

BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:
**Appeal of the Board of Stewards Official
Ruling #41, Pacific Racing Association,
dated December 10, 2015**

Case No. SAC 16-0004

HAROLD MCPHERSON
CHRB License #239053
Appellant

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision is hereby adopted by the California Horse Racing Board, with the following modification, as provided by Government Code Section 11517 (c) (2) (B):

1. The proposed fine of fifteen hundred (\$1,500.00) is adopted.
2. The proposed suspension of thirty (30) days is reduced to seven (7) calendar days, commencing June 16, 2016.

The Decision shall become effective on May 27, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED ON May 26, 2016.

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
Chuck Winner, Chairman



Rick Baedeker
Executive Director

1
2 **BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD**
3 **OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

4 IN THE MATTER OF:) Docket No.: SAC-16-0004
5 APPEAL OF THE BOARD OF STEWARDS)
6 OFFICIAL RULING # 41, PACIFIC) Hearing Date: April 6, 2016
7 RACING ASSOCIATION, DATED) Time: 9:30 A.M.
8 DECEMBER 10, 2015)

9 vs.)

10 HAROLD McPHERSON)
11 CHRB LICENSE #: 239053)
12 Appellant.)
13)
14)
15)

16
17 **PROPOSED DECISION**

18
19 The matter was heard on April 6, 2016 by Richard P. Margarita, a Hearing Officer
20 designated under California Horse Racing Board (CHRB) rule 1414 (Appointment of
21 Referee) at the California Horse Racing Board, Cal Expo, 1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300,
22 Sacramento, California.
23

24 The Appellant, Harold McPHERSON, was present and not represented. Appellant
25 McPHERSON called Larry Swartzlander to testify on his behalf. Appellant also testified
26 at the hearing.
27
28

1
2 The Co-Appellant, Ramon PULIDO, was present and not represented. Appellant called
3 Larry Swartzlander to testify on his behalf. Mr. Swartzlander is the Chief Operating Officer for
4 the California Authority of Racing Fairs, and the Director of the San Joaquin Fair.
5

6 The California Horse Racing Board (hereinafter referred to as CHRB), Complainant, was
7 represented by CHRB Staff Counsel Phillip Laird and Sharyn Jolly, California Horse Racing
8 Board. Robert Chavez, CHRB staff employee, served as a Spanish translator for Appellant
9 PULIDO. It should be noted that Appellant PULIDO answered questions and made statements in
10 English, not utilizing the interpreter.
11

12
13 The proceedings were recorded by Certified Court Reporter Wendy V. Frazier, CSR #:
14 8035.
15

16 **I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**
17

18 The issue presented at this hearing, was an appeal from the Golden Gate Fields Board
of Stewards Ruling No. 41, Pacific Racing Association, dated December 2, 2015. The
ruling fined Mr. McPherson, the Appellant, \$1,500.00 and suspended him for thirty (30)
days for the period June 16, 2016 through July 15, 2016 pursuant to California Horse Racing
Board rule number 1900 (Grounds for Suspension or Revocation of License) for violation of
California Horse Racing Board rules number 1489 (j) (Grounds for Denial or Refusal of
License), number 1840 (Veterinary Practices and Treatments Restricted), number 1843 (c)
25
26
27
28

1 (Medication Drugs and Other Substances) and number 1890 (a)(b) (Possession of Contraband)
2 on September 9, 2015. The ruling also stipulated that during the term of the suspension, all
3 licenses and license privileges of Appellant McPherson are suspended and pursuant to
4 California Horse Racing Board rule number 1528 (Jurisdiction of Stewards), Appellant
5 McPherson is denied access to all premises in this jurisdiction.
6

7
8 The Golden Gate Fields Board of Stewards, Pacific Racing Association, unanimously
9 issued the ruling.

10 On December 12, 2015, Appellant McPherson filed a timely appeal pursuant to Business
11 and Professions Code Section 19517 and CHRB Rule 1761.

12
13 On March 15, 2015, a Notice of Hearing was issued by Ms. Sharyn Jolly, California
14 Horse Racing Board, for the April 6, 2015 appeal for Appellant.

15 The record was closed, and the matter deemed submitted on April 6, 2015.
16
17
18

LIST OF EXHIBITS

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD EXHIBITS:

- CHRB Exhibit 1: The CHRB Administrative Record for Ramon PULIDO.
- CHRB Exhibit 2: The CHRB Administrative Record for Harold McPHERSON.

APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS:

25
26 None Submitted.
27
28

FACTUAL FINDINGS

The following factual findings have been derived from the April 6, 2016 hearing as well as CHRB Exhibits 1 and 2.

