MUSSEL CWCS SPECIES (46 SPECIES)

Common name	Scientific name
Bleufer	Potamilus purpuratus
Butterfly	Ellipsaria lineolata
Catspaw	Epioblasma obliquata obliquata
Clubshell	Pleurobema clava
Cracking Pearlymussel	Hemistena lata
Creek Heelsplitter	Lasmigona compressa
Cumberland Bean	Villosa trabalis
Cumberland Elktoe	Alasmidonta atropurpurea
Cumberland Moccasinshell	Medionidus conradicus
Cumberland Papershell	Anodontoides denigratus
Cumberlandian Combshell	Epioblasma brevidens
Dromedary Pearlymussel	Dromus dromas
<u>Elephantear</u>	Elliptio crassidens
Elktoe	Alasmidonta marginata
Fanshell	Cyprogenia stegaria
Fat Pocketbook	Potamilus capax
Fluted Kidneyshell	Ptychobranchus subtentum
Green Floater	Lasmigona subviridis
Kentucky Creekshell	Villosa ortmanni
Little Spectaclecase	Villosa lienosa
Littlewing Pearlymussel	Pegias fabula
Longsolid	Fusconaia subrotunda
Mountain Creekshell	Villosa vanuxemensis vanuxemensis
Northern Riffleshell	Epioblasma torulosa rangiana
Orangefoot Pimpleback	Plethobasus cooperianus
Oyster Mussel	Epioblasma capsaeformis
Pink Mucket	Lampsilis abrupta
Pocketbook	Lampsilis ovata
Purple Lilliput	Toxolasma lividus
Pyramid Pigtoe	Pleurobema rubrum
<u>Rabbitsfoot</u>	Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica
Rayed Bean	Villosa fabalis
Ring Pink	Obovaria retusa
Rough Pigtoe	Pleurobema plenum

Round Hickorynut	Obovaria subrotunda
Salamander Mussel	Simpsonaias ambigua
Scaleshell	Leptodea leptodon
Sheepnose	Plethobasus cyphyus
Slabside Pearlymussel	Lexingtonia dolabelloides
Slippershell Mussel	Alasmidonta viridis
Snuffbox	Epioblasma triquetra
Spectaclecase	Cumberlandia monodonta
Tan Riffleshell	Epioblasma florentina walkeri
Tennessee Clubshell	Pleurobema oviforme
<u>Texas Lilliput</u>	Toxolasma texasiensis
Winged Mapleleaf	Quadrula fragosa

Bleufer

Potamilus purpuratus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Е	G5	S 1	G5	S 1

G-Trend	Stable
	Diacie

G-Trend This species is listed as secure from most of its range. However, it is

Comment apparently vulnerable in the eastern part of its range from Georgia north to Kentucky (NatureServe 2004)

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend This species is listed as sporadic from most of its range (Cicerello and Schuster

Comment 2003)

Habitat / Small streams to large rivers and backwater areas in silt, mud, sand, and gravel

Life History including the lower Ohio and Mississippi River (Cicerello and Schuster 2003,

Oesch 1995, Cummings and Mayer 1992). The freshwater drum is the only known host (Watters 1994). The species' habitat is generally limited in Kentucky to the Coastal Plain Province, which is only present in extreme western portion of the state.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- Habitat1. The lower Obion Creek and Mississippi River oxbows/slackwater in extremewestern Kentucky (Condition: partially supporting).
- Guilds Large rivers in slackwater.
- Statewide <u>Bleufer.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2D Woody debris removal
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Butterfly

Ellipsaria lineolata

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank		
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	Ν	Ν	G4	S4S5	G4	S 4		
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng						
G-Trend	Exirpate	d to imperile	d in over 609	% of its rang	ge (NatureServe 2	2004).		
Comment								
S-Trend	Decreasing							
S-1 rend	Occasion	ial to nearly	sporadic stat	ewide (Cice	reno and Schuste	er 2003)		
Comment								
Habitat /	Medium	to large rive	rs in sand an	d gravel wit	h good current (Cicerello and		
Life Histor	y Schuster	2003, Cumn	nings and Ma	ayer 1992).				
Key	Key Hab	itat Location	s (and their o	condition):				
Habitat	1. Lower	Barren and	Lower Green	1				
	2. Ohio I	River mainste	em					
	3. Lower Tennessee and Cumberland Rivers							
	Habitat	in these areas	s range from	shallow run	is to pools where	the host,		
	freshwat	er drum, gree	en sunfish an	d sauger ca	n be found. Ove	rall habitat		

condition is generally 50 to 90% fully supporting.

Guilds Large rivers in current.

Statewide <u>Butterfly.pdf</u>

Мар

Butterfly

Ellipsaria lineolata

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2D Woody debris removal
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Biological/ consumptive uses

5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Catspaw

Epioblasma obliquata obliquata

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G1T1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend E. obliquata obliquata is thought to be reproducing only in Killbuck Creek,

Comment Ohio. Only 15 live individuals were found in 1994. Three extant populations of E. obliquata obliquata are thought to exist; one in the Green River in Kentucky, the Cumberland River in Tennessee, and the Killbuck Creek in Ohio (Hoggarth et al. 1995). Problems associated with land-use in the Killbuck Creek watershed threaten the E. obliquata obliquata population (Hoggarth et al. 1995). It was not found in the heavily modified portion of Killbuck Creek that lacked wooded riparian corridors or had significant erosion problems. Has been extirpated from a large portion of its range. Historical distribution is reasonably well known. Given the discovery of the Killbuck Creek population in 1994 (Hoggarth et al. 1995) additional survey work in areas likely to support this species is warranted. Periodic status surveys are needed to monitor changes in the remaining populations of this mussel.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Possibly extirpated. Formerly in the Ohio River and the Green River to the

Comment Licking River (Cicerello and Schuster 2003). Critically imperiled.

Habitat / Inhabits large to medium river systems in sand and gravel substrates in runs andLife History riffles.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Presumed to be extirpated, but may exist in the lower Green River or the
lower Licking River (Condition: partially supporting).

Guilds Large rivers in current.

Statewide <u>Catspaw.pdf</u>

Map

Catspaw

Epioblasma obliquata obliquata

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Biological/ consumptive uses

5C Biological collection (overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Clubshell

Pleurobema clava

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Е	G2	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically, it was distributed across nine states in the Wabash, Ohio,

Comment Kanawha, Kentucky (Danglade 1922), Green, Monongahela, and Alleghany Rivers and their tributaries. Listed as occurring in the St. Peter's River in Minnesota and from Nebraska by Simpson (1914), however these records are probably in error. It is currently known from 12 streams in six states:
Tippecanoe River in Indiana; Fish Creek in Ohio and Indiana; West Branch of the St. Josephs River in Ohio and Michigan; Walhonding River in Ohio; East Fork of the West Branch of the St. Josephs River in Michigan; Little Darby Creek in Ohio; French Creek in Pennsylvania and the Elk River in West Virginia. It is extirpated from Alabama, Illinois, Tennessee (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and possibly New York (Strayer and Jirka 1997) (NatureServe 2004)

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Upper Green River.

Comment

Habitat / Despite the type locality of Lake Erie (apparently in error), this is a species ofLife History small to medium-sized rivers and streams. Ortmann (1919) remarked that it was

"a rare shell, and never found in great numbers. It is found mostly in sand and fine gravel, and is deeply buried." Hoggarth and Watters have found live individuals completely buried with the posterior shell margin facing up in sand/gravel substrate in riffle/run situations in less than 1.5 feet of water (NatureServe 2004). This seems to be the habitat of choice. Because it buries itself beneath the substrate, it is rarely found alive even in places where it is believed to occur in some numbers (NatureServe 2004). Stansbery (OSU museum curator) believed that various pesticides were at least partially responsible for the overall decrease in the fauna of areas in which P.clava was present. The introduced zebra mussel could also pose a significant threat (NatureServe 2004).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. upper Green River, where populations seem to be recruiting (Condition:
partially supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Clubshell

Pleurobema clava

Statewide <u>Clubshell.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging. NatureServe 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. NatureServe 2004
- 5H Isolated populations (low gene flow). NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . NatureServe 2004
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). NatureServe 2004
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff. NatureServe 2004

Cracking Pearlymussel

Hemistena lata

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank	
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)	
	LE,XN	Х	G1	SX	G1	S 1	
G-Trend	Decreasi	Decreasing					
G-Trend	Originall	Originally inhabited the Ohio, Cumberland, and Tennessee river systems. It has					
Comment	been exti	irpated from	most of its f	ormer range	but some viable	populations may	
	persist in the upper Clinch River in Tennessee (Parmalee and Bogan 1998)						
	(NatureS	erve 2004).					

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Extirpated. Formerly in the Ohio, Green, Upper Cumberland, and Kentucky

Comment Rivers.

Habitat / Abundant in sand, gravel, and cobble substrates in swift currents or mud and

Life History sand in slower currents (Gordon and Layzer 1989).

Key	Key Habitat Locations (and	their condition):
-----	----------------------------	-------------------

Habitat1. Most likely extirpated from Kentucky, but may exist in the Upper GreenRiver (Condition: partially supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide CrackingPearlymussel.pdf

Map

Cracking Pearlymussel

Hemistena lata

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). NatureServe 2004

Biological/ consumptive uses

5C Biological collection (overharvest). NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). NatureServe 2004
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff. NatureServe 2004

- 1A Coal mining. NatureServe 2004
- 1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004
- 1C Road construction. NatureServe 2004
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction. NatureServe 2004

Creek Heelsplitter					Lasmig	gona compressa		
	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank		
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	Ν	Е	G5	S 1	G5	S 1		
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng						
G-Trend	Located	Located in several states in the upper midwest, north east and several provinces						
Comment	in Canao	in Canada (NatureServe 2004).						
S-Trend	Decreasing							
S-Trend	Sporadic	: in Tygart's (Creek (Cicer	ello and Sch	nuster 2003).			
Comment								
Habitat /	Creeks a	nd small to n	nedium river	rs in fine gra	wel and sand. Ve	ery rarely found in		
Life Histor	r y large rive	ers (Cummin	gs and Maye	er 1992). In	periled or vulne	rable in		
	approxin	nately 70% o	f its range (I	NatureServe	2004).			
Key	Key Hab	vitat Location	s (and their	condition):				
Habitat	1. Found	l only in Tyg	art's Creek in	n northeaster	rn Kentucky (Co	ndition: fully to		
	partially	supporting).						
Guilds	Small to	medium stre	ams.					
Statewide	CreekHe	elsplitter.pdf						
Мар								

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Cumberland Bean

Villosa trabalis

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Е	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend The range of V. trabilis is difficult to determine due to confusion in literature

Comment with the related species, V. perpurpurea. The type locality is listed as streams of Tennessee; Clinch River, Virginia (Simpson 1914). The historic range of the species includes the Clinch River, Scott county, Virginia; Hiwassee River, Polk County, Tennessee; South Chickamauga Creek, Catoosa County, Georgia; the Paint Rock River, Jackson County, the Flint River, Madison County, and the Tennessee River at Muscle Shoals, Lauderdale County, all in Alabama. In the upper Cumberland River drainage it is known from the Cumberland River from Pulaski County to Cumberland Falls, Whitley County, Kentucky. It is known from Rockcastle River and its tributary Laurel Fork, Jackson, Rockcastle, and Laurel Counties, Kentucky; also Little South Fork of the Cumberland River, Wayne County, Kentucky and the lower Obey River, Clay County, Tennessee (Bogan and Parmalee 1983). Its current range includes the Hiwasee River, Polk County, Tennessee and North Carolina; the lower Obey River, Clay County, Tennessee; Rockcastle River and its tributary Laurel Fork in Jackson, Rockcastle, and Laurel Counties and the Little South Fork of the Cumberland

River, Wayne County, all in Kentucky (Bogan and Parmalee 1983). It has been extirpated from Virginia, Alabama, and the mainstem of the Cumberland River in Kentucky (NatureServe 2004).

- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Sporadic in the Upper Cumberland River system below Cumberland Falls
- **Comment** (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).
- Habitat / Found in sand, gravel, and cobble substrates in waters with moderate to swift
- Life History currents and depths less than 1 meter (Gordon and Layzer 1989). Extirpated or Imperiled in all of its range.
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat1. Found in the Upper Cumberland River and its tributaries (Condition: fully to
partially supporting).
- **Guilds** Upland streams in riffles.

Cumberland Bean

Villosa trabalis

Statewide <u>CumberlandBean.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only)
- 5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding)

Cumberland Elktoe

Alasmidonta atropurpurea

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	Е	G1G2	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically, it was known only from the Cumberland Plateau province of the

Comment upper Cumberland River basin. The few records available indicate that it inhabited the Cumberland River and only tributaries flowing from the south upstream from the hypothesized original location of Cumberland Falls near Burnside, Pulaski County, Kentucky. It presently (post 1985 records) persists in eight tributaries to the Upper Cumberland River in Kentucky and Tennessee (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1998). It is extirpated from the mainstem of the Cumberland River, Laurel River and its tributary, Lynn Camp Creek in Kentucky. Formerly from 19 localities (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003) and currently persisting in 12 Cumberland River tributaries (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003, NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic. Endemic to the Cumberland River both above and below CumberlandComment Falls. (Cicerello and Schuster 2003)

Habitat / The habitat ranges from small creeks to medium-sized rivers. The mussel is

Life History most common in smaller stream habitats. Preferred habitat appears to be shallow flats or pools with slow current and sand substrate with scattered cobble/boulder material, although it will occur in mud or rocky substrates and faster currents (Gordon and Layzer 1989). Inhabits medium-sized rivers and may extend into headwater streams where it is often the only mussel present. Appears to be most abundant in flats, or shallow pool areas lacking the bottom contour development of typical pools, with sand and scattered cobble-boulder material, relatively shallow depths, and slow currents (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1997, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Sporadically found at sites in the Upper Cumberland River and its tributaries
(Condition is partially supporting).

Guilds Upland streams in riffles.

Statewide <u>CumberlandElktoe.pdf</u>

Map

Cumberland Elktoe

Alasmidonta atropurpurea

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Biological/ consumptive uses

5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4C Toxic chemical spills. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). U.S. Fish andWildlife Service 2003

- 1A Coal mining. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1B Agriculture. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

- 1C Road construction. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Cumberland Moccasinshell

Medionidus conradicus

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank		
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	Ν	Ν	G3G4	S 4	G3	S4		
G-Trend	Decreasi	Decreasing						
G-Trend	Known f	Known from the Tennessee and Cumberland river drainages (Burch 1975). May						
Comment	also occur in the Conasauga River along with M. acutissiums and M. parvulus							
	(D. Stansbery, pers. comm. 9/27/97, OSU museum curator), but this needs to							

be sorted out with genetic analysis.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Occasional to sporadic in the lower and upper Cumberland River system below

Comment Cumberland Falls, with secure populations.

- Habitat / Inhabits small streams in sand and gravel substrates; often found in cracks or
- Life History under rocks. Vulnerable, imperiled, or extirpated in 67% of it's range.

(NatureServe 2004). Habitat condition is partially supporting.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Small streams to mid-size rivers with sand and gravel substrate in the UpperCumberland River system (Cicerello and Schuster 2003; Condition: partially supporting).

Guilds Upland streams in riffles.

Statewide <u>CumberlandMoccasinshell.pdf</u>

Мар

Cumberland Moccasinshell

Medionidus conradicus

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding)

Cumberland Papershell

Anodontoides denigratus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	E	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Restricted to streams in the Cumberland Plateau within the Cumberland River

- Comment basin upstream from the original location of Cumberland Falls (NatureServe 2004). 1993 field surveys by Mark Gordon and Ron Cicerello found extant populations in the following counties: McCreary and Whitley Cos. Kentucky; Scott, Sentress and Morgan Cos. Tennessee. Has disappeared from type locality in Campbell Co. Tennessee.
- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Sporadic. Endemic to the upper Cumberland River above and below

Comment Cumberland Falls (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

- Habitat / Restricted to streams with sandstone bedrock (NatureServe 2004). Critically
- Life History imperiled throughout its range.
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat1. Small to medium size streams in silt, mud, and sand (Cicerello and Schuster2003; Condition: partially supporting).
- **Guilds** Upland streams in riffles.

Statewide <u>CumberlandPapershell.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

1A Coal mining

Cumberlandian Combshell

Epioblasma brevidens

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Е	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically, distributed throughout the Cumberlandian region of the Tennessee Comment and Cumberland river systems in Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Virginia (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003). Populations are currently known from Buck Creek in Kentucky; through a few miles of the Big South Fork Cumberland River in Kentucky and Tennessee; and in very low numbers in the Powell and Clinch rivers in Virginia and Tennessee (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1997). A few, likely non-reproducing populations associated with sub-lotic sections of some reservoirs (e.g., Old Hickory Reservoir on the Cumberland River). In 1997 several fresh dead specimens were found by Jeff Garner in Bear Creek, a tributary of the Tennessee River in northwestern Alabama and according to Tom Mann (Mississippi Natural Heritage Program) fresh dead shells were found in Mississippi in September 2000. Currently restricted to five stream reaches (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003) (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Sporadic in the Upper Cumberland River below Cumberland Falls. (CicerelloComment and Schuster 2003)

Habitat / The habitat ranges from large creeks to large rivers, in substrates ranging from

Life History coarse sand to mixtures of gravel, cobble, and boulder-sized particles. The mussel tends to occur at depths of less than one meter, although the relict (and presumably non-reproducing) populations now occur in considerably deeper water (Gordon and Layzer 1989). Inhabits medium-sized streams to large rivers on shoals and riffles in coarse sand, gravel, cobble, and boulders and is not associated with small stream habitats. Occurs in larger tributaries than Epioblasma capsaeformis (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003). Much of its former habitat has been inundated by reservoirs and considerable other portions have been devastated by acid mine run-off (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Found in small to large rivers with sand and gravel bottoms in the
Cumberland River system in south-central Kentucky (Condition: partially
supporting).

Cumberlandian Combshell

Epioblasma brevidens

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>CumberlandianCombshell.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). U.S. Fish andWildlife Service 2003
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4C Toxic chemical spills. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

- 1A Coal mining. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1B Agriculture. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1C Road construction. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Dromedary Pearlymussel

Dromus dromas

Federal	Heritage GRank		SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Х	G1	SX	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Known from the Cumberland and Tennessee river systems in Tennessee and

- Comment Virginia. Once common throughout the Tennessee River system. Currently known from the middle Cumberland River in Smith County, Tennessee; the Tennessee River in Meigs County, Tennessee; and in the upper Powell and Clinch rivers in Tennessee and Virginia (Parmalee and Bogan 1998). (NatureServe 2004)
- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Extirpated; Formerly in the Tennessee River and lower and upper Cumberland

Comment River below Cumberland Falls. (Cicerello and Schuster 2003)

Habitat / A riffle dwelling species occurring at shoals with sand and gravel and moderate

Life History current velocities, but also found in deeper, slower moving water in Tennessee. Extirpated or critically imperiled in all its range (NatureServe 2004).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Found in medium to large rivers in sand and gravel, but likely extirpated fromKentucky (Cicerello and Schuster 2003; Condition: partially supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide DromedaryPearlymussel.pdf

Мар

Dromedary Pearlymussel

Dromus dromas

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction

Elephantear

Elliptio crassidens

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	Ν	Ν	G5	S4S5	G5	S4			
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng							
G-Trend	Wide-rai	Wide-ranging in eastern U.S. with its southeastern terminus in the Escambia and							
Comment	Apalach	Apalachicola River drainages in the Florida panhandle. (NatureServe 2004)							
S-Trond	Decressi	na							
5-11enu	Decreasi	Decreasing							
S-Trend	Occasion	Occasional to sporadic nearly statewide (Cicerello and Schuster 2003) with							
Comment	apparent	apparently secure populations (NatureServe 2004). Populations are located in							
	several la	several larger rivers and streams throughout the state.							
Habitat /	Muddy s	Muddy sand, sand and rocky substrates in moderate currents in larger rivers							
Life Histor	y (Heard 1979). Extirpated or imperiled in over 60% of its range (NatureServe								
	2004).								
Key	Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):								
Habitat	1. Medium to large rivers in mud, sand and gravel (Cicerello and Schuster 2003;								
	Conditio	n: partially s	upporting).						
Guilds	Large riv	Large rivers in current.							
Statewide	<u>Elephantear.pdf</u>								
Мар									
Elephantear

Elliptio crassidens

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species
- 5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Elktoe

Alasmidonta marginata

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Т	G4	S 2	G4	S2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Ranges in the north from Ontario, Canada (Great Lakes and St. Lawrence

Comment drainage) south to Alabama (Tennessee drainage) and on the east from New York to Virginia (Ohio drainage) and on the west from eastern North Dakota to northeastern Oklahoma (historic records only), with the center of abundance being in Ohio, Indiana and Illinois. Starret (1971) reported that it historically occurred in the upper and middle parts of the Illinois River, but was eliminated by pollution following the opening of the Chicago Sanitary Canal in 1900. Ortmann (1919) also indicated that this species had been extirpated from the Monongahela drainage in Pennsylvania. Today the entire main Cheat River is devoid of unionid bivalves due to acid mine drainage. The Atlantic slope form (var. Susquehannae) is found in the Susquehanna basin of Pennsylvania and New York as well as the upper St. Lawrence River, Canada (Ortmann 1919; Johnson 1970; NatureServe 2004)

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Eastern half of Kentucky (Cicerello and Schuster 2003), with

Comment individuals occurring in the Upper Green River.

