## 448 - Office of Injured Employee Counsel

Workforce Summary Document prepared by the State Auditor's Office.
Based on information self-reported by the agency, the following items are worth noting.

## Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Employees

| The agency's full-time equivalent (FTE) employee limitation decreased by 4.9 percent to 175.0 FTEs in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. Compared to fiscal year 2008, the agency saw an increase of 11.4 ( 7.9 percent) in the total number of FTEs. |  |  |  |  |  | 143.8 | 156.5 | 161.4 | 158.5 | 155.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FTEs Below/Above FTE Limitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE Limitation | 183.0 | 183.0 | 184.0 | 184.0 | 175.0 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
| Number Below or <br> Above Limitation | -39.2 | -26.5 | -22.6 | -25.5 | -19.8 |  | $\square$ | - FT | ation |  |
| Percent Above or Below Limitation | -21.4\% | -14.5\% | -12.3\% | -13.9\% | -11.3\% | Source: Stat | tor's Office | ime Equiv |  |  |

## Employee Turnover ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Excluding interagency transfers, the turnover rate within the agency (13.7 percent) was lower than the statewide turnover rate (17.3 percent) and higher than the turnover rate of Article VIII agencies (11.0 percent) during fiscal year 2012. The fiscal year 2012 agency turnover rate including employees who transferred to another state agency or higher education institution was 16.2 percent.


## Compensation Information

The average agency salary in fiscal year 2012 of $\$ 42,192$ represented an increase of 10.2 percent compared to the average agency salary in fiscal year 2008. In fiscal year 2012, 97.5 percent of employees were paid below the salary range midpoints in which they were assigned; and expenditures for salary and benefits were higher compared to fiscal year 2008.

| Salary and Benefits Expenditures (in Millions) |  |  |  | Average Salary Trends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$8.9 | \$9.0 |  | \$9.0 |  | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 |  | FY 2012 |
|  |  | \$9.4 |  | Public Counsel | \$105,000 | \$ 105,000 | \$ 115,500 |  | 115,500 | \$ 115,500 |
| , |  |  |  | Agency Average | \$ 38,276 | \$ 39,239 | \$ 39,584 | \$ | 40,792 | \$ 42,192 |
| $\$ 1.8$ | \$1.9 | \$2.0 | \$1.9 | Article Average | \$ 46,829 | \$ 48,295 | \$ 49,835 | \$ | 50,584 | \$ 51,237 |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Average | \$ 37,350 | \$ 38,461 | \$ 39,265 | \$ | 39,804 | \$ 40,160 |
|  |  |  |  | Note: With the excepti full-time employees on | of the publa ly. | blic counsel, a | verage salar | ry is fo | for classifi | ed regular, |
|  |  |  |  | Numbe | and | Dollars | ent o | lary | Actio |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fiscal | Year 2011 |  | Fisca | cal Year 2 | 012 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Actions | Dollars Spen | nt $\begin{aligned} & \text { Action }\end{aligned}$ |  | Dolla | rs Spent |
| \$5.9 \$7.1 | \$7.1 | \$7.4 | \$7.1 | Promotions | 34 | 89,27 |  | 39 | \$ | 104,365 |
| \$5.9 - \$ |  |  |  | Merits | 10 | \$ 14,64 |  | 13 | \$ | 33,941 |
| FY 2008 FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | One-Time Merits | 114 | \$ 582,500 |  | 53 | \$ | 76,000 |
| $\square$ Salary Expenditures | $\square$ | nefits Ex | ditures | Equity Adjustments | 2 | \$ 4,827 |  | 95 | \$ | 73,992 |
|  |  |  |  | Reclassifications | 3 | \$ | 0 | 4 | \$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 163 | \$ 691,24 |  | 204 |  | 288,298 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Turnover, salary trends, and salary action information was prepared from quarterly and year-end summary information received from the Comptroller of Public Accounts' Uniform Statewide Payroll/Personnel System (USPS), the Human Resource Information System (HRIS), and the Standardized Payroll/Personnel Reporting System (SPRS). Unless otherwise indicated, these data are reported for classified regular, full-time and part-time employees. Salary and benefit information was taken from the Uniform Statewide Accounting System of Texas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]

## Agency Job Classifications

In fiscal year 2012, the majority ( 91.7 percent) of employees were classified in the following job titles: Ombudsman ( 56.6 percent), Customer Service Representative (26.1 percent), Attorney (4.4 percent), Information Specialist (2.6), and Staff Services Officer (2.0 percent).

## Classification Compliance Audits

During the past two years, the agency did not participate in any classification compliance audits.

## Fiscal Year 2012 Workforce Demographics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

On average, employees at the agency were 47.2 years of age and had 4.2 years of agency length of service. Of the agency's employees, 77.9 percent were 40 years of age or older, and 56.8 percent had fewer than 5 years of agency length of service. The Employees Retirement System estimates that between fiscal years 2012 and 2015, 17.3 percent of the agency's workforce will be eligible to retire (based on fiscal year 2012 data).


## Survey of Employee Engagement ${ }^{\text {c }}$

The Survey of Employee Engagement, administered by The University of Texas at Austin, is an instrument that measures employees' job satisfaction and employees' perceptions of the effectiveness of the agency. Scores range from 1 to 5 , with 5 being the highest. Overall, the agency's score is higher than the state average and has decreased since the last survey cycle.


[^1]Source: State Auditor's Office


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Turnover, salary trends, and salary action information was prepared from quarterly and year-end summary information received from the Comptroller of Public Accounts Uniform Statewide Payroll/Personnel System (USPS), the Human Resource Information System (HRIS), and the Standardized Payroll/Personnel Reporting System (SPRS). Statewide Accounting System of Texas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{c}$ Information on the Survey of Employee Engagement was received from the Organizational Excellence Group at The University of Texas at Austin.
    ${ }^{d}$ Percentage is based on the number of employees who answered the question.