I.

Appellant, Ramon Solis Pulido is a licensed trainer with the California Horse Racing Board.

II.

Appellant PULIDO's California Horse Racing Board Driver license number is 295986.

III.

The Golden Gate Fields Board of Stewards, Pacific Racing Commission, issued ruling No. 41, on December 2, 2015. The ruling fined the Appellant, Harold McPherson, \$1,500.00 and suspended him for thirty (30) days for the period June 16, 2016 through July 15, 2016 pursuant to California Horse Racing Board rule number 1900 (Grounds for Suspension or Revocation of License) for violation of California Horse Racing Board rules number 1489 (j) (Grounds for Denial or Refusal of License), number 1840 (Veterinary Practices and Treatments Restricted), number 1843 (c) (Medication Drugs and Other Substances) and number 1890 (a)(b) (Possession of Contraband) on September 9, 2015. The ruling also stipulated that during the term of the suspension, all licenses and license privileges of Appellant McPherson are suspended and pursuant to California Horse Racing Board rule number 1528 (Jurisdiction of Stewards), Appellant McPherson is denied access to all premises in this jurisdiction.

Law Office of Richard P. Margarita
P.O. Box 1257, Sloughhouse, CA 95683
(916) 972-0365
Richardmargarita@sbcglobal.net

IV.

The violation occurred at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds, San Joaquin County, California on September 9, 2015.

V.

Appellant Ramon Solis Pulido was licensed with the CHRБ as an Assistant Trainer on September 9, 2015, while working at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds and his license was current, with an expiration date of August 2016.

VI.

Appellant Harold McPherson was licensed with the CHRБ as an owner on September 9, 2015, while his mules were stabled on the grounds at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds, and his license was current, with an expiration date of October 2016.

VII.

Helen Shelley was licensed with the CHRБ as a Trainer on September 9, 2015, while working as a trainer at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds, and her license was current with an expiration date of October 2016.

VIII.

CHRБ Investigators Louis Quezada, Joe Mulligan, and Derek Merritt performed a barn inspection at the barn of Trainer Helen Shelley at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds on September 9, 2015.

IX.

During the search, Assistant Trainer (Appellant) Ramon Pulido, Appellant, informed Investigator Louis Quezada that there were several hypodermic needles and some medications

1 in the office.

2 X.

3 Investigators Joe Mulligan and Derek Merritt located a small cardboard box within the
4 office of Trainer Helen Shelley which contained five needles and four syringes with the needles
5 attached and two bottles of injectable medications.

6 XI.

7 One of the bottles was Dormosedan, also known as Detomidine Hydrochloride, a sedative
8 and analgesic for horses. The second bottle was ButaJect, also known as Phenyl Buzatone. Both
9 bottles contained labels of Federal law restrictions for the use of the drug by a licensed
10 veterinarian or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

11 XII.

12 CHRB rule number 1843.2 (Classification of Drug Substances) classifies Detomidine as a
13 Class III medication. Detomidine is the drug and Dormosedan is the trade name for the drug.
14 Phenyl Buzatone is classified as a class IV drug, and the trade name for the drug is ButaJect.

15 XIII.

16 The bottle of Dormosedan was almost empty.

17 XIV.

18 Neither medication had a prescription label nor a name of a horse or mule as required by
CHRB rule number 1864(c) (labeling of medication).

XV.

Appellant Pulido acknowledged to Investigator Quezada he knew he should not have
these items and confirmed to Investigator Quezada he knew they were illegal.

XVI.

Appellant Pulido presented testimony of being in possession of syringes, needles, and injectable medications, and injecting the mule "Dashing Jack" within the enclosure at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds.

XVII.

Appellant Pulido acknowledged to Investigator Quezada that the mules' owner, Appellant Harold "Sunny" McPherson, had given him the bottle of Dormosedan. Appellant Pulido told Investigator Quezada that trainer Helen Shelley had no knowledge that he was injecting the mules or that he had the needles and medications.

XVIII.

Trainer Helen Shelley told Investigator Quezada that Appellant Pulido was her Assistant Trainer and she had no knowledge that the mule was being injected or that Appellant McPherson had provided Appellant Pulido with the injectable medications and that Appellant Pulido injected the mule "Dashing Jack" within the enclosure at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds.

XIX.

Appellant Pulido was listed as Helen Shelley's Assistant Trainer but was not listed on her employee worksheet.

XX.

Appellant Pulido testified he forgot that he was not allowed, as an unlicensed veterinarian, to inject an animal on the race track.

XXI.