Habitat / Although it occurs in large to medium sized streams, it is more typical of

Life History smaller streams (Buchanan 1980; Goodrich and Van Der Schalie 1944; Oesch 1995; Parmalee 1967; Wilson and Clark 1914). Ortmann (1919) described it as a riffle species that is found in swift current in firmly packed fine to course gravel. Parmalee (1967) reported the preferred habitat to be small streams with good current and sand or gravel bottoms at depths of several inches to two feet. Buchanan (1980) found it to be common in gravel and cobble substrate in two to 18 inches of water, Neel and Allen (1964) found it to be more abundant in the mainstream Cumberland River than in small streams. Vulnerable, imperiled, or extirpated in 55% of its range (NatureServe 2004). Habitat condition is partially supporting

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Small streams to medium size rivers in gravel and sand in eastern Kentucky
(Cicerello and Schuster 2003; Condition: partially supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Elktoe

Alasmidonta marginata

Statewide <u>Elktoe.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). NatureServe
 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). NatureServe 2004
- 2J Alteration of surface runoff patterns (flow/temp regimes). NatureServe Biological/ consumptive uses
- 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only). NatureServe 2004 Point and non-point source pollution
 - 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . NatureServe 2004
 - 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff. NatureServe 2004
 - 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
 - 4F Urban runoff. NatureServe 2004

- 1A Coal mining. NatureServe 2004
- 1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004

Fanshell

Cyprogenia stegaria

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically, it was widely distributed in the Tennessee, Cumberland, and Ohio

Comment River systems, although it has become very rare in recent years. In the Ohio drainage it has been recently found in: the deep channel of the Ohio River between Cincinnati and Pittsburgh (Johnson 1980); the lower Muskingum and Walhonding Rivers, Ohio (Stansbery et al. 1982); the Salt and Licking Rivers, tributaries of the Ohio; the Green River, Kentucky (Biggins 1991) the Kanawha River, West Virginia (Stansbery, pers. comm.); the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania (Dennis 1970); and the lower Clinch River in Scott County (Neves 1991, Smith 1971, NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Generally distributed in the Licking, Rolling Fork (Salt River) and Upper GreenComment Rivers, sporadic elsewhere (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Characteristic habitat is medium to large streams (Dennis 1984). It has been

Life History found in river habitats with gravel substrates and a strong current, in both deep and shallow water (Ortmann 1919; Parmalee 1967).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- Habitat 1. Lower to Middle Licking River
 - 2. Rolling Fork of Salt River
 - 3. Upper Green River.

Habitat conditions are partially supporting in each.

- **Guilds** Medium to large streams.
- Statewide <u>Fanshell.pdf</u>

Map

Fanshell

Cyprogenia stegaria

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2J Alteration of surface runoff patterns (flow/temp regimes)

Biological/ consumptive uses

5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Fat Pocketbook

Potamilus capax

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	Е	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Formerly present in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri,

Comment Kentucky, and Arkansas. Prior to 1970, most records appear to be from three areas, the upper Mississippi River above St. Louis, Missouri, the Wabash River in Indiana, and the St. Francis River in Arkansas (Dennis 1985). Since 1970, the species has been found extant in portions of the St. Francis River (Jenkinson and Ahlstedt 1995), with scattered records from the Wabash and Ohio Rivers in Indiana and Kentucky (Sickel 1987; Cummings et al. 1990; Cummings and Mayer 1993) and in southeastern Missouri (NatureServe 2004). The largest population of P. capax occurs in the St. Francis Floodway.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Mississippi, lower Ohio, and extreme lower Cumberland RiverComment systems (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Found in sand, mud, and fine gravel substrates and flowing water (Dennis

Life History 1985). Found in large rivers in slow-flowing water (often near the bank) in mud or sand (Cummings et al. 1990) (NatureServe 2004). This species is critically

imperiled throughout all of its range. Habitat is partially supporting.KeyKey Habitat Locations (and their condition):Habitat1. Mississippi River proper near island at Columbus in Hickman County
(Condition: partially supporting).GuildsLarge rivers in slackwater.StatewideFatPocketbook.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging. NatureServe 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. NatureServe 2004

Fluted Kidneyshell

Ptychobranchus subtentum

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
С	E	G2G3	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically known from approximately 16 tributaries of the Cumberland River
and 21 tributaries of the Tennessee River. Currently known from nine streams (six isolated populations) in the Cumberland River system and seven streams (four isolated populations) in the Tennessee River system, and is absent from the mainstems of both rivers (NatureServe 2004). At least one population (Clinch River) is considered viable (NatureServe 2004). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1999 estimated that it had been eliminated from approximately three-fifths of the total number of streams where it historically occurred. It is currently at risk of becoming extirpated from the entire Cumberland River system and is already extirpated from mainstem sites (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Restricted to the upper Cumberland river system below Cumberland Falls inComment Kentucky (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Inhabits small to medium rivers in areas with swift current or riffles, although a

Life History few populations were recorded from larger rivers in shoal areas. It is often found embedded in sand, gravel, and cobble substrates (Gordon and Layzer 1989). Requires flowing, well-oxygenated waters.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- Habitat Limited to the upper Cumberland River below Cumberland Falls, including:
 - 1. Buck Creek
 - 2. Big South Fork of the Cumberland River
 - 3. Horse Lick Creek.

Condition is generally 60-90% fully supporting in areas where it can be found.

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>FlutedKidneyshell.pdf</u>

Map

Fluted Kidneyshell

Ptychobranchus subtentum

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999

- 1A Coal mining. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999
- 1B Agriculture. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999

Green Floater

Lasmigona subviridis

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	Ν	Х	G3	SX	G3	S 1			
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng							
G-Trend	New and	Greenbriar 1	rivers (West	Virginia and	l Virginia), Upper	r Savannah River			
Comment	system (S	South Carolin	na), and nort	h to the Hud	son River system	, and westward			
	through t	through the Mohawk River and the Erie Canal to the Genesee River of New							
	York (Pa	York (Parmalee and Bogan 1998).							
S-Trend	Decreasi	ng							
S-Trend	Possibly	extirpated. 1	Known only	from Tygart	s Creek.				
Comment									
Habitat /	Small to	medium-size	ed rivers in sa	and and grav	vel (Cicerello and	Schuster 2003).			
Life History	y Habitat l	ikely still coi	nmon, but m	nicrohabitat	factors such as re-	duced transport			
	of silt an	d pollutants a	away from th	e microhabi	tat may be causir	ng decline. It is			
	imperileo	d throughout	all of its ran	ge.					
Key	Key Hab	itat Location	s (and their o	condition):					

Habitat 1. Tygart's Creek (Condition: over 74% fully supporting).

Guilds Upland streams in pools.

Statewide <u>GreenFloater.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Kentucky Creekshell

Villosa ortmanni

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Т	G2	S 2	G2	S2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Endemic to the Green River system in Kentucky where it inhabits a few sites in

Comment the Green River and in a direct tributary, as well as a limited number of tributaries of the Nolin, Rough, and Barren rivers (NatureServe 2004).

- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Endemic to the Green River system (Cicerello and Schuster 2003). Endemic to

Comment one river system with less than 20 extant populations; suitable habitat is fragmented (NatureServe 2004).

- Habitat / Small streams to medium-sized rivers in sand, mud, and gravel (Cicerello and
- Life History Schuster 2003).
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- **Habitat** 1. Small streams in Logan and Warren Counties (tributaries to the Barren River)

2. Nolin River in Hardin County

Habitat is 79-92% fully supporting.

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>KentuckyCreekshell.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Little Spectaclecase

Villosa lienosa

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	S	G5	S3S4	G5	S 3

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Although widespread, uncommon in the Ohio River drainage, including Illinois,

Comment Indiana, and Ohio (Cummings and Mayer 1992). Stansbery (1969) reports that the species is "increasing" within the Cumberland River, Kentucky in his observations compared with those of 1911 and 1947-1949. Note: This report may be bias based on the sampling methods used, increasing the visibility of the species. Furthermore, it is still one of the under collected species at his 1961 study site (NatureServe 2004). Vulnerable to critically imperiled in over half of its range (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic nearly statewide (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / In sandy substrates in slight to moderate current (Heard 1979). Prefers mud,

Life History particularly when rich in vegetable detritus (Clench and Turner 1956).

Typically inhabits small creeks to medium-sized rivers, usually along the banks in slower currents. Characteristic more so of smaller streams than not, and may be the only species present (or with Elliptio sp.) in headwater streams of the western panhandle (NatureServe 2004).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

 Habitat
 1. Upper Rough River upstream of Rough River lake (Condition: fully supporting).

- **Guilds** Upland streams in pools.
- Statewide <u>LittleSpectaclecase.pdf</u>

Мар

Little Spectaclecase

Villosa lienosa

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Littlewing Pearlymussel

Pegias fabula

Federa	al Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	Е	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend 1986 surveys found it six short stream reaches of the Tennessee and

Comment Cumberland River basins. Over 55 potential or historic habitat areas were searched. It now believed to exist in only three sites in southeastern Kentucky, two sites in southeastern Virginia, and one site in central Tennessee (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend It now believed to exist in only three sites in southeastern Kentucky

Comment NatureServe 2004).

Habitat / Common at the head of riffles, but also found in and below riffles on sand and

Life History gravel substrates with scattered cobbles. Also inhabits sand pockets between rocks, cobbles and boulders, and underneath large rocks (Gordon and Layzer 1989). It is restricted to small, cool streams. It is usually found lying on top or partially buried in sand and fine gravel between cobble in only 6 to 10 inches of water. It is usually found at the head of riffles (Bogan and Parmalee 1983; Stansbery 1976). Condition is partially to fully supporting. This species is critically imperiled throughout all of its range.

Key	Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
Habitat	1. Big South Fork Cumberland River in McCreary County (five mile section
	from Tennessee to Bear Creek; Condition: fully supporting)
	2. Horse Lick Creek (Condition: fully supporting).
Guilds	Upland streams in riffles.
Statewide	LittlewingPearlymussel.pdf

Мар

Littlewing Pearlymussel

Pegias fabula

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5C Biological collection (overharvest)
- 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1E Silviculture
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding)

Longsolid

Fusconaia subrotunda

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	S	G3T3	S 3	G3	\$3

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically distributed in the Ohio, Cumberland and Tennessee river drainages.

Comment Status largely unknown throughout its range. Thought to be extirpated from Illinois, very rare in Indiana, and North Carolina. Once thought to be nearly extirpated from Kentucky (Schuster 1988) but "sporadic in the lower Green River and eastward (Cicerello and Schuster 2003) so special concern there. Endangered in Ohio where the only remaining population is thought to exist in the Muskingum River.

Vulnerable to critically imperiled in over 90% of its range.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Green River and eastward (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / Found in medium to large rivers in gravel with a strong current (Watters 1995).

