Yes

Blanks (not answered)

*UA has a 7-member Historic Preservation Advisory Commission

? = Answer was unclear

Appendix D

Table 3. Summary of agencies' use of historic buildings.

Agency	Considers the Use of Historic Buildings	Acquired, Leased or Constructed Buildings	Historic Property Acquired or Leased
ASLAPR	Y	N	
AOT	N	N	
AHS	Y	Y	Y ¹
ADRE	Y	N	
ASFD	Y	Y	
ACC	N	N	
UA	Y	Y	
ADC	Y	Y	
APA	N	N	
ADHS	N	N	
ADH	N	N	
ADOT	Y	N	
AGFD	Y	N	
AGS	N	N	
ASP	N	N	
ASDB	N	N	
ASU	Y	Y	Y ²
WIFA	Y	N	
SFB	Y	Y	

Y¹ = Gregg Cabin, 2340 Fort Valley Road in Flagstaff

Y² = The downtown Phoenix Post Office, the A.E. England Building, the Grant Street Studio, and the Westward Ho are being leased in Phoenix. Additionally, the property at 1800 Eye Street in Washington, DC is being leased.

Blank in column 3 = Not applicable

Appendix E

Table 4. Agency activities to locate, evaluate, and nominate properties to the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Agency	Agency Program to Locate and Evaluate Properties	Conducted Surveys in 2014-15	Consulted with SHPO on Eligibility of Properties	Properties Nominated to the Arizona Register in 2014-15
ASLAPR	N	N	N	N
AOT	N	N	N	N
AHS	Y	Y	N	N
ADRE	Y	N	N	N
ASFD	N	Y	N	N
ACC	N	N	N	N
UA	Y	N	N	N
ADC	Y	N	N	N
APA	Y	N	N	N
ADHS	N	N	N	N
ADH	N	N	Y ¹	N
ADOT	Y	Y	Y ²	N
AGFD	Y	Y	N	N
AGS	N	N	N	N
ASP	N ³	Y	N	N
ASDB	N	Y	Y ⁴	N
ASU	Y	Y	Y ⁵	N
WIFA	N	N	N	N
SFB	N	N	N	N

1 = Bowman Senior Residence

2 = Hundreds of properties involved -- list not available

3 = Although the respondent answered "no" to this question, ASP has an archaeologist and an architect on staff who can locate and evaluate cultural resources.

4 = ASDB Museum

5 = Palo Verde Residence Hall, Tempe

Appendix F

Table 5. Documentary recordation of properties altered or demolished.

Agency	Documented Properties Destroyed or Altered	Type of Documentation	Property Listed on Arizona or National Register of Historic Places	Documentation Submitted
ASLAPR	N			
AOT	N			
AHS	N			
ADRE	N			
ASFD	Y	Archaeological	N	SHPO
ACC	N			
UA	N			
ADC	N			
APA	N			
ADHS	N			
ADH	Y	HABS	N	SHPO
	Y	Archaeological/ Ethnohistoric or Ethnographic/Historical - Archival/State-level Architectural/HABS/ HAER/Oral (historical) interviews	N	SHPO ASLAPR
ADOT				
AGFD	N			
AGS	N			
ASP	N			
ASDB	Y	Oral (historical) interviews	N	
	Y	Archaeological/Historical- Archival/State-level Architectural	N	SHPO
ASU				
WIFA	N			
SFB	N			

Blank = No response

Appendix G

Table 6. Results of consultation with SHPO & Tribes on agency plans/projects.

Agency	Consultation on Agency Plans	Positive Impacts on Historic Properties	Negative Impacts on Historic Properties	Consultation with Indian Tribes
ASLAPR	N	N	N	N
AOT	N	N	N	Y ¹
AHS	Y	N	Y	N
ADRE	N	N	N	N
ASFD	Y	N	N	N
ACC	N	N	N	N
UA	Y	Y ²	N	N
ADC	N	N	N	N
APA	N	N	N	N
ADHS	N	N	N	N
ADH	Y	Y	N	Y
ADOT	Y	N	Y	Y
AGFD	Y	N	N	Y
AGS	N	N	N	N
ASP	Y	Y ³	N	N
ASDB	N	Y ⁴	N	N
ASU	Y	Y ⁵	Y ⁶	Y
WIFA	N	N	N	N
SFB	N	N	N	N

[For more information on the responses below, see report text pages 5 - 7.]

1= Has a tribal tourism manager that works with tribes to determine their needs.

2=Rehabilitated and restored interior room of a historic building on the Main Campus.

3=Performed repairs at various historic structures.

4= Performed repairs at a historic structure.

5=Contracted archaeological data recovery, as well as performed various rehabilitations at historic buildings. Also developed Master Plan for the protection and management of archaeological sites on Polytechnic Campus.

6=Demolished two historic buildings after conducting appropriate historical documentation efforts.

Appendix H

Table 7. Agencies utilizing the State Historic Preservation Plan produced by the SHPO.

Agency	Used HPP when Considering and Consulting on Agency Plans
ASLAPR	N
AOT	N
AHS	Y
ADRE	N
ASFD	Y
ACC	N
UA	Y
ADC	Y
APA	N
ADHS	N
ADH	Y
ADOT	Y
AGFD	Y
AGS	N
ASP	Y
ASDB	Y
ASU	Y
WIFA	N
SFB	N

*This document was compiled by the State Historic Preservation Office, Arizona State Parks.
For more information call 602-542-4009.*

Arizona State Parks

23751 N 23rd Ave, Suite 190
Phoenix, Arizona 85085

www.AZStateParks.com
Reservations (520) 586-2283
Info & TTY (602) 542-4174
Fax (602) 542-4180

*Equal Employment Opportunity
Agency. This document is
Available in alternate formats.
Contact the ADA Coordinator
at (602) 542-4174*