1 Appellant Pulido presented testimony of being an employee of Helen Shelley and getting
2 paid by her.

3 XXII.

4 Appellant Pulido testified that Helen Shelley was paid by Appellant McPherson and that
5 he (Pulido) was in charge of the ten mules.

6 XXIII.

7 Appellant Pulido testified the owner, Appellant McPherson, provided the medication to
8 him for the mule.
9

10 XXIV.

11 Appellant Pulido acknowledged training mules for Appellant McPherson and putting
12 them in Helen Shelley's name to avoid obtaining workman's compensation insurance.
13

14 XXV.

15 Appellant Pulido testified there were no veterinarians available at the time to administer
16 the medications.

17 XXVI.

18 Appellant McPherson has been licensed as a mule owner for approximately 20 years.

XXVII.

Appellant McPherson presented testimony of bringing a load of mules to Stockton and
dropping a bottle of Dormosedan (Detomidine) off that only had enough to treat one mule and
instructed Appellant Pulido to inject the mule for safety reasons.

25 XXVIII.

1 Appellant McPherson presented testimony that Appellant Pulido would take care of his
2 mules and he would pay \$900 a month directly to him (Mr. Pulido).

3 XXIX.

4 Appellant McPherson testified that Appellant Pulido was the trainer of his mules.

5 XXX.

6 Helen Shelley presented testimony of having no knowledge of Appellant McPherson
7 giving the drug Detomidine to Appellant Pulido or that Appellant Pulido had needles and
8 syringes.

9 XXXI.

10 Helen Shelley delegated complete control of the mules to Appellant Pulido.

11 XXXII.

12 Helen Shelley testified she did not pay Appellant Pulido for his services and that
13 Appellant McPherson paid Appellant Pulido.

14 XXXIII.

15 Appellant McPherson has filed a timely appeal.

16 XXXIV.

17 Appellant Pulido has filed a timely appeal.

18
APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

19 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1420 defines a "Horse" to mean an equine
20 and includes a stallion, gelding, mare, colt, filly or ridgling and includes mule, jack, jenny,
21 ginnet, and hinney.
22
23
24

1 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1489 (Title 4, CCR 1489), which is
2 entitled, "Grounds for Denial or Refusal to License states as follows:

3 (a) The Board, in addition to any other valid reason, may refuse to issue a license
4 or deny a license to any person:

5 (1) Who has been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment in a
6 California state prison or a federal prison, or who has been convicted of a crime
involving moral turpitude.

7 (2) Who has been convicted of a crime in another jurisdiction which if committed
8 in this state would be a felony.

9 (3) Who has made any material misrepresentation or false statement to the Board
10 or its agents in his or her application for license or otherwise, or who fails to
answer any material question on an application for a license.

11 (4) Who is unqualified to engage in the activities for which a license is required.

12 (5) Who fails to disclose the true ownership or interest in any or all horses as
13 required by any application.

14 (6) Who is subject to exclusion or ejection from the racing inclosure or is within
the classes of persons prohibited from participating in pari-mutuel wagering.

15 (7) Who has committed an act involving moral turpitude, or intemperate acts
16 which have exposed others to danger, or acts in connection with horse racing
and/or a legalized gaming business which were fraudulent or in violation of a trust
17 or duty.

18 (8) Who has unlawfully engaged in or who has been convicted of possession, use
or sale of any narcotic, dangerous drug, or marijuana.

19 (9) Who is not permitted by any law to engage in the occupation for which the
license is sought.

20 (10) Who has violated, or who aids, abets or conspires with any person to violate
any provision of the rules or the Horse Racing Law.

21 (b) When considering the denial, suspension or revocation of a license under
22 subparagraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(7), and (a)(8) of this section, pursuant to section
481 of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to
23 be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person
24 applying for or holding a license under the Horse Racing Law, if to a substantial
25 degree the crime or act evidences a present or potential unfitness to perform the
26
27
28

Law Office of Richard P. Margarita
P.O. Box 1257, Sloughhouse, CA 95683
(916) 972-0365
Richardmargarita@sbcglobal.net

1 functions authorized by his or license or in a manner consistent with the public
2 health, safety, or welfare.

3 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1528 (Title 4, CCR 1528), which is
4 entitled, "Jurisdiction of Stewards to Suspend or Fine", states as follows:

5 The stewards' jurisdiction in any matter commences at such time as entries
6 are taken for the first day of racing at the meeting and extends until thirty
7 (30) days after the close of such meeting. However, the Executive Director
8 or the Board may delegate the authority to adjudicate any matter occurring
9 at any racing meeting to another Board of Stewards at any time. The
10 stewards may suspend the license of anyone whom they have the authority
11 to supervise or they may impose a fine or they may exclude from all
12 inclosures in this State or they may suspend, exclude and fine. All such
13 suspensions, fines or exclusions shall be reported immediately to the
14 Board.