Life History

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Green River in the Munfordville Area (Condition: fully supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>Longsolid.pdf</u>

Мар

Longsolid

Fusconaia subrotunda

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Biological/ consumptive uses

5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4H Confined animal operations

Siltation and increased turbidity

1A Coal mining

Mountain C	reekshell			Villosa vanuxemensis vanuxemensis					
	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	Ν	Т	G4	S2	G4	S 2			
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng							
G-Trend	Restricte	d to the Tenn	essee and C	umberland	River basins and	the Upper Coosa			
Comment	River sy	River system (Conasauga River, northern Georgia) (Parmalee and Bogan 1998).							
S-Trend	Decreasi	Decreasing							
S-Trend	Sporadic	in the lower	Cumberland	l River (Red	l River) (Cicerell	o and Schuster			
Comment	2003).								
Habitat /	Small str	eams and sm	all rivers in	silt, sand, or	r gravel (Cicerell	o and Schuster			
Life Histor	y 2003). H	Habitat partial	lly supportin	g.					
Key	Key Hab	itat Location	s (and their o	condition):					
Habitat	1. Red R	iver in Logar	n County, Ke	entucky (Co	ndition: fully sup	oporting).			
Guilds	Lowland	Streams in r	iffles.						
Statewide	Mountai	nCreekshell.p	<u>odf</u>						
Map									

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Northern Riffleshell

Epioblasma torulosa rangiana

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G2T2	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically occurred throughout much of the Ohio River watershed but range Comment has been dramatically reduced to eight to ten populations scattered over four states and one province with only three that are considered viable (NatureServe 2004). Has experienced greater than a 95% range reduction (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993; Staton et al. 2000)). The northern riffleshell was listed as a federally endangered species in February of 1993. It was also considered to be Endangered by the freshwater mussel subcommittee of the endangered species committee of the American Fisheries Society (Williams et al. 1993). In the Midwest, the northern riffleshell was widely distributed and relatively common in some of the headwater streams in the Wabash and Ohio river drainages. Endangered in Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio. Extirpated from Illinois. See Staton et al. (2000) for trend information. Global Inventory Needs: Historical records exist from the Mahoning and Little Mahoning rivers in Ohio and Pennsylvania and may still harbor populations and should be investigated. Additional work needs to be done on the Tippecanoe River in Indiana, the Elk River in West Virginia, and the Green River in Kentucky where fresh-dead shells have been

found in recent years (Watters 1994). An inventory of existing museum records should be compiled to provide information on historical sites and potential new ones.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Possibly extirpated. Formerly in the Ohio and Green River to the Licking River.Comment

Habitat / Small to large rivers in sand and gravel (Cicerello and Schuster 2003). Ortmann

Life History (1919:334) reported that this species was "always found...on riffles, on a bottom of firmly packed and rather fine gravel, in swiftly flowing, shallow water or coarse gravel" and Clarke (1981) gave its habitat as "highly oxygenated riffle". Preferred habitat appears to require swiftly moving water. Lowered dissolved oxygen content and elevated ammonia levels (frequently associated with agricultural runoff and sewage discharge) have been shown to be lethal to some species of freshwater naiads (Horne and McIntosh 1979). Dredging of streams has an immediate effect on existing populations by physically removing and destroying individuals.

Northern Riffleshell

Epioblasma torulosa rangiana

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Possibly extirpated. Green River in Hart County (Condition: fully
supporting (80%) in the Green River).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>NorthernRiffleshell.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species
- 5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Orangefoot Pimpleback

Plethobasus cooperianus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend The historical range included the Ohio River from western Pennsylvania to

Comment southern Indiana, the Wabash River below Mt. Carmel, Illinois, the Cumberland River from Cumberland County, Kentucky to the vicinity of Nashville, Tennessee, the lower Clinch River in Anderson County, Tennessee, and the Tennessee River from near Knoxville to Kentucky Lake, Benton County, Tennessee. It has also been reported from the Caney Fork, Holston, and French Broad rivers in Tennessee and the Green and Rough rivers in Kentucky. At present it is thought to be restricted to the lower Ohio River, middle reaches of the Cumberland River, and the lower Tennessee River in northern Alabama and western Tennessee (Ahlstedt 1984; Miller et al. 1986). The largest population probably exists in a short reach of the Tennessee River mainstem below Pickwick Dam, near river mile 207 (Ahlstedt 1984). Presently restricted to the Tennessee, Cumberland, and lower Ohio rivers where it is rare. Between 1979 and 1982 a large number of fresh-dead shells were collected from a shell buyers cookout camp below Pickwick Dam. Juveniles also found in muskrat middens along the Tennessee River in Hardin County, Tennessee (Ahlstedt 1984).

Individuals are rare but regularly reported from the lower Ohio River near Metropolis, Illinois (Cummings and Mayer 1995). Parmalee et al. (1980) reported finding live individuals near Bartlett's Bar on the Cumberland River in 1979 and represent the only live records in the river since Neel and Allen (1964) (NatureServe 2004).

- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Sporadic in the Ohio River and the Tennessee River in western Kentucky
- **Comment** (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).
- Habitat / Found in large rivers in sand, gravel, and cobble substrates in riffles and shoals

Life History in deep water and steady currents (Gordon and Layzer 1989; Bogan and Parmalee 1983; Cummings and Mayer 1992).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- Habitat 1. Lower Tennessee River below Kentucky Dam to the Lower Ohio River and to the confluence with the Mississippi River (Condition: only 50% of its habitat fully supporting).
- Guilds Large rivers in current.

Orangefoot Pimpleback

Plethobasus cooperianus

Statewide <u>OrangefootPimpleback.pdf</u>

Мар

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2J Alteration of surface runoff patterns (flow/temp regimes)

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species
- 5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Oyster Mussel

Epioblasma capsaeformis

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Ε	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Was once a commonly found species (1970's), but abundance has dropped and Comment it has been extirpated from many former sites including the mainstems of the Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers now only extant in a handful of streams in four states in the Tennessee and Cumberland River systems (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1998; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003). Post 1985 records are in nine tributaries, some of these occurrences (Clinch and Duck rivers and the Big South Fork River) are relatively healthy (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1998). Populations remain in isolated stretches of the Big South Fork (Scott County, Tennessee, and McCreary County, Kentucky) although the identity of these populations may be in question (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003). Populations are also extant in the Tennessee River system in the Clinch River (Russell and Scott Counties, Virginia and Hancock County, Tennessee), Powell River (Lee County, Virginia), North Fork Holston River (Scott County, Virginia- reintroduced), Nolichucky River (Cocke and Hamblen Counties, Tennessee), and Duck River (Marshall County, Tennessee); while it may still be extant in Copper Creek (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003) (NatureServe
2004). Occurs in very low numbers within the jurisdiction of the US Park Service in the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area. Also has been found in the vicinity of The Nature Conservancy's Pendleton Island Preserve, but only dead shells have been reported from the preserve. Survival of populations in such "protected" areas is largely dependent on the continuation of high environmental quality in the watershed upstream from such sites. Such conditions do not exist at either site.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the upper Cumberland River below Cumberland Falls (Cicerello andComment Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Inhabits moderate to swift currents in large creeks and rivers in substrates

Life History composed of coarse sand and gravel to boulder-sized particles, rarely mud. It may be associated with beds of water willow bordering the main channel of the riffle (Ortmann 1924; Gordon and Layzer 1989). Inhabits small to mediumsized rivers, and sometimes large rivers, in areas with coarse sand to boulder substrate (rarely in mud) and moderate to swift currents. It is sometimes associated with water-willow beds and in pockets of gravel between bedrock

Oyster Muss	sel Epioblasma capsaeformis
	ledges in areas of swift current (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003)
	(NatureServe 2004).
Key	Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
Habitat	1. Possibly extirpated. Big South Fork of the Cumberland River near the
	Tennessee border (Condition: fully supporting at 67-90%).
Guilds	Medium to large streams.
Statewide	OysterMussel.pdf
Мар	

Oyster Mussel

Epioblasma capsaeformis

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). U.S. Fish andWildlife Service 2003
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 2G Water level fluctuations. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

- 4C Toxic chemical spills. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4F Urban runoff. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). U.S. Fish andWildlife Service 2003

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1A Coal mining. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1B Agriculture. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1C Road construction. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1E Silviculture. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003

Pink Mucket

Lampsilis abrupta

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	Ε	G2	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Considered as an Interior Basin species in origin. Formerly scattered throughout

Comment the Mississippi, Tennessee, Ohio and Cumberland river systems. Now extirpated in Ohio (Watters 1993), Pennsylvania (Bogan 1993), and Illinois (Matthews and Moseley 1990). Historically known from 25 rivers and tributaries. In 1990 known from 16 rivers and tributaries (Matthews and Moseley 1990). This species has never been collected in large numbers from any one site or drainage (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1985). Most surveys only find one to five individuals. Low populations found in three major drainages in Missouri (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the lower Ohio River to the Licking River (Cicerello and Schuster

Comment 2003). Generally rare to very rare at any site, often only one individual can be found with intense sampling effort.

Habitat / Medium-sized to large rivers in sand and gravel (NatureServe 2004).

Life History

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
Habitat

Lower Green River below lock and dam 5 at Glenmore
Lower Barren River below Lock and Dam 1
Lower Ohio River from the Tennessee River (Kentucky Dam) to the Mississippi River.

Habitat fully supporting the Green (90%), but only 50% in the Ohio.

- Guilds Large rivers in current.
- Statewide <u>PinkMucket.pdf</u>

Мар

Pink Mucket

Lampsilis abrupta

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Biological/ consumptive uses

5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Pocketbook

Lampsilis ovata

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	Ν	E	G5	S 1	G5	S 1			
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng							
G-Trend	Interior I	Interior Basin: Mississippi and Ohio drainages. St. Lawrence drainage from							
Comment	Lake Su	perior to the (Ottawa Rive	r and Lake (Champlain. Also	found in the			

Hudson Bay drainage, Northern Atlantic Slope (Potomac River), where it was introduced. Taxonomic problems include confusion with Lampsilis ovata ovata, L. ovata ventricosa (=L. cardium), and L. satura (Parmalee and Bogan 1998). It is considered imperiled in over half of its range in the U.S..

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the lower Ohio River to the Upper Green. Taxonomic problems

Comment include confusion with Lampsilis ovata ventricosa (=L. cardium).

Habitat / Medium-sized to large rivers in sand and gravel (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Life History

- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat 1. Middle and Upper Green River

2. lower Tennessee River below Kentucky Dam

Habitat fully supporting for both.

- Guilds Medium to large streams.
- Statewide <u>Pocketbook.pdf</u>

Мар

Pocketbook

Lampsilis ovata

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations
- Biological/ consumptive uses
 - 5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only)
- Point and non-point source pollution
 - 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Purple Lilliput

Toxolasma lividus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	E	G2	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Because of the uncertainty of the distinctness of lividus vs. glans, it is not clear

Comment just what the current distribution of lividus encompasses. Both forms have suffered considerable declines in range. In the Cumberland River basin, it is known to occur sporadically in less than ten tributary streams (e.g., Little South Fork Cumberland River, Buck Creek: see Schuster et al. 1989). In the Tennessee River basin, it occurs in small, disjunct populations in the Duck, Elk, Paint Rock, and North Fork Holston rivers. The glans form is more widely distributed, although still sporadic and in greatly reduced numbers: Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Ozark Plateaus in southern Missouri, northern Arkansas, and northern Oklahoma. Recent collections of a similar Toxalasma from the Ouachita mountains in Arkansas may represent a different species. It is considered imperiled in all of its range.

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Green River and in the upper Cumberland River belowComment Cumberland Falls (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Inhabits fine-particle substrates and also sand, gravel, or cobbles and boulders in

- Life History riffles or flats immediately above riffles (Gordon and Layzer 1989). It is often the first species encountered in headwater areas. It generally occurs at depths <
 1 m. It very rarely is encountered in a big river habitat or reservoirs (Gordon and Layzer 1989).
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat 1. Upper Buck Creek
 - 2. Horse Lick Creek near Raccoon Creek
 - 3. Green River

Habitat fully supporting (60-90%) for all.