15 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1840 (Title 4, CCR 1840), which is
16 entitled, "Veterinary Practices and Treatments Restricted", states as follows:

17 No person other than California-licensed veterinarians who have obtained
18 a license from the Board shall administer to any horse within the inclosure
19 any veterinary treatment or any medicine, medication, or other substance
20 recognized as a medication, except for recognized feed supplements or
21 oral tonics or substances approved by the official veterinarian, or except
22 under the direction or prescription of a veterinarian licensed by the Board.

23 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1843 (Title 4, CCR 1843), which is
24 entitled, "Medication Drugs and Other Substances", states as follows:

25 It shall be the intent of these rules to protect the integrity of horse racing, to guard the
26 health of the horse, and to safeguard the interests of the public and the racing participants
27 through the prohibition or control of all drugs, medications and drug substances foreign
28 to the horse. In this context:

(a) No horse participating in a race shall carry in its body any drug substance or

Law Office of Richard P. Margarita
P.O. Box 1257, Sloughhouse, CA 95685
(916) 972-0365
Richardmargarita@sbcglobal.net

1 its metabolites or analogues, foreign to the horse except as hereinafter
2 expressly provided.

- 3 (b) No drug substance shall be administered to a horse which is entered to
4 compete in a race to be run in this State except for approved and authorized
5 drug substances as provided in these rules.
- 6 (c) No person other than a licensed veterinarian or animal health technician shall
7 have in his/her possession any drug substance which can be administered to a
8 horse, except such drug substance prescribed by a licensed veterinarian for a
9 specific existing condition of a horse and which is properly labelled.
- 10 (d) A finding by an official chemist that a test sample taken from a horse contains
11 a drug substance or its metabolites or analogues which has not been approved
12 by the Board, or a finding of more than one approved non-steroidal, anti-
13 inflammatory drug substance, or a finding of a drug substance in excess of the
14 limit established by the Board for its use shall be prima facie evidence that the
15 trainer and his/her agents responsible for the care of the horse has/have been
16 negligent in the care of the horse and is prima facie evidence that the drug
17 substance has been administered to the horse.

18 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1890 (Title 4, CCR 1890), which is
19 entitled, "Possession of Contraband", states in part as follows:

- 20 (a) No person other than a veterinarian licensed by the Board, shall have in his
21 possession at a facility under the jurisdiction of the Board any drug which is a
22 narcotic, stimulant, or depressant, or any other substance or medication that
23 has been prepared or packaged for injection by a hypodermic syringe or
24 hypodermic needle, or any hypodermic syringe or hypodermic needle or
25 similar instrument which may be used for injection.
- 26 (b) No person other than a veterinarian licensed by the Board, shall have in his
27 possession at a facility under the jurisdiction of the Board any veterinary
28 treatment or any medicine, medication, or other substance recognized as a

1 medication, which has not been prescribed in accordance with Rule 1840 of
2 this division and labeled in accordance with Rule 1864 of this division.

3
4 California Horse Racing Board Rule number 1900 (Title 4, CCR 1900), which is
5 entitled, "Grounds for Suspension or Revocation of License", states as follows:

6 Any provision of any rule which is a ground for denial of a license is also a
7 ground for suspension or revocation of a license.
8

9
10 California Evidence Code Section 115, entitled, Burden of Proof, states that:

11 "Burden of proof" means the obligation of a party to establish by evidence a
12 requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the
13 court. The burden of proof may require a party to raise a reasonable doubt
14 concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the
15 existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear
16 and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Except as otherwise
17 provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the
18 evidence.

19 California Business and Professions Code Section 19517, which is entitled, "Overrule of
20 Stewards' Decision by Board; Preponderance of Evidence", states as follows:

21 (a) The board, upon due consideration, may overrule any steward's decision other
22 than a decision to disqualify a horse due to a foul or a riding or a driving
23 infraction in a race, if a preponderance of the evidence indicates any of the
24 following:

- 25 (1) The steward mistakenly interpreted the law.
- 26 (2) New evidence of a convincing nature is produced.
- 27 (3) The best interests of racing and the state may be better served.

28 (b) However, any decision pertaining to the finish of a race, as used for purposes
of parimutuel fund distribution to winning ticketholders, may not be overruled.

1 Furthermore, any decision pertaining to the distribution of purses may be changed
2 only if a claim is made in writing to the board by one of the involved owners or
3 trainers, and a preponderance of the evidence clearly indicates to the board that
4 one or more of the grounds for protest, as outlined in regulations adopted by the
5 board, has been substantiated. The chairperson of the board may issue a stay of
6 execution pending appeal from a steward's decision if the facts justify the action.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
I. APPLICABLE BURDEN OF PROOF

The Appellant has the burden of proof to refute, by a preponderance of evidence standard, that the ruling issued by the Golden Gate Fields Board of Stewards, Pacific Racing Association, was such that any of the following occurred: (1) The steward mistakenly interpreted the law, (2) new evidence of a convincing nature is produced, or (3) the best interests of racing and the state may be better served.¹

The Appellant presented no evidence that could be construed as refuting, by a preponderance of evidence standard, that the ruling issued by the Golden Gate Fields Board of Stewards, Pacific Racing Association, was such that any of the following occurred: (1) The steward(s) mistakenly interpreted the law, (2) new evidence of a convincing nature is produced, or (3) the best interests of racing and the state may be better served.

II. APPELLANT McPHERSON HAS NEITHER CONTESTED NOR REFUTED THE UNDERLYING CONDUCT RESULTING IN THE CORRESPONDING SUSPENSION AND FINE

¹ Reference is made to Business and Professions Code Section 19517.

1 During the Appellant's hearing, neither he nor his co-Appellant, Ramon Pulido,
2 presented any evidence refuting the allegations of the underlying conducted which
3 resulted in the Board of Stewards issuing the corresponding suspension and fine against
4 both of them. They were both fined \$1,500.00 and suspended for thirty (30) days for
5 the period June 16, 2016 through July 15, 2016.
6

7
8 During the hearing, Appellant McPherson stated that all he was appealing was the
9 time of the sentencing issue (RT: pp. 11:2-4). Appellant Pulido also confirmed that all he
10 was doing in the current appeal was appealing the sentencing issue (RT: pp. 11:5-13).
11

12 During the hearing, Appellant McPherson readily admitted that one mule was
13 provided performance enhancing drugs, which he provided to Appellant Pulido, for
14 Appellant Pulido to inject into the mule.
15

16 Appellant McPherson stated, "but like he pointed out, this was not a performance
17 -- enhancing drugs that was there. That it was a tranquilizer specifically for the one mule.
18 And that -- because he gets a little violent when they tried to shoe him. And if you
don't give him some tranquilizer, you could have your shoer going to the hospital." (RT:
pp. 14: 15-21).

19 Appellant McPherson then stated that the performance-enhancing drug was
20 Dormosedan." (RT: pp. 14:25) Appellant McPherson also stated, "all its for is a tranquilizer to
21 calm him down while they are doing the shoeing, and everything." (RT: pp. 15: 6-8). Appellant
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 McPherson admitted that he had purchased the drug, gave it to Appellant Pulido, and Appellant
2 Pulido injected the mule with the drug (RT: pp. 14:12-21).

3
4 Appellant McPherson agreed that performance-enhancing drug does not necessarily mean
5 it (animal) will run faster, it can change or alter the performance of an animal, whether it's
6 slower, faster, or alters the performance, as it would in some way, shape or form enhance it
7 (performance). (RT: pp. 15-16:22-25:1-4).

8
9 Appellant McPherson admitted that the drug (Dormosedan) has to be prescribed under the
10 auspices of a licensed veterinarian. Appellant McPherson also admitted that he failed to abide by
11 that regulation. (RT: pp. 16-17:23-25:8-10).

12
13
14 As a result of the complete lack of evidence refuting the allegations, against both of them,
15 and both Appellant McPHERSON and Appellant PULIDO stating on the record that they were
16 only appealing the sentencing issues, this Hearing Officer, in an abundance of caution, hereby
17 AFFIRMS the findings by the Board of Stewards against Appellant Pulido and Appellant
18 McPHERSON, as they relate to the underlying charges/allegations against both of them.

**III. THE SUSPENSION BY THE STEWARDS WAS REASONABLE AND
APPROPRIATE; THE STEWARDS DID NOT MISINTERPRET THE
LAW, NO NEW EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED BY APPELLANT'S
PULIDO AND McPHERSON TO REFUTE THE APPLICABLE
BURDEN OF PROOF**

19
20 Appellants PULIDO and McPHERSON presented no new credible, admissible,
21 and convincing evidence that refuted their applicable burden of proof. The only evidence
22
23
24

1 presented by both Appellants was the testimony of Larry Swartzlander, Chief Operating
2 Officer for the California Authority of Racing Fairs, and the Director of the San Joaquin
3 Fair. Mr. Swartzlander offered no evidence that refuted the applicable burden of proof
4 required of both Appellant's to overrule the decision by the Board of Stewards. Mr.
5 Swartzlander offered no evidence that the Board of Stewards mistakenly interpreted the
6 law or, that there was new evidence that was not presented to the Board of Stewards at
7 the December 2, 2015 hearing.
8