- **Guilds** Upland streams in riffles.
- Statewide <u>PurpleLilliput.pdf</u>

Map

Purple Lilliput

Toxolasma lividus

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction
- 1E Silviculture

Pyramid Pigtoe

Pleurobema rubrum

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status Status				(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	E	G2	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically this species was distributed throughout the Mississippi, Wabash,

Comment Tennessee, and Ohio River systems. It appears to never have been common, consisting of less than 4% of those species found in prehistoric middens (Parmalee 1967). Today the species is widely but very sporadically distributed. It has apparently been extirpated from much of its former range. Shimek (1921) reported it from the Mississippi River at Prairie du Chien, Wisconsin, but it has not been recovered since that time. Starrett (1971) did not find this species in a survey in 1966 of the Illinois River although it was known to occur there prior to 1900. It was found in the Tuscarawas River of the Muskingum River in Ohio by Hildreth (1828), Dean (1890), Sterki (1894, 1900), and Ortmann (1919), but has not been recently collected there. Williams (1969) and Dames and Moore (1980) recorded it from the Ohio River, in the vicinity of Clermont County, Ohio, but an extensive survey in 1984 did not recover it (Stansbery and Cooney 1985). It has been extirpated from the Tennessee River in Alabama (Stansbery 1976). It has been extirpated from the Wabash and East Fork White rivers in Indiana, the Osage River in Missouri, the Beech Fork (Salt River) and

Licking Rivers in Kentucky, and the Stones and Holston Rivers in Tennessee (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Tennessee and Green Rivers (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / This mussel typically inhabits large rivers but may occur in medium-sized lotic

Life History environments. It tends to occupy riffles or shoals in relatively shallow water and coarse-particle substrates, along sand bars, or in deep water (>4 m) with mud and sand bottoms. Moderate to swift currents usually are associated with these habitats (Gordon and Layzer 1989). It persists below some Tennessee River dams. The species is critically imperiled in over half of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Green River at lock and dam 5 near Glenmore (Condition: fully supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Pyramid Pigtoe

Pleurobema rubrum

Statewide PyramidPigtoe.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Biological/ consumptive uses

5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest)

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Rabbitsfoot

Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Т	G3T3	S 2	G3	S 2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend This species has a "trans-Mississippian distribution" (Stansbery 1970) and is

- Comment sporadically distributed throughout the Mississippi, Ohio, Wabash, Cumberland, and Tennessee River drainages. Presently or formerly occurred in the following states: Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania and Tennessee. It intergrades with Q. cylindrica strigillata in the Clinch River in Scott County, Virginia. Populations north of this in the lower Tennessee River tributaries are Q. cylindrica strigillata (NatureServe 2004). It has been eliminated from much of this range and is on many state endangered species lists including: Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, and Ohio (Cummings and Mayer 1992).
- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Sporadic nearly statewide (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).
- Comment

Habitat / According to Gordon and Layzer (1989) the typical habitat for this species is

Life History small to medium rivers with moderate to swift currents, and in smaller streams it inhabits bars or gravel and cobble close to the fast current. Found in medium to large rivers in sand and gravel (Cummings and Mayer 1992). It has been found in depths up to 3 m (Parmalee 1967). Despite their streamlined appearance, specimens are more often found fully exposed lying on their sides on top of the substrate (NatureServe 2004). The species is critically imperiled in over two thirds of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Green River from Green River Lake to Mammoth Cave
2. lower Tennessee River to the Ohio River and extending to the Mississippi River

Habitat fully supporting (50-90%) for both.

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>Rabbitsfoot.pdf</u>

Map

Rabbitsfoot

Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Biological/ consumptive uses

5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only)

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Rayed Bean

Villosa fabalis

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank				
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)				
	Ν	Х	G1G2	SX	G1	S1				
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng								
G-Trend	Althoug	n historically	widespread	in the upper	midwest and no	rtheast, few				
Comment	extant po	extant populations are known. Extant populations known from 22 streams and								
	a lake in	a lake in 5 states: lower Great Lakes system and Ohio River system (Butler								
	2003). Ir	2003). In Canada, only extant in the middle reach of the Sydenham River in								
	Ontario	Ontario (West et al., 2000).								
S-Trend	Decreasi	ng								
S-Trend	Possibly	extirpated. I	Formerly in	the Ohio Riv	ver and the Green	n River to the				
Comment	Licking	River (Cicere	ello and Schu	uster 2003).						
Habitat /	Medium	sized stream	s to medium	-sized rivers	s in sand and grav	vel (Cicerello and				
Life History	Schuster	2003). The	species is cr	itically impe	eriled in over two	o thirds of its				
	range.									
Key	Key Hab	itat Location	s (and their	condition):						
Habitat	1. Possib	oly extirpated	. Green Riv	er in Hart C	ounty (Conditior	n: fully				
	supporti	ng).								
Guilds	Small to	medium stre	ams.							

Statewide <u>RayedBean.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe

2004

Biological/ consumptive uses

5G Low population densities of hosts (mussels only). NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

4E Agricultural runoff – including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,

pesticides. NatureServe 2004

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004

Ring Pink

Obovaria retusa

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	Ε	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically occurring throughout the Ohio, Tennessee, and Cumberland River

Comment systems (Origin is Ohioan). Two populations which may still be viable are in the Tennessee River below Pickwick Dam, and in the Green River at Munfordville, Kentucky (NatureServe 2004). Other recent occurrences include the middle Cumberland River and the Ohio River south of Gallipolis and the Muskingum River (NatureServe 2004). In September 1997 one live specimen was found for the first time since the 1960's in the Green River upstream of Mammoth Cave National Park, approx. 13 river miles from the Munfordville population (Triannual Unionid Report, No. 13, 1997).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the upper Green River (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / This mussel typically inhabits large rivers but may occur in medium-sized lotic

Life History environments. It tends to occupy riffles or shoals in relatively shallow water and coarse-particle substrates, along sand bars, or in deep water with mud and sand bottoms with moderate to swift currents.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Upper Green River from Mammoth Cave upstream to Hart County2. Lower Ohio River from the Tennessee River (Kentucky Dam) to the Mississippi River

Habitat fully supporting the Green (90%), but only 50% in the Ohio.

- Guilds Large rivers in current.
- Statewide <u>RingPink.pdf</u>

Мар

Ring Pink

Obovaria retusa

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging. NatureServe 2004
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). NatureServe 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. NatureServe 2004
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). NatureServe 2004
- 2G Water level fluctuations. NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff. NatureServe 2004

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004

Rough Pigtoe

Pleurobema plenum

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G1	S 1	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically widely distributed in the Ohio, Cumberland, and Tennessee river

Comment drainages. Confined to under 20 sites in the Tennessee, Clinch, Cumberland, Barren and Green rivers (Ahlstedt 1984) (NatureServe 2004). Currently present below three Tennessee River mainstem dams (Pickwick, Wilson, and Guntersville) and the upper Clinch River between river miles 323 and 154. Although reported by Parmalee et al. (1980) from the middle Cumberland River between 1977 and 1979, it was not found in recent surveys by Tennessee Valley Authority (1976) or Sickel and Chandler (1996). Present in the Green River, Kentucky between locks 4 and 5 and in the Barren River below Lock and Dam 1 (Ahlstedt, 1984).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the Green and Barren Rivers (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / Found in medium to large rivers in sand, gravel, and cobble substrates in shoals.Life History Occasionally found on flats and muddy sand (Gordon and Layzer 1989;

Ahlstedt 1984).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Green River at Glenmore (lock and dam 5; Condition: fully supporting).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>RoughPigtoe.pdf</u>

Map

Rough Pigtoe

Pleurobema plenum

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)
- 4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Round Hickorynut

Obovaria subrotunda

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Ν	G4	S4S5	G4	S 4

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Ranges from Ontario, through Michigan, west to Illinois and Louisiana, east to

Comment Georgia and Pennsylvania. Sporadic in distribution, disappearing from many areas. Endangered and likely extirpated from Illinois. Threatened in Michigan. In the Midwest, the round hickorynut is endangered in Illinois and Michigan and is absent from many sites within its former range in Indiana (Cummings and Mayer 1992; Cummings pers. comm.). This mussel has not been collected alive in Illinois in over 20 years and it is likely extirpated from the state (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

Habitat / Found in medium-sized streams in sand and gravel in areas with moderate flow

Life History (Cummings and Mayer 1992). The species is imperiled in two thirds of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

S-Trend Occasional to sporadic in the lower Cumberland and eastward (Cicerello andComment Schuster 2003).

Habitat1. Buck Creek in Pulaski County

- 2. Red Bird River (Upper Kentucky River)
- 3. Red River (in Daniel Boone National Forest, Upper Kentucky River).

Habitat fully supporting (60-80%) for each.

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>RoundHickorynut.pdf</u>

Мар

Round Hickorynut

Obovaria subrotunda

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Biological/ consumptive uses

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture

- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction
- 1E Silviculture

Salamander Mussel

Simpsonaias ambigua

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Т	G3	S2S3	G3	S2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Clarke (1985) gave the geographical records for this species. It is known from

Comment the Lake St. Clair, Lake Huron, and Lake Erie drainages; and from the Ohio River System, the Cumberland River System (Red River, Kentucky), and the upper Mississippi River System (Illinois, Iowa, Wisconsin, Missouri and Arkansas). Its distribution in part is apparently related to the distribution of its glochidial host, the mudpuppy (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the upper Green River and eastward (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).Comment

Habitat / Although occasionally found elsewhere, there is little doubt the preferred

Life History habitat is in sand or silt under flat stones in areas of a swift current (Call 1900; Buchanan 1980; Clarke 1985; Oesch 1995). Call (1900) reported over 200 individuals from a single square foot under a rock. Frierson (1927) remarked that it was "rarely found, but in abundance whenever found; a hundred have been taken from a square foot." Its presence there is presumably linked to the Mudpuppy (NatureServe 2004). The species is critically imperiled in over three fourths of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Red River in the Red River Gorge

2. Rolling Fork River upstream of New Haven

Habitat is fully supporting (55-80%) for both.

- **Guilds** Small to medium streams.
- Statewide <u>SalamanderMussel.pdf</u>

Мар

Salamander Mussel

Simpsonaias ambigua

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Biological/ consumptive uses

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Scaleshell

Leptodea leptodon

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status Status				(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	X	G1	SX	G1	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically this species was distributed through 54 streams in much of the

Comment Interior Basin and a portion of the St. Lawrence drainage. Within the latter, records are primarily from the Lake Erie basin incorporating portions of western New York, southern Ontario, and southern Michigan. Interior Basin records are from streams in Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Indiana, Illinois, southern Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. The only known extant populations are now restricted 13 streams in the Interior Highland divisions in Missouri, Arkansas, and Oklahoma (see Oesch 1995, Harris and Gordon 1987, Cummings and Mayer 1992, Parmalee and Bogan 1998). An additional site, the lower Missouri River between the Gavin's Point Dam and the mouth near St. Louis, was discovered in 1990 (Hoke 2000) bringing the total to 14 (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Formerly in the Ohio, Green, Kentucky, and upper Cumberland (belowComment Cumberland Falls) rivers (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Occurs in riffles with moderate to high gradients in creeks to large rivers.