9
10 Neither Appellant presented any evidence that would suggest that the Board of
11 Stewards misinterpreted the applicable law as it pertained to their thirty (30) day
12 suspension and \$1,500.00 fine for both Appellants (McPHERSON and PULIDO).
13

14 Additionally, neither Appellant presented any evidence, let alone any new
15 evidence, that would suggest that the Board of Stewards had not been privy to during the
16 December 2, 2015 hearing, that would overcome their evidentiary burden for the instant
17 hearing.
18

**IV. THE \$1,500.00 FINE AND THIRTY (30) DAY SUSPENSION
COMMENCING AT THE START OF THE MULE RACING SEASON ON
JUNE 16, 2016 BY THE STEWARDS AGAINST APPELLANTS
PULIDO AND MCPHERSON FOR THEIR CONDUCT IS REASONABLE,
APPROPRIATE, FAIR, AND, IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF RACING**

The sole argument and evidence presented by Appellant McPHERSON and
Appellant PULIDO was the testimony of Mr. Swartzlander. Mr. Swartzlander essentially
25 testified that it would be in the bests interests of racing if the suspension for both
26
27
28

1 Appellants be imposed at a time when the mules are not racing, not the start of the Fair
2 Season and Mule Racing season, which commences on June 16, 2016.
3

4 During the questioning by Appellant McPHERSON of Mr. Larry Swartzlander,
5 Mr. Swartzlander testified in part the following:
6

7 "... Well, let me give you a background, too, on the incident
8 directly. That on the fairs, we have thoroughbreds, Arabians,
9 quarter horses, and mules. The mules and the Arabians and quarter-horses are
10 referred to as the merging breeds. The thoroughbreds in California run year
11 round. If they are not running at the fairs, they are running at Golden Gate or
12 Southern California, or even out of state.

13 The mules and all the merging breeds, other than the quarter horses, have limited
14 times to run. They only run for four months during the fairs. And after that, they
15 are done. By suspending – if you are looking at a thoroughbred, it could take
16 twelve months out of the year. Mules only run four months out of the year. Thirty
17 days takes one-fourth of the time. And secondly, you got 30 mules. People that
18 are running mules are not in for making a living. You know, it's entertainment.
19 They enjoy it. It's a hobby.

20 But for the fans on the fairs, the fairs are the backbone of racing for new people,
21 the families. Families and their kids come to the fairs to see the mules. And what
22 you are doing is, we only have a limited 36 mules. By taking these mules away
23 from us, you have degraded the product. We try to have at least an eight-race
24 program. If we don't have an eight-race program, you don't have the mules, and
25 you have got the seventh race. It's a cost factor to the fair, the attendance,
26 beverage sales, et cetera.

27 But the first thing is that, you know, you take away from the fans. They
28 are great entertainment. And the mule racing is, to be honest with you,
almost extinct. They do a great job. I'm not that familiar with what the
case was here, but these were not performance enhancing drugs, you
know, that the mule was -- used.

The severity of it, there has to be a consequence if they did something wrong. Is

1 there some alternative probation, increased fine, other than taking these 30 days of
2 racing, which will degrade our product, you know, it will be a ripple-down effect
3 as far as impact financially (RT: pp.12-14)..."

4 Mr. Swartzlander further testified as both Appellant's sole witness that there was nothing in
5 his knowledge that prevented an owner or trainer from transferring ownership or training of a mule
6 during a suspension to another owner or trainer. (RT: pp. 17-18:21-25:1-3).

7
8 Mr. Swartzlander was asked if there was an offense that would justify a suspension for
9 a fourth of the year for the mule racing season, even if it severely reduced the stock of mules
10 available for racing. Mr. Swartzlander stated, "...yeah. It's performance-enhancing.... That's the
11 integrity of the sport..."(RT: pp. 18:4-12).

12
13 Mr. Swartzlander further stated that Appellant McPherson could transfer ownership of the
14 mules to someone else but it would be contingent on the approval of the stewards."
15 (RT: pp. 19:3-6).

16
17 Mr. Swartzlander then added, "Darrell McHargue, the Chief Steward in California, I
18 asked him that same question, "Could we transfer these mules to someone else?" Or, you know,
obviously we could sell them, but generally is just a transfer. And he said, "No." (RT: pp. 20: 1-
6).

Appellant Pulido was asked if he had anything to offer as far as evidence or testimony and
he answered, "no." (RT: pp. 20:13-15).

1 Appellant McPherson reiterated that he was hoping that they could get the fine and
2 "...suspension reduced or changed around even if it meant a stiffer fine, or probation -- extended
3 probation, or whatever..." He further stated, "...and that -- so that we can get these mules
4 started, and everything, on a regular schedule and be able to fill the fields..." (RT: pp. 20:18-23).

5
6 Appellant McPherson was asked by this Hearing Officer the purpose of a fine. He stated, "for
7 punishment." (RT: pp. 21:20 -24). Appellant McPherson was also asked if the purpose of the fine
8 was to deter similar conduct. He responded, "yes." (RT: pp. 21-22:223-25:1).

9
10
11 It should be noted that Appellant McPherson stated he had never been suspended by the
12 California Horse Racing Board (CHRB) (RT: pp. 22: 4-8).

13
14 Appellant McPherson also agreed that a suspension was meant to be a punishment and
15 deterrent. (RT: pp. 22:21 -25:1-3). Furthermore, Appellant McPherson agreed that if he was
16 suspended during a time the mules were not racing; it would not really be a deterrent or a
17 punishment. (RT: pp. 23:5-8).

18
19 Appellant McPherson stated that he does not make a cent off the mules and in fact loses
20 money. He stated he loses approximately \$60,000 – \$65,000 a year, and racing mules is a hobby
for him. (RT: pp. 23-24:25: 1-10).

21
22 Appellant McPherson was asked a rhetorical question by this Hearing Officer regarding
23 suspensions. Specifically, the hypothetical and rhetorical question was whether the NFL would
24 suspend (New England Patriots Quarterback) Tom Brady for some type of violation after the entire
25 football season, including the Super Bowl, had ended. Appellant McPherson responded that they
26
27
28

1 would not. He then added, “because it wouldn’t be a punishment to him.”

2 (RT: pp. 24-25:18-25:1-7). Additionally, Appellant McPherson stated in the same line of
3 questioning, “but in football, they could bring in a backup quarterback.” (RT: pp. 25:5-7).
4

5 The sole argument propounded by both Appellants, that the best interests of racing would
6 be served by modifying their thirty (30) day suspension to a time when the mule racing season is
7 not running, lacks merit. No interest in racing would be served to reward such egregious conduct
8 by Appellants McPHERSON and PULIDO, by allowing them to negotiate with the Hearing
9 Officer and Board for a stiffer monetary fine but be allowed to race without a suspension during
10 the mule racing season. As Appellant McPHERSON admitted, the purpose of a fine and
11 suspension is to punish and deter such misconduct. Modifying the thirty (30) day suspension to a
12 time when there is no mule racing would only encourage others involved in the racing
13 sport/industry to intentionally violate the CHRB’s rules and regulations, and then arrogantly claim
14 that any such punishment imposed on them would not be in the best interest of racing and the
15 fans. The enacted California Horse Racing Rules, Regulations, and applicable statutes to the
16 racing industry/sport were purposely enacted to ensure that the utmost integrity was enforced at
17 all racing levels. To modify or negate the well-reasoned decision by the Board of Stewards in
18 their December 2, 2015 decision would subvert the very intent of the enacted California Horse
19 Racing Rules, Regulations, and applicable statutes.
20

21 Furthermore, Mr. Swartzlander’s testimony was such that although he wanted the Board
22 of Stewards decision to be reversed as it related to the thirty (30) days suspension because it
23 could financially impact his overall operations, he agreed that an offense that would justify a
24 suspension for a fourth of the year racing season, even if it severely reduced the stock of mules
25 available for racing, would be “...performance-enhancing.... That’s the integrity
26
27
28

1 of the sport...”(RT: pp. 18:4-12).

2
3 Additionally, Appellant’s argument that negating the thirty (30) days suspension during
4 the mule racing season would be in the best interests of racing, failed to present any credible and
5 admissible evidence that they tried to transfer ownership of the mules to other owners, absent an
6 inadmissible hearsay statement proffered by Mr. Swartzlander. Mr. Swartzlander’s testimony was
7 such that he attempted to blame the California Horse Racing Board when he stated, “by taking
8 these ten mules away from us, you have degraded the product.” (RT: pp. 13:17-22). Mr.
9 Swartzlander’s frustration with the CHRB is misplaced. But for the illegal conduct of Appellants
10 Pulido and McPherson, Mr. Swartzlander’s anxiety and angst of having a less than full stock of
11 mules for the mule racing season would be non-existent.
12

13
14 For all of the reasons set forth herein, it is not in the best interests of racing to modify or
15 negate the thirty (30) day suspension against both Appellants, nor modify or nullify the \$1,500.00
16 fine imposed on each of them.
17