Life History Typically associated with riffles, relatively strong currents, and substrate of mud, sand, or assemblages of gravel, cobble, and boulder. It has been found completely buried in the substrate down to depths of 15 cm (Oesch 1995).
Occurs in medium to large rivers with low to moderate gradients in a variety of stream habitats including gravel, cobble, boulders, and occasionally mud or sand substrates (Buchanan 1980; Oesch 1995). Restricted to rivers with relatively good water quality (Oesch 1995) in stretches with stable channels (Buchanan 1980).

The species is critically imperiled throughout its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Extirpated from Kentucky. Last site collected was in the Green River in Hart
County (Condition: fully supporting).

Guilds Large rivers in current.

Statewide <u>Scaleshell.pdf</u>

Map
Scaleshell

Leptodea leptodon

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging. NatureServe 2004
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). NatureServe 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. NatureServe 2004
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). NatureServe 2004
- 2G Water level fluctuations. NatureServe 2004

Biological/ consumptive uses

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow). NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). NatureServe 2004
- 4C Toxic chemical spills. NatureServe 2004
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
- 4F Urban runoff. NatureServe 2004
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). NatureServe

4K Industrial waste discharge/runoff. NatureServe 2004

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004
- 1E Silviculture. NatureServe 2004

Sheepnose

Plethobasus cyphyus

Federal	Heritage GRank		SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Ε	G3	S 1	G3	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically occurred throughout much of the Mississippi River system with

Comment the exception of the upper Missouri River system and most lowland tributaries in the lower Mississippi River system. Known from 77 streams historically in 15 states in the Mississippi, Ohio, Cumberland, Tennessee, and Ohio main stems, and scores of tributary streams rangewide (Butler 2003) (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic nearly statewide (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / Although it does inhabit medium-sized rivers, this mussel generally has been

Life History considered a large-river species. It may be associated with riffles and gravel/cobble substrates but usually has been reported from deep water (>2 m) with slight to swift currents and mud, sand, or gravel bottoms (Gordon and Layze, 1989). It also appears capable of surviving in reservoirs, such as upper Chickamauga Reservoir immediately below Watts Bar Dam (NatureServe 2004). This species is critically imperiled throughout all of its range (NatureServe 2004).

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Green River from Mammoth Cave upstream to Hart County (Condition:
fully supporting).

- **Guilds** Large rivers in current.
- Statewide <u>Sheepnose.pdf</u>

Map

Sheepnose

Plethobasus cyphyus

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Slabside Pearlymussel

Lexingtonia dolabelloides

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
С	Х	G2	SX	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Generally has been considered a Tennessee River endemic (Simpson 1914;

Comment Bogan and Parmalee 1983). As a result of the failure of Wilson and Clark (1914) to collect it and the lack of other locality records, Lea's (1871) report of "UNIO SUBGLOBOSUS" (junior synonym of L.DOLABELLIODES, FIDE Simpson, 1914) from the Cumberland River in Nashville has been discounted. However, recent finds of relict specimens (e.g., Parmalee et al. 1980; Schuster 1988) confirm it as a historical comment of the Cumberland River fauna (Starnes and Bogan 1988, Gordon and Layzer 1989). Apparently extirpated from the entire Cumberland River system. Most historical records are from the Tennessee River system and indicate that it was a fairly common species found throughout the Cumberlandian region of the drainage. This included areas from the Mussel Shoals vicinity into headwater tributaries in Virginia and the Duck River drainage. Populations remain in nine streams in the Tennessee River system: the Powell River, Clinch River, North Fork Holston River, Big Moccasin Creek, Middle Fork Holston River, Hiwasee River, Paint Rock River, Larkin Fork, Estill Fork, Hurricane Creek, Elk river, Bear Creek, and Duck

River (NatureServe 2004).

- S-Trend Decreasing
- S-Trend Probably extirpated. Formerly in the lower Cumberland River (Cicerello and
- **Comment** Schuster 2003).
- Habitat / Occurs in moderate to high gradient riffles systems in creeks to large rivers.
- Life History Generally found at depths <1, moderate to swift current velocities, and substrates from coarse sand to heterogeneous assemblages of larger sized particles. The species is imperiled throughout its range.
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat1. Probably extirpated. Last record from the 1980's in the lower CumberlandRiver in southwestern Kentucky (Condition: fully supporting at 76%).

Guilds Lowland Streams in riffles.

Statewide <u>SlabsidePearlymussel.pdf</u>

Map

Slabside Pearlymussel

Lexingtonia dolabelloides

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Slippershell Mussel

Alasmidonta viridis

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
	N	Ν	G4G5	S4S5	G4	S 4
G-Trend	Decreasi	ng				
G-Trend	Upper M	lississippi Ri	ver drainage	; Ohio, Cun	berland, and Te	nnessee Rivers;
Comment	lower an	d middle sec	tions of the S	St. Lawrence	e River systems:	Lake Huron, Lake
	St. Slair	, and Lake E	rie drainages	s in Canada	(Parmalee and B	ogan 1998).
S-Trend	Decreasi	ng				
S-Trend	Generall	y distributed	to occasiona	al in the low	er Cumberland I	River and eastward
Comment	(Cicerel	lo and Schus	ter 2003).			
Habitat / species	Small str	reams in mud	l, sand, or gr	avel (Cicere	llo and Schuster	2003). The
Life History	y is critica	ally imperiled	l in over two	thirds of its	s range. Threats	: Threatened by
	habitat n	nodification,	sedimentatio	on, gravel m	ining, and water	quality
	degradat	ion are the m	ajor threats	to this speci	es.	
Key	Key Hab	itat Location	s (and their	condition):		
Habitat	1. Red R	iver in the R	ed River Go	rge area		
	2. Salt R	iver tributari	es (e.g., Gui	st Creek, so	uth of Shelbyvill	e)
	3. small	tributaries to	the Licking	River		

Habitat fully supporting 33-80% for each.

- **Guilds** Small to medium streams.
- Statewide <u>SlippershellMussel.pdf</u>

Мар

Slippershell Mussel

Alasmidonta viridis

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

Snuffbox

Epioblasma triquetra

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Ε	G3	S 1	G3	S 1

- G-Trend Decreasing
- G-Trend It was historically widespread in the upper Mississippi and Ohio River
- Comment drainages. It was widespread but never abundant in the Tennessee River system. It has been drastically reduced in range and is endangered in many states where it occurs. Extant populations can still be found in Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Most populations are small and geographically isolated from one another (NatureServe 2004).
- S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the upper Green River and eastward (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

- Comment
- Habitat / Found in riffles with stony or sandy bottoms, in swift currents, usually deeply
- Life History buried (Baker 1928). The species is critically imperiled in over two thirds of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat 1. Red River in the Red River Gorge (Kentucky River System)

2. Red Bird River (Kentucky River System)

3. Tygart's Creek in northeastern Kentucky.

Habitat fully supporting (74-88%) for each.

- **Guilds** Upland streams in riffles.
- Statewide <u>Snuffbox.pdf</u>

Мар

Snuffbox

Epioblasma triquetra

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Biological/ consumptive uses

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Spectaclecase

Cumberlandia monodonta

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	Ε	G2G3	S 1	G2	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically occurred throughout much of the Mississippi River system with

Comment the exception of the upper Missouri River system, uppermost Ohio River system, Cumberland and Tennessee River systems, and some tributaries in the lower Mississippi region of Arkansas. Historically from 45 streams in 15 states including: upper Mississippi River system (Mississippi River); lower Missouri River system (Missouri River?); Ohio River system (Ohio River); Cumberland River system (Cumberland River); Tennessee River system (Tennessee River); and lower Mississippi River system (Mulberry, Ouachita Rivers) (Butler 2003).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the upper Green River (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Comment

Habitat / Generally found in areas with strong currents. Preferred habitat is medium-sized

Life History streams (Dennis 1984). In medium rivers, substrates usually are coarser and include cobble, gravel, cracks in bedrock. It tends to be deeply buried in the

substrate, often considerably below the surface, and has been found under large slabs of rock or between tree roots. In large rivers, substrates are typically finer and include sand or mud. May be associated with shoals, bars and islands. The species is critically imperiled in over two thirds of its range.

Key Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

Habitat1. Upper Green River in Edmonson and Hart Counties (Condition: fully
supporting at 80%).

Guilds Medium to large streams.

Statewide <u>Spectaclecase.pdf</u>

Map

Spectaclecase

Cumberlandia monodonta

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1B Agriculture
- 1E Silviculture

Tan Riffleshell					Epioblasma flo	rentina walkeri			
	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	LE	Е	G1T1	S 1	G1	S 1			
G-Trend	Decreasi	Decreasing							
G-Trend	Known f	Known from the Cumberland and Tennessee River systems (NatureServe 2004).							
Comment									
S-Trend	Decreasi	Decreasing							
S-Trend	Sporadic	Sporadic in the upper Cumberland River below Cumberland Falls (Cicerello and							
Comment	Schuster	r 2003).							
Habitat /	Found in	headwaters,	riffles, and	shoals in sa	nd and gravel sub	strates. (Bogan			
Life Histor	y and Parn	nalee 1983).	The species	is critically	imperiled throug	hout all of its			
	range.								
Key	Key Hab	vitat Location	s (and their	condition):					
Habitat	1. Big So	outh Fork Cu	mberland Ri	ver from th	e Tennessee State	e line to Bear			
	Creek (C	Condition: ful	ly supportin	g at 90%).					
Guilds	Upland s	streams in rif	fles.						
Statewide	<u>TanRiffl</u>	eshell.pdf							
Мар									

Tan Riffleshell

Epioblasma florentina walkeri

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2G Water level fluctuations

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

- 1A Coal mining
- 1B Agriculture
- 1C Road construction
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction

- 1E Silviculture
- 1F Recreational activities (atv, horseback riding)

Tennessee Clubshell

Pleurobema oviforme

Federal	Heritage GRank SRank		GRank	SRank	
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
Ν	E	G3	S 1	G3	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Historically inhabited most of Cumberlandian region of the Tennessee River

- **Comment** system. Presently scattered in small disjunct populations in the Powell, Clinch, Holston, elk, Paint Rock, and Duck river systems and a few tributaries in the upper Cumberland River. A few, possibly nonreproducing populations apparently persist in some reservoir situations. Previous reports for this species from the Cumberland River system appear to be either P. clava, or a different species (NatureServe 2004).
- S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Sporadic in the lower and upper Cumberland River below Cumberland FallsComment (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

Habitat / Found in the vicinity of riffles and shoals in substrates composed of sand/gravel

Life History mixtures, occasionally mud or in cracks between bedrock slabs. It does not usually tolerate more than moderate depth, although Ahlstedt (1989) found it in fairly deep water habitats below Watts Bar Dam. In creeks and small rivers, it may be found immediately above riffles or in flats. Although there may be seasonal periods of calm water, P. oviforme usually occurs in areas of at least moderately flowing water. This species is critically imperiled to imperiled throughout all of its range.

- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat1. Buck Creek in Pulaski County
 - 2. Horse Lick Creek
 - 3. Big South Fork Cumberland River near the Kentucky/Tennessee state line

Habitat is fully supporting (67-80%) for each.

- **Guilds** Upland streams in riffles.
- Statewide <u>TennesseeClubshell.pdf</u>

Мар

Tennessee Clubshell

Pleurobema oviforme

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). NatureServe
 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching. NatureServe 2004
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development). NatureServe 2004
- 2G Water level fluctuations. NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4A Acid mine drainage other coal mining impacts . NatureServe 2004
- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). NatureServe 2004
- 4C Toxic chemical spills. NatureServe 2004
- 4D Oil and gas drilling operations associated runoff. NatureServe 2004
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
- 4F Urban runoff. NatureServe 2004
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). NatureServe Siltation and increased turbidity
 - 1A Coal mining. NatureServe 2004

- 1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004
- 1C Road construction. NatureServe 2004
- 1D Urbanization/Development General Construction. NatureServe 2004
- 1E Silviculture. NatureServe 2004

Texas Lilliput

Toxolasma texasiensis

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)			
	Ν	Е	G4	S 1	G4	S 1			
G-Trend	Decreasi	ing							
G-Trend	The spec	cies ranges fro	om the south	nern coast of	Texas, east to w	estern			
Comment	Mississi	ppi, up the M	ississippi Ri	ver embayn	nent through Lou	isiana, eastern			
	Arkansa	s, and Missou	iri to southe	rn Illinois, I	ndiana, and weste	ern Tennessee			
	(Parmale	ee and Bogan	1998).						
S-Trend	Decreasi	ing							
S-Trend	Sporadic	e in the Missi	ssippi River	to the Trade	ewater River (Cic	erello and			
Comment	Schuster	Schuster 2003).							
Habitat /	Small str	reams and sm	all rivers in	silt, mud, or	sand, and wetla	nds (Cicerello and			
Life Histor	y Schuste	r 2003).							
Key	Key Hab	vitat Location	s (and their	condition):					
Habitat	1. Small	tributaries to	the Mississ	ippi River ir	n Fulton, Hickma	n, and Carlisle			
	Counties	s in extreme v	western Kent	tucky (Cond	ition: partially su	pporting at 37-			
	64%).								
Guilds	Lowland	l Streams in s	lackwater.						
Statewide	TexasLil	lliput.pdf							

Мар

Texas Lilliput

Toxolasma texasiensis

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2A Navigational dredging/Commercial dredging
- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation)
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier)
- 2E Stream channelization/ditching

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment)
- 4C Toxic chemical spills
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides,
- 4F Urban runoff
- 4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental)

Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture

Winged Mapleleaf

Quadrula fragosa

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE,XN	Х	G1	SX	G1	Ν

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend The only known viable populations are from one section of the lower St. Croix

Comment River in Wisconsin and the Ouachita River in Arkansas. Hove et al. (2003) also note the Kiamachi River in Oklahoma. Museum records indicate that it was distributed throughout a considerable portion of the Interior Basin: Ohio and Sciota rivers (Ohio), Wabash and White rivers (Indiana), Sangamon River (Illinois), Tennessee and Duck rivers (Tennessee), St. Croix and Wisconsin rivers (Wisconsin), Cedar, Iowa, Mississippi, and Racoon rivers (Iowa), Little Fox River (Missouri), and Fall and Neosho rivers (Kansas). All museum vouchers from the Cumberland River (including those of Wilson and Clarck, 1914) are misidentified Quadrula quadrula. A single specimen tentatively identified as Q. fragosa recently has been collected from the Ohio River adjacent to Kentucky (Cicerello, Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission, pers. comm.), but the condition of the shell and any possible population is not known. Hove et al. (2003) have determined that the historical range of this species is greater than once thought; with a historic range of at least 50 linear km in the St. Croix River mostly downstream of the St. Croix Dam (the present

range is much less).

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Extirpated. Formerly in the Ohio River and possibly in the lower Cumberland

Comment River (Cicerello and Schuster 2003).

- Habitat / Appears to have inhabited medium-sized and large rivers. Baker (1928) lists its
- Life History habitat as "mud bottom in water 2m or more in depth". Locality records indicate that it also inhabited riffle areas with substantially shallower water depths and substrates ranging from sand and gravel to mixture including some cobble and boulder sized particles. This species is critically imperiled throughout all of its range.
- **Key** Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):
- Habitat1. Extirpated. Formerly in the Ohio River and possibly in the lowerCumberland River (Condition: partially supporting at 45%).
- Guilds Medium to large streams.

Winged Mapleleaf

Quadrula fragosa

Statewide <u>WingedMapleleaf.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2B Gravel/sand removal or quarrying (e.g., mineral excavation). NatureServe
 2004
- 2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier). NatureServe
 2004

Biological/ consumptive uses

5J Incidental mortality due to commercial fishing/musseling (mortality and overharvest). NatureServe 2004

Point and non-point source pollution

- 4B Waste water discharge (e.g., sewage treatment). NatureServe 2004
- 4C Toxic chemical spills. NatureServe 2004
- 4E Agricultural runoff including fertilizers/animal waste, herbicides, pesticides. NatureServe 2004
- 4F Urban runoff. NatureServe 2004

4G Chemical spills and contaminants (applied and accidental). NatureServe Siltation and increased turbidity

1B Agriculture. NatureServe 2004

MUSSEL LITERATURE CITED

Ahlstedt, S.A.. Recovery plan for the orange-footed pearly mussel (*Plethobasus cooperianus*) (Lea 1834). 1984. Atlanta, Georgia, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 4.

Ahlstedt, S.A.. Update of the Watts Bar nuclear plant preoperational monitoring of the mussel fauna in the upper Chickamunga. 1989. Tennessee, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Baker, F.C.. The Freshwater Mollusca of Wisconsin: Part II Pelecypoda. Bulletin of the University of Wisconsin, Serial No. 1627 General Series No. 1303, pages 47-51. 1928.

Biggins, R.G.. Ring pink mussel recovery plan. 1991. Atlanta, Georgia, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Bogan, A.E.. Unpublished report from Workshop on Freshwater Bivalves of Pennsylvania, presented by Arthur E. Bogan, Freshwater Molluscan Research. 80 pages. 1993.

Bogan, A.E., Parmalee, P.W.. Tennessee's rare wildlife, Vol. 2: The mollusks. vol. 2. 1983. Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency and the Tennessee Conservation Department.

Buchanan, A.C.. Mussels (*naiades*) of the Merrimac River Basin. Ma. Dept. Conserv. Aquat. Ser. 17, 1-68. 1980.

Burch, J.B.. Freshwater Unionacean Clams (Mollusca: Pelecypoda) of North America. 1975. Hamburg, Michigan, Malcological Publications.

Butler, R.S.. Status assessment for the rayed bean, *Villosa fabalis*, occurring in the Mississippi River and Great Lakes systems. 65 pages. 2003. Notes: Unpublished report prepared by the Ohio River Valley Ecosystem Team Mollusk Subgroup

Call, R.E.. A descriptive illustrated catalogue of the mollusca of Indiana, Annual Report. 1900. Indiana, Indiana Department of Geology and Natural Resources.

Cicerello, R.R., M. L. Warren, Jr., G.A. Schuster, 1991. A distributional checklist of the freshwater unionids (Bivalvia:Unionoidea) of Kentucky. American Malacological Bulletin 8:113-129.

Cicerello, R.R., Schuster, G.A.. A guide to the freshwater mussels of Kentucky. Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission, Scientific and Technical Series 7, 1-62. 2003.

Clarke, A.H.. The tribe Alasmidontini (Unionidae: Anodontinae), Part 1: *Pegias, Alasmidonta*, and *Arcidens*. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology No. 326, 101 pages. 1981.

Clarke, A.H.. The tribe Alasmidontini (Unionidae: Anondontinae), Part II: *Lasmigona* and *Simpsonaias*. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology No. 300, iii and 75 pages. 1985.

Clench, W.J., Turner, R.D.. Freshwater mollusks of Alabama, Georgia, and Florida from the

Escambia to the Suwanee River. Bulletin of the Florida State Museum Biological Sciences 1 (3), 97-239. 1956.

Cummings, K.S., Mayer, C.A.. Field Guide to Freshwater Mussels of the Midwest. Illinois History Survey Manuel 5. 5. 1992. Illinois, Illinois History Survey.

Cummings, K.S., Mayer, C.A.. Distribution and host species of the federally endangered freshwater mussel, *Potamilus capax* (Green, 1832) in the lower Wabash River, Illinois and Indiana. 1993. Illinois, Illinois Natural History Survey, Center for Biodiversity.

Cummings, K.S., Mayer, C.A.. The distribution and status of six federally endangered freshwater mussels (Unionidae) in Illinois. Final report prepared for the Illinois Department of Conservation, Division of Natural Heritage, Illinois Natural History Survey, Center for Biodiversity Technical Report (7), pages 1-32. 1995.

Cummings, K.S., Retzer, M.E., Mayer, C.A., Page, L.M.. Life history aspects and status of the federally endangered fat pocketbook, *Potamilus capax* (Green, 1832) (Mollusca: unionidae) in the Lower Wabash River, Illinois and Indiana. 1990. Illinois, Illinois Natural History Survey, Center for Biodiversity.

Dames and Moore. Mussel survey findings in the vicinity of the William H. Zimmer nuclear power station. 1980. Cincinnati, Ohio, Report to the Cincinnati Gas Electric Company.

Danglade, E.. The Kentucky River and its mussel resources. 1922. U.S. Bureau of Fisheries.

Dean, G.W.. Distribution of Unionidae in the Mahoning, Cuyahoga, and Tuscarawas Rivers . nautilus 4 (2), pages 20-22. 1890.

DeLorme. Kentucky Atlas and Gazetteer. 1997. DeLorme.

Dennis, S.D.. Ecology and distribution of freshwater mussels of Western Pennsylvania. 1970. Ypsilanti, Michigan, Eastern Michigan University. Notes: M.S. Thesis

Dennis, S.D.. Distributional analysis of the freshwater mussel fauna of the Tennessee River system, with special reference to possible limiting effects of siltation. 1984. Blacksburg, Virginia, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Notes: Ph.D. Thesis

Dennis, S.D.. A recovery plan for the fat pocketbook pearly mussel, *Potamilus* (Proptera) *capax* (Green, 1832). 1985. Atlanta, Georgia, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region 4.

Frierson, L.S.. A classified and annotated checklist of the North American niades. 1927. Waco, Texas, Baylor University Press.

Goodrich, C., Van der Schalie, H.. A revision of the Mollusca of Indiana. The American Midland Naturalist 32, 257-326. 1944.

Gordon, M.E., Layzer, J.B.. Mussels (bivalvia:Unionoidea) of the Cumberland River review of life histories and ecological relationships. Biological Report 89 (15), 328 pages. 1989.

Harris, J.L., Gordon, M.E.. Distribution and status of rare and endangered mussels (Mollusca: margaritiferidae, unionidae) in Arkansas. Proceedings Arkansas Academy of Science. 41. 1987.

Heard, W.H.. Identification manual of the fresh water clams of Florida. State of Florida, Department of Environmental Regulation Technical Series 4 (2), 1-82. 1979.

Hildreth, S.P., 1828. Observations on, and descriptions of the shells found in the waters of the Muskingum River and Duck Creek, in the vicinity of Marietta. American Journal of Science Arts 14:276-91.

Hoggarth, M.A., Rice, D.L., Lee, D.M.. Discovery of the federally endangered freshwater mussel, *Epioblasma obliquata obliquata* (Rafinesque, 1820) (Unionidae), in Ohio. Ohio Journal of Science 95 (4), 298-299. 1995.

Hoke, E.. The scaleshell Leptodea leptodon (Rafinesque, 1820) in the Missouri River, Triannual Unionid Report. 2000.

Horne, F.R., McIntosh, S.. Factors influencing distribution of mussels in the Blanco River of central Texas. Nautilus 94 (4), pages 119-133. 1979.

Hove, M., Berg, M., DeMarre, J., Dietrich, K., Gonzales, C., Westberg, K., Hornbach, D.. Historical range expansion of winged mapleleaf provides possibilities for additional reintroduction sites. Ellipsaria 5 (3), pages 17-18. 2003.

Jenkinson, J.J., Ahlstedt, S.A.. Quantitative reassessment of the freshwater mussel fauna in the Clinch River, Tennessee and Virginia. 1988. Knoxville, Tennessee, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Jenkinson, J.J., Ahlstedt, S.A., 1995. A search for additional populations of *Potamilus capax* in the St. Francis and Cache river watersheds, Arkansas and Missouri. Walkerana 7:71-157.

Johnson, R.I.. The systematics and zoogeography of the Unionidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia) of the southern Atlantic slope region. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology. 140 (6). 1970. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University.

Johnson, R.I.. Zoogeography of North American Unionacea (Mollusca: Bivalvia) north of the maximum Pleistocene glaciation. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology. 149 (2). 1980. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, MA.

Matthews, J.R., Moseley, C.J.. The Official World Wildlife Fund Guide to Endangered Species of North America. Vol. 1. Plants, Mammals, Vol. 2. Birds, Reptiles, Amphibians, Fishes, Mussels, Crustaceans, Snails, Insects, and Arachnids. Vol. 1 and Vol. 2. 1990. Washington, D.C., Beacham Publications, Inc. Matthews, J. R. and Moseley, C. J.

Miller, A.C., Payne, B.S., Siemsen, T.. Description of the habitat of the endangered mussel *Plethobasus cooperianus*. The Nautilus 100 (1), pages 14-17. 1986.

NatureServe. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life. World Wide Web . 2004. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. October 27th, 2004.

Neel, J.K., Allen, W.R.. The mussel fauna of the Upper Cumberland Basin before its impoundment. Malacologia 1 (3), 427-459. 1964.

Neves, R.J.. Mollusks. Terwilliger, K. coordinator. Virginia's endangered species: proceedings of a symposium. 1991. Blacksburg, Virginia, McDonald and Woodward Publishing Company.

Oesch, R.D.. *Missouri naiades*. A guide to the mussels of Missouri. 1995. Jefferson City, Missouri, Missouri Department of Conservation.

Ortmann, A. E. A monograph of the naiades of Pennsylvania, Part III, systematic account of the genus and species. 1919. Memoirs of the Carnegie Museum.

Ortmann, A.E.. Notes on the anatomy of certain Lamsilinae from the gulf drainage. The Nautilus 37 (4), pages 137-144. 1924.

Parmalee, P.W., Bogan, A.E.. The freshwater mussels of Tennessee. 1998. Knoxville, Tennessee, University of Tennessee Press.

Parmalee, P.W., Kippel, W.E., Bogan, A.E.. Notes on the prehistoric and present status of the Naiad fauna of the middle Cumberland River, Smith County, Tennessee. Nautilus 94, pages 93105. 1980.

Parmalee, P.W.. The freshwater mussels of Illinois. Popular Science Series. VIII. 1967. Springfield, Illinois, Popular Science.

Schuster, G.A.. Distribution of unionids (Mollusca: Unionidae) in Kentucky. 1988. Frankfort, Kentucky, Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources.

Schuster, G.A., Butler, R.S., Stansbery, D.H.. A survey of the unionids (Bivalvia: Unionidae) of Buck Creek, Pulaski County, Kentucky. Transactions Kentucky Academy of Sciences 50, pages 79-85. 1989.

Shimek, B.. Mollusks of the McGregor, Iowa, Region 1. 1921. Iowa, Iowa Conservation.

Sickel, J.B.. Preliminary survey for endangered freshwater mussels at Cumberland Island Towhead, confluence of the Cumberland and Ohio Rivers, Livingston County, Kentucky. 1987. Madisonville, Kentucky, Report prepared for Donan Engineering, Inc.

Sickel, J.B., Chandler, C.C.. Unionid fauna of the lower Cumberland River from Barkley Dam to the Ohio River, Kentucky (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionidae). Transactions of the Kentucky Academy of Science 57 (1), pages 33-46. 1996.
Simpson, C.T.. A descriptive catalogue of the *naides* or pearly fresh-water mussel. 1914. Detroit, Michigan, Bryant Walker.

Smith, P.W.. Illinois streams: A classification based on their fishes and an analysis of factors responsible for disappearance of native species. Illinois Natural History Survey Biological Notes 76, pages 1-14. 1971.

Stansbery, D.H.. Naiad mollusks. Endangered and threatened plants and animals of Alabama. (2), pages 42-52. 1976. Alabama, Bulletin of the Alabama Museum of Natural History . Boschung, H.

Stansbery, D.H., 1976. Ohio's endangered naiad mollusks. American Malacological Union Annual Meeting Program.

Stansbery, D.H., Borror, K.G., Newman, K.E.. Biological abstracts of selected species of unionid mollusks recorded from Ohio. 1982. Columbus, Ohio, Ohio Department of Natural Resources.

Stansbery, D.H., Cooney, J.D.. Survey of the unionid mollusks of the Ohio River in the vicinity of the William H. Zimmer Station (Ohio River miles 442.6 to 445.6). 1985. Final Report submitted to Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company, Dayton Power and Light Company.

Stansbery, D.H., 1969. Table extracted from "Changes in the Naiad Fauna of the Cumberland River at Cumberland Falls in Eastern Kentucky". American Malacological Union Annual Report 16-17.

Stansbery, D.H.. Eastern freshwater mollusks (I): The Mississippi and St. Lawrence River systems. Malacologia 10 (1), pages 9-22. 1970.

Starnes, L.B., Bogan, A.E.. The mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionidae) of Tennessee. American Malacological Bulletin 6 (1), pages 19-37. 1988.

Starrett, W.C.. A survey of the mussels (Unionacea) of the Illinois River, a polluted stream. Illinois Natural History Survey Bulletin 30 (5), 265-403. 1971.

Starrett, W.C.. A survey of the mussels (Unionacea) of the Illinois River: A polluted stream. Illinois Natural History Survey Bulletin 30 (5), pages 288-367 (not inclusive). 1971.

Staton, S.K., Metcalfe-Smith, J.L., West, E.L.. Status of the northern riffleshell, *Epioblasma torulosa rangiana* (Bivalvia: Unionidae), in Ontario and Canada. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 114, pages 224-235. 2000.

Sterki, V.. The Land and Fresh Water Mollusca in the Vicinity of New Philadelphia; a Contribution to the Natural History of Tuscarawas County, Ohio. 1894. New Philadelphia, Ohio, Beobachter Press.

Sterki, V.. Eighth Annual Report, Ohio Academy of Science. List of the land and fresh water Mollusca of Tuscararwas County, Ohio . 1900. Ohio, Ohio Academy of Science.

Strayer, D.L., Jirka, K.J.. The pearly mussels (Bivalvia:Unionoidea) of New York State. New York State Museum Memoir 26. 1997.

Turgeon, D.D., Quinn Jr., J.F., Bogan, A.E., Coan, E.V., Hochberg, F.G., Lyons, W.G., Mikkelsen, P.M., Neves, R.J., Roper, C.F.E., Rosenberg, G., Roth, B., Scheltema, A., Thompson, F.G., Vecchione, M., Williams, J.D.. Common and scientific names of aquatic invertebrates from the United States and Canada: Mollusks. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 26. 26. 1998. Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Recovery plan for the Pink Mucket Pearly Mussel; *Lampsilis orbiculata* (Hildreth, 1828). 1985. USFWS.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Determination of Endangered Status for the Northern Riffleshell Mussel (*Epioblasma torulosa rangiana*) and the Clubshell Mussel (*Pleurobema clava*) (Final Rule) . Federal Register 58 (13), pages 56385642. 1993.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Determination of Endangered Status for the Cumberland Elktoe, Oyster Mussel, Cumberlandian Combshell, Purple Bean, and Rough Rabbitsfoot, Final rule. Federal Register 62 (7), 1647-1658. 1997.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Cumberland elktoe (*Alasmidonta atropurpurea*), oyster mussel (*Epioblasma capsaeformis*), Cumberlandian combshell (*Epioblasma brevidens*), purple bean (*Villosa perpurpurea*), and rough rabbitsfoot (*Quadrula cylindrica strigillata*), Technical Draft Recovery Plan. 1998. Asheville, North Carolina, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and plants; proposed designation of critical habitat for five threatened mussels in the Tennessee

and Cumberland River basins; proposed rule. Federal Register 68 (106), 33234-33282. 2003.

Watters, G.T.. A Guild to the Freshwater Mussels of Ohio. 1993. Ohio, Revised Edition prepared for the Division of Wildlife, Ohio Department of Natural Resources.

Watters, G.T.. A field guide to the freshwater mussels of Ohio. 1995. Columbus, Ohio, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife.

Watters, G.T.. An Annotated Bibliography of the Reproduction and Propagation of the Unionoidea (Primarily of North America). Ohio Biological Survey, College of Biological Sciences. 1994. Ohio, Ohio State University, in cooperation with Ohio Division of Wildlife.

West, E.L., Metcalf-Smith, J.L., Staton, S.K.. Status of the rayed bean, *Villosa fabalis* (Bivalvia: Unionidae), in Ontario and Canada. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 114, pages 248-258. 2000.

Williams, J.C., G.A. Schuster. Freshwater mussel investigations of the Ohio River. 1989. Frankfort, KY., Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources.

Williams, J.D., Warren Jr., M.L., Cummings, K.S., Harris, J.L., Neves, R.J.. Conservation Status of Freshwater Mussels of the United States and Canada. Fisheries 18 (9), pages 6-22. 1993.

Williams, J.C.. Mussel fisheries investigation, Tennessee, Ohio and Green Rivers. 1969. Lexington, Kentucky, Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources.

Wilson, C.B., Clark, H.W.. The mussels of the Cumberland River and its tributaries. 1914. U.S. Bureau of Fisheries .