18 **V. EXCLUDED HEARSAY EVIDENCE**

19
20 Appellant McPherson testified early in the hearing that CHRB Investigator Louis Quezada
21 told him that the charges were being dropped against him as a result of the Board of Stewards
22 hearing. First, Mr. Quezada was not subpoenaed to this hearing, nor was there any evidence
23 other than Appellant McPherson’s own self-serving hearsay statement about a statement that
24 Investigator Quezada allegedly made. Second, the statement is a hearsay statement offered
25 for the truth of the matter asserted, and is therefore inadmissible, based on the CHRB’s duty
26
27
28

1 noted objection to the statement. Even if such a statement was made, it would have no impact on
2 the instant decision by this Hearing Officer.

3
4 Therefore, that statement has been excluded in the decision making process of this hearing
5 by this Hearing Officer.

6
7
8 Mr. Swartzlander testified that Darrell McHargue, the Chief Steward in California, told him
9 that "we" could not transfer the mules to someone else. It should be noted that Mr. McHargue
10 was not subpoenaed for the hearing and the statement by Mr. Swartzlander was inadmissible
11 hearsay. The statement was offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and is therefore
12 inadmissible, based on the CHRB's duly noted objection to the statement. Even if such a
13 statement was made, it would have no impact on the instant decision by this Hearing Officer.

14
15 Therefore, that statement has been excluded in the decision making process of this hearing
16 by this Hearing Officer.

17
18 **CONCLUSION/PROPOSED DECISION**

19 The very purpose of this hearing was to contest the timing of the suspension,
20 because the suspension is due to commence on June 16, 2016 through July 15, 2016.
The California Mule Racing Season commences on June 16, 2016. This is the beginning of the
State Fair season in California. Any suspension time prior to that date would equate to a net zero
suspension time for both Appellants. This Hearing Officer agrees with the Board's position that
Appellants McPherson and Pulido committed serious violations of the California Horse Racing
26 Rules and Regulations, and as such, a thirty (30) day suspension and \$1,500.00 fine is warranted,
27 reasonable, and should serve as both a punishment and deterrent to them. Furthermore, such a
28

1 suspension should be served during the California Mule Racing Season and at no other time.
2 Otherwise, such a suspension would be illusory and non-existent, serve no beneficial purpose
3 to horse racing, and make such a proposal desired by Appellant's, farcical.
4

5 Additionally, under California Business and Professions Code Section 19517 (2), it is
6 this Hearing Officer's opinion that no new evidence of a convincing nature was produced by the
7 Appellant. Additionally, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 19517 (3),
8 it is this Hearing Officer's opinion that the best interest of racing and the state will not be better
9 served if the Stewards' decision is overturned.
10


11 The statement by Mr. Swartzlander best sums up the rationale for AFFIRMING the
12 Board of Stewards' prior decision and punishment to Appellants McPherson and Pulido,
13 "It's about the integrity of the sport." To alter or modify any punishment to accommodate
14 Appellant McPherson, Appellant Pulido, and others who engage in such serious violations, including,
15 but not limited to, the illegal administration of performance enhancing drugs to mules,
16 would seriously degrade the integrity of the sport.
17

18
19 Therefore, it is the decision of this Hearing Officer that the Board of Stewards rulings as
20 they pertain to Appellant McPherson and Appellant Pulido be AFFIRMED in their entirety.

21 Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 19517 (1), it is this Hearing
22 Officer's opinion that the Steward's Ruling proposing a \$1,500.00 fine, as well as a suspension
23 for thirty (30) days for the period June 16, 2016 through July 15, 2016 pursuant to California
24 Horse Racing Board rule number 1900 (Grounds for Suspension or Revocation of License) for
25 violation of California Horse Racing Board rules number 1489 (j)
26
27
28

1 (Grounds for Denial or Refusal of License), number 1840 (Veterinary Practices and Treatments
2 Restricted), number 1843 (c) (Medication Drugs and Other Substances) and number 1890 (a)(b)
3 (Possession of Contraband) on September 9, 2015, is reasonable, appropriate, and should be
4 upheld and AFFIRMED. The ruling also stipulated that during the term of the suspension,
5 all licenses and license privileges of Appellant Harold McPHERSON are suspended and pursuant to
6 California Horse Racing Board rule number 1528 (Jurisdiction of Stewards), Appellant McPherson
7 is denied access to all premises in this jurisdiction.
8

9
10 DATED: May 6, 2016

11
12 
13 RICHARD P. MARGARITA, ESQ.
14 Hearing Officer
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
RECEIVED
CHRB
2016 MAY - 9 AM 10:52

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